A Multigenic Network of ARGONAUTE4 Clade Members Controls Early Megaspore Formation in Arabidopsis

Elvira Hernández-Lagana, Daniel Rodríguez-Leal, Judith Lúa, and Jean-Philippe Vielle-Calzada¹ Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados CINVESTAV, UGA Laboratorio Nacional de Genómica para la Biodiversidad, Grupo de Desarrollo Reproductivo y Apomixis, 36821 Irapuato, México

ABSTRACT The development of gametophytes relies on the establishment of a haploid gametophytic generation that initiates with the specification of gametophytic precursors. The majority of flowering plants differentiate a single gametophytic precursor in the ovule: the megaspore mother cell. Here we show that, in addition to *argonaute9* (*ago9*), mutations in other *ARGONAUTE* (*AGO*) genes such as *ago4*, *ago6*, and *ago8*, also show abnormal configurations containing supernumerary gametophytic precursors in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Double homozygous *ago4 ago9* individuals showed a suppressive effect on the frequency of ovules with multiple gametophytic precursors across three consecutive generations, indicating that genetic interactions result in compensatory mechanisms. Whereas overexpression of AGO6 in *ago9* and *ago4 ago9* confirms strong regulatory interactions among genes involved in RNA-directed DNA methylation, *AGO8* is overexpressed in premeiotic ovules of *ago4 ago9* individuals, suggesting that the regulation of this previously presumed pseudogene responds to the compensatory mechanism. The frequency of abnormal meiotic configurations found in *ago4 ago9* individuals is dependent on their parental genotype, revealing a transgenerational effect. Our results indicate that members of the *AGO4* clade cooperatively participate in preventing the abnormal specification of multiple premeiotic gametophytic precursors during early ovule development in *A. thaliana*.

KEYWORDS ARGONAUTE; Arabidopsis; RdDM; gametogenesis; ovule

THE alternation between the diploid-sporophytic and the haploid-gametophytic generation is a crucial distinction between the life cycle of plants and animals. Whereas in mammals and insects the cell lineage giving rise to gametes differentiates during embryogenesis (Bendel-Stenzel *et al.* 1998; Donoughe *et al.* 2014), in plants the gametogenic lineage initiates during floral development in the adult organism (Yadegari and Drews 2004). The onset of the female reproductive phase in most sexual flowering plants is defined by the specification of a single diploid megaspore mother cell

(MMC) within the sporophytic nucellus, in the developing ovule (Bajon *et al.* 1999). Before gametogenesis, the MMC divides meiotically to produce four haploid megaspores. As in the majority of sexual species, in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*Arabidopsis*) a single meiotically derived cell [the functional megaspore (FM)] differentiates prior to dividing mitotically and giving rise to the female gametophyte. The nucleus of the FM performs three mitotic divisions generating eight nuclei that subsequently undergo cellularization and differentiation to form accessory cells (the synergids and the antipodals), and two types of gametes: the egg cell and the central cell, which after fertilization will produce the embryo and the endosperm, respectively (Robinson-Beers *et al.* 1992; Reiser and Fischer 1993; Schneitz *et al.* 1995; Sheridan *et al.* 1999; Drews and Yadegari 2002).

Despite its prime importance for plant reproduction, the genetic basis and molecular mechanisms that control the somatic-to-reproductive transition are poorly understood. Only few mutants affecting specification of gamete precursors in the ovule have been found and characterized in different species. Mutants affecting the plant-specific MADS-box domain transcription factor NOZZLE/SPOROCYTELESS

Copyright © 2016 Hernández-Lagana et al.

doi: 10.1534/genetics.116.188151

Manuscript received February 15, 2016; accepted for publication August 17, 2016; published Early Online September 1, 2016.

Available freely online through the author-supported open access option.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental material is available online at www.genetics.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1534/genetics.116.188151/-/DC1.

¹Corresponding author: Km 9.6 Libramiento Norte Carretera León, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados CINVESTAV, UGA Laboratorio Nacional de Genómica para la Biodiversidad, Grupo de Desarrollo Reproductivo y Apomixis, 36821 Irapuato, México. E-mail: vielle@ira.cinvestav.mx

(NZZ/SPL) are defective in differentiating both microspore mother cells and MMCs (Yang et al. 1999). Similar to nzz/spl, the Arabidopsis windhouse1 (wih1) and windhouse2 (wih2) mutants are not able to properly differentiate an MMC; instead, nucellar cells acquire a parenchyma-like identity (Lieber et al. 2011). Other mutants promote the differentiation of several MMCs. In maize, for example, the loss of function of MULTIPLE ARCHEOSPORES 1 (MAC1), a gene encoding a leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase protein (LRR-RLK), promotes the development of numerous MMCs that can undergo meiosis (Sheridan et al. 1999). A similar phenotype was found in the multiple sporocyte 1 (msp1) mutant of rice, a gene encoding a LRR-RLK protein (Nonomura et al. 2003). In Arabidopsis, the differentiation of more than one female gamete precursor was first reported in mutants affecting small RNA (sRNA) pathways. Dominant argonaute9 (ago9), suppressor of gene silencing 3 (sgs3), rna-dependent rna polymerase 2 (rdr2), rna-dependent rna polymerase 6 (rdr6), dicer-like 3 (dcl3) mutants, and a double mutant defective in both RNA POLYMERASE IV and POLYMERASE V genes (nrpd1a nrpd1b), show multiple gametophytic precursors in the premeiotic ovule. Furthermore, some of these ectopic cells are able to develop female gametophytes that bypass meiosis, a phenotype resembling aposporous mechanisms that prevail in some plant species reproducing by apomixis (Olmedo-Monfil et al. 2010). More recently, a similar phenotype was reported for a dominant mutant affected in MNEME (MEM), a gene encoding an RNA helicase of the DEAD-box family (Schmidt et al. 2011).

Epigenetic mechanisms involved in the development of the animal germline have also been reported (Hajkova et al. 2002; Loriot et al. 2003; Brennecke et al. 2007; Brennecke et al. 2008; Kelly 2014). For example, it is well known that differentiation of primordial germ cells encompasses extensive DNA demethylation and histone replacement (Seki et al. 2005; Leitch et al. 2013). Similarly, recent findings demonstrated that highly active chromatin changes occur during MMC specification in Arabidopsis, suggesting that a reorganization of the epigenetic landscape takes place during the somatic-to-reproductive transition (She et al. 2013). In plants, sRNAs are involved in epigenetic modifications through the RNA-dependent DNA methylation (RdDM) regulation pathway (Matzke and Mosher 2014). RdDM initiates with the transcription of chromatin-enriched loci by the plant-specific DNA-directed RNA Polymerase IV (Pol IV). Pol IV transcripts are converted into long double-stranded RNA molecules by the action of RDR2, and subsequently sliced by DCL3 into 24 nt sRNAs that are loaded by AGO proteins such as AGO4, AGO6, or AGO9. These proteins interact with nascent transcripts produced by Polymerase V (Pol V), promoting the recruitment of de novo DNA methyltransferases such as DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLTRANSFERASE 2 (DRM2) as well as histone methyltransferases and chromatin remodelers, to ultimately cause the reinforcement of heterochromatin (Law and Jacobsen 2010; Simon and Meyers 2010; Rowley et al. 2011; Zhang and Zhu 2012; Zhong et al. 2014). AGOs are a class of PAZ/PIWI domain-containing proteins that have undergone a high degree

of gene duplication in plants (Vaucheret 2008; Zhai et al. 2014). In Arabidopsis, a phylogenetic analysis defined three distinct clades: the AGO1/5/10, the AGO2/3/7, and the so-called AGO4 clade composed of AGO4, AGO6, AGO8, and AGO9 (Supplemental Material, Figure S1; Vaucheret 2008). To date, only AGO4, AGO6, and AGO9 are shown to bind heterochromatic small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) that mostly target repetitive genomic regions and transposable elements (TE) (Zilberman et al. 2004; Zheng et al. 2007, 2013; Duran-Figueroa and Vielle-Calzada 2010; Havecker et al. 2010; Olmedo-Monfil et al. 2010; Eun et al. 2011). By contrast, AGO8 is generally considered to be a pseudogene, mainly because a computational analysis predicted that its presumed coding sequence contains splicing-inducing frame shifts, suggesting the formation of a nonfunctional protein (Takeda et al. 2008).

