Biochemical Profiling and Chemopreventive Activity of Phloretin on 7,12-Dimethylbenz (A) Anthracene Induced Oral Carcinogenesis in Male Golden Syrian Hamsters

M. Arokia Vijaya Anand, K. Suresh

Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science, Annamalai University, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The present study was designed to examine the chemopreventive effects of phloretin against 7, 12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene (DMBA) induced buccal pouch carcinogenesis in male golden Syrian hamsters in order to discover resources to improve the traditional medicine. Materials and Methods: Hamsters were divided into four groups of 10 animals each. Group I was served as an untreated control. Group II hamsters were painted with 0.5% DMBA in liquid paraffin on the left buccal pouches three times a week for 14 weeks. Group III hamsters were orally administrated with phloretin at a dose of 40 mg/kg body Weight (b.wt) on days alternate to DMBA application. Group IV hamsters were orally administrated with phloretin alone and served as the drug control. The experiment was terminated at the end of fourteenth week. The experimental animal's tumors were subjected into morphological examination and subsequently screened the pathological changes and estimate the activities of bi-products of lipid peroxidation, antioxidants enzymes and phase I and II detoxification enzyme status. Results: In DMBA alone treated hamster showed increased levels of lipid peroxidation by products, leads to decreased levels of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants status, activities of phase I and II detoxification enzyme status were altered. Normalized the neoplastic changes, decreased the levels of lipid by products, retain the antioxidants and restored the phase I and II enzymes were observed in phloretin administrated animals during DMBA induced oral carcinogenesis. Conclusion: Phloretin has possible chemopreventive role in which modulating the antioxidant and detoxification enzyme status, thereby retarding DMBA induced buccal pouch carcinogenesis.

Key words: Antioxidant, chemoprevention, 7,12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene , lipid peroxidation, oral cancer, phloretin

INTRODUCTION

Oncological diseases are the second leading cause of mortality after cardiovascular diseases worldwide.

Access this article online							
Quick Response Code:	Website:						
	www.toxicologyinternational.com						
	DOI: 10.4103/0971-6580.139805						

According to the WHO prediction, the global incidence of cancer was 11 million with more than 7.6 million deaths, and is expected to increase an incidence of 15.5 million with 11.5 million deaths by 2030.^[1] Oral cancer is one of the most common cancers and it constitutes a major health problem particularly in developing countries and one-half of all head and neck cancer occur in the oral cavity.^[2] Epidemiologic studies have shown that environment and personal habits, particularly tobacco use and alcohol consumption, seem to be major etiologic factors in the induction and progression of oral cancer.^[3]

Address for correspondence: Dr. K. Suresh, Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar - 608 602, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: suraj_cks@yahoo.co.in

Oxidative stress plays a major part in the development of chronic and degenerative diseases such as cancer, autoimmune disorders, rheumatoid arthritis, cataract, aging, cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases.^[4] Human body has several mechanisms to counteract oxidative stress by producing antioxidants, which are either naturally or externally supplied through foods and/or supplements. These antioxidants act as free radical scavengers by preventing and repairing damages caused by ROS, and therefore can also enhance the immune defences and lower the risk of cancer and degenerative diseases.^[5] In recent years, there is an increasing interest in finding antioxidant phytochemicals, because they can inhibit the propagation of free radical mediated oxidative stress and protect the human body from diseases.^[6]

Flavonoids are a diverse group of compounds that are widely distributed in the plant kingdom. These agents and related synthetic analogues mediate a broad spectrum of biological responses, such as anti-allergic, anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant, gastroprotective, anti-viral, anti-mutagenic, and anti-carcinogenic activities.^[7] Phloretin are found exclusively in apples, which are frequently consumed by humans. It has been shown to exert anti-tumor activity through its inhibition of protein kinase C activity and its induction of apoptosis.^[8] Kobori et al., have suggested that phloretin induces apoptosis in B16 melanoma 4A5 cells through the inhibition of glucose transmembrane transport.^[9] However, to our knowledge there is no studies reported with reference to the chemopreventive activity of phloretin in DMBA induced oral carcinogenesis. Hence, the present work designed to study the chemopreventive effect of phloretin against DMBA induced oral carcinogenesis in male golden Syrian hamsters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

DMBA and phloretin were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company, USA. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade, marketed by Himedia laboratories, Bangalore, India.

Animals

Male golden Syrian hamsters aged between 50–55 days were purchased from National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad and was housed in plastic cages. The recommendations of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (Committee for the purpose of control and supervision of experiment on animals (CPCSEA Regn. no. 160/1999/CPCSEA), India) for the care and use of laboratory animals were strictly followed throughout the study. The hamsters were maintained under controlled environmental conditions on alternative 12-h dark/light cycle. Commercial pelleted feed by M/s Kamdhenu Ltd., Bangaluru, and water *ad libitum* were given to hamsters.

