

International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201906644 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201906644

Different Modes of Anion Response Cause Circulatory Phase Transfer of a Coordination Cage with Controlled Directionality

Nozomi Mihara, Tanya K. Ronson, and Jonathan R. Nitschke*

Abstract: Controlled directional transport of molecules is essential to complex natural systems, from cellular transport up to organismal circulatory systems. In contrast to these natural systems, synthetic systems that enable transport of molecules between several spatial locations on the macroscopic scale, when external stimuli are applied, remain to be explored. Now, the transfer of a supramolecular cage is reported with controlled directionality between three phases, based on a cage that responds reversibly in two distinct ways to different anions. Notably, circulatory phase transfer of the cage was demonstrated based on a system where the three layers of solvent are arranged within a circular track. The direction of circulation between solvent phases depended upon the order of addition of anions.

ransport of molecules between several spatial locations is essential to the functioning of complex natural systems. On a macroscopic scale, a circulatory system allows blood to transport nutrients throughout an organism. Scaled down to cellular transport, molecules are continuously transported between organelles, and in and out of the cell.^[1] Taking inspiration from these natural systems, it would be desirable to construct artificial systems where components are controllably transported between locations on a macroscopic scale, based on synthetic molecules that can interact with chemical signals, which induce different transport processes. In the present study, we demonstrate phase transfer of a stimuliresponsive cage within a system consisting of three mutually immiscible solvent phases in which the direction of transfer is controlled by the order of application of distinct chemical signals. Notably, the cage showed circulatory phase transfer with controlled directionality when the three solvent phases were arranged in a circuit. This circulation could enable the development of new chemical purification systems involving the selective uptake and release of cargoes in specific spatial locations.^[2]

Supramolecular cages^[3] and macrocycles^[4] are a versatile platform for the construction of stimuli-responsive materials,

[*] Dr. N. Mihara, Dr. T. K. Ronson, Prof. Dr. J. R. Nitschke Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW (UK) E-mail: jrn34@cam.ac.uk



https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201906644. © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.

0 KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

since a wide variety of stimuli-responsive subcomponents may be incorporated into them. The structure and electronic states of these assemblies can be altered by the application of stimuli that include electrons,^[5] light,^[6] pH,^[7] ions,^[8] and small molecules.^[9] This stimuli-responsive behavior has been utilized to control functions that have included molecular recognition^[5c,6a-c,8e] and catalysis.^[8c,d] A remaining important challenge in this field is the construction of supramolecular cages which respond to more than two stimuli in reversible and distinct ways, resulting in different outputs. Such cages could serve as building blocks for complex and functional supramolecular systems, where the output of one part serves as the input for another.

Herein we report the circulatory phase transfer of a newly synthesized Fe^{II}₄L₄ tetrahedral cage containing tricoordinated boron atoms at the center of each face (Figure 1a), in response to the addition of three different anions. Each such boron center shows selective and reversible binding of F⁻ to form a four-coordinate fluoroborate,^[10] thus diminishing the total charge of the cage from 8 + to 4 +. Since the cationic character of the cage also drives interaction with a variety of non-coordinating anions, the phase preference of the cage can be controlled by the addition of different anions to induce transfer between specific pairs of phases. An anion grafted



Figure 1. a) Cage 2 was prepared from tritopic aldehyde 1, p-toluidine, and iron(II) triflimide. b) The crystal structure of 2 (Fe, light blue; N, blue; B, orange; C, gray). Hydrogen atoms, counteranions, and disorder are omitted for clarity.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 12497-12501 Wiley Online Library 12497 with perfluoroalkyl groups was found to solubilize the cage selectively in a fluorous phase, which made it possible to construct a triphasic system of immiscible solvent phases. Notably, the direction of the circulatory phase transfer of the cage can be controlled by changing the order of stimulus addition. Although phase transfer of molecules within conventional biphasic systems is well-known,^[11] to the best of our knowledge this is the first example of a molecule that can be transferred within a triphasic solvent system in response to chemical stimuli.

