

Retinoid orphan nuclear receptor alpha (RORα) suppresses the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) by directly repressing Snail transcription

Received for publication, March 22, 2022, and in revised form, May 9, 2022 Published, Papers in Press, May 20, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102059

Gaofeng Xiong^{1,2,*} and Ren Xu^{1,2,*}

From the ¹Markey Cancer Center, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA; ²Department of Pharmacology and Nutritional Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA

Edited by Henrik Dohlman

Retinoid orphan nuclear receptor alpha (RORa) is a member of the orphan nuclear factor family and regulates gene expression by binding to ROR response elements (ROREs). RORa has been identified as a potential tumor suppressor; however, how downregulation of RORa promotes cancer progression is not fully understood. Here, we showed that protein levels of RORa were downregulated during the Snail-, Twist-, or transforming growth factor-*β*-induced epithelialmesenchymal transition (EMT). We found that silencing of RORa induced expression of mesenchymal markers in MCF10A cells, accompanied by enhanced cell invasion, migration, and mammosphere formation. Furthermore, ectopic expression of RORα suppressed transforming growth factor-βinduced EMT processes in MCF10A and HMLE cells. These results indicate that downregulation of RORa is crucial for the induction of EMT in mammary epithelial cells. By analyzing gene expression profiles in control and RORa-expressing cells, we also identified Snail, a key regulator of EMT, as a potential target of RORa. We show that RORa expression significantly inhibits Snail transcription in breast cancer cells. Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis demonstrated that RORa bound to the ROREs in promoter region of SNAI1 gene, and using the luciferase reporter assay, we showed that binding to the ROREs was critical for RORa to repress Snail transcription. Finally, rescue experiments substantiated that Snail mediates RORa function in suppressing EMT and mammosphere formation. These results reveal a novel function of RORa in suppressing EMT and identify Snail as a direct target of RORα in mammary epithelial cells.

The epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a process characterized by the loss of epithelial characteristics and the acquisition of a mesenchymal phenotype. This process is crucial for normal development such as embryogenesis and organ development, and for pathologic conditions such as wound healing and tumor progression (1-3). It is well established that EMT dynamics drive cancer progression and metastasis by enhancing cancer cell migration, invasion, and stemness (4, 5). Therefore, inhibition of the EMT is considered a potential strategy for suppressing cancer progression.

Given the important function and dynamic nature of EMT, this cellular event is controlled by a number of EMT inducers, such as transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), Snail, Slug, ZEBs, and Twists (6). The Snail family are DNA-binding zinc finger proteins and play a fundamental role in EMT by suppressing E-cadherin expression in epithelial cells (7). Three Snail family proteins (Snail, Slug, and Snail3) have been identified in vertebrates (8). The expression of Snail in breast carcinomas is associated with tumor recurrence, metastasis, and poor prognosis (9, 10). To maintain epithelial structure and function, the expression or activity of Snail and other EMT inducers is normally repressed, which suggests the presence of a potential EMT suppressor in normal mammary epithelial cells. Despite recent progress in studying function of EMT in cancer progression, we know little about these suspected EMT suppressors and how these EMT suppressors and inducers regulate the dynamic EMT process in a coordinated fashion.

Nuclear receptors, a family of ligand-dependent transcription factors, regulate gene expression by directly binding to the cis response elements in the regulatory regions. Retinoid orphan nuclear receptor alpha (RORa) is considered a member of the orphan nuclear factor family because its ligand has not been well characterized (11, 12). ROR α regulates gene transcription by binding to ROR response elements (ROREs) (11, 12). It plays critical roles in many physiological processes, including cell differentiation, metabolism, inflammation, transformation, and circadian rhythm (13–17). The RORA gene maps to 15q22.2, a region that is often deleted in cancer (18). We and others have identified RORα as a potential tumor suppressor in colon cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, prostate cancer, glioma, and breast cancer (16, 17, 19-22). We showed that downregulation of ROR α is associated with poor clinical outcomes and that reduced RORa expression promotes tumor growth and cancer cell invasion (17). A recent study suggests a potential function of RORa in suppressing EMT phenotypes of glioblastoma cells (23). However, the molecular mechanism by which RORa suppresses EMT remains to be determined.

^{*} For correspondence: Gaofeng Xiong, geofeng.xiong@uky.edu; Ren Xu, ren. xu20101@uky.edu.

RORa suppresses EMT by repressing Snail

In the present study, we show that ROR α expression is suppressed during the EMT, and that this reduction is sufficient to induce mesenchymal phenotypes in mammary epithelial cells. We also found that ROR α inhibited EMT and mammosphere formation by directly repressing Snail transcription. These results identified ROR α as a novel EMT suppressor and provided additional insight into roles of ROR α in breast cancer progression.

Results

RORa expression is downregulated in EMT cells

Twist and Snail are two transcriptional factors that induce EMT in mammary epithelial cells (8, 24, 25). Stable expression of Snail- or Twist in HMLE cells or MCF10A cells induces the EMT phenotype (25). ROR α protein levels were reduced in MCF10A and HMLE cells during the Snail- or Twist-induced EMT (Figs. 1*A* and S1*A*). TGF- β 1 treatment induced EMT



Figure 1. RORa expression is downregulated in cells that undergo EMT. *A*, protein levels of RORa were examined by immunoblotting in Snail- and Twist-expressing HMLE cells. *B*, protein levels of RORa were examined by immunoblot in MCF10A and HMLE cells treated with TGF- β (10 ng/ml). *C*, EMT marker proteins were examined in control and RORa-silenced MCF10A cells. *D*, phase contrast and immunofluorescence images of control and two knockdown MCF10A cell lines (shRORa-1 and shRORa-2) in 2D culture. Bar represents 10 µm. *E*, phase contrast and immunofluorescent images of control and RORa-silenced MCF10A cells in 3D culture. Bar represents 10 µm. *F*, quantification of invasive colony ratio of control and RORa-selenced MCF10A cells in 3D culture; n = 5. **p < 0.01. EMT, epithelial-mesenchymal transition; RORa, retinoid orphan nuclear receptor alpha; TGF- β , transforming growth factor- β .

phenotypes (Fig. S1*B*) and induced EMT marker expression in MCF10A and HMLE cells (Fig. 1*B*). ROR α protein levels were also decreased in TGF- β 1-treated cells (Fig. 1*B*). Treatment with SB431542, a specific inhibitor of TGF- β type I receptor (26), rescued the ROR α expression in TGF- β -treated cells (Fig. S1*C*). These data indicate that reduced ROR α protein expression is associated with the EMT process.

