

Research Article

Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor γ Induces the Expression of Tissue Factor Pathway Inhibitor-1 (TFPI-1) in Human Macrophages

G. Chinetti-Gbaguidi,^{1,2} C. Copin,¹ B. Derudas,¹ N. Marx,³ J. Echkoute,¹ and B. Staels¹

¹Inserm, CHU Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille, U1011, EGID, Université de Lille, 59000 Lille, France

²CHU, CNRS, Inserm, IRCAN, Université Côte d'Azur, Nice, France

³Department of Cardiology, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

Correspondence should be addressed to B. Staels; bart.staels@pasteur-lille.fr

Received 14 September 2016; Accepted 28 November 2016

Academic Editor: Nanping Wang

Copyright © 2016 G. Chinetti-Gbaguidi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Tissue factor (TF) is the initiator of the blood coagulation cascade after interaction with the activated factor VII (FVIIa). Moreover, the TF/FVIIa complex also activates intracellular signalling pathways leading to the production of inflammatory cytokines. The TF/FVIIa complex is inhibited by the tissue factor pathway inhibitor-1 (TFPI-1). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR γ) is a transcription factor that, together with PPAR α and PPAR β/δ , controls macrophage functions. However, whether PPAR γ activation modulates the expression of TFPI-1 in human macrophages is not known. Here we report that PPAR γ activation increases the expression of TFPI-1 in human macrophages *in vitro* as well as *in vivo* in circulating peripheral blood mononuclear cells. The induction of TFPI-1 expression by PPAR γ ligands, an effect shared by the activation of PPAR α and PPAR β/δ , occurs also in proinflammatory M1 and in anti-inflammatory M2 polarized macrophages. As a functional consequence, treatment with PPAR γ ligands significantly reduces the inflammatory response induced by FVIIa, as measured by variations in the IL-8, MMP-2, and MCP-1 expression. These data identify a novel role for PPAR γ in the control of TF the pathway.

1. Introduction

Macrophages are heterogeneous cells displaying a spectrum of functional phenotypes ranging from M1 proinflammatory to M2 anti-inflammatory, depending on their microenvironment [1]. Macrophages play crucial roles in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. Indeed, within the atherosclerotic plaque, macrophages control the inflammatory response, lipid handling (cholesterol accumulation, trafficking, and efflux) and efferocytosis [2–4]. Moreover, macrophages are also involved in atherosclerotic plaque thrombogenicity by their ability to produce both tissue factor (TF) and its natural inhibitor TFPI-1 [5, 6].

TF is a transmembrane glycoprotein member of the cytokine receptor superfamily acting as the key factor in the initiation of the blood coagulation cascade [7]. TF is expressed by endothelial cells and monocytes/macrophages

after stimulation with oxidized low-density lipoproteins, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), or tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α [8]. Inappropriate expression of TF within the vasculature upon atherosclerotic plaque rupture leads to interaction with circulating FVIIa resulting in the formation of the TF/FVIIa complex that initiates the extrinsic coagulation pathway through a cascade of enzymatic reactions driving the conversion of FX to FXa and the production of thrombin, ultimately leading to thrombosis [9].

Beside its functions in haemostasis, the TF/FVIIa complex also plays a major role in cell migration, metastasis, and angiogenesis, probably through intracellular signalling events [10, 11]. Indeed, the TF/FVIIa complex leads to the generation of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 and IL-8 [12, 13]. The TF/FVIIa-mediated extrinsic coagulation pathway is inhibited by the tissue factor pathway inhibitor-1 (TFPI-1), a Kunitz-type inhibitor which prevents generation of FXa [8].

TABLE 1: Sequences of primers used.