Experimental setup

The hamsters were divided into four groups of 10 animals each. Group I animals were served as control, The Group II and Group III animals were painted with 0.5% DMBA in liquid paraffin three times a week for 14 weeks on the left buccal pouches using (No. 4 brush) to induce the oral carcinogenesis. The Group II animals were received no other treatment. Group III animals were orally treated with phloretin (40 mg/kg body weight; dissolved in 0.5% DMSO) starting one week before the exposure to the carcinogen and continuing on alternate days of the DMBA painting until the animals were sacrificed. However, Group IV animals were orally administrated with phloretin alone to exclude any toxic effects. After the experimental period, the animals were sacrificed by cervical decapitation. Biochemical studies were conducted on the plasma, erythrocytes, buccal pouches and liver homogenate of control and experimental animals in each group.

Tumor study

Tumor weight was estimated according to the method of Geren *et al.* Tumor volume was calculated by the formula v = 4/3 (π) × (D1/2) × (D2/2) × (D3/2), where D1, D2, and D3 are the three diameters (mm) of the tumors.^[10] Histological slides were prepared by according to the method of Sankar Ray *et al.* Buccal tissues were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin using a conventional automated system.^[11]

Biochemical determination

After plasma separation, the buffy coat was removed and the packed cells were washed thrice with physiological saline. A known volume of erythrocytes was lysed with hypotonic buffer at pH 7.4. The hemolysate was separated by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 20°C. The erythrocyte membrane was prepared by the method of Dodge et al.,^[12] modified by Quist.^[13] Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), Lipid hydroperoxides and conjugated dienes were assayed in plasma, erythrocytes, and buccal mucosa according to the methods of Yagi,^[14] Jiang et al.,^[15] and Rao and Recknagel,^[16] respectively. The activities of enzymatic antioxidants such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) were estimated by the methods of Kakkar et al.,^[17] Sinha^[18] and Rotruck et al.,^[19] respectively. Reduced glutathione (GSH) was determined by the method of Beutler et al.[20] Vitamin C and E were measured according to the methods of Omaye et al., [21] and Desai, [22] respectively. Cytochrome p450 and cytochrome b5 were measured according to the methods of Omura and Sato.^[23] The levels of phase II detoxification enzymes such as glutathione reductase activity (GR), Glutathione-S-transferase (GST)

and G-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT) were measured by according to the method of Carlberg and Mannervik,^[24] Habig *et al.*,^[25] and Fiala *et al.*,^[26] respectively.

Statistical analysis

Values are expressed as mean \pm SD. Statistical comparisons were performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT). The values were considered statistically significant if the *P* value was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Tumor incidence, multiplicity, burden and neoplastic changes

Table 1 demonstrate the tumor incidence, volume, burden and histopathological changes in control and experimental animals in each group. In DMBA alone treated hamsters, the tumor incidence was found to be 100% and the mean tumor volume and burden was found to be 196.64 mm³ and 978.23 mm. Upon the treatment with phloretin (Group III), the tumor burden, tumor volume was found to decrease significantly (63.17 mm³, 113.21 mm) when compared to that of control animals (Group II). Phloretin alone treated animals (Group IV) did not show any significant variations when compared to control (Group I) hamsters.

Histopathological observation

The histopathological sections of buccal tissue from the control and experimental animals in each group were shown in Figure 1. The buccal tissue of control and phloretin alone treated animals showed a normal histological pattern, whereas, the buccal tissue from the DMBA alone treated animals (Group II) showed massive tumor cell proliferation of the buccal pouch. Simultaneous oral administration of phloretin treated animals (Group III) showed the epithelium was normal, intact and the histopathological examination revealed mild to moderate hyperplasia. The histological investigation of phloretin alone treated animals (Group IV) indicating there were no adverse effects of phloretin on the buccal pouches of experimental animals.

Effect of phloretin on lipid peroxidation status

Table 2 represents the levels of lipid peroxidation (TBARS, LOOH and CD) in the plasma, buccal and liver tissues of control and experimental animals. A significant increase in the levels of TBARS, LOOH and CD were observed in the DMBA alone treated animals (Group II) when compared with control animals (Group I). This was significantly reversed to near normal levels in phloretin (40 mg/kg b.wt) treated animals (Group III). Phloretin alone treated animals (Group IV) did not show any significant variations when compared to control (Group I) animals.

