European Psychiatry S359

Ratchanakarin Psychiatric Hospital, Department Of Psychiatry, Nakhon Phanom, Thailand \*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.911

**Introduction:** Insomnia is one of the most common major health issues during the COVID-19 pandemic. There has been limited evidence that showed the correlation between insomnia and COVID-19 using Google trend.

**Objectives:** To investigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on interest in insomnia, including national mental health by a Google trend analysis that implicitly represents the state of distress and concern for this pandemic.

**Methods:** We examined the Google trend search query data from these sleep-related keywords: insomnia, restless leg, and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) from 1 Jan 2020 to 30 May 2020 and explored the correlation between the internet search volumes for insomnia and the cumulative number of new COVID-19 cases. In addition, we investigated the internet search pattern over time, before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Results:** During the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic between January and May 2020, the Relative Search Volumes (RSV) curves showed that the cumulative number of new COVID-19 cases was significantly correlated with the rising search for these keywords linking to sleep-related conditions as follows: 'insomnia' (r=0.41, p<0.001), and 'restless leg' (r=0.19, p=0.009). However, it was not correlated with the keyword 'OSA' (r=-0.14, p=0.07).

**Conclusions:** These findings emphasize the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on insomnia and the crucial need for public mental health interventions to be offered and accessible. The Google trend could be used as a new tool for public mental health surveillance in a new normal lifestyle.

**Disclosure:** No significant relationships.

Keywords: Insomnia; sleep; Covid-19; Google trend

#### **EPP0744**

## The role of sleep quality in psychotic-like experiences

P. Simor<sup>1,2</sup>\*, B. Polner<sup>3</sup>, N. Báthori<sup>3</sup> and P. Peigneux<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université Libre de Bruxelles, Neuropsychology And Functional Neuroimaging Research Unit At Center For Research In Cognition And Neurosciences And Uni - Ulb Neurosciences Institute, Bruxelles, Belgium; <sup>2</sup>Eötvös Loránd University, Institute Of Psychology, Budapest, Hungary and <sup>3</sup>Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Department Of Cognitive Science, Budapest, Hungary \*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.912

Introduction: Impaired sleep quality is among the most common complaints in psychopathological conditions including psychotic states. The clinical relevance of sleep disruption is, however, notoriously overlooked and considered as a secondary symptom that automatically ameliorates if the mental problem is adequately treated. Nevertheless, research findings indicate that sleep quality has a causal role in the occurrence and maintenance of psychotic states, and instead of being merely the "nocturnal impact" of an underlying mental disorder, shows bidirectional associations with mental health complaints.

**Objectives:** Although the majority of studies examined the links between sleep and psychosis by cross-sectional assessments, sleep quality and psychotic-like experiences both fluctuate from night to night and day to day, respectively, even in non-clinical populations. The prospective assessment of these variables hence allows for the analyses of the temporal (and intraindividual) associations between sleep and psychosis. In our studies, we examined the temporal, bidirectional associations between sleep quality and psychotic-like states.

**Methods:** Across three experience sampling studies with participants from the general population ( N=73/166/60), we assessed sleep quality and daytime psychotic-like phenomena every day for at least two weeks. Using mixed-effects models, we examined if sleep quality predicted psychotic-like experiences the following day, and also if psychotic-like experiences predicted sleep quality the following night.

**Results:** Our findings consistently highlight the dominant direction of prediction from sleep to daytime psychotic-like experiences, whereas the inverse direction is not supported by enough evidence. **Conclusions:** Individuals at risk for psychosis could benefit from sleep-specific interventions that could be integrated into treatment protocols.

**Disclosure:** No significant relationships. **Keywords:** sleep; psychosis; mood; anxiety

### Bipolar Disorders 03 / Ethics and Psychiatry

#### **EPP0746**

# Focus on neuroenhancement: a systematic review and its ethical implications

L. Massa<sup>1</sup>\*, S. Palermo<sup>1</sup>, T. Ivaldi<sup>1</sup>, A. Della Vecchia<sup>1</sup>, F. Mucci<sup>2</sup>, D. Marazziti<sup>1</sup> and L. Dell'Osso<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Pisa, Department Of Clinical And Experimental Medicine, Pisa, Italy and <sup>2</sup>University of Siena, Department Of Biotechnology, Chemistry And Pharmacy, Siena, Italy \*Corresponding author. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.913

**Introduction:** Pharmacological and cognitive neuroenhancement refer to the non-medical use of prescription drugs, alcohol, illegal drugs, or the so-called soft enhancers, to enhance cognition, mood, work or school performance, or to promote pro-social behaviour. Literature on the topic is meagre, and available data only partially enlightens their use.

**Objectives:** The aim of this paper is to review and comment on the available literature on pharmacological neuroenhancement and, secondary, on emotional enhancement.

**Methods:** A systematic review was conducted according to the PRISMA guidelines. Pubmed, Scopus, Embase, PsychInfo and Google Scholar databases were accessed to select English language articles, published from 1980 to April 2020. 11746 papers were initially selected and 123 papers were finally included.

**Results:** Available literature indicates a widespread and increasing use of different kinds of substances, drugs and food supplements mainly with neuroenhancing purposes, especially amongst specific populations of young healthy subjects. The evidence regarding their efficacy is controversial. Further, a limited or no awareness