# DATABASE



**Open Access** 

# CEG: a database of essential gene clusters

Yuan-Nong Ye, Zhi-Gang Hua, Jian Huang, Nini Rao and Feng-Biao Guo\*

# Abstract

**Background:** Essential genes are indispensable for the survival of living entities. They are the cornerstones of synthetic biology, and are potential candidate targets for antimicrobial and vaccine design.

**Description:** Here we describe the Cluster of Essential Genes (CEG) database, which contains clusters of orthologous essential genes. Based on the size of a cluster, users can easily decide whether an essential gene is conserved in multiple bacterial species or is species-specific. It contains the similarity value of every essential gene cluster against human proteins or genes. The CEG\_Match tool is based on the CEG database, and was developed for prediction of essential genes according to function. The database is available at http://cefg.uestc.edu.cn/ceg.

**Conclusions:** Properties contained in the CEG database, such as cluster size, and the similarity of essential gene clusters against human proteins or genes, are very important for evolutionary research and drug design. An advantage of CEG is that it clusters essential genes based on function, and therefore decreases false positive results when predicting essential genes in comparison with using the similarity alignment method.

Keywords: CEG, Essential gene cluster, Antibacterial drug targets, Essential gene prediction

#### Background

Essential genes are indispensable for the survival of living entities [1,2], and the functions of proteins encoded by these genes are considered to be the foundation of life [1-3]. They are the cornerstones of synthetic biology [2,4]. For example, in 2010, Venter *et al.* created a *Mycoplasma mycoides* cell based on the essential genes of *M. mycoides* [5]. Furthermore, analyses of the essential genes shared by different organisms further our understanding of the basic composition of cellular life [1,6].

Since most antibiotic target gene products are involved in basic metabolic pathways, the essential genes of pathogens constitute attractive targets for antimicrobial drug and vaccine design [1-3,7]. Hu *et al.* [8] and Roemer *et al.* [9] have respectively identified drug targets for *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Candida albicans* based on corresponding essential genes identified from mouse models. Furthermore, Barh and Kumar [10], and Amineni *et al.* [11] successfully identified drug and vaccine targets *in silico* in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Leptospira interrogans* using the BLAST method against the Database of Essential Genes (DEG) [12,13]. Identification and study of essential genes helps further the understanding of the origins of life and evolution, and can help determine the last universal common ancestor (LUCA) [6,14].

Itaya et al. first investigated the essential genes of Bacillus subtilis on a large scale in 1995 [15]. Presently, essential genes have been determined at the genomicscale in over 18 bacterial genomes. Zhang and colleagues [12,13], and Chen et al. [16] have constructed two different databases, DEG and OGEE (Online GEne Essentiality database), respectively; these contain all published essential genes. The DEG [12,13] is the first database of essential genes, and only collects genes determined by genome-wide experiments. Since its publication, the DEG database has been widely used in areas such as antibacterial drug target discovery and synthetic biology. OGEE [16] not only contains experimentally tested essential and non-essential genes, but also associates gene features such as expression profiles, duplication status, conservation across species, evolutionary origins, and involvement in embryonic development. It also stores text-mining data in addition to experimental data. Furthermore, the OGEE offers tools that allow users to compare gene essentiality among different gene groups, to compare the features of essential genes with nonessential genes, and for visualization of results. The CEG database differs from these databases in that it deposits



© 2013 Ye et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: fbguo@uestc.edu.cn

Center of Bioinformatics and Key Laboratory for NeuroInformation of Ministry of Education, School of Life Science and Technology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, China

essential genes in orthologous groups and not as single genes. The CEG\_Match tool was developed for predicting essential genes in the CEG database based on function. CEG\_Match significantly decreases false positive (FP) essential genes predictions in comparison with using direct sequence alignments.