Silenced RORa expression promotes EMT

To determine whether the reduction in ROR α expression is functionally significant for the EMT process, we silenced ROR α expression with two shRNAs (Fig. 1C) and analyzed the EMT phenotypes in those cells. Expression of epithelial cell marker E-cadherin was reduced in RORa-silenced MCF10A cells (Fig. 1C). In contrast, expression of mesenchymal cell markers (N-cadherin and vimentin) and the EMT inducers (Snail and Twist) were all upregulated upon RORa knockdown (Fig. 1D). Immunofluorescence images further confirmed that silencing RORa in mammary epithelial cells enhanced vimentin expression and induced a spindle-like mesenchymal phenotype (Fig. 1D). In 3D Matrigel, $ROR\alpha$ silenced MCF10A cells exhibited an aggressive phenotype with invasive branches, whereas control MCF10A cells formed organized sphere structures (Fig. 1, E and F). Staining for integrin a6 showed that silenced RORa also disrupted the basal polarity of MCF10A cells in 3D Matrigel (Fig. 1E).

It is well established that EMT enhances cell invasion and migration. Consistently, we found that cell invasion was increased in ROR α -silenced MCF10A cells (Fig. 2, *A* and *B*). We also tracked single-cell migration using a live cell/incubator imaging system (Fig. S2). Silence of ROR α expression in MCF10A cells significantly enhanced cell migration ability (Fig. 2, *C*–*E*). To further confirm our observation, we seeded MCF10A cells in a 96-well culture plate and performed a wound healing experiment. Within 24 h of being scratched, cell confluences were examined by images taken with a Nikon scope (Fig. 2*F*). Silencing ROR α in MCF10A cells significantly enhanced cell migration ability and confluence compared with control cells (Fig. 2*G*). These data demonstrate that reduced ROR α expression promotes EMT phenotypes in mammary epithelial cells.

Ectopic RORa expression suppresses the TGF-β-induced EMT

To examine the role of ROR α in suppression of EMT, we introduced exogenous ROR α in MCF10A cells (Fig. 3*A*). We treated both vector control MCF10A cells and ROR α expressing MCF10A cells with TGF- β 1 (10 ng/ml) for 6 days. Control cells transformed into spindle-like fibroblastic morphology after TGF- β 1 treatment, whereas ROR α expressing MCF10A cells maintained an epithelial cell morphology (Fig. 3*B*). We also found that ROR α expression suppressed the TGF- β 1-induced downregulation of E-cadherin (Fig. 3, *C* and *D*). Expression of mesenchymal markers (N-cadherin, vimentin, and Snail) was induced in control MCF10A cells upon TGF- β 1 treatment, whereas their protein levels had no noticeable change in ROR α -expressing

RORa suppresses EMT by repressing Snail

MCF10A cells (Fig. 3*C*). Similar results were also obtained in HMLE cells (Fig. S3, A–D). These results suggest that a high ROR α protein level in mammary epithelial cells suppresses the TGF- β -induced EMT.

RORa suppresses stemness in mammary epithelial cell

It has been shown that EMT is accompanied with enhanced tumor-initiating potential and cancer cell stemness (4, 5). The sphere formation assay has been widely used to enrich cancer stem cells and examine the tumor-initiating capacity of cancer cells (27). RORa protein levels were decreased in tumorspheres compared with levels in cells from 2D cultures (Fig. 4A), which suggests a potential function of ROR α in regulating cancer cell stemness. Using the sphere formation assay, we showed that silenced RORa significantly increased the efficacy of mammosphere formation in MCF10A cells (Fig. 4, B and C), whereas ectopic expression of $ROR\alpha$ suppressed tumorsphere formation in MDA-MB 231 cells, MDA-MB 157 cells, and BT549 cells (Fig. 4, D and E). MCF10A-EMT cells (TGF-ß induced) exhibited increased mammosphere-forming efficiency, whereas expression of RORα abolished TGF-β1-enhanced mammosphere-forming abilities (Fig. S4, A and B). Treatment with the ROR α antagonist (SR1001) enhanced tumorsphere formation efficiency in MDA-MB 231 and HMT-3522 T4-2 cells, whereas the RORa agonist (SR1078) depressed tumorsphere formation in breast cancer cells (Figs. 4F and S4, C and D). These results suggest that reduction of RORa expression contributes to EMTassociated cancer cell stemness.

RORa suppresses Snail expression at the transcription level

To determine how RORa suppresses the EMT process, we analyzed gene expression profiles derived from control and ROR α -expressing cells (28). Among the downregulated genes, Snail has been identified as a major EMT inducer (6). Therefore, we focused on SNAI1 as a potential RORα target in EMT regulation (Fig. 5A). Real-time PCR analysis confirmed that SNAI1 mRNA levels were decreased in RORa-expressing cells, whereas silenced RORα increased SNAI1 transcription (Figs. 5, B and C and S5A). Consistently, treatment with a ROR α agonist (SR1078) reduced SNAI1 mRNA levels in T4 cells, whereas RORa antagonist (SR1001) treatment induced SNAI1 mRNA expression in a dose-dependent manner in MDA-MB 157 cells (Fig. S5, B and C). Snail protein levels were also significantly decreased in RORa-expressing mammary epithelial cells (Fig. 5C). Confocal images showed that nuclei with RORa staining had a relatively low Snail accumulation in MDA-MB-157 cells (Fig. 5E), indicating that Snail is rarely coexpressed with RORa. Treatment with the proteasome inhibitor (Bortezomib) did not rescue Snail expression in RORαexpressing cells (Fig. 5F), which suggests that the regulation is not through protein degradation.

