# SHORT REPORT

# **Open Access**



# First report of *Giardia duodenalis* and *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in forest musk deer (*Moschus berezovskii*) in China

Yuan Song<sup>1+</sup>, Wei Li<sup>1+</sup>, Haifeng Liu<sup>1+</sup>, Zhijun Zhong<sup>1+</sup>, Yan Luo<sup>1</sup>, Yao Wei<sup>1</sup>, Wenlong Fu<sup>2</sup>, Zhihua Ren<sup>1</sup>, Ziyao Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Lei Deng<sup>1</sup>, Jianguo Cheng<sup>2\*</sup> and Guangneng Peng<sup>1\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** *Giardia duodenalis* and *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* are widespread pathogens that can infect humans and various animal species. Thus far, there are only a few reports of *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* infections in ruminant wildlife. Thus, the objective of this study was to examine the prevalence of *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* in forest musk deer in Sichuan, China, as well as identifying their genotypes.

**Results:** In total, we collected 223 faecal samples from musk deer at the Sichuan Institute of Musk Deer Breeding in Dujiangyan (n = 80) and the Maerkang Breeding Institute (n = 143). Five (2.24%) faecal samples were positive for *G. duodenalis*; three belonged to assemblage E, and two belonged to assemblage A based on the sequence analysis of the  $\beta$ -giardin (*bg*) gene. One sample each was found to be positive based on the glutamate dehydrogenase (*gdh*) and triosephosphate isomerase (*tpi*) gene, respectively. Thirty-eight (17.04%) faecal samples were found to be *E. bieneusi*-positive based on the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence, and only SC03 genotype was identified, which belonged to the zoonotic group 1 according to the phylogenic analysis. The infection rates were significantly different among the different geographical areas and age groups but had no apparent association with gender or clinical symptoms.

**Conclusions:** To our knowledge, this was the first molecular characterisation of *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* in musk deer. Identification of the zoonotic genotypes indicated a potential public health threat, and our study suggested that the forest musk deer is an important carrier of these parasites.

Keywords: G. duodenalis, E. bieneusi, Zoonotic pathogens, Musk deer, China

# Background

*Giardia* spp. are parasites with a broad host range comprising economic, companion, and wildlife animals, ranging from mammals to amphibians and birds, and humans [1, 2]. These parasites can have various clinical manifestations such as diarrhoea and abnormalities in growth and development, particularly in young hosts. For example, giardiasis can develop into malabsorption syndromes and other chronic diseases, resulting in stunted growth or emaciation in

\* Correspondence: 447933018@qq.com; pgn.sicau@163.com

children [3]. According to WHO, approximately 200 million people in Africa, Asia and Latin America have symptomatic *Giardia* infection [4]. *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* is another common intestinal parasite that infects the host's enterocytes, causing gastrointestinal illness such as chronic diarrhoea in animals and humans, particularly in immunosuppressed groups, including organ-transplant recipients, children, the elderly, and patients with cancer, diabetes, or AIDS [5, 6]. Ingestion of water and food contaminated with oocyst-containing faeces is the principal route of transmission for these species [7].

*Giardia duodenalis* is the only species of *Giardia* infecting humans and is comprised of eight assemblages (A-H). Among them, assemblages A and B have a broad host range and zoonotic potential. In particular, subtypes



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Equal contributors

 $<sup>^2 {\</sup>rm Sichuan}$  Institute of Musk Deer Breeding, Dujiangyan, Sichuan Province, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Key Laboratory of Animal Disease and Human Health of Sichuan Province, College of Veterinary Medicine, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China

A1, A2, A3, A4, B1, and B4 are closely associated with human infections. In contrast, assemblages C-H have strong host specificity and a narrow host range [1, 8, 9]. Approximately 90% of human microsporidiosis cases are caused by *E. bieneusi* [10, 11]. In addition to its detection in humans, *E. bieneusi* has been reported in various economic animals and wildlife, including snakes and birds [12-14]. Currently, over 240 genotypes of *E. bieneusi* have been identified and divided into eight groups (groups 1–8). Most genotypes in group 1 have zoonotic potential, whereas the other groups have narrow host range and higher host specificity [15].