Here we report that mutations in AGO4, AGO6, or AGO8 lead to abnormal premeiotic ovules harboring more than one female gametophytic precursor, a phenotype reminiscent of defects found in ago9 mutants. We also show that individuals defective in both AGO4 and AGO9 show a suppressive effect on the frequency of ovules harboring this phenotype, revealing a genetic interaction between these two genes that leads to compensatory mechanisms in the control of cell specification. A detailed genetic and cytological analysis indicates that the frequency of premeiotic ovules showing ectopic cells is influenced by parental genotypes involving the function of AGO proteins other than AGO4 and AGO9. Gene expression analysis and in situ protein immunolocalization indicate that AGO6 is overexpressed in ago9 but not in ago4 or ago4 ago9 ovules, suggesting the existence of an interaction between AGO6 and AGO9 that partially depends on the activity of AGO4. By contrast, AGO8 is only overexpressed in ago4 ago9 individuals, suggesting its possible role in the compensatory effect that contributes to restrict gametophytic cell fate. Our results reveal a multigenic network of interactions involving members of the AGO4 clade to control early megaspore formation, opening new possibilities for elucidating the canalized mechanisms that ensure the initial stages of sexual reproduction in Arabidopsis.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

The *ago4-6* (SALK_071772; Strickler *et al.* 2013), *ago5-4* (SALK_050483; Tucker *et al.* 2012), *ago6-2* (SALK_031553; Zheng *et al.* 2007), *ago8-1* (Havecker *et al.* 2012), *ago8-2* (SALK_010058), and *ago9-3* (SAIL_34_G10; Olmedo-Monfil *et al.* 2010) mutant alleles are in the Columbia (*Col*) background; whereas *ago1-37* (Yang *et al.* 2006) and *ago4-1* (Zilberman *et al.* 2003) are in the Landsberg *erecta* (L*er*) background. Both *Col* and L*er* wild-type plants were used as controls in the quantitative analysis of single mutant genotypes, whereas *Col* × L*er* F₁ individuals were used as controls in the analysis of double mutant genotypes. Seeds were surface sterilized with chlorine gas and germinated under

long-day conditions (16 hr light/8 hr dark) in MS medium at 22°. Seedlings were grown under greenhouse or growth chamber conditions (24°). Primer pairs used for genotyping are listed in Table S2.

Cytological analysis of ovule development

For cytological examination of premeiotic ovules, gynoecia of 0.4–0.6 mm in length from wild-type and mutant plants were harvested and fixed in formalin-acetic acid-alcohol solution (40% formaldehyde, glacial acetic acid, 50% ethanol; in a 5:5:90 volume ratio) for 24 hr at room temperature. After fixation, samples were washed five times with absolute ethanol and stored in 70% ethanol at room temperature for 24 hr. Fixed gynoecia were dissected with hypodermic needles (1 mm insulin syringes), cleared in Herr's solution (phenol:chloral hydrate:85% lactic acid:xylene:clove oil in a 1:1:1:0.5:1 proportion), and observed by differential interference contrast microscopy using a Leica DMR microscope.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA from gynoecia bearing premeiotic ovules (0.5-0.6 mm in length) was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA, using oligo dT and SuperScript reverse transcriptase II (Invitrogen). Primers for PCR were designed using the online program Primer 3 (v.0.4.0) and verified with OligoEvaluator (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO) to discard dimer structure formation. PCR efficiencies of the target and reference genes were determined by generating standard curves, based on serial dilutions prepared from cDNA templates. PCR efficiency was calculated according to the slope of the standard curve (primers with 100% efficiency, the fold equals to 2). Each quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) reaction was performed in a 10-µl volume consisting of 5 μ l of 2 \times SYBR Green PCR Reaction Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), 3.5 μ l of DNA template (10 ng/ μ l), 0.5 µl of forward primer (5 µM), 0.5 µl of reverse primer $(5 \mu M)$, and 0.5 μ l of ultrapure water. The qPCR reactions were performed using the StepOne Applied Biosystems and the data were analyzed using the StepOne software v2.2.2. The thermal profile consisted of 10 min at 95°, 40 cycles of 15 sec at 95°, and 1 min at 60°. Amplification results were collected at the end of the extension step. Primer sequences used for qPCR amplifications are listed in Table S2 and Table S4. A comparative $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used for determining a relative target quantity of gene expression, and ACTIN2 was used as a control (Czechowski et al. 2004). Reproducibility of the results was evaluated for each sample by running three technical and three biological replicates of each of the reactions and each genotype.

Whole-mount immunolocalization

Whole-mount immunolocalization was performed as described in Escobar-Guzmán *et al.* (2015), with minor modifications. Gynoecia of 0.6 mm were harvested and fixed in paraformaldehyde ($1 \times$ PBS, 4% paraformaldehyde, 2% triton), for 2 hr

under continuous agitation on ice. After fixation, the samples were washed three times in $1 \times PBS$ and embedded in a matrix of 15% acrylamide:bysacrilamide (29:1) over positively charged slides (ProbeOn Plus; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) previously treated with Poly-L-lysine. After embedding, the samples were digested in an enzymatic cocktail (1% driselase, 0.5% cellulose, 1% pectolyase) in $1 \times PBS$ for 1 hr at 37°. Then, the samples were permeabilized for 2 hr in $1 \times$ PBS:2% triton and blocked by incubating them with 1% BSA (Hoffman La Roche, Nutley, NJ) for 1 hr at 37°. Incubation with AGO6 (Havecker et al. 2010) primary antibody was carried out overnight at 4° at a dilution of 1:100. Samples were washed for 8 hr in $1 \times$ PBS:0.2% triton, refreshing the solution each 2 hr. Subsequently, samples were incubated overnight with the secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) at a dilution of 1:300. After a washing step of 8 hr, the samples were incubated with propidium iodide (500 μ g/ml) in 1× PBS for 20 min and washed in 1× PBS for 40 min. Finally, samples were mounted in PROLONG (Molecular Probes). Sections of premeiotic ovules were captured on a laser scanning confocal microscope (LSM 510 META; Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY) with multitrack configuration for detecting propidium iodide [excitation with diode pump solid state (DPSS) laser at 568 nm, emission collected using band pass filter (BP) 575-615 nm] and Alexa 488 (excitation with Argon laser at 488 nm, emission collected using band pass filter (BP) 500-550 nm). Laser intensity and gain were equivalently set for all samples.

Data availability

Sequence data from this article can be found in the European Molecular Biology Laboratory /GenBank data libraries under accession numbers At2g27040, At2g32940, At5g21030, and At5g21150. Additional mutant strains are available upon request. Table S2 contains names of primers used for genotyping and qPCR assays.

Results

All members of the AGO4 clade are involved in the specification of female gametophytic precursors

It was previously reported that mutations in *AGO9* promote the development of more than one premeiotic gametophytic precursor during early ovule development (Olmedo-Monfil *et al.* 2010). The incomplete penetrance of this defect led us to suspect that additional factors could act redundantly to restrict cell specification in the ovule. To elucidate if close relatives of *AGO9* could have a role in the somatic-to-reproductive transition, we cytologically characterized early ovule development in mutant plants defective in *AGO4*, *AGO6*, and *AGO8*. We started by conducting a quantitative characterization of stage 1 ovules following the classification reported by Rodríguez-Leal *et al.* (Rodríguez-Leal *et al.* 2015). Stage 1 corresponds to premeiotic ovule primordia having a well-defined proximaldistal axis and absence of integument initiation. For this type of ovule, we defined three phenotypic classes based on the number of enlarged subepidermal cells reminiscent of gametophytic precursors corresponding to the MMC: class I includes ovules with a single gametophytic precursor, which by its subepidermal position corresponds to the MMC (Figure 1, A and B); class II corresponds to premeiotic ovules with two gametophytic precursors resembling twin MMCs (Figure 1C); and class III corresponds to premeiotic ovules containing more than two cells resembling the MMC (Figure 1, D and E). Under greenhouse conditions, 9.8% (n = 934) and 17.2% (n = 429) of Col and Ler ovules showed two MMCs, respectively (Table 1), confirming that Arabidopsis ecotypes exhibit naturally occurring variation in the number of ovules with ectopic gametophytic precursors (Rodríguez-Leal et al. 2015). In contrast to wild type, ago9-3 behaved as a dominant mutation showing $20.68\% \pm 2.18$ (*n* = 385) and $28.93\% \pm 3.36$ (*n* = 508) class II and class III ovules in heterozygous and homozygous mutant individuals, respectively.