# **Construction and content**

## Data acquisition

The original essentiality data for generating CEG were derived from DEG 6.5 [12,13] (http://tubic.tju.edu.cn/ deg/). After obtaining the data, we performed the following processes for each essential gene. First, as there are two groups of experimental data for *E. coli* in DEG, we removed any redundant data. For example, the gene GI:16128021 corresponds to three essentiality records in DEG, but is assigned to only one essentiality record in CEG. Second, genes were assigned to clusters based on their corresponding KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) Orthology (KO) [17] and Clusters of Orthologous Group (COG) category and function descriptions [18]. We investigated functional descriptions of all genes, or their KO identification, within each COG. If there were two or more different functional descriptions then the genes in a COG were separated into several smaller clusters. They were also separated based on KO. For example, genes within the code COG0008J are separated into three CEG clusters (CEG0151, CEG0208, and CEG0438), which correspond to the KOs k01885, k01886, and k09698. Data were manually curated at this step so that essential genes with synonymous functional descriptions but identical function were assigned within the same cluster. Consequently, resultant clusters contain only genes orthologous to each other, and will be regarded as one CEG cluster. Genes without COG identifications were assigned to the most probable COG identification and functional description through functional comparison and sequence alignment methodologies [19]. Third, each protein or gene in CEG is aligned against the whole human proteome or genome in the Human Protein Reference Database (HPRD), which contains 30046 human protein sequences [20,21], using PSI-BLAST [22] or BLASTN tools [23]. The evalues of the best hits were recorded and named as ESAHP for proteins, and as ESAHG for genes. For each cluster, we give the e-value of the essential gene with the highest similarity as that of the cluster, and this provides a convenient resource for selecting targets of antibacterial drugs [2,3,7].

## Database design and implementation

The CEG database is executed using PHP scripts (http:// php.net) on a Linux server, and queries a MySQL

relational database (http://www.mysql.com). Its web interface is coded in PHP5 and HTML (http://www.w3.org).

We created seven tables for the CEG database, and the relationship of these tables is presented in Figure 1. The "ceg\_core" table is the core table of CEG, and contains the results of the best hit for each gene against the HPRD. The "ceg\_base" table is helpful for integrating data from the "ceg\_core" table to form CEG data (such as cluster size, ESAHG, ESAHP), and to produce dynamic new fields for the front-end of the database content.

# Database content

Each CEG cluster groups all orthologous genes that are essential for their bacterial hosts. This differs from DEG [12,13] in that the CEG database stores essential genes in the form of orthologous gene groups rather than single genes. Viewing the size of the cluster allows users to decide whether an essential gene is conserved in multiple bacterial species or is species-specific. There are two different types of size number: one corresponding to the host number, and the other to the gene number. The gene number is not always identical to the host number because in some cases a genome may have multiple copies of the same gene. For example, the Mycoplasma pulmonis strain UAB CTIP has two ligA genes that belong to the cluster CEG\_0001. The database also contains information on the highest similarity values for every essential gene or cluster against human genes or translated proteins. The data provided by CEG for each essential gene are very important for evolutionary research [6] and drug design [1,2,7].

In the current release, 6738 essential genes derived from DEG 6.5 are grouped into 2861 CEG clusters belonging to 16 prokaryotic strains which are listed in the reference page of the server (http://cefg.uestc.edu.cn/ ceg/references.html). These essential genes were determined by different molecular techniques, such as singlegene knockouts, transposon mutagenesis, and RNA interference [12,13]. Presently, the CEG database contains 932 clusters with two or more essential genes; it also contains 1929 pseudo clusters with only one essential gene. Of the 1929 pseudo clusters, 801 genes have neither KO nor COG identification numbers (id). Among the 932 genuine clusters, only four have neither KO nor COG identifications, and 809 have both KO and COG identifications. Detailed statistics of the classifications with KO and COG are given in Table 1. The cluster size (host number) in CEG ranges from 1 to 16 (Figure 2). Although CEG groups are functional-based, they are in high accordance with results from the sequence-based orthology assignment tool OrthoMCL [24] (June 2013 update). Of the 932 genuine clusters in CEG, 880 were found to be consistent with OrthoMCL

groups following our comparison. For the non-consistent cases, most of the CEG clusters could be divided into two OrthoMCL groups.

