## Research Article

# Accuracy of Curable Sexually Transmitted Infections and Genital Mycoplasmas Screening by Multiplex Real-Time PCR Using a Self-Collected Veil among Adult Women in Sub-Saharan Africa

Zita Aleyo Nodjikouambaye <sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Fabrice Compain,<sup>3,4,5</sup> Damtheou Sadjoli,<sup>6</sup> Ralph-Sydney Mboumba Bouassa,<sup>2,3</sup> Hélène Péré,<sup>3,4,5</sup> David Veyer,<sup>3</sup> Leman Robin,<sup>3</sup> Chatté Adawaye,<sup>7</sup> Serge Tonen-Wolyec <sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>2,8,9</sup> Ali Mahamat Moussa,<sup>10,11</sup> Donato Koyalta,<sup>12</sup> and Laurent Belec<sup>3,4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Service de Gynécologie-Obstétrique, Hôpital de la Mère et de l'Enfant, N'Djamena, Chad

- <sup>2</sup> Ecole Doctorale Régionale en Infectiologie Tropicale de Franceville, Franceville, Gabon
- <sup>3</sup> Laboratoire de Microbiologie, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Paris, France
- <sup>4</sup> INSERM UMRS 1138, UPMC Université (Paris VI), Université Paris Descartes (Paris V) and Université Paris Diderot (Paris VII), Centre de Recherche Biomédicale des Cordeliers, Paris, France
- <sup>5</sup> Faculté de Médecine Paris Descartes, Université Paris Descartes (Paris V), Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France
- <sup>6</sup> Cabinet Médical de Gynécologie-Obstétrique "La Renaissance Plus", N'Djamena, Chad
- <sup>7</sup> Institut National Supérieur des Sciences et Techniques d'Abéché, Abéché, Chad
- <sup>8</sup> Faculté de Médecine, Université de Bunia, Bunia, Democratic Republic of the Congo
- <sup>9</sup> Faculté de Médecine et de Pharmacie, Université de Kisangani, Kisangani, Democratic Republic of the Congo
- <sup>10</sup>Faculté de Médecine, N'Djamena, Chad
- <sup>11</sup> Service de Gastro-Entérologie, Hôpital Général de Référence Nationale, N'Djamena, Chad
- <sup>12</sup>UNAIDS, N'Djamena, Chad

Correspondence should be addressed to Zita Aleyo Nodjikouambaye; aleyozita@gmail.com

Received 27 February 2019; Revised 15 April 2019; Accepted 20 May 2019; Published 15 July 2019

Academic Editor: Lu-Yu Hwang

Copyright © 2019 Zita Aleyo Nodjikouambaye et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are highly prevalent in sub-Saharan Africa. Genital self-sampling may facilitate the screening of STIs in hard-to-reach remote populations far from large health care centers and may increase screening rates. The cross-sectional GYNAUTO-STI study was carried out to assess the performance of a novel genital veil (V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device, V-Veil-Up Pharma, Ltd., Nicosia, Cyprus) as a genital self-sampling device to collect genital secretions to diagnose STIs by molecular biology as compared to reference clinician-collected genital specimens, in adult African women. Methods. Adult women living in N'Djamena, the capital city of Chad, were recruited from the community and referred to the clinic for women's sexual health "La Renaissance Plus". A clinician obtained an endocervical specimen using flocked swab. Genital secretions were also obtained by self-collection using veil. Both clinician- and self-collected specimens were tested for common curable STIs (including Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Mycoplasma genitalium, and Trichomonas vaginalis) and genital Mycoplasma spp. by multiplex real-time PCR (Allplex™ STI Essential Assay, Seegene, Seoul, South Korea). Test positivities for both collection methods were compared by assessing methods agreement, sensitivity, and specificity. Results. A total of 251 women (mean age, 35.1 years) were prospectively enrolled. Only seven (2.8%) women were found to be infected with at least one common STIs [C. trachomatis: 3 (1.2%), N. gonorrhoeae: 1 (0.4%), M. genitalium: 4 (1.6%) and T. vaginalis: 1 (0.4%)], while the prevalence of genital mycoplasmas was much higher (54.2%) with a predominance of Ureaplasma parvum (42.6%). Self-collection by veil was non-inferior to clinicianbased collection for genital microorganisms DNA molecular testing, with "almost perfect" agreement between both methods, high sensitivity (97.0%; 95%CI: 92.5-99.2%), and specificity (88.0%; 95%CI: 80.7-93.3%). Remarkably, the mean total number of genital

microorganisms detected per woman was 1.14-fold higher in self-collected specimens compared to that in clinician-collected specimens. *Conclusions*. Veil-based self-collection of female genital secretions constitutes a convenient tool to collect in gentle way cervicovaginal secretions for accurate molecular detection of genital bacteria. Such sampling procedure could be easily implemented in STIs clinics in sub-Saharan Africa.

#### 1. Introduction

Worldwide sexually transmitted infections (STIs) stand as a major global health concern, and more than a million of STIs are acquired per day [1]. Common bacterial and parasitic STIs conveyed by Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Mycoplasma genitalium, and Trichomonas vaginalis could be easily treated if properly detected. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports global numbers worldwide of new cases for C. trachomatis, N. gonorrhoeae, and T. vaginalis infections of 78, 131, and 142 million every year, respectively [2]. According to WHO estimations, sub-Saharan Africa supports 40% of the global burden of STIs and covers 44% of the need for services and 30% of global control cost related to STIs [2, 3]. However, the prevalence of STIs in sub-Saharan Africa is highly heterogeneous, and a systematic review performed on behalf of the WHO reported slightly low rates in 15-49-year-old African women from the general population (N. gonorrhoeae, 1.7%; C. trachomatis, 3.7%; T. vaginalis, 11.5%) [4].

Routine screening is an important component of STIs prevention and control. However, it has been recognized that an effective response to the global STIs epidemic necessitates alternative strategies beyond the traditional approach of clinic-based sampling and conventional culture methods for screening [5, 6]. Microbiological screening must be incorporated into STIs control strategies. However, lack of access to appropriate services (especially in rural and remote areas), reluctance of at-risk populations to attend for treatment, fear of invasive genital examinations, and lower sensitivities of conventional diagnostic assays reduce the effectiveness of clinic-based screening programs that capture only a small proportion of people with STIs [5, 6]. The advent of new technologies such as nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) has provided opportunities for expansion of screening for STIs in general population. Furthermore, self-sampling using non-invasive or minimally invasive sampling techniques such as urine samples, cervical-brush, vaginal swabs, and tampons may be easily carried out individually by women at home without special medical qualification and special assistance [5] and allows women to preserve their intimacy [7]. Specimens for NAATs can be stored at room temperature while being transported to the laboratory. Self-sampling may facilitate the screening of STIs in hard-to-reach remote populations far from large health care centers. Because of savings on the cost of clinical overheads, home-sampling STIs testing services may be more cost-effective compared with traditional services. Finally, over the past two decades, several studies in Western countries have reported on high acceptability of home sampling with equivalent or superior number of screening diagnosis completed for chlamydia and gonorrhea as compared to conventional sampling and detection methods [5, 7-14].

Limited data currently exist on the performance of genital self-sampling for STIs detection by NAATs in general female population living in resource-constrained countries [15, 16]. The main objective of the present study, so-called GYNAUTO-STI operational research project, was to assess the performance of a novel genital veil (V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device, V-Veil-Up Pharma Ltd., Nicosia, Cyprus) as a genital self-sampling device to collect genital secretions to diagnose STIs by multiplex real-time PCR as compared to reference clinician-collected genital specimens, in adult women living in N'Djamena, the capital city of Chad. Chad is a central African country of 15 million inhabitants, mostly young women [17, 18], where the epidemiology of common bacterial STIs remains undocumented. Thus, the study was also conceived to provide epidemiological data on STIs shedding in asymptomatic adult women living in Chad.

#### 2. Methods

2.1. Study Design. The cross-sectional GYNAUTO-STI study compared the bacteria DNA diagnostic accuracy of a clinician-collected endocervical sample with swab and a cervicovaginal self-collection method with veil device in adult women living in N'Djamena, Chad, recruited from the community. The 2015 Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy (STARD) guidelines were used for reporting the study [19, 20].

2.2. Enrolment and Selection Criteria. The capital city N'Djamena comprises 10 districts, which include a variable number of neighborhoods. Twenty-three sites in neighborhoods of 5 districts randomly selected out of 10 were further chosen for study inclusion [1<sup>st</sup> district: Farcha, Amsinéné, Karkandjeri; 3<sup>rd</sup> district: Gardolé, Ardep Djoumal, Kabalaye, Sabangali; 6<sup>th</sup> district: Moursal, Paris-Congo; 7<sup>th</sup> district: Ambata, Amtoukouin, Atrone, Boutalbagara, Chagoua, Dembé, Gassi, Habena, Kilwiti; 9<sup>th</sup> district: Digangali, Gardolé, Ngueli, Toukra, Walia]. In each inclusion site, peer educators contacted adult women in community churches and mosques or women association networks during a one-month period and proposed them to be included in the GYNAUTO-STI study after an oral explanation and collective awareness sessions on the objectives of the survey, mainly focused on sensitization on prevention strategies against STIs and cervical cancer. After oral consent, the selected women were invited, with paid transportation, to come to the clinic "La Renaissance Plus", N'Djamena, which is one of the main settings for women's sexual health in Chad, and to participate in the study. Childbearing-aged and older women living in N'Djamena regularly attend the clinic "La Renaissance Plus" for gynecological examinations and for obstetrical services.

The inclusion criteria were being a volunteer, having given signed informed consent, being more than 18 years,



FIGURE 1: *Flow diagram of the GYNAUTO-STI study*. The *GYNAUTO-STI* study consisted in community-based recruitment of at least 248 adult women recruited from the community and further referred to the gynecologic clinic "*La Renaissance Plus*", N'Djamena, Chad. The participants meeting the inclusion criteria were subjected to physical examination, tested for 3 chronic viral infections endemic in Chad (HIV, HBV, and HCV) by capillary-based immunochromatographic rapid test, cared when needed, and filled in the face-to-face sociodemographic questionnaire. Afterwards, the participants were trained for self-sampling collection using the V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device (V-Veil-Up Pharma Ltd.) and randomly submitted to the sampling procedure with Method 1 (clinician-based collection of cervical secretions by swab, as gold standard) followed by the Method 2 (self-collection by veil) or inversely. Collected samples were processed for molecular detection of genital sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and commensal *Mycoplasmas*.

being sexually active, having no genital troubles at physical examination, not menstruating, having no sexual intercourse for at least 48 hours, and having completed the questionnaire. Exclusion criteria included age less than 18 years, having genital troubles, having menstruations, having recent sexual intercourse less than 48 hours, and not willing to participate to the study or to answer the face-to-face questionnaire to collect data. Note that the menstrual cycle phases were not taken into account.

### 2.3. *Clinical Visit Procedures and Genital Samples Collection*. Figure 1 depicts the overview of the one-time clinical visit procedures of the *GYNAUTO-STI* study.

Women eligible for the study were received by a medical staff (preferably nurse) that explained the progress of the stepby-step protocol and had them to sign the informed consent form (Figure 1).

After having signed the informed consent form, the selected women benefited from free HIV and hepatitis B (HBV) and C (HCV) testing, by multiplex HIV/HCV/HBsAg immunochromatographic rapid test (Biosynex, Strasbourg, France) [21], clinical services including gynecological examination, family planning counseling, STIs diagnosis,

laboratory analysis when necessary and appropriate treatment for those suffering from gynecologic disorders, HIV, or other genital infections. All women received an information session on HIV and STIs.