Gene	Forward	Reverse
TFPI-1	AGA TGG TCC GAA TGG TTT CC	ATC CTC TGT CTG CTG GAG TGA G
IL-8	CCA CCC CAA ATT TAT CAA AGA A	CAG ACA GAG CTC TCT TCC ATC A
MCP-1	TCA TAG CAG CCA CCT TCA TTC C	GGA CAC TTG CTG CTG GTG ATT C
MMP-2	TAT TTG ATG GCA TCG CTC AG	GCC TCG TAT ACC GCA TCA AT
TF	ATG TGA AGC AGA CGT ACT TGG CAC G	ATT GTT GGC TGT CCG AGG TTT GTC
Cyclophilin	GCA TAC GGG TCC TGG CAT CTT GTC C	ATG GTG ATC TTC TTG CTG GTC TTG C

TFPI-1 is mainly synthesized by vascular endothelium and macrophages and is also present in plasma as free form or associated with lipoproteins or platelets [8]. The imbalance between TF and TFPI-1 ratio will thus impact both the TF/FVIIa-mediated coagulation and inflammation.

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR γ), together with PPAR α and PPAR β/δ , belongs to a family of transcription factors expressed in macrophages where they control the inflammatory response, cholesterol metabolism, and phagocytosis [14, 15]. PPARs also regulate macrophage thrombogenicity; indeed, PPAR α ligands reduce LPS-induced expression of TF [16, 17] whereas the role of PPAR γ in the control of TF expression is less clear; in some reports PPAR γ is described as having no effect [17] while others showed PPAR γ to decrease TF expression [18]. However, no data are available regarding the regulation of TFPI-1 expression by PPAR γ in human macrophages.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Culture. Monocytes were isolated by density gradient centrifugation from healthy volunteers and differentiated into macrophages by 7 days of culture in RPMI1640 medium (Invitrogen, France) supplemented with gentamicin (40 μ g/mL), L-glutamine (2 mM) (Sigma-Aldrich, France), and 10% human serum (Abcys, France) [19]. M2 macrophages were obtained by differentiating monocytes in the presence of human IL-4 (15 ng/mL, Promocell, Germany), while M1 macrophages were obtained by activating differentiated macrophages with LPS (100 ng/mL, 4 h) [20]. Where indicated, synthetic ligands for PPAR γ (GW1929, 600 nM or rosiglitazone, 100 nM), for PPAR α (GW647, 600 nM), and for PPAR β/δ (GW1516, 100 nM) were added for 24 h to differentiated macrophages. Some experiments were performed on differentiated macrophages which were activated for 24 h with GW1929 (600 nM), washed, and subsequently treated in the absence or in the presence of activated FVII (FVIIa, 10 nM, Cryoprep) for further 24 h.

2.2. RNA Extraction and Analysis. Total cellular RNA was extracted using Trizol (Life Technologies, France). RNA was reverse transcribed and cDNAs were quantified by Q-PCR on a MX3000 apparatus (Stratagene) using specific primers (Table 1). mRNA levels were normalized to those of cyclophilin. The relative expression of each gene was calculated by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method, where Δ Ct is the value obtained by subtracting the Ct (cycle threshold) value of cyclophilin from

the Ct value of the target gene. The amount of target relative to the cyclophilin mRNA was expressed as $2^{-(\Delta\Delta Ct)}$.

2.3. In Vivo Study. Forty nondiabetic patients after coronary stent implantation were treated with pioglitazone (30 mg daily for 8 weeks) (Supplemental Table 1 available online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/2756781>) [21]. RNA was extracted from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) using the Paxgene Blood RNA system at both the beginning of the study and at eight-week follow-up.

2.4. Protein Extraction and Western Blot Analysis. After washing in cold PBS, cells were harvested in cold lysis buffer (RIPA). Cell homogenates were collected by centrifugation and protein concentrations determined using the BCA assay (Pierce Interchim). Protein lysate (20 μ g) was resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham), and then revealed with rabbit monoclonal antibody against TFPI-1 (Abcam) or goat polyclonal antibody against β -actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). After incubation with a secondary peroxidase-conjugated antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), immunoreactive bands were revealed by chemiluminescence ECL detection kit (Amersham) and band intensity was quantified using the Quantity One software.

