



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Sexual dimorphism, pattern of third molar and mandibular second premolar agenesis in Indian paediatric orthodontic patients



Apurva Mishra *, Ramesh K. Pandey

Department of Paediatric and Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dental Sciences, King George Medical University, Lucknow, India

Received 29 January 2016; revised 27 October 2016; accepted 22 January 2017

Available online 27 March 2017

KEYWORDS

Tooth agenesis;
Third molar;
Mandibular second premolar;
Paediatric orthodontic patients;
Pattern of agenesis;
Missing third molar

Abstract Objective: To determine and compare the prevalence and pattern of agenesis of third molar and mandibular second premolar in paediatric orthodontic patients of age group 9–15 for sexual dimorphism.

Methods: The digital orthopantomograph was obtained from the archive record of patients of age group 9–15 year. Radiographs of 301 patients were evaluated after taking exclusion criteria into account and were assessed for the presence/absence of third molars and mandibular second premolar. Tooth development evaluation followed the method of Demirjian et al., based on eight stages of tooth formation. The agenesis of third molar in maxilla and mandible between age groups and gender was compared using Chi-squared test.

Results: The rate of agenesis of third molars was observed 36.8% in the present study. Twenty-four (24.3%) percentage of the study population showed agenesis of all the four third molars. The agenesis of third molars was found to be higher among males than females ($p > 0.05$). Prevalence of agenesis of mandibular second premolar was 4.7–5%.

Conclusions: Agenesis of third molars was more commonly seen in the maxilla, having male predilection. Maxillary right third molar was the most commonly missing tooth irrespective of gender.

© 2017 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Evolving with the evolution, the modern human stomatognathic system has undergone many considerable changes. The variation in the number of permanent teeth has drawn the attention of researchers repeatedly, resulting in abundance of literature establishing two generations of pedigrees for missing teeth, prevalence of agenesis in Mendelian population and demographic variation (Garn et al., 1963). According to Bolk's theory of terminal reduction, due to the phylogenetic evolution

* Corresponding author at: Department of Paediatric and Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dental Sciences, King George Medical University, Lucknow 226003, U.P., India.

E-mail address: apurvamishra7@gamil.com (A. Mishra).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

of mankind, the reduction in the distal element of a tooth group occurs more frequently than mesially placed teeth (De Beer, 1953), thus establishing an axiom, that most common missing teeth would be third molar, second premolar and lateral incisor. Another reasoning suggested by Butler's field theory related to mammalian teeth, states that the most mesial situated tooth is the most stable in each morphological class laying down a blueprint for human dental genetics and tooth polymorphism (Butler, 1939). Third molar being last tooth to calcify and erupt shows a large amount of variation with a prevalence of 20–30% for agenesis of at least one third molar (Lavelle et al., 1970). Therefore irrespective of the influence of genetic drift and consanguinity on population, the polymorphism in the number of third molar still holds ground for study and comparison of human population with evolution. Butler in his classic study on horse fossil dentition advocated that reduction in number of teeth in a morphological class is associated with reduction in size of teeth for that class (Butler, 1939). This could be due to varying expression of genes responsible for teeth formation and their eruption and similarly might affect the formation and eruption of other tooth.

Overview of literature reveals abundance of data regarding prevalence of agenesis in adult orthodontic patients (Celikoglu et al., 2010; Upadhyaya et al., (2012); Saravakumar and George, 2015). However, there is still lack of data when orthodontic patients of age 9–15 years are concerned. The present study was aimed to determine the prevalence of agenesis of maxillary and mandibular third molar for each quadrant separately along with agenesis of mandibular second premolar, in paediatric orthodontic patients.