By analyzing the DNA sequence of the *SNAI1* gene, we identified three potential ROREs: (i) -1929 to -1917, (ii) + 630 to +643, and (iii) +729 to +741 (Fig. 5*G*). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis showed that ROR α bound to



Figure 2. Silencing RORa expression promotes EMT. *A* and *B*, transwell invasion analysis of control and RORa-silenced MCF10A cells; n = 3. Bar represents 20 µm. **p < 0.01. *C*–*E*, single-cell migration analysis in control and RORa-silenced MCF10A cells. Migration of RORa1 silenced cells was significantly reduced compared with the control cells; n = 100. *p < 0.01. *F* and *G*, wound healing assay shows the migration of control and RORa-silenced MCF10A cells. Bar represents 20 µm. n = 3. *p < 0.01. EMT, epithelial–mesenchymal transition; RORa, retinoid orphan nuclear receptor alpha.

the ROREs in these regions (Fig. 5, *H* and *I*). To determine whether these ROREs are functionally important for ROR α suppression of Snail transcription, we generated luciferase reporter constructs containing these regulatory regions (Fig. 5*G*). The luciferase reporter data showed that ROR α suppressed transcription driven by RORE2 (+630 to +643, +729 to +741) in the *SNAI1* gene (Fig. 5*J*). To further verify whether the binding of ROR α to this region is functionally important, we deleted the RORE sequences (+630 to +643, +729 to +741) in the RORE2 reporter construct. Deletion of ROREs (+630 to +643, +729 to +741) partially released ROR α -dependent transcriptional suppression (Fig. 5*K*). In addition, the luciferase reporter vector pGL4-RORE2 contains a 526 bp DNA region of *SNAI1* gene (from +430 to +955), which encompasses the area of RORE2. It is interesting that deletion of two potential ROREs only partially rescued the luciferase activity, suggesting that unidentified ROREs may exist in this region. Nonetheless, these





Figure 3. Ectopic RORa expression suppresses the TGF-β-induced EMT. *A*, RORα expression was verified by Western blot after control, and RORa expression vectors were introduced in MCF10A cells. *B*, phase contrast images of 10 ng/ml TGF-β-treated control and RORα-expressing MCF10A cells in 2D culture. Bar represents 20 µm. *C*, expression of epithelial and mesenchymal marker proteins was examined in 10 ng/ml TGF-β-treated control and RORα-expressing MCF10A cells. *B*, phase showing E-cadherin in the 10 ng/ml TGF-β-treated control and RORα-expressing MCF10A cells. *D*, immunofluorescent images showing E-cadherin in the 10 ng/ml TGF-β-treated control and RORα-overexpressing MCF10A cells. Bar represents 10 µm. EMT, epithelial–mesenchymal transition; RORα, retinoid orphan nuclear receptor alpha; TGF-β, transforming growth factor-β.

results indicate that RORα represses Snail expression by directly binding to ROREs in the regulatory regions of *SNAI1*.

Knockdown Snail expression rescues EMT induced by silenced RORa

To investigate whether repression of Snail by ROR α is required for the function of ROR α in suppressing EMT/ stemness, we knocked down Snail expression with shRNA in ROR α -silenced MCF10A cells (Fig. 6A). Western blot and immunofluorescence results showed that reducing Snail expression in ROR α -silenced cells rescued E-cadherin expression and reduced the protein levels of N-cadherin and vimentin (Fig. 6, A and B). We also evaluated cell invasion and stemness in these cells. Silencing of Snail significantly inhibited cell invasion and repressed stemness in shROR α -expressing MCF10A cells (Fig. 6, C and D). These results demonstrate that ROR α suppresses EMT phenotypes by repressing Snail transcription in mammary epithelial cells.

Discussion

In the present study, we identified ROR α as a potent EMT suppressor in mammary epithelial cells by directly repressing Snail transcription. Similarly, two additional nuclear receptors, the androgen receptor (AR) (29–31) and the estrogen receptor

(ER) (32, 33), have been shown to suppress EMT in prostate and breast cancer cells, respectively. In breast cancer, loss of ER is associated with phosphoinositide 3-kinase signaling activation (33). In prostate cancer, AR is reported to suppress prostate cancer cell EMT by regulation of AKT signaling pathway (30). Another report shows that AR directly represses *SNAI1* gene transcription by binding to AR-responsive elements in the *SNAI1* promoter in prostate cancer (31), which is similar to the mechanism we present in this study for breast cancer.

As an important EMT inducer (6), Snail expression is regulated at multiple levels. Several transcriptional factors have been identified to directly regulate SNAI1 transcription by binding to its promoter region, including signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (34), NFKB (35), as well as AR (29-31) and ER (36, 37). Transcription of Snail is also regulated by epigenetic modifications at the promoter or enhancer regions (H3K27me3, H3K36me2) (38, 39) and by miRNA (40-43). At the protein level, Snail expression is regulated eukaryotic translation initiation factor through 4E cap-dependent translation (44), Y-box binding protein 1 cap-independent translation (45), and proteasome-dependent protein degradation (46, 47).