2.5. Measurement of TFPI-1 and MCP-1 Secretion by ELISA. Amounts of TFPI-1 protein were measured in culture media of macrophages treated for 24 h with GW1929 (600 nM) in the absence or in the presence of unfractionated heparin (1 U/mL, Sanofi Aventis, added 1 h before medium collection) [22], using the human TFPI Quantikine ELISA kit (R&D systems). MCP-1 secretion was measured by ELISA (Peprotech, France) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.6. Measurement of TFPI-1 Specific Activity. TFPI-1 specific activity was measured using the Actichrome TFPI activity assay (American Diagnostica) following the manufacturer's instructions in culture medium of cells treated or not for 24 h with GW1929 (600 nM).

2.7. Short-Interfering (si)RNA Transfection and Adenoviral Infection. Differentiated RM macrophages were transfected with siRNA specific for human PPAR γ and nonsilencing control scrambled siRNA (Ambion), using the transfection reagent DharmaFECT4 (Dharmacon). After 16 h, cells were incubated with GW1929 (600 nM) or vehicle (DMSO) and




FIGURE 1: PPAR γ activation induces TFPI-1 expression in human blood mononuclear cells in vivo. RNA was extracted from PBMC isolated from 14 patients before and after 2 months of pioglitazone treatment (30 mg/day). TFPI-1 mRNA levels were measured by Q-PCR and normalized to cyclophilin mRNA. Statistically significant differences are indicated (*t*-test; * $p < 0.05$).

harvested 24 h later. For adenoviral infection, macrophages were infected with recombinant adenovirus coding for GFP (Green Fluorescent Protein, Ad-GFP) or for PPAR γ (Ad-PPAR γ) as described [23, 24]. After 16 h of infection, cells were incubated for further 24 h in the absence or in the presence of rosiglitazone (Rosi, 100 nM).

2.8. ChIP-seq Data Processing and Analysis. Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq) was performed to monitor H3K9ac levels in M2 macrophages using an antibody against H3K9ac (Millipore (17-658)) [25]. ChIP-seq data were mapped to Hg18 and signals were normalized to the total number of tags before visualization using the Integrated Genome Browser (IGB) [26]. PPAR γ ChIP-seq data from human primary adipocytes were obtained from [27] and PPAR γ response elements (PPRE) were searched using Dragon PPAR Response Element (PPRE) Spotter v.2.0 (<http://www.cbrc.kaust.edu.sa/ppre/>).

2.9. Statistical Analysis. Statistical differences between groups were analyzed by Student's *t*-test and considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. PPAR γ Activation Increases the Expression and Secretion of TFPI-1 in Primary Human Macrophages. To investigate whether PPAR γ activation regulates TFPI-1 expression, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), a cell population including circulating monocytes, were isolated from patients before and after pioglitazone administration.

Interestingly, pioglitazone treatment significantly increased the expression of TFPI-1 mRNA in PBMC (Figure 1).

Moreover, activation of human primary differentiated macrophages with the synthetic PPAR γ ligands GW1929 and rosiglitazone (Rosi) resulted in the induction of TFPI-1 gene expression in a time and dose-dependent manner (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). This regulation also occurred at the protein level in macrophages treated for 24 h or 48 h with GW1929 (600 nM) (Figure 2(c)). Induction of TFPI-1 gene expression was also observed upon PPAR β/δ and PPAR α activation by GW1516 and GW647 ligands, respectively (Supplemental Figure 1). Moreover, culture media TFPI-1 concentration was increased by PPAR γ activation with GW1929 both in the absence as well as in the presence of heparin, a factor known to enhance TFPI-1 release [22] (Figure 2(d)). However, TFPI-1 specific activity was not modified by PPAR γ activation in human macrophages (Supplemental Figure 2). Taken together these data indicate that PPAR γ activation in human macrophages increases expression and release of TFPI-1 without modifying its activity.