Table 1: Incidence of oral neoplasm andhistological changes in the control andexperimental animals in each group

Parameters	Control	DMBA	DMBA+ Phloretin	Phloretin alone
Tumor incidence	-	100	80	-
Total number of tumors/animals	-	6/(6)	2/(6)	-
Tumor volume (mm ³)	0 ^a	196.64±8.59 ^b	63.17±5.13°	0 ^a
Tumor burden (mm³/animals)	0 ^a	978.23±73.86b	113.21±9.45°	0 ^a
Keratosis	No change	Severe	Moderate	No change
Hyperplasia	No change	Severe	Moderate	No change
Dysplasia	No change	Severe	Moderate	No change
Squamous cell carcinoma	No change	Well dif erent ated	Mild	No change

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD for 10 hamsters in each group. Values not sharing a common superscript let er in the same row dif er signif cantly at P<0.05 (DMRT). Tumor burden was calculated by mult plying tumor volume and the number of tumor/animals. () indicates total number of animals bearing tumors. DMBA = 7, 12 dimethylbenz (a) anthracene



Figure 1: Histopathological evaluation of DMBA induced hamster buccal pouch carcinogenesis. Microphotograph of control animals showing normal epithelium in buccal mucosa. Microphotograph of DMBA alone treated animals showing well differentiated squamous cell carcinoma exhibiting keratin pearls in the connective tissue. Microphotograph of DMBA+phloretin treated animals exhibiting mild hyperplasia and mild dysplasia. Microphotograph of phloretin alone treated animals showing normal epithelium in buccal mucosa

Effect of phloretin on enzymic antioxidants status

Table 3 represents the activity of enzymatic antioxidants in plasma, erythrocyte and buccal pouches of control and experimental animals. The levels of plasma, erythrocyte and buccal pouches of DMBA alone treated animals (Group II) showed a significant increase in the enzymatic antioxidants levels when compared to control animals (Group I). However, the levels were decreased significantly in phloretin treated animals (Group III) when compared with group II animals. Phloretin alone treated animals (Group IV) did not show any significant variations when compared to control (Group I) animals.

Effect of phloretin on non-enzymic antioxidants status

Table 4 show the levels of non-enzymic antioxidants (GSH, Vitamin C and E) in plasma, erythrocyte and buccal pouches of control as well as experimental animals. The non-enzymic antioxidant status was found to be significantly lowered in DMBA alone treated animals (Group II) when compared with control animals (Group I). The alterations in the levels of non-enzymic antioxidants were reverted to nearly control values on the administration of phloretin treated animals (groups III) when compared with group II animals. Phloretin alone treated animals (Group IV) did not show any significant variations when compared to control (Group I) animals.

Effect of phloretin on of phase I and II detoxification enzyme status

The levels of phase II detoxification enzyme (Cyt p450, Cyt b5, GST, GGT, GR) in buccal pouches of control and experimental animal were depicted in Table 5. The levels of Cyt p450, Cyt b5, GST, GR, DT-diaphorase were significantly increased in DMBA alone treated animals (Group II) when compared to control (Group I) animals. In phloretin treated animals (group III), the levels of Cyt p450, Cyt b5, GST, GGT, GR were significantly normalized when compared with control animals (Group I). Phloretin alone treated animals (Group IV) hamsters did

Table 2: The levels of TBARS, LOOH and CD in plasma, erythrocyte and buccal mucosa of control and experimental animals in each group

Parameters		Plasma	-		Erythrocyte	Buccal mucosa			
	TBARS (nmol/ml)	L00H (mmol/ml)	CD (mg/dl)	TBARS (pmol/mg Hb)	LOOH (mmol/mg Hb)	CD (mg/g Hb)	TBARS (nmol/mg protein)	LOOH (mmol/mg protein)	CD (mg/g protein)
Control	2.96±0.26 ^a	9.76 ± 0.98^{a}	7.92 ± 0.78^{a}	1.74±0.17ª	4.85±0.48 ^a	2.84±0.28 ^a	13.34 ± 1.14^{a}	34.97 ± 3.36^{a}	29.51±2.28ª
DMBA	5.71±3.58 ^b	22.83±2.12 ^b	19.77±1.18 ^b	4.84±0.49 ^b	16.73±1.66 ^b	10.13±1.12 ^b	7.81±0.82 ^b	19.63±1.18 ^b	19.39±1.93b
DMBA+Phloret n	3.76±0.34°	14.72±1.19°	11.52±1.18°	3.96±0.39°	12.47±1.32°	7.25±0.83°	9.92±0.18°	25.51±2.26°	23.74±2.18°
Phloret n alone	2.91±0.28ª	9.81±0.82ª	7.99±0.78ª	1.71 ± 0.18^{a}	4.89 ± 0.49^{a}	2.89±0.30ª	13.49±3.35ª	35.01±3.34ª	29.64±2.97ª

