Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **BBA** - General Subjects

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbagen

# Characterisation of the selective binding of antibiotics vancomycin and teicoplanin by the VanS receptor regulating type A vancomycin resistance in the enterococci

C.S. Hughes<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Longo<sup>a</sup>, M.K. Phillips-Jones<sup>b,\*</sup>, R. Hussain<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Diamond Light Source, Harwell Research & Innovation Campus, Chilton, Didcot OX11 0DE, United Kingdom
 <sup>b</sup> Membranes, Membrane Proteins & Peptides Research Group, School of Pharmacy & Biomedical Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, PR1 2HE, United Kingdom

# ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Vancomycin Teicoplanin Antibiotic resistance Enterococci Circular dichroism Fluorescence

# ABSTRACT

A-type resistance towards "last-line" glycopeptide antibiotic vancomycin in the leading hospital acquired infectious agent, the enterococci, is the most common in the UK. Resistance is regulated by the VanR<sub>A</sub>S<sub>A</sub> two-component system, comprising the histidine sensor kinase VanS<sub>A</sub> and the partner response regulator VanR<sub>A</sub>. The nature of the activating ligand for VanS<sub>A</sub> has not been identified, therefore this work sought to identify and characterise ligand(s) for VanS<sub>A</sub>. *In vitro* approaches were used to screen the structural and activity effects of a range of potential ligands with purified VanS<sub>A</sub> protein. Of the screened ligands (glycopeptide antibiotics vancomycin and teicoplanin, and peptidoglycan components N-acetylmuramic acid, D-Ala-D-Ala and Ala-D-y-Glu-Lys-D-Ala) only glycopeptide antibiotics vancomycin and teicoplanin were found to bind VanS<sub>A</sub> with different affinities (vancomycin 70  $\mu$ M; teicoplanin 30 and 170  $\mu$ M), and were proposed to bind via exposed aromatic residues tryptophan and tyrosine. Furthermore, binding of the antibiotics induced quicker, longer-lived phosphorylation states for VanS<sub>A</sub>, proposing them as activators of type A vancomycin resistance in the enterococci.

### 1. Introduction

Vancomycin is a tricyclic glycopeptide (molecular weight = 1449) which is used as a first-line treatment for complicated diseases including skin and blood infections, endocarditis, bone and joint infections and meningitis in addition to infections caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* [1]. Vancomycin and related glycopeptide antibiotics (GPAs) target transpeptidase and transglycosylase activities by binding to the C-terminal D-Ala-D-Ala dipeptide of the muramyl pentapeptide of peptidoglycan precursor Lipid II which inhibit the final steps of bacterial cell wall biosynthesis. High affinity hydrogen bonds are formed between vancomycin and peptidoglycan precursors [2–4], sequestering the peptidoglycan grecursor sylase cross-linking for the formation of mature peptidoglycan cell walls [4–9]. Crosslinking of the growing peptidoglycan, formation of glycan chains and incorporation of peptidoglycan precursors is prevented leading to osmotic shock and cell lysis [6,7,9].

Using model cell wall precursor peptides such as N-acetyl-D-Ala-D-Ala, binding studies in aqueous solution have established that for many glycopeptides, the formation of entropically favourable asymmetric, back-to-back homodimers of the antibiotic [10-12] is mediated by sugar-sugar recognition [12,13]. Although vancomycin dimerisation is generally considered to be in a back-to-back configuration, crystal structural information of vancomycin in complex with N-acetyl-Dalanine revealed the existence of both back-to-back and face-to-face dimers, though the relative importance of each these configurations in antimicrobial action and affinity remains to be established [14]. Dimerisation is suggested to enhance the activity of the antibiotic, and this is supported by in vitro studies showing dimerisation and binding of D-Ala-D-Ala are generally cooperative phenomena [15]. It has been proposed that the dimer binds two adjacent cell wall precursors and that binding to D-Ala-D-Ala at one site on the glycopeptide dimer anchors the dimer to the cell wall and thereby enhances the second binding event through the chelate effect resulting

\* Corresponding authors.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2017.05.011

Received 5 January 2017; Received in revised form 9 May 2017; Accepted 10 May 2017 Available online 13 May 2017

0304-4165/ © 2017 Diamond Light Source Ltd. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0/).





CrossMark

Abbreviations: GPAs, glycopeptide antibiotics; MROs, multi-resistant organisms; VRE, vancomycin resistant enterococci; NAM, N-acetylmuramic acid; NAG, N-acetylglucosamine; SRCD, synchrotron radiation circular dichroism; CD, circular dichroism; MSRCD, magnetic synchrotron radiation circular dichroism; NMRSD, normalised mean residual standard deviation; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry; UHPLC, ultra-high performance liquid chromatography; HCD, higher-energy collisional dissociation

E-mail addresses: mphillips-jones@uclan.ac.uk (M.K. Phillips-Jones), rohanah.hussain@diamond.ac.uk (R. Hussain).

in a stabilised complex [15]. Molecular dynamic simulations and free energy calculations agree, favouring peptidoglycan-glycopeptide interactions rather than direct membrane binding of glycopeptides [16]. Surface plasmon resonance and other studies revealed a  $k_d$  value for vancomycin binding of 1.32  $\mu$ M [17], 2.7  $\mu$ M [18] and 3.39  $\mu$ M [19], indicative of moderate binding. In the case of the lipophilic glycopeptides such as teicoplanin which do not dimerise but do target the C-terminal D-Ala-D-Ala dipeptide of peptidoglycan [20,21] with greater potency than vancomycin demonstrated *in vitro* and *in vivo* [22–24], anchorage into the membrane occurs via the lipid moiety and this anchorage positions the antibiotic at its site of action at the cell surface resulting in an increased effectiveness of the antibiotic [25,26].

GPAs are considered "last-line" treatments against multi-resistant organisms (MROs). Wide-spread use during the 1970s towards MROs and Gram-positive organisms including enterococci which have innate resistance towards some antibiotics including  $\beta$ -lactams, e.g. penicillin [27–30] has been attributed a main factor for the development of vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) [31,32]. Resistance to the glycopeptide antibiotics amongst clinical bacteria has emerged and spread across the world at a rapid rate [33].

Of the 6 types of vancomycin resistance [5,34], type A which was first discovered in *E. faecium* strain BM4147 [34,35]. The VanA phenotype shows the highest level of inducible resistance towards both vancomycin and teicoplanin and is the most common in the UK [36]. Genes required for type A vancomycin resistance are contained within the *vanA* operon. Expression results in (1) synthesis of low-affinity D-Ala-D-Lac for dipeptide incorporation, and (2) removal of high-affinity D-Ala-D-Ala from early and late peptidoglycan precursors resulting in and overhaul of the incorporated peptidoglycan to D-Ala-D-Lac [5,27,37]. The alternative peptidoglycan precursor lacks a key hydrogen bond site normally exhibited by the D-Ala-D-Ala dipeptide, resulting in a 1000-fold reduced affinity for vancomycin [38]. This allows the cell to produce mature cross-linked peptidoglycan and to bypass the cytotoxic activities of vancomycin [5,39,40].