As an endangered species, musk deer (*Moschus* spp.) is currently considered a class I-protected animal in China. Forest musk deer (*Moschus berezovskii*) is the largest species of musk deer and mainly found in the Sichuan and Guizhou provinces of China [16, 17]. Musk, which has a remarkably high economic and medicinal value, is secreted by the musk gland located in the groin of male forest musk deer [18]. Because of their pathological effects on forest musk deer, infection with *G. duodenalis* or *E. bieneusi* can result in a significant loss of musk yield. This study aimed to investigate the presence of these parasites in musk

Table 1 Primers and annealing temperature for the identification of G. duodenalis and E. bieuensi

Gene locus	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Annealing temperature (°C)	Expected product size (bp)	Reference
bg	F1: AAGCCCGACGACCTCACCCGCAGTGC	50	511	[19]
	R1: GAGGCCGCCCTGGATCTTCGAGACGAC			
	F2: GAACGAACGAGATCGAGGTCCG	60		
	R2: CTCGACGAGCTTCGTGTT			
gdh	F1: TTCCGTRTYCAGTACAACTC	50	530	[19]
	R1: ACCTCGTTCTGRGTGGCGCA			
	F2: ATGACYGAGCTYCAGAGGCACGT	65		
	R2: GTGGCGCARGGCATGATGCA			
tpi	F1: AAATIATGCCTGCTCGTCG	55	530	[19]
	R1: CAAACCTTITCCGCAAACC			
	F2: CCCTTCATCGGIGGTAACTT	55		
	R2: GTGGCCACCACICCCGTGCC		392	
ITS	F1: GATGGTCATAGGGATGAAGAGCTT	55	392	[20]
	R1: AATACAGGATCACTTGGATCCGT			
	F2: AGGGATGAAGAGCTTCGGCTCTG	55		
	R2: AATATCCCTAATACAGGATCACT			
MS1	F1: CAAGTTGCAAGTTCAGTGTTTGAA	58	676	[21]
	R1: GATGAATATGCATCCATTGATGTT			
	F2: TTGTAAATCGACCAAATGTGCTAT	58		
	R2: GGACATAAACCACTAATTAATGTAAC		676 537	
MS3	F1: CAAGCACTGTGGTTACTGTT	55	537	[21]
	R1: AAGTTA GGGCATTTAATAAAATTA			
	F2: GTTCAAGTAATTGATACCAGTCT	55		
	R2: CTCATTGAATCTAAATGTGTATAA			
MS4	F1: GCATATCGTCTCATAGGAACA	55	885	[21]
	R1: GTTCATGGTTATTAATTCCAGAA			
	F2: CGAAGTGTACTACATGTCTCT	55		
	R2: GGACTTTAATAAGTTACCTATAGT			
MS7	F1: GTTGATCGTCCAGATGGAATT	55	471	[21]
	R1: GACTATCAGTATTACTGATTATAT			
	F2: CAATAGTAAAGGAAGATGGTCA	55		
	R2: CGTCGCTTTGTTTCATAATCTT			

deer, which may pose a threat to the health of both forest musk deer and humans.

# Methods

# Fecal sample collection

In February 2017, 223 faecal samples were collected from forest musk deer at the Sichuan Institute of Musk Deer Breeding located in Dujiangyan and Maerkang, in the Sichuan Province of China. Immediately after defecation, fresh faecal samples were collected using sterile disposable latex gloves, numbered, and placed in individual plastic bags. During specimen collection, we only gathered the top layer of the faeces to ensure that there was no contamination. All samples were placed on ice in separate containers and immediately transported to the laboratory. Specimens were stored in 2.5% potassium dichromate at 4 °C in a refrigerator until analysis.

# DNA extraction and nested PCR amplification

Faecal samples were washed with distilled water and centrifuged at  $3000 \times g$  for 3 min. This process was repeated three times. Genomic DNA was then extracted from approximately 200 mg of each semi-purified product, using the E.Z.N.A. Stool DNA Kit (D4015–02; Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, USA). DNA samples were stored in 200 µl of the kit Solution Buffer at -20 °C until use.

G. duodenalis and E. bieneusi were identified using nested PCR amplification of the  $\beta$ -giardin (bg) gene and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence, respectively. bg-positive specimens were subjected to further amplification of the glutamate dehydrogenase (gdh) and triosephosphate isomerase (tpi) genes, whereas ITS-positive specimens were subjected to amplification of three microsatellites (MS1, MS3, and MS7) and one minisatellite (MS4). The primers and amplification conditions were as previously described [19-21] (Table 1). Each reaction was performed in a total volume of 25 µl that included 12.5 µl 2× Taq PCR Master Mix (KT201-02; Tiangen, Beijing, China), 8.5 µl deionized water (Tiangen), 2 µl DNA, and 1 µl each of upstream and downstream primers. Positive and negative controls were included in each test. Secondary PCR products were subjected to 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