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD for 10 hamsters in each group. Values not sharing a common superscript let er in the same row dif er signif cantly at P<0.05 (DMRT). TBARS = Thiobarbituric acid react ve substances, LOOH = Lipid hydroperoxides, CD = Conjugated dienes

Table 3: The activities of enzymatic antioxidants status in plasma, erythrocyte and buccal mucosa of control and experimental animals in each group

Parameters		Plasma			Erythrocyte		Buccal mucosa		
	SOD (U ^x /ml)	CAT (U ^v /ml)	GPx (U ^z /l)	SOD (U ^x /mg Hb)	CAT (U ^v /mg Hb)	GPx (U ^z /g Hb)	SOD (U [×] /mg protein)	CAT (U ^v /mg protein)	GPx (U ^z /g protein)
Control	2.79±0.28ª	0.88±0.08ª	116.05±11.61ª	2.96±0.30ª	1.68±0.16 ^a	19.84±1.97ª	5.64±0.59ª	37.13±3.72ª	5.17±0.54ª
DMBA	1.35±0.14 ^b	0.33±0.03b	83.27±8.33 ^b	1.16±0.17 ^b	0.43 ± 0.04^{b}	10.73±1.08b	2.67±0.27b	17.24±1.71 ^b	17.52±1.73 ^b
DMBA+Phloret n	1.69±0.15°	0.53±0.03°	97.30±7.72°	2.10±0.11°	0.93±0.06°	14.65±1.17°	3.53±0.18°	12.57±1.13°	14.63±1.47°
Phloret n alone	2.81±0.28 ^a	0.89±0.08ª	117.04 ± 1.18^{a}	2.99±0.30 ^a	1.69±0.06 ^a	19.76±1.97ª	5.71±0.56 ^a	38.01±3.82ª	5.21±0.53 ^a

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD for 10 hamsters in each group. Values not sharing a common superscript let er in the same row dif er signif cantly at P<0.05 (DMRT). Units for SOD^X, CAT^Y and GPX^Z are expressed as the amount of enzyme required to inhibit 50% of NBT reduct on, micromoles of H₂O₂ ut lized/second, and micromoles of glutathione ut lized/minute, respect vely. SOD = Superoxide dismutase; CAT = Catalase; GPX = Glutathione peroxidase

Table 4: The levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants status in plasma, erythrocyte and buccal mucosa of control and experimental animals in each group

Parameters Plasma				Erythrocyte		Buccal mucosa			
Vit-E (mg/dl)	Vit-C (mg/dl)	GSH (mg/dl)	Vit-E (µg/mg protein)	Vit-C (µg/mg protein)	GSH (µg/mg protein)	Vit-E (mg/100 mg tissues)	Vit-C (mg/100 mg tissues)	GSH (mg/100 mg tissues)	
1.76±0.18 ^a	1.54 ± 0.16^{a}	29.17±2.29ª	2.83±0.27ª	2.57±0.28ª	55.14 ± 5.52^{a}	1.74±0.18 ^a	1.58±0.16 ^a	8.57±0.83ª	
0.74 ± 0.85^{b}	0.71 ± 0.72^{b}	19.74±1.98 ^b	1.13±0.12 ^b	1.07±0.11 ^b	31.37±3.44 ^b	3.69±0.33 ^b	3.03±0.318 ^b	14.36±1.43 ^b	
0.98±0.92° 1 88+0 18ª	0.95±0.96° 1 57+0 57ª	23.13±1.18 ^c 28 33+2 26ª	1.96±0.18⁰ 2.88+0.28ª	1.82±0.16 ^c 2 58+0 26ª	41.03±3.02 ^c 56.83+5.67ª	2.41±0.31 ^c 1 71+0 19ª	2.84±0.29 ^c 1.61+0.17ª	11.73±1.25⁰ 8.63+0.87ª	
	Vit-E (mg/dl) 1.76±0.18 ^a 0.74±0.85 ^b 0.98±0.92 ^c 1.88±0.18 ^a	Plasma Vit-E (mg/dl) Vit-C (mg/dl) 1.76±0.18 ^a 1.54±0.16 ^a 0.74±0.85 ^b 0.71±0.72 ^b 0.98±0.92 ^c 0.95±0.96 ^c 1.88±0.18 ^a 1.57±0.57 ^a	Plasma Vit-E (mg/dl) Vit-C (mg/dl) GSH (mg/dl) 1.76±0.18ª 1.54±0.16³ 29.17±2.29³ 0.74±0.85 ^b 0.71±0.72 ^b 19.74±1.98 ^b 0.98±0.92 ^c 0.95±0.96 ^c 23.13±1.18 ^c 1.88±0.18 ^a 1.57±0.57 ^a 28.33±2.26 ^a	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Plasma & \hline $Vit-E$ & $Vit-C$ & GSH & $Vit-E$ & $(\mu g/dl)$ & $(\mu g/dl)$ & $(\mu g/dl)$ & $(\mu g/dl)$ & $protein$ & $protein$ & 1.76 ± 0.18^a & 1.54 ± 0.16^a & 29.17 ± 2.29^a & 2.83 ± 0.27^a & 0.74 ± 0.85^b & 0.71 ± 0.72^b & 19.74 ± 1.98^b & 1.13 ± 0.12^b & 0.98 ± 0.92^c & 0.95 ± 0.96^c & 23.13 ± 1.18^c & 1.96 ± 0.18^c & 1.88 ± 0.18^a & 1.57 ± 0.57^a & 28.33 ± 2.26^a & 2.88 ± 0.28^a	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline $Plasma & $Erythrocyte$ \\ \hline $Vit-E$ & $Vit-C$ & GSH & $Vit-E$ & $Vit-C$ \\ (mg/dl) & (mg/dl) & (mg/dl) & $protein$ & $$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline $Plasma & $Erythrocyte$ \\ \hline $Vit-E$ & $Vit-C$ & GSH \\ (mg/dl) & (mg/dl) & (mg/dl) & (mg/dl) & $(\mug/mg$ & $(\mug$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD for 10 hamsters in each group. Values not sharing a common superscript let er in the same row dif er signif cantly at P < 0.05 (DMRT). Abbreviat ons: DMBA = 7, 12 dimethylbenz (a) anthracene, Vit C = Vitamin C, Vit E = Vitamin E, GSH = Glutathione

