

1 **Immune memory in mild COVID-19 patients and unexposed donors from**
2 **India reveals persistent T cell responses after SARS-CoV-2 infection**

3
4 Asgar Ansari^{1†}, Rakesh Arya^{2†}, Shilpa Sachan^{1†}, Someshwar Nath Jha^{1†}, Anurag Kalia^{1†},
5 Anupam Lall³, Alessandro Sette^{4,5}, Alba Grifoni⁴, Daniela Weiskopf⁴, Poonam Coshic^{3††},
6 Ashok Sharma^{2††}, Nimesh Gupta^{1*}

7
8
9 ¹Vaccine Immunology Laboratory, National Institute of Immunology, New Delhi, 110067,
10 India;

11 ²Department of Biochemistry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, 110029,
12 India;

13 ³Department of Transfusion Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi,
14 110029, India;

15 ⁴Center for Infectious Disease and Vaccine Research, La Jolla Institute for Immunology, La
16 Jolla, CA, 92037, USA;

17 ⁵Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases and Global Public Health,
18 University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92037, USA;

19 †These authors have contributed equally to this work.

20 ††These authors have contributed equally to this work.

21
22

23 ***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Nimesh Gupta, Vaccine Immunology Laboratory, National
24 Institute of Immunology, New Delhi -110067, India. Email: nimesh.gupta@nii.ac.in

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

37 **Abstract**

38

39 Understanding the causes of the diverse outcome of COVID-19 pandemic in different
40 geographical locations is important for the worldwide vaccine implementation and pandemic
41 control responses. We analyzed 42 unexposed healthy donors and 28 mild COVID-19 subjects
42 up to 5 months from the recovery for SARS-CoV-2 specific immunological memory. Using
43 HLA class II predicted peptide megapools, we identified SARS-CoV-2 cross-reactive CD4⁺ T
44 cells in around 66% of the unexposed individuals. Moreover, we found detectable immune
45 memory in mild COVID-19 patients several months after recovery in the crucial arms of
46 protective adaptive immunity; CD4⁺ T cells and B cells, with a minimal contribution from
47 CD8⁺ T cells. Interestingly, the persistent immune memory in COVID-19 patients is
48 predominantly targeted towards the Spike glycoprotein of the SARS-CoV-2. This study
49 provides the evidence of both high magnitude pre-existing and persistent immune memory in
50 Indian population. By providing the knowledge on cellular immune responses to SARS-CoV-
51 2, our work has implication for the development and implementation of vaccines against
52 COVID-19.

53

54

55 **Keywords:** Human Coronavirus, Pre-existing immunity, CD4⁺ T cells, B cells, Neutralizing
56 antibody.

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83 Introduction

84

85 The COVID-19 pandemic has evolved with variable trajectory in diverse geographical
86 locations. Pre-existing immunity acquired from ‘common cold’ Human Coronaviruses
87 (HCoVs) could have substantial implication in the immunological and epidemiological
88 outcome of the pandemic. Because of the diverse geo-distribution and prevalence of HCoVs,
89 there may be a varying impact of pre-existing immunity on the SARS-CoV-2 infection.
90 Therefore, there is a considerable interest to understand the traits of pre-existing immunity and
91 its impact on the virus spread and pathogenesis, disease outcome and the establishment of
92 protective immunity in COVID-19.

93

94 In context of pre-existing immunity, the cross-reactive T cells are the focus of extensive
95 investigations. Recent reports reveal the existence of cross-reactive CD4⁺ T cells in ~20-50%
96 of the individuals never been exposed to SARS-CoV-2 (Braun et al., 2020; Grifoni et al.,
97 2020b; Le Bert et al., 2020; Mateus et al., 2020; Weiskopf et al., 2020). These cross-reactive
98 CD4⁺ T cells are largely canonical memory cells and they may be the outcome of previous
99 infections with many of the common cold HCoVs (Mateus et al., 2020). The cross-reactive
100 memory CD4 T-cell subsets may lead to a favorable course of SARS-CoV-2 infection via direct
101 anti-viral effects of CD4-CTL (Cytotoxic T Lymphocytes) or T helper cells, and also via
102 establishing optimal germinal centers derived protective humoral immunity by follicular T
103 helper cells. In fact, the cross-reactive immune memory to SARS-CoV-2 is limited to CD4⁺ T
104 cells and more studies are required to understand the cross-reactivity from HCoVs in case of
105 the humoral immunity (Premkumar et al., 2020; Wec et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2020). Most of
106 these studies are limited to the antibody analyses and there is no firm knowledge available for
107 the cross-reactivity in the B cell pool. The Spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 is the major
108 target of neutralizing antibodies (Premkumar et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020). Particularly,
109 antibodies targeting RBD display high neutralizing potential (Wajnberg et al., 2020) and shown
110 to be predicative of survival (Secchi et al., 2020). However, there has been a concern over the
111 decline of antibodies within first few months after SARS-CoV-2 infection (Long et al., 2020;
112 Seow et al., 2020). Although, it’s not clear if this decline is gradual and if the similar decline
113 exists in the memory pool of T cells and B cells.

114

115 In addition to SARS-CoV-2, the cross-reactive immunity acquired from the common cold
116 HCoVs may have substantial impact on the immune response to COVID-19 vaccine.
117 Therefore, there is an urgent need to understand the attributes of pre-existing immunity and
118 quality of protective immune memory in COVID-19 across the diverse populations. In this
119 study, we have examined the traits and stability of immune memory in unexposed donors and
120 patients recovered from mild COVID-19. We show that the SARS-CoV-2 cross-reactive
121 antibodies and CD4⁺ T cells exist in the unexposed donors, with Non-spike domains as the
122 predominant target of CD4⁺ T cells in ~66% of the individuals. Moreover, we also show that
123 immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 is detectable in mild COVID-19 patients up to 5
124 months (median ~3 months) after recovery both in the CD4⁺ T cells and B cells. Interestingly,
125 the durable immune memory in COVID-19 patients was highly targeted towards the Spike
126 glycoprotein of the SARS-CoV-2. Our work provides the evidence of pre-existing reactivity
127 and immune memory detectable in mild COVID-19 patients from the geographical location
128 that is experiencing high burden of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic with an extremely low case
129 fatality.

130

131

132 **Materials and Methods**

133

134 **Ethics Statement**

135 This study was approved by the Institutional review boards of the National Institute of
136 Immunology and All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India. Informed consent
137 was obtained from all subjects during the enrolment. For analyses in healthy individuals, buffy
138 coat and plasma samples isolated from blood of healthy donors were collected from the blood
139 bank in All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India.