3.2. PPAR γ Activation Induces TFPI-1 Gene Expression Both in M1 and M2 Human Macrophages. Since macrophages can present different functional phenotypes related to the microenvironment [1], the effects of PPAR γ activation by GW1929 were studied in nonpolarized macrophages (RM) as well as in M1 proinflammatory and in M2 anti-inflammatory macrophages. The basal expression level of TFPI-1 was significantly higher in M2 macrophages compared to both RM and M1 macrophages (Figure 3). Moreover, PPAR γ activation significantly induced TFPI-1 gene and protein expression in all the three different macrophage subtypes (Figure 3).

3.3. PPAR γ Ligands Regulate the TFPI-1 Expression in a PPAR γ -Dependent Manner. In support of a direct regulation of TFPI-1 gene expression by PPAR γ , we found that active regulatory regions encompassing or localized near the promoter of this gene, identified through enrichment for histone H3 lysine 9 acetylation (H3K9ac) in M2 macrophages, comprise putative PPAR γ -response elements (PPRE) and recruit PPAR γ in human adipocytes, a cell-type where it is highly expressed (Figure 4(a)). In order to confirm that TFPI-1 regulation induced by GW1929 treatment is due to PPAR γ , experiments were performed in macrophages after modulation of PPAR γ expression levels. The induction of TFPI-1 gene expression by GW1929 treatment was significantly reduced in the presence of the PPAR γ siRNA (Figure 4(b)). Complementary gain of function experiments using an adenovirus coding for PPAR γ (Ad-PPAR γ) showed that the induction of TFPI-1 gene expression by the PPAR γ ligand and rosiglitazone was significantly enhanced in Ad-PPAR γ -infected macrophages, compared to Ad-GFP infected cells used as control (Figure 4(c)). These results indicate that both GW1929 and rosiglitazone activate TFPI-1 expression in a PPAR γ -dependent manner.

3.4. PPAR γ Activation Blocks the FVIIa-Induced Inflammatory Response in Human Macrophages. To determine the




FIGURE 2: Expression of TFPI-1 is enhanced by PPAR γ activation in primary human macrophages. Differentiated macrophages were treated in the absence or in the presence of GW1929 (600 nM) and rosiglitazone (Rosi, 100 nM) for 3 h, 6 h, 9 h, 12 h, or 24 h (a) or with increasing concentrations of Rosi (50 nM, 100 nM, and 1 μ M) or GW1929 (300 nM, 600 nM, and 3 μ M) for 24 h (b). Total RNA was extracted and TFPI-1 mRNA levels were measured by Q-PCR and normalized to those of cyclophilin. (c) Differentiated macrophages were treated with GW1929 (600 nM) for 24 h and 48 h and TFPI-1 protein expression analyzed by western blot. TFPI-1 bands intensity was measured and normalized to those of β -actin. (d) Differentiated macrophages were treated with GW1929 (600 nM) in the absence or in the presence of heparin (1 U/mL), as described above. Culture media were collected and TFPI-1 protein release measured by ELISA. Results are expressed as the mean value \pm SD of triplicate determinations, representative of three independent experiments. Statistically significant differences are indicated (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, and *** $p < 0.001$).