nomogenate of control and experimental animals in each group											
Parameters		В	Liver homogenate								
	Cyt p ⁴⁵⁰ (U ^x /mg protein)	Cyt b⁵ (U ^v /mg protein)	GST (U ^p /mg protein)	GGT (U ^q /mg protein)	GR (U ^R /mg protein)	Cyt p ⁴⁵⁰ (U ^x /mg protein)	Cyt b⁵ (U ^v /mg protein)	GST (U [₽] /mg protein)	GGT (U ^q /mg protein)	GR (U ^R /mg protein)	
Control	0.87±0.08ª	0.46 ± 0.04^{a}	1.76±0.18 ^a	10.94 ± 1.06^{a}	3.93 ± 0.38^{a}	1.76±0.18 ^a	1.82±0.17 ^a	4.93±0.49 ^a	19.33±1.20 ^a	5.87±0.57ª	
DMBA	2.46±0.24 ^b	0.85 ± 0.08^{b}	3.84 ± 0.35^{b}	19.55±1.20 ^b	6.71±0.66 ^b	3.17 ± 0.30^{b}	2.74±0.28 ^b	1.94±0.18b	8.79±0.84 ^b	2.94±0.30b	
DMBA+Phloret n	1.17±0.21°	0.61±0.08°	2.09±0.32°	12.13±1.18°	5.12±0.62°	2.09±0.31°	2.01±0.21°	2.17±0.29°	12.61±0.94°	3.93±0.31°	
Phloret n alone	0.91±0.09 ^a	0.47±0.04ª	1.78±0.77ª	10.99±1.08ª	3.99±0.39ª	1.81±0.18ª	1.81±0.19 ^a	4.98 ± 0.50^{a}	19.44±1.96ª	5.22±0.52ª	

Table 5: The levels of phase I and phase II detoxification enzyme status in buccal mucosa and liver homogenate of control and experimental animals in each group

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD for 10 hamsters in each group. Values not sharing a common superscript let er in the same row dif er signif cantly at P<0.05 (DMRT). P micromoles of CDNB conjugated with GSH/minute; Q micromoles of p nitroaniline formed/hr; R Micromoles of NADPH oxidized/hr; X Micromoles of cytochrome p450; Y Micromoles of cytochrome b5 DMBA = 7, 12 dimethylbenz (a) anthracene, Cyt = Cytochrome, GST = Glutathione transferase, GR = Glutathione reductase, GGT = G glutamyl transpept dase

not show any significant variations when compared to control animals (Group I).