2. Material & methods

The study design was approved by the institutional ethical committee (Reference no. ECM II-B/P19). The digital orthopantograph radiograph (OPG) was obtained from the archive records of patients in age group 9–15 years, who attended the outpatient door in the department of paediatric and preventive dentistry in the time period of December 2014 to April 2015 for orthodontic treatment needs. The rationale behind the use of OPG was that it allowed quick evaluation of both the maxilla and mandible in a single radiographic film and also assessment of the status of unerupted teeth in all four quadrants at the same time. The exclusion criteria were child suffering from any systemic disease, oligodontia, malnourished/underweight children and patients with syndromes. Radiographs of 301 patients were evaluated after taking exclusion criteria into account. Tooth development evaluation followed the method of Demirjian et al. (1973), based on eight stages of tooth formation. The minimum age was set at 9 years for the present study as calcification of third molar starts from 7 to 10 years and crown calcification completes at 12–16 years of age (Massler et al., 1941). The presence of calcification of third molar was determined radiographically for all four quadrants so as for the mandibular second premolar.

3. Results

A total of 301 digital OPG were examined by two different observers for the presence/absence of third molars in all the quadrants and mandibular second premolar. The inter observer reliability was

(kappa) 0.82. The demographic profile showed, out of the total patients' records examined 86.7% were of age more than 10 years and 51.5% were males. The presence/absence of third molar in maxilla and mandible between age groups and gender was compared by using Chi-square test. The p -value < 0.05 was considered significant. All the analyses were carried out using SPSS 16.0 version (Chicago, Inc., USA).

The prevalence of the absence of third molar was found to be highest for maxillary right third molar (28.6%) while least for mandibular left third molar (21.6%) (Table 1). Comparing the study parameter with age, the absence of right and left third molar in maxilla and mandible was observed to be higher among the patients of < 10 years than ≥ 10 and the differences were statistically significant ($p = 0.0001$) (Table 2). Sexual dimorphism with agenesis of third molar showed a marked increase in agenesis of third molars in males as compared to females; however, the difference was not statically significant (Table 3). Comparing the prevalence of bilateral absence of third molars with gender, the higher number of prevalence for the agenesis was found for females as compared to males (Table 4). The order of agenesis for the third molar was observed to be any three third molars missing (5.3%) followed by one third molar missing (7.3%), followed by two third molars (13.3%) missing and all four third molars missing (24.3%) (Table 5).

4. Discussion

In the present study agenesis of third molars was more prevalent in males as compared to females which was in agreement with the study conducted by Kaur et al. (2012), Upadhyaya et al. (2012) but was in contrary to the findings of Sandhu and Kaur (2005) and Sujon et al. (2016) as they observed agenesis to be more prevalent in females. However, the difference was not statistically significant, and this finding of the present study is in concurrence with the studies conducted by Mok and Ho (1996), Endo et al. (2006), Chung et al. (2008), Celikoglu and Kamak, (2012). Alam et al. (2014) reported that third molar agenesis was not influenced by sex.

Celikoglu et al. (2011) concluded that agenesis of all four third molars simultaneously was the most prevalent form of third molar agenesis which was in agreement with the present study (24.3%). The rate of agenesis of third molar in the present study was 36.8%, which was found to be higher than the findings of Nanda and Chawla (1959) (25.8%) but is in agreement with the findings of Sujon et al. (2016) (38.4%).

Agenesis of third molars was more commonly seen in the maxilla than mandible. The finding was in agreement with those of Sandhu and Kaur (2005), Rahardjo (2006), John et al. (2012) and Sujon et al. (2016) but was in contrast to the findings of Nanda (1954), Keene (1965) and Kermani et al. (2002). It is evident that in due course of evolution the size of skull has shrunken from that of ape to modern human being and so has the size of jaws. In an animal study, Yamada and Kimmel (1991) observed that diet and masticatory function had a direct relationship with craniofacial growth, affecting the mandible, which could be responsible for the presence/agenesis of third molar. Thus, it can be postulated that with the advance in evolution, there is reduction in the size of maxilla when compared with mandible.

Intra arch comparison revealed maxillary right third molar to be most commonly missing tooth irrespective of gender which is in agreement with the findings of Sujon et al. (2016), John et al. (2012) followed by maxillary left third molar.

Table 1 Distribution of study parameters of the patients.

