

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Metformin to Prevent Anthracycline Cardiotoxicity?

That Would Be Sweet!*

Malak El-Rayes, MD,^{a,b,c} Michelle B. Nadler, MD, MSc,^{d,e} Husam Abdel-Qadir, MD, PhD^{a,e,f,g,h}



Despite the emergence of novel therapies, anthracyclines remain a cornerstone of treatment for many malignancies but increase the risk of heart failure (HF).¹ This has generated interest in the primary prevention of anthracycline cardiotoxicity, with limited success for most approaches except dexrazoxane,^{2,3} where use is limited by availability, cost, and need for intravenous administration. Diabetes mellitus (DM) is associated with a more than 2-fold increased risk of HF and cardiovascular death in anthracycline-treated patients.⁴ DM is also associated with worse prognosis after HF onset.⁵ Metformin, the most commonly used first-line therapy for type 2 DM,⁶ is associated with improved HF outcomes in observational studies outside the cancer setting.⁷ Mouse models suggest adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase activation by metformin is

protective against anthracycline-mediated apoptosis and cardiotoxicity.⁸ However, there are little data on the use of metformin as a cardioprotective strategy in patients with DM treated with anthracyclines.

In this issue of *JACC: CardioOncology*, Takeshi et al⁹ report on a retrospective cohort study of patients with DM and malignancy who were treated with anthracyclines at their hospital between 2008 and 2021. They examine the association of metformin use with new-onset HF (primary outcome) and all-cause mortality (secondary outcome). Breast cancer and lymphoma were the most common malignancies. Propensity scores (PSs) were used to match 175 metformin-treated patients to 175 patients treated for DM without metformin. The PS model included risk factors for the development of HF, and the matched sample was well-balanced on important characteristics. The cumulative anthracycline dose was not meaningfully different between groups (median dose = 231 mg/m² [quartile (Q)1-Q3: 148-297] in metformin-treated patients and 220 mg/m² [Q1-Q3: 130-250] in controls). The use of sulfonylureas (which potentially increase the risk of HF) and sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (protective in HF) was similar between groups. Participants were followed for a median of 1.7 years.

The resulting matched cohorts had an overall high incidence of HF (7.1% at 1 year), which is higher than typically observed in anthracycline-treated patients (<5% per year).¹ This incidence of HF likely reflects the higher risk incurred by DM and other cardiovascular risk factors within the cohort. After PS matching, metformin-treated patients had a lower incidence of HF compared to patients not treated with metformin at 1 year (3.6% vs 10.5 %; $P = 0.022$) and at 5 years (8.1 vs 15.7%;

*Editorials published in *JACC: CardioOncology* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: CardioOncology* or the American College of Cardiology.

^aDepartment of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Ted Rogers Program in Cardiotoxicity Prevention, Peter Munk Cardiac Center, Toronto General Hospital, University Health Network, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ^bDepartment of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Centre intégré de santé et de services sociaux de Laval, Hôpital Cité de la Santé, Laval, Quebec, Canada; ^cDepartment of Medicine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Quebec, Canada; ^dDivision of Medical Oncology and Hematology, Department of Medicine, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ^eDepartment of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ^fWomen's College Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ^gICES (formerly known as the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences), Toronto, Ontario, Canada; and the ^hUniversity of Toronto, Institute of Health Policy, Management, and Evaluation, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the [Author Center](#).

$P = 0.026$). This translated to a HR of 0.35 (95% CI: 0.14-0.90) at 1 year and 0.44 (95% CI: 0.21-0.93) at 5 years for HF in favor of metformin use. These observations suggest that metformin may be protective against symptomatic HF in higher-risk patients with DM undergoing anthracycline therapy. Metformin use was also associated with a lower incidence of all-cause mortality during the follow-up period (HR: 0.71; 95% CI: 0.50-1.00; $P = 0.049$).

The study has several strengths, including the incorporation of a comprehensive list of relevant variables and the use of objective criteria for the definition of HF after review by 2 cardiologists. However, enthusiasm should be tempered by the retrospective and observational nature of the study, which carries the potential for residual confounding. There are likely important systematic differences underlying the reason for people to be treated for DM with or without metformin. It is hard to envision the profile of the patients who are treated for DM without metformin while remaining comparable to their metformin-treated counterparts. Metformin is recommended as the first-line therapy in patients with type 2 DM by all major treatment guidelines given its long track record of efficacy, tolerability, safety, and cost-effectiveness.⁶ The proportion of patients with type 1 DM in the nonmetformin group was not specified.

Although the groups were balanced in measured risk factors at baseline, it is possible that metformin may have been avoided in people with a history of contraindication in the past that was not captured in the baseline demographics or those perceived by clinicians to be at higher risk for a future contraindication. The most common reason for avoidance of metformin is renal failure, but baseline creatinine levels were similar in the 2 groups in the matched sample (0.86 mg/d, standardized difference = 0.08) as were age and sex, making it unlikely that the renal function was systematically different at baseline. It is possible that those patients unexposed to metformin may have had resolved acute kidney injury in the past leading to its avoidance. The study excluded patients with a known prior history of HF, but it is possible that metformin may have been avoided in patients with an unrecognized history of HF or cardiac dysfunction (eg, if treated with recovery outside the institution). More broadly, metformin intolerance (most commonly caused by gastrointestinal symptoms) may be a marker of patients who are more likely

to be frail and therefore at higher risk of HF and death than patients who were not on metformin therapy. The healthy user effect¹⁰ wherein healthier people are more likely to start medications than those with comorbidities is well-documented in several other settings. If such systematic differences existed within this group, they may explain the lower risk of HF and death associated with metformin.