DISCUSSION

Several epidemiological, clinical and experimental investigations were suggested that the plant based phenolic compounds have beneficial effects on the prevention of many types of diseases, cardio vascular diseases, diabetes mellitus and cancer.^[27] The active principle of phloretin has been effectively inhibited the DMBA induced oral carcinogenesis in experimental animals. In the present study, painting application of DMBA was resulting in oral squamous cell carcinomas; that displayed increased lipid peroxidation, diminished antioxidant status and altered the activities of phase I and II detoxifying agents. Oral administration of phloretin to DNBA treated animals significantly normalized the neoplastic changes and decreased lipid peroxidation, improved the antioxidant status and restored the activities of detoxifying enzyme. In this study, we provide evidence that phloretin administration significantly reduces the malignant abnormalities. This might be due to the inhibitory action of phloretin on DMBA induced oral carcinogenesis in experimental animals.

The developments of DMBA induced carcinogenesis in a hamster model were parallel to the development of oral cancer in human, some of these similarities include progression from a normal stratified squamous epithelium to hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis, followed by development of dysplasia, which progresses in situ and invasive carcinomas.^[28,29] In this study, the findings of the pathological as well as neoplastic changes were documented that DMBA treatments twisted the inflammation, severe hyperplasia, hyperkeratosis, dysplastic changes and welldifferentiated squamous cell carcinoma. However, mild to moderate pre-neoplastic lesions (hyperplasia, keratosis and dysplasia) were observed in DMBA and phloretin treated animals. This might be due to the inhibitory action of the phloretin on DMBA induced oral carcinogenesis in hamsters. Together with these observations are clearly

indicated that the oral administration of phloretin has the ability to reduce the neoplastic changes in DMBA induced oral carcinogenesis.

The direct relationships between lipid peroxidation (LPx) and antioxidant have been well reported in literature,^[30] our results are in agreement with other observations. Exposures of DMBA significantly increased in LPx and decreased in antioxidant status were reported. The increased level of LPx may be due to the poor antioxidant defence or inactivation of antioxidant enzymes in cancerous tissues.[31] These results also compliance with Suresh et al., who have reported that the ultimate carcinogen of DMBA, mediates oral carcinogenesis by inducing an over production of reactive oxygen species; it leads to the over production of LPx.^[32] In the same line of attack, we have been documented an increased levels of LPx levels in DMBA alone treated hamsters. Oral administration of phloretin at a dose of 40 mg/kg b.wt, significantly reversed lipid peroxidation levels to a considerable extent.

Enzymatic anti-oxidant systems consist of SOD, CAT and GPx are act as the principal defence against oxidative damage during the carcinogenesis.^[33] Samy *et al.*, have documented that SOD acts as an effective enzymatic antioxidant during chemical induced carcinogenesis.^[34] CAT catalyzes the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide to improve the status of antioxidant system, which provides a protective effect against reactive oxygen species.^[35] From the study, it has been revealed a significant decrease in SOD activity may be related to saturation of SOD. The decreased level of enzymatic antioxidants levels in DMBA treated animals indicating oxidative stress, which might be the cause of LPx leads to subsequent damage of DNA. Our results were seen this observations.

Non-enzymic antioxidants, such as vitamins C, E and GSH play an essential role in shielding the cells from oxidative stress; it is the another line of defense system against free radical damage.^[36] GSH is the main intracellular antioxidant and its central role in xenobiotic or eicosanoid

metabolism, and also it is maintaining the cell integrity because of its reducing properties and participation in the cell metabolism.^[37] During the detoxifying metabolism of DMBA, GSH in conjunction with GST to detoxifies reactive intermediate species of DMBA and thereby enhancing resistance against oxidative stress.^[38] Further we have been seen a depletion of GSH level has been observed in cancerous animals in response to DMBA mediated oxidative stress in experimental animals. The same lines of observation were documented in DMBA induced oral carcinogenesis.^[39] Oral administration of phloretin to significantly improved the non-enzymatic antioxidant status in DMBA induced carcinogenesis.

The induction of Phase I detoxification enzyme system is considered a potential cancer risk factor due to the activation of procarcinogens to their ultimate reactive forms.^[40] Therefore, the relative induction of phase I enzyme activities by pholretin might be of critical importance for the chemopreventive mechanism of DMBA induced buccal pouch carcinogenesis. Phase II enzymes perform conjugation reactions which help to convert the bio transformed intermediates of DMBA from Phase I into less toxic, water-soluble substances that are easily excreted or eliminated from the body.^[41] Kumar et al., have showed that the anti-carcinogenic activity of dietary phytochemicals is mediated through the induction of hepatic GST, GGT and GR during the DMBA induced carcinogenesis.^[42] Increased activities of phase II detoxification enzymes in animals treated with DMBA alone suggest that the detoxification cascade is stimulated to metabolise as well as detoxify the carcinogenic agent, DMBA. The results from the present study, when combined with the previously reviewed studies, indicate that the bioactive compound of phloretin from apple are able to alter both phase I and II metabolism of xenobiotics and thus may meet the criteria for an ideal chemopreventive drug.