# Nucleotide sequencing and analysis

Products of the expected size were sent for a twodirectional sequencing analysis (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China). Assemblages and subtypes were determined by the alignment of the nucleotide sequences with known reference sequences for the *bg*, *tpi*, and *gdh* genes of *G. duodenalis*, and for the ITS, MS1, MS3, MS4, and MS7 sequences of *E. bieneusi* available in the GenBank database, using BLAST and Clustal X. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic analysis of the aligned *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* sequences was utilised to assess genetic clustering of the genotypes. A total of 1000 replicates were used for the bootstrap analysis.

# Nucleotide sequence GenBank accession numbers

All nucleotide sequences of the *bg*, *gdh*, and *tpi* genes of *G. duodenalis*, and ITS, MS1, MS3, MS4, and MS7 of *E. bieneusi* isolated from forest musk deer in this study were deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers MF497406–MF497412 and MF942581–MF942596, respectively.

# **Results and discussion**

*G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* are emerging zoonotic pathogens. To our knowledge, this study is the first to report the presence of *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* in musk deer, with an infection rate of 2.24% (5/223) and 17.04% (38/223), respectively. *G. duodenalis* infection rate in the Dujiangyan breeding centre (3.75%) was slightly higher than that in the Maerkang breeding centre (1.40%), whereas *E. bieneusi* infection rate was much lower in Dujiangyan than in Maerkang (7.5% and 22.38%, respectively) (Table 2). This may be due to differences in the source of food and water used for feeding, or other environmental factors.

In this study, the infected forest musk deer ranged from less than one to eight years of age. Young individuals ( $\leq$  one-year old) accounted for more than half of the *G. duodenalis*- and *E. bieneusi*-positive samples (60% and 57.89%, respectively), which may be caused by incomplete development of the immune system of young animals compared with adult animals. The proportion of infected females and males was similar. Several infected animals had obvious diarrhoea (two and nine for *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi*, respectively), which may be due to the individual's low resistance to infection. There was no apparent age- or gender-associated difference for the infections in this study, in agreement with the findings of Zhang et al. [22]. Here, assemblage A of *G. duodenalis* was obtained only from young forest musk deer in the

**Table 2** Prevalence and distribution of *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* by location in Sichuan Province, China

Pathogen	Location (city)	No. of samples	No. positive (%)	Genotype ( <i>n</i> )
G. duodenalis	Dujiangyan	80	3 (3.75)	assemblage E
	Maerkang	143	2 (1.40)	assemblage A
	Total	223	5 (2.24)	assemblage A (2); assemblage E (3)
E. bieneusi	Dujiangyan	80	6 (7.50)	SC03
	Maerkang	143	32 (22.37)	SC03
	Total	223	38 (17.04)	SC03

Maerkang breeding location, whereas assemblage E was obtained from adult forest musk deer in the Sichuan Institute of Musk Deer Breeding in Dujiangyan (Table 3).

Although the distribution of *G. duodenalis* in musk deer has not been reported, there are few reports of these parasites infecting other species in the families Cervidae and Bovidae, in the same suborder as the forest musk deer. *G. duodenalis* identified in these animals was mainly assemblage A, and in several studies, the rate of infection in these species was higher than that in forest musk deer in our study. For example, Lalle et al. [23] reported that the prevalence of *G. duodenalis* was 11.5% in fallow deer (*Dama dama*) which was also higher in fawns than in older deer, and the genotype was assemblage A. García-Presedo et al. [24] reported that 8.9% of

**Table 3** Genotypes of *G. duodenalis* isolates from musk deer in Dujiangyan and Maerkang in Sichuan Province, China at the *bg*, *gdh* and *tpi* loci

5			
Isolate	bg	gdh	tpi
DL12	E	E	Neg
DL26	E	Neg	Neg
DL29	E	Neg	Neg
ML55	А	Neg	А
ML117	А	Neg	Neg

Abbreviation: Neg negative



roe deer (Capreolus capreolus) samples were positive for G. duodenalis, and the genotype was AII. In Norway, 12.3% of moose (Alces alces), 1.7% of red deer (Cervus elaphus), 15.5% of roe deer, and 7.1% of reindeer (Rangifer tarandus) were found to be infected with G. duodenalis [25]. In the United States, one white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) was found positive for G. duodenalis, and assemblage A was identified [26]. Solarczyk et al. [27] reported that the sub-assemblage of G. duodenalis found in red deer and roe deer was AIII and zoonotic AI, respectively. Also, sheep faecal specimens from China were found to be positive for G. duodenalis assemblage A genotype [28]. Given that G. duodenalis assemblage A was previously identified in humans, forest musk deer can play a role in transmitting G. duodenalis to humans.