Furthermore, concerns for the inter- and intra-species spreading of resistance genes due to the encoding of resistance genes on mobile genetic elements [29] increased after the first *in vitro* transfer of type A vancomycin resistance genes from enterococci to staphylococci [32], later confirmed *in vivo* [41–43].

Expression of the vanA operon is controlled by the two-component signal transduction system VanRASA [44], comprised of the signal sensing membrane sensor histidine kinase VanSA and partner response regulator VanR<sub>A</sub> which is responsible for activating resistance gene expression [5]. Autophophorylation of the cytosolic kinase portion of VanS<sub>A</sub> and phosphotransfer to VanR<sub>A</sub> has been demonstrated in vitro [45]. VanR ~ P has been demonstrated to have increased affinity for van promoters than  $VanR_A$  [46]. The presence of  $VanR_A \sim P$  increases transcription of (1) the PvanR promoter regulating VanS/VanR expression therefore regulates its own synthesis, and (2) the  $P_{vanH}$  promoter for the  $vanH_AA_AX_A$  resistance operon expression [47]. The vanA operon is constitutively expressed in the absence of VanSA as a result of the production of  $VanR_A \sim P$  generated from acetyl phosphate and/or cross-talking histidine kinase phosphodonors [47]. Overall, it is suggested that in the absence of inducer, VanSA phosphatase activity negatively regulates VanRA by dephosphorylating VanRA through its phosphatase activity preventing any significant resistance gene expression. In the presence of inducer, VanSA switches from phosphatase to kinase mode, resulting in higher levels of  $VanR_A \sim P$  and resistance gene expression [48] [47].

The nature of the activating inducer for VanA-type resistance remains unknown. Vancomycin has been suggested as a ligand for type A systems [49,50] and has recently been shown to bind in type A equivalent systems of actinomycetes [51]. Other proposed ligands include the antibiotic-peptidoglycan precursor complex [52] and the accumulating peptidoglycan precursors as a result of vancomycin mode of action [53,54]. Here, we describe the use of *in vitro* approaches to further characterise the ligand-induced activities of VanS<sub>A</sub>.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Materials

Vancomycin, teicoplanin, N-Acetyl-D-glucosamine, N-Acetyl muramic acid, D-Ala-D-Ala, Ala-D- $\gamma$ -Glu-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (UK). IPTG, n-dodecyl- $\beta$ -D-maltoside, carbenicillin purchased from Melford chemicals Ltd.

# 2.2. Gene cloning

The vanS gene of *Enterococcus faecium* BM4147/pFD225 possessing the A-type resistance to vancomycin has been described previously [50,55-57].

#### 2.3. Medium-scale expression and purification of VanS<sub>A</sub>

 $6 \times 1$  L of LB was inoculated with 1 ml of started culture, growing cells in the presence of 100  $\mu$ g/ml carbenicillin at 37 °C to OC<sub>600</sub> (0.6) before induction of VanSA expression by addition of 1 mM IPTG. After induction for 3 h at 30 °C cells were harvested at 8000  $\times$  g (av) for 10 min. Cells were washed in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mM EDTA and centrifuged at 8000  $\times$  g (av) before resuspending in the same buffer and storage at -80 °C as described in [55,58]. Thaved cell pellets from 6 L of culture resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mM EDTA were lysed by explosive decompression using a Benchtop cell disruptor (Constant Systems Ltd). Cell lysis was centrifuged at 12,000  $\times$  g (av) for 40 min at 4 °C to remove cell debris, and the supernatant removed and ultracentrifuged at 131,000  $\times$  g (av) for 2 h at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was washed by resuspending in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol and ultracentrifuging at 131,000  $\times$  g (av) for 1 h at 4 °C. The was step was repeated a further two times before a resuspending the mixed membranes in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and storage at - 80 °C.

Purification was conducted as described in [55]. Mixed membrane preparations were adjusted to 4 mg/ml for solubilisation in 10 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 20% glycerol, 1% n-dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM), 20 mM imidazole pH 7.9, 2 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol for 4 h at 4 °C with gentle agitation before ultracentrifugation at  $131,000 \times g$  (av) for 40 min at 4 °C. Supernatant was incubated for 8 h with Ni-NTA Agarose (Qiagen) pre-equilibrated with 10 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 20 mM imidazole pH 7.9, 20% glycerol, 0.025% DDM. Recovered resin was washed with Wash buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 20 mM imidazole pH 7.9, 20% glycerol, 0.025% DDM) through a 30 ml column before eluting with 5 column volumes of Elute buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 20 mM imidazole pH 7.9, 20% glycerol, 0.025% DDM), collecting in 1 ml fractions. Protein-containing fractions were pooled and concentrated to 1 ml (Vivaspin®6 (100 kD MWCO)) before dialysing (Spectra/Por® Float-A-Lyzer® G2 (3.5-5 kDa)) into Exchange buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 20% glycerol, 0.025% DDM).

The final protein preparation prepared by concentrating proteincontaining elute fractions to 1 ml (Vivaspin®6 (100 kD MWCO)) before dialysing (Spectra/Por® Float-A-Lyzer® G2 (3.5–5 kDa)) into Exchange buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 20% glycerol, 0.025% DDM).

#### 2.4. Western blotting

Western blot performed as described in [55]. Image acquisition using a ChemiDoc<sup>TM</sup> XRS + (BioRad), and densitometry analysis preformed using ImageJ [59,60]. Western blots were performed in Transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, 200 mM glycine, 20% methanol) under standard conditions (90 V, 1 h). The protein-blotted polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane was blocked overnight in 10% (w/v) milk in 1 × TBST (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) Tween 20). The membrane was probed with Anti-His<sub>6</sub> antibody (INDIA) and developed by incubating with SuperSignal<sup>TM</sup> West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and exposing to film. Image acquisition using a ChemiDoc<sup>™</sup> XRS + (BioRad), and densitometry analysis performed using ImageJ [59,60].

#### 2.5. Protein concentration determination using A<sub>280</sub>

Protein concentration determination was carried out using a P-class 330 nanophotometer (Implen). The Beer-Lambert law was applied using the calculated extinction coefficient ( $\epsilon$ ) 45,770 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> for VanS<sub>A</sub>-His<sub>6</sub>.