FIGURE 3: PPAR γ activation induces the expression of TFPI-1 in human primary macrophages irrespectively of their phenotype. Primary human monocytes were differentiated into resting unpolarized (RM) or M2 macrophages in the absence or in the presence of IL-4 (15 ng/mL) for 7 days, respectively, and then treated for 24 h with GW1929 (600 nM). M1 macrophages were obtained by activation of RM macrophages with LPS (100 ng/mL) for 4 h in the absence or in the presence of GW1929 treatment (24 h, 600 nM). (a) TFPI-1 mRNA levels were measured by Q-PCR, normalized to cyclophilin mRNA, and expressed relative to the levels in untreated cells set as 1. Results are representative of those obtained from 3 independent macrophage preparations. Each bar is the mean value \pm SD of triplicate determinations. Statistically significant differences between treatment and control groups are indicated (* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$). (b) TFPI-1 protein expression was analyzed by western blot. β -actin was used as loading control.

potential biological significance of TFPI-1 induction by PPAR γ and given that TF/FVIIa complex can enhance an inflammatory response [12, 13], experiments were performed in macrophages treated with GW1929 (600 nM for 24 h), washed, and subsequently stimulated with FVIIa (10 nM). FVIIa induced gene expression of MMP-2, IL-8, and MCP-1, all proinflammatory molecules (Figures 5(a)–5(c)). Interestingly, treatment of macrophages with GW1929 (600 nM) significantly blocked the proinflammatory response mediated

by FVIIa (Figures 5(a)–5(c)). Incubation with GW1929 also decreased FVIIa-induced secretion of MCP-1 (Figure 5(d)). These data suggest that PPAR γ activation can counteract the proinflammatory effects mediated by TF/FVIIa complex, the TF being expressed by macrophages [5], likely through the increase of TFPI-1 expression. Indeed, the TF/TFPI-1 ratio was significantly reduced in the presence of the PPAR γ agonist (Supplemental Figure 3), thus corroborating that PPAR γ activation blocks the FVIIa-induced inflammatory response.

4. Discussion

TF and FVIIa are key components of the coagulation cascade that lead to the formation of a fibrin clot. Within atherosclerotic plaque rupture this provokes thrombus generation, one of the major causes of acute ischemic syndromes such as myocardial infarction [28]. The TF/FVIIa complex has however other potential roles, since it is involved in mediating cell migration and metastasis as well as angiogenesis [29]. Indeed, TF/FVIIa can induce the production of proinflammatory cytokines and factors in keratinocytes and cancer cells [12, 13, 30].

The TF/FVIIa actions are blocked by the natural inhibitor TFPI-1. The presence of TFPI-1 has been reported in human atherosclerotic lesions where it is expressed by macrophages in areas physically close to those expressing TF and FVIIa [6]. This suggests that also in vivo, in human atherosclerotic plaques, TFPI-1 controls the TF-driven coagulation pathways as well as the thrombogenicity and can prevent complications associated with plaque rupture. However, an imbalanced expression of TF and TFPI-1 in atherosclerotic plaques can have consequences in thrombus formation as well as in inflammation.

Whether the transcription factor PPAR γ controls the TF-activated pathway as well as the expression of its inhibitor TFPI-1 has been matter of different studies leading to contradictory results. While it has been first reported that PPAR γ activation has no effect on LPS-induced TF expression in macrophages [17], other studies have shown an inhibitory effect by a mechanism involving the interference with the API signalling pathway [18]. Moreover, expression of TFPI-1 has been shown to be induced by rosiglitazone in smooth muscle cells but not in THP1 macrophage cell line [18]. Here, we provide evidence that PPAR γ activation enhances gene, protein expression and release of TFPI-1 in human primary differentiated macrophages without affecting its specific activity. Interestingly, PPAR γ activation by pioglitazone treatment significantly increased the expression of TFPI-1 in PBMC, a heterogeneous cell population including circulating monocytes, thus suggesting that PPAR γ activation regulates TFPI-1 expression also in vivo. We have also demonstrated that the induction of TFPI-1 expression upon PPAR γ activation occurs in M1 proinflammatory as well as in M2 anti-inflammatory polarized macrophages. Moreover, we found that the basal expression level of TFPI-1 is higher in M2 macrophages compared to both unpolarized and M1 macrophages, suggesting that these M2 macrophages can play a major role in the control of plaque thrombosis and fibrin deposition. These data, generated in monocyte-derived