In the *E. bieneusi* analysis, ITS sequencing showed that all *E. bieneusi* isolates from Maerkang and Dujiangyan were characterised as SC03 (n = 38), which had been previously found in sika deer (*Cervus nippon*) at zoological gardens in China [29]. Other reference sequences in the same phylogenetic branch were from parasites isolated from racoons in eastern Maryland in the United States, goats in China, and patients with HIV/AIDS in the Henan Province of China [20, 30]. Based on the phylogenetic analysis of the ITS sequence, *E. bieneusi* isolated in this study belonged to group 1 (subgroup 1d) (Fig. 1), which suggested their zoonotic potential.

From the 38 ITS-positive specimens, there were nine, five, one, and three isolates successfully sequenced at MS1, MS3, MS4, and MS7 loci, respectively. Analysis of sequence polymorphisms and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at MS3 locus revealed two distinct types (type I and II) (Table 4). Zhang et al. [31] reported that 7.06% (23/326) of sika deer were positive for E. bieneusi with eight genotypes detected. Also, 34.0% (16/47) of faecal samples from Père David's deer (Elaphurus davidianus) in China were E. bieneusi-positive [32]. Another report showed that 29 deer were infected with E. bieneusi, including 28 sika deer and one red deer [33]. Zhao et al. [34] reported seven genotypes of E. bieneusi in golden takins (Budorcas taxicolor bedfordi) in China, and Shi et al. [20] found *E. bieneusi* in 28.8% (176/611) of goats and 42.8% (177/414) of sheep, with 42 genotypes identified. Twenty-three (7.0%) yaks in China were E. bieneusi-positive; three genotypes (BEB4, I, and J) from group 2 that were previously reported in humans and two group 1 genotypes were identified [11]. Seventeen E. bieneusi genotypes were identified in 26 (32.5%) white-tailed deer in the United States [26]. Therefore, the E. bieneusi genotype we identified in forest musk deer, and most E. bieneusi genotypes reported in the Cervidae and Bovidae can infect both humans and animals. However, E. bieneusi isolated from forest musk Page 5 of 6

 Table 4 Multi-locus sequence typing of E. bieneusi in musk deer

Code	Multi-locus sequence genotype						
	ITS	MS1	MS3	MS4	MS7	MLGs	
LD1	SC03	ns	ns	ns	Type I	MLG1	
LD48	SC03	Type I	ns	ns	ns	MLG2	
LD49	SC03	ns	ns	Type I	ns	MLG3	
LM50	SC03	Type I	ns	ns	Type I	MLG4	
LM61	SC03	Type I	Type I	ns	ns	MLG5	
LM81	SC03	ns	Type I	ns	ns	MLG6	
LM83	SC03	ns	Type I	ns	ns	MLG6	
LM97	SC03	ns	Type I	ns	ns	MLG6	
LM102	SC03	Type I	ns	ns	ns	MLG7	
LM103	SC03	Type I	ns	ns	ns	MLG7	
LM104	SC03	Type I	ns	ns	ns	MLG7	
LM105	SC03	Type I	ns	ns	ns	MLG7	
LM123	SC03	Type I	Type II	ns	Type I	MLG8	
LM131	SC03	Type I	ns	ns	ns	MLG7	
Others	SC03	ns	ns	ns	ns	MLG9	

Abbreviation: ns not successfully sequenced or unsuccessful, PCR amplification

deer appeared to be from a single genotype, in contrast to those found in other deer species, yaks, and goats.

Although the genetic heterogeneity of *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* is well described, their method of transmission is still not clear. Investigations on their epidemiology, detection methods, and diagnosis are required to provide experimental bases for ensuring the health and safety of both animals and humans.

# Conclusions

This study demonstrated the prevalence of *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* in forest musk deer in China. Furthermore, to our knowledge, this is the first report of *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* infections in musk deer and thus demonstrating that the host range of these parasites is wider than previously reported. Zoonotic genotypes identified in this study showed the transmission potential of *G. duodenalis* and *E. bieneusi* from forest musk deer to humans or other animals. Currently, there is no known effective vaccine or drug to treat infection with these parasites. Hence, measures should be taken to prevent humans and animals from being infected by these parasites.