We found that ROR α directly repressed SNAI1 transcription by binding to RORE in the *SNAI1* gene. The rescue



Figure 4. RORa suppresses mammosphere formation. *A*, RORa expression in 2D cultured cells and mammospheres was examined by Western blot. *B* and *C*, analysis of mammosphere formation in control and RORa-silencing MCF10A cells. Bar represents 100 μ m. n = 3. **p* < 0.01. *D* and *E*, tumorsphere assay analyzing the tumorsphere formation in control and RORa-expressing MDA-MB 231 cells, MDA-MB 157 cells, and BT549 cells. Bar represents 100 μ m; n = 3. **p* < 0.01. *D* and *E*, tumorsphere assay analyzing the tumorsphere formation in control and RORa-expressing MDA-MB 231 cells, MDA-MB 157 cells, and BT549 cells. Bar represents 100 μ m; n = 3. **p* < 0.01. *F*, analysis of tumorsphere-forming abilities of MDA-MB 231 cells or HMT-3522 T4-2 cells (T4) that were pretreated with the RORa antagonist SR1001 (10 μ M, 20 μ M) or agonist SR1078 (5 μ M, 10 μ M) in pHEMA-treated (nonattached) plates and incubated in 37 °C, 5% CO₂, for 5 days. Bar represents 100 μ m. pHEMA, poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate); RORa, retinoid orphan nuclear receptor alpha.





Figure 5. RORa inhibits Snail expression by directly repressing Snail transcription. *A*, *SNAI1* mRNA levels in control and RORa-expressing MDA-MB 157 cells from the microarray data. *B*, *SNAI1* mRNA levels were quantified by real-time PCR in control and RORa-silenced MCF10A cells; n = 4. **p < 0.01. *C*, *SNAI1* mRNA levels were examined by real-time PCR in control and RORa-expressing MDA-MB 157 and BT549 cells; n = 3. **p < 0.01. *D*, protein expression of Snail was examined by immunoblot of RORa-expressing MDA-MB 157 cells. *E*, confocal images showed Snail and RORa immunofluorescence staining in RORa-expressing MDA-MB 157 cells. Bar represents 10 µm. *F*, Snail protein levels were examined by Western blot in control or RORa-expressing MCF10A cells after treatment with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (1 µM) for 24 h. *G*, potential ROREs were identified in *SNAI1* gene. *H*, agarose gel images of ChIP PCR. *I*, ChIP PCR quantification data showed the binding of RORa to ROREs in the *SNAI1* gene in RORa-expressing MDA-MB 231 cells; n = 3. **p < 0.05. *J*, luciferase reporter data showed that RORa inhibited the transcription driven by RORE2 in HEK 293 cells transfected with renilla luciferase vector, pCDH1-RORA-FLAG and pGL4-RORE2; n = 4. **p < 0.01. *K*, luciferase report data showed that deletion of ROREs in the pGL4-RORE2 vector released the RORa-suppressed transcription in HEK 293 FT cells. The cells were transfected with renilla luciferase vector, pCDH1-RORA-FLAG, and wildtype/mutant pGL4-RORE2 vectors (pGL4-RORE2-WT/pGL4-RORE2-MT); n = 3. **p < 0.01. ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; HEK 293, human embryonic kidney 293 cell line; RORa, retrinoid orphan nuclear receptor alpha; RORE, ROR response element.

SASBMB

RORa suppresses EMT by repressing Snail



Figure 6. Knockdown Snail expression rescues EMT induced by silencing of RORa. *A*, EMT marker proteins, RORa and Snail, were examined in control, RORa-silenced, and Snail-/RORa-silenced MCF10A cells. *B*, immunofluorescence images of RORa-silenced and Snail-/RORa-silenced MCF10A cells. Bar represents 50 μ m. *C*, transwell invasion analysis of control, RORa-silenced, and Snail-/RORa-silenced MCF10A cells; n = 4. **p < 0.01. Bar represents 100 μ m. *D*, analysis of mammosphere formation in control, RORa-silenced, and Snail/RORa both silenced MCF10A cells. n = 4. **p < 0.01. Bar represents 200 μ m. EMT, epithelial–mesenchymal transition; RORa, retinoid orphan nuclear receptor alpha.

experiments indicate that RORα suppresses EMT in mammary epithelial cells at least partially by repressing Snail expression. Spearman correlation analysis in human breast cancer tissue samples showed that there was no correlation between RORa expression and mRNA levels of Snail in human breast cancer tissue samples (Fig. S6A). One potential explanation is that Snail expression in breast cancer tissue is regulated by multiple factors; ROR α is only one of these factors. Interestingly, we found that the higher ratio of RORa/Snail mRNA level in breast cancer tissues was associated with longer recurrencefree survival (Fig. S6B). These results suggest that the suppression of Snail expression by RORa is negatively associated with breast cancer progression. It has been shown that REV-ERB also binds to RORE (48) and often antagonize the action of ROR α in many physiological processes (49). In the future, it will be important to examine whether REV-ERB exhibits opposite function in Snail regulation in the future. We showed that RORa expression was downregulated during the Snail/ Twist-induced EMT process. These results suggest that RORa expression is suppressed by Snail directly or indirectly.

Therefore, the transcriptional regulation between Snail and ROR α may form a negative feedback loop.

NFκB is another EMT inducer identified in mammary epithelial cells (50, 51). It has been shown that RORα inhibits NFκB activation and NFκB-dependent transcription. RORα suppresses the NFκB signaling pathway by induction of nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cell inhibitor, alpha (IκBα), a major inhibitory protein of the NFκB functions (52). Another study showed that RORα recruited histone deacetylase 3 to NFκB target genes and attenuated NFκB transcriptional activity in intestinal epithelial cells (53). In human glioma cell lines and glioma stem cells, RORα overexpression inhibits proliferation and tumorigenesis by inhibiting the TNF-α-mediated NFκB signaling pathway (22). Therefore, NFκB may also be a potential RORα target that mediates its function in suppressing EMT.

It is well established that epithelial–mesenchymal plasticity is crucial for cancer cell colonization and metastasis at secondary organs. We recently reported that reduced ROR α expression in breast cancer tissue is associated with a high incidence of cancer metastasis, and that ROR α expression significantly inhibits spreading of metastatic breast cancer cells to distant organs (28). The findings that ROR α suppresses EMT and Snail expression provide additional insights in the function of ROR α in breast cancer metastasis.