140

141

142 **PBMC isolation**

143 For all samples blood was collected in K3 EDTA tubes (COVID-19 donors) or EDTA coated
144 blood bag (unexposed donors). Plasma was frozen at -80°C in multiple aliquots. PBMCs were
145 isolated using Ficoll Paque Plus (GE Life Sciences) density gradient medium and
146 cryopreserved in multiple aliquots in Fetal Bovine Serum (Gibco) containing 10% Dimethyl
147 Sulfoxide (DMSO; Thermo-Fisher) and stored in liquid nitrogen until used in the assays. After
148 revival, PBMCs were obtained with >80% viability, as accessed by acridine orange and
149 propidium iodide double staining using the LUNA-FL (Logos Biosystems Inc., USA)
150 automated cell counter. Details of the study population are provided in **Table 1**.

151

152

153 **ELISA to detect SARS-CoV-2 specific IgG**

154 ELISA plates (Nunc, Maxisorp) were coated with 100µl/well of SARS-CoV-2 full length Spike
155 protein (Native Antigen, UK) and Nucleoprotein (Sino Biologicals) in PBS (pH 7.4) at the final
156 concentration of 1µg/ml and incubated overnight at 4°C. After wash, the plates were blocked
157 with blocking buffer (PBS containing 3% Skim milk and 0.05% Tween-20) and incubated at
158 room temperature (RT) for 2 hours. Plasma samples were heat inactivated at 56°C for 1 hour.
159 Plates were washed and 3-fold serially diluted heat inactivated plasma samples in dilution
160 buffer (PBS containing 1% Skim milk and 0.05% Tween-20 in PBS) were added into the
161 respective wells followed by incubation at RT for 1.5 hours. After incubation and wash, Goat
162 anti-human IgG conjugated with Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) (Southern Biotech) was added
163 and plates were incubation at RT for 1 hour. The reaction was developed by adding o-
164 phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPD) peroxidase substrate (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10
165 minutes in dark at RT. The reaction was stopped by adding 50µl/well of 2N HCl, followed by
166 optical density (OD) measurement at 492 nm using MultiskanGO ELISA reader (Thermo-
167 Fisher). The antigen coated wells that were added with sample diluent alone were used as blank
168 to obtain the background OD values. For comparing the IgG titer in negative and COVID-19
169 recovered subjects, the Area Under Curve (AUC) was calculated for each specimen. The OD
170 values obtained in test wells after subtracting the mean of background OD values were used
171 for calculating the AUC, using a baseline of 0.05 for peak calculations. The positive response
172 was defined as the value above the mean plus 3-times standard deviation of the lowest detected
173 values, as in the case of reactivity with Spike protein, in all the tested samples from COVID-
174 19 negative donors.

175

176

177 **ELISA to detect HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-NL63 specific IgG**

178 The IgG reactivity to the Nucleoprotein of HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-NL63 was detected using
179 in-house ELISA. The ELISA plates were coated with 100µl/well of HCoVs Nucleoprotein
180 (Native Antigen, UK) in PBS at the final concentration of 1µg/ml and incubated overnight at
181 4°C. The plates were blocked and the reactivity was assessed in 1:100 diluted samples after

182 incubation at RT for 1.5 hours. The Nucleoprotein-specific IgG were measured at 492 nm using
183 the HRP-conjugated Goat anti-human IgG and OPD. The IgG reactivity was defined as the OD
184 value in test wells after subtracting the mean of background OD values from blank wells.

185
186

187 **Virus neutralization assay**

188 The neutralization potential of the antibodies in unexposed and COVID-19 recovered subjects
189 was assessed by using the SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus neutralization test (Tan et al., 2020).
190 The test was performed following the manufacturer's instructions (Genscript). Briefly, plasma
191 samples were incubated with the RBD-HRP and the mixture was captured on the plate coated
192 with human ACE2. The reaction was developed using the TMB substrate and the absorbance
193 was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader. The sample absorbance was inversely
194 proportional to the titre of the anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. The percent
195 neutralization was calculated using the formula: $(1 - \text{OD value of sample} / \text{OD value of Negative Control}) \times 100\%$. The cut-off for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies was
196 determined by the manufacturer after validation with panel of confirmed COVID-19 patient
197 sera and healthy control sera.

199
200

201 **Activation induced cell marker (AIM) assay for quantification of CD4⁺ T cells**

202 Antigen-specific CD4 T-cell analysis was performed using the sensitive AIM assay (Havenar-
203 Daughton et al., 2016; Reiss et al., 2017; Grifoni et al., 2020b). The PBMCs were stimulated
204 for 24 hours in the presence of SARS-CoV-2 specific CD4 peptide megapools (MPs) (Spike
205 protein: Spike; and remainder of the polyprotein: Non-spike) at 1 µg/mL in 96-well U bottom
206 plate in a total of 1×10^6 PBMCs per well. For negative control, an equimolar amount of DMSO
207 (vehicle) was added to unstimulated well. Stimulation with cytomegalovirus CD4 MP (CMV,
208 1 µg/mL), or Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B (SEB) were included as positive controls. After 24
209 hours, cells were washed with 1 mL of PBS with 2% FBS (FACS buffer) and surface stained
210 with antibody cocktail for 1 hour at 4° C in the dark; CD20, CD14, CD16, CD8a and fixable-
211 viability dye coupled with APC eFlour 780 in the dump channel, CD4-AlexaFluor 700 (RPA-
212 T4), OX40-FITC (Ber-ACT35), CD137 PE Dazzle (4B4-1), CD45RA Brilliant Violet 785
213 (HI100) and CCR7 PE-Cy7 (3D12). Following the surface staining, cells were washed with
214 FACS buffer and then fixed with freshly prepared 1% paraformaldehyde (Sigma Aldrich) for
215 30 minutes at 4°C in the dark. Cells were washed twice with FACS buffer and resuspended in
216 FACS buffer before acquiring on a BD LSR Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Data
217 were analysed using FlowJo 10.5.3. The positive response in the AIM assay was defined by
218 setting up the limit of detection above the mean plus two-times of standard deviation of the
219 response obtained in unstimulated conditions of all the unexposed and COVID-19 donors
220 analysed. The frequency of responders to SARS-CoV-2 peptide pools was determined by
221 applying the Fischer's exact test on the AIM⁺ and AIM⁻ cells in unstimulated and peptide
222 stimulated conditions. Stimulation Index (SI) was calculated by dividing the percentage of
223 AIM⁺ cells after stimulation with peptide pools with the percentage of AIM⁺ cells derived from
224 DMSO stimulation. The SI <1 was depicted as 1. The limit of positive stimulation index was
225 defined as the median plus standard deviation of the lowest detected values, as in case of
226 stimulation with Spike megapool, in COVID-19 unexposed donors.