Borane-containing tris(formylpyridine) **1** was prepared in three steps from 5-bromo-2-iodo-1,3-xylene (Supporting Information, Sections S2.1 and S2.2). The reaction of **1** (4.0 equiv), *p*-toluidine (12 equiv), and iron(II) bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (iron(II) triflimide or $\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{NTf}_2)_2$, 4.0 equiv) yielded $\text{Fe}^{II}_4\text{L}_4$ assembly **2**[NTf_2]₈ (Figure 1 a), as confirmed by NMR spectroscopy and ESI-MS (Figure 2a;



Figure 2. F⁻ binding of **2** in CD₃CN. ¹H NMR of a) **2**[NTf_{2]8}, b) **2**[NTf_{2]8} after the addition of 4 equiv of TBAF to generate **2**·F₄[NTf_{2]4}, and c) the reaction mixture of **2**·F₄[NTf_{2]4} with 4 equiv of BF₃·Et₂O.

Supporting Information, Section S2.3). Vapor diffusion of benzene into an acetonitrile solution of $2[NTf_2]_8$ gave crystals suitable for structure determination by X-ray diffraction. A representation of the X-ray structure of 2 is shown in Figure 1b.^[12] Four octahedral Fe^{II} centers are bridged by four ligands, each of which caps a face of the tetrahedron. All of the boron atoms have a planar sp² configuration. The ligands on all faces of 2 have the same C_3 -symmetric propeller-like configuration in which the handedness of the propeller is the same as that of the Fe^{II} centers owing to the conformational rigidity of the cage framework.

To investigate the binding of F^- by $2[NTf_2]_8$, F^- was titrated into a solution of $2[NTf_2]_8$ (Figure 2 a,b, Figure S10). During the progressive addition of 4 equiv of tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) to $2[NTf_2]_8$ in CD₃CN, the color of

the solution changed from violet to green and new sets of ¹H NMR peaks corresponding to adducts incorporating 1– 4 equiv of F⁻ were observed. This observation indicates that the binding of F^- to the boron centers is slow on the ¹H NMR time scale. A single set of ligand signals was obtained after the addition of 4 equiv of TBAF (Figure 2b), consistent with the tetrafluoride adduct $2 \cdot F_4 [NTf_2]_4$ having a symmetric structure. In the ¹⁹F NMR spectra, a broad signal corresponding to F⁻ bound to boron was observed at -169 ppm during titration (Supporting Information, Figure S11), consistent with the formation of a B-F⁻ adduct.^[13] Since the methyl groups nearest the borane centers were observed as two distinct ¹H NMR peaks, corresponding to inward- and outward-facing methyl groups (Supporting Information, Figures S12 and S13), a ¹H-¹⁹F HOESY experiment was undertaken to elucidate the geometry of the F⁻ group (Supporting Information, Figure S14). A NOE correlation was only observed between the externally-oriented methyl resonance and the F signal, allowing us to conclude that all four F⁻ ions faced outward. ESI-MS (Supporting Information, Figure S15) and UV/Vis titration (Supporting Information, Figure S16) also confirmed the binding of F^- to **2**.

Treatment with 4 equiv of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ was observed to immediately remove F^- from the boron atoms of 2,^[14] resulting in a color change from green back to violet. Peaks corresponding to the original cage 2 were observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum after the reaction (Figure 2b,c; Supporting Information, Figure S17), as were ¹⁹F NMR signals corresponding to the co-product BF_4^- (Supporting Information, Figure S18). F^- binding can thus be used as a stimulus to reversibly change the structure and charge of 2, which is the key feature allowing the circulatory phase transfer of 2.