At inclusion, a standardized interview was conducted at the clinic "*La Renaissance Plus*", by experienced counselors, using a face-to-face questionnaire, to collect sociodemographic characteristics and behavioral data, including age, marital status, social occupation, education level, residence location in N'Djamena, past history of STI, HIV status, birth control method, genital hygiene during menses, sexual behavioral characteristics such as the number of lifetime sexual partners and the age at first sexual intercourse, and assessment of knowledge regarding cervical cancer and STIs.

In order to eliminate any possible bias of sampling method and timing, the participants were further randomly selected to collect the genital secretions by clinician-based swab sampling first, followed by the veil-based self-sampling after the nurse-training, or by the veil-based self-sampling first followed by the clinician-based swab sampling. Thus, after completion of the sociodemographic questionnaire, all biological specimens were sampled and processed in the following order: (i) samples specific for each patient for medical

 String
 Image: Construction device

 Applicator
 Image: Construction for use

FIGURE 2: (a) V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device (V-Veil-Up Pharma Ltd.). The V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device consists in a pocket (length: 75 mm, width: 45 mm, surface of contact: 6,75 cm<sup>2</sup>) in non-woven hydrophilic polyethylene having a capacity of absorption of 1.4 g of liquid, associated with a thread in cotton yarn (nm 16/4) and a transparent applicator (length: 120 mm, diameter: 10.8 mm) in low-density polyethylene (LD-PE). The plastic applicator makes possible to push the veil to the bottom of the vagina after its intrusion into the pocket, and the string allows the veil to be easily removed after use. The V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device is intended for the safe and non-invasive collection of cervicovaginal secretions from the vaginal canal. The device is conceived for self-sampling collection in privacy, and collected cervicovaginal fluid may be further gathered from the veil to be used for biological analysis. The V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device catches and retains safely and gently the genital secretions, thus harvesting cells, proteins, and nucleic acids (DNA/RNA) from the vaginal canal. By its conception, the device does not absorb the liquids that allowed full recovery of collected biological material, which is not trapped like in a vaginal tampon or sponge. (b) Successive steps of the instructions for use of V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device. Upon removal of the device from the vaginal canal, the sample veil is immediately protected with an opaque collection box that preserves the integrity of the genital secretions during transportation to a laboratory where downstream testing may be performed. A cap is placed on the collection box of the device to seal the unit, which is then ready for transportation to a laboratory. At least 30 minutes after taking a shower or washing the vagina, the specimens must be collected using the V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device. In addition, in order to avoid sampling of male genital secretions, self-sampling should be carried out after at least 2 days after unprotected sexual intercourse. In preparation for cervicovaginal collection, the contents of the device are placed on a clean and dry surface. The name or identification number of the woman and the time (date and hour) of sampling are tagged on a label sticker on the collection box. In a comfortable position, the V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device is taken in the dominant hand; hold the handle between the thumb and middle finger, with the index finger free above the base of the handle. With the other hand, the skin folds of the large and small lips are hold open to open the vaginal canal. The veil is then aligned with the vaginal canal by pushing the applicator. The V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device is then gently pressed into the vaginal canal until the contact of the cervix. It is recommended not to urinate during the time of collection. After a period of 60 minutes, the collection is considered as complete, and the veil impregnated of cervicovaginal secretions is gently removed from the vaginal canal using the string. The sample veil should then not be touched and kept clear of any other objects. Immediately after removal, the veil is placed into a 15 mL plastic box containing 10 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution to prevent drying of the sample, and is closed with his cap. The veil filled of secretions in its box is then placed in the cold (ice packs or crushed ice) and should be then send at best to the laboratory within 4 hours. Nota bene. The manufacturer recommends letting in place the collecting device for 30 to 60 minutes; in GYNAUTO-STI study, we arbitrarily chose the maximum time of sampling, i.e., 60 minutes.

exams according to the medical prescription following the consultation; (ii) endocervical swab collected by a doctor [Method 1] or self-collection of genital secretions using the V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device [Method 2] according to the randomization.

The gold standard Method 1 was carried out by a doctor using a flocked swab (Copan Diagnostic Inc., California, USA). Briefly, after placing the speculum (without lubricant prior to insertion), the physician used the swab to perform cervical sampling by introducing it into the cervical canal and performing 5 rotations before being removed and immediately placed in its plastic container. The swab was then placed in the cold (ice pack).

For the self-collection Method 2, the study participant firstly received from a nurse a 15-minutes training on how

to use the V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device for vaginal selfsampling (Figure 2(a)). After instructing the participant, the nurse left the sampling room and the participant then performed herself the self-sampling, without any help from the nurse. The participant followed the instructions for use of the V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device (Figure 2(b)). Briefly, the study woman inserted the veil into her vagina, left it in-place for one hour; then removed it with the string, and returned it to the nurse. The study nurse did not witness veil insertion and removal. The nurse placed the veil impregnated with genital secretions into the dedicated collection box and closed it correctly with the cap. The veil collection box consisted of a 15 mL plastic box that contained 10 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution to prevent drying of the sample. The nurse verified that the PBS buffer completely submerged the veil and checked that the identification number in the label on the collection box corresponded effectively to the participant. The collection box containing the veil sample soaked with genital secretions immersed within PBS was then placed in the cold (ice pack).

2.4. Genital Samples Processing. Each genital sample was transported in ice packs within an hour after collection to be stored at -80°C at the virology laboratory of the *Hôpital Général de Référence Nationale*, N'Djamena, Chad. Swabs and veils were further transported in frozen ice packs to the microbiology laboratory of the *Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou*, Paris, France, for molecular analyses.

2.5. Nucleic Acid Extraction. DNA was extracted from the tip of swab specimens using the DNeasyBlood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), as recommended by the manufacturer. After extraction, DNA was concentrated in 100  $\mu$ L of the elution buffer provided in the extraction kit and stored at -80°C before bacterial DNA detection, as described in part previously [22].

Veil samples soaked with genital secretions within PBS buffer were carefully removed from their collection box and placed into a syringe to be drained by pulling the syringe's plunger into a 15 mL tube. The whole genital secretions were then vigorously vortexed to homogenize the fluids and finally aliquoted in 1.5 mL cryotubes (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and store at -80°C before the nucleic acid extraction procedure. In order to avoid any contamination between different specimens, the working area was sterilized between the processing of each specimen and all the consumables including gloves, syringe, forceps were for single use and were immediately discarded together with the box container. Finally, the nucleic acid extraction procedure was carried out with the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen), in 1 mL of the concentrated cervicovaginal veil-collected specimen and extracted DNA was placed in 100  $\mu$ L of the elution buffer provided in the extraction kit and stored at -80°C before bacteria DNA detection.

2.6. Molecular Microbiological Analysis. Curable sexually transmitted infections [group I, including 3 bacteria (N. gonorrhoeae, C. trachomatis, M. genitalium) and one protozoan parasite (T. vaginalis)] and commensal mycoplasmas (group II, including Mycoplasma hominis, Ureaplasma parvum, and Ureaplasma urealyticum) were detected by molecular biology using the CE IVD-marked multiplex real-time PCR Allplex<sup>™</sup> STI Essential Assay (Seegene, Seoul, South Korea, distributed in France by Eurobio Laboratoires, Courtaboeuf, France) [23]. The kit contains specific primers targeting each of the seven microorganism DNAs and is based on Seegene's proprietary DPO<sup>™</sup> and MuDT<sup>™</sup> technologies [24], which allow avoiding mismatch priming and quantifying each target in a single fluorescence channel, respectively. An internal control ( $\beta$ -globin gene) is present in the PCR mix for the detection of PCR inhibiting conditions. The multiplex PCR reaction was carried out on the CFX96<sup>™</sup> real-time PCR instrument (Bio-Rad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France) using 5 µL of extracted DNA and 15  $\mu$ L of PCR mix. The test was applied to

all samples according to manufacturer's recommendations, using the Seegene viewer software (Seegene, South Korea) per the manufacturer's guidelines. The microbiology laboratory was accredited by the *Comité Français d'Accréditation* according to the ISO 15189 norma for molecular biology.

2.7. Sample Size. We hypothesized that Method 2 (veil-based self-sampling) would be non-inferior to Method 1 (clinicianbased flocked swab as gold standard), with a tolerated difference of  $\Delta$  in the detection rate of genital bacteria by molecular analysis between the two methods of collection. The requested minimum number (n) of subject to include was obtained by using Epi Info version 3.5.4 (CDC, Atlanta, USA), and by setting 95% confidence level, 80% statistical power, and considering estimated STIs prevalence in Chad. There exists however no data on the prevalence of genital STIs among women living in Chad. Thus, in order to estimate the genital STIs DNA positivity in our study population, we used prevalences of noncommensal genital bacterial STIs detection from comparable populations of women living in other neighboring Central African countries (Nigeria, Cameroon, Central African Republic and Sudan) previously published in the literature, including 31% for C. trachomatis, 3.1% for N. gonorrhoeae and 17.6% for T. vaginalis [25-31]. Based on this assumption, the estimated mean prevalence (P1) of noncommensal bacterial STIs DNA test results was 17.2% in the clinician-collected arm. The non-inferiority comparison was conducted with the hypothesis that the difference in noncommensal bacterial STIs DNA positivity between the veil-based self-collection and clinician-collection methods would be less than 10%. Finally, with  $\Delta$  of 10%, the requested minimum number (n) of subject to include was at least 248 participants.

2.8. Statistical Analyses. Data were entered into an Excel database and analyzed using IBM® SPSS® Statistics 20 software (IBM, SPSS Inc, Armonk, New York, USA). Means and standard deviations (SD) were calculated for quantitative variables and proportions for categorical variables. The results were presented along with their 95% confidence interval (CI) using the Wilson score bounds for categorical variables. The overall prevalences of bacteria DNA detection between the two collection methods were compared using the MacNemar's test for paired data. The mean numbers of detected genital bacteria by the two collection methods were compared using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The agreement between the two collection methods was estimated by Cohen's  $\kappa$  coefficient, and the degree of agreement was determined as ranked by Landlis and Koch [32]. Percent agreement corresponded to the observed proportion of identical results between veil-based self-collection compared to swab-based clinician-collection. The clinician-collected microorganism DNA test results were used as the reference standard to estimate the sensitivity and specificity, with corresponding 95% CI, of the veil-collection method.

2.9. Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate. The Scientific Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences of the University of N'Djamena, constituting the National



FIGURE 3: Flow diagram of study recruitment, specimen collection, and results of molecular detection by multiplex real-time PCR of genital microorganisms, including common curable sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and commensal mycoplasmas.

Ethical Committee, formally approved the study. All included women gave their informed signed consent to participate to the study. For each included woman, the record of the consent to participate to the study was documented on each questionnaire. The Ethical Committee formally approved this consent procedure. All individual results of genital microorganism detection as well as HIV, HBV, and HCV serology were given to each study participant, and women harboring genital curable STIs were further cared for at the clinic "*La Renaissance Plus*". Furthermore, the study results have been *in extenso* reported to health authorities of Chad during the national congress of gynecologists and midwives, held from 13 to 17 of November 2018 in the *Centre d'Etudes et de Formation pour le Développement* (CEFOD), N'Djamena, Chad.

#### 3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Study Population. A total of 271 women from the 23 inclusion sites accepted to participate to the study. The geographical distribution of the participants was as follow: 1<sup>st</sup> district (43, 15.9%): Farcha (n=15), Amsinéné (n=13), Karkandjeri (n=15); 3<sup>rd</sup> district (54, 19.9%): Gardolé (n=12), Ardep Djoumal (n=19), Kabalaye (n=10), Sabangali (n=13); 6<sup>th</sup> district (47, 17.4%): Moursal (n=23), Paris-Congo (n=24); 7<sup>th</sup> district (61, 22.5%): Ambata (n=5), Amtoukouin (n=6), Atrone (n=9), Boutalbagara (n=5), Chagoua (n=7), Dembé (n=8), Gassi (n=9), Habena (n=9), Kilwiti (n=3); and the 9<sup>th</sup> district (66, 24.3%): Digangali (n=10), Gardolé (n=7), Ngueli (n=11), Toukra (n=12), and Walia (n=26). After physical examination, 20 women were excluded because of genital troubles (vaginal discharge: 8; genital bleeding: 6; sexual intercourse less than 2 days: 6). Finally, a total of 251 clinically asymptomatic women (mean age, 35.1 years; range, 18–65) referred to the clinic "La Renaissance Plus" were consecutively and prospectively included in the study (Figure 3). Their sociodemographic characteristics, past history of STIs, sexual behavior, contraception, and practices of feminine hygiene during menstruation and genital toilet are summarized in the Table 1.