# 2.6. Synchrotron radiation circular dichroism (SRCD) and circular dichroism (CD)

SRCD spectroscopy was carried out in a nitrogen-flushed chamber at beamline B23 at the Diamond Light Source Ltd., Oxfordshire as described in [61,62]. For CD studies, experiments were conducted using a Chirascan-Plus (Applied Photophysics).

Ligand-containing samples were performed by addition of 5-fold molar equivalent of ligand stocks in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 (control incubated with equivalent volume of 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0). All samples were incubated at 20 °C for 30 min prior to data collection. All data was analysed using CDApps [63] where the mean residue weight of VanSA was taken to be 113. Unless otherwise stated, all spectra presented are difference spectra where all relevant background buffers, ligands etc. have been subtracted. Data acquired when the HT of the detector (PMT) was equal to or > 600 V were excluded from the analyses. Far-UV measurements (180-260 nm) were commonly collected using 0.5 mg/ml of  $VanS_A$  with bandwidth of 1 nm and 1 sintegration time. Data presented in molar extinction ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ). Temperature denaturation measurements were collected over the temperatures (20 °C-95 °C, in 5 °C increments) in the absence and presence of ligands. Samples were incubated at the initial 20 °C for 30 min after ligand or solvent addition prior to data acquisition. At each temperature step, reactions were incubated for 2 min prior to data collection (1 scan). A final scan was acquired post-temperature ramp after returning to 20 °C and incubation for 20 min before data acquisition. Data was analysed using CDApps [63] to obtain difference spectra where all controls (buffers, ligands, etc.) had been subtracted. Change in CD (mdeg) at a specific wavelength was transferred to OriginPro® 9 and plotted against the corresponding temperature for fitting using Gibbs-Helmholtz equation derived from Boltzmann distribution [64,65] sigmoidal two-state denaturation curve to a Boltzmann distribution and the expression modified to include parameters for fitting of thermal denaturation data for the calculation of the melting temperature (T<sub>m</sub>).

Secondary structure estimations of  $VanS_A$  were performed from data collected in the far-UV region using the CONTINLL algorithm [66–68] and SMP 56 (43 soluble, 13 membrane) database.

Near-UV measurements (260–350 nm) were collected using 1 mg/ ml of protein using 10 mm pathlength cell, 2 nm bandwidth, 1 nm increments and 1 s integration. Titration experiments were conducted as described for standard near-UV measurements, with the modification of measurements collected after the addition of incremental volumes of ligand stock as described previously [69,70]. Change in CD (mdeg) a specific wavelength was monitored, the values transferred to OriginPro<sup>®</sup> and plotted against respective ligand concentration (M) and fitted with a hill1 binding [71] or biphasic dose response [72] function to determine the  $k_d$  for binding.

### 2.7. Magnetic synchrotron radiation circular dichroism (MSRCD)

The natural CD and SRCD of proteins in the far-UV region is sensitive to their conformation adopted in solution as a function of environment such as solvent polarity, temperature, surfactants, salts, pH and ligand binding interactions. In the near UV region the CD of Tryptophan (Trp) and Tyrosine (Tyr) aromatic amino acid residues are sensitive to their local environment and are natural probes that can be used to determine ligand binding interactions [73]. The MSRCD on the other hand is far less sensitive to the protein backbone conformational behaviour and for Trp and Tyr aromatic side-chain local environments [74,75]. In the near UV region, the dominating MSRCD of Trp compared to that of Tyr has been found recently to be sensitive to ligand binding [76,77] suggesting the molecular interaction is affecting the rotation of electron charge during excitation hence the magnitude of the MSRCD signal. MSRCD is therefore a very useful spectroscopic technique to determine the intimate involvement of Trp and Tyr at the ligand binding interface.

MSRCD measurements were made in the near-UV region (260–340 nm) at 20 °C in 1 nm increments using a cell of 1 cm pathlength, 1.2 nm bandwidth and 1 s integration time. Three scans were acquired per sample in the presence of a magnetic field (1.4 T, OLIS) (North-South and South-North orientation). Measurements were analysed using CDApps [63] first by determining the buffer subtracted spectra by subtracting appropriate buffers from each component, e.g. North-South (NS) buffer from NS measurements; and South-North (SN) buffer from (SN) measurements. Resulting SN measurements were subtracted from NS measurements for each condition, e.g. SN VanS<sub>A</sub> (SN buffer\_subtracted) – NS VanS<sub>A</sub> (NS buffer\_subtracted) using OriginPro<sup>®</sup>. Analysed ligands alone were subtracted from the measured spectra, where appropriate.

#### 2.8. Fluorescence spectroscopy

Fluorescence spectroscopy was carried out using a Chirascan-Plus CD Spectrometer (Applied Photophysics) using 1 cm pathlength cell (1 cm  $\times$  1 cm, 4 mm  $\times$  4 mm window) at 23 °C, 5 nm bandwidth and 600 V, 295 nm excitation wavelength to excite the VanS<sub>A</sub> Trp residues was applied to the sample and emission monitored between 300 and 500 nm in 1 nm increments. Samples incubated at 20 °C for 30 min prior to data collection.

Data analysis performed using CDApps [63] to obtain values which have been buffer subtracted and dilution-corrected for all components (F values) (protein only, ligand only, protein-ligand).  $F_o$  values were determined by normalisation to the initial value for each condition, followed by calculation of  $F/F_o$  using OriginPro<sup>®</sup> 9. Standard deviation (n = 3) shown by error bars.

#### 2.9. Activity assays

Assays were conducted as per the instructions provided in the R & D Systems Universal Kinase Activity Kit (Catalogue # EA004, R & D), changing the pH to 8.0; therefore all assays were conducted in 25 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 10 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>. Compatibility checks for the activity of CD39L2 were made in the new conditions [78]. A phosphate standard curve in the new assay conditions was used to determine the conversion factor (CF) for CD39L2 by calculating the slope of  $OD_{620} \times phosphate$  input (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>). This CF was used in later calculations to determine the amount of PO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> produced in later experiments. For ligand screens,  $VanS_A$  (0.2 µg) was incubated in the absence and presence of 5-fold molar equivalent of ligands for 20 min prior to the initiation of autophosphorylation by the addition of ATP; after which autophosphorylation was allowed to proceed for 40 min before reactions were stopped by the addition of 30 µl Malachite Green Reagent A (ammonium molybdate in 3 M sulphuric acid) at  $t = 60.100 \,\mu$ l distilled H<sub>2</sub>O was added to each well, followed by 30 µl of Malachite Reagent B (malachite green oxalate and polyvinyl alcohol) and the colour allowed to develop for 20 min before determining the optical density at 620 nm.