#### Abbreviations

ITS: Internal transcribed spacer; MLG: Multilocus genotype; MLGs: Multilocus genotypes; MLST: Multilocus sequence typing; SNPs: Single nucleotide polymorphisms

## Acknowledgments

We thank Jie Xiao, Jing-xin Yao, Yu-ying Cao, Lian-yu Huang, Meng Wang, Lei-qiong Xiang, Xue Luo and Wen-ping Xia for DNA extraction and nested PCR amplification.

# Funding

This study was supported by Chengdu Giant Panda Breeding Research Foundation (CPF2015-07, CPF2015-09, CPF2014-12).

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article. Representative sequences are submitted to the GenBank database under accession numbers: MF497406–MF497412 and MF942581–MF942596.

## Authors' contributions

GP, WL and YS conceived and designed the research. JC, WF, YW and LD collected samples. YS, WL, HL and ZZ performed the experiments. YS, WL, YL and ZR analysed the data. YS wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was performed in accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Ministry of Health, China. Before experiments, the protocol of the current study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Sichuan Agricultural University under permit number DYY-S20174605. No animals were harmed during the sampling process. Permission was obtained from the relative institutions of the forest musk deer before the collection of faecal specimens.

## **Consent for publication**

Not applicable

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Received: 18 October 2017 Accepted: 29 January 2018 Published online: 26 March 2018

## References

- Ryan U, Caccio SM. Zoonotic potential of *Giardia*. Int J Parasitol. 2013;43(12-13):943–56.
- Cunha MJRD, Cury MC, Santín M. Molecular identification of *Enterocytozoon* bieneusi, Cryptosporidium, and Giardia in Brazilian captive birds. Parasitol Res. 2017;116(2):487.
- Reynosorobles R, Poncemacotela M, Rosaslópez LE, Ramosmorales A, Martínezgordillo MN, Gonzálezmaciel A. The invasive potential of *Giardia* intestinalis in an in vivo model. Sci Rep. 2015;5:15168.
- Escobedo AA, Hanevik K, Almirall P, Cimerman S, Alfonso M. Management of chronic *Giardia* infection. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2014;12(9):1143–57.
- 5. Matos O, Lobo ML, Xiao L. Epidemiology of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* infection in humans. J Parasitol Res. 2012;2012(4):981424.
- Didier ES, Weiss LM. Microsporidiosis: not just in AIDS patients. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2011;24(5):490–5.
- Ye J, Xiao L, Li J, Huang W, Amer SE, Guo Y, et al. Occurrence of humanpathogenic *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*, *Giardia duodenalis* and *Cryptosporidium* genotypes in laboratory macaques in Guangxi, China. Parasitol Int. 2014; 63(1):132–7.
- Minetti C, Taweenan W, Hogg R, Featherstone C, Randle N, Latham SM, et al. Occurrence and diversity of *Giardia duodenalis* assemblages in livestock in the UK. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2015;61(6):e60–7.
- Feng Y, Xiao L. Zoonotic potential and molecular epidemiology of *Giardia* species and giardiasis. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2011;24(1):110–40.
- Zhao W, Zhang W, Yang D, Zhang L, Wang R, Liu A. Prevalence of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* and genetic diversity of ITS genotypes in sheep and goats in China. Infect Genet Evol. 2015;32:265–70.
- Ma J, Cai J, Ma J, Feng Y, Xiao L. Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes in yaks (Bos grunniens) and their public health potential. J Eukaryot Microbiol. 2015; 62(1):21–5.
- 12. Karim MR, Yu F, Li J, Li J, Zhang L, Wang R, et al. First molecular characterisation of enteric protozoa and the human pathogenic microsporidian, *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*, in captive snakes in China. Parasitol Res. 2014;113(8):3041–8.