To construct a system composed of three mutually immiscible solvents, fluorous solvents were investigated as one of the solvent phases, since they are known to be immiscible with both water and many organic solvents.^[15] Although most nonfluorinated organic molecules are known not to dissolve in fluorous solvents, we found that a substituted tetraphenylborate bearing C_6F_{13} chains (BAr₁₆^{-, [16]} Figure 3 a) imparted enough fluorous character^[17] (59% fluorine content by weight) to render 2 preferentially soluble in fluorous solvents, even though the cage cation contains no fluorine. Counterion exchange of 2 from NTf_2^- to $BAr_{f_6}^-$ thus solubilized 2 in fluorous solvents such as perfluoromethylcyclohexane (PFMC, Supporting Information Section S6), perfluoro-1,3-dimethylcyclohexane, and perfluorohexane. Notably, this is the first example of a coordination cage that is soluble in fluorous solvents; we anticipate that the use of BAr_{f6}⁻ could provide a general strategy to solubilize other cationic cages in fluorous phases.

Thus, a triphasic solvent system composed of PFMC, 2,2dichloropropane (DCP), and water containing 25% acetonitrile was constructed, as shown in Figure 3. When both F⁻ and BAr_{f6}⁻ were added, **2** was no longer soluble in the fluorous phase and became soluble in DCP (Figure 3b). It was also found that SO_4^{2-} could solubilize **2** in water containing 25% acetonitrile, consistent with prior reports^[18] (Figure 3c).

Stimuli could bring cage 2 from any of the phases of Figure 3 into any other phase. Starting with $2[BAr_{f6}]_8$ in



Figure 3. Stimuli-responsive phase preferences of **2**. A triphasic solvent system composed of perfluoromethylcyclohexane (PFMC, densest), 2,2-dichloropropane (DCP, middle), 25% acetonitrile in water (Aq, least dense) was used to investigate the phase preference of **2** under the influence of different anionic stimuli. a) Highly fluorinated BAr_{f6}^{-} brings **2** into fluorous PFMC. b) The presence of both F⁻ and BAr_{f6}^{-} brings **2** into organic DCP. c) Hydrophilic SO₄²⁻ solubilizes **2** in the aqueous phase.

fluorous PFMC, addition of TBAF (4.0 equiv) to the triphasic system resulted in **2** transferring to organic DCP (Figure 4a). During this process, the color of **2** changed from violet to green, consistent with the formation of the F⁻ adduct of **2** as described above. The selective binding of F⁻ to **2** results in a reduced degree of ion pairing with fluorous BAr₁₆⁻. We infer that the resulting fluoride adduct no longer has sufficient fluorous character to be soluble in PFMC. The addition of tetrabutylammonium sulfate (TBA₂SO₄, 3.0 equiv), and

MgSO₄ (2.0 equiv) resulted in transfer of **2** to the aqueous phase, with the color changing back to violet (Figure 4b). F^- was removed from the system by precipitation as MgF₂ in this step.^[19] This phase-transfer cycle could be repeated a second time by the sequential addition of NaBAr₁₆, then TBAF, and finally TBA₂SO₄, and MgSO₄ (Figure 4c–e).

Conversely, when TBA_2SO_4 (4.0 equiv) was added to the initial state of the triphasic system, cage **2** transferred to the aqueous phase (Supporting Information, Figure S25 a-(ii)), then to DCP following the addition of TBAF (8.0 equiv) and NaBAr_{f6} (8.9 equiv; Supporting Information, Figure S25 a-(iii)). This cycle could also be repeated following the successive additions of the different salts (Supporting Information, Figure S25 a-(iii)). These results demonstrate that cage **2** underwent directional and reversible transport within the triphasic system depending on the order of addition of anions. Further details of the transfer process including the efficiency are presented in the Supporting Information, Sections S7.1–S7.3.