CONCLUSION

Based on the present findings, it may be conclude that the oral administration of phloretin at a dose of 40 mg/kg b.wt effectively regress the DMBA induced cancer progression through the mechanism of triggering of phase I and II detoxification enzyme status in along with the improvement of antioxidants status in DMBA treated male golden Syrian hamsters. Further, molecular biology researches are needed to elucidate the mechanism behind this chemopreventive activity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, India, for providing financial support in the form of Senior Research Fellowship (IRIS Cell No: 2011-08220; Ref no. 45/60/2011/BMS/TRM 21.03.2011).

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization Databank. WHO Statistical Information System. Geneva: World Health Organization 2010. Available from: http://www.who.int/whosis [Last accessed on 2014 Jan 22].
- 2. Coelho KR. Challenges of the oral cancer burden in India. J Cancer Epidemiol 2012;2012:701932.
- 3. Nair U, Bartsch H, Nair J. Alert for an epidemic of oral cancer due to use of the betel quid substitutes gutkha and pan masala: A review of agents and causative mechanisms. Mutagenesis 2004;19:251-62.
- 4. Halliwell B, Whiteman M. Measuring reactive species and oxidative damage *in vivo* and in cell culture: How should you do it and what do the results mean?. Br J Pharmacol 2004;142:231-55.
- 5. Das SK. Free radicals, antioxidants and nutraceuticals in health, disease and radiation biology. Preface. Indian J Biochem Biophys 2012;49:291-2.
- Forman HJ, Davies KJ, Ursini F. How do nutritional antioxidants really work: Nucleophilic tone and para-hormesis versus free radical scavenging *in vivo*. Free Radic Biol Med 2014;66:24-35.
- Middleton E Jr, Kandaswami C, Theoharides TC. The effects of plant flavonoids on mammalian cells: Implications for inflammation, heart disease, and cancer. Pharmacol Rev 2000;52:673-751.
- Kern M, Pahlke G, Balavenkatraman KK, Bohmer FD, Marko D. Apple polyphenols affect protein kinase C activity and the onset of apoptosis in human colon carcinoma cells. J Agric Food Chem 2007;55:4999-5006.
- 9. Kobori M, Iwashita K, Shinmoto H, Tsushida T. Phloretin-induced apoptosis in B16 melanoma 4A5 cells and HL60 human leukemia cells. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 1999;63:719-25.
- Geren RJ, Greenberg NH, Mcdonald MM, Schumacher AM. Protocols for screening chemical agents and natural products against animal tumors and other biological systems. Cancer Chemother Rep 1972;3:1-103.
- 11. Ray RS, Ghosh B, Rana A, Chatterjee M. Suppression of cell proliferation, induction of apoptosis and cell cycle arrest: Chemopreventive activity of vanadium *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Int J Cancer 2006;120:13-23.
- 12. Dodge JF, Mitchell G, Hanahan DJ. The preparation and chemical characterization of hemoglobin-free ghosts of human red blood cells. Arch Biochem Biophys 1968;110:119-30.
- Quist EE. Regulation of erythrocycaste membrane shape by Ca2⁺. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1980;92:631-7.
- 14. Yagi K. Lipid peroxides and human diseases. Chem Phys Lipids 1987;45:337-51.
- 15. Jiang ZY, Hunt JV, Wolff SP. Ferrous ion oxidation in the presence of xylenol orange for detection of lipid hydroperoxide in low density lipoprotein. Anal Biochem 1992;202:384-9.
- Rao KS, Recknagel RO. Early onset of lipoperoxidation in rat liver after carbon tetrachloride administration. Exp Mol Pathol 1968;9:271-8.
- Kakkar P, Das B, Viswanathan PN. A modified spectrophotometric assay of superoxide dismutase. Indian J Biochem Biophys 1984;21:130-2.
- Sinha AK. Colorimetric assay of catalase. Anal Biochem 1972;47:389-94.
- Rotruck JT, Pope AL, Ganther HE, Swanson AB, Hafeman DG, Hoekstra WG. Selenium: Biochemical role as a component of glutathione peroxidise. Science 1973;179:588-90.