- Li W, Li Y, Li W, Yang J, Song M, Diao R, et al. Genotypes of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in livestock in China: high prevalence and zoonotic potential. PloS One. 2014;9(5):e97623.
- Zhao W, Zhang W, Yang F, Cao J, Liu H, Yang D, et al. High prevalence of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in asymptomatic pigs and assessment of zoonotic risk at the genotype level. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014;80(12):3699–707.
- Wang L, Zhang H, Zhao X, Zhang L, Zhang G, Guo M, et al. Zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* species and *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* genotypes in HIV-positive patients on antiretroviral therapy. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(2):557–63.
- Yang Q, Meng X, Xia L, Feng Z. Conservation status and causes of decline of musk deer (*Moschus* spp.) in China. Biol Conserv. 2003;109(3):333–42.
- 17. Green MJB. Aspects of the ecology of the Himalayan Musk Deer. Cambridge: The University of Cambridge; 1985.
- Homes V. On the scent: conserving musk deer the uses of musk and Europe's role in its trade. Brussels: Traffic Europe; 1999.
- Zhang XX, Zheng WB, Ma JG, Yao QX, Zou Y, Bubu CJ, et al. Occurrence and multilocus genotyping of *Giardia intestinalis* assemblage C and D in farmed raccoon dogs, *Nyctereutes procyonoides*, in China. Parasit Vectors. 2016;9(1):471.
- Shi K, Li M, Wang X, Li J, Karim MR, Wang R, et al. Molecular survey of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in sheep and goats in China. Parasit Vectors. 2016; 9(1):23.
- Feng Y, Li N, Dearen T, Lobo ML, Matos O, Cama V, et al. Development of a multilocus sequence typing tool for high-resolution genotyping of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77(14):4822–8.
- Zhang W, Ren G, Zhao W, Yang Z, Shen Y, Sun Y, et al. Genotyping of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* and subtyping of *Blastocystis* in cancer patients: relationship to diarrhoea and assessment of zoonotic transmission. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:1835.
- Lalle M, DRA F, Poppi L, Nobili G, Tonanzi D, Pozio E, et al. A novel Giardia duodenalis assemblage A subtype in fallow deer. J Parasitol. 2007;93(2):426– 8.
- Ignacio GP, Susana PD, Marta GW, Mercedes M, Mercedes GB, Luis Miguel OM, et al. The first report of *Cryptosporidium bovis*, *C. ryanae* and *Giardia duodenalis* sub-assemblage A-II in roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) in Spain. Vet Parasitol. 2013;197(3-4):658–64.
- Hamnes IS, Gjerde B, Robertson L, Vikoren T, Handeland K. Prevalence of Cryptosporidium and Giardia in free-ranging wild cervids in Norway. Vet Parasitol. 2006;141(1-2):30–41.
- 26. Santin M, Fayer R. *Enterocytozoon bieneusi, Giardia*, and *Cryptosporidium* infecting white-tailed deer. J Eukaryot Microbiol. 2015;62(1):34–43.
- Solarczyk P, Majewska AC, Moskwa B, Cabaj W, Dabert M, Nowosad P. Multilocus genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* isolates from red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) and roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) from Poland. Folia Parasitol. 2012;59(3):237–40.
- Ye J, Xiao L, Wang Y, Guo Y, Roellig DM, Feng Y. Dominance of *Giardia duodenalis* assemblage A and *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* genotype BEB6 in sheep in Inner Mongolia, China. Vet Parasitol. 2015;210(3-4):235–9.
- Li W, Deng L, Yu X, Zhong Z, Wang Q, Liu X, et al. Multilocus genotypes and broad host-range of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in captive wildlife at zoological gardens in China. Parasit Vectors. 2016;9(1):395.
- Sulaiman IM, Fayer R, Lal AA, Trout JM, Iii FWS, Xiao L. Molecular characterization of microsporidia indicates that wild mammals harbor hostadapted *Enterocytozoon* spp. as well as human-pathogenic *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2003;69(8):4495–501.
- Zhang XX, Cong W, Liu GH, Ni XT, Ma JG, Zheng WB, et al. Prevalence and genotypes of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in sika deer in Jilin Province, northeastern China. Acta Parasitol. 2016;61(2):382–8.
- 32. Zhang Z, Huang J, Karim MR, Zhao J, Dong H, Ai W, et al. Zoonotic *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* genotypes in Père David's deer (*Elaphurus davidianus*) in Henan, China. Exp Parasitol. 2015;155:46–8.
- Zhao W, Zhang W, Wang R, Liu W, Liu A, Yang D, et al. *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in sika deer (*Cervus nippon*) and red deer (*Cervus elaphus*): deer specificity and zoonotic potential of ITS genotypes. Parasitol Res. 2014; 113(11):4243–50.
- Zhao GH, Du SZ, Wang HB, Hu XF, Deng MJ, Yu SK, et al. First report of zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Giardia intestinalis* and *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in golden takins (*Budorcas taxicolor bedfordi*). Infect Genet Evol. 2015;34:394–401.