Study parameters	Present		Absent		Agenesis percentage
	No.	%	No.	%	
Maxillary right third molar	174	57.8	127	42.2	28.6
Mandibular right third molar	193	64.1	108	35.9	24.3
Maxillary left third molar	188	62.5	113	37.5	25.4
Mandibular left third molar	205	68.1	96	31.9	21.6
Mandibular right second premolar	286	95.0	15	5.0	5.0
Mandibular left second premolar	287	95.3	14	4.7	4.7

Table 2 Comparison of study parameters according to age.

Study parameters	Age in years								<i>p</i> -Value
	< 10				≥ 10				
	Present		Absent		Present		Absent		
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Maxillary right third molar	10	25.0	30	75.0	164	62.8	97	37.2	0.0001*
Mandibular right third molar	13	32.5	27	67.5	180	69.0	81	31.0	0.0001*
Maxillary left third molar	10	25.0	30	75.0	178	68.2	83	31.8	0.0001*
Mandibular left third molar	14	35.0	26	65.0	191	73.2	70	26.8	0.0001*
Mandibular right second premolar	39	97.5	1	2.5	247	94.6	14	5.4	0.43
Mandibular left second premolar	39	97.5	1	2.5	248	95.0	13	5.0	0.48

* Statistically significant.

Table 3 Comparison of study parameters according to gender.

Study parameters	Gender								<i>p</i> -Value
	Male				Female				
	Present		Absent		Present		Absent		
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Maxillary right third molar	89	57.4	66	42.6	85	58.2	61	41.8	0.88
Mandibular right third molar	99	63.9	56	36.1	94	64.4	52	35.6	0.92
Maxillary left third molar	97	62.6	58	37.4	91	62.3	55	37.7	0.96
Mandibular left third molar	106	68.4	49	31.6	99	67.8	47	32.2	0.91
Mandibular right second premolar	151	97.4	4	2.6	135	92.5	11	7.5	0.04
Mandibular left second premolar	151	97.4	4	2.6	136	93.2	10	6.8	0.07

In the present study the order of agenesis for the third molar was observed to be any three third molars missing followed by one third molar missing, followed by two third molars missing and all four third molars missing. However, as per observations made by [Nanda \(1954\)](#), [Hattab et al. \(1995\)](#), [Kruger et al. \(2001\)](#) and [Celikoglu et al. \(2010\)](#) the order of frequency for missing third molars is: single third molar missing, followed by two third molars missing and three third molars.

Overview of literature reveals that from second to third molar, mandibular second premolar shows great variation in differentiation and calcification. The prevalence for agenesis of mandibular second premolar accounts for 2.4–4.3% ([Bergström, 1977](#); [Locht, 1980](#)). [Ravn \(1971\)](#) concluded that agenesis of second premolar could be confirmed at the age of 8–9 years, as premolars rarely calcify after 9 years. In the present study agenesis of mandibular right second premolar was

found to be 5% whereas that of mandibular left second premolar was 4.7%. High prevalence in the present study for the mandibular second premolar could be because of small sample size, conferring the limitation of the present study. Higher prevalence was observed in children of age more than 10 years, which can be explained by the fact that 86.7% of the study population constituted children older than 10 years of age. A marked increase in agenesis of mandibular second premolar was observed in female population when compared to male which is in concurrence with previous studies. [Svinhufvud et al. \(1988\)](#) have explained the selectivity of tooth agenesis in terms of an anatomic rather than an evolutionary model. According to them, permanent tooth agenesis occurs most frequently in the area of the mandibular second premolar. This corresponds to the distal end of the primary dental lamina, and because of its susceptibility to agenesis, this area is called as “fragile” site. Interestingly, however, this site of mandibular

Table 4 Comparison of bilateral agenesis of third molars according to gender.

Study parameters	Gender							
	Male				Female			
	Present		Absent		Present		Absent	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Maxilla	54	34.8	101	65.2	48	32.9	98	67.1
Mandible	46	29.7	109	70.3	43	29.5	103	70.5
All four third molars	40	25.8	115	74.2	33	22.6	113	77.4

Table 5 Distribution of study subjects according to genesis of third molars.