Experimental procedures

Antibodies and reagents

Laminin-rich extracellular matrix was purchased from BD Biosciences (BD Matrigel). RORa complementary DNA was purchased from Open Biosystems. The protein degradation inhibitor, bortezomib, was purchased from Selleck. ShRORa plasmids were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. TGF-B was purchased from Cayman Chemical. SR1001 and SR1078 were also purchased from Cayman Chemical (catalog nos.: 10922 and 16503). The following antibodies were obtained as indicated: anti-RORa (Santa Cruz; catalog no.: sc-28612), anti-Snail (Cell Signaling; catalog no.:4719S), anti-E-cadherin (BD Biosciences; catalog no.: 610181), anti-N-cadherin (BD Biosciences; catalog no.: 610920), anti-vimentin (Thermo Fisher Scientific; catalog no.: MS-129-P), anti-Twist (Santa Cruz; catalog no.: sc-15393), Alexa Fluor 555 Phalloidin (detecting F-actin; Thermo Fisher Scientific; catalog no.: A34055), anti-Integrin α6 (BD Biosciences; catalog no.: 555734), and antitubulin (MilliporeSigma; catalog no.: 05-661).

Cell culture and virus preparation

HMEL-Snail, HMLE-Twist, and MCF10A-Snail cells are kind gifts from Dr Binhua Zhou's laboratory. MCF10A cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)/F12 (Sigma) with 5% donor horse serum, 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF), 10 µg/ml insulin, 0.5 µg/ml hydrocortisone, 100 ng/ml cholera toxin, and 10 units/ml of penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml of streptomycin (Invitrogen). 3D laminin-rich extracellular matrix cultures were prepared by seeding single cells on top of a thin gel of Matrigel, and subsequently, adding medium containing 5% Matrigel. HMLE cells were maintained in mammary epithelial cell growth medium (Lonza; catalog no.: CC3150). HMT-3522 T4-2 cells (T4 cells) (a kind gift from Dr Mina J Bissell) were maintained on tissue culture plastic as previously described (54). MDA-MB 231 cells were maintained in DMEM/F12 with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich). MDA-MB 157 cells and BT549 cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum and 10 units/ml of penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml of streptomycin. Human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK 293) FT cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids (Hyclone), 6 mM L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco), 10 units/ml of penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml of streptomycin. All cultured cells were treated with 25 µg/ml plasmocin (InvivoGen) to eliminate and prevent mycoplasma contamination. Cells were cultured at 5% CO2 and 95% O2 at 37 °C. HEK 293 FT cells were transfected with pCDH1-RORal-FLAG/shRORa vector (Sigma; TRCN0000022154, TRCN0000022158)/ shSNAI1 (Addgene; plasmid no.: 115467) plus packaging

vectors (pMD2G and psPAX) using Fugene HD Transfection Reagent (Promega). Cancer cells were infected with conditional medium containing lentivirus and selected by puromycin for 1 week 48 h after infection.

Western blot

Cells were lysed using 2% SDS in PBS buffer containing phosphatase and protease inhibitor cocktails (EMD Millipore; catalog no.: 539131). Protein concentration was measured using Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific; catalog no.: 23227). Equal amounts of protein lysates were subjected to SDS gel electrophoresis, immunoblotted with primary antibodies and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies. Depending on the experiment, the secondary antibodies were HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific; catalog no.: 31460), HRP-conjugated goat antimouse IgG secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific; catalog no.: 31440), and HRP-conjugated rabbit antigoat IgG secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific; catalog no.: 31402). The labeled proteins were detected with an enhanced chemiluminescence system (Pierce; catalog no.: 32106).

Real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). The complementary DNA synthesis was performed with SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time PCRs were carried out using SYBR Green PCR master mix reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on an ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Thermal cycling was conducted at 95 °C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of amplification at 95 °C for 5 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 15 s. The relative quantification of gene expression for each sample was analyzed by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. The following primers were used to amplify *SNAI1*: 5'-TTTACCTTCCAG-CAGCCCTA-3' and 5'-CCCACTGTCCTCATCTGACA-3'; *18S rRNA*: 5'-ACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGT-3' and 5'-CTGACCGGGTTGGTTT TGAT-3'.

ChIP assay

ChIP assay was performed based on the Upstate Biotechnology ChIP protocol with a few modifications (55). In general, vector control and ROR α -expressing MDA-MB 231 cells were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde, which was terminated by adding 1.25 M glycine. After formaldehyde crosslinking, nuclei were isolated with a nuclei isolation kit (Sigma) and resuspended in ChIP lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0) containing protease inhibitor cocktail. The absorbance of the lysate at 260 nm was measured and diluted to an absorbance of absorbance of 2 at 260 nm with ChIP lysis buffer. A 20 μ l aliquot was removed as input control. Diluted sample was aliquoted into two tubes. To one tube was added 20 μ l anti-FLAG M2 beads, and 1 μ l IgG antibody was added to the other tube as a negative control. After incubation for 4 h at 4 °C and washed with washing buffer, protein–DNA

complexes were eluted from beads and immunoprecipitated according to the Upstate protocol. The isolated DNA was then analyzed by quantitative PCR using the following primers: *SNAI1* promoter: 5'-GGAGAGGAGGTCACCTGTTGC-3' and 5'-TGCTCAGCCTCGTTTAGTGA-3'; 5'-TGGAGACT GGGGA CTTAGGA-3' and 5'-GGGGCCGATTCTCAATA-CAT-3'. Agarose gel images were taken by AlphaImager Mini imaging system (ProteinSimple). Data were quantified using AlphaImager software (ProteinSimple) and normalized to input.

Immunofluorescence

Cells in 3D Matrigel were smeared on slides, dried briefly, and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 20 min. Cells grown on 2D glass chamber slides (Nunc Lab-Tek II Chamber Slide; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were directly fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100. After being blocked with 10% goat serum at room temperature for 60 min, cells were incubated overnight with primary antibody at 4 °C. After being washed three times, cells were incubated in the dark with fluorescent conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. Stained samples were covered with 4',6diamidino-2-phenylindole–containing antifade mounting media (Vector Labs; H-1200-10) and imaged with a Nikon upright epi fluorescence microscope or an Olympus IX81 confocal microscope system.