227
228

229 **Activation induced cell marker (AIM) assay for quantification of CD8⁺ T cells**

230 The antigen-specific analyses of CD8 T cells was performed using the AIM assay, similar to
231 the above mentioned CD4⁺ AIM assay. The PBMCs were stimulated with the class I peptide

232 megapool consist of 628 peptides from the whole virus proteome and split into two megapool,
233 CD8-A and CD8-B containing 314 peptide each, as detailed previously (Grifoni et al., 2020a;
234 Grifoni et al., 2020b). For negative control, an equimolar amount of DMSO (vehicle) was
235 added to unstimulated well. Stimulation with Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B (SEB) were
236 included as positive control. After stimulation, cells were washed and surface stained with
237 antibody cocktail for 1 hour at 4°C in the dark; CD20, CD14, CD16, CD4 and fixable-viability
238 dye coupled with APC eflour 780 in the dump channel, CD8-APC (RPA-T8), CD69-BV510
239 (FN50) and CD137 PE Dazzle (4B4-1). Following the surface staining the cells were washed,
240 acquired and analysed as mentioned in the previous section of CD4-AIM assay. The positive
241 response in the AIM assay was defined by setting up the limit of detection above the mean plus
242 two-times of standard deviation of the response obtained in unstimulated controls of all the
243 unexposed and COVID-19 donors analysed. Frequency of responders in unexposed and
244 COVID-19 recovered subjects was determined using the combined data of CD8-A and CD8-
245 B megapool after subtracting the background from the unstimulated controls.

246
247

248 **SARS-CoV-2 specific B cell ELISPOT**

249 The antigen-specific memory B cells were measured in the cryopreserved PBMCs using
250 polyclonal stimulation in RPMI 1640 in the presence of R848 (1 µg/mL) and IL-2 (10 U/mL)
251 at cell density of 10⁶ PBMCs per well for 5 days. The Fluorospot plate (Mabtech) was charged
252 with ethanol prior to the antigen coating. For antigen specific memory B cell analysis, plate
253 was coated with SARS-CoV-2 full length Spike protein (Native Antigen, UK) and
254 Nucleoprotein (Sino Biologicals) at concentration of 5µg/mL and incubated overnight at 4°C.
255 As a control, for total memory B cell analysis, plates were coated with anti-human IgG, IgM,
256 IgA coating antibodies (Mabtech) at concentration of 15µg/mL. Plates were washed and
257 blocked with complete RPMI medium for at least 30 minutes at room temperature. Stimulated
258 PBMCs were washed and seeded in complete RPMI at 0.5x10⁶ - 1x10⁶ PBMCs per well for
259 antigen-specific analysis and 20,000-50,000 cells for total B cell analysis. PBMCs were
260 incubated at 37°C for 8 hours. Cells were discarded and plate was washed PBS. For detection
261 of antibody secreting cell (ASC) spots, anti-human IgG-550, IgM-640 and IgA-490 detection
262 antibodies (Mabtech) were added and plate was incubated for 2 hours at room temperature in
263 dark. Plate was washed and fluorescence enhancer (Mabtech) was added to each well. ASC
264 spots were detected on AID *vSpot* Spectrum Elispot/Fluorospot reader system using AID
265 Elispot software version 7.x. As no spots were detected in wells without the antigen, presence
266 of a spot >1 in the antigen-coated well was considered as a positive response. ASC counts were
267 normalized to ASCs per million of PBMCs for all analyses.

268
269

270 **Statistical analysis**

271 In all experiments, data are expressed as the mean±s.e.m. The significance of the differences
272 between the groups was analysed with the two-sided Mann-Whitney test, Fischer's exact test
273 or Wilcoxon paired t-test as specified in the figure legends. P values < 0.05 were considered
274 statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with the GraphPad Prism software
275 version v8.

276
277

278
279

280

281 Results

282

283 **Antibody response in unexposed donors and mild COVID-19 recovered patients with** 284 **synchronous expansion of antibodies to HCoV-OC43**

285 To investigate the quality and stability of immune memory in the COVID-19 patients we
286 recruited 28 adult patients who had recovered from mild COVID-19 (Table 1). To explore the
287 impact of cross-reactive immunity from ‘common cold’ coronaviruses we also utilized plasma
288 samples and the peripheral blood mononuclear cells from 42 healthy blood donors collected
289 prior to the pandemic during 2018-2019. The SARS-CoV-2 infection was diagnosed in all the
290 recruited patients by viral PCR test. None of the patients required hospitalization and were
291 quarantined with the mild-to-moderate manifestation of the disease. All the patients showed
292 high titer IgG response to the full-length spike unlike unexposed donors that showed no
293 evidence of spike-reactive IgG (Figure 1 A and C). SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein-reactive IgG
294 was present in 15 of the 42 unexposed donors tested, and the titer was significantly higher in
295 the mild COVID-19 recovered patients (Figure 1 B and D). We further analyzed neutralizing
296 antibodies in 8 unexposed donors and 12 COVID-19 patients after ≥ 4 months of recovery. We
297 observed the presence of highly effective neutralizing antibodies in all the patients after the
298 long duration of recovery (Figure 1E). Because we observed cross-reactive antibodies to the
299 SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein in unexposed donors, we examined the IgG reactivity to
300 nucleoproteins from common-cold HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-NL63 as a representative
301 betacoronavirus and alphacoronavirus, respectively. The IgG reactivity was present against
302 both the HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-NL63 in almost all the unexposed donors. Interestingly, an
303 increase in IgG reactivity in COVID-19 recovered patients was noted, but limited to the HCoV-
304 OC43 (Figure 1F). Thus, the data suggest a detectable spike- and nucleoprotein-specific
305 antibody response in the Indian patients recovered from mild disease, at least up to 5 months
306 (median ~ 3 months) post COVID-19 diagnosis. Surprisingly, COVID-19 patients showed an
307 increase in IgG response against the HCoV-OC43 but not to the other common cold
308 coronavirus tested, HCoV-NL-63, which may have less closely related Nucleoprotein to
309 SARS-CoV-2 (Huang et al., 2020).

310

311

312 **Robust SARS-CoV-2 specific CD4⁺ T-cell responses in unexposed donors and mild** 313 **COVID-19 cases**

314 CD4⁺ T cells are crucial for both the optimal quality of antibodies and anti-viral responses.
315 Thus, we examined the CD4⁺ T cell reactivity in unexposed donors and the patients recovered
316 from mild COVID-19. We measured the SARS-CoV-2 specific CD4⁺ T cells in the T cell
317 receptor (TCR) dependent activation induced marker assay (Havenar-Daughton et al., 2016;
318 Reiss et al., 2017). Here, we stimulated the PBMCs from 28 COVID-19 subjects and 32
319 unexposed healthy donors with the peptide megapool spanning the Spike domain (Spike) and
320 the megapool covering the remainder of the SARS-CoV-2 genome (Non-spike) (Grifoni et al.,
321 2020b; Mateus et al., 2020). A CMV megapool and the Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B (SEB)
322 superantigen was used as the positive control, while DMSO was used as the negative control
323 (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure 1).