Using multiplex HIV/HCV/HBsAg rapid test, 9 study women (3.6%) were infected by HIV-1 and 19 (7.6%) by HBV (positivity for HBsAg) and 8 (3.2%) were seropositive for HCV.

Most women (31.1%) were young, aged from 18 to 29 years, engaged in life coupled with a male partner (80.9%), with a relatively high education level (64.2%); most of them were unemployed (54.6%). The majority of study women (80.1%) reported having only one regular sexual partner in their life, while 16.3% reported to have had up to 5 different sexual partners. Generally, the study participants began sexual activity before 17 years (54.2%). The vast majority of women (73.7%) did not take any birth control methods. Concerning the feminine hygiene during menstruation, most women (92.8%) were using sanitary napkins, while a minority (7.2%) used commercially available tampons. Genital (vulva or vagina) toilet was the rule, including post-coital toilet with water and finger in 91.6%.

3.2. Curable STIs and Genital Mycoplasmas Detection and Distribution by Collection Methods. All 251 study participants

variable category         n (%) [95% C1]'           Age (years)         78 (14.1) [25.4-37.2]           ≥ 30 - < 40         66 (25.3) [21.0-32.2]           ≥ 40 - < 50         50 (25.1) [21.3-33.5]           ≥ 50         38 (15.1) [10.9-20.2]           Marrial status         0           Marrial status         0           Coccupation         100 (19.1) [35.4-35.6]           Unemployed         203 (80.9) [75.5-85.6]           Student         30 (40.9) [14.4-24.5]           Coccupation         137 (44.6) [48.2-60.9]           Unemployed         137 (44.6) [48.2-60.9]           Student         36 (13.2) [25.4-37.2]           Education level         78 (31.1) [27.4-27.4]           Never schooled         47 (18.7) [14.1-24.1]           Elementary school         43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]           High school         80 (13.9) [26.2-38.0]           University         81 (32.2) [26.5-88.4]          Age at first sexual intercourse (year)         51 (20.1) [26.4-38.6]           ≤ 17         136 (44.2) [47.8-60.5]         104 (48.0) [32.6 50.2]           No response         52 (20.1) [0.6-4.6]         Nomet of secual partner           One regular partner         20 (10.0) [74.6-48.8]         104 (42.2.77]           No	<b>W</b>	All study women (N = 251)
Age (years)       78 (31.1) [25, 4-37.2]         > $30 - < 40$ 66 (26.5) [21.0-32.2]         > $40 - < 50$ 69 (27.5) [22.1-33.5]         > 50       38 (15.1) [10.9-20.2]         Marital status       20 (80.9) [75, 5-85.6]         Single       49 (19.1) [1424.3]         Compation       137 (54.6) [48, 2-60.9]         Unemployed       78 (31.1) [27, 4-37.2]         Education level       78 (31.1) [27, 4-37.2]         Never schooled       47 (18.7) [14.1-24.1]         Elementary school       43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]         High school       80 (3.9.1) [26.2-38.0]         University       81 (32.3) [26.5-38.4]         Age of first sexual intercourse (year)       5 $\leq 17$ 136 (54.2) [478-60.5] $\leq 18$ 10 (4.3.8) [32.6-5.0.2]         No response       5 (2.0.0) [0.6-4.6]         No response       5 (2.0.0) [0.6-4.6]         Nometro of sized partners in the life       200         One regular partner       20 (80.0.1) [74.6-48.4]         Daritive       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Negative       242 (96.4) [93.3-98.3]         IVV status       212 (96.4) [93.3-98.3]         IVV status       215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]         No	variable/category	n (%) [95% CI]*
> 30 - 40         78 (11) [25.4.72]           > 30 - 40         66 (26.3) [21.0.32.2]           > 30         38 (15.1) [10.9-20.2]           Martial status         203 (80.9) [75.5-85.6]           Single         203 (80.1) [25.4-37.2]           Single         203 (12.5.3.8)           Unemployed         23 (12.3.2)           Single         23.0 [25.3.8.0]           University         81 (33.1) [26.4.3.8]           Set first sexual intercourse (year)         5 (2.0) [0.6.4.6]           Sinstrop of Status         10 (43.8) [35.5-50.2]           Sinstrop of Status         10 (44.3) [22.77]           No </td <td>Age (years)</td> <td></td>	Age (years)	
<ul> <li>≥ 30 - 40</li> <li>≤ 40 - &lt; 50</li> <li>⊗ (25) [22.1-33.5]</li> <li>≥ 30</li> <li>≫ (30.5) [10.9-20.2]</li> <li>Amrited or living in couple</li> <li>∞ (30.9) [75.5-85.6]</li> <li>∞ (30.9) [76.5-88.6]</li> <li>∞ (30.6) [17.6-7]</li> <li>No</li> <li>∞ (30.6) [17.6-7]</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>∞ (30.6) [17.6-8]</li> <li>∞ (30.6) [17.6-7]</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>∞ (30.6) [17.6-7]</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>∞ (30.6) [17.6-7]</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>∞ (30.6) [17.6-8]<!--</td--><td></td><td>78 (31.1) [25.4–37.2]</td></li></ul>		78 (31.1) [25.4–37.2]
<ul> <li>≥ 40 - &lt; 50</li> <li>69 (225) [22.1-33.5]</li> <li>≥ 50</li> <li>36 (1.1) [10.9-20.2]</li> <li>Married or living in couple</li> <li>36 (1.3) [10.9-20.2]</li> <li>Married or living in couple</li> <li>203 (60.9) [75.5 85.6]</li> <li>Single</li> <li>Occupation</li> <li>Umemployed</li> <li>157 (54.6) [48.2-60.9]</li> <li>Student</li> <li>36 (14.3) [10.3-19.3]</li> <li>Employed</li> <li>78 (31.1) [25.4-37.2]</li> <li>Education level</li> <li>Never schooled</li> <li>47 (18.7) [14.1-24.1]</li> <li>Elementary school</li> <li>43 (17.2) [26.2-38.0]</li> <li>University</li> <li>81 (32.3) [26.5-38.4]</li> <li>University</li> <li>81 (32.3) [26.5-38.4]</li> <li>University</li> <li>81 (32.3) [26.5-38.6]</li> <li>10 (43.8) [37.6-50.2]</li> <li>18</li> <li>University</li> <li>218</li> <li>Un (43.8) [37.6-50.2]</li> <li>Nore scicul partners in the life</li> <li>One regular partner</li> <li>20 (60.1) [74.6-84.8]</li> <li>Several partners [1 to 5]</li> <li>210 (60.1) [74.6-84.8]</li> <li>Several partners [1 to 5]</li> <li>210 (60.1) [74.6-84.8]</li> <li>Several partners [1 to 5]</li> <li>220 (90.2) [8.4-95.4]</li> <li>H4 (14.2) [2.2-7.2]</li> <li>No</li> <li>20 (90.1) [74.6-84.8]</li> <li>Several partners [1 to 5]</li> <li>210 (90.1) [74.6-84.8]</li> <li>Several partners [1 to 5]</li> <li>220 (90.2) [8.4-95.4]</li> <li>H6V status</li> <li>Positive</li> <li>92 (36.1) [7-6.7]</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>220 (92.3) [8.4-95.4]</li> <li>H6V status</li> <li>Positive</li> <li>92 (36.1) [7-6.7]</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>220 (92.3) [8.4-95.4]</li> <li>Never**</li> <li>43 (32.1 [1.4-6.2]</li> <li>Never**</li> <li>43 (32.1 [1.4-6.2]</li> <li>Never**</li> <li>23 (30.2) [8.4-95.4]</li> <li>Never**</li> <li>24 (26.63.1 [93.8-98.6]</li> <li>25 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]</li></ul>	$\geq 30 - < 40$	66 (26.3) [21.0-32.2]
≥ 50         38 (15.1) [10.3-20.2]           Married riving in couple         203 (80.9) [75.5-85.6]           Single         48 (19.1) [14.4-24.5]           Occupation         1           Unemployed         157 (54.6) [48.2-60.9]           Student         36 (14.3) [10.3-19.3]           Employed         78 (31.1) [25.4-37.2]           Education level         47 (18.7) [14.1-24.1]           River schooled         47 (18.7) [14.1-24.3]           Elementary school         43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]           High school         80 (31.9) [26.2-38.0]           University         80 (31.9) [26.2-38.0]           Versity school         43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]           Age at first sexual intercourse (year)         136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]           ≥ 17         136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]           No response         5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]           No response         5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]           No response         5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]           Several partners in the life         10 (14.3) [12.2-7.7]           No         240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]           HiV-J status         242 (96.4) [93.3-96.3]           Positive         9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]           Negative         223 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]           HiV-J status	$\geq 40 - < 50$	69 (27.5) [22.1–33.5]
Marital status       203 (80.9) [75.5-85.6]         Single       48 (101) [14.4-24.5]         Occupation       137 (54.6) [48.2-60.9]         Umemployed       36 (14.3) [10.3-10.4]         Student       36 (14.3) [10.3-10.4]         Employed       78 (31.1) [25.4-37.2]         Education level       47 (18.7) [14.1-24.1]         Elementary school       47 (18.7) [14.1-24.1]         Elementary school       43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]         High school       43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]         University       81 (23.2) [26.5-38.4]         Age at first sexual intercourse (year)       5         ≤ 17       136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]         > 18       110 (43.8) [37.6-50.2]         No response       5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]         Number of sexual partners in the life       0         One regular partner       201 (80.1) [14.6-84.8]         Several partners [1 to 5]       41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]         Past history of STIs**       1         Positive       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Nogative       232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]         Positive       8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]         Nogative       232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]         HV-1 status       10 (54.7) [40.7-4.6]         Negative	$\geq 50$	38 (15.1) [10.9–20.2]
Married or living in couple         203 (80.9) [75.5-85.6]           Single         48 (91.1) [14.4-24.5]           Occupation         137 (54.6) [48.2-60.9]           Mutern         36 (14.3) [10.3-19.3]           Employed         78 (31.1) [25.4-35.2]           Education level         77           Never schooled         47 (18.7) [14.1-24.1]           Elementary school         43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]           High school         40 (3.9) [26.2-38.0]           University         81 (32.2) [26.3-38.4]           Age at first sexual intercourse (year)         81 (32.2) [26.3-38.4]           ≤ 17         156 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]           No response         5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]           Non response         5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]           Non response         5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]           Namber of sexual partners in the life         0           One regular partner         201 (80.1) [74.6-48.8]           Several partners in the life         11 (4.4) [2.2-77]           No         240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]           HTV-1 status         9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]           Negative         9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]           Negative         9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]           Negative         9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]           Negative	Marital status	
Single       48 (91) [14.4-24.5]         Occupation       137 (54.6) [48.2-60.9]         Student       36 (14.3) [10.3-19.3]         Employed       78 (31.1) [25.4-37.2]         Enployed       78 (31.1) [25.4-37.2]         Enployed       43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]         Enernetary school       43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]         High school       80 (31.9) [25.2-38.0]         University       81 (32.3) [26.5-38.4]         Age at first sexual intercourse (year)       13 (5 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]         ≥ 17       13 (5 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]         ≥ 18       110 (43.8) [37.6-50.2]         Number of sexual partners in the life       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         One regular partner       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Several partners [11 to 5]       41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]         Past history of ST.8**       11 (4.4) [2.2-7.7]         No       240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]         HIV-J status       9         Positive       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Negative       22 (26.4) [93.3-98.3]         HIV-J status       9         Positive       9 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Negative       23 (98.8) [93.8-98.6]         Value       43 (58.) [3.1-9.2]         Volina tamopon use       <	Married or living in couple	203 (80.9) [75.5–85.6]
Occupation       137 (54.6) [48.2–60.9]         Unemployed       137 (54.6) [48.2–60.9]         Student       36 (14.3) [10.3–19.3]         Employed       78 (31.1) [25.4–37.2]         Education level       78 (31.1) [25.4–37.2]         Never schooled       47 (18.7) [14.1–24.1]         Elementary school       43 (17.1) [12.7–22.4]         High school       80 (3.9) [26.2–38.0]         University       81 (32.3) [26.5–38.4]         Age at frist sexual intercourse (year)       136 (54.2) [47.8–60.5]         ≤ 17       136 (54.2) [47.8–60.5]         No response       5 (2.0) [0.6–4.6]         Non response       5 (2.0) [0.6–4.6]         Number of sexual partners in the life       0         One regular partner       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Several partners [1 to 5]       41 (16.3) [12.0–21.5]         Past history of STIs**       11 (44.1) [2.2–7.7]         Yes       11 (44.1) [2.2–7.7]         No       201 (90.1) [74.6–84.8]         Several partners [1 to 5]       21 (90.4) [93.3–98.3]         HUV-1 status       9 (36.1) [17–6.7]         Negative       9 (36.1) [17–6.7]         Negative       23 (90.4) [88.4–95.4]         Positive       8 (3.2) [14–6.1]	Single	48 (19.1) [14.4–24.5]
Unemployed         137 (54.6) [48.2-60.9]           Student         36 (14.3) [0.3-19.3]           Employed         78 (31.1) [25.4-37.2]           Education level         7           Never schooled         47 (18.7) [4.1-24.1]           Elementary school         43 (17.1) [1.27-22.4]           High school         80 (31.9) [26.2-38.0]           University         81 (32.3) [26.5-38.4]           Age at first sexual intercourse (year)         136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]           ≤ 17         136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]           No response         5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]           Number of sexual partners in the life         101 (41.8) [37.6-50.2]           One regular partner         201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]           Several partners [1 to 5]         41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]           Past history of STL**         11 (4.4) [2.2-7.7]           No         201 (90.1) [74.6-84.8]           Notive of STL**         19 (3.6) [17-6.7]           Negative         9 (3.6) [17-6.7]           Negative         23 (98.6) [93.3-98.3]           HV-1 status         19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]           Negative         23 (98.6) [93.3-98.6]           Negative         23 (98.6) [93.8-98.6]           Negative         243 (98.6) [93.8-98.6]	Occupation	
Student       36 (4.3) [10.3-19.3]         Employed       78 (3.1) [25.4-37.2]         Education level       47 (18.7) [14.1-24.1]         Elementary school       43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]         Higb school       80 (3.19) [26.2-38.0]         University       81 (32.3) [26.5-38.4]         Age at first sexual intercourse (year)       51         ≤ 17       136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]         No response       5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]         Number of sexual partners in the life       0         One regular partner       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Several partners [1 to 5]       41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]         Past history of STIs**       11 (4.4) [2.2-7.7]         No       240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]         HIV-1 status       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Negative       19 (6.6) [3.1-9.2]	Unemployed	137 (54.6) [48.2–60.9]
Employed78 (31.1) [25.4-37.2]Education levelNever schooled47 (18.7) [14.1-24.1]Elementary school43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]High school80 (31.9) [26.2-38.0]University81 (32.3) [26.5-38.4]Age at first sexual intercourse (year) $\leq 17$ 136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]No response5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]Number of sexual partners in the life01 (0.43.8) [37.6-50.2]One regular partner201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]Several partners [1 to 5]41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]Past history of STIs**11 (4.4) [2.2-7.7]No240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]HIV-1 status9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]Positive9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]Negative232 (29.4) [88.4-95.4]HEV status1Positive8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]Negative232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]HCV status8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]Positive8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]Negative243 (96.8) [33.3-98.6]Vaginal tampon use8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]Never***215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]No free***215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]Sometimes***41 (6.5) [3.1-9.2]Often***41 (6.6) [3.1-9.2]Nays18 (2.2) [4.3-11.1]Regular genital toilet11Yes20 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]Water10 (64.7) [47.6-61.7]Water 10 (64.7) [47.6-61.7]Water 10 (64.7) [47.6-61.7]No20 (90.1) [74.2-5.4]No50 (17.6) [5.2-5.4] </td <td>Student</td> <td>36 (14.3) [10.3–19.3]</td>	Student	36 (14.3) [10.3–19.3]
Education level       47 (18.7) [4124.1]         Never schooled       43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]         High school       43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]         High school       80 (31.9) [26.2-38.0]         University       81 (32.3) [26.5-38.4]         Age al first sexual intercourse (year)       51         ≤ 17       136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]         ≥ 18       110 (43.8) [37.6-50.2]         No response       5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]         Number of sexual partners in the life       0         One regular partner       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Several partners [1 to 5]       41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]         Past history of STIs**       1         Yes       11 (4.4) [2.2-7.7]         No       240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]         HIV-1 status       9         Positive       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Negative       242 (96.4) [93.3-98.3]         HBV status       19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Negative       232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]         HCV status       8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]         Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8-98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       14 (5.6) [3.1-9.2]         Never***       215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]         Somettimes***       215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]	Employed	78 (31.1) [25.4–37.2]
Never schooled47 (18.7) [14.1-24.1]Elementary school43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]High school80 (31.9) [26.2-38.0]University81 (32.3) [26.5-38.4]Age at first sexual intercourse (year) $(1.3.3)$ $\leq 17$ 13 (5 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]≥ 18110 (43.8) [37.6-50.2]No response5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]Number of sexual partners in the life $(1.6.3)$ One regular partner201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]Several partners [1 to 5]41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]Past history of ST18** $(1.4.4)$ [22-77]No200 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]Positive9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]Negative9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]Negative9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]Negative9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]Negative19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]Negative232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]HCV status $(1.6.3)$ Positive19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]Negative232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]HCV status $(1.6.7)$ Positive19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]Negative232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]HCV status $(1.6.7)$ Positive14 (5.6) [31-9.2]Never***215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]Nagative216 (85.1) [80.7-89.7]Never***14 (5.6) [31-9.2]Often***14 (5.6) [31-9.2]Often***14 (5.6) [31-9.2]Often***10 (54.7) [47.6-61.7]Water10 (54.7) [47.6-61.7]Water10 (54.7) [47.6-61.7]Water + soap91 (45.3) [33.3-52.4]	Education level	
