

CORRECTION

# Correction: Application and Revision of Montreal Cognitive Assessment in China's Military Retirees with Mild Cognitive Impairment

Yali Zhai, Qiuling Chao, Hong Li, Bo Wang, Rong Xu, Ning Wang, Yajun Han, Xiaole He, Xin Jia, Xiaoming Wang

There is an error in the Background section of the manuscript. The references are swapped. Reference [2] should be [3] and vice versa.

There is an error in the subsection Investigation Protocols of the section Object and Methods. The fourth sentence of the paragraph titled “Montreal cognitive assessment (MOCA) scale” is incorrect. The correct sentence is: Details on the specific MOCA are as follows.

There is an error in [Table 6](#). The rows were formatted incorrectly. Please see the corrected version here.



CrossMark  
click for updates

## OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Zhai Y, Chao Q, Li H, Wang B, Xu R, Wang N, et al. (2016) Correction: Application and Revision of Montreal Cognitive Assessment in China's Military Retirees with Mild Cognitive Impairment. PLoS ONE 11(2): e0149825. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149825

**Published:** February 12, 2016

**Copyright:** © 2016 Zhai et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Table 6. Correlation analysis of total score and factor points in MOCA with age, gender and education**

category	n	visual space	name	language	delayed memory	attention	abstract	dictation	score	
age	<80	104	3.78±1.3 <sup>a</sup>	2.91±0.4	3.80±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	3.86±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	5.46±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	1.95±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.88±0.5	26.6±3.9 <sup>a</sup>
	≥80	92	3.37±1.7	2.88±0.5	3.42±0.9	3.15±1.6	5.01±1.4	1.69±0.7	4.66±1.1	24.2±6.3
sex	man	181	3.52±1.5 <sup>b</sup>	2.89±0.5	3.60±0.8	3.5±1.5 <sup>b</sup>	5.21±1.3	1.82±0.5	4.76±0.9	25.3±5.5 <sup>b</sup>
	women	15	4.47±0.8	3.0±0.0	3.87±0.5	4.3±1.0	5.73±0.7	2.0±0.0	5.0±0.0	25.5±5.3
educated time	<6	59	2.80±1.5	2.86±0.5	3.31±1.3	3.20±1.6	4.7±1.7	1.69±0.7	4.47±1.2	23.0±6.4
	6~12	88	3.80±1.3 <sup>c</sup>	2.90±0.5	3.69±0.7 <sup>c</sup>	3.56±1.4	5.32±1.2 <sup>c</sup>	1.84±0.5	4.86±0.8 <sup>c</sup>	26.0±4.9 <sup>c</sup>
	>12	49	4.18±1.3 <sup>d</sup>	2.94±0.4	3.88±0.4 <sup>d</sup>	3.86±1.4	5.80±0.5 <sup>d</sup>	1.98±0.4 <sup>d</sup>	4.98±0.1 <sup>d</sup>	27.6±3.0 <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>P<0.05, <80 years vs ≥80 years

<sup>b</sup>P<0.05, men vs women

<sup>c</sup>P<0.05, <6 years vs 6~12 years in educated time

<sup>d</sup>P<0.05, <6 years vs >12 years in educated time.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149825.t001

## Reference

1. Zhai Y, Chao Q, Li H, Wang B, Xu R, Wang N, et al. (2016) Application and Revision of Montreal Cognitive Assessment in China's Military Retirees with Mild Cognitive Impairment. PLoS ONE 11(1): e0145547. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0145547](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145547) PMID: [26727602](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26727602/)