324

325 A total of 7 out of 32 unexposed donors were associated with marginal frequency of SARS-
326 CoV-2 spike-reactive AIM⁺ (OX40⁺CD137⁺) CD4⁺ T cells with an insignificant increase over
327 the DMSO control (Figure 2B and 2D). Interestingly, 21 out of 32 unexposed donors robustly
328 responded to the peptide megapool covering the Non-spike domains of virus with a
329 significantly higher frequency of AIM⁺CD4⁺ T cells over the DMSO control (Figure 2B;
330 DMSO vs Non-spike pool, $P=0.0002$ and Figure 2D). The unexposed donors consistently

331 responded to the CMV peptide megapool and the SEB superantigen significantly over the
332 DMSO control (Figure 2B; DMSO vs CMV pool, $P=0.0005$; DMSO vs SEB, $P<0.0001$). The
333 COVID-19 recovered patients showed robust activation and detectable SARS-CoV-2-specific
334 $CD4^+$ T cells in response to the Spike (26/28; 93%) (Figure 2C; DMSO vs Spike megapool,
335 $P<0.0001$ and Figure 2D) and to the Non-spike peptide pool (24/28; 86%) (Figure 2C; DMSO
336 vs Non-spike megapool, $P<0.0001$ and Figure 2D). Like unexposed donors, COVID-19 patients
337 readily responded to the CMV peptide pool and SEB stimulation (Figure 2C; DMSO vs CMV
338 pool, $P=0.0003$; DMSO vs SEB, $P<0.0001$). Moreover, no significant correlation was observed
339 between the frequency of Spike-specific $CD4^+$ T cells and the days from symptoms onset in
340 convalescent patients (Supplementary Figure 2A). Next, we measured the stimulation index of
341 antigen specific stimulations over the unstimulated DMSO control to quantify $CD4^+$ T cell
342 reactivity in case of pre-existing immunity and in long-term post recovery from COVID-19.
343 We observed a remarkably higher frequency of Spike-specific memory $CD4^+$ T cells in
344 recovered patients than the unexposed donors (Figure 2E; Unexposed vs COVID-19,
345 $P<0.0001$). Surprisingly, higher magnitude of Non-spike reactive $CD4^+$ T cells were also
346 present in the unexposed donors as in recovered COVID-19 patients (Figure 2E; Unexposed
347 vs COVID-19, $P=0.001$). Next, we determined the memory phenotype of the $CD4^+$ T cells
348 responding to the spike and non-spike peptide megapools (Supplementary Figure 3A). Both
349 the central memory and effector memory compartments were mainly populated in antigen-
350 specific $CD4^+$ T cells, with no significant difference in the proportion specific to spike or non-
351 spike genome of SARS-CoV-2 (Supplementary Figure 3B).

352
353 We further utilized the Class I peptide megapool to measure the SARS-CoV-2 specific $CD8^+$
354 T cells in unexposed and recovered COVID-19 patients (Supplementary Figure 4A). The
355 megapool consist of 628 peptides spanning the whole virus proteome and split into two pools,
356 CD8-A and CD8-B, containing 314 peptides each (Grifoni et al., 2020b). The minimal $CD8^+$
357 T cell responses were detected only in the stimulation with CD8-A megapool, which consist of
358 spike epitopes including the epitopes of other proteins (Supplementary Figure 4 B-C). The
359 unexposed donors and COVID-19 patients consistently responded to the SEB superantigen
360 significantly over the DMSO control ($P<0.0001$; Supplementary Figure 4 B-C). By combining
361 the responses in both the megapool CD8-A and CD8-B, total $CD8^+$ T cell responses were
362 detected in 2 of 18 unexposed donors and 4 of 18 recovered COVID-19 patients
363 (Supplementary Figure 4 D-E).

364 Altogether, the antigen-specific T cell analyses suggest predominant and widespread $CD4^+$ T
365 cells responses over the $CD8^+$ T cells in both the unexposed and recovered mild COVID-19
366 patients. There was a minimal presence of Spike-specific $CD4^+$ T cells in unexposed donors
367 with a remarkably high magnitude in case of recovery from mild COVID-19. Interestingly,
368 almost similar magnitude of non-spike specific $CD4^+$ T cells are present in majority of the
369 unexposed and COVID-19 recovery patients. Detection of Spike-specific memory $CD4^+$ T
370 cells several months after infection is encouraging for the efforts focusing on SARS-CoV-2
371 Spike protein as a vaccine candidate.

372
373

374 **High magnitude Spike-specific B cells in mild COVID-19 recovered subjects**

375 Because the mild COVID-19 patients showed robust Spike-specific $CD4^+$ T cells reactivity,
376 we examined if a similar finding would extend to SARS-CoV-2 B cell responses. Thus,
377 utilizing SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein and Nucleoprotein (representative of Non-spike
378 domains), we analyzed the frequency of each isotype-specific antibody secreting B cell
379 population in unexposed subjects and the COVID-19 patients up to 5 months of recovery from
380 mild disease (Figure 3A). The magnitude of IgG antibody secreting cells (ASC) was the highest

381 among three subsets analyzed, as seen in the patients ~4 weeks after recovery (Juno et al.,
382 2020). Surprisingly, all the patients showed significant 6-fold higher Spike-specific IgG-ASC
383 over the ASCs specific to Nucleoprotein (Figure 3B; Count/ 10^6 PBMCs: Spike - 780 ± 84 ,
384 Nucleoprotein - 131 ± 35 ; $P < 0.0001$). The IgG-ASCs were also detected in around 6 (Spike-
385 specific) and 14 (Nucleoprotein-specific) of the 28 unexposed subjects, with the substantially
386 lower frequency than the COVID-19 patients (Figure 3B). Although the frequency of
387 Nucleoprotein- and Spike-specific IgM-ASCs were significantly higher than the unexposed
388 subjects, it was not significantly different in the COVID-19 recovered patients (Count/ 10^6
389 PBMCs: Spike - 427 ± 70 , Nucleoprotein - 463 ± 76) (Figure 3C). Plasma cells secreting IgA
390 were present in the least frequency in COVID-19 recovered patients and was only detected in
391 the 8 (Spike-specific) and 10 (Nucleoprotein-specific) of the 28 unexposed subjects. Unlike
392 Spike-specific IgA-ASCs that were detected in all the recovered patients, the Nucleoprotein
393 specific IgA-ASCs were present in 13 of the 18 donors tested. However, like IgG-ASCs, Spike-
394 specific memory IgA-ASCs were present in 2-fold higher frequency than the Nucleoprotein-
395 specific cells in COVID-19 patients (Figure 3D; Count/ 10^6 PBMCs: Spike - 65 ± 12 ,
396 Nucleoprotein - 33 ± 9 ; $P = 0.009$).
397 Altogether, these results indicate the existence of high magnitude IgG secreting cells in the
398 antigen specific B-cell pool of mild COVID-19 patients. A small fraction of unexposed subjects
399 showed cross-reactive ASCs present in a very low frequency. Like in the case of CD4⁺ T cells,
400 a significant number of B cells is found in long-term after recovery from mild COVID-19,
401 targeted towards the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2.