**Fig. 1.** Overexpression, purification and confirmation of active VanS<sub>A</sub>. (A) Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gel and (B) Western Blot of mixed *E. coli* membranes from uninduced and induced BL21[DE3] cells harbouring the overexpression plasmid pTTQ. (C) Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gel and (D) Western Blot of purified VanS<sub>A</sub>. (E) Autoradiograph of VanS<sub>A</sub>, phosphorylation assay.

#### 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Verification of the successful expression and purification of active $VanS_A$

Protein bands (~42 kDa) which were revealed using SDS-PAGE as being present only under induction conditions (Fig. 1A and B) were later confirmed by Western blot as  $\mbox{VanS}_A$  (Fig. 1A and B).  $\mbox{VanS}_A$  was subsequently purified successfully, its identity confirmed again using SDS-PAGE and Western blot (Fig. 1C, D) in addition to N-terminal sequencing and LC-MS/MS mass spectrometry which confirmed the expressed protein to have the expected amino acid sequence for VanSA (Figs. 1 and 3 [79]). The observed molecular weight for VanS<sub>A</sub> using SDS-PAGE (~42 kDa) was supported by SEC-MALS and LC-MS/MS mass spectrometry with output values of  $41,510 \pm 930$  Da, and 45,764 Da, respectively (Figs. 2 and 3 [79]). Membrane proteins have been reported to migrate anomalously using SDS-PAGE resulting in lower-than-predicted molecular weight outputs [55,80] and this may account for the lower reported molecular weight by SDS-PAGE and SEC-MALS. Autophosphorylation activity screens confirmed the purification of active proteins (Fig. 1E) which can be used in subsequent ligand binding and activity screens, indicative of no deleterious effect of the presence of detergent on the activity of the protein, similar to results previously reported [55].

Following the production of pure and active protein, biophysical approaches including circular dichroism (CD), magnetic synchrotron radiation circular dichroism (MSRCD) and fluorescence spectroscopy in addition to phosphorylation activity assays were employed to screen a range of candidate compounds (GPAs vancomycin and teicoplanin, and peptidoglycan components) for their effects on VanS<sub>A</sub> structure and activity.

# 3.2. Assessment of the effects of ligand binding on the conformation and stability of $VanS_A$

Ligand binding can induce conformational changes in a protein. Using circular dichroism (CD), changes to the secondary structure (far-UV region, 180–260 nm) and tertiary structure (near-UV region, aromatic region, 260–310 nm) can be monitored [81,82]. Ligand screens conducted in the far-UV region revealed no significant conformational change for VanS<sub>A</sub> in the presence of screened compounds in



Fig. 2. Ligand binding screens of VanS<sub>A</sub> in the far-UV region (190-260 nm). Difference spectrum of VanS<sub>A</sub> in the absence and presence of 5-fold molar equivalent of compounds (vancomycin, teicoplanin, N-acetylmuramic acid, D-Ala-D-Ala and Ala-D-y-Glu-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala).

agreement with previous findings [49] with no significant change in the secondary structure estimations ( $\alpha$ -helix, 0.04–0.3%;  $\beta$ -strand 0.4–1.6%, turns 0.1–2%, unordered 0.2–0.3%, normalised mean residual standard deviation (NMRSD) is 0.06–0.12).

Differences in the thermal stability of a protein in the absence and presence of ligands can be indicative of binding interactions, therefore thermal denaturation experiments were conducted in the far-UV region as a complementary method for the assessment of binding of the proposed ligands.

A significant increase of the thermal stability for  $VanS_A$  was observed only in the presence of vancomycin (Table 1; Figs. 5 [79]) and not in the presence of peptidoglycan components suggestive of protein-ligand interactions between  $VanS_A$  and vancomycin which are favourable to  $VanS_A$  stability.



**Fig. 3.** Characterisation of ligand binding in the near-UV region (260–230 nm). Difference spectrum of (A)  $VanS_A$  in the presence of 5-fold molar equivalent of compounds (vancomycin, teicoplanin, N-acetylmuramic acid, D-Ala-D-Ala and Ala-D-y-Glu-Lys-D-Ala); (B) titration of vancomycin and (C) titration of teicoplanin. Binding constant ( $k_d$ ) calculated from change in CD (mdeg) at (B) 286 nm and (C) 292 nm, plotting against respective concentration (M) of GPA and fitting with a (B) Hill1 or (C) BidoseResponse function. Standard deviation (n = 4) shown by error bars.

Table 1

Melting	temperature	of	VanS <sub>A</sub>	in	the	presence	of	screened	compounds	monitored	at
222 nm.											

Melting temperature $(T_m)$ of $\text{VanS}_A$ in the presence of screened ligands (°C)									
$\label{eq:VanS_A} VanS_A + vancomycin \\ VanS_A + N-acetylmuramic acid \\ VanS_A + D-Ala-D-Ala \\ VanS_A + vancomycin + Ala-D-\gamma-Glu-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala \\ VanS_A + Ala-D-\gamma-Glu-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala \\ VanS_A + teicoplanin \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 44 \ \pm \ 0.71 \\ 79 \ \pm \ 4.04 \\ 44 \ \pm \ 0.63 \\ 48 \ \pm \ 4.07 \\ 44 \ \pm \ 2.23 \\ 51 \ \pm \ 3.23 \\ 47 \ \pm \ 5.08 \end{array}$								

3.3. Investigation of the role of tryptophan and tyrosine in glycopeptide antibiotic binding by  $VanS_A$ 

Next, screens were conducted in the near-UV region to determine the effects of the presence of screened ligands on the local tertiary structure of  $VanS_A$ , specifically screening the aromatic residues of  $VanS_A$ . Qualitative studies revealed changes to the tyrosine/tryptophan region only in the presence of vancomycin and teicoplanin (Fig. 3A) in agreement with previous findings for vancomycin [49] and the first *in vitro* demonstration of binding by teicoplanin. Furthermore, results disregarded peptidoglycan components screened as binding ligands since no significant changes were observed (Fig. 3A). Titration studies demonstrated differences in affinity for each antibiotic. In the case of vancomycin, fitting of the Hill 1 function, a simple sigmoidal function which is used to describe the cooperative occupation of binding sites on a molecule by a ligand as a function of ligand concentration [71], showed binding occurred by a one-step process of moderate affinity (70  $\mu$ M) (Hill coefficient = 1.65  $\pm$  0.84) (Fig. 3B). Conversely, teicoplanin was found to bind VanSA by a two-step mechanism (Hill coefficient =  $0.97 \pm 0.77$ ;  $0.977 \pm 0.76$ ) (Fig. 3C). Fitting was performed using a Bi Dose Response function which is a sigmoidal function monitoring two-step changes induced by exposure to increasing concentration of ligand [72]. The proposed differences in the mechanism of action for each antibiotic [25] could explain these observed differences in mechanism. Alternatively, as teicoplanin is a mixture of compounds [21] which are present in different proportions [20] and display different potencies [20] the observed affinities could result from the binding of different isoforms by VanS<sub>A</sub>, compared to vancomycin which is present as a single compound. UV absorption (A) of a material is an important factor for CD experiments, demonstrated by application of the Beer-Lambert Law. UV absorption is a complementary spectroscopic technique which can be used to investigate ligand binding interactions. Measurements taken at each titration point in the presence of both GPAs supported CD titration results and the calculated k<sub>d</sub> (Fig. 4 [79]).