Elementary school43 (17.1) [12.7-22.4]High school80 (3.9) [26.2-38.0]University81 (32.3) [26.5-38.4]Age at first sexual intercourse (year) $\leq 17$ 136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]10 (43.8) [37.6-50.2]No response5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]Number of sexual partners in the life $00$ (regular partnerOne regular partner201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]Several partners [1 to 5]41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]Past history of STIs** $11 (4.4) [2.2-7.7]$ No240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]HIV-1 status $9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]$ Negative9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]Negative242 (96.4) [93.3-98.3]HBV status $19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]$ Negative232 (29.4) [8495.4]HCV status $19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]$ Negative243 (96.8) [93.8-98.6]Vaginal tampon use $14 (5.6) [31-9.2]$ Often*** $14 (5.6) [31-9.2]$ Often*** $14 (5.6) [31-9.2]$ Often*** $4 (1.6) [0.4-4.0]$ Always $10 (54.7) [4.6-6.7]$ Water $10 (54.7) [4.6-6.7]$ Water + soap $91 (45.3) [38.3-52.4]$ No $90 (190 1) [52.2-54.4]$	Never schooled	47 (18.7) [14.1–24.1]
High school80 (31.9) [26.2–38.0]University81 (32.3) [26.5–38.4]Age at first sexual intercourse (year) $\leq 17$ $\leq 17$ 136 (54.2) [47.8–60.5] $\geq 18$ 110 (43.8) [37.6–50.2]No response $5$ (2.0) [0.6–4.6]Number of sexual partners in the life0One regular partner201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]Several partners [1 to 5]41 (16.3) [12.0–21.5]Past history of STIs**11 (4.4) [2.2–77]No240 (95.6) [22.3–97.8]HIV-1 status9 (3.6) [17–6.7]Positive9 (3.6) [17–6.7]Negative22 (96.4) [93.3–98.3]HBV status19 (7.6) [4.6–11.6]Negative232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]HCV status215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]Sometimes***215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]Often***4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]Always215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]Sometimes***14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]Often***4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]Always201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]Water110 (54.7) [42.6–6.7]Water110 (54.7) [42.5–6.7]Water110 (54.7) [42.5–6.7]Water110 (54.7) [42.5–6.7]No50.00 (50.0) [20.2–2.5 4]	Elementary school	43 (17.1) [12.7–22.4]
$S_{1}^{-1}$ 81 (22.3) [26.5–38.4]         Age at first sexual intercourse (year)       136 (54.2) [47.8–60.2] $\leq 17$ 136 (54.2) [47.8–60.2]         No response       5 (2.0) [0.6–4.6]         Number of sexual partners in the life       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Several partners [1 to 5]       41 (16.3) [12.0–21.5]         Past history of STLs**       11 (4.4) [2.2–7.7]         No       240 (95.6) [92.3–97.8]         HIV-1 status       9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]         Positive       9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]         Negative       242 (96.4) [93.3–98.3]         HBV status       9         Positive       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Negative       12.5 [85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       12 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       Yes       201 (80.1) [7.4–6-84.8]         Water	High school	80 (31.9) [26.2–38.0]
Age at first sexual intercourse (year)       136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5] $\leq 17$ 136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5] $\geq 18$ 110 (43.8) [37.6-50.2]         No response       5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]         Number of sexual partners in the life       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         One regular partner       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Several partners [1 to 5]       41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]         Past history of STIs**       240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]         HIV-1 status       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         No       240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]         HIV-1 status       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Negative       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Negative       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Negative       19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Negative       19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Negative       19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Negative       23 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]         HCV status       8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]         Negative       8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]         Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8-98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]         Never***       215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1-9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4-4.0]         Always	University	81 (32.3) [26.5–38.4]
Sector136 (54.2) [47.8-60.5]≥ 18110 (43.8) [37.6-50.2]No response5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]Number of sexual partners in the life201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]One regular partner201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]Several partners [1 to 5]41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]Past history of STL**11 (4.4) [2.2-7.7]No240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]HIV-1 status9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]Negative9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]Negative9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]Negative19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]Negative232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]HCV status19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]Negative8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]Negative8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]Negative243 (96.8) [93.8-98.6]Vaginal tampon use215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]Sometimes***41 (5.6) [31-9.2]Ofter***4 (1.6) [0.4-4.0]Always18 (7.2) [4.3-11.1]Regular genital totlet201 (80.1) [7.4.6-84.8]Water10 (54.7) [4.6-6.7]Water scap91 (55.3) [38.3-52.4]No50 (19.0) [5.2-5.4]	Age at first sexual intercourse (year)	
$ \begin{array}{cccc} 100 & 100 & (43.8) & [37.6-50.2] \\ \hline No response & 5 & (2.0) & [0.6-4.6] \\ \hline Number of sexual partners in the life & & & & & \\ \hline One regular partner & & & & & & \\ One regular partner & & & & & & & \\ One regular partner & & & & & & & \\ Several partners [1 to 5] & & & & & & & & \\ Past history of STIs^{**} & & & & & & & \\ \hline Yes & & & & & & & & & & \\ Yes & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	< 17	136 (54.2) [47.8–60.5]
No response         5 (2.0) [0.6-4.6]           Number of sexual partners in the life         201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]           Gone regular partner         201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]           Several partners [1 to 5]         41 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]           Past history of STLs**         11 (4.4) [2.2-7.7]           No         240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]           HIV-1 status         9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]           Positive         9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]           Negative         242 (96.4) [93.3-98.3]           HBV status         19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]           Negative         19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]           Negative         232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]           HCV status         9           Positive         19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]           Negative         232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]           HCV status         19           Positive         8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]           Negative         243 (96.8) [93.8-98.6]           Vaginal tampon use         14 (5.6) [3.1-9.2]           Never***         215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]           Sometimes***         14 (5.6) [3.1-9.2]           Often***         41 (5.6) [3.1-9.2]           Often***         201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]           Water         100 (54.7) [47.6-61.7]	$\geq 18$	110 (43.8) [37.6–50.2]
Number of sexual partners in the life       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Several partners [1 to 5]       41 (16.3) [12.0–21.5]         Past history of STIs**       11 (4.4) [2.2–77]         Yes       11 (4.4) [2.2–77]         No       240 (95.6) [92.3–97.8]         HIV-1 status       9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]         Positive       9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]         Negative       242 (96.4) [93.3–98.3]         HBV status       19 (7.6) [4.6–11.6]         Negative       232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]         HCV status       243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Negative       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       Yes         Yes       201 (80.1) [7.4–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (199 115 2–75.4)	No response	5 (2.0) [0.6–4.6]
One regular partner         201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]           Several partners [1 to 5]         41 (16.3) [12.0–21.5]           Past history of STIs**            Yes         11 (4.4) [2.2–7.7]           No         240 (95.6) [92.3–9.78]           HIV-1 status            Positive         9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]           Negative         242 (96.4) [93.3–98.3]           HBV status            Positive         19 (7.6) [4.6–11.6]           Negative         232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]           HCV status            Positive         8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]           Negative         243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]           Vaginal tampon use            Never***         215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]           Sometimes***         215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]           Often***         4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]           Always         18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]           Regular genital toilet         Yes           Yes         201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]           Water         100 (54.7) [47.6–6.7]           Water + soap         91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]	Number of sexual partners in the life	
Several partners [1 to 5]       A1 (16.3) [12.0-21.5]         Past history of STIs**       11 (4.4) [2.2-7.7]         No       240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]         HIV-1 status       9         Positive       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Negative       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Negative       9 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Negative       19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Negative       232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]         HCV status       9         Positive       8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]         Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8-98.6]         Vagiant tampon use       243 (96.8) [93.8-98.6]         Never***       215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1-9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4-4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3-11.1]         Regular genital toilet       Yes         Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6-6.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3-52.4]         No       50 (199.115 2-25 4)	One regular partner	201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]
Past history of STIs**       II (4.4) [2.2-77]         No       240 (95.6) [92.3-97.8]         HIV-1 status       Positive         Positive       9 (3.6) [1.7-6.7]         Negative       242 (96.4) [93.3-98.3]         HBV status       Positive         Positive       9 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Negative       19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Negative       232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]         HCV status       Positive         Positive       8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]         Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8-98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       Vaginal tampon use         Never***       215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1-9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4-4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3-11.1]         Regular genital toilet       Yes         Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6-61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3-52.4]         No       50 (199.115 2-25 4)	Several partners [1 to 5]	41(16.3)[12.0-21.5]
Yes       11 (4.4) [2.2-7.]         No       240 (95.6) [92.3–97.8]         HIV-1 status       9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]         Negative       9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]         Negative       242 (96.4) [93.3–98.3]         HBV status       242 (96.4) [93.3–98.3]         HBV status       9 (7.6) [4.6–11.6]         Negative       232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]         HCV status       232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]         HCV status       243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Never***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       215 (80.7) [80.7–64.8]         Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]         Water soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15.2–25 4]	Past history of STIs <sup>**</sup>	() []
No         240 (95.6) [92.3–97.8]           HIV-1 status         9           Positive         9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]           Negative         242 (96.4) [93.3–98.3]           HBV status         242 (96.4) [93.3–98.3]           Positive         19 (7.6) [4.6–11.6]           Negative         232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]           HCV status         232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]           HCV status         8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]           Negative         243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]           Vaginal tampon use         243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]           Never***         215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]           Sometimes***         14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]           Often***         4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]           Always         18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]           Regular genital toilet         201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]           Yes         201 (80.1) [74.6–61.7]           Water         110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]           Water + soap         91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]           No         50 (19.9) [15.2–25 J1	Yes	11 (4.4) [2.2–7.7]
HIV-1 status       9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]         Positive       9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]         Negative       242 (96.4) [93.3–98.3]         HBV status       9 (7.6) [4.6–11.6]         Positive       19 (7.6) [4.6–11.6]         Negative       232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]         HCV status       232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]         Positive       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2–25 4]	No	240 (95.6) [92.3–97.8]
Positive       9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]         Negative       242 (96.4) [93.3–98.3]         HBV status       9 (3.6) [4.6–11.6]         Positive       19 (7.6) [4.6–11.6]         Negative       232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]         HCV status       232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]         Positive       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (199.15 2–25.4]	HIV-1 status	
Negative       242 (96.4) [93.3-98.3]         HBV status       90500000000000000000000000000000000000	Positive	9 (3.6) [1.7–6.7]
HBV status       19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Positive       19 (7.6) [4.6-11.6]         Negative       232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]         HCV status       232 (92.4) [88.4-95.4]         Positive       8 (3.2) [1.4-6.2]         Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8-98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1-9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4-4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3-11.1]         Regular genital toilet       Yes         Vater       100 (54.7) [47.6-61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3-52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2-75.4]	Negative	242(96.4)[93.3-98.3]
Positive       19 (7.6) [4.6–11.6]         Negative       232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]         HCV status       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Positive       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Never***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [476–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2–25.4]	HBV status	
Negative       232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]         HCV status       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Positive       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Often***       4 (1.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2–25.4]	Positive	19 (7.6) [4.6–11.6]
HCV status       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Positive       243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2–25.4]	Negative	232 (92.4) [88.4–95.4]
Positive       8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]         Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Never***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       201 (80.1) [74.6–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2–25.4]	HCV status	
Negative       243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]         Vaginal tampon use       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Never***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2–25.4]	Positive	8 (3.2) [1.4–6.2]
Vaginal tampon use       Image: Second	Negative	243 (96.8) [93.8–98.6]
Never***       215 (85.7) [80.7–89.7]         Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2–25.4]	Vaginal tampon use	
Sometimes***       14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]         Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4–4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3–11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2–25.4]	Never***	215 (85.7) [80.7-89.7]
Often***       4 (1.6) [0.4-4.0]         Always       18 (7.2) [4.3-11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6-61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3-52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2-25.4]	Sometimes***	14 (5.6) [3.1–9.2]
Always       18 (7.2) [4.3-11.1]         Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6-61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3-52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2-25.4]	Often***	4(1.6)[0.4-4.0]
Regular genital toilet       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2–25.4]	Always	[8(72)][4(3-11)]
Yes       201 (80.1) [74.6-84.8]         Water       110 (54.7) [47.6-61.7]         Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3-52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2-25.4]	Regular genital toilet	
Water $110 (54.7) [47.6-61.7]$ Water + soap $91 (45.3) [38.3-52.4]$ No $50 (19.9) [15 2-25.4]$	Yes	201 (80.1) [74.6–84.8]
Water + soap       91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]         No       50 (19.9) [15 2–25.4]	Water	110 (54.7) [47.6–61.7]
No $50(199)[152-254]$	Water $+$ soap	91 (45.3) [38.3–52.4]
	No	50 (19 9) [15 2–25 4]