FIGURE 4: PPAR γ activation induces the expression of TFPI-1 in a PPAR γ -dependent manner. (a) H3K9ac ChIP-seq signals from M2 macrophages (Mac.) as well as PPAR γ ChIP-seq signal from human primary adipocytes (Ad.) are shown for the *TFPI-1* gene. Active regulatory regions are highlighted in gray and chromosomal localization (Hg18) and sequences of PPRE identified within these regions are provided at the bottom. (b) Differentiated macrophages were transfected with scrambled or human PPAR γ siRNA and subsequently treated with GW1929 (600 nM) or DMSO (Control) during 24 h or were infected with a GFP (Ad-GFP) or a PPAR γ (Ad-PPAR γ) adenovirus and then treated with rosiglitazone (24 h, 100 nM) (c). Statistically significant differences between treatment and control groups are indicated (* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$).

macrophages isolated from healthy volunteers, are in agreement with those obtained in M2 macrophages isolated from atherosclerotic patients, in which the gene expression level of TFPI-1 is also higher in M2 compared to M1 macrophages [31]. The higher expression of TFPI-1 in M2 macrophages could thus contribute to their suggested beneficial role in plaque stabilization [32, 33].

Interestingly, in a rat carotid balloon injury model in vivo, characterized by increased neointima formation and TF over-expression, rosiglitazone injection enhances the expression of TFPI-1 protein in the injured arteries [18]. However, in vitro treatment of human atheroma specimens with rosiglitazone results in a reduced expression of TFPI-1 protein while treatment with pioglitazone led to an increased TFPI-1 expression




FIGURE 5: PPAR γ activation blocks the FVIIa-induced inflammatory response in primary human macrophages. Differentiated macrophages were treated with GW1929 (24 h, 600 nM), washed and then incubated in the absence or in the presence of FVIIa (10 nM) for further 24 h. Total RNA was extracted and MMP-2 (a), IL-8 (b), and MCP-1 (c) mRNA levels were measured by Q-PCR and normalized to those of cyclophilin. Secretion of MCP-1 was measured by ELISA in culture medium (d). Results are expressed as the mean value \pm SD of triplicate determinations, representative of three independent experiments. Statistically significant differences are indicated (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, and *** $p < 0.001$).

[34]. These discrepant effects can be explained by the action of PPAR γ on other cellular components of the atherosclerotic plaques. Moreover, they have been obtained using high concentrations of the ligands (10 μ M for rosiglitazone and 5 μ M for pioglitazone, resp.) [34] that cannot guarantee a specificity of action over PPAR γ activation [35]. The induction of TFPI-1 expression upon stimulation by rosiglitazone and the

GW1929 compounds in human macrophages are dependent on PPAR γ as demonstrated here in PPAR γ silencing or overexpression experiments.

Finally, we report that PPAR γ preactivation of macrophages significantly reduced the FVIIa-driven inflammatory response, an effect that can be mediated at least partially by the induced TFPI-1 production by PPAR γ .

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we describe a novel function for PPAR γ in human macrophages in the control of the TF pathway via the induction of TFPI-1 expression, a regulation that can impact both the thrombogenicity of the atherosclerotic plaques as well as the inflammatory status induced by the TF/FVIIa complex.

Disclosure

B. Staels is a member of the Institut Universitaire de France.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the Fondation de France, the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (DPC2011122981), the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (AlMHA project), and the “European Genomic Institute for Diabetes” (EGID, ANR-10-LABX-46).