	Number	Percentage
All third molars absent	73	24.3
Any three absent	16	5.3
Any two absent	40	13.3
Any one absent	22	7.3
All 4 third molars present	150	49.8

agenesis appears to be specific for permanent dentition; the loss of second primary molars is rare.

Grahnen (1956) has suggested that tooth agenesis is typically transmitted as an autosomal dominant trait with incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity. Phylogenetic changes in the dentition correlate with functional adaptation. Lavelle et al. (1970) observed that *Homo sapiens* have developed a tendency towards a shortened maxilla-mandibular skeleton compared to their ancestors. The number of teeth diminishes in parallel with these changes in the jaw skeleton. It has been suggested that one incisor, one canine, one premolar, and two molars per quadrant are likely to be the dental profile of future man. Genetic and molecular genetic causes of agenesis have begun to identify genes important in tooth agenesis. The transcription factor genes *MSX1* and *PAX9* were the first genes identified for non-syndromic tooth agenesis. Although both genes affect third molars, a significantly higher frequency of agenesis associated with mutations in *MSX1* than in *PAX9* has been found for second premolars (Vastardis, 2000).

Many studies have been conducted across the world estimating the prevalence of third molar and second premolar agenesis. It is evident the prevalence of tooth agenesis cannot be brought under same umbrella for different ethnic groups because of heterogeneity in gene expression responsible for tooth formation and influence of local and environmental factors. More research should be conducted in direction of mapping inherited conditions for tooth agenesis, to actually assess the penetrance of tooth agenesis in a population.

5. Conclusion

Within the limitation of the present study, it can be concluded that arch size is continuously narrowing as a part of evolution leading to agenesis of third molar, hence rendering it vestigial. Researchers should aim to establish a familial tree for tooth agenesis and genetic linkage for better visualization of futuristic trends in agenesis and narrowing of dental arch.

Conflict of interest

The author has no conflict of interest to declare.

References

Alam, M.K., Hamza, M.A., Khafiz, M.A., Rahman, S.A., Shaari, R., Hassan, A., 2014. Multivariate analysis of factors affecting presence and/or agenesis of third molar tooth. *PLoS ONE* 9 (6), e101157.

Bergström, K., 1977. An orthopantomographic study of hypodontia, supernumeraries and other anomalies in school children between the ages of 8–9 years. *Swedish Dental J.* 1, 145–157.

Butler, P.M., 1939. Studies of the Mammalian Dentition. Differentiation of the Post-canine Dentition. In: *Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond.*, B, vol. 109, pp. 1–36.

Celikoglu, M., Kamak, H., 2012. Patterns of third-molar agenesis in an orthodontic patient population with different skeletal malocclusions. *Angle Orthod.* 82, 165–169.

Celikoglu, M., Kazanci, F., Miloglu, O., Oztek, O., Kamak, H., Ceylan, I., 2010. Frequency and characteristics of tooth agenesis among an orthodontic patient population. *Med. Oral Patol. Oral Cir. Bucal.* 15, e797–801.

Celikoglu, M., Bayram, M., Nur, M., 2011. Patterns of third-molar agenesis and associated dental anomalies in an orthodontic population. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.* 140, 856–860.

Chung, C.J., Han, J.H., Kim, K.H., 2008. The pattern and prevalence of hypodontia in Koreans. *Oral Dis.* 14, 620–625.

De Beer, G.R., 1953. *Embryos and Ancestors*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 58–59.

Demirjian, A., Goldstein, H., Tanner, J.M., 1973. A new system of dental age assessment. *Hum. Biol.* 42, 211–227.

Endo, T., Ozoe, R., Kubota, M., Akiyama, M., Shimooka, S., 2006. A survey of hypodontia in Japanese orthodontic patients. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofacial Orthop.* 129, 29–35.

Garn, Stanley M., Lewis, Arthur B., Vicinus, Joan H., 1963. Third molar polymorphism and its significance to dental genetics. *J. Dent. Res.* 6, 1344–1364.