Heterozygous ago4-1/+ and ago4-6/+ mutant individuals also showed abnormal numbers of ovules with gametophytic precursors, suggesting that these mutants are also dominant for the phenotype analyzed. The frequency of class II and class III ovules in heterozygous ago4-1/+ (Ler background) and ago4-6/+ (Col background) individuals was 20% (n = 270) and 16.79% (n = 649), respectively (Table 1). As expected, homozygous ago4-1 and ago4-6 plants exhibited larger frequencies of class II and class III ovules compared to heterozygous plants (38.4 \pm 0.56 and 33.44 \pm 1.48, respectively; Table 1). Because past studies have shown that mutations in AGO4 act as recessive loss-of-function alleles that can be rescued by hemizygous complementation (Zilberman et al. 2003), we conducted an additional cytological analysis in a randomly selected group of F_2 and F_3 individuals segregating for ago4-6, scoring a total of eight individuals per genotype at each generation. Whereas wild-type F₂ plants that inherited two wild-type copies of AGO4 showed a frequency of abnormal class II and class III ovules equivalent to wild-type Col (average of 10.65% \pm 0.96; Table S1), F₂ heterozygous *ago4-6/+* individuals showed a frequency of class II and class III ovules ranging between 28.84 and 37.71% (average of 31.82% \pm 1.2; Table S1), which is similar to the frequency obtained for F_2 homozygous ago4/6 individuals (average of 33.23 \pm 0.98; Table S1). Similar frequencies were obtained for segregating individuals of the F₃ population resulting from self-pollination of a F_2 heterozygous individual (Table S2), confirming that mutations in AGO4 consistently cause dominant defects during megasporogenesis (Table S3).

In addition, heterozygous ago6-2/+ individuals showed 13.54% (n = 426) of ovules harboring more than one game-tophytic precursor (Table 1). Homozygous ago6-2 plants exhibited the same phenotype at 25.66% (n = 220; Figure 1F, Table 1), indicating that *AGO6* also has a role in restricting the number of gametophytic precursors specified in the ovule primordium. Similar to homozygous ago4-6, homozygous ago6-2 individuals showed a higher frequency of class III ovules than homozygous ago9-3 individuals (Table 1). Finally, heterozygous ago8-1/+ plants showed 12.48% (n = 334) of

stage1 ovules harboring ectopic gametophytic precursors, whereas homozygous ago8-1 and ago8-2 individuals showed 27.84% (n = 1023) and 29.69% (n = 456) respectively (Figure 1G); indicating that AGO8 is also involved in the restriction of cell specification, despite being previously reported as a pseudogene (Takeda et al. 2008). To determine if mutations in AGO genes not belonging to the AGO4 clade could also show defects in female gametophytic precursor specification, we analyzed ago1-37, a weak allele of AGO1, the main protein involved in microRNA-dependent regulatory pathways (Yang et al. 2006); and ago5-1, which had been previously shown to be involved in the promotion of mitosis during female gametogenesis in Arabidopsis (Tucker et al. 2012). Despite strong vegetative defects exhibited by ago1-37 at almost all developmental stages (Yang et al. 2006), the frequency of ovules with ectopic gametophytic precursors in homozygous ago1-37 and ago5-1 individuals was no different from wild type (Figure 1H, Table 1). We therefore conclude that it is specifically the AGO4 clade that plays an important role in restricting the number of female gametophytic precursors in the developing ovule.

Genetic interactions between AGO4 and AGO9 affect the specification of female gametophytic precursors

To determine possible genetic interactions between AGO4 and AGO9, we conducted a phenotypic analysis in different genotypes involving the double mutant ago4 ago9. Homozygous ago4-1 individuals show reduced DNA methylation primarily at CHG and CHH methylation sites (where H = A, T, orC) and less frequently at CG sites (Zilberman et al. 2003; Stroud et al. 2013). We crossed ago4-1 to ago9-3 individuals and produced plants segregating for both mutations. F1 double heterozygous ago4-1/+;ago9-3/+ individuals showed a frequency of ovules with ectopic gametophytic precursors reflecting an additive effect between these two mutants (Figure 2A). To analyze additional genotypic combinations, F₂ individuals segregating for both mutations were also cytologically quantified. Interestingly, the frequency of ovules showing ectopic gametophytic precursors in $F_2 ago 4-1/+; ago 9-3/+$ was significantly lower than those expected under additive effects, and similar to frequencies observed in heterozygous plants for each single mutant (Figure 2A). Furthermore, F₂ ago4-1/+;ago9-3/ago9-3 plants exhibited a slightly lower frequency of abnormal ovules compared to single homozygous ago9-3 individuals (Figure 2A), indicating that heterozygosity of ago4-1 in the homozygous ago9-3 background promotes a suppression of the mutant phenotype. On the other hand, $F_2 ago 4-1/ago 4-1$; ago 9-3/+ individuals showed a frequency of ectopic gametophytic precursors lower than single homozygous ago4-1 but higher than F_2 ago4-1/+;ago9-3/ ago9-3 plants (Figure 2A). In addition, F2 double homozygous ago4-1 ago9-3 individuals showed a significantly lower frequency of ovules with ectopic gametophytic precursors than homozygous ago4-1 or ago9-3 single mutant individuals (Figure 2A). These results indicate that the simultaneous absence of AGO4 and AGO9 tends to repress the differentiation of ectopic gametophytic precursors in the ovule. This repressive



Figure 1 Phenotypic characterization of stage 1 ovules in wild type and *ago* mutants. (A) Wild-type ovule of *Col* showing a single MMC (class I). (B) Wild-type ovule of *Ler* showing a single MMC (class I). (C) *ago9-3* ovule showing two enlarged cells (class II), (D) *ago4-6* ovule showing three abnormal enlarged cells (class II), (E) *ago4-1* ovule showing four enlarged cells (F) *ago6-2* ovule showing two enlarged cells (class II), (G) *ago8-1* ovule showing two enlarged cells (class II), (G) *ago8-1* ovule showing two enlarged cells (class II), (G) *ago8-1* ovule showing a single MMC (class I). Bar, 20 µm.

effect is less severe in F₂ ago4-1/ago4-1;ago9-3/+ plants, suggesting that a functional allele of *AGO9* in a homozygous ago4 background tends to exacerbate the mutant phenotype. Strikingly, the frequency of ovules harboring multiple gamete precursors in F₂ ago4-1/+;ago9-3/+, ago4-1/+; ago9-3/ago9-3, and ago4-1/ago4-1;ago9-3/ago9-3 was similar, suggesting that additional genetic factors must contribute to restrict the specification of gametophytic precursors in the premeiotic ovule.

To determine if the ecotypic background could influence interactions between *AGO4* and *AGO9*, we conducted the same phenotypic analysis in *ago4-6*, a mutation generated in the *Col* and not the *Ler* ecotype. As in the case of interactions between *ago4-1* and *ago9-3*, the frequency of abnormal stage 1 ovules was higher in F₁ *ago4-6/+;ago9-3/+* individuals than in single heterozygous *ago4-6/+* or *ago9-3/+* mutants (Figure 2B). Also, F₂ *ago4-6/+; ago9-3/+* individuals exhibited a lower frequency of abnormal ovules than F₁ *ago4-6/+;ago9-3/+* plants (Figure 2B), confirming the results obtained with ago4-1. In addition, the suppressive effect observed in double homozygous individuals was stronger in ago4-6 ago9-3 than in ago4-1 ago9-3 individuals (Figure 2B), suggesting that the Ler background exerts a stronger ecotypic effect than Col over the restriction of female gametophytic precursors. Overall, these results suggest that AGO9 and AGO4 genetically interact to restrict the differentiation of additional premeiotic precursors in the ovule, but also that additional genetic factors participate in this developmental process.

Genetic interactions between AGO4 and AGO9 are influenced by parental genotypes

Emerging evidence suggests that mutations affecting epigenetic pathways can originate transgenerational, stable, epigenetic modifications (Reinders and Paszkowski 2009; Johannes and Colome-Tatche 2011; Mari-Ordonez *et al.* 2013). To test if this type of epigenetic effect could influence the function of *AGO4* or *AGO9*, we quantified the frequency of premeiotic stage 1 gametophytic precursors