Invasion assay and migration assay

Transwell (Corning) membranes were coated with 60 µl 1 mg/ml Matrigel and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C before using. Control or shROR α MCF10A cells (1 × 10⁵ cells in 200 µl medium) were plated on the transwell filters and incubated in 37 °C, 5% CO₂, for 24 h. Invaded cells on the bottom face of the filter were fixed by methanol and stained with 8% crystal violet. Images were taken with a Nikon microscope, and the number of invaded cells was counted.

For the single-cell migration assay, control or shROR α MCF10A cells (0.04 × 10⁶) were placed on 35 mm dishes (type I collagen precoated) in DMEM/F12 medium containing 2% fetal bovine serum and 4 ng/ml EGF. After 2 h incubation at 37 °C, images were taken using a live cell/incubator imaging system (Nikon Biostation IMQ) every 10 min for 8 h.

Wound healing

Control or shROR α MCF10A cells were seeded into 96-well tissue culture plate. After 24 h of growth, when cells reached 80 to 90% confluency, crosses were gently scratched in the monolayer with a new 100 μ l pipette tip. Cells were cultured for another 24 h. Photos were taken with a Nikon microscope at different time points over the next 24 h period.

Luciferase reporter assays

A DNA fragment ($-2141 \sim -1741$) containing RORE region 1 (-1929 to -1917, RORE1) was amplified from human genomic DNA using primers 5'-GCGGGTACCCCCTGT

GGGGATCTAATGTG-3' and 5'-GCGAAGCTTGCTCTT CCTCCTCTCCCCTA-3' and then cloned into luciferase report vector pGL4. Another DNA fragment (+430 \sim +955) containing RORE region 2 (+630 to +643, +729 to +741) was amplified from human genomic DNA using primers 5'-GC GGGTACCGAAGGAGAGGAGGCCTGTGT-3', 5'-GCGAA GCTTGGACAGAGTCCCAGATGAGC-3' and cloned into luciferase report vector pGL4. A deletion (RORE region from +630 to +643, from +729 to +741) mutant was constructed by primers 5'-AGATCAGGAACAACTGGGGGTCC TACGTGT-3', 5'-CCCCAGTTGTTCCTGATCTCCCTCT CCTA-3', 5'-ATAATTTTTTGTATTGAGAATCGGCCCC AC-3' and 5'-TTCTCAATACAAAAAATTATCCACAGGA-CAG-3'. HEK 293 cells were transient transfected with pCDH1-RORA-FLAG, pGL4-RORE1, pGL4-RORE2, or pGL4-RORE2 MT (mutant type) and renilla luciferase vector. Cell lysates were collected for the luciferase assay 48 h after transfection.

Sphere formation assay

Nonadherent 24-well tissue culture plates were obtained by pretreating with poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (12 mg/ml in 95% ethanol) at 53 °C for overnight. Cells grown on 2D plastic culture dish were trypsinized according to standard protocol and filtered by Cell Strainer (40 µm; Corning) to ensure a single-cell suspension. Viable cell numbers were calculated after trypan blue staining using a hemocytometer. Stem cell culture media: DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with B27 (1:50), EGF (20 ng/ ml), basic fibroblast growth factor (20 ng/ml), insulin (5 µg/ml), hydrocortisone (0.5 μ g/ml), and gentamycin (100 μ g/ml) were added into each well of a nonadherent 24-well culture plate. Single-cell suspensions were plated at an appropriate density in triplicate. After 5 days of incubation at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ without moving or disturbing the plates, the number of mammospheres/ tumorspheres greater than 50 µm diameter was counted using a microscope (at ×40 magnification). Mammosphere/ tumorsphere-forming efficiency (%) was calculated as follows: number of spheres per well/number of cells seeded per well ×100.

Statistical analysis

Experiments were repeated at least three times. Results were reported as mean \pm standard error of the mean, and the significance of difference was assessed by independent Student's t test. p < 0.05 represented statistical significance, and p < 0.01 represented sufficient statistical significance.

Data availability

All data are contained within the article.

Supporting information—This article contains supporting information.

Author contributions—G. X. and R. X. methodology; G. X. formal analysis; G. X. investigation; G. X. writing–original draft; R. X. writing–review & editing.



Funding and additional information—This study was supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health (grant nos.: 1R01CA207772 and 1R01CA215095; to R. X.) and American Cancer Society (grant no.: IRG-16-182-28; to G. X.). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Conflict of interest—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Abbreviations—The abbreviations used are: AR, androgen receptor; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; EGF, epidermal growth factor; EMT, epithelial–mesenchymal transition; ER, estrogen receptor; HEK 293, human embryonic kidney 293 cell line; HRP, horseradish peroxidase; IgG, immunoglobulin G; ROR α , retinoid orphan nuclear receptor alpha; RORE, ROR response element; TGF- β , transforming growth factor- β .