References

- [1] G. Chinetti-Gbaguidi, S. Colin, and B. Staels, “Macrophage subsets in atherosclerosis,” *Nature Reviews Cardiology*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 10–17, 2015.
- [2] P. Libby, “Inflammation in atherosclerosis,” *Nature*, vol. 420, no. 6917, pp. 868–874, 2002.
- [3] P. Libby, M. Aikawa, and U. Schönbeck, “Cholesterol and atherosclerosis,” *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta—Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids*, vol. 1529, no. 1–3, pp. 299–309, 2000.
- [4] I. Tabas, “Macrophage death and defective inflammation resolution in atherosclerosis,” *Nature Reviews Immunology*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 36–46, 2010.
- [5] L. Petit, P. Lesnik, C. Datchet, M. Moreau, and M. J. Chapman, “Tissue factor pathway inhibitor is expressed by human monocyte—derived macrophages: relationship to tissue factor induction by cholesterol and oxidized LDL,” *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 309–315, 1999.
- [6] J. Crawley, F. Lupu, A. D. Westmuckett, N. J. Severs, V. V. Kakkar, and C. Lupu, “Expression, localization, and activity of tissue factor pathway inhibitor in normal and atherosclerotic human vessels,” *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 1362–1373, 2000.
- [7] K. G. Mann, C. Van’t Veer, K. Cawthern, and S. Butenas, “The role of the tissue factor pathway in initiation of coagulation,” *Blood Coagulation and Fibrinolysis*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. S3–S7, 1998.
- [8] B. A. Lwaleed and P. S. Bass, “Tissue factor pathway inhibitor: structure, biology and involvement in disease,” *Journal of Pathology*, vol. 208, no. 3, pp. 327–339, 2006.
- [9] N. Mackman, “Role of tissue factor in hemostasis, thrombosis, and vascular development,” *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1015–1022, 2004.
- [10] B. M. Mueller, R. A. Reisfeld, T. S. Edgington, and W. Ruf, “Expression of tissue factor by melanoma cells promotes efficient hematogenous metastasis,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 89, no. 24, pp. 11832–11836, 1992.
- [11] J. L. Yu, L. May, V. Lhotak et al., “Oncogenic events regulate tissue factor expression in colorectal cancer cells: implications for tumor progression and angiogenesis,” *Blood*, vol. 105, no. 4, pp. 1734–1741, 2005.
- [12] X. Wang, E. Gjernes, and H. Prydz, “Factor VIIa induces tissue factor-dependent up-regulation of interleukin-8 in a human keratinocyte line,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 277, no. 26, pp. 23620–23626, 2002.
- [13] G. Demetz, I. Seitz, A. Stein et al., “Tissue Factor-Factor VIIa complex induces cytokine expression in coronary artery smooth muscle cells,” *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 212, no. 2, pp. 466–471, 2010.
- [14] E. Rigamonti, G. Chinetti-Gbaguidi, and B. Staels, “Regulation of macrophage functions by PPAR- α , PPAR- γ , and LXRs in mice and men,” *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1050–1059, 2008.
- [15] G. Chinetti-Gbaguidi, M. Baron, M. A. Bouhrel et al., “Human atherosclerotic plaque alternative macrophages display low cholesterol handling but high phagocytosis because of distinct activities of the PPAR γ and LXR α pathways,” *Circulation Research*, vol. 108, no. 8, pp. 985–995, 2011.
- [16] B. P. Neve, D. Corseaux, G. Chinetti et al., “PPAR α agonists inhibit tissue factor expression in human monocytes and macrophages,” *Circulation*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 207–212, 2001.
- [17] N. Marx, N. Mackman, U. Schönbeck et al., “PPAR α activators inhibit tissue factor expression and activity in human monocytes,” *Circulation*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 213–219, 2001.
- [18] J.-B. Park, B.-K. Kim, Y.-W. Kwon et al., “Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma agonists suppress tissue factor overexpression in rat balloon injury model with paclitaxel infusion,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 6, no. 11, Article ID e28327, 2011.
- [19] G. Chinetti, S. Lestavel, V. Bocher et al., “PPAR- α and PPAR- γ activators induce cholesterol removal from human macrophage foam cells through stimulation of the ABCA1 pathway,” *Nature Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 53–58, 2001.
- [20] G. Bories, S. Colin, J. Vanhoutte et al., “Liver X receptor activation stimulates iron export in human alternative macrophages,” *Circulation Research*, vol. 113, no. 11, pp. 1196–1205, 2013.
- [21] A. J. Balmforth, P. J. Grant, E. M. Scott et al., “Inter-subject differences in constitutive expression levels of the clock gene in man,” *Diabetes and Vascular Disease Research*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 39–43, 2007.
- [22] C. Lupu, E. Poulsen, S. Roquefeuil, A. D. Westmuckett, V. V. Kakkar, and F. Lupu, “Cellular effects of heparin on the production and release of tissue factor pathway inhibitor in human endothelial cells in culture,” *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 2251–2262, 1999.
- [23] E. Rigamonti, C. Fontaine, B. Lefebvre et al., “Induction of CXCR2 receptor by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ in human macrophages,” *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 932–939, 2008.
- [24] G. Chinetti-Gbaguidi, C. Copin, B. Derudas et al., “The coronary artery disease-associated gene C6ORF105 is expressed in human macrophages under the transcriptional control of PPAR γ ,” *FEBS Letters*, vol. 589, no. 4, pp. 461–466, 2015.