To comprehend the distribution of functions in the CEG clusters, the distribution of COG codes in CEG was analyzed. As shown in Figure 3, the top five functional categories of CEG were: R, S, E, J, and C. This suggests that functions involved in amino acid transport and metabolism, translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis, and energy production and conversion have more essentiality in prokaryotic organisms. Further details on the database content can be found on the statistics page of the CEG database (http://cefg.cn/ceg/statistics.html). Similarity alignment results for every cluster or gene against human proteins are given in CEG. For each gene, the e-value of 10e-3 against human genome is usually regarded as the threshold for choosing target genes or proteins for antibacterial drugs to avoid possible toxicity to humans [2,7]. Consequently, 3900 essential genes were found not to be conserved in the human genome according to this value, and could constitute potential targets for innocuous antibacterial drugs [1,2,7]. Generally, there are three rough criteria rules to be considered when choosing an essential cellular function as an antibacterial drug target [7]: Rule 1) the highly conserved function in a range of pathogens and the conserved level (sequence or function) in different pathogens; Rule 2) essentiality of the gene for the bacteria; and Rule 3) having no highly conserved function in humans. Our database provides information for each of these criteria for each essential gene. Therefore, it will be helpful for antimicrobial drug design.

We developed the tool CEG\_Match for prediction of essential genes based on whose functions. The ideology for this method is as described by Guo *et al.* [25]. When using the CEG\_Match to predict essential genes, the end-user only needs to provide standard names or synonymous names of genes in the query bacterial genome. For example, '*dnaA*' is the name of a gene encoding one type of chromosomal replication initiation protein. This information is usually contained in files with the extension ptt in GenBank and RefSeq annotations. Note that the CEG\_Match is aware of gene name synonyms.



Table 1 KO and COG classifications in CEG

Abbreviated strain name	No. of essential genes assigned within KO groups	No. of essential genes assigned within COG groups	No. of essential genes assigned within both KO and COG groups	% of essential genes assigned within KO groups.	% of essential genes assigned within COG groups	% of essential genes assigned within either of the two groups		
aci	444	462	432	88.98	92.59	94.99		
bsu	214	265	209	78.97	97.79	99.63		
eco	564	647	558	79.21	90.87	91.71		
ftn	331	289	279	84.44	73.72	86.99		
hin	515	566	478	80.22	88.16	93.93		
hpy	220	227	207	68.11	70.28	74.3		
mge	282	303	270	74.02	79.53	82.68		
mpu	262	270	252	84.52	87.1	90.32		
mtu	461	535	452	75.08	87.13	88.6		
pau	257	292	253	76.72	87.16	88.36		
sao	304	334	302	86.61	95.16	95.73		
spr	211	225	207	86.48	92.21	93.85		
spu	286	302	286	94.7	100	100		
stm	189	217	187	82.17	94.35	95.22		
stt	338	343	337	95.75	97.17	97.45		
vch	412	475	408	52.89	60.98	61.49		

# Utility

#### User interface and database usage

We have created a freely accessible web interface for visiting the CEG database. It includes five core-page sections: "home", "browse", "search", "blast", and "predict".

## Home page

Users can access the CEG database at the URL http:// cefg.uestc.edu.cn/ceg/. The home page contains an introduction to the CEG database and provides a contact email address for users to leave feedback suggestions to the administrators. A brief user guide is also provided on this page.