To further investigate the roles of tryptophan (Trp) and tyrosine (Tyr) in GPA ligand binding, fluorescence spectroscopy and magnetic synchrotron radiation circular dichroism (MSRCD) techniques were used. These techniques are powerful tools for the investigation of the interactions of the aromatic residues, and can provide information regarding the orientation of the residue of interest (buried or exposed) within the tertiary structure of the protein. In general, the fluorescence emission for proteins is assigned to Trp buried in non-polar environment for  $\lambda_{max}$  < 330 nm and solvent exposed in polar environment for  $\lambda_{max}$  > 330 nm. The shift of  $\lambda_{max}$  as well as the quenching of fluorescence emission in the presence of ligands can be used to monitor binding interactions. Similarly, MSRCD can also be used to probe the interactions of tryptophan and tyrosine aromatic side-chains with ligands. A magnetic field applied parallel to the direction of light propagation enables the distinction of overlapping signals not normally observed using standard CD techniques. MSRCD is most useful when distinguishing signal contributions from tryptophan and tyrosine as the different transitions of the aromatic rings become distinct. In Fig. 4, the  $\lambda_{max}$  of 334 nm for VanS<sub>A</sub> indicative of the tryptophan being more exposed within the protein tertiary structure was blue shifted to 328 nm and 329 nm upon addition of vancomycin and teicoplanin (GPAs) respectively that was attributed with the Trp less exposed (Fig.4) as a result of antibiotic binding. This was consistent with the MSRCD data that showed analogous shifts of the peak maxima of VanS<sub>A</sub> (Fig. 5) in



the presence of GPAs in both tryptophan and tyrosine spectral regions. The observed red-shifts in the presence of the antibiotics indicated the residues becoming buried as a result of binding suggesting the aromatic residues be important components in the antibiotic ligand recognition.

Previous studies identified a 4-amino-acid motif (DQGW) from the predicted extracellular binding region of VanSsc (equivalent to the type B VanS receptor in soil actinomycetes) to which a modified vancomycin photoaffinity probe bound [51]. Although induction differences have been described for the type A and type B receptors [5,27,83], these results nevertheless suggest an important role for tryptophan in vancomycin binding.

# 3.4. Effects of ligand binding on the activity of $VanS_A$

Following structural characterisation of ligand binding by VanS<sub>A</sub>, the activity effects of the presence of GPAs vancomycin and teicoplanin, and peptidoglycan components N-acetylmuramic acid (NAM) and N-acetylglucosamine (NAG) were investigated. Results showed significant increases in the activity of VanS<sub>A</sub> in the presence of GPAs and decreases in activity in the presence of peptidoglycan components (Fig. 6). It is hypothesised that in type A resistance systems, vancomycin binding by VanS<sub>A</sub> initiates a suppression of phosphatase activity resulting in a net increase in VanR<sub>A</sub> phosphorylation [27]. Such activities could account for the increased phosphorylation of VanS<sub>A</sub> observed in this study; however work investigating VanR<sub>A</sub> phosphorylation in the presence of GPAs would clarify the mechanism.

The observed differences in binding (Fig. 3) and phosphorylation activity (Fig. 6) for  $VanS_A$  in the presence of teicoplanin may be reflective of the reported potency differences *in vivo* of vancomycin and teicoplanin [84,85]. By reasoning, the observed tighter binding and increased phosphorylation displayed by  $VanS_A$  may be a compensatory mechanism which could result in more effective information relay to  $VanR_A$  and activation of resistance gene expression.

## 4. Conclusion

Here, the characterised binding and activity effects resulting from ligand binding by  $VanS_A$ , the sensor regulating the onset of type A vancomycin resistance in the enterococci, suggest GPAs vancomycin and teicoplanin themselves and not peptidoglycan components are the activating ligands for  $VanS_A$ . Together, this presents a foundation for understanding the activation mechanism for type A resistance which has important clinical implications for ways to prevent the development and spread of resistance, and poses questions regarding the mechanistic differences for the activation of type A and type B resistances.

#### Funding

This work was supported by Studentship funding from the University of Central Lancashire and Diamond Light Source.

### **Transparency document**

The http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2017.05.011 associated with this article can be found, in online version.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Diamond Light Source for access to B23 beamline (CM14484-1, SM12003, SM10310, SM4986, SM9016, SM5056), Lab 22 and Membrane Protein laboratory (MPL) and Research Complex at Harwell (RCaH). CSH would like to thank University of Central Lancashire and Diamond Light Source for studentship funding. MPJ thanks the School of Pharmacy & Biomedical Sciences for funding. We would like to thank Prof Giuliano Siligardi, B23 beamline from Diamond Light Source for useful discussion, Dr. Isabel de Moraes and



Fig. 5. Difference MCD spectra of VanS<sub>A</sub> in the absence and presence of vancomycin and teicoplanin antibiotics. (A) Displaying 265–330 nm region, (B) tryptophan region (290–300 nm), (C) tyrosine region (270–285 nm). Data smoothed using Savitzky-Golay (10 pts).



**Fig. 6.** Activity of  $VanS_A$ . (A) In the absence and presence of 5-fold molar equivalent of compounds (vancomycin, teicoplanin, *N*-acetylglucosamine). (B) Activity profiles of  $VanS_A$  in the absence and presence of vancomycin and teicoplanin over 40 min. Standard deviations calculated for each condition (n = 3) and plotted as error bars.

Maria Rosa from MPL for assistance and Dr. Gemma Harris for SEC-MALS measurement.