402

403

404 Discussion

405

406 Here, we report the extent of pre-existing immunity and immune memory in individuals from
407 2 to 5 months (median ~3 months) after the diagnosis of COVID-19. The existence of high titer
408 Spike- and Nucleoprotein-specific IgG after several months post-infection indicates persistent
409 antibody response in mild disease. Our observation is consistent with the recent reports where
410 no decline was observed in antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 within 4 to 5 months of the COVID-19
411 diagnosis (Gudbjartsson et al., 2020; Wajnberg et al., 2020). This is important for the vaccine
412 development as the mild disease may provide the crucial knowledge for generating a long-term
413 sustainable antibody response.

414

415 In our cohort, SARS-CoV-2 Spike cross-reactive antibodies were not detected in the unexposed
416 donors' samples. This may be due to highly divergent Spike of SARS-CoV-2 than the seasonal
417 coronaviruses (Forni et al., 2017). By contrast, almost 35% of the unexposed adult donors
418 showed the existence of SARS-CoV-2 Nucleoprotein reactive antibodies. Unlike Spike
419 protein, Nucleoprotein antibodies are more cross-reactive within the subgroups of HCoV
420 (Agnihothram et al., 2014) and it's likely that the adult population in India has been exposed
421 to common cold HCoVs as frequently as in the case with children and adolescents (Ng et al.,
422 2020). The apparent nucleoprotein cross-reactivity seems to best correlate with the HCoV-
423 OC43 Nucleoprotein-specific antibodies as increased titers associated with SARS-CoV-2
424 infection were observed with HCoV-OC43, a representative betacoronavirus used in this study.
425 This may be due to more conserve Nucleoprotein immunodominant regions within the same
426 family of betacoronaviruses (Meyer et al., 2014). Although, it's not clear if the similar or the
427 unique epitopes of HCoV-OC43 are associated with this observed expansion. Indeed, the high
428 titer Nucleoprotein targeting antibodies in unexposed donors and in long-term after recovery

429 warrants detailed study to identify their implication in the SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis and the
430 disease outcome.

431

432 There is no information available on the pre-existing cross-reactive T cells in the Indian
433 population. We show that the cross-reactivity to SARS-CoV-2 as well as the memory responses
434 are mostly associated with the CD4⁺ T cells with a minor contribution from CD8⁺ T cells. The
435 minimal contribution of CD8⁺ T cells among the cross-reactive T cells was also observed in
436 other cohorts (Grifoni et al., 2020b). The lack of SARS-CoV-2 specific CD8⁺ T cells in
437 majority of the patients recovered from mild disease may be due to the poor stability or due to
438 an inefficient establishment of the memory CD8⁺ T cells. Future investigations in different
439 disease outcome across diverse populations are necessary to understand the implication of
440 CD8⁺ T cells in SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis. Our observation of poorly detected SARS-CoV-
441 2 Spike-reactive CD4⁺ T cells in ~20% of unexposed donors is consistent with the findings in
442 the USA and the German cohorts (Braun et al., 2020; Grifoni et al., 2020b). However, higher
443 frequency of SARS-CoV-2 Non-spike specific CD4⁺ T cells were observed in ~66% of donors
444 prior to the pandemic as compared to ~50% in USA and the Singapore cohort (Grifoni et al.,
445 2020b; Le Bert et al., 2020). In the Non-spike peptide megapool, the Nucleoprotein is the
446 mainly targeted structural domain by the cross-reactive CD4⁺ T cells (Mateus et al., 2020).
447 Because of substantial homology of Nucleoprotein between common cold HCoVs and SARS-
448 CoV-2 and due to high prevalence of related common cold HCoVs, as supported by the IgG
449 reactivity, it's plausible that a higher extent of Nucleoprotein cross-reactive CD4⁺ T cells are
450 present in our cohort of unexposed donors. Certainly, in-depth analyses in the unexposed
451 donors are necessary to reveal if the prevalence and frequency of common cold HCoVs defines
452 the targets of cross-reactivity to SARS-CoV-2 genome.

453

454 The cross-reactive CD4⁺ T cells might not be implicated solely in terminating the virus
455 infection however they may limit the virus burden and reduce the course of symptomatic
456 infection leading to lower incidences of severe disease (Lipsitch et al., 2020). This is
457 particularly interesting in context of the high frequency Nucleoprotein-specific cross-reactive
458 CD4⁺ T cells. Nucleoprotein is the first and most abundantly produced multifunctional protein
459 in the virus infected cells (de Wit et al., 2016). The pre-existing cross-reactive CD4⁺ T cells
460 may limit the virus spread by cytolysis of the infected cells that are displaying the processed
461 Nucleoprotein on their surface early in the infection. By controlling the virus spread and
462 reducing the virus burden, pre-existing cross-reactive CD4⁺ T cells might be implicated in
463 providing a competitive window to the host to initiate an optimal protective immune response
464 against the SARS-CoV-2.