## Browse pages

This section includes overview page, cluster information page, and gene information page. In the overview page, users can browse the basic information of each gene cluster (or gene) in the CEG database. This information includes CEG (or gene) id, cluster name, KO id, COG id, cluster size, enzyme id (EC), the number of strains covered, and the e-value of the similarity alignment for





every cluster (or gene) compared against human proteins [21]. The cluster information page provides the phyletic profile of a cluster, and a list of included genes. Users are easily able to estimate the conservation of a cluster at different clade levels (phylum, class, order, family, and genus). Links to external information on each essential gene or cluster are also given. For example, users are able to open an information page for a group in the KO website [17] through links on the KO id, and quickly investigate whether an equivalent gene appears in a CEG cluster. For clusters that do not have COG codes in the COG database, or a KO id in KEGG, an exception-handling interface alerts the end-user that these records are not found in the KO database. In addition, a link to retrieve the original information in DEG [12,13] is provided for each gene. Clicking the HPRD id [21] opens a page containing information on the best HPRD hit in the human genome. The whole essential gene set in the CEG database can be sorted in ascending or descending order according to indexes such as cluster size and similarity value. This facilitates users mine information of interest. For example, if a researcher wanted to design a broad-spectrum antibiotic, they would quickly be able to identify that the dnaA gene has potential as a drug target because of it being conserved in 13 strains, and not being homologous to human genes. Meanwhile, the *gltD* gene would quickly be dismissed as a drug target because it is only conserved in two strains, and is homologous to human genes.

## Search & blast pages

End-users can search the CEG database by cluster name, cluster size, cluster function, or cluster id. CEG allows users to paste or upload sequences, and BLAST the query sequences against all clusters of essential genes contained within the database.

The relationship of the website pages, and an example of how to use the CEG database, is given in Figure 4. In addition, a download page is provided for users to download CEG data (http://cefg.cn/ceg/sources.php). The CEG database interfaces have been tested using Internet Explorer, Epiphany, Iceweasel, Mozilla Firefox, Google Chrome, Opera, and Safari on the Windows XP/Vista/7/ 8, Linux and Mac operating systems.

## CEG\_Match usage

CEG\_Match was developed for predicting essential genes from the CEG database according to their functions. Users can access this tool at the following URL: http://cefg.uestc.edu.cn/ceg/predict.php. Users are required to input gene names into the input-field in a one name per line format. They are also required to select the cluster size (number of strains covered, K) before running the tool. An example of how to use CEG\_Match is given in Figure 5. The result page contains information on the gene name, cluster size, and COG id of the essential genes. The results can be downloaded as a text-file from the result page. The use of CEG\_Match

greatly decreases FPs when predicting essential genes in comparison with using the similarity alignment method [1-3,7].

#### CEG usage case

*Campylobacter jejuni* is a zoonosis pathogenic bacterium. It can cause a variety of human and animal diseases. It is considered the main cause of bacterial diarrhea in humans [26]. The complete genome sequence of *C. jejuni* NCTC 11168 was sequenced in 2012 [27]. We download these data from the NCBI ftp site. The genome has 1621 proteins (or genes), 803 of which have been annotated with detailed functions (with standard gene names given in the annotation file). In the following example, we predicted essential genes of *C. jejuni* NCTC 11168 using CEG\_Match, and identified potential drug target genes *in silico*.

First, we collected the 803 annotated gene names, and predicted 374 genes as essential using a setting of K = 3 in CEG\_Match (Additional file 1). Second, we retrieved

the ESAHP values of these genes from the CEG database. Genes with an ESAHP value larger than 10e-3 were considered as potential targets of innocuous antibacterial drugs, and this led to 120 potential drug targets being predicted in *C. jejuni* NCTC 11168 (Additional file 1: Table S1). Fifty-seven genes, with a match number greater than seven in the CEG database, have a high potential to be broad-spectrum antibiotic drug targets (Additional file 1: Table S1).

#### Discussion

#### DEG, OGEE vs. CEG

Bacterial essential genes are the subject of increased recent attention because of their importance in the fields of antibacterial drug design [1-3,7], synthetic biology [2,4], and life origin research [1,6]. The essential gene databases, DEG [12,13] and OGEE [16], contain essential genes determined by experimental methods, and have been used for evolutionary research [6] and drug design [7]. However, they only include basic sequence





information rather than a full integration of resources that are convenient for evolutionary research and drug design [7]. The CEG database was developed with the rationale of enriching the information contained in the existing essential gene databases. In the CEG database, each cluster (gene) is provided with indices such as cluster size, and the results of similarity alignments for every cluster (gene) against human proteins. This information is not found in DEG or OGEE. The cluster size is helpful when devising broad-spectrum or specific drugs (corresponding to Rule 1 mentioned above). It is also useful for functional or evolutionary genomic bacteria research.