Table 1 Phenotypic analysis of wild-type and mutant ovules at stage 1

Genotype	nª	Class I ^b	Class II ^c	Class III ^d	Class II and III	Ale
Col	934	89.5 ± 1.23	10.49 ± 1.23	0	10.49 ± 1.23	3
Ler	429	82.9 ± 1.61	16.52 ± 1.39	0.56 ± 0.33	17.24 ± 1.62	3
ago1-37 (Ler)	369	86.90 ± 0.47	11.81 ± 0.83	0.97 ± 1.02	12.8 ± 0.54	3
ago5-1 (Col)	375	92.3 ± 0.55	7.59 ± 0.41	0	7.59 ± 0.41	3
ago9-3/+ (Col)	385	78.48 ± 2.63	20.68 ± 2.18	0.82 ± 0.47	21.50 ± 2.63	4
ago9-3 (Col)	508	71.05 ± 3.36	28.69 ± 3.36	0.32 ± 0.22	28.93 ± 3.36	4
ago4-1/+ (Ler)	270	79.77 ± 1.47	18.20 ± 0.94	2.00 ± 0.62	20.21 ± 1.47	3
ago4-1 (Ler)	276	61.58 ± 0.56	37.32 ± 0.90	1.07 ± 0.34	38.40 ± 0.56	4
ago4-6/+ (Col)	649	83.16 ± 0.61	14.43 ± 0.43	2.39 ± 0.31	16.82 ± 0.61	3
ago4-6 (Col)	356	66.54 ± 2.57	27.74 ± 0.25	5.70 ± 0.24	33.44 ± 1.48	3
ago6-2/+ (Col)	426	87.69 ± 1.94	11.53 ± 1.99	0.75 ± 0.05	13.54 ± 1.85	3
ago6-2 (Col)	220	74.31 ± 3.58	18.10 ± 2.18	7.56 ± 2.00	25.66 ± 3.58	4
ago8-1/+ (Col)	334	87.50 ± 0.52	12.24 ± 0.41	0.24 ± 0.41	12.48 ± 0.52	3
ago8-1 (Col)	1023	71.97 ± 3.28	26.11 ± 3.10	1.73 ± 0.71	27.84 ± 3.23	6
ago8-2 (Col)	456	70.29 ± 1.62	24.77 ± 1.67	4.91 ± 1.67	29.69 ± 1.62	5

Values are given as a percentage of the total number of ovules analyzed.

^a Total of ovules analyzed.

^b Ovules with a single MMC.

^c Ovules with two enlarged MMC-like cells.

^d Ovules with more than two enlarged MMC-like cells.

^e Number of individuals included in the analysis.

in the progeny of double heterozygous individuals segregating for mutations in these two genes. Equivalent frequencies were found in F₂ and F₃ wild-type segregant individuals (Figure 3A), discarding the possibility of strict transgenerational epigenetic effects and indicating that any abnormal frequency is caused by loss-of-function alleles for any of the two genes. We also analyzed stage 1 ovules in homozygous and heterozygous progeny of double heterozygous individuals. F₃ double heterozygous plants exhibited a similar frequency of ectopic gametophytic precursors than F2 double heterozygous individuals, and double homozygous F₃ individuals exhibited a frequency equivalent to double homozygous F₂ individuals (Figure 3A). By contrast, the frequency of stage 1 ovules harboring ectopic gametophytic precursors increased progressively in F₃ and F₄ progeny originating from double homozygous plants (Figure 3B), indicating that the suppressive effect previously described is mitigated if not suppressed in progeny from double homozygous plants. These results indicate that the role of AGO4 and AGO9 in premeiotic gametophytic specification is influenced by the parental genotype, suggesting that loss of function of both genes triggers a compensatory effect that prevents the differentiation of ectopic cells in the developing ovule.

AGO6 and AGO8 influence gametophytic specification in ago4 and ago9 mutant backgrounds

To explore if the compensatory mechanism triggered by the simultaneous loss of function of *AGO4* and *AGO9* could be related to the activity of other members of the *AGO4* clade, we conducted qPCR to determine the expression of *AGO6* and *AGO8* in developing gynoecia harboring premeiotic ovules of single *ago4-6*, *ago9-3*, and double homozygous *ago4-6 ago9-3* individuals (Figure 4, A and B). In *ago4-6*, the expression of *AGO6* was equivalent to wild type (*Col*); however, the expression of *AGO6* was significantly increased

in ago9-3 (Figure 4A), indicating a differential response in the expression of AGO6 to the absence of AGO4 or AGO9 functional activity. Despite being overexpressed in ago9-3, premeiotic gynoecia of the double mutant ago4-6 ago9-3 exhibited normal AGO6 expression, indicating that loss of function of AGO4 in the ago9-3 background negatively regulates AGO6 activity. In contrast to AGO6, the expression of AGO8 was not affected in ago4-6 and ago9-3 single mutants (Figure 4B), but was significantly increased in premeiotic gynoecia of ago4-6 ago9-3 individuals (Figure 4B). These results indicate that interactions between AGO4-clade members are reflected at the level of transcriptional gene activity, suggesting that robust redundant mechanisms among members of the AGO4 clade contribute to restrict gametophytic cell fate in the premeiotic ovule. Increased expression of AGO8 in ovules lacking AGO4 and AGO9 activity could contribute to explain the compensatory phenotypic effect exhibited by premeiotic ovules.

To determine if the qPCR experiments could reflect the levels of protein expression in the premeiotic ovule, we performed whole-mount immunolocalization in wild-type Col, ago4-6, ago9-3, and double mutant ago9-3 ago4-6 ovules; using an antibody raised against AGO6 (Figure 4, C-F; Havecker et al. 2010). In wild-type ovules, AGO6 was localized in the cytoplasm and sometimes the nucleus of most sporophytic cells of the premeiotic primordium, including the premeiotic MMC (Figure 4D). The localization and level of AGO6 expression was similar to wild type in premeiotic ovules of ago4-6 and ago4-6 ago9-3 individuals (Figure 4E), a result in agreement with our previous qPCR assay. At the onset of integumentary initiation, a region of preferential AGO6 expression was identified in the dorsal region of the primordium in both genetic backgrounds (Figure 4D); suggesting that the absence of AGO4 activity might cause subtle differences in the pattern of AGO6 localization, but no differences in the level of AGO6



protein expression. By contrast, AGO6 was abundantly localized throughout the ovule primordium of *ago9-3* plants, including L1 cells of the apical region and the MMC (Figure 4F). These results show that overexpression of AGO6 in *ago9-3* ovules is reflected at the protein level, suggesting that the genetic interactions that control premeiotic gametophytic specification imply dosage effects at the protein level among AGO4-clade members.

Discussion

All genes of the AGO4 clade play an important role during the somatic-to-reproductive transition in the ovule

ARGONAUTE genes have been described as fundamental factors controlling specific aspects of germline development in yeast, *Drosophila*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, mammals, and

plants (Lin and Spradling 1997; Kennerdell et al. 2002; Yigit et al. 2006; Nonomura et al. 2007; Olmedo-Monfil et al. 2010). Here we provide genetic evidence indicating that all members of the AGO4-clade contribute to restrict the number of gametophytic precursors in premeiotic ovules of Arabidopsis. Distinct mutations in members of the AGO4 clade result in variable phenotypic frequencies, suggesting that these genes hierarchically contribute to this restriction. Whereas AGO4 and AGO9 appear to play a prevalent role in the mechanism that impedes the differentiation of multiple MMCs, mutations in AGO6 and AGO8 show equivalent phenotypic effects, albeit at lower frequencies; suggesting a less determinant redundant function. The role of AGO6 in gametophytic precursor specification is unexpected as the presence of its messenger RNA is not detected in nucellus or MMCs according to previously published data (Schmidt et al. 2011). Although AGO8 was previously reported as a pseudogene



Figure 3 The number of female gametophytic precursors is influenced by the parental genotype. (A) Quantitative analysis of stage 1 ovules showing more than one gametophytic precursor in F2 and F3 segregant populations derived from ago4-6/+ (Col); ago9-3/+ (Col) individuals. The letter indicates pairwise results of two-tailed Fisher's exact tests used to estimate statistical significance of differences between genotypes: a, comparison to ago4-6/+; ago9-3/+ (F_1). ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001. (B) The compensatory effect resulting from simultaneous loss of function of AGO4 and AGO9 is progressively diminished through consecutive generations. Letters indicate pairwise results of two-tailed Fisher's exact tests used to estimate statistical significance of differences between genotypes: a, comparison to ago4-6 ago9-3 (F2); b, comparison to ago4-6 ago9-3 (F_3). ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001. SDs were calculated on the basis of biological replicates for each genotype.

(Takeda *et al.* 2008), the *ago8-1* insertional allele exhibits a frequency of ectopic configurations significantly higher than wild type. This same phenotype has been also found in *ago8-2*, suggesting a functional activity necessary for gametophytic precursor specification. By contrast, and despite harboring severe vegetative defects that could precede pleiotropic abnormalities during female reproductive development, homozygous *ago1-37* and *ago5-1* individuals did not show defects in meiosis or gametophytic precursor differentiation, indicating that the canonical microRNA-dependent pathway is not essential for megasporogenesis, and that premeiotic ovules of individuals defective in *AGO5* are also indistinguishable from wild type (Tucker *et al.* 2012); confirming that MMC specification is not dependent on microRNA function but strictly controlled by members of the AGO4 clade.