Based upon these results, we designed a platform for circulatory phase transfer by arranging the three solvent layers within a circular glass tube with three injection points, as shown in Figure 5. The transport experiment was performed in a similar manner to the experiments in microtubes (Supporting Information, Section S7.4). To the initial state, with $2[BAr_{f6}]_8$ in fluorous PFMC, TBAF (4.0 equiv) was added (Figure 5a). Gentle agitation resulted in the transportation of 2 from PFMC to DCP. Subsequent addition of TBA_2SO_4 (3.0 equiv) and $MgSO_4$ (2.0 equiv) resulted in the transfer of 2 to the aqueous phase (Figure 5b). Cage 2 thus moved in a clockwise direction through the circuit. On the other hand, when TBA₂SO₄ (4.0 equiv) was first added, followed by TBAF (8.0 equiv) with NaBAr_{f6} (8.9 equiv), 2 circulated in an anticlockwise direction (first to the aqueous phase and then to DCP, (Figure 5c,d)). The order of applied



Figure 4. Transport experiment of **2** within the triphasic solvent system. a) Addition of TBAF to $2[BAr_{r6]_8}$ in PFMC resulted in cage transfer to DCP. b) Subsequent addition of TBA₂SO₄ and MgSO₄ led to the transport of **2** to the aqueous phase. c)–e) Progressive addition of NaBAr_{r6}, then TBAF, and finally TBA₂SO₄, and MgSO₄ led to a second cycle of transport.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 12497–12501 © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.angewandte.org 12499



Communications



Figure 5. Phase transfer of 2 within a circuit. a),b) When TBAF was first added and TBA_2SO_4 and $MgSO_4$ were added subsequently, 2 was first transported to DCP and then to the aqueous phase, in a clockwise direction. c),d) When TBA_2SO_4 was added first and TBAF and $NaBAr_{f_6}$ were added subsequently, 2 moved to the aqueous phase first and then DCP, showing anticlockwise transfer.

stimuli thus enabled control over the direction of circulatory phase transfer.

This study thus establishes the circulatory phase transfer of stimuli-responsive coordination cage 2. Directional control over circulatory phase transfer depends upon the responsiveness of 2 to three stimuli: fluoride, the highly fluorinated anion $BAr_{\mathrm{f6}}^{-},$ and sulfate. The selective fluoride binding to the borane centers is the key feature enabling the phase preference of 2 to be switched. Notably, we present the first example of a coordination cage solubilized in fluorous solvents by utilizing BAr_{f6}^{-} , which could form the basis of a general strategy for other polycationic cages, which may enable the exploration of new guest recognition phenomena in fluorous solvents. Larger cages than 2, which would show the ability to bind a range of guest molecules, could be constructed by incorporating the central borane motif of 1 into larger ligand panels. Such larger cages could be built into more sophisticated circulatory guest transport systems. The ability to transit reversibly between three different phases could allow 2 and its analogues to be directed along complex pathways within a fluid network of linked rings, or circulatory phase transfer could be used to pick up a guest in one phase, then move it to another where the cage might open to release its cargo.^[2] Such circulatory guest transport could be useful as a new mode of chemical purification.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the European Research Council (695009) and the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC, EP/P027067/1). N.M. acknowledges a JSPS Overseas Fellowship. We thank Diamond Light Source for synchrotron time at Beamline I19 (MT15768), and the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility at Swansea for mass spectrometric analyses.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: boranes \cdot cage compounds \cdot phase transfer \cdot self-assembly \cdot stimuli-responsiveness

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 12497–12501 Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 12627–12631

- [1] a) J. E. Rothman, F. T. Wieland, *Science* 1996, 272, 227–234;
 b) P. Novick, M. Zerial, *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 1997, 9, 496–504;
 c) K. Sato, A. Nakano, *FEBS Lett.* 2007, 581, 2076–2082.
- [2] D. Zhang, T.K. Ronson, J. Mosquera, A. Martinez, J. R. Nitschke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 3717–3721; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 3779–3783.
- [3] a) M. Yoshizawa, M. Tamura, M. Fujita, *Science* 2006, 312, 251–254;
 b) L. Avram, Y. Cohen, J. Rebek, Jr., *Chem. Commun.*