Nonetheless, the results of the study are intriguing, suggesting a large benefit (HR: 0.35-0.44) for metformin in reducing the incidence of HF in patients with DM treated with anthracyclines. These findings will need to be confirmed with randomized controlled trials (RCTs) before these data can be incorporated clinically. Recently, Serageldin et al¹¹ reported an RCT (NCT04170465) of 70 women without DM who were treated for breast cancer in the adjuvant setting with 240 mg/m² of doxorubicin and who were randomized to metformin (1,700 mg/d) or control. The baseline left ventricular ejection fraction was similar in both groups, whereas the left ventricular ejection fraction at treatment end was minimally numerically higher in the metformin arm, although statistically significant (65.9% vs 62.2%; $P = 0.0007$).

We also note that several RCTs have yielded mixed results regarding the efficacy of metformin for either the prevention of cancer recurrence or improvement in progression-free survival¹² despite observational data suggesting benefit with metformin. This would prompt skepticism about the mortality benefit observed in this trial because early mortality is expected to be driven by cancer outcomes unless there is a high contribution from cardiovascular disease in this higher-risk cohort with DM. These RCTs with prospectively collected data may provide an opportunity to pool available adverse cardiovascular event data to further study the impact of metformin on cardiotoxicity. A review of available adverse events data from these studies suggests frequent gastrointestinal side effects in cancer patients receiving metformin. Therefore, caution is warranted regarding the use of metformin for cardioprotection in the absence of an established indication because it may adversely impact the delivery of cancer therapy despite the perception that it is a low-risk intervention. If new RCTs are pursued, it may be wise to begin with populations at high risk of HF and/or those receiving high doses of anthracyclines given the limited success of prior studies of cardioprotection in all-comers.

Furthermore, future studies will need to address whether the potential protective role of metformin can be applied to patients without DM.

and Jazz Pharmaceuticals. Dr El-Rayes has reported that she has no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

Dr Nadler is supported by speaker honorarium and consulting fees from Novartis and Exact Sciences outside of the scope of this submitted work. Dr Abdel-Qadir is supported by a National New Investigator Award from the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada; and has received honoraria from Amgen, AstraZeneca,

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr Husam Abdel-Qadir, Women's College Hospital, Room 6452, 76 Grenville Street, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1B2, Canada. E-mail: h.abdel.qadir@utoronto.ca. Twitter: [@husam247](https://twitter.com/husam247).

REFERENCES

1. Thavendiranathan P, Abdel-Qadir H, Fischer HD, et al. Breast cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction in adult women treated in routine clinical practice: a population-based cohort study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34:2239-2246.
2. Abdel-Qadir H, Ong G, Fazelzad R, et al. Interventions for preventing cardiomyopathy due to anthracyclines: a Bayesian network meta-analysis. *Ann Oncol*. 2017;28:628-633.
3. Henriksen PA, Rankin S, Lang NN. Cardioprotection in patients at high risk of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. *J Am Coll Cardiol Cardioonc*. 2023;5:292-297.
4. Wang L, Tan TC, Halpern EF, et al. Major cardiac events and the value of echocardiographic evaluation in patients receiving anthracycline-based chemotherapy. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015;116:442-446.
5. MacDonald MR, Petrie MC, Varyani F, et al. Impact of diabetes on outcomes in patients with low and preserved ejection fraction heart failure: an analysis of the Candesartan in Heart failure: Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and morbidity (CHARM) programme. *Eur Heart J*. 2008;29:1377-1385.
6. ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, et al. 9. Pharmacologic approaches to glycemic treatment: Standards of Care in Diabetes—2023. *Diabetes Care*. 2022;46:S140-S157.
7. Tseng CH. Metformin use is associated with a lower risk of hospitalization for heart failure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a retrospective cohort analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2019;8:e011640.
8. Zhang S, Wei X, Zhang H, et al. Doxorubicin downregulates autophagy to promote apoptosis-induced dilated cardiomyopathy via regulating the AMPK/mTOR pathway. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2023;162:114691.
9. Onoue T, Kang Y, Lefebvre B, et al. The association of metformin with heart failure in patients with diabetes mellitus receiving anthracycline chemotherapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol Cardioonc*. 2023;5:674-682.
10. Shrank WH, Patrick AR, Alan Brookhart M. Healthy user and related biases in observational studies of preventive interventions: a primer for physicians. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011;26:546-550.
11. Serageldin MA, Kassem AB, El-Kerm Y, Helmy MW, El-Mas MM, El-Bassiouny NA. The effect of metformin on chemotherapy-induced toxicities in non-diabetic breast cancer patients: a randomised controlled study. *Drug Saf*. 2023;46:587-599.
12. Lord SR, Harris AL. Is it still worth pursuing the repurposing of metformin as a cancer therapeutic? *Br J Cancer*. 2023;128:958-966.

KEY WORDS anthracyclines, cardiotoxicity, diabetes, heart failure, metformin