Since AGO4, AGO6, and AGO9 bind heterochromatic sRNAs through the RdDM pathway, our results reinforce the important participation of epigenetic processes in regulating gametophytic precursor specification in the ovule (Zilberman *et al.* 2003; Zheng *et al.* 2007; Duran-Figueroa and Vielle-Calzada 2010; Olmedo-Monfil *et al.* 2010; Eun *et al.* 2011; Duan *et al.* 2014). Our results also show that mutations in any of the AGO4-clade genes, including AGO4, are dominant over wild-type alleles for defects affecting gametophytic cell specification in the ovule. This type of inheritance had already been reported for *ago9-2* and *ago9-3* (Olmedo-Monfil *et al.* 2010), suggesting that a dosage-dependent mechanism acting nonautonomously during megasporogenesis is responsible for the mutant phenotype in members of the AGO4 clade; a model for the mode of action has been proposed elsewhere (Armenta-Medina *et al.* 2011).

A recent report showed that the MMC is marked by a reduction of heterochromatin content compared to its surrounding nucellar cells (She et al. 2013). A similar depletion of heterochromatic elements is observed in the ectopic configurations of ago9, sgs3, and rdr6 individuals (She et al. 2013). In addition, genome-wide studies have proven that loss of RdDM components such as AGO4 and AGO6 results in changes of chromatin integrity (Huettel et al. 2007; Pikaard et al. 2008; Law and Jacobsen 2010; Zhang and Zhu 2012; Stroud et al. 2013). A direct role of AGO proteins in chromatin modification has been also described in C. elegans, where HRDE-1 directs trimethylation of histone H3 at Lysine 9 (Maine and Kimble 1993; Nishiwaki and Miwa 1998; Buckley et al. 2012). Furthermore, Ago-1 of Drosophila plays a crucial role in heterochromatin formation (Pushpavalli et al. 2012). Taken together, these results support the hypothesis proposing that members of the AGO4 clade are required for maintaining the chromatin configuration of nucellar cells in Arabidopsis in a dosage-dependent manner. The disruption of any of these genes during the somatic-to-reproductive transition would confer a novel chromatin status that might lead to ectopic differentiation of gametophytic precursors.

Complex interactions between AGO4-clade members

Although genetic interactions between *AGO* members have been addressed by comparing the nature and abundance of their interacting sRNAs as well as the consequence of their functional loss for genomic DNA methylation (Zheng *et al.* 2007; Havecker *et al.* 2010; McCue *et al.* 2015), little emphasis has been given to their possible developmental role, mainly due to a lack of obvious mutant phenotypes during vegetative



Figure 4 The expression of AGO6 and AGO8 is affected by loss-of-function mutations in ago4 or ago9. (A) Expression of AGO6 in developing gynoecia containing premeiotic ovules. (B) Expression of AGO8 in developing gynoecia containing premeiotic ovules. The comparative $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used for determining the relative level of gene expression as compared to wild type, using ACTIN2 as internal control (Czechowski et al. 2004). Each histogram represents the mean of three biological replicates and shows the corresponding SD. Letters indicate pairwise results of two-tailed Fisher's exact tests used to estimate statistical significance of possible differences between genotypes: a, comparison to Col; b, comparison to ago4-6 ago9-3 F₂; c, comparison to Col; d, comparison to ago9-3. ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001. (C) Whole-mount immunolocalizations showing the expression of AGO6 in wild-type and mutant premeiotic ovules. Alexa 488 fluorescence (green) denotes the localization of the antibody raised against AGO6; samples were counterstained with propidium iodide (red). Bar, 15 μm.

growth. Here we show that *AGO4* and *AGO9* genetically interact during the somatic-to-reproductive transition in the ovule. With the exception of double heterozygous individuals, all genotypic combinations of alleles simultaneously affecting *AGO4* and *AGO9* exhibit nonadditive effects during megasporogenesis. Interestingly, the complete loss of function of these genes can result in the absence of a mutant phenotype, as compared to wild-type plants; however, our results also suggest that suppression of this mutant phenotype is dependent

on the *ago4* allelic variants tested and their genetic backgrounds, likely contributing to the compensatory phenomenon revealed by genetic interactions among members of the *AGO4* clade. Recent reports showed that different ecotypes of *Arabidopsis* exhibit equivalent phenotypes at variable frequencies, and that interecotypic hybridization exacerbates the frequency of supernumerary gametophytic precursors, suggesting that multiple loci control cell specification at the onset of female meiosis (Rodríguez-Leal *et al.* 2015).

Our qPCR experiments show that AGO8 is overexpressed in homozygous F2 ago4 ago9 ovules, but not in ovules of ago4 or ago9 single mutants, suggesting that the mitigation of the abnormal phenotype in the double homozygous mutant background could be influenced by the activity AGO8. Contrary to AGO8, the expression of AGO6 is increased in ago9-3 but not in in ago4-6, nor in double homozygous ago4 ago9 individuals. These contrasting effects in AGO6 and AGO8 activity suggest that, despite their common contribution to restricting gametophytic precursor specification at the onset of meiosis, each gene is differentially regulated in response to loss of function of AGO4 or AGO9. The recovery of normal expression levels for AGO6 in the double homozygous ago4 ago9 but not in single ago9-3 individuals indicates that AGO4 influences AGO6 expression only in the absence of AGO9 activity. Our overall results suggest that AGO4-clade gene members coordinately act to restrict the ectopic formation of gametophytic precursors in the ovule. Because AGO4 and AGO9 are importantly required for silencing repetitive elements such as TEs in the germline (Zilberman et al. 2003; Duran-Figueroa and Vielle-Calzada 2010), and AGO9 has been implicated in male meiosis and somatic DNA repair (Oliver et al. 2014), the compensatory effect revealed by interactions among AGO4-clade members is likely to be extended to a broader developmental context. In addition, genome-wide DNA methylation analysis has revealed nonredundant interactions between AGO4 and AGO6 in most of their target loci, as both are required to confer a wild-type DNA methylation status (Duan et al. 2014). Interestingly, methylation is not completely suppressed at target loci in ago4 ago6 mutants, suggesting that additional AGOs are also required to maintain the global methylation pattern (Duan et al. 2014).

The pattern of protein localization of AGO4, AGO6, and AGO9 is in agreement with the evidence indicating they play redundant functions during early ovule formation. Whereas AGO4 is ubiquitously expressed throughout development and localized in both nucleus and cytoplasm (Li *et al.* 2006; Ye *et al.* 2012), AGO6 is reported to localize in the cytoplasm of foliar parenchyma cells (Zheng *et al.* 2007; McCue *et al.* 2015). AGO9 is preferentially expressed in the L1 layer of the premeiotic ovule primordium, and in the cytoplasm of nucellar cells (Olmedo-Monfil *et al.* 2010; Escobar-Guzmán *et al.* 2015). Recent evidence indicates that AGO4 and AGO6 differ in their subnuclear colocalization as compared to the RNA polymerases required for RdDM (McCue *et al.* 2015); whereas Pol V and AGO4 are colocalized in perinuclear foci, Pol II and AGO6 are absent (McCue *et al.* 2014).

Parental genotypes influence the somatic-toreproductive transition during consecutive generations

A significantly different frequency of ectopic configurations was obtained in double heterozygous $ago4/+ ago9/+ F_1$ and F_2 individuals, indicating that epigenetic factors are influencing the number of ectopic configurations that differentiate at each generation. Epigenetic variability between parental lines can cause additive effects for developmental traits scored in the

progeny (Groszmann *et al.* 2013; Groszmann *et al.* 2014; Escobar-Guzmán *et al.* 2015). Despite the large proportion of potential RdDM target loci shared by both genes, there is also evidence suggesting specific epigenetic regulation by one or the other (Havecker *et al.* 2010), suggesting potential epiallelism for each mutant. Strikingly, segregant *ago4/+ ago9/+* F₃ individuals showed frequencies equivalent to *ago4/+ ago9/+* F₂ plants, suggesting that double heterozygous progeny from outcrossed plants behave differently than heterozygous progeny of self-fertilized individuals.

Double homozygous ago4 ago9 individuals derived from self-pollination of double heterozygous plants showed frequencies of ectopic configurations equivalent to wild type. In contrast, ago4 ago9 individuals derived from self-pollination of a double homozygous plant showed an abnormal frequency of ectopic configurations, revealing an influence of the parental genotype on the capacity for restricting ectopic differentiation of female gamete precursors. The frequency of ectopic configurations in double homozygous ago4 ago9 individuals increased progressively throughout consecutive generations, indicating that the compensatory effect is progressively diminished. These results suggest that AGO4 and AGO9 are necessary for maintaining the epigenetic marks that ensure the restriction of gametogenic commitment to a single cell, over consecutive generations. There is growing evidence that epigenetic modifications occurring within the plant germline in one generation can be stably inherited at subsequent generations (Iwasaki and Paszkowski 2014). For example, components of the RdDM pathway have been implicated in preventing transgenerational accumulation of some Ty1/Copia-like retrotransposons in plants affected by abiotic stress in Arabidopsis (Ito et al. 2011); and in C. elegans, proteins such as HRDE-1 are required for transmitting the RNA-interference silencing signal to subsequent generations (Buckley et al. 2012). Our results indicate that AGO4 and AGO9 are required to establish the transgenerational epigenetic information that is necessary to restrict gametophytic fate in the ovule, confirming that members of the AGO4 clade cooperatively participate in preventing the abnormal specification of multiple premeiotic gametophytic precursors during early ovule development.