- 20. Beutler E, Duron O, Kelly BM. Improved method for the determination of blood glutathione. J Lab Clin Med 1963;61:882-8.
- 21. Omaye ST, Turnbull TD, Sauberlich HE. Selected method for the determination of ascorbic acid in animal cells, tissues and fluids. Methods Enzymol 1979;62:3-11.
- 22. Desai ID. Vitamin E analysis methods for animal tissues. Methods Enzymol 1984;105:138-47.
- 23. Omura T, Sato R. The carbon monoxide-binding pigment of liver microsomes. II. solubilization, purification, and properties. J Biol Chem 1964;239:2379-85.
- 24. Carlberg I, Mannervik B. Purification and characterization of the flavoenzyme glutathione reductase from rat liver. J Biol Chem 1975;250:5475-80.
- 25. Habig WH, Pabst MJ, Fleischner G, Gatmaitan Z, Arias IM, Jakoby WB. The identity of glutathione S-transferase B with ligandin, a major binding protein of liver. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1974;71:3879-82.
- 26. Fiala S, Fiala AE, Dixon B. Glutamyl transpeptidase in transplantable, chemically induced rat hepatomas and "spontaneous" mouse hepatomas. J Natl Cancer Inst 1972;48:1393-401.
- 27. Casto BC, Knobloch TJ, Galioto RL, Yu Z, Accurso BT, Warner BM. Chemoprevention of oral cancer by lyophilized strawberries. Anticancer Res 2013;33:4757-66.
- Chiang CP, Huang WT, Lee JW, Hsu YC. Effective treatment of 7,12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene induced hamster buccal pouch precancerous lesions by topical photosan mediated photodynamic therapy. Head Neck 2012;34:505-12.
- 29. Yang K, Zhang G, Mei J, Chen D, Wu M. Screening and analysis of pathogenic genes during DMBA induced buccal mucosa carcinogenesis in golden hamsters. Oncol Rep 2010;23:1619-24.
- Rajalingam K, Sugunadevi G, Arokia Vijayaanand M, Kalaimathi J, Suresh K. Anti-tumour and anti-oxidative potential of diosgenin against 7, 12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene induced experimental oral carcinogenesis. Pathol Oncol Res 2012;18:405-12.
- 31. Tania M, Khan MA, Song Y. Association of lipid metabolism with ovarian cancer. Curr Oncol 2010;17:6-11.
- 32. Suresh K, Manoharan S, Vijayaanand MA, Sugunadevi G.

Chemopreventive and antioxidant efficacy of (6)-paradol in 7,12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene induced hamster buccal pouch carcinogenesis. Pharmacol Rep 2010;62:1178-85.

- Thirunavukkarasu C, Sakthisekaran D. Effect of selenium on Nnitrosodiethylamine induced multi stage hepatocarcinogenesis with reference to lipid peroxidation and enzymic antioxidants. Cell Biochem Funct 2001;19:27-35.
- Samy RP, Gopalakrishnakone P, Ignacimuthu S. Anti-tumor promoting potential of luteolin against 7,12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene-induced mammary tumors in rats. Chem Biol Interact 2006;164:1-14.
- 35. Sies H. Strategies of antioxidant defense. Eur J Bichem 1993;215:213-9.
- Rahman K. Studies on free radicals, antioxidants, and co-factors. Clin Interv Aging 2007;2:219-36.
- Dickinson DA, Forman HJ. Cellular glutathione and thiols metabolism. Biochem Pharmacol 2002;64:1019-26.
- Sugunadevi G, Suresh K, Vijayaanand MA, Rajalingam K, Sathiyapriya J. Anti genotoxic effect of Mosinone-A on 7, 12-dimethyl benz[a] anthracene induced genotoxicity in male golden Syrian hamsters. Pathol Oncol Res 2012;18:69-77.
- Cerutti PA. Prooxidant states and tumor promotion. Science 1985;227:375-81.
- 40. Percival M. Phytonutrients and detoxification. Clin Nutr Insights 1997;5:1-4.
- 41. Baer-Dubowska W, Szaefer H. Modulation of carcinogen-metabolizing cytochromes P450 by phytochemicals in humans. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2013;9:927-41.
- 42. Kumar R, Kaur R, Singh AP, Arora S. Diminution of hepatic response to 7, 12-dimethylbenz(α) anthracene by ethyl acetate fraction of Acacia catechu willd. through modulation of xenobiotic and anti-oxidative enzymes in rats. PLoS One 2014;9:e90083.

How to cite this article: Anand MA, Suresh K. Biochemical profiling and chemopreventive activity of phloretin on 7,12-dimethylbenz (A) anthracene induced oral carcinogenesis in male golden syrian hamsters. Toxicol Int 2014;21:179-85.

Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None declared.