# Stem Cell Reports



## Germline Stem Cell Activity Is Sustained by SALL4-Dependent Silencing of Distinct Tumor Suppressor Genes

Ai-Leen Chan,<sup>1,2</sup> Hue M. La,<sup>1,2</sup> Julien M.D. Legrand,<sup>1,2</sup> Juho-Antti Mäkelä,<sup>1,2</sup> Michael Eichenlaub,<sup>1</sup> Mia De Seram,<sup>1,2</sup> Mirana Ramialison,<sup>1,3</sup> and Robin M. Hobbs<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Australian Regenerative Medicine Institute, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC 3800, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Development and Stem Cells Program, Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute and Department of Anatomy and Developmental Biology,

Monash University, Melbourne, VIC 3800, Australia

<sup>3</sup>Systems Biology Institute Australia, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC 3800, Australia

\*Correspondence: robin.hobbs@monash.edu

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2017.08.001

#### SUMMARY

Sustained spermatogenesis in adult males and fertility recovery following germ cell depletion are dependent on undifferentiated spermatogonia. We previously demonstrated a key role for the transcription factor SALL4 in spermatogonial differentiation. However, whether SALL4 has broader roles within spermatogonia remains unclear despite its ability to co-regulate genes with PLZF, a transcription factor required for undifferentiated cell maintenance. Through development of inducible knockout models, we show that short-term integrity of differentiating but not undifferentiated populations requires SALL4. However, SALL4 loss was associated with long-term functional decline of undifferentiated spermatogonia and disrupted stem cell-driven regeneration. Mechanistically, SALL4 associated with the NuRD co-repressor and repressed expression of the tumor suppressor genes *Foxl1* and *Dusp4*. Aberrant *Foxl1* activation inhibited undifferentiated cell growth and survival, while DUSP4 suppressed self-renewal pathways. We therefore uncover an essential role for SALL4 in maintenance of undifferentiated spermatogonial activity and identify regulatory pathways critical for germline stem cell function.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Maintenance of male fertility is dependent on germline stem cells within the testis seminiferous epithelium. Stem cell activity in the mouse is restricted to a population of undifferentiated spermatogonia (Figure 1A), generated postnatally from gonocytes (de Rooij and Grootegoed, 1998). The undifferentiated population consists of isolated A-type spermatogonia (Asingle or As) and cells remaining interconnected by cytoplasmic bridges after division; two-cell cysts are A<sub>paired</sub> (A<sub>pr</sub>), while cysts of four or more cells are A<sub>aligned</sub> (A<sub>al</sub>). Steady-state selfrenewal is restricted to cells expressing glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor receptor a1 (Gfra1), predominantly  $A_s$  and  $A_{pr}$  (Hara et al., 2014). The majority of undifferentiated cells, particularly Aal, act as committed progenitors and express neurogenin 3 (Ngn3) and retinoic acid receptor  $\gamma$  (RAR $\gamma$ , Rarg) (Ikami et al., 2015). Switching from stem to progenitor fates involves  $RAR\gamma$  and sensitivity to the differentiation stimulus retinoic acid (RA) (Gely-Pernot et al., 2015; Ikami et al., 2015). Differentiation is marked by induction of c-KIT plus DNA methyltransferases 3A/3B (DNMT3A/3B) and formation of A1 cells that undergo rounds of mitosis to generate A<sub>2</sub>, A<sub>3</sub>, A<sub>4</sub>, intermediate (In), and B spermatogonia that enter meiosis and form pre-leptotene spermatocytes (Figure 1A) (de Rooij and Grootegoed, 1998; Shirakawa et al., 2013).

Undifferentiated cell self-renewal requires glial cellderived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) produced by supporting Sertoli cells, which signals via GFRa1 and c-RET receptors (Kanatsu-Shinohara and Shinohara, 2013). In the presence of GDNF and basic fibroblast growth factor, undifferentiated cells can be propagated in vitro while maintaining stem cell capacity. The transcription factor promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger (PLZF) is an intrinsic regulator of spermatogonial self-renewal (Buaas et al., 2004; Costoya et al., 2004; Hobbs et al., 2010). We have identified a connection between PLZF and the zincfinger transcription factor spalt-like 4 (SALL4) (Hobbs et al., 2012). SALL4 is essential for development and core transcription factor in embryonic stem cells (ESCs) (Lim et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2006). In adults, Sall4 expression is restricted to the germline and detected within undifferentiated spermatogonia (Hobbs et al., 2012). Conditional Sall4 deletion suggested a role in spermatogonial differentiation associated with an ability of SALL4 to sequester PLZF and modulate PLZF targets (Hobbs et al., 2012). Culture-based studies suggest that SALL4 and PLZF coordinately regulate genes involved in GDNF-dependent self-renewal (Lovelace et al., 2016). However, the role of SALL4 within undifferentiated spermatogonia remains unclear.