#### References

- R.C. Moellering, Vancomycin: a 50-year reassessment, Clin. Infect. Dis. 42 (2006) S3–S4.
- [2] R.R. Pfeiffer, Structural features of vancomycin, Rev. Infect. Dis. 3 (1981) \$205-\$209.
- [3] J. Barna, D. Williams, The structure and mode of action of glycopeptide antibiotics of the vancomycin group, Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 38 (1984) 339–357.
- [4] J.P. Liu, K.J. Volk, M.S. Lee, M. Pucci, S. Handwerger, Binding-studies of vancomycin to the cytoplasmic peptidoglycan precursors by affinity capillary electrophoresis, Anal. Chem. 66 (1994) 2412–2416.
- [5] P. Courvalin, Vancomycin resistance in Gram-positive cocci, Clin. Infect. Dis. 42 (Suppl. 1) (2006) S25–S34.
- [6] D. Kahne, C. Leimkuhler, W. Lu, C. Walsh, Glycopeptide and lipoglycopeptide antibiotics, Chem. Rev. 105 (2005) 425–448.
- [7] M. Nieto, H.R. Perkins, Modifications of the acyl-p-alanyl-p-alanine terminus affecting complex-formation with vancomycin, Biochem. J. 123 (1971) 789–803.
- [8] H.R. Perkins, M. Nieto, The chemical basis for the action of the vancomycin group of antibiotics, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 235 (1974) 348–363.
- [9] P.E. Reynolds, Structure, biochemistry and mechanism of action of glycopeptide antibiotics, Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 8 (1989) 943–950.
- [10] J.P. Waltho, D.H. Williams, Aspects of molecular recognition: solvent exclusion and dimerization of the antibiotic ristocetin when bound to a model bacterial cell-wall precursor, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111 (1989) 2475–2480.
- [11] G. Batta, F. Sztaricskai, K.E. Kövér, C. Rüdel, T.F. Berdnikova, An NMR study of eremomycin and its derivatives, J. Antibiot. (Tokyo) 44 (1991) 1208–1221.
- [12] U. Gerhard, J.P. Mackay, R.A. Maplestone, D.H. Williams, The role of the sugar and

chlorine substituents in the dimerization of vancomycin antibiotics, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 232–237.

- [13] P. Groves, M.S. Searle, J.P. Mackay, D.H. Williams, The structure of an asymmetric dimer relevant to the mode of action of the glycopeptide antibiotics, Structure 2 (1994) 747–754.
- [14] P.J. Loll, R. Miller, C.M. Weeks, P.H. Axelsen, A ligand-mediated dimerization mode for vancomycin, Chem. Biol. 5 (1998) 293–298.
- [15] J.P. Mackay, U. Gerhard, D.A. Beauregard, M.S. Westwell, M.S. Searle, D.H. Williams, Glycopeptide antibiotic activity and the possible role of dimerization: a model for biological signaling, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116 (1994) 4581–4590.
- [16] Z. Jia, M.L. O'Mara, J. Zuegg, M.A. Cooper, A.E. Mark, The effect of environment on the recognition and binding of vancomycin to native and resistant forms of lipid II, Biophys. J. 101 (2011) 2684–2692.
- [17] H. Kinouchi, H. Arimoto, K. Nishiguchi, M. Oka, H. Maki, H. Kitagawa, H. Kamimori, Binding properties of antimicrobial agents to dipeptide terminal of lipid II using surface plasmon resonance, Anal. Biochem. 452 (2014) 67–75.
- [18] M.-C. Tseng, Y.-P. Chang, Y.-H. Chu, Quantitative measurements of vancomycin binding to self-assembled peptide monolayers on chips by quartz crystal microbalance, Anal. Biochem. 371 (2007) 1–9.
- [19] Y. Nakama, O. Yoshida, M. Yoda, K. Araki, Y. Sawada, J. Nakamura, S. Xu, K. Miura, H. Maki, H. Arimoto, Discovery of a novel series of semisynthetic vancomycin derivatives effective against vancomycin-resistant bacteria, J. Med. Chem. 53 (2010) 2528–2533.
- [20] F. Parenti, G. Beretta, M. Berti, V. Arioli, Teichomycins, new antibiotics from Actinoplanes teichomyceticus nov. sp. I. Description of the producer strain, fermentation studies and biological properties, J. Antibiot. (Tokyo) 31 (1978) 276–283.
- [21] F. Parenti, Structure and mechanism of action of teicoplanin, J. Hosp. Infect. 7 (Suppl. A) (1986) 79–83.
- [22] L. Verbist, B. Tjandramaga, B. Hendrickx, A. Van Hecken, P. Van Melle, R. Verbesselt, J. Verhaegen, P.J. De Schepper, In vitro activity and human pharmacokinetics of teicoplanin, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 26 (1984) 881–886.