TABLE 1: Sociodemographics, behavioural, and hygiene characteristics of the 251 women living in N'Djamena, Chad, and recruited from the community.

TABLE	1:	Continued.
INDLL	1.	Commuca.

variable/category       n (%) [95% CI]*         Postcoital genital toilet       230 (91.6) [87.5–94.7]         Yes       230 (91.6) [87.5–94.7]         Water       141 (61.3) [54.7–67.6]         Water + soap       89 (38.7) [32.4–45.3]         No       21 (8.4) [5.3–12.5]         Use of contraceptive       21 (8.4) [5.3–12.5]         Yes       66 (26.3) [21.0–32.2]         Pill       17 (25.8) [15.8–38.0]         Intrauterine device       11 (16.7) [8.6–27.9]         Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4–20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	Variable/estacem	All study women ( $N = 251$ )
Postcoital genital toilet       230 (91.6) [87.5–94.7]         Yes       230 (91.6) [87.5–94.7]         Water       141 (61.3) [54.7–67.6]         Water + soap       89 (38.7) [32.4–45.3]         No       21 (8.4) [5.3–12.5]         Use of contraceptive       66 (26.3) [21.0–32.2]         Pill       17 (25.8) [15.8–38.0]         Intrauterine device       11 (16.7) [8.6–27.9]         Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4–20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	variable/category	n (%) [95% CI]*
Yes       230 (91.6) [87.5-94.7]         Water       141 (61.3) [54.7-67.6]         Water + soap       89 (38.7) [32.4-45.3]         No       21 (8.4) [5.3-12.5]         Use of contraceptive       21 (8.4) [5.3-12.5]         Yes       66 (26.3) [21.0-32.2]         Pill       17 (25.8) [15.8-38.0]         Intrauterine device       11 (16.7) [8.6-27.9]         Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4-20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6-59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8-79.0]	Postcoital genital toilet	
Water       141 (61.3) [54.7–67.6]         Water + soap       89 (38.7) [32.4–45.3]         No       21 (8.4) [5.3–12.5]         Use of contraceptive       9         Yes       66 (26.3) [21.0–32.2]         Pill       17 (25.8) [15.8–38.0]         Intrauterine device       11 (16.7) [8.6–27.9]         Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4–20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	Yes	230 (91.6) [87.5–94.7]
Water + soap       89 (38.7) [32.4–45.3]         No       21 (8.4) [5.3–12.5]         Use of contraceptive       66 (26.3) [21.0–32.2]         Pill       17 (25.8) [15.8–38.0]         Intrauterine device       11 (16.7) [8.6–27.9]         Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4–20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	Water	141 (61.3) [54.7–67.6]
No       21 (8.4) [5.3–12.5]         Use of contraceptive       66 (26.3) [21.0–32.2]         Yes       66 (26.3) [15.8–38.0]         Pill       17 (25.8) [15.8–38.0]         Intrauterine device       11 (16.7) [8.6–27.9]         Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4–20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	Water + soap	89 (38.7) [32.4–45.3]
Use of contraceptive       66 (26.3) [21.0-32.2]         Yes       66 (26.3) [1032.2]         Pill       17 (25.8) [15.8-38.0]         Intrauterine device       11 (16.7) [8.6-27.9]         Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4-20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6-59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8-79.0]	No	21 (8.4) [5.3–12.5]
Yes       66 (26.3) [21.0–32.2]         Pill       17 (25.8) [15.8–38.0]         Intrauterine device       11 (16.7) [8.6–27.9]         Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4–20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	Use of contraceptive	
Pill       17 (25.8) [15.8–38.0]         Intrauterine device       11 (16.7) [8.6–27.9]         Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4–20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	Yes	66 (26.3) [21.0-32.2]
Intrauterine device       11 (16.7) [8.6–27.9]         Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4–20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	Pill	17 (25.8) [15.8–38.0]
Condom       7 (10.6) [4.4–20.6]         Other       31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7]         No       185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	Intrauterine device	11 (16.7) [8.6–27.9]
Other 31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7] No 185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	Condom	7 (10.6) [4.4–20.6]
No 185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]	Other	31 (47.0) [34.6–59.7]
	No	185 (73.7) [67.8–79.0]

\*The frequency of each variable is presented with their 95% confidence interval in brackets.