465

466 Interestingly, high magnitude of Spike- and remainder of the genome CD4⁺ T cell responses
467 are present in the patients long after recovery from mild COVID-19. However, unlike Spike-
468 specific CD4⁺ T cells that show a substantially higher magnitude over the cross-reactive T cells
469 in unexposed donors, the non-spike specific memory CD4⁺ T cells are associated with a lesser
470 increase after COVID-19. Future studies may reveal if there is an influence of cross-reactive
471 memory T cells on de novo generation of non-cross-reactive clones targeting the Non-spike
472 domains (Brehm et al., 2002; Johnson et al., 2016) or this diverse outcome is due to an
473 immunodominance of CD4⁺ T cells targeting the highly immunodominant Spike glycoprotein
474 leading to de novo expansion of Spike-specific CD4⁺ T cells and outcompeting the expansion
475 of CD4⁺ T cells targeting low frequency T-cell epitopes present in Nucleoprotein and the
476 remainder of the genome (Olson et al., 2016; Grifoni et al., 2020a; Mateus et al., 2020).
477 Interestingly, in the similar lines, higher magnitude of Spike-specific IgG and IgA secreting B
478 cells over Nucleoprotein-specific B cells further supports the notion of targeted and persistent

479 immune response to a highly immunodominant Spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 in mild
480 disease. The IgM secreting B cells were present at lower frequency than IgG secreting B cells
481 in COVID-19 recovery. However, these IgM secreting B cells were also detected in all the
482 unexposed subjects. It's plausible that these IgM secreting B cells were not antigen-selected
483 and developed in absence of a productive germinal center reaction during previous infection
484 with the closely related human common cold coronaviruses (Bohannon et al., 2016). More
485 studies in longitudinal prospective cohort are necessary to reveal the implication of pre-existing
486 IgM secreting B cells in the SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis and to determine if lower frequency
487 of IgM secreting B cells is due to a poor stability in long-term or it's due to limited de novo
488 generation in response to the SARS-CoV-2.

489
490 The limitations in our study include the sample size and the longitudinal sampling to probe the
491 stability in immunological memory. As this study was of exploratory nature, the 28 long-term
492 recovery samples represent the recruitment in a reasonable timeframe. In fact, the sample size
493 was sufficient to determine the existence of cross-reactive CD4⁺ T cells and to reveal the
494 persistence of memory CD4⁺ T cells in several months after recovery from COVID-19.
495 Besides, the predicted epitopes utilized in this study to examine the CD4⁺ T cells may not cover
496 the responses to all the epitopes in viral genome. However, these predicted peptide pools cover
497 most of the immunodominant epitopes and provide an opportunity to detect the virus-specific
498 CD4⁺ T cells in limitedly available patient blood sample. Certainly, further studies in long-
499 term after recovery in a larger longitudinal cohort will be helpful in defining the breadth and
500 durability of SARS-CoV-2 reactive memory CD4⁺ T cells. Moreover, it will be very important
501 to determine if similar characteristics of memory CD4⁺ T cells exist in the recovery from
502 different outcomes of disease from the asymptomatic to the severe COVID-19. While our work
503 was in review, the knowledge on multiple virus variants emerged in the literature. Because the
504 peptide pools used in our study originates from the reference strain of SARS-CoV-2 (GenBank:
505 MN908947), the current analyses do not provide the information on reactivity of T cells to the
506 mutated epitopes in recent virus variants. However, this remains an area of the future study to
507 determine the capability of memory T cells established against the previously circulating virus
508 in responding to the respective mutated epitopes in the recently emerged virus variants.

509
510 In summary, we show that the individuals recovered from mild disease display a response
511 detectable several months after recovery in two crucial arms of protective immunity - CD4⁺ T
512 cells and B cells. We also show the existence of pre-existing immunity in the unexposed
513 donors, which is predominantly associated with the non-spike part of the genome of SARS-
514 CoV-2. Although the cross-reactive T cells are present against both the spike and non-spike
515 epitopes, the magnitude of cross-reactive CD4⁺ T cells targeting the non-spike epitopes is
516 extremely high in our cohort. Indian continent has seen high burden of the COVID-19
517 incidences; however, the case fatality rates are extremely low. Whether high magnitude of
518 cross-reactive CD4⁺ T cells are contributing to this less severe outcome needs to be addressed
519 in the prospective cohort before and after COVID-19. The knowledge on implication of cross-
520 reactive CD4⁺ T cells in the disease outcome and in establishment of immunological memory
521 is crucial for the development and implementation of COVID-19 vaccines.

522

523

524 **Acknowledgements**

525 We are thankful to all the patients for generous support in this study, and Mr. Neeraj, Mr. Jagat
526 Singh (AIIMS) and Mr. Sudipta Das (NII) for technical support. This work was supported by
527 Science and Engineering Research Board, DST grant IPA/2020/000077 (to NG, AS, PC),
528 Biotechnology Industry Research Assistance Council, DBT grant BT/COVID0010/01/20 (to

529 NG). Further support provided from NIH contract 75N9301900065 (to A.S, D.W) and NIH
530 grant U01 (U01AI141995-03) to A.S.

531

532 **Author contributions**

533 R.A, J.L, A.S, and P.C: Enrolled and categorized subjects, collected samples and provided
534 clinical information. A.A., S.S., S.N.J, A.K: performed experiments. A.S, A.G, and D.W:
535 Contributed essential material. N.G.: Conceived and supervised the study, analysed the data
536 and wrote the manuscript. A.S and D.W: critically reviewed the manuscript.

537

538 **Conflict of Interest**

539 The authors declared no commercial or financial conflicts of interest. A.S is listed as inventor
540 on patent application no. 63/012,902, submitted by La Jolla Institute for Immunology, that
541 covers the use of the megapools and peptides thereof for therapeutic and diagnostic purposes.
542 A.S. is a consultant for Gritstone, Flow Pharma, Merck, Epitogenesis, Gilead and Avalia.

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579 References

580

581 Agnihothram, S., Gopal, R., Yount, B.L., Jr., Donaldson, E.F., Menachery, V.D., Graham,
582 R.L., et al. (2014). Evaluation of serologic and antigenic relationships between middle eastern
583 respiratory syndrome coronavirus and other coronaviruses to develop vaccine platforms for the
584 rapid response to emerging coronaviruses. *J Infect Dis* 209(7), 995-1006. doi:
585 10.1093/infdis/jit609.

586 Bohannon, C., Powers, R., Satyabhama, L., Cui, A., Tipton, C., Michaeli, M., et al. (2016).
587 Long-lived antigen-induced IgM plasma cells demonstrate somatic mutations and contribute
588 to long-term protection. *Nat Commun* 7, 11826. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11826.

589 Braun, J., Loyal, L., Frentsch, M., Wendisch, D., Georg, P., Kurth, F., et al. (2020). SARS-
590 CoV-2-reactive T cells in healthy donors and patients with COVID-19. *Nature*. doi:
591 10.1038/s41586-020-2598-9.

592 Brehm, M.A., Pinto, A.K., Daniels, K.A., Schneck, J.P., Welsh, R.M., and Selin, L.K. (2002).
593 T cell immunodominance and maintenance of memory regulated by unexpectedly cross-
594 reactive pathogens. *Nat Immunol* 3(7), 627-634. doi: 10.1038/ni806.

595 de Wit, E., van Doremalen, N., Falzarano, D., and Munster, V.J. (2016). SARS and MERS:
596 recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 14(8), 523-534. doi:
597 10.1038/nrmicro.2016.81.