- [23] S. Miyazaki, T. Fujikawa, I. Kobayashi, T. Matsumoto, K. Tateda, K. Yamaguchi, The in vitro and in vivo antibacterial characterization of vancomycin and linezolid against vancomycin-susceptible and -resistant enterococci, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 50 (2002) 971–974.
- [24] H.C. Neu, P. Labthavikul, In vitro activity of teichomycin compared with those of other antibiotics, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 24 (1983) 425–428.
- [25] D.A. Beauregard, D.H. Williams, M.N. Gwynn, D.J.C. Knowles, Dimerization and membrane anchors in extracellular targeting of vancomycin group antibiotics, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39 (1995) 781–785.
- [26] G.J. Sharman, A.C. Try, R.J. Dancer, Y.R. Cho, T. Staroske, B. Bardsley, A.J. Maguire, M.A. Cooper, D.P. O'Brien, D.H. Williams, The roles of dimerization and membrane anchoring in activity of glycopeptide antibiotics against vancomycin-resistant bacteria, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 12041–12047.
- [27] H.-J. Hong, M.I. Hutchings, M.J. Buttner, Vancomycin resistance VanS/VanR twocomponent systems, Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 631 (2008) 200–213.
   [28] Y. Cetinkaya, P. Falk, C.G. Mayhall, Vancomycin-resistant enterococci, Clin.
- Microbiol. Rev. 13 (2000) 686–707.
  [29] B.L. Hollenbeck, L.B. Rice, Intrinsic and acquired resistance mechanisms in
- enterococcus, Virulence 3 (2012) 421–433.
  [30] H.A. Kirst, D.G. Thomsson, T.L. Nicas, Historical yearly usage of vancomycin.
- [30] H.A. Kirst, D.G. Thompson, T.I. Nicas, Historical yearly usage of vancomycin [1], Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 42 (1998) 1303–1304.
- [31] D.N. Gerding, Is there a relationship between vancomycin-resistant enterococcal infection and Clostridium difficile infection? Clin. Infect. Dis. 25 (Suppl. 2) (1997) S206–S210.
- [32] D.M. Sievert, P. Ricks, J.R. Edwards, A. Schneider, J. Patel, A. Srinivasan, A. Kallen, B. Limbago, S. Fridkin, B.E. Murray, G. Werner, T.M. Coque, A.M. Hammerum, R. Hope, W. Hryniewicz, A. Johnson, I. Klare, K.G. Kristinsson, R. Leclercq, C.H. Lester, M. Lillie, C. Novais, B. Olsson-Liljequist, L.V. Peixe, E. Sadowy, G.S. Simonsen, J. Top, J. Vuopio-Varkila, R.J. Willems, W. Witte, N. Woodford, P. Zarb, B. Coignard, J. Griskeviciene, A. Muller, V. Vankerckhoven, K. Weist, M. Goossens, S. Vaerenberg, S. Hopkins, B. Catry, D. Monnet, H. Goossens, C. Suetens, Vancomycin-resistant enterococcal infections, Euro Surveill. 342 (2000) 710–721.
- [33] R.J.L. Willems, J. Top, M. Van Santen, D.A. Robinson, T.M. Coque, F. Baquero, H. Grundmann, M.J.M. Bonten, Global spread of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* from distinct nosocomial genetic complex, Emerg. Infect. Dis. 11 (2005) 821–828.
- [34] J. Pootoolal, J. Neu, G.D. Wright, Glycopeptide antibiotic resistance, Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 42 (2002) 381–408.
- [35] R. Leclercq, E. Derlot, J. Duval, P. Courvalin, Plasmid-mediated resistance to vancomycin and teicoplanin in Enterococcus faecium, N. Engl. J. Med. 319 (1988) 157–161.
- [36] G. Werner, T.M. Coque, C.M.A.P. Franz, E. Grohmann, K. Hegstad, L. Jensen, W. van Schaik, K. Weaver, Antibiotic resistant enterococci - tales of a drug resistance gene trafficker, Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 303 (2013) 360–379.
- [37] M. Arthur, C. Molinas, T.D.H. Bugg, G.D. Wright, C.T. Walsh, P. Courvalin, Evidence for in vivo incorporation of p-lactate into peptidoglycan precursors of vancomycinresistant enterococci, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 36 (1992) 867–869.
- [38] G.D. Wright, C.T. Walsh, p-Alanyl-p-alanine ligases and the molecular mechanism of vancomycin resistance, Acc. Chem. Res. 25 (1992) 468–473.
- [39] M. Arthur, P.E. Reynolds, F. Depardieu, S. Evers, S. DutkaMalen, R. Quintiliani, P. Courvalin, Mechanisms of glycopeptide resistance in enterococci, J. Infect. 32 (1996) 11–16.
- [40] M. Arthur, P. Reynolds, P. Courvalin, Glycopeptide resistance in enterococci, Trends Microbiol. 4 (1996) 401–407.
- [41] W.C. Noble, Z. Virani, R.G.A. Cree, Co-transfer of vancomycin and other resistance genes from *Enterococcus faecalis* Netc-12201 to *Staphylococcus aureus*, FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 93 (1992) 195–198.
- [42] K. Hiramatsu, Vancomycin resistance in staphylococci, Drug Resist. Updat. 1 (1998) 135–150.
- [43] S. Chang, D.M. Sievert, J.C. Hageman, M.L. Boulton, F.C. Tenover, F.P. Downes, S. Shah, J.T. Rudrik, G.R. Pupp, W.J. Brown, D. Cardo, S.K. Fridkin, Infection with vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* containing the vanA resistance gene, N. Engl. J. Med. 348 (2003) 1342–1347.
- [44] M. Arthur, C. Molinas, P. Courvalin, The VanS-VanR two-component regulatory system controls synthesis of depsipeptide peptidoglycan precursors in *Enterococcus faecium* BM4147, J. Bacteriol. 174 (1992) 2582–2591.
- [45] G.D. Wright, T.R. Holman, C.T. Walsh, Purification and characterization of VanR and the cytosolic domain of VanS: a two-component regulatory system required for vancomycin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium* BM4147, Biochemistry 32 (1993) 5057–5063.
- [46] T.R. Holman, Z. Wu, B.L. Wanner, C.T. Walsh, Identification of the DNA-binding site for the phosphorylated VanR protein required for vancomycin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium*, Biochemistry 33 (1994) 4625–4631.
- [47] M. Arthur, F. Depardieu, G. Gerbaud, M. Galimand, R. Leclercq, P. Courvalin, The VanS sensor negatively controls VanR-mediated transcriptional activation of glycopeptide resistance genes of Tn1546 and related elements in the absence of induction, J. Bacteriol. 179 (1997) 97–106.
- [48] S. Evers, R. Quintiliani, P. Courvalin, Genetics of glycopeptide resistance in enterococci, Microb. Drug Resist. Epidemiol. Dis. 2 (1996) 219–223.
- [49] C.J. Pogson, Overexpression, Purification and Ligand Interaction Studies of Intact Membrane Sensory Kinases from Enterococci: Towards Agonist and Antagonist Screening, University of Central Lancashire, 2013.
- [50] M.K. Phillips-Jones, G. Channell, C.J. Kelsall, C.S. Hughes, A.E. Ashcroft, S.G. Patching, V. Dinu, R.B. Gillis, G.G. Adams, S.E. Harding, Hydrodynamics of the VanA-type VanS histidine kinase: an extended solution conformation and first

evidence for interactions with vancomycin, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 46180.