\*\* Including infections due to N. gonorrhoeae, C. trachomatis, and syphilis.

\*\*\* Alternative of vaginal tampon use for feminine hygiene during menstruation was the use of sanitary napkins.

CI: confidence interval; HIV-1: human immunodeficiency virus; HBV: hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus; STIs: sexually transmitted infections.

TABLE 2: Prevalences of common curable sexually transmitted infections (group I) and commensal mycoplasmas (apart from *M. genitalium*) (group II) in the genital tract of 251 adult women living N'Djamena, Chad, and recruited from the community.

	All study women (N=251) whatever the collection methods n (%)	Veil-based self-collection n (%) [95% CI]*	Swab-based clinician-collection n (%) [95% CI]*	P**
Group I: Curable sexually transmitted ba	cteria			
Chlamydia trachomatis	3 (1.2)	3 (1.2) [0.0-2.5]	2 (0.8) [0.0-1.9]	1.0
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	1 (0.4)	1 (0.4) [0.0-1.2]	1 (0.4) [0.0-1.2]	1.0
Mycoplasma genitalium	4 (1.6)	4 (1.6) [0.04-3.1]	4 (1.6) [0.04-3.1]	1.0
Trichomonas vaginalis	1 (0.4)	1 (0.4) [0.0-1.2]	1 (0.4) [0.0-1.2]	1.0
At least 1 curable STI	7 (2.8)	7 (2.8) [0.0-1.9]	6 (2.4) [0.5-4.3]	1.0
At least 2 curable STIs	2 (0.8)	2 (0.8) [0.0-1.9]	2 (0.8) [0.0-1.9]	1.0
Group II: Commensal mycoplasmas				
Mycoplasma hominis	34 (13.5)	30 (11.9) [7.9-15.9]	26 (10.4) [6.6-14.1]	0.7
Ureaplasma urealyticum	37 (14.7)	36 (14.3) [10.1-18.7]	28 (11.2) [7.2-15.1]	0.3
Ureaplasma parvum	115 (45.8)	111 (44.2) [38.1-50.4]	107 (42.6) [36.5-48.7]	0.8
At least 1 commensal mycoplasma	150 (59.7)	144 (57.4) [51.2-63.5]	134 (53.4) [47.2-59.6]	0.9
At least 2 commensal mycoplasmas	34 (13.5)	30 (11.9) [7.3-15.1]	21 (8.4) [5.6-12.7]	0.9

\*The frequency of each variable is presented with their 95% confidence interval in brackets.

\*\* Statistical comparisons were assessed by McNemar test for paired data.

CI: confidence interval; STI: sexually transmitted infection.

had paired clinician-collected and self-collected specimens obtained for laboratory testing. All secretions from swab and veil specimens were positive for the  $\beta$ -globin gene. Results from each of the collection method are depicted in Tables 2 and 3 and in Figures 3 and 4.

Of the clinician-collected specimens included in the analysis, 134 women were positive for genital microorganisms giving a total prevalence of 53.4% (95% CI: 47.2–59.6) (Table 2; Figures 3 and 4). Six (2.4%, 95% CI: 0.5-4.3) women were infected with at least one common STI. *C. trachomatis, N.* 

gonorrhoeae, M. genitalium, and T. vaginalis were recovered from 2 (0.8%), 1 (0.4%), 4 (1.6%), and 1 (0.4%) participants, respectively, and a combination of two pathogens was found in two women (M. genitalium plus N. gonorrhoeae: 1 (0.4%), 95% CI: 0.0-1.2; and M. genitalium plus C. trachomatis: 1 (0.4%), 95% CI: 0.0-1.2). A total of 134 (53.4%, 95% CI: 47.2-59.6) women carried at least one genital mycoplasma, and U. parvum was the most represented species and was present alone or associated with other species in 42.6% of participants. Combinations of two or three species were

		All genital b	acteria		Group	I		Group	11
		Clinician-collected s	swab specimen		Clinician-collected s	swab specimen		Clinician-collected s	wab specimen
		Positive (N=134)	Negative (N=117)		Positive (N=6)	Negative (N=245)		Positive (N=134)	Negative (N=117)
Veil- based	Positive $(N^*=144)$	130	14	Positive $(N^{***}=7)$	9	1	$\begin{array}{c} Positive \\ (N^{\$}=144) \end{array}$	130	14
sey-conecteu specimen	Negative (N**=107)	4	103	<i>Negative</i> (N***=244)	0	244	Negative $(N^{t}=107)$	4	103
		Estimate	95% CI		Estimate	95% CI		Estimate	95% CI
Sensitivity (%)		97.0	[92.5-99.2]		$NA^+$	ı		97.0	[92.5-99.2]
Specificity (%)		88.0	[80.7-93.3]		$NA^+$	ı		88.0	[80.7-93.3]
Agreement (%)		92.8			9.66			92.8	
Cohen's ĸ coefficien	$ut^{\mu}$	0.85			0.92			0.85	
*Total number of veil ** Total number of ve: *** Total number of v	il based-self collec il based-self colle eil based-self coll veil based-self coll	cted specimens positive for ected specimens negative lected specimens positive Allocted specimens positive	r all genital microo or all genital micro for genital microor	rganisms. organisms. ganisms of Group I.					
<sup>\$</sup> Total number of veil	based-self collec	ted specimens positive for	e por genital microorgai	nisms of Group II.					
<sup>±</sup> Total number of veil	based-self collec	ted specimens negative for	r genital microorga	misms of Group II.					

 $^{\mu}$ The Cohen's w coefficient was interpreted according the Landis and Koch scale [32]: For  $\kappa$  values 0.41–0.60, the agreement is considered to be less than what would be expected by chance; for  $\kappa$  values 0.01–0.20, only a "slight agreement" is present; for  $\kappa$  values 0.21–0.40, the agreement is considered to be less than what would be expected by chance; for  $\kappa$  values 0.01–0.20, only a "slight agreement" is present; for  $\kappa$  values 0.21–0.40, the agreement is considered to be "moderate"; for  $\kappa$  values 0.21–0.40, the agreement is considered to be "moderate"; for  $\kappa$  values 0.21–0.40, the agreement is considered to be "for  $\kappa$  values 0.41–0.50, the agreement is said to be "moderate"; for  $\kappa$  values 0.21–0.40, the agreement is considered "good"; and himally for κ values 0.81–0.99, the agreement is said to be "almost perfect". \*NA: not attributable (because of the low common STIs positivity rate (<3%) in study population which makes impossible to calculate an accurate estimate of sensitivity and specificity for the group I).

TABLE 3: Two-by-two tables of cervicovaginal specimens self-collected using the V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device (V-Veil-Up Pharma Ltd.) compared to clinician-collected endocervical



FIGURE 4: Percentages of detection (a) and mean number of genital microorganisms detection (b) by multiplex real-time PCR Allplex<sup>TM</sup> STI Essential Assay (Seegene) of genital bacteria, including common curable sexually transmitted infections (group I) and commensal mycoplasmas (group II) in paired genital secretions obtained by gold standard clinician-collected endocervical swab (white) and by self-collection using the V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device (V-Veil-Up Pharma Ltd.) (grey) among 251 study women living in N'Djamena, Chad. *P*-values of the comparison between the percentages of detection by the two collection methods using the Mac Nemar's test for paired data were not significant for all comparisons. *P*-value of the comparison between the mean numbers of detected genital bacteria by the two collection methods using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test is indicated in italic.

observed in 21 (8.4%) and 4 (1.6%) women, respectively. *M. hominis* associated with *U. parvum* was the most frequent association.

Of the veil-based self-collected specimens included in the analysis, 144 women were positive for genital microorganisms giving a total prevalence of 57.4% (95% CI: 51.2–63.5) (Table 2; Figures 3 and 4). Seven (2.8%, 95% CI: 0.7-4.8) women were infected with at least one common STI. C. trachomatis, N. gonorrhoeae, M. genitalium, and T. vaginalis were recovered from 3 (1.2%), 1 (0.4%), 4 (1.6%), and 1 (0.4%) participants, respectively, and a combination of two pathogens was found in two women (M. genitalium plus N. gonorrhoeae: 1 (0.4%), 95% CI: 0.0-1.2; and M. genitalium plus C. trachomatis: 1 (0.4%), 95% CI: 0.0-1.2). A total of 144 (57.4%, 95% CI: 51.2-63.5) women carried at least one genital mycoplasma, and U. parvum was the most represented species, alone or associated with other species in 44.2% of participants. Combinations of two or three species were observed in 30 (11.9%) and 3 (1.2%) women, respectively. M. hominis associated with *U. parvum* was the most frequent association. One woman (#009) showed 4 genital bacteria (*C. trachomatis*, M. genitalium, M. hominis, and U. parvum) by veil (Table 4).

The results of the comparison of the two collection methods are detailed in the Table 3. The percent agreements between the two collection methods to detect any genital microorganisms, common curable sexually transmitted infections (group I) and commensal mycoplasmas (group II) were 92.8%, 99.6%, and 92.8%, respectively, and all Cohen's  $\kappa$  coefficients were between 0.81 to 0.99, demonstrating "almost perfect" agreement [32].

In addition, using clinician-collected swab as the reference collection method, the sensitivities and specificities of the self-collected veil to detect any genital microorganisms and commensal mycoplasmas (group II) were 97.0% and 88.0% (Table 3). Overall, the percentages of test positivity for any genital microorganism, common curable sexually transmitted infections (group I) and commensal mycoplasmas (group II) showed a trend to be slightly higher in self-collected specimens than clinician-collected specimens, but the trends were not statistically significant for all comparisons (57.4% *versus* 53.4%; *P*=0.9; 2.8% *versus* 2.4%; *P*=1.0; and 57.4% *versus* 53.4%; *P*=0.9) (Figure 4(a)). Interestingly, the mean total number of genital microorganisms detected per study woman by veil-based self-collected method was 1.14-fold slightly higher than by clinician-collected method [0.75±0.77 (188/251; range, 0-4) *versus* 0.66±0.71 (165/251; range, 0-3); *P*<0.001] (Figure 4(b)).

The Table 4 depicts some relevant examples of paired results obtained by clinician-collected swab and self-collected veil using the multiplex real-time PCR Allplex<sup>™</sup> STI Essential Assay.