Conclusions

We show a surprising degree of cooperative interaction among gene members of the *AGO4* clade during meiosis and megaspore formation in *Arabidopsis*. Our study reveals unforeseen levels of epigenetic control acting to canalize a developmental process that is essential for sexual plant reproduction in flowering plants.

Acknowledgments

We thank Arnaud Ronceret and Isaac Rodríguez for constructive comments on the manuscript, and June Simpson for support with real-time PCR. We also thank the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center for their long-standing support sending *Arabidopsis* seeds to Mexico. E.H-.L and D.R-.L. were recipients of a graduate scholarship from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología. This research was supported by grants from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CON47436), the International Scholar Program of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and the DuPont Pioneer regional initiatives to benefit local subsistence farmers.

Author contributions: E.H-.L. and J-.P.V-.C. designed the research. E.H-.L., J-.P.V-.C., and J.L. conducted the experiments. J-.P.V-.C, D.R-.L., and E.H-.L. analyzed the results and wrote the paper.

Literature Cited

- Bajon, C., C. Horlow, J. C. Motamayor, A. Sauvanet, and D. Robert, 1999 Megasporogenesis in Arabidopsos thaliana L.: an ultrastructural study. Sex. Plant Reprod. 12: 99–109.
- Bendel-Stenzel, M., R. Anderson, J. Heasman, and C. Wylie, 1998 The origin and migration of primordial germ cells in the mouse. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 9: 393–400.
- Brennecke, J., A. A. Aravin, A. Stark, M. Dus, M. Kellis *et al.*, 2007 Discrete small RNA-generating loci as master regulators of transposon activity in Drosophila. Cell 128: 1089–1103.
- Brennecke, J., C. D. Malone, A. A. Aravin, R. Sachidanandam, A. Stark *et al.*, 2008 An epigenetic role for maternally inherited piRNAs in transposon silencing. Science 322: 1387–1392.
- Buckley, B. A., K. B. Burkhart, S. G. Gu, G. Spracklin, A. Kershner *et al.*, 2012 A nuclear argonaute promotes multigenerational epigenetic inheritance and germline immortality. Nature 489: 447–451.
- Czechowski, T., R. P. Bari, M. Stitt, W. R. Scheible, and M. K. Udvardi, 2004 Real-time RT-PCR profiling of over 1400 Arabidopsis transcription factors: unprecedented sensitivity reveals novel root- and shoot-specific genes. Plant J. 38: 366–379.
- Donoughe, S., T. Nakamura, B. Ewen-Campen, D. A. Green, II, L. Henderson *et al.*, 2014 BMP signaling is required for the generation of primordial germ cells in an insect. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111: 4133–4138.
- Drews, G. N., and R. Yadegari, 2002 Development and function of the angiosperm female gametophyte. Annu. Rev. Genet. 36: 99–124.
- Duan, C. G., H. Zhang, K. Tang, X. Zhu, W. Qian *et al.*, 2014 Specific but interdependent functions for Arabidopsis AGO4 and AGO6 in RNA-directed DNA methylation. EMBO J. 34: 581–592.
- Duran-Figueroa, N., and J. P. Vielle-Calzada, 2010 ARGONAUTE9dependent silencing of transposable elements in pericentromeric regions of Arabidopsis. Plant Signal. Behav. 5: 1476–1479.
- Escobar-Guzmán, R., D. Rodríguez-Leal, J.-P. Vielle-Calzada, and A. Ronceret, 2015 Whole-mount immunolocalization to study female meiosis in Arabidopsis. Nat. Protoc. 10: 1535–1542.
- Eun, C., Z. J. Lorkovic, U. Naumann, Q. Long, E. R. Havecker *et al.*, 2011 AGO6 functions in RNA-mediated transcriptional gene silencing in shoot and root meristems in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS One 6: e25730.
- Groszmann, M., I. K. Greaves, R. Fujimoto, W. J. Peacock, and E. S. Dennis, 2013 The role of epigenetics in hybrid vigour. Trends Genet. 29: 684–690.
- Groszmann, M., R. Gonzalez-Bayon, I. K. Greaves, L. Wang, A. K. Huen *et al.*, 2014 Intraspecific Arabidopsis hybrids show different patterns of heterosis despite the close relatedness of the parental genomes. Plant Physiol. 166: 265–280.
- Hajkova, P., S. Erhardt, N. Lane, T. Haaf, O. El-Maarri *et al.*, 2002 Epigenetic reprogramming in mouse primordial germ cells. Mech. Dev. 117: 15–23.

- Havecker, E. R., L. M. Wallbridge, T. J. Hardcastle, M. S. Bush, K. A. Kelly *et al.*, 2010 The Arabidopsis RNA-directed DNA methylation argonautes functionally diverge based on their expression and interaction with target loci. Plant Cell 22: 321–334.
- Havecker, E. R., L. M. Wallbridge, P. Fedito, T. J. Hardcastle, and D. C. Baulcombe, 2012 Metastable differentially methylated regions within Arabidopsis inbred populations are associated with modified expression of non-coding transcripts. PLoS One 7: e45242.
- Huettel, B., T. Kanno, L. Daxinger, E. Bucher, J. van der Winden et al., 2007 RNA-directed DNA methylation mediated by DRD1 and Pol IVb: a versatile pathway for transcriptional gene silencing in plants. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1769: 358–374.
- Ito, H., H. Gaubert, E. Bucher, M. Mirouze, I. Vaillant *et al.*, 2011 An siRNA pathway prevents transgenerational retrotransposition in plants subjected to stress. Nature 472: 115–119.
- Iwasaki, M., and J. Paszkowski, 2014 Epigenetic memory in plants. EMBO J. 33: 1987–1998.
- Johannes, F., and M. Colome-Tatche, 2011 Quantitative epigenetics through epigenomic perturbation of isogenic lines. Genetics 188: 215–227.
- Kelly, W. G., 2014 Transgenerational epigenetics in the germline cycle of Caenorhabditis elegans. Epigenetics Chromatin 7: 6.
- Kennerdell, J. R., S. Yamaguchi, and R. W. Carthew, 2002 RNAi is activated during Drosophila oocyte maturation in a manner dependent on aubergine and spindle-E. Genes Dev. 16: 1884–1889.
- Law, J. A., and S. E. Jacobsen, 2010 Establishing, maintaining and modifying DNA methylation patterns in plants and animals. Nat. Rev. Genet. 11: 204–220.
- Leitch, H. G., K. R. McEwen, A. Turp, V. Encheva, T. Carroll *et al.*, 2013 Naive pluripotency is associated with global DNA hypomethylation. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 20: 311–316.
- Li, C. F., O. Pontes, M. El-Shami, I. R. Henderson, Y. V. Bernatavichute et al., 2006 An ARGONAUTE4-containing nuclear processing center colocalized with Cajal bodies in Arabidopsis thaliana. Cell 126: 93–106.
- Lieber, D., J. Lora, S. Schrempp, M. Lenhard, and T. Laux, 2011 Arabidopsis WIH1 and WIH2 genes act in the transition from somatic to reproductive cell fate. Curr. Biol. 21: 1009–1017.
- Lin, H., and A. C. Spradling, 1997 A novel group of pumilio mutations affects the asymmetric division of germline stem cells in the Drosophila ovary. Development 124: 2463–2476.
- Loriot, A., T. Boon, and C. De Smet, 2003 Five new human cancer-germline genes identified among 12 genes expressed in spermatogonia. Int. J. Cancer 105: 371–376.
- Maine, E. M., and J. Kimble, 1993 Suppressors of glp-1, a gene required for cell communication during development in Caeno-rhabditis elegans, define a set of interacting genes. Genetics 135: 1011–1022.
- Mari-Ordonez, A., A. Marchais, M. Etcheverry, A. Martin, V. Colot *et al.*, 2013 Reconstructing de novo silencing of an active plant retrotransposon. Nat. Genet. 45: 1029–1039.
- Matzke, M. A., and R. A. Mosher, 2014 RNA-directed DNA methylation: an epigenetic pathway of increasing complexity. Nat. Rev. Genet. 15: 394–408.
- McCue, A. D., K. Panda, S. Nuthikattu, S. G. Choudury, E. N. Thomas *et al.*, 2015 ARGONAUTE 6 bridges transposable element mRNA-derived siRNAs to the establishment of DNA methylation. EMBO J. 34: 20–35.
- Nishiwaki, K., and J. Miwa, 1998 Mutations in genes encoding extracellular matrix proteins suppress the emb-5 gastrulation defect in Caenorhabditis elegans. Mol. Gen. Genet. 259: 2–12.
- Nonomura, K., K. Miyoshi, M. Eiguchi, T. Suzuki, A. Miyao *et al.*, 2003 The MSP1 gene is necessary to restrict the number of cells entering into male and female sporogenesis and to initiate anther wall formation in rice. Plant Cell 15: 1728–1739.
- Nonomura, K., A. Morohoshi, M. Nakano, M. Eiguchi, A. Miyao *et al.*, 2007 A germ cell specific gene of the argonaute family

is essential for the progression of premeiotic mitosis and meiosis during sporogenesis in rice. Plant Cell 19: 2583–2594.