3900 essential genes were not conserved in the human genome when using an e-value of 10e-3, and these constitute potential targets for innocuous antibacterial drugs (corresponding to the Rule 3) [1,2,7]. To clarify whether this conservation to humans is related to functional category, we divided all essential genes into two groups, based on the e-value of 10e-3, for further analysis. The results of this analysis of COG categories are given in Figure 6. The first group of essential genes, belonging to the COG categories C, F, G, H, I, J, O, and Q, largely function in transportation and metabolism, are more abundant ingroups conserved to human proteins. The COG codes<math>D, K, L, M, N, P, T, and U appear more often in the second group. This suggests that the design of antibacterial drugs should be aimed at interrupting cell division, transcription, DNA replication, recombination and repair, cell outer membrane and envelope biogenesis, and secretion functions of pathogenic bacteria, but should avoid transportation and metabolism functions.

#### Sequence homology approaches vs. CEG\_Match

Sequence homology approaches are the foundation for functional inference. Although powerful, homology approaches have their limitations. For instance, they do not give information on direct functional links among nonhomologous genes [28]. Lord et al. found a strong correlation between gene annotation (function) and sequence similarity (homology); however, some protein pairs deviate from this trend [29]. A consideration of the above factors led to the development of the CEG\_Match tool for predicting essential genes contained in the CEG database. This tool and methodology make full use of the annotations of the genes. Because the annotated functions are mainly obtained through homology alignment, it follows that the tool is also based on alignment data. However, it does not directly use sequence alignments, but makes use of alignment-generating-annotation information.



We compared the predicted results and running speeds of BLAST and CEG\_Match. According to the method of Tian and Skolnick [30], we chose identity >40% and e-value < 1e-10, and identity >50% and e-value <1e-10, for BLAST predicting. In our case, these parameters generated the best result for BLAST alignment. We found the number of essential genes predicted by CEG -Match to be closest to the number observed when the parameter of CEG\_Match was set as K = 3 or K = 4. Therefore a cluster size > =3, or > =4 (K = 3, or K = 4) is recommended to reduce the prediction of FPs, and improve the accuracy of the prediction. The predicted results between BLAST and CEG\_Match are given in Table 2. To reveal the evolutionary relationship between organisms in the database and the investigated species, we defined an index named minimum distance (MD). This is the value of the minimum phylogenetic distance between the organisms in the database and the investigated strains measured by CVTree [31,32]. Use of BLAST revealed that the accuracy and gene loss rate were significantly correlated with MD ((Pearson's correlation coefficient: r = -0.579, P = 0.018; and r = 0.666, P = 0.005, respectively). However, the correlations were not significant at P = 0.05 when using the CEG\_Match method. This implies that the prediction effect of sequence homology approaches depends on the distance in the database, and is instable. The method of CEG\_-Match has a constant prediction effect, and is more independent of phylogenetic distance. Moreover, correlations between FPs and minimum distance were not found with either of the two methods ((Pearson's

correlation coefficient: BLAST: r = -0.332, P = 0.209; CEG\_Match: r = -0.210, P = 0.435). We propose a new measure, FP' = FP <sub>(CEG\_Match, K=4)</sub>-FP <sub>(BLAST, identity >50%)</sub>. This reveals significant differences in FP' (Wilcoxon test, P = 0.040) between the two groups when separated on distance. This means that the comparison of gene functions (or names) by CEG\_Match will have a much higher accuracy and lower FPs than direct sequence alignment when the query genome is well annotated but is not closely related to any strains in the database. To compare the running speeds of CEG\_Match and BLAST, we measured the running time of different number of entries (Figure 7). This revealed that CEG\_Match runs faster than BLAST.