- [51] K. Koteva, H.-J. Hong, X.D. Wang, I. Nazi, D. Hughes, M.J. Naldrett, M.J. Buttner, G.D. Wright, A vancomycin photoprobe identifies the histidine kinase VanSsc as a vancomycin receptor, Nat. Chem. Biol. 6 (2010) 327–329.
- [52] M.J. Kwun, G. Novotna, A.R. Hesketh, L. Hill, H.-J. Hong, In vivo studies suggest that induction of VanS-dependent vancomycin resistance requires binding of the drug to D-Ala-D-Ala termini in the peptidoglycan cell wall, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57 (2013) 4470–4480.
- [53] M. Baptista, F. Depardieu, P. Courvalin, M. Arthur, Specificity of induction of glycopeptide resistance genes in *Enterococcus faecalis*, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 40 (1996) 2291–2295.
- [54] S. Handwerger, A. Kolokathis, Induction of vancomycin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium* by inhibition of transglycosylation, FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 58 (1990) 167–170.
- [55] P. Ma, H.M. Yuille, V. Blessie, N. Gohring, Z. IgloI, K. Nishiguchi, J. Nakayama, P.J.F. Henderson, M.K. Phillips-Jones, Expression, purification and activities of the entire family of intact membrane sensor kinases from *Enterococcus faecalis*, Mol. Membr. Biol. 25 (2008) 449–473.
- [56] J. Sambrook, E.F. Fritsch, T. Maniatis, Molecular Cloning a Laboratory Manual, second ed., vols. 1 2 and 3, (1989).
- [57] S.A. Baldwin, Membrane Transport: A Practical Approach, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [58] M. Saidijam, G. Psakis, J.L. Clough, J. Meuller, S. Suzuki, C.J. Hoyle, S.L. Palmer, S.M. Morrison, M.K. Pos, R.C. Essenberg, M.C.J. Maiden, A. Abu-bakr, S.G. Baumberg, A.A. Neyfakh, J.K. Griffith, M.J. Stark, A. Ward, J. O'Reilly, N.G. Rutherford, M.K. Phillips-Jones, P.J.F. Henderson, Collection and characterisation of bacterial membrane proteins, FEBS Lett. 555 (2003) 170–175.
- [59] W.S. Rasband, ImageJ, U. S. Natl. Institutes Heal, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, 1997 (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html ).
- [60] C.A. Schneider, W.S. Rasband, K.W. Eliceiri, NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis, Nat. Methods 9 (2012) 671–675.
- [61] T. Javorfi, R. Hussain, D. Myatt, G. Siligardi, Measuring circular dichroism in a capillary cell using the B23 synchrotron radiation CD beamline at Diamond Light Source, Chirality 22 (2010) E149–E153.
- [62] G. Siligardi, R. Hussain, S.G. Patching, M.K. Phillips-Jones, Ligand- and drugbinding studies of membrane proteins revealed through circular dichroism spectroscopy, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1838 (2014) 34–42.
- [63] R. Hussain, K. Benning, T. Javorfi, E. Longo, T.R. Rudd, B. Pulford, G. Siligardi, CDApps: integrated software for experimental planning and data processing at beamline B23, Diamond Light Source, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 22 (2015) 465–468.
- [64] D.G. Singleton, R. Hussain, G. Siligardi, P. Kumar, P.J. Hrdlicka, N. Berova, E. Stulz, Increased duplex stabilization in porphyrin-LNA zipper arrays with structure dependent exciton coupling, Org. Biomol. Chem. 14 (2016) 149–157.
- [65] N.J. Greenfield, Using circular dichroism collected as a function of temperature to determine the thermodynamics of protein unfolding and binding interactions, Nat. Protoc. 1 (2007) 2527–2535.
- [66] S.W. Provencher, J. Glöckner, Estimation of globular protein secondary structure from circular dichroism, Biochemistry 20 (1981) 33–37.
- [67] I.H.M. van Stokkum, H.J.W. Spoelder, M. Bloemendal, R. van Grondelle, F.C.A. Groen, Estimation of protein secondary structure and error analysis from circular dichroism spectra, Anal. Biochem. 191 (1990) 110–118.
- [68] N. Sreerama, R.W. Woody, Computation and analysis of protein circular dichroism spectra, Methods Enzymol. 383 (2004) 318–351.
- [69] G. Siligardi, B. Panaretou, P. Meyer, S. Singh, D.N. Woolfson, P.W. Piper, L.H. Pearl, C. Prodromou, Regulation of Hsp90 ATPase activity by the co-chaperone Cdc37p/ p50cdc37, J. Biol. Chem. 277 (2002) 20151–20159.
- [70] S.G. Patching, S. Edara, P. Ma, J. Nakayama, R. Hussain, G. Siligardi, M.K. Phillips-Jones, Interactions of the intact FsrC membrane histidine kinase with its pheromone ligand GBAP revealed through synchrotron radiation circular dichroism, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr. 1818 (2012) 1595–1602.
- [71] A.V. Hill, The possible effects of the aggregation of the molecules of hæmoglobin on its dissociation curves, J. Physiol. 40 (1910) iv–vii.
- [72] B. Altshuler, Modeling of dose-response relationships, Environ. Health Perspect. 42 (1981) 23–27.
- [73] G. Siligardi, R. Hussain, Biomolecules interactions and competitions by nonimmobilised ligand interaction assay by circular dichroism, Enantiomer 3 (1998) 77–87.
- [74] G. Barth, W. Voelter, E. Bunnenberg, C. Djerassi, Magnetic circular dichroism studies. XVII. Magnetic circular dichroism spectra of proteins. New method for the quantitative determination of tryptophan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94 (1972) 1293–1298.
- [75] C. Djerassi, G. Barth, R. Records, E. Bunnenberg, W. Voelter, Magnetic circular dichroism studies. XII. Determination of tryptophan in proteins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93 (1971) 2545–2547.
- [76] E. Longo, R. Hussain, G. Siligardi, Application of circular dichroism and magnetic circular dichroism for assessing biopharmaceuticals formulations photo-stability and small ligands binding properties, Int. J. Pharm. 480 (2015) 84–91.
- [77] A.J. Hughes, T.R. Rudd, G.S.A. Wright, D.G. Fernig, G. Siligardi, E.A. Yates, Detection of interaction between protein tryptophan residues and small or macromolecular ligands by synchrotron radiation magnetic circular dichroism, Anal. Methods 7 (2015) 1667–1671.
- [78] Z.L. Wu, Phosphatase-coupled universal kinase assay and kinetics for first-orderrate coupling reaction, PLoS One 6 (2011) e23172.
- [79] C.S. Hughes, E. Longo, M.J. Phillips-Jones, R. Hussain, Quality Control and Characterisation of the Ligand Binding Properties of VanSA, Data Br. (n.d.).
- [80] A. Rath, M. Glibowicka, V.G. Nadeau, G. Chen, C.M. Deber, Detergent binding explains anomalous SDS-PAGE migration of membrane proteins, Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci. U. S. A. 106 (2009) 1760-1765.

- [81] R. Hussain, T. Jávorfi, G. Siligardi, Circular dichroism beamline B23 at the Diamond Light Source, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 19 (2012) 132–135.
- [82] R. Hussain, T. Jávorfi, G. Siligardi, 8.23 Spectroscopic analysis: synchrotron radiation circular dichroism, Compr. Chirality, 2012, pp. 438–448, http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-08-095167-6.00841-7.
- [83] M. Arthur, R. Quintiliani, Regulation of VanA- and VanB-type glycopeptide resistance in enterococci, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45 (2001) 375–381.
- [84] Y. Chang, W.C. Chen, P.H. Hsieh, D.W. Chen, M.S. Lee, H.N. Shih, S.W.N. Ueng, In vitro activities of daptomycin-, vancomycin-, and teicoplanin-loaded polymethylmethacrylate against methicillin-susceptible, methicillin-resistant, and vancomycinintermediate strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55 (2011) 5480–5484.
- [85] R. Pallanza, M. Berti, B.P. Goldstein, E. Mapelli, E. Randisi, R. Scotti, V. Arioli, Teichomycin: in-vitro and in-vivo evaluation in comparison with other antibiotics, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 11 (1983) 419–425.