#### 4. Discussion

The present study reports on the prevalences of common curable STIs (*N. gonorrhoeae, C. trachomatis, M. genitalium*, and *T. vaginalis*) as well as genital mycoplasmas (*M. hominis, U. urealyticum*, and *U. parvum*) colonization among community-recruited asymptomatic adult women living in N'Djamena, Chad. The results showed low incidence of common STIs, as only seven women (2.8%) were infected. The prevalences of genital mycoplasmas (apart from *M. genitalium*) were much higher in more than half women with a predominance of *U. parvum*. Furthermore, the genital microorganisms DNA diagnostic accuracy of a novel genital veil (V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device) was assessed as female genital self-sampling device to collect cervicovaginal secretions. All specimens collected by the veil were found positive for the  $\beta$ -globin gene, demonstrating the lack of PCR

STI Essential Assay (Seegene). Participants #021, #036, and #233 gave similar profiles of bacteria detection by paired clinician-collected swab and self-collected veil. Participants #058 and collected swab. Positive result for a given bacteria is indicated by cross whereas negative result by white box. All swab and veil specimens were positive for  $\beta$ -globin internal control of the PCR All plex<sup>TM</sup> STI Essential Assay (not shown). Group I corresponds to common curable sexually transmitted infections (*Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Mycoplasma genitalium*, TABLE 4: Relevant selected examples of paired results obtained by gold standard clinician-collected endocervical swab and self-collected veil using the multiplex real-time PCR Allplex<sup>TM</sup> #152 showed more detection by swab than by veil. Finally, participants #009, #041, #090, #126, #172, #173, #177, and #231 showed better bacteria detection by self-collected veil than clinician-

CHILDHIDHIT T	a II Anorg nim (cumugu)	atter entition for first metro atterio	torio (cumuni milicando)	um ann ann an mucan	a orcupiustiu pui vuit).		
Specimens		Group I	Genital m	icroorganisms detectio	и	Group II	
	C. trachomatis	N. gonorrhoeae	M. genitalium	T. vaginalis	M. hominis	U. urealiticum	U. parvum
600#		)					4
Veil	+		+		+		+
Swab	+		+				+
#021							
Veil				+			+
Swab				+			+
#036							
Veil		+	+			+	
Swab		+	+			+	
#041							
Veil					+		
Swab							
#058							
Veil			+				
Swab			+		+		+
060#							
Veil					+	+	
Swab							
#126							
Veil						+	+
Swab							+
#152							
Veil							
Swab					+		+
#172							
Veil							+
Swab							
#173							
Veil					+	+	+
Swab					+		+
#177							
Veil	+						+
Swab							+
#231							
Veil					+	+	
Swab							
#233							
Veil	+				+		+
Swab	+				+		+

#### Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology

inhibitors or the presence of cellular DNA, which made STIs detection possible by molecular testing. The results showed high accuracy of the veil-based genital self-collection, which was non-inferior to clinician-based collection as reference for genital bacteria DNA molecular testing, with "almost perfect" agreement between the two collection methods, high sensitivity and specificity. Outstandingly, the mean number of detected genital bacteria was significantly higher when using veil-based collected genital secretions than cliniciancollected cervical secretions by swab. Thus, the self-collection by veil allowed detecting 1.14-fold more genital bacteria than the swab-based collection, likely originating from cervical as well as non-cervical areas of the vaginal cavity, including vaginal cul-de-sacs, vaginal walls, and vulva. Taken together, veil-based self-collection of genital secretions appears a convenient tool to collect in a gentle way genital secretions for accurate molecular detection of female genital bacteria. Such sampling procedure could be easily implemented in STIs clinics in sub-Saharan Africa.

Epidemiological data on the prevalences of common bacterial STIs in Chad have been until now poorly reported. In a cohort of 311 HIV-1-seropositive women, Mortier and colleagues found a prevalence of 1% for T. vaginalis [33]. Remarkably, the prevalences of genital shedding of C. trachomatis, N. gonorrhoeae, M. genitalium, and T. vaginalis were particularly low in study women. In neighboring countries, such as Nigeria, Cameroon, Central African Republic, and Sudan, various prevalence rates of female genital recovery of C. trachomatis (0.7 - 31%), N. gonorrhoeae (0-3.1%), and T. vaginalis (0.4 – 17.6%) have been reported [25–31]. This high heterogeneity among prevalences of common STIs in adult women populations living in Central Africa could be likely explained by the wide variations in study settings and populations and laboratory methods used for genital sampling and STIs screening [34].

The high rate of carriage of genital mycoplasmas in our study population is reminiscent of previous reports in women of reproductive age from neighboring countries, with prevalence rates of 65% in Cameroon [35] and 36% in Nigeria [36]. Genital mycoplasmas apart from *M. genitalium* (including *M. hominis*, *U. parvum*, and *U. urealyticum*) are generally considered as commensals of the female urogenital tract, as they may be found at rates up to 80% in sexually active women [37, 38]. However, the high prevalence of genital mycoplasmas apart from *M. genitalium* in this population would need further investigation to assess its clinical consequences and potential adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Taking into account that most adult Chadian women are living in remote rural areas, or far away of adequate healthcare facilities, self-collection of genital specimen carried out at home by women themselves could represent a relevant alternative allowing increasing the coverage of screening when coupled with adapted STI pathogens testing by molecular biology. In the *GYNAUTO-STI* study, we have had the opportunity to evaluate the genital microorganisms DNA diagnostic accuracy of a novel genital veil (V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device) as female genital self-sampling device to collect cervicovaginal secretions.

The percent of agreements between clinician-based collection and veil-based self-collection to detect any vaginal microorganisms was above 90%, and all Cohen's k coefficients were between 0.81 to 0.99 demonstrating "almost perfect" agreement between collection methods [32]. Although no data exists on the performance of self-collected specimens by veil for female genital bacteria DNA testing for which to compare our results, several previous reports evaluating the use of self-collection by vaginal swab for C. trachomatis and N. gonorrhoeae testing may be useful to contextualize our observations [14]. A recent meta-analysis showed a lower proportion of positive C. trachomatis or N. gonorrhoeae test results with home-based collection by vaginal swab (240/2074, 11.6%) than with clinic-based (179/967, 18.5%) specimen collection (risk ratio of 0.72, 95% CI 0.61 to 0.86; number of participants: 3041) [8, 14, 16, 39-44]. In contrast to self-sampling by vaginal swab, our results demonstrate that self-sampling by veil allows detecting by molecular biology similar rates of common curable STIs and commensal mycoplasmas as clinician-based collection by cervical swab. These observations are in keeping with the report by Cook and colleagues who reported equivalent rates of positive tests for C. trachomatis or N. gonorrhoeae per 100 womanyears of follow-up for home-collected and clinic-collected specimens (20.4 versus 24.1; P=0.28) in a prospective randomized controlled trial including high-risk young women followed up during 18 months [12]. Finally, the "almost perfect" agreement between both collection methods was corroborated by elevated sensitivities of self-collected veil to detect by molecular biology any genital microorganisms and commensal mycoplasmas.

Because clinical management and research usually depend on single-point detection, it is important to use the collection method the most capable to detect genital microorganisms by molecular biology. For example, the cumulative presence of HPV in female genital tract is always greater than its point prevalence, suggesting that single-point sampling is less than 100% sensitive [45]. Otherwise, the vaginal epithelium represents a much greater surface area than the cervical epithelium. Thus, the self-sampling approach using veil might not only sample the cervix, but it will also sample the vaginal epithelium, with a potentially greater likelihood of detecting genital microorganisms. In the present study, the percentages of test positivity for genital microorganisms showed a trend to be slightly higher in self-collected than clinician-collected specimens, however without statistical significance. Remarkably, in the present study, the mean total number of genital microorganisms detected per study woman was 1.14-fold higher in self-collected specimens by veil than in clinician-collected specimens. These findings suggest that the veil is able to significantly release higher amount of genital secretions for molecular analysis than cervical swab. In fact, female genital secretions embedded in a rayon-covered cotton core tampon are not easily separated from the sampling device [46]. Our results show the high capacity of the veil to allow the detection of genital microorganisms present within the vaginal cavity that could not be detected from the paired swab specimens. Indeed, the veil collects all types of cervicovaginal secretions of the vaginal cavity, not exclusively the cervical secretions, and thus allows also detecting microorganisms *a priori* located at non-cervical vaginal areas (vaginal cul-de-sacs, vaginal walls and vulva).

Our observations also point to the potential interest of using a supervised self-collection strategy among African women, in which oral counseling processes are aided at all times by a healthcare or non-healthcare professional as a counselor to understand the instructions for use, the genital anatomy, and provide counseling with a very high rate of acceptability and satisfaction of self-collection. The evidence of high acceptability for supervised strategies was previously reported for another self-collection of capillary blood or saliva during HIV self-testing, especially in resource-constrained settings [47]. Finally, providing options for self-collection based upon women's preferences is likely to increase screening coverage. However, whether face to face instructions may become a practical barrier for future routine use of veil-based self-sampling should be the subject of a thorough field evaluation in African context.

Our study had several strengths. First, we tried to limit the selection bias of the study population by communitybased recruitment in order to make this survey as much representative as possible of the female population in Chad. Thus, study participants referred to clinic "La Renaissance Plus", N'Djamena, were not patients from the clinic, avoiding the obvious bias of recruitment by health care facilities. Furthermore, 9 (3.5%), 19 (7.5%), and 8 (3.2%) study participants were HIV-, HBsAg-, and HCV-specific antibody positive, respectively, in accordance with the high endemicity of these three major chronic viral infections in Chad [48, 49]. However, our study has limitations. First, although 251 women were enrolled to the study, the insufficient size of the study population may have introduced bias. The fact that women participated on a voluntary basis and were recruited from community-churches and mosques and associative networks may also have led to recruitment bias. Secondly, we had to rely on a face-to-face questionnaire to collect sociodemographic data and information on sexual behaviors, a method that may be prone to reporting bias for sensitive and intimate questions [50]. Thirdly, we did not evaluate the acceptability of the veil in this study, especially in the African cultural context. However, it is established that selfsampling to diagnose curable STIs in adult women is feasible and acceptable with the majority having a positive experience and willingness to use again [7, 13], even in low-income communities like in sub-Saharan Africa [16, 51, 52]. Finally, the performance of the genital veil as self-sampling device to diagnose STIs by PCR was carried out in a group of adult women clinically asymptomatic for STIs that allows certainly accurate assessment of its specificity but only a partial assessment of its sensitivity.

In conclusion, the diagnosis and prevention of bacterial STIs is likely one of the most important public health challenges that Chad has to face in a near future. Self-collection of genital secretions using the V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Device constitutes a simple and powerful tool to collect genital secretions for further molecular testing and screening of genital microorganisms that could be easily implemented in the national prevention program in Chad. In regions of the world where access to care is limited due to socioeconomic reasons or where clinician-collected samples may be limited due to personal and/or sociocultural concerns, self-collection method by inexpensive veil may provide a way to extend screening to an underserved population.

#### Abbreviations

COFRAC:	Comité Français d'Accréditation
CEFOD:	Centre d'Etudes et de Formation pour le
	Développement
HBV:	Hepatitis B virus
HCV:	Hepatitis C virus
HIV:	Human immunodeficiency virus
HPV:	Human papillomavirus
STI:	Sexually transmitted infection
WHO:	World Health Organization.

#### **Data Availability**

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

Zita Aleyo Nodjikouambaye, Damtheou Sadjoli, Ralph-Sydney Mboumba Bouassa, Donato Koyalta, and Laurent Belec have conceived and designed the research; Damtheou Sadjoli and Ali Mahamat Moussa carried out the ethical issues; Ralph-Sydney Mboumba Bouassa, Leman Robin, and David Veyer carried out the experiments; Zita Aleyo Nodjikouambaye, Ralph-Sydney Mboumba Bouassa, and Serge Tonen-Wolyec performed statistical analyses; Zita Aleyo Nodjikouambaye, Ralph-Sydney Mboumba Bouassa, Serge Tonen-Wolyec, and Hélène Péré analyzed the results; Fabrice Compain, Zita Aleyo Nodjikouambaye, Ralph-Sydney Mboumba Bouassa, Chatté Adawaye, and Laurent Belec drafted the manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

Zita Aleyo Nodjikouambaye is a Ph.D. student of the *Ecole Doctorale en Infectiologie Tropicale*, Franceville, Gabon. Ralph-Sydney Mboumba Bouassa is a Ph.D. student of the *Ecole Doctorale en Infectiologie Tropicale*, Franceville, Gabon, benefiting of a scholarship from the Gabonese Government and is holder of merit from the Agence Universitaire de la Francophonie. The authors are grateful to Bernard Chaffringeon, V-Veil-Up Pharma, Ltd., Nicosia, Cyprus, for providing the V-Veil-Up Gyn Collection Devices and the multiplex PCR kits for the study. They are greatly appreciative to all women who participated in the study.