#### Further developments

The CEG database will be updated when new bacterial essential genes are experimentally determined at the genome scale. In next version, we will also take OGEE as one data source. Furthermore, phylogenetic relationships among genes in every cluster and the Gene Ontology information of CEG genes will be incorporated into the CEG database. To make the CEG database more comprehensive for drug design and related fields, the protein structures of each essential gene will also be incorporated into the database.

## Conclusions

In this study, we propose a terminology called Cluster of Essential Genes (CEG), and construct a database to deposit these essential gene clusters. The CEG database has the

Abbreviated strain name	BLAST (e-value <1e-10)						CEG_Match						Min.
	Identity >50			Identity >40		K = 3			K = 4			distance	
	Accuracy (%)	False positive rate (%)	Loss rate (%)	Accuracy (%)	False positive rate (%)	Loss rate (%)	Accuracy (%)	False positive rate (%)	Loss rate (%)	Accuracy (%)	False positive rate (%)	Loss rate (%)	
aci	69.9	42.9	54.1	75.2	53.7	36.5	79.6	28	36.5	77.1	23.7	42.7	0.488
bsu	79.1	60.1	35.1	83.1	71.9	19.6	84	44.1	28	82.5	35.3	32.5	0.487
есо	69.4	56.9	46.6	67.7	65.5	43.1	71.2	40	50.8	70.1	30.6	55.8	0.326
ftn	67.3	37.5	57.9	72.2	48.2	38.8	78.1	36.5	32.9	78.2	28.9	36	0.493
hin	53.8	55.4	64.6	54.1	56.3	55.8	59	45.7	61.7	58.7	43.6	65.9	0.477
hpy	52.8	66	88.9	56.5	68.6	74.6	57.5	60.6	75.2	56.9	59.8	77.7	0.497
mge	53.8	6.8	89.2	62	6.1	67.5	71.3	6.9	39.4	70.4	5.9	45.4	0.496
mpu	56.8	19.4	83.9	70.3	16.7	53.2	64.5	16.3	66.8	64.7	10.8	68.1	0.496
mtu	56	41.8	86.2	62.7	49.5	69.1	71.4	30	53.6	68.3	28.2	60.6	0.497
pau	72.2	72.2	47.5	75	79.2	35.2	79.2	57.8	36.4	77	53.1	42.1	0.488
sao	75.3	50.2	41.3	78.6	57.5	29.6	74.2	20.7	49.9	74.5	14.6	51.9	0.074
spr	51.9	85.2	84.4	55.5	84	70.9	69.6	52.3	54.1	68.5	52.4	59	0.493
spu	76.1	57	36.8	79.6	62.4	24.2	83	42.4	26.8	80.6	38.2	33.4	0.074
stm	67.4	87.6	43.5	66.7	89.3	38.7	72	79.8	43.9	71.6	75.6	47.8	0.11
stt	85.7	71	9.1	83	76.1	8.2	89.8	49.2	13	87.8	36.9	20.4	0.11
vch	60	61.9	65.7	59.3	67.7	60.2	66.9	26.7	62.6	65.7	23.6	66	0.477

Table 2 The predicted results between blast and CEG\_match

following features: (I) it stores essential genes in the form of orthologous groups instead of as single genes; (II) it provides an essential gene prediction tool (CEG\_Match), which could greatly decrease the number of FPs when predicting essential genes in comparison to the similarity alignment method; (III) it makes it easy for the end-user to determine whether an essential gene is conserved in multiple species or is species-specific; and (IV) ESAHP and ESAHG values in the CEG database allow the end-user to easily obtain the similarity of every cluster against human proteins or genes. Features (III) and (IV) are important properties for drug target discovery [1-3,7].



## Availability and requirements

The CEG database is publicly available at http://cefg. uestc.edu.cn/ceg and http://cefg.cn/ceg.

#### Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. List of predicted essential genes and antibiotic drug targets.

#### Abbreviations

FP: False positive; DEG: Database of essential genes; COG: Clusters of orthologous groups; HPRD: The human protein reference database; OGEE: Online gene essentiality database; ESAHP: The e-value of similarity alignment (PSI-BLAST) for every cluster against human proteins; ESAHG: The e-value of similarity alignment (BLASTN) for every cluster against human genes; aci: Acinetobacter baylyi; bsu: Bacillus subtilis 168; eco: Escherichia coli; ftn: Francisella novicida U112; hin: Haemophilus influenzae; hpy: Helicobacter pylori; mtu: Mycoplasma pulmonis UAB CTIP; pau: Pseudomonas aeruginosa; stt: Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi; stm: Salmonella typhimurium; spu: Staphylococcus aureus N315; sao: Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 8325; spr: Streptococcus pneumoniae; vch: Vibrio cholera N16961.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

YNY wrote the code, the interfaces, and tools associated with CEG, and mainly drafted the manuscript. ZGH tested the user interface. JH and NNR contributed to writing the paper. FBG designed the database, supervised the work, and contributed to writing the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the reviewers for their valuable comments, which have led to improvements of this paper. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 31071109 and 60801058); the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (grant numbers 201104687 and 2013M540705); the Key Technology Research and Development Program of Sichuan Province (grant number 2011FZ0034); and the program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (grant number NCET-11-0059).

#### Received: 26 June 2013 Accepted: 5 November 2013 Published: 9 November 2013

#### References

- Juhas M, Eberl L, Glass JI: Essence of life: essential genes of minimal genomes. Trends Cell Biol 2011, 21(10):562–568.
- Juhas M, Eberl L, Church GM: Essential genes as antimicrobial targets and cornerstones of synthetic biology. *Trends Biotechnol* 2012, 30(11):601–607.
- Battista JR, Juhas M, Stark M, von Mering C, Lumjiaktase P, Crook DW, Valvano MA, Eberl L: High Confidence Prediction of Essential Genes in Burkholderia Cenocepacia. PLoS ONE 2012, 7(6):e40064.
- Davierwala AP, Haynes J, Li Z, Brost RL, Robinson MD, Yu L, Mnaimneh S, Ding H, Zhu H, Chen Y, *et al*: The synthetic genetic interaction spectrum of essential genes. *Nat Genet* 2005, 37(10):1147–1152.
- Gibson DG, Glass JI, Lartigue C, Noskov VN, Chuang RY, Algire MA, Benders GA, Montague MG, Ma L, Moodie MM, et al: Creation of a bacterial cell controlled by a chemically synthesized genome. *Science* 2010, 329(5987):52–56.
- 6. Koonin EV: **Comparative genomics, minimal gene-sets and the last universal common ancestor.** *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2003, **1**(2):127–136.
- Haselbeck R, Wall D, Jiang B, Ketela T, Zyskind J, Bussey H, Foulkes JG, Roemer T: Comprehensive essential gene identification as a platform for novel anti-infective drug discovery. *Curr Pharm Des* 2002, 8(13):1155–1172.
- Hu W, Sillaots S, Lemieux S, Davison J, Kauffman S, Breton A, Linteau A, Xin C, Bowman J, Becker J, et al: Essential Gene Identification and Drug Target Prioritization in Aspergillus fumigatus. PLoS Pathog 2007, 3(3):e24.