References

- Kalluri, R., and Weinberg, R. A. (2009) The basics of epithelialmesenchymal transition. J. Clin. Invest. 119, 1420–1428
- Yang, J., and Weinberg, R. A. (2008) Epithelial-mesenchymal transition: at the crossroads of development and tumor metastasis. *Dev. Cell* 14, 818–829
- Yang, J., Antin, P., Berx, G., Blanpain, C., Brabletz, T., Bronner, M., et al. (2020) Guidelines and definitions for research on epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 21, 341–352
- Dongre, A., and Weinberg, R. A. (2019) New insights into the mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and implications for cancer. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 20, 69–84
- Wilson, M. M., Weinberg, R. A., Lees, J. A., and Guen, V. J. (2020) Emerging mechanisms by which EMT programs control stemness. *Trends Cancer* 6, 775–780
- Nieto, M. A., Huang, R. Y., Jackson, R. A., and Thiery, J. P. (2016) Emt: 2016. Cell 166, 21–45
- Carver, E. A., Jiang, R., Lan, Y., Oram, K. F., and Gridley, T. (2001) The mouse snail gene encodes a key regulator of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 21, 8184–8188
- Wang, Y., Shi, J., Chai, K., Ying, X., and Zhou, B. P. (2013) The role of snail in EMT and tumorigenesis. *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* 13, 963–972
- **9**. Foubert, E., De Craene, B., and Berx, G. (2010) Key signalling nodes in mammary gland development and cancer. The Snail1-Twist1 conspiracy in malignant breast cancer progression. *Breast Cancer Res.* **12**, 206
- Wu, Y., and Zhou, B. P. (2010) Snail: more than EMT. Cell Adh. Migr. 4, 199–203
- Jetten, A. M. (2009) Retinoid-related orphan receptors (RORs): critical roles in development, immunity, circadian rhythm, and cellular metabolism. *Nucl. Recept. Signal.* 7, e003
- Jetten, A. M., Kurebayashi, S., and Ueda, E. (2001) The ROR nuclear orphan receptor subfamily: critical regulators of multiple biological processes. *Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol.* 69, 205–247
- Dzhagalov, I., Giguere, V., and He, Y. W. (2004) Lymphocyte development and function in the absence of retinoic acid-related orphan receptor alpha. *J. Immunol.* 173, 2952–2959
- Sato, T. K., Panda, S., Miraglia, L. J., Reyes, T. M., Rudic, R. D., McNamara, P., *et al.* (2004) A functional genomics strategy reveals Rora as a component of the mammalian circadian clock. *Neuron* 43, 527–537
- Lau, P., Nixon, S. J., Parton, R. G., and Muscat, G. E. (2004) RORalpha regulates the expression of genes involved in lipid homeostasis in skeletal muscle cells: caveolin-3 and CPT-1 are direct targets of ROR. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 36828–36840
- Lee, J. M., Kim, I. S., Kim, H., Lee, J. S., Kim, K., Yim, H. Y., et al. (2010) RORalpha attenuates Wnt/beta-catenin signaling by PKCalphadependent phosphorylation in colon cancer. Mol. Cell 37, 183–195

RORa suppresses EMT by repressing Snail

- Xiong, G., Wang, C., Evers, B. M., Zhou, B. P., and Xu, R. (2012) RORalpha suppresses breast tumor invasion by inducing SEMA3F expression. *Cancer Res.* 72, 1728–1739
- Zhu, Y., McAvoy, S., Kuhn, R., and Smith, D. I. (2006) RORA, a large common fragile site gene, is involved in cellular stress response. *Oncogene* 25, 2901–2908
- 19. Du, J., and Xu, R. (2012) RORalpha, a potential tumor suppressor and therapeutic target of breast cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 13, 15755–15766
- Fu, R. D., Qiu, C. H., Chen, H. A., Zhang, Z. G., and Lu, M. Q. (2014) Retinoic acid receptor-related receptor alpha (RORalpha) is a prognostic marker for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Tumour Biol.* 35, 7603–7610
- Moretti, R. M., Montagnani Marelli, M., Sala, A., Motta, M., and Limonta, P. (2004) Activation of the orphan nuclear receptor RORalpha counteracts the proliferative effect of fatty acids on prostate cancer cells: crucial role of 5-lipoxygenase. *Int. J. Cancer J.* **112**, 87–93
- 22. Jiang, Y., Zhou, J., Zhao, J., Hou, D., Zhang, H., Li, L., et al. (2020) MiR-18a-downregulated RORA inhibits the proliferation and tumorigenesis of glioma using the TNF-alpha-mediated NF-kappaB signaling pathway. EBioMedicine 52, 102651
- Zhao, J., Jiang, Y., Chen, L., Ma, Y., Zhang, H., Zhou, J., *et al.* (2021) The EIF4A3/CASC2/RORA feedback loop regulates the aggressive phenotype in glioblastomas. *Front Oncol.* 11, 699933
- Kang, Y., and Massague, J. (2004) Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions: twist in development and metastasis. *Cell* 118, 277–279
- 25. Wang, Y., Liu, J., Ying, X., Lin, P. C., and Zhou, B. P. (2016) Twistmediated epithelial-mesenchymal transition promotes breast tumor cell invasion via inhibition of Hippo pathway. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 24606
- 26. de Graauw, M., van Miltenburg, M. H., Schmidt, M. K., Pont, C., Lalai, R., Kartopawiro, J., et al. (2010) Annexin A1 regulates TGF-beta signaling and promotes metastasis formation of basal-like breast cancer cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107, 6340–6345
- 27. Charafe-Jauffret, E., Ginestier, C., Iovino, F., Wicinski, J., Cervera, N., Finetti, P., *et al.* (2009) Breast cancer cell lines contain functional cancer stem cells with metastatic capacity and a distinct molecular signature. *Cancer Res.* 69, 1302–1313
- Mao, W., Xiong, G., Wu, Y., Wang, C., St Clair, D., Li, J. D., et al. (2021) RORalpha suppresses cancer-associated inflammation by repressing respiratory complex I-dependent ROS generation. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22, 10665
- Zhu, M. L., and Kyprianou, N. (2010) Role of androgens and the androgen receptor in epithelial-mesenchymal transition and invasion of prostate cancer cells. *FASEB J.* 24, 769–777
- 30. Zhifang, M., Liang, W., Wei, Z., Bin, H., Rui, T., Nan, W., et al. (2015) The androgen receptor plays a suppressive role in epithelial- mesenchymal transition of human prostate cancer stem progenitor cells. BMC Biochem. 16, 13
- Miao, L., Yang, L., Li, R., Rodrigues, D. N., Crespo, M., Hsieh, J. T., *et al.* (2017) Disrupting androgen receptor signaling induces snail-mediated epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity in prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 77, 3101–3112
- Al Saleh, S., Al Mulla, F., and Luqmani, Y. A. (2011) Estrogen receptor silencing induces epithelial to mesenchymal transition in human breast cancer cells. *PLoS One* 6, e20610
- 33. Wik, E., Raeder, M. B., Krakstad, C., Trovik, J., Birkeland, E., Hoivik, E. A., et al. (2013) Lack of estrogen receptor-alpha is associated with epithelialmesenchymal transition and PI3K alterations in endometrial carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 19, 1094–1105
- 34. Saitoh, M., Endo, K., Furuya, S., Minami, M., Fukasawa, A., Imamura, T., et al. (2016) STAT3 integrates cooperative Ras and TGF-beta signals that induce Snail expression. Oncogene 35, 1049–1057
- Cichon, M. A., and Radisky, D. C. (2014) ROS-induced epithelialmesenchymal transition in mammary epithelial cells is mediated by NFkB-dependent activation of Snail. *Oncotarget* 5, 2827–2838
- 36. Scherbakov, A. M., Andreeva, O. E., Shatskaya, V. A., and Krasil'nikov, M. A. (2012) The relationships between snail1 and estrogen receptor signaling in breast cancer cells. *J. Cell Biochem.* 113, 2147–2155
- 37. Dhasarathy, A., Kajita, M., and Wade, P. A. (2007) The transcription factor snail mediates epithelial to mesenchymal transitions by repression of estrogen receptor-alpha. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 21, 2907–2918