- Olmedo-Monfil, V., N. Duran-Figueroa, M. Arteaga-Vazquez, E. Demesa-Arevalo, D. Autran *et al.*, 2010 Control of female gamete formation by a small RNA pathway in Arabidopsis. Nature 464: 628–632.
- Pikaard, C. S., J. R. Haag, T. Ream, and A. T. Wierzbicki, 2008 Roles of RNA polymerase IV in gene silencing. Trends Plant Sci. 13: 390–397.
- Pushpavalli, S. N., I. Bag, M. Pal-Bhadra, and U. Bhadra, 2012 Drosophila Argonaute-1 is critical for transcriptional cosuppression and heterochromatin formation. Chromosome Res. 20: 333–351.
- Reinders, J., and J. Paszkowski, 2009 Unlocking the Arabidopsis epigenome. Epigenetics 4: 557–563.
- Reiser, L., and R. L. Fischer, 1993 The ovule and the embryo sac. Plant Cell 5: 1291–1301.
- Robinson-Beers, K., R. E. Pruitt, and C. S. Gasser, 1992 Ovule development in wild-type Arabidopsis and two female-sterile mutants. Plant Cell 4: 1237–1249.
- Rodríguez-Leal, D., G. León-Martínez, U. Abad-Vivero, and J. P. Vielle-Calzada, 2015 Natural variation in epigenetic pathways affects the specification of female gamete precursors in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 4: 1034–1045.
- Rowley, M. J., M. I. Avrutsky, C. J. Sifuentes, L. Pereira, and A. T. Wierzbicki, 2011 Independent chromatin binding of ARGONAUTE4 and SPT5L/KTF1 mediates transcriptional gene silencing. PLoS Genet. 7: e1002120.
- Schmidt, A., S. E. Wuest, K. Vijverberg, C. Baroux, D. Kleen *et al.*, 2011 Transcriptome analysis of the Arabidopsis megaspore mother cell uncovers the importance of RNA helicases for plant germline development. PLoS Biol. 9: e1001155.
- Schneitz, K., M. Hülskamp, and R. E. Pruitt, 1995 Wild-type ovule development in Arabidopsis thaliana: a light microscope study of cleared whole-mount tissue. Plant J. 7: 731–749.
- Seki, Y., K. Hayashi, K. Itoh, M. Mizugaki, M. Saitou *et al.*, 2005 Extensive and orderly reprogramming of genome-wide chromatin modifications associated with specification and early development of germ cells in mice. Dev. Biol. 278: 440–458.
- She, W., D. Grimanelli, K. Rutowicz, M. W. Whitehead, M. Puzio et al., 2013 Chromatin reprogramming during the somaticto-reproductive cell fate transition in plants. Development 140: 4008–4019.
- Sheridan, W. F., E. A. Golubeva, L. I. Abrhamova, and I. N. Golubovskaya, 1999 The mac1 mutation alters the developmental fate of the hypodermal cells and their cellular progeny in the maize anther. Genetics 153: 933–941.
- Simon, S. A., and B. C. Meyers, 2010 Small RNA-mediated epigenetic modifications in plants. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 14: 148–155.
- Strickler, S. R., T. Tantikanjana, and J. B. Nasrallah, 2013 Regulation of the S-locus receptor kinase and self-incompatibility in Arabidopsis thaliana. G3 (Bethesda) 3: 315–322.
- Stroud, H., M. V. Greenberg, S. Feng, Y. V. Bernatavichute, and S. E. Jacobsen, 2013 Comprehensive analysis of silencing mutants

reveals complex regulation of the Arabidopsis methylome. Cell 152: 352–364.

- Takeda, A., S. Iwasaki, T. Watanabe, M. Utsumi, and Y. Watanabe, 2008 The mechanism selecting the guide strand from small RNA duplexes is different among argonaute proteins. Plant Cell Physiol. 49: 493–500.
- Tucker, M. R., T. Okada, Y. Hu, A. Scholefield, J. M. Taylor *et al.*, 2012 Somatic small RNA pathways promote the mitotic events of megagametogenesis during female reproductive development in Arabidopsis. Development 139: 1399–1404.
- Vaucheret, H., 2008 Plant argonautes. Trends Plant Sci. 13: 350–358.
- Yadegari, R., and G. N. Drews, 2004 Female gametophyte development. Plant Cell 16: S133–S141.
- Yang, L., W. Huang, H. Wang, R. Cai, Y. Xu *et al.*, 2006 Characterizations of a hypomorphic argonaute1 mutant reveal novel AGO1 functions in Arabidopsis lateral organ development. Plant Mol. Biol. 61: 63–78.
- Yang, W. C., D. Ye, J. Xu, and V. Sundaresan, 1999 The SPOR-OCYTELESS gene of Arabidopsis is required for initiation of sporogenesis and encodes a novel nuclear protein. Genes Dev. 13: 2108–2117.
- Ye, R., W. Wang, T. Iki, C. Liu, Y. Wu *et al.*, 2012 Cytoplasmic assembly and selective nuclear import of Arabidopsis Argonaute4/siRNA complexes. Mol. Cell 46: 859–870.
- Yigit, E., P. J. Batista, Y. Bei, K. M. Pang, C. C. Chen *et al.*, 2006 Analysis of the C. elegans Argonaute family reveals that distinct Argonautes act sequentially during RNAi. Cell 127: 747–757.
- Zhai, L., W. Sun, K. Zhang, H. Jia, L. Liu *et al.*, 2014 Identification and characterization of Argonaute gene family and meiosisenriched Argonaute during sporogenesis in maize. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 56: 1042–1052.
- Zhang, H., and J. K. Zhu, 2012 Seeing the forest for the trees: a wide perspective on RNA-directed DNA methylation. Genes Dev. 26: 1769–1773.
- Zheng, Q., M. J. Rowley, G. Bohmdorfer, D. Sandhu, B. D. Gregory *et al.*,
 2013 RNA polymerase V targets transcriptional silencing components to promoters of protein-coding genes. Plant J. 73: 179–189.
- Zheng, X., J. Zhu, A. Kapoor, and J. K. Zhu, 2007 Role of Arabidopsis AGO6 in siRNA accumulation, DNA methylation and transcriptional gene silencing. EMBO J. 26: 1691–1701.
- Zhong, X., J. Du, C. J. Hale, J. Gallego-Bartolome, S. Feng *et al.*, 2014 Molecular mechanism of action of plant DRM de novo DNA methyltransferases. Cell 157: 1050–1060.
- Zilberman, D., X. Cao, and S. E. Jacobsen, 2003 ARGONAUTE4 control of locus-specific siRNA accumulation and DNA and histone methylation. Science 299: 716–719.
- Zilberman, D., X. Cao, L. K. Johansen, Z. Xie, J. C. Carrington *et al.*, 2004 Role of Arabidopsis ARGONAUTE4 in RNA-directed DNA methylation triggered by inverted repeats. Curr. Biol. 14: 1214– 1220.

Communicating editor: J. A. Birchler



Figure S1. Phylogenetic organization of AGO proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Each protein is followed by the nomenclature of the corresponding gene. Modified from Vaucheret (2008).