#### References

- World Health Organization, "WHO data and statistics 2018," http://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sexuallytransmitted-infections-(stis).
- [2] World Health Organization, "Global Health sector strategy on sexually transmitted infections 2016-2021: Towards ending STIs," http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf\_files/WHA69/A69\_33en.pdf?ua=1.
- [3] S. Mullick, D. Watson-Jones, M. Beksinska, and D. Mabey, "Sexually transmitted infections in pregnancy: prevalence, impact on pregnancy outcomes, and approach to treatment in developing countries," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 81, no. 4, pp. 294–302, 2005.
- [4] L. Newman, J. Rowley, S. V. Hoorn et al., "Global estimates of the prevalence and incidence of four curable sexually transmitted infections in 2012 based on systematic review and global reporting," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 10, no. 12, Article ID e0143304, 2015.
- [5] S. M. Garland and S. N. Tabrizi, "Diagnosis of sexually transmitted infections (STI) using self-collected non-invasive specimens," *Sexual Health*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 121–126, 2004.
- [6] P. Mayaud and D. Mabey, "Approaches to the control of sexually transmitted infections in developing countries: old problems and modern challenges," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 174–182, 2004.
- [7] P. Paudyal, C. Llewellyn, J. Lau, M. Mahmud, H. Smith, and J. L. Clark, "Obtaining self-samples to diagnose curable sexually transmitted infections: a systematic review of patients' experiences," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 10, no. 4, Article ID e0124310, 2015.
- [8] D. A. Cohen, M. Nsuami, R. B. Etame et al., "A schoolbased Chlamydia control program using DNA amplification technology," *Pediatrics*, vol. 101, no. el, 1998.
- [9] H. C. Wiesenfeld, D. L. B. Lowry, R. P. Heine et al., "Selfcollection of vaginal swabs for the detection of chlamydia, gonorrhea, and trichomoniasis: opportunity to encourage sexually transmitted disease testing among adolescents," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 321–325, 2001.
- [10] S. A. Lippman, H. E. Jones, C. G. Luppi, A. A. Pinho, M. A. M. S. Veras, and J. H. H. M. Van De Wijgert, "Home-based self-sampling and self-testing for sexually transmitted infections: Acceptable and feasible alternatives to provider-based screening in low-income women in São Paulo, Brazil," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 421–428, 2007.
- [11] J. M. Pimenta, M. Catchpole, P. A. Rogers et al., "Opportunistic screening for genital chlamydial infection. I: Acceptability of urine testing in primary and secondary healthcare settings," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 16–21, 2003.
- [12] R. L. Cook, L. Østergaard, S. L. Hillier et al., "Home screening for sexually transmitted diseases in high-risk young women: Randomised controlled trial," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 83, no. 4, pp. 286–291, 2007.
- [13] A. S. Graseck, S. L. Shih, and J. F. Peipert, "Home versus clinic-based specimen collection for Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae," *Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 183–194, 2011.
- [14] L. Fajardo-Bernal, J. Aponte-Gonzalez, P. Vigil et al., "Homebased versus clinic-based specimen collection in the management of Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae infections," *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, vol. 9, Article ID CD011317, 2015.
- [15] V. S. Tanksale, M. Sahasrabhojanee, V. Patel, P. Nevrekar, S. Menezes, and D. Mabey, "The reliability of a structured

examination protocol and self administered vaginal swabs: a pilot study of gynaecological outpatients in Goa, India," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 79, no. 3, pp. 251–253, 2003.

- [16] H. E. Jones, L. Altini, A. De Kock, T. Young, and J. H. H. M. Van De Wijgert, "Home-based versus clinic-based self-sampling and testing for sexually transmitted infections in Gugulethu, South Africa: Randomised controlled trial," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 83, no. 7, pp. 552–557, 2007.
- [17] "Institut National de la Statistique, des Etudes Economiques et Démographiques (INSEED), Ministère de L'Économie et de la Planification du Développement. République du Tchad. TCHAD-POPULATION," http://www.inseed-td.net/index.php/ thematiques/statistique-demographique/population.
- [18] "Institut National de la Statistique, des Études Économiques et Démographiques (INSEED), Ministère de la Santé Publique (MSP) et ICF International, 2014-2015. Enquête Démographique et de Santé et à Indicateurs Multiples (EDS-MICS 2014-2015). Rockville, Maryland, USA : INSEED Mai 2016, MSP et ICF International," https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/fr317/ fr317.pdf.
- [19] P. M. Bossuyt, J. B. Reitsma, D. E. Bruns et al., "Towards complete and accurate reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy: the STARD initiative," *British Medical Journal*, vol. 326, no. 7379, pp. 41–44, 2003.
- [20] J. F. Cohen, D. A. Korevaar, D. G. Altman et al., "STARD 2015 guidelines for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: explanation and elaboration," *BMJ Open*, vol. 6, no. 11, p. e012799, 2016.
- [21] L. Robin, R.-S. Mboumba Bouassa, Z. A. Nodjikouambaye et al., "Analytical performances of simultaneous detection of HIV-1, HIV-2 and hepatitis C- specific antibodies and hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) by multiplex immunochromatographic rapid test with serum samples: a cross-sectional study," *Journal* of Virological Methods, vol. 253, pp. 1–4, 2018.
- [22] R.-S. M. Bouassa, M. M. Simaleko, S. P. Camengo et al., "Unusual and unique distribution of anal high-risk human papillomavirus (hr-hpv) among men who have sex with men living in the Central African Republic," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 13, no. 5, Article ID e0197845, 2018.
- [23] H.-S. Choe, D. S. Lee, S.-J. Lee et al., "Performance of Anyplex™ II multiplex real-time PCR for the diagnosis of seven sexually transmitted infections: comparison with currently available methods," *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. el134–el140, 2013.
- [24] Y.-J. Lee, D. Kim, K. Lee, and J.-Y. Chun, "Single-channel multiplexing without melting curve analysis in real-time PCR," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 4, no. 1, article 7439, 2015.
- [25] J. D. Amin, L. T. Zaria, A. U. el-Nafaty, and A. M. Mai, "Genital Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women in a Nigerian hospital," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 146-147, 1997.
- [26] A. Buvé, H. A. Weiss, M. Laga et al., "The epidemiology of gonorrhoea, chlamydial infection and syphilis in four African cities," *AIDS*, vol. 15, supplement 4, pp. S79–S88, 2001.
- [27] A. Buvé, H. A. Weiss, M. Laga et al., "The epidemiology of trichomoniasis in women in four African cities," *AIDS*, vol. 15, Supplement 4, pp. S89–S96, 2001.
- [28] A. Ngandjio, M. Clerc, M. C. Fonkoua et al., "Screening of volunteer students in Yaounde (Cameroon, Central Africa) for Chlamydia trachomatis infection and genotyping of isolated C. trachomatis strains," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 41, no. 9, pp. 4404–4407, 2003.

- [29] G. O. Adeoye and A. H. Akande, "Epidemiology of Trichomonas vaginalis among women in Lagos Metropolis, Nigeria," *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 13, pp. 2198–2201, 2007.
- [30] Z. A. Abdelaziz, M. E. Ibrahim, N. E. Bilal, and M. E. Hamid, "Vaginal infections among pregnant women at Omdurman Maternity Hospital in Khartoum, Sudan," *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 490–497, 2014.
- [31] N. A. Abdelrahim, H. I. Ahmed, I. M. Fadl-Elmula, M. A. Bayoumi, and M. M. Homeida, "Sexually transmitted infections other than HIV/AIDS among women of low socio-economic class attending antenatal clinics in Khartoum, Sudan," *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 781–787, 2017.
- [32] J. R. Landis and G. G. Koch, "The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data," *Biometrics*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 159–174, 1977.
- [33] E. Mortier, N. Doudéadoum, F. Némian, A. Gaulier, and M. Kemian, "Feasibility of cervical smear in HIV-positive women living in Chad," *Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 180–184, 2016.
- [34] D. Blankhart, O. Müller, G. Gresenguet, and P. Weis, "Sexually transmitted infections in young pregnant women in Bangui, Central African Republic," *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 609–614, 1999.
- [35] A. L. Njunda, D. S. Nsagha, J. C. N. Assob et al., "Genital mycoplasmas in women attending the Yaoundé University Teaching Hospital in Cameroon," *Journal of Public Health in Africa*, vol. 2, no. 1, article e16, pp. 68–71, 2011.
- [36] N. R. Agbakoba, A. I. Adetosoye, and I. F. Adewole, "Presence of mycoplasma and ureaplasma species in the vagina of women of reproductive age," *West African Journal of Medicine*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 28–31, 2007.
- [37] G. H. Cassell, K. B. Waites, H. L. Watson, D. T. Crouse, and R. Harasawa, "Ureaplasma urealyticum intrauterine infection: Role in prematurity and disease in newborns," *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 69–87, 1993.
- [38] K. B. Waites, B. Katz, and R. L. Schelonka, "Mycoplasmas and ureaplasmas as neonatal pathogens," *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 757–789, 2005.
- [39] L. Østergaard, B. Andersen, F. Olesen, and J. K. Møller, "Efficacy of home sampling for screening of Chlamydia trachomatis: randomised study," *BMJ*, vol. 317, no. 7150, pp. 26-27, 1998.
- [40] L. Østergaard, B. Andersen, J. K. Møller, F. Olesen, and A.-M. Worm, "Managing partners of people diagnosed with Chlamydia trachomatis: a comparison of two partner testing methods," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 79, no. 5, pp. 358– 362, 2003.
- [41] F. Xu, B. P. Stoner, S. N. Taylor et al., "Use of home-obtained vaginal swabs to facilitate rescreening for chlamydia trachomatis infections: two randomized controlled trials," *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 231–239, 2011.
- [42] H. M. Götz, M. E. G. Wolfers, A. Luijendijk, and I. V. F. van den Broek, "Retesting for genital Chlamydia trachomatis among visitors of a sexually transmitted infections clinic: Randomized intervention trial of home- versus clinic-based recall," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 13, no. 239, 2013.
- [43] A. S. Graseck, G. M. Secura, J. E. Allsworth, T. Madden, and J. F. Peipert, "Home compared with clinic-based screening for sexually transmitted infections: a randomized controlled trial," *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, vol. 116, no. 6, pp. 1311–1318, 2010.
- [44] A. S. Graseck, G. M. Secura, J. E. Allsworth, T. Madden, and J. F. Peipert, "Home screening compared with clinic-based

screening for sexually transmitted infections," Obstetrics & Gynecology, vol. 115, no. 4, pp. 745–752, 2010.

- [45] D. M. Harper, M. R. Longacre, W. W. Noll, D. R. Belloni, and B. F. Cole, "Factors affecting the detection rate of human papillomavirus," *Annals of Family Medicine*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 221– 227, 2003.
- [46] M. F. D. Baay, K. Francois, F. Lardon et al., "The presence of Y chromosomal deoxyribonucleic acid in the female vaginal swab: possible implications for human papillomavirus testing," *Cancer Epidemiology*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 101–103, 2011.
- [47] N. Pant Pai, J. Sharma, S. Shivkumar et al., "Supervised and unsupervised self-testing for HIV in high- and low-risk populations: a systematic review," *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 4, Article ID e1001414, 2013.
- [48] UNAIDS, "UNAIDS data 2017," http://www.unaids.org/en/ resources/documents/2017/2017\_data\_book.
- [49] N. Bessimbaye, A. M. Moussa, D. Mbanga et al., "Seroprevalence of HBs Ag and of anti-HCV antibodies among HIV infected people in N'Djamena, Chad," *Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique*, vol. 107, no. 5, pp. 327–331, 2014.
- [50] N. Teunis, "Same-sex sexuality in Africa: a case study from Senegal," AIDS and Behavior, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 173–182, 2001.
- [51] J. Van De Wijgert, L. Altini, H. Jones et al., "Two methods of self-sampling compared to clinician sampling to detect reproductive tract infections in Gugulethu, South Africa," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 33, no. 8, pp. 516–523, 2006.
- [52] R. Kohli, W. P. Konya, T. Obura, W. Stones, and G. Revathi, "Prevalence of genital chlamydia infection in urban women of reproductive age, Nairobi, Kenya," *BMC Research Notes*, vol. 6, no. 44, 2013.