Grahnen, H., 1956. Hypodontia in the permanent dentition: a clinical and genetical investigation. *Odont. Revy.* 7, 1–100.

Hattab, F.N., Rawashdeh, M.A., Fahmy, M.S., 1995. Impaction status of third molars in Jordanian students. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. Oral Radiol. Endod.* 79, 24–29.

John, J., Nambiar, P., Mani, S.A., Mohamed, N.H., Ahmad, N.F., Murad, N.A., 2012. Third molar agenesis among children and youths from three major races of Malaysians. *J. Dent Sci.* 7, 211–217.

Kaur, B., Sheikh, S., Pallagatti, S., 2012. Radiographic assessment of agenesis of third molars and para-radicular third molar radiolucencies in population of age group 18–25 years old – a radiographic survey. *Arch. Oral Res.* 8, 13–18.

- Keene, H.J., 1965. The relationship between the third molars agenesis and morphological variability of the molar teeth. *Angle Orthod.* 35, 289–298.
- Kermani, H.T., Kapur, R., Sciote, J., 2002. Tooth agenesis and craniofacial morphology in an orthodontic population. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofacial Orthop.* 122, 39–47.
- Kruger, E., Thomson, W.M., Konthasinghe, P., 2001. Third molar outcomes from age 18 to 26: findings from a population-based New Zealand longitudinal study. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. Oral Radiol. Endod.* 95, 150–155.
- Lavelle, C.L., Ashton, E.H., Flinn, R.M., 1970. Cusp pattern, tooth size and third molar agenesis in the human mandibular dentition. *Arch. Oral Biol.* 15, 227–237.
- Locht, S., 1980. Panoramic radiographic examination of 704 Danish children aged 9–10 years. *Commun. Dent. Oral Epidemiol.* 8, 375–378.
- Massler, M., Schour, I., Poncher, H.G., 1941. Development pattern of the child as reflected in the calcification pattern of the teeth. *Am. J. Dis Child.* 62, 33–67.
- Mok, Y.Y., Ho, K.K., 1996. Congenitally absent third molars in 12–16 years old Singaporean-Chinese patients: a retrospective radiographic study. *Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore* 25, 828–830.
- Nanda, R.S., 1954. Agenesis of third molar in man. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofacial Orthop.* 40, 698–706.
- Nanda, R.S., Chawla, T.N., 1959. Status of third molar teeth. *J. A. Ind. Dent. Assoc.* 31, 19–29.
- Rahardjo, P., 2006. Prevalence of hypodontia in Chinese orthodontic population. *Dent. J. (Maj. Ked. Gigi)* 39, 147–150.
- Ravn, J.J., 1971. Aplasia, supernumerary teeth and fused teeth in the primary dentition: an epidemiologic study. *Scand. J. Dent Res.* 79, 1–6.
- Sandhu, S., Kaur, T., 2005. Radiographic evaluation of the status of third molars in the Asian Indian students. *J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.* 63, 640–645.
- Saravakumar, George, Sosa, 2015. Agenesis of third molars and its aetiology in Tamil Nadu population. *Indian J. Dental Adv.* 7 (3), 165.
- Sujon, M.K., Alam, M.K., Rahman, S.A., 2016. Prevalence of third molar agenesis: associated dental anomalies in non-syndromic 5923 patients. *PLoS ONE* 11 (8), e0162070.
- Svinhufvud, E., Myllarniemi, S., Norio, R., 1988. Dominant inheritance of tooth malpositions and their association to hypodontia. *Clin. Genet.* 34, 373–381.
- Upadhyaya, C., Adhikari, B.R., Kafle, D., Humagain, M., 2012. Agenesis of third molars in orthodontic patients attending Dhulikhel Hospital. *Orthodontic J. Nepal.* 2, 32–35.
- Vastardis, Heleni, 2000. The genetics of human tooth agenesis: new discoveries for understanding dental anomalies. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.* 117, 650–656.
- Yamada, K., Kimmel, D.B., 1991. The effect of dietary consistency on bone mass and turnover in the growing rat mandible. *Arch. Oral Biol.* 36, 129–138.