12500 www.angewandte.org © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 12497–12501

2011, *47*, 5368–5375; c) T. Nakamura, H. Ube, M. Shiro, M. Shionoya, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 720–723; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 748–751; d) T. R. Cook, P. J. Stang, *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 7001–7045; e) W. Cullen, M. C. Misuraca, C. A. Hunter, N. H. Williams, M. D. Ward, *Nat. Chem.* **2016**, *8*, 231–236; f) T. H. G. Schick, J. C. Lauer, F. Rominger, M. Mastalerz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 1768–1773; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 1782–1787.

- [4] a) P. D. Frischmann, B. J. Sahli, S. Guieu, B. O. Patrick, M. J. MacLachlan, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, *18*, 13712–13721; b) Z. J. Kinney, C. S. Hartley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 4821–4827; c) G. Yu, X. Zhao, J. Zhou, Z. Mao, X. Huang, Z. Wang, B. Hua, Y. Liu, F. Zhang, Z. He, O. Jacobson, C. Gao, W. Wang, C. Yu, X. Zhu, F. Huang, X. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 8005–8019; d) K. Jie, Y. Zhou, E. Li, R. Zhao, F. Huang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 12845–12849; *Angew. Chem.* 2018, *130*, 13027–13031.
- [5] a) A. C. Fahrenbach, C. J. Bruns, H. Li, A. Trabolsi, A. Coskun, J. F. Stoddart, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 482–493; b) M. J. Burke, G. S. Nichol, P. J. Lusby, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 9308–9315; c) G. Szalóki, V. Croué, V. Carré, F. Aubriet, O. Alévêque, E. Levillain, M. Allain, J. Aragó, E. Ortí, S. Goeb, M. Sallé, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 16272–16276; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 16490–16494; d) S. Wang, Z. Xu, T. Wang, T. Xiao, X.-Y. Hu, Y.-Z. Shen, L. Wang, Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 1737.
- [6] a) T. Murase, S. Sato, M. Fujita, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5133-5136; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 5225-5228; b) M. Han, R. Michel, B. He, Y.-S. Chen, D. Stalke, M. John, G. H. Clever, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 1319-1323; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 1358-1362; c) N. Kishi, M. Akita, M. Kamiya, S. Hayashi, H.-F. Hsu, M. Yoshizawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12976-12979; d) X.-F. Jiang, F. K.-W. Hau, Q.-F. Sun, S.-Y. Yu, V. W.-W. Yam, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 10921-10929; e) S. Chen, L.-J. Chen, H.-B. Yang, H. Tian, W. Zhu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13596-13599; f) X. Yan, J.-F. Xu, T. R. Cook, F. Huang, Q.-Z. Yang, C.-H. Tung, P. J. Stang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2014, 111, 8717-8722; g) J. Park, L.-B. Sun, Y.-P. Chen, Z. Perry, H.-C. Zhou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5842-5846; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 5952-5956; h) D. Preston, J. J. Sutton, K. C. Gordon, J. D. Crowley, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 8659-8663; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 8795-8799.
- [7] a) J. E. M. Lewis, E. L. Gavey, S. A. Cameron, J. D. Crowley, *Chem. Sci.* 2012, *3*, 778–784; b) M. Ni, N. Zhang, W. Xia, X. Wu, C. Yao, X. Liu, X.-Y. Hu, C. Lin, L. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 6643–6649; c) M. Cheng, J. Zhang, X. Ren, S. Guo, T. Xiao, X.-Y. Hu, J. Jiang, L. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* 2017, *53*, 11838–11841; d) L.-Y. Sun, N. Sinha, T. Yan, Y.-S. Wang, T. T. Y. Tan, L. Yu, Y.-F. Han, F. E. Hahn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 5161–5165; *Angew. Chem.* 2018, *130*, 5256–5261.
- [8] a) Z. Qi, C. Wu, P. Malo de Molina, H. Sun, A. Schulz, C. Griesinger, M. Gradzielski, R. Haag, M. B. Ansorge-Schumacher, C. A. Schalley, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 10150–10159; b) F. Durola, V. Heitz, F. Reviriego, C. Roche, J.-P. Sauvage, A.