RORa suppresses EMT by repressing Snail

- Zhang, H., Zhang, Y., Chen, C., Zhu, X., Zhang, C., Xia, Y., et al. (2018) A double-negative feedback loop between DEAD-box protein DDX21 and Snail regulates epithelial-mesenchymal transition and metastasis in breast cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 437, 67–78
- 39. Zhao, Z., Sun, C., Li, F., Han, J., Li, X., and Song, Z. (2015) Overexpression of histone demethylase JMJD5 promotes metastasis and indicates a poor prognosis in breast cancer. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* 8, 10325–10334
- 40. Zhang, J., Zhang, H., Liu, J., Tu, X., Zang, Y., Zhu, J., *et al.* (2012) miR-30 inhibits TGF-beta1-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in hepatocyte by targeting Snail1. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 417, 1100–1105
- Xia, W., Ma, X., Li, X., Dong, H., Yi, J., Zeng, W., et al. (2015) miR-153 inhibits epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting Snail. Oncol. Rep. 34, 655–662
- Wang, K., Jin, W., Jin, P., Fei, X., Wang, X., and Chen, X. (2017) miR-211-5p suppresses metastatic behavior by targeting SNAI1 in renal cancer. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 15, 448–456
- 43. Jin, Y., Wang, J., Han, J., Luo, D., and Sun, Z. (2017) MiR-122 inhibits epithelial-mesenchymal transition in hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting Snail1 and Snail2 and suppressing WNT/beta-cadherin signaling pathway. *Exp. Cell Res.* 360, 210–217
- 44. Robichaud, N., del Rincon, S. V., Huor, B., Alain, T., Petruccelli, L. A., Hearnden, J., et al. (2015) Phosphorylation of eIF4E promotes EMT and metastasis via translational control of SNAIL and MMP-3. Oncogene 34, 2032–2042
- 45. El-Naggar, A. M., Veinotte, C. J., Cheng, H., Grunewald, T. G., Negri, G. L., Somasekharan, S. P., *et al.* (2015) Translational activation of HIF1al-pha by YB-1 promotes sarcoma metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 27, 682–697
- 46. Jin, Y., Shenoy, A. K., Doernberg, S., Chen, H., Luo, H., Shen, H., et al. (2015) FBXO11 promotes ubiquitination of the Snail family of transcription factors in cancer progression and epidermal development. *Cancer Lett.* 362, 70–82

- 47. Lee, J. H., Jung, S. M., Yang, K. M., Bae, E., Ahn, S. G., Park, J. S., et al. (2017) A20 promotes metastasis of aggressive basal-like breast cancers through multi-monoubiquitylation of Snail1. Nat. Cell Biol. 19, 1260–1273
- Harding, H. P., and Lazar, M. A. (1993) The orphan receptor Rev-ErbA alpha activates transcription via a novel response element. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 13, 3113–3121
- Kojetin, D. J., and Burris, T. P. (2014) REV-ERB and ROR nuclear receptors as drug targets. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 13, 197–216
- 50. Huber, M. A., Azoitei, N., Baumann, B., Grunert, S., Sommer, A., Pehamberger, H., et al. (2004) NF-kappaB is essential for epithelialmesenchymal transition and metastasis in a model of breast cancer progression. J. Clin. Invest. 114, 569–581
- 51. Chua, H. L., Bhat-Nakshatri, P., Clare, S. E., Morimiya, A., Badve, S., and Nakshatri, H. (2007) NF-kappaB represses E-cadherin expression and enhances epithelial to mesenchymal transition of mammary epithelial cells: potential involvement of ZEB-1 and ZEB-2. *Oncogene* 26, 711–724
- 52. Delerive, P., Monte, D., Dubois, G., Trottein, F., Fruchart-Najib, J., Mariani, J., *et al.* (2001) The orphan nuclear receptor ROR alpha is a negative regulator of the inflammatory response. *EMBO Rep.* 2, 42–48
- 53. Oh, S. K., Kim, D., Kim, K., Boo, K., Yu, Y. S., Kim, I. S., et al. (2019) RORalpha is crucial for attenuated inflammatory response to maintain intestinal homeostasis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116, 21140–21149
- 54. Petersen, O. W., Ronnov-Jessen, L., Howlett, A. R., and Bissell, M. J. (1992) Interaction with basement membrane serves to rapidly distinguish growth and differentiation pattern of normal and malignant human breast epithelial cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 89, 9064–9068
- 55. Xu, R., Nelson, C. M., Muschler, J. L., Veiseh, M., Vonderhaar, B. K., and Bissell, M. J. (2009) Sustained activation of STAT5 is essential for chromatin remodeling and maintenance of mammary-specific function. *J. Cell Biol.* 184, 57–66