- [25] G. Chinetti-Gbaguidi, M. A. Bouhrel, C. Copin et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ activation induces 11β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 activity in human alternative macrophages," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 677–685, 2012.
- [26] J. W. Nicol, G. A. Helt, S. G. Blanchard Jr., A. Raja, and A. E. Loraine, "The Integrated Genome Browser: free software for distribution and exploration of genome-scale datasets," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 25, no. 20, pp. 2730–2731, 2009.
- [27] T. S. Mikkelsen, Z. Xu, X. Zhang et al., "Comparative epigenomic analysis of murine and human adipogenesis," *Cell*, vol. 143, no. 1, pp. 156–169, 2010.
- [28] M. J. Davies and A. Thomas, "Thrombosis and acute coronary-artery lesions in sudden cardiac ischemic death," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 310, no. 18, pp. 1137–1140, 1984.
- [29] H. H. Versteeg, M. P. Peppelenbosch, and C. A. Spek, "The pleiotropic effects of tissue factor: a possible role for factor VIIa-induced intracellular signalling?" *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 86, no. 6, pp. 1353–1359, 2001.
- [30] Z.-C. Jia, Y.-L. Wan, J.-Q. Tang et al., "Tissue factor/activated factor VIIa induces matrix metalloproteinase-7 expression through activation of c-Fos via ERK1/2 and p38 MAPK signaling pathways in human colon cancer cell," *International Journal of Colorectal Disease*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 437–445, 2012.
- [31] C. Roma-Lavisse, M. Tagzirt, C. Zawadzki et al., "M1 and M2 macrophage proteolytic and angiogenic profile analysis in atherosclerotic patients reveals a distinctive profile in type 2 diabetes," *Diabetes and Vascular Disease Research*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 279–289, 2015.
- [32] K. Y. Cho, H. Miyoshi, S. Kuroda et al., "The phenotype of infiltrating macrophages influences arteriosclerotic plaque vulnerability in the carotid artery," *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 910–918, 2013.
- [33] S. Shaikh, J. Brittenden, R. Lahiri, P. A. J. Brown, F. Thies, and H. M. Wilson, "Macrophage subtypes in symptomatic carotid artery and femoral artery plaques," *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 491–497, 2012.
- [34] J. Golledge, S. Mangan, and P. Clancy, "Effects of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor ligands in modulating tissue factor and tissue factor pathway inhibitor in acutely symptomatic carotid atheromas," *Stroke*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1501–1508, 2007.
- [35] G. Orasanu, O. Ziouzenkova, P. R. Devchand et al., "The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ agonist pioglitazone represses inflammation in a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α -dependent manner in vitro and in vivo in mice," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 869–881, 2008.