- Roemer T, Jiang B, Davison J, Ketela T, Veillette K, Breton A, Tandia F, Linteau A, Sillaots S, Marta C, et al: Large-scale essential gene identification in Candida albicans and applications to antifungal drug discovery. Mol Microbiol 2003, 50(1):167–181.
- Barh D, Kumar A: In silico identification of candidate drug and vaccine targets from various pathways in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. In Silico Biol 2009, 9(4):225–231.
- 11. Amineni U, Pradhan D, Marisetty H: In silico identification of common putative drug targets in Leptospira interrogans. J Chem Biol 2010, 3(4):165–173.
- 12. Zhang R, Lin Y: DEG 5.0, a database of essential genes in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009, **37**(Database):D455–D458.
- Zhang R, Ou HY, Zhang CT: DEG: a database of essential genes. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32(Database issue):D271–272.
- Koonin EV: How many genes can make a cell: the minimal-gene-set concept. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet 2000, 1:99–116.
- 15. Itaya M: An estimation of minimal genome size required for life. *FEBS Lett* 1995, **362**(3):257–260.
- Chen WH, Minguez P, Lercher MJ, Bork P: OGEE: an online gene essentiality database. Nucleic Acids Res 2011, 40(D1):D901–D906.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S, Kawashima S, Okuno Y, Hattori M: The KEGG resource for deciphering the genome. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32(Database issue):D277–280.
- Tatusov RL, Galperin MY, Natale DA, Koonin EV: The COG database: a tool for genome-scale analysis of protein functions and evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000, 28(1):33–36.
- Deng J, Deng L, Su S, Zhang M, Lin X, Wei L, Minai AA, Hassett DJ, Lu LJ: Investigating the predictability of essential genes across distantly related organisms using an integrative approach. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010, 39(3):795–807.
- Kandasamy K, Keerthikumar S, Goel R, Mathivanan S, Patankar N, Shafreen B, Renuse S, Pawar H, Ramachandra YL, Acharya PK, *et al*: Human Proteinpedia: a unified discovery resource for proteomics research. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009, 37(Database):D773–D781.
- Keshava Prasad TS, Goel R, Kandasamy K, Keerthikumar S, Kumar S, Mathivanan S, Telikicherla D, Raju R, Shafreen B, Venugopal A, *et al*: Human Protein Reference Database–2009 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009, 37(Database issue):D767–772.
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ: Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 1997, 25(17):3389–3402.
- McGinnis S, Madden TL: BLAST: at the core of a powerful and diverse set of sequence analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32(Web Server issue):W20–25.
- Chen F, Mackey AJ, Stoeckert CJ Jr, Roos DS: OrthoMCL-DB: querying a comprehensive multi-species collection of ortholog groups. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006, 34(Database issue):D363–368.
- Guo FB, Ning LW, Huang J, Lin H, Zhang HX: Chromosome translocation and its consequence in the genome of Burkholderia cenocepacia AU-1054. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2010, 403(3–4):375–379.
- Parkhill J, Wren BW, Mungall K, Ketley JM, Churcher C, Basham D, Chillingworth T, Davies RM, Feltwell T, Holroyd S, et al: The genome sequence of the food-borne pathogen Campylobacter jejuni reveals hypervariable sequences. Nature 2000, 403(6770):665–668.
- Revez J, Schott T, Rossi M, Hanninen ML: Complete genome sequence of a variant of Campylobacter jejuni NCTC 11168. J Bacteriol 2012, 194(22):6298–6299.
- Zhou J, Thompson DK, Xu Y, Tiedje JM: Microbial functional genomics. Hoboken, New Jersey, USA: Wiley-Liss; 2004.
- Lord PW, Stevens RD, Brass A, Goble CA: Investigating semantic similarity measures across the Gene Ontology: the relationship between sequence and annotation. *Bioinformatics* 2003, 19(10):1275–1283.
- 30. Tian W, Skolnick J: How well is enzyme function conserved as a function of pairwise sequence identity? *J Mol Biol* 2003, **333**(4):863–882.
- Xu Z, Hao B: CVTree update: a newly designed phylogenetic study platform using composition vectors and whole genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009, 37(Web Server issue):W174–178.
- Qi J, Luo H, Hao B: CVTree: a phylogenetic tree reconstruction tool based on whole genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32(Web Server issue):W45–47.

#### doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-769

Cite this article as: Ye *et al.*: CEG: a database of essential gene clusters. *BMC Genomics* 2013 14:769.