598 Forni, D., Cagliani, R., Clerici, M., and Sironi, M. (2017). Molecular Evolution of Human
599 Coronavirus Genomes. *Trends Microbiol* 25(1), 35-48. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016.09.001.

600 Grifoni, A., Sidney, J., Zhang, Y., Scheuermann, R.H., Peters, B., and Sette, A. (2020a). A
601 Sequence Homology and Bioinformatic Approach Can Predict Candidate Targets for Immune
602 Responses to SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Host Microbe* 27(4), 671-680 e672. doi:
603 10.1016/j.chom.2020.03.002.

604 Grifoni, A., Weiskopf, D., Ramirez, S.I., Mateus, J., Dan, J.M., Moderbacher, C.R., et al.
605 (2020b). Targets of T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-
606 19 Disease and Unexposed Individuals. *Cell* 181(7), 1489-1501 e1415. doi:
607 10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.015.

608 Gudbjartsson, D.F., Norddahl, G.L., Melsted, P., Gunnarsdottir, K., Holm, H., Eythorsson, E.,
609 et al. (2020). Humoral Immune Response to SARS-CoV-2 in Iceland. *N Engl J Med* 383(18),
610 1724-1734. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2026116.

611 Havenar-Daughton, C., Reiss, S.M., Carnathan, D.G., Wu, J.E., Kendric, K., Torrents de la
612 Pena, A., et al. (2016). Cytokine-Independent Detection of Antigen-Specific Germinal Center
613 T Follicular Helper Cells in Immunized Nonhuman Primates Using a Live Cell Activation-
614 Induced Marker Technique. *J Immunol* 197(3), 994-1002. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1600320.

615 Huang, A.T., Garcia-Carreras, B., Hitchings, M.D.T., Yang, B., Katzelnick, L.C., Rattigan,
616 S.M., et al. (2020). A systematic review of antibody mediated immunity to coronaviruses:
617 kinetics, correlates of protection, and association with severity. *Nat Commun* 11(1), 4704. doi:
618 10.1038/s41467-020-18450-4.

619 Johnson, L.R., Weizman, O.E., Rapp, M., Way, S.S., and Sun, J.C. (2016). Epitope-Specific
620 Vaccination Limits Clonal Expansion of Heterologous Naive T Cells during Viral Challenge.
621 *Cell Rep* 17(3), 636-644. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.09.019.

622 Juno, J.A., Tan, H.X., Lee, W.S., Reynaldi, A., Kelly, H.G., Wragg, K., et al. (2020). Humoral
623 and circulating follicular helper T cell responses in recovered patients with COVID-19. *Nat*
624 *Med* 26(9), 1428-1434. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0995-0.

625 Le Bert, N., Tan, A.T., Kunasegaran, K., Tham, C.Y.L., Hafezi, M., Chia, A., et al. (2020).
626 SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell immunity in cases of COVID-19 and SARS, and uninfected
627 controls. *Nature* 584(7821), 457-462. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2550-z.

- 628 Lipsitch, M., Grad, Y.H., Sette, A., and Crotty, S. (2020). Cross-reactive memory T cells and
629 herd immunity to SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Rev Immunol* 20(11), 709-713. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-
630 00460-4.
- 631 Long, Q.X., Tang, X.J., Shi, Q.L., Li, Q., Deng, H.J., Yuan, J., et al. (2020). Clinical and
632 immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. *Nat Med* 26(8), 1200-
633 1204. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0965-6.
- 634 Mateus, J., Grifoni, A., Tarke, A., Sidney, J., Ramirez, S.I., Dan, J.M., et al. (2020). Selective
635 and cross-reactive SARS-CoV-2 T cell epitopes in unexposed humans. *Science* 370(6512), 89-
636 94. doi: 10.1126/science.abd3871.
- 637 Meyer, B., Drosten, C., and Muller, M.A. (2014). Serological assays for emerging
638 coronaviruses: challenges and pitfalls. *Virus Res* 194, 175-183. doi:
639 10.1016/j.virusres.2014.03.018.
- 640 Ng, K.W., Faulkner, N., Cornish, G.H., Rosa, A., Harvey, R., Hussain, S., et al. (2020).
641 Preexisting and de novo humoral immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in humans. *Science*. doi:
642 10.1126/science.abe1107.
- 643 Olson, M.R., Chua, B.Y., Good-Jacobson, K.L., Doherty, P.C., Jackson, D.C., and Turner, S.J.
644 (2016). Competition within the virus-specific CD4 T-cell pool limits the T follicular helper
645 response after influenza infection. *Immunol Cell Biol* 94(8), 729-740. doi:
646 10.1038/icb.2016.42.
- 647 Premkumar, L., Segovia-Chumbez, B., Jadi, R., Martinez, D.R., Raut, R., Markmann, A., et al.
648 (2020). The receptor binding domain of the viral spike protein is an immunodominant and
649 highly specific target of antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 patients. *Sci Immunol* 5(48). doi:
650 10.1126/sciimmunol.abc8413.
- 651 Reiss, S., Baxter, A.E., Cirelli, K.M., Dan, J.M., Morou, A., Daigneault, A., et al. (2017).
652 Comparative analysis of activation induced marker (AIM) assays for sensitive identification of
653 antigen-specific CD4 T cells. *PLoS One* 12(10), e0186998. doi:
654 10.1371/journal.pone.0186998.
- 655 Secchi, M., Bazzigaluppi, E., Brigatti, C., Marzinotto, I., Tresoldi, C., Rovere-Querini, P., et
656 al. (2020). COVID-19 survival associates with the immunoglobulin response to the SARS-
657 CoV-2 spike receptor binding domain. *J Clin Invest*. doi: 10.1172/JCI142804.
- 658 Seow, J., Graham, C., Merrick, B., Acors, S., Pickering, S., Steel, K.J.A., et al. (2020).
659 Longitudinal observation and decline of neutralizing antibody responses in the three months
660 following SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans. *Nat Microbiol*. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-00813-
661 8.
- 662 Tan, C.W., Chia, W.N., Qin, X., Liu, P., Chen, M.I., Tiu, C., et al. (2020). A SARS-CoV-2
663 surrogate virus neutralization test based on antibody-mediated blockage of ACE2-spike
664 protein-protein interaction. *Nat Biotechnol* 38(9), 1073-1078. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-0631-
665 z.
- 666 Wajnberg, A., Amanat, F., Firpo, A., Altman, D.R., Bailey, M.J., Mansour, M., et al. (2020).
667 Robust neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 infection persist for months. *Science*. doi:
668 10.1126/science.abd7728.
- 669 Walls, A.C., Park, Y.J., Tortorici, M.A., Wall, A., McGuire, A.T., and Veesler, D. (2020).
670 Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. *Cell* 181(2),
671 281-292 e286. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058.
- 672 Wec, A.Z., Wrapp, D., Herbert, A.S., Maurer, D.P., Haslwanter, D., Sakharkar, M., et al.
673 (2020). Broad neutralization of SARS-related viruses by human monoclonal antibodies.
674 *Science* 369(6504), 731-736. doi: 10.1126/science.abc7424.
- 675 Weiskopf, D., Schmitz, K.S., Raadsen, M.P., Grifoni, A., Okba, N.M.A., Endeman, H., et al.
676 (2020). Phenotype and kinetics of SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells in COVID-19 patients with
677 acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Sci Immunol* 5(48). doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abd2071.