Sour, Y. Trolez, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 633-645; c) A. M.
Lifschitz, M. S. Rosen, C. M. McGuirk, C. A. Mirkin, J. Am.
Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7252-7261; d) M. Galli, E. M. Lewis, S. M.
Goldup, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 13545-13549; Angew.
Chem. 2015, 127, 13749-13753; e) Y. Sakata, C. Murata, S.
Akine, Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 16005; f) X.-Q. Wang, W. Wang,
W.-J. Li, L.-J. Chen, R. Yao, G.-Q. Yin, Y.-X. Wang, Y. Zhang, J.
Huang, H. Tan, Y. Yu, X. Li, L. Xu, H.-B. Yang, Nat. Commun.
2018, 9, 3190; g) R. Djemili, L. Kocher, S. Durot, A. Peuroren, K.
Rissanen, V. Heitz, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 1481-1487.

- [9] a) H. L. Ozores, M. Amorín, J. R. Granja, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 2017, 139, 776-784; b) M. Zhang, M. L. Saha, M. Wang, Z. Zhou, B. Song, C. Lu, X. Yan, X. Li, F. Huang, S. Yin, P. J. Stang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 5067-5074.
- [10] a) S. Yamaguchi, S. Akiyama, K. Tamao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11372–11375; b) S.-T. Lam, N. Zhu, V. W.-W. Yam, Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 9664–9670; c) C. R. Wade, A. E. J. Broomsgrove, S. Aldridge, F. P. Gabbaï, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 3958– 3984.
- [11] a) T. J. Ryan, G. Lecollinet, T. Velasco, A. P. Davis, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2002**, *99*, 4863–4866; b) Q. Lin, H. J. Yun, W. Liu, H.-J. Song, N. S. Makarov, O. Isaienko, T. Nakotte, G. Chen, H. Luo, V. I. Klimov, J. M. Pietryga, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 6644–6653; c) S.-Y. Zhang, Z. Kochovski, H.-C. Lee, Y. Lu, H. Zhang, J. Zhang, J.-K. Sun, J. Yuan, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1450–1456.
- [12] CCDC 1881666 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
- [13] Y. Hu, M. Kye, J. Y. Jung, Y.-b. Lim, J. Yoon, Sens. Actuators B 2018, 255, 2621–2627.
- [14] Z. Zhou, A. Wakamiya, T. Kushida, S. Yamaguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 4529–4532.
- [15] P. Babiak, A. Němcová, L. Rulíšek, P. Beier, J. Fluorine Chem. 2008, 129, 397–401.
- [16] J. van den Broeke, B.-J. Deelman, G. van Koten, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 8085–8087.
- [17] W. Zhang, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2531-2556.
- [18] a) E. G. Percástegui, J. Mosquera, T. K. Ronson, A. J. Plajer, M. Kieffer, J. R. Nitschke, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 2006–2018; b) A. B. Grommet, J. B. Hoffman, E. G. Percástegui, J. Mosquera, D. J. Howe, J. L. Bolliger, J. R. Nitschke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 14770–14776.
- [19] BF₃·Et₂O could not be used to remove F⁻ for this triphasic system because of the reactivity to water. Insolubility of MgF₂ was confirmed by ¹⁹F NMR of a suspension of MgF₂ in D₂O containing 25 % CD₃CN, where no signal corresponding to F⁻ was observed.

Manuscript received: May 28, 2019 Revised manuscript received: June 22, 2019 Accepted manuscript online: July 8, 2019 Version of record online: July 30, 2019