	AGO4					ago4-6/+				ago4-6					
Plant	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class II + III	n	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class II + III	n	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class II + III	n
1	91.95	6.89	1.14	8.04	87	71.15	28.84	0	28.84	104	67.26	23.8	8.92	32.73	168
2	92.3	5.12	2.56	7.69	78	62.28	31.57	6.14	37.71	114	64.94	30.92	4.12	35.05	97
3	91.66	8.33	0	8.33	60	63.63	31.4	4.95	36.36	121	62.92	32.58	4.49	37.08	89
4	88.57	10	1.43	11.42	70	67.85	32.14	0	32.14	28	70.32	25.27	4.39	29.67	91
5	88.76	11.23	0	11.23	89	69.3	28.71	1.98	30.69	101	69.59	27.02	3.37	30.4	148
6	87.32	12.67	0	12.67	71	70.65	29.34	0	29.34	92	65.62	27.34	7.03	34.37	128
7	86.41	15.71	0	15.71	81	69.52	29.26	1.21	30.48	82	66.66	28.98	4.34	33.33	69
8	89.88	10.11	0	10.11	89	70.96	25.8	3.22	29.03	93	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	89.60	10.00	0.64	10.65	625	68.16	29.63	2.18	31.82	735	66.75	27.98	5.23	33.23	790
SE	0.78	1.17	0.34	0.96		1.20	0.72	0.84	1.20		0.97	1.16	0.74	0.98	

Table S1. Phenotypic analysis of Stage 1 ovules in F2 individuals segregating for ago4-6

Class I: ovules with a single MMC, Class II: ovules with two MMC-like cells, Class III: ovules with more than two MMC-like cells. n: total of ovules analyzed. Values are given as a percentage.

	AGO4					ago4-6/+					ago4-6				
Plant	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class II + III	n	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class II + III	n	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class II + III	n
1	86.86	13.13	0	13.13	137	64.94	29.31	5.74	35.05	174	70.52	24.21	5.26	29.47	95
2	89.36	10.63	0	10.63	94	73.68	21.05	5.26	26.31	95	70.31	25	4.68	29.68	64
3	84.61	14.52	0.85	15.38	117	64.63	28.04	7.31	35.36	82	66.26	30.12	3.61	33.73	83
4	87.5	11.25	1.25	12.5	80	71.42	27.55	1.02	28.57	98	61.24	25.58	13.17	38.75	129
5	91.66	7.14	1.19	8.33	84	68.8	29.35	1.83	31.19	109	63.95	29.06	6.97	37.34	86
6	86.15	13.84	0	13.84	65	67.88	29.35	2.75	32.11	109	66.32	27.55	6.12	33.67	98
7	85.71	14.28	0	14.28	63	68.21	27.81	3.97	31.78	151	66.18	26.61	7.19	33.81	139
8	91.01	7.86	0	7.86	89	69.81	30.18	0	30.18	106	73.21	25.89	0.89	26.78	112
9	74.54	10.9	1.81	12.72	96	61.44	36.14	2.4	38.55	83	69.79	27.08	3.12	30.2	96
10	84.68	15.31	0	15.31	111	65.38	34.71	0	34.71	78	67.74	30.64	1.61	32.25	62
11	87.3	12.69	0	12.69	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
х	86.3	11.95	0.46	12.42	999	67.61	29.34	3.02	32.38	1085	67.55	27.17	5.26	32.56	964
SE	1.37	0.8	0.2	0.76		1.14	1.29	0.78	1.14		1.11	0.68	1.1	1.16	

Table S2. Phenotypic analysis of Stage 1 ovules in F3 individuals segregating for ago4-6

Class I: ovules with a single MMC, Class II: ovules with two MMC-like cells, Class III: ovules with more than two MMC-like cells. n: total of ovules analyzed. Values are given as a percentage.

 Table S3. Quantitative cytological analysis of double mutant ovules in Stage 1

Genotype	Class I	Class II	Class III	Class II + III	n	ΑΙ
Col	89.5 ± 1.23	10.49 ± 1.23	0 ± 0	10.49 ± 1.23	934	3
Ler	82.9 ± 1.61	16.52 ± 1.39	0.56 ± 0.33	17.24 ± 1.62	429	3
Col x Ler (F1)	83.37 ± 2.54	15.40 ± 2.88	1.21 ± 2.54	16.61 ± 2.54	243	4
Col x Ler (F2)	83.24 ± 1.37	15.95 ± 1.29	0.78 ± 0.57	16.74 ± 1.36	585	3
ago9-3 AGO9 /ago4-1 AGO4 (F1)	62.21 ± 0.97	31.95 ± 084	5.81 ± 0.47	37.76 ± 0.97	596	5
ago9-3 AGO9 /ago4-1 AGO4 (F2)	74.35 ± 1.01	22.79 ± 1.06	2.84 ± 1.01	25.64 ± 0.04	316	5
ago9-3/+ ago4-1 (F2)	66.66 ± 2.88	25.06 ± 0.57	8.26 ± 3.28	33.33 ± 2.88	263	3
ago9-3 ago4-1/+ (F2)	75.33 ± 0.64	21.45 ± 0.64	3.19 ± 0.92	24.65 ± 1.54	633	4
ago9-3 ago4-1 (F2)	73.69 ± 4.21	23.49 ± 5.57	2.8 ± 1.4	26.29 ± 4.21	270	3
ago9-3 AGO9 /ago4-6 AGO4 (F1)	70.09 ± 2.30	27.07 ± 2.04	2.82 ± 0.71	29.90 ± 2.30	989	8
ago9-3 AGO9 /ago4-6 AGO4 (F2)	79.45 ± 0.87	17.6 ± 0.71	2.92 ± 0.36	20.53 ± 0.87	441	4
ago9-3/+ ago4-6 (F2)	69.83 ± 1.49	26.35 ± 1.62	3.80 ± 1.62	30.16 ± 1.49	351	3
ago9-3 /ago4-6 AGO4 (F2)	77.99 ± 2.27	19.22 ± 2.03	2.78 ± 0.65	22.00 ± 2.27	452	4
ago9-3 ago4-6 (F2)	88.86 ± 1.41	9.75 ± 1.40	1.37 ± 0.55	11.13 ± 1.22	504	4
AGO9 AGO4 (F2)	90.86 ± 0.77	7.91 ± 0.90	1.22 ± 0.16	9.13 ± 0.77	318	4
ago9-3 AGO9 /ago4-6 AGO4 (F3)	76.43 ± 1.78	20.53 ± 2.10	3.02 ± 0.50	23.55 ± 1.78	558	4
ago9-3 ago4-6 (F3)	85.86 ± 1.35	12.61 ± 1.53	1.51 ± 0.43	14.13 ± 1.35	461	3
AGO9 AGO4 (F3)	89.98 ± 1.99	9.28 ± 1.70	0.33 ± 0.33	9.61 ± 1.60	296	3
ago9-3 ago4-6 (F3) *	80.32 ± 0.41	18.22 ± 0.58	0.46 ± 0.27	19.67 ± 0.41	457	3
ago9-3 ago4-6 (F4)*	72.9 ± 3.30	24.71 ± 3.28	3.08 ± 0.83	27.80 ± 3.30	657	4

ClassI: ovules with a single MMC, **Class II:** ovules with two MMC-like cells, **Class III**: ovules with more than two MMC-like cells. n: total of ovules analyzed. AI: analyzed individuals. *: Plants derived directly from a double homozygous parental line. Percentages and standard errors are given

Primer	Used for	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Reference
AGO6_qPCR_3 FW	qPCR	ACCAACGTAGCACAACTGCAAC	-
AGO6_qPCR_3 RV	qPCR	CATGTTGCCTTCGACATTCTCG	-
AGO8_qPCR_1 FW	qPCR	GATAGCCTCTTTAAACCCGTCTC	-
AGO8_qPCR _1 RV	qPCR	GGTTTGGAAGAACTTCGTGTGG	-
ACT2 s1	qPCR	TCCCTCAGCACATTCCAGCAG	-
ACT2 as1	qPCR	AACGATTCCTGGACCTGCCTCATC	-
ago6-2_LP	genotyping	TCTTAGAACGACAATGGTGG	Zheng et al. 2007
<i>ago6-2</i> _RP	genotyping	ACTCTAAGTGCATCCTGAGC	Zheng et al. 2007
<i>ago8-1</i> _LP	genotyping	ATCGTTCACACCTTGATTTGC	-
<i>ago8-1</i> _RP	genotyping	AACATTTTGCTTATGATGGCG	-
<i>ago9-3</i> _LP	genotyping	TGCAGGAACAATCATTGACAG	-
<i>ago9-3</i> _RP	genotyping	TCACGAAAAGAGCGAAATTTG	-
ago4-6_LP	genotyping	TTCTCCAGCTGGCTAGCTATG	-
<i>ago4-6</i> _RP	genotyping	CCCAGAAAGGTGACATCTTTG	-

Table S4. List of primers used for genotyping and qPCR assays.