678 Yuan, M., Wu, N.C., Zhu, X., Lee, C.D., So, R.T.Y., Lv, H., et al. (2020). A highly conserved
679 cryptic epitope in the receptor binding domains of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. *Science*
680 368(6491), 630-633. doi: 10.1126/science.abb7269.

681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720

721 **Table 1. Characteristics of COVID-19 Patients.**

COVID-19 patients		28
	Age (Years)	21-49 (median=27)
Gender	Male (%)	57.1% (16/28)
	Female (%)	42.8% (12/28)
Residency	New Delhi (India)	100% (28/28)
	SARS-CoV-2-PCR Positivity	100% (28/28)
Disease Severity*	Mild	78.6% (22/28)
	Moderate	21.4% (6/28)
Symptoms	Fever	67.8% (19/28)
	Cough	60.7% (17/28)
	Sore throat	75% (21/28)
	Body ache	67.8% (19/28)
	Loss of taste	75% (21/28)
	Loss of smell	57.1% (16/28)
	Shortness in breath	21.4% (6/28)
	Respiratory distress	21.4% (6/28)
	Chest pain	17.8% (5/28)
	Blood in cough	3.5% (1/28)
	Vomiting/Nausea	7.1% (2/28)
	Diarrhoea	10.7% (3/28)
Days post diagnosis at collection		60-144 (28/28) (median = 90)
Contact with known COVID-19 patient		82% (23/28)

*WHO Criteria

722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740

741 Legend to Figures

742

743 **Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 IgG response in pre-pandemic unexposed donors and individuals**
744 **recovered from mild COVID-19.** The IgG titre was measured in plasma sample from
745 unexposed donors collected prior to pandemic and the COVID-19 patients up to 5 months of
746 recovery by ELISA using the full length Spike protein and Nucleoprotein. The ELISA curves
747 in serially diluted samples are shown from 6 representative unexposed donors (grey line) and
748 COVID-19 cases (red line) for (A) Spike protein, (B) Nucleoprotein. Area under the curve
749 (AUC) for ELISA quantitation of the IgG binding to (C) Spike protein, (D) Nucleoprotein for
750 42 unexposed donors and 28 COVID-19 cases inclusive of 6 representative donors of each
751 group shown in panel A and B. (E) Neutralizing antibody quantitation in unexposed donors
752 (n=8) and COVID-19 patients (n=12) after >4 months recovery measured using the SARS-
753 CoV-2 surrogate virus neutralization test. (F) HCoV-229E Nucleoprotein antigen binding
754 (expressed as OD) assessed by ELISA in unexposed donors (n=42) and COVID-19 recovered
755 patients (n=28). Black bars indicate the geometric mean. Dotted line in panels A-E represent
756 the cut-off of positivity. Statistical comparisons were performed by two-tail Mann-Whitney
757 test. ns: non-significant.

758

759 **Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2-specific CD4⁺ T cells response in unexposed donors and recovered**
760 **COVID-19 patients.** The magnitude of SARS-CoV-2 specific CD4⁺ T cells was determined
761 in PBMCs collected from unexposed donors (“Unexposed”, n=32) prior to pandemic and in
762 COVID-19 patients (“COVID-19”, n=28) up to 5 months of recovery. The PBMCs were
763 stimulated with the peptide megapool specific to Spike glycoprotein (Spike) or to the remainder
764 of the SARS-CoV-2 polyprotein (Non-spike). DMSO was used as the negative control, and
765 CMV peptide megapool and SEB were used for positive stimulation controls. (A)
766 Representative FACS contour plots of unexposed and COVID-19 patient in stimulation
767 conditions of DMSO, Spike peptide megapool, Non-spike peptide megapool, CMV and SEB.
768 Paired graphs depicting the reactivity of AIM⁺ (OX40⁺CD137⁺) CD4⁺ T cells between the
769 negative control (DMSO) and antigen-specific stimulation in (B) Unexposed donors (C)
770 COVID-19 patients. (D) Frequency of responders to Spike and Non-spike peptide pools in
771 unexposed and COVID-19 recovered subjects as determined by the Fischer’s exact test. The
772 value on bars denote the number of responders/total number of donors tested. (E) Stimulation
773 index quantitation of the AIM⁺ (OX40⁺CD137⁺) CD4⁺ T cells in Unexposed versus COVID-
774 19 cases analysed in the same samples as in panel B and C. Black bars indicate the geometric
775 mean. Dotted line in panels B, C and E represent the limit of detection. Statistical comparisons
776 were performed by (B-C) Wilcoxon paired t-test and (E) two-tail Mann-Whitney test. ns: non-
777 significant.

778

779 **Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2-specific memory B cells in recovered COVID-19 patients.** The
780 frequency and isotype distribution of antibody secreting B cells (ASC) was measured in the
781 unexposed subjects (“Unexposed”, n=28) prior to pandemic and in patients (“COVID-19”,
782 n=18) up to 5 months of recovery from mild COVID-19. The memory B cells in PBMCs were
783 polyclonally stimulated before measuring the frequency of SARS-CoV-2 Spike glycoprotein-
784 and Nucleoprotein-specific IgG, IgM and IgA antibody secreting cells in Fluorospot assay. (A)
785 Representative images of IgG, IgM and IgA secreting B cells in Unexposed subject and
786 recovered COVID-19 patient. Graphs depicting the magnitude of antibody secreting B cells
787 specific to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike glycoprotein and Nucleoprotein (expressed as spot forming
788 cells (SFC) in 10⁶ PBMCs) for (B) IgG-ASC (C) IgM-ASC and (D) IgA-ASC, in Unexposed
789 subjects (grey circle) and COVID-19 patients (red circle). For log scale, the spot count of less

790 than one is depicted as 1. Black bars indicate the geometric mean. Statistical comparisons were
791 performed by two-tail Mann-Whitney test. ns: non-significant.
792
793