Through development of a *Sall4*-inducible knockout (KO) model, here we uncover a critical role for SALL4 in undifferentiated cell function and demonstrate that SALL4







#### Figure 1. Expression of SALL4 in Spermatogonia of Undisturbed and Regenerating Testis

(A) Schematic illustrating mouse seminiferous epithelium, spermatogonial hierarchy, and markers of populations.

(B-D) Representative whole-mount IF of wild-type (WT) adult seminiferous tubules. Inset in (C) shows low KI67 in SALL4+  $A_{al}-A_1$  (arrowheads). Arrowheads in (B) and (D) indicate  $A_{al}$  cysts. Insets in (D) show SALL4 in GFR $\alpha$ 1+  $A_s$  and  $A_{pr}$ . Dashed outlines indicate SALL4+ cysts.

(E) Representative whole-mount IF of untreated and busulfan-treated WT mice. Images were taken along the tubule then stitched together. Grayscale of each channel within the indicated regions are shown.

(F) SALL4 staining intensity from (E) using ImageJ. For controls, SALL4 was measured in GFR $\alpha$ 1+ A<sub>s</sub>, A<sub>pr</sub>, and A<sub>al<4</sub>, and GFR $\alpha$ 1- A<sub>1</sub>-A<sub>2</sub>. For busulfan-treated mice, SALL4 was measured in GFR $\alpha$ 1+ A<sub>al>4</sub>. Mean values  $\pm$  SEM are shown (n = 4 mice per condition). At least 100 cells were analyzed from controls and 40 from busulfan-treated mice. \*p < 0.05.

(G) Representative whole-mount IF demonstrating mutually exclusive GFR $\alpha$ 1 and RAR $\gamma$  expression in cysts of regenerating tubules from (E).

Scale bars, 50  $\mu$ m. Dotted lines indicate tubule profile. See also Figure S1.





#### Figure 2. Effects of Acute Sall4 Deletion on Spermatogonial Populations In Vivo

 (A) Representative whole-mount IF of Z/EG; UBC-CreER tubules 7 days after TAM.
(B) Adult Ctrl and Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup> mice were treated with TAM and harvested at the indicated time points. Lower panels: representative whole-mount IF of seminiferous tubules 7 days post-TAM.

(C) Representative whole-mount IF of seminiferous tubules 5 and 7 days post-TAM. Three mice per genotype were analyzed at 5 days and seven per genotype at 7 days. Day 7 control tubules are shown. PreL denotes preleptotene spermatocytes.

(D) Representative whole-mount IF of tubules 7 and 14 days post-TAM. Seven mice per genotype were analyzed. Day 14 control tubules are shown. Insets demonstrate PLZF localization and DAPI identifies nuclei.



suppresses tumor suppressor genes in order to maintain stem cell activity.

#### RESULTS

#### Sall4 Is Dynamically Expressed during Spermatogonial Differentiation and Regeneration

As *Sall4* expression pattern in adult spermatogonia remains unclear (Gassei and Orwig, 2013; Hobbs et al., 2012), we analyzed whole-mount seminiferous tubules by immunofluorescence (IF) (Figure 1A). Spermatogenesis is a cyclic process divided into 12 stages in the mouse (I-XII) and tubules at a given stage contain cells at a specific differentiation step (Figure S1) (de Rooij and Grootegoed, 1998). Undifferentiated spermatogonia are present at all stages. To assist with cell identification, samples were counterstained for glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper (GILZ), which marks spermatogonia and early spermatocytes (Figures 1A and S1) (Ngo et al., 2013).

Sall4 expression was compared with Plzf, which is expressed in undifferentiated spermatogonia and early differentiating cells (A1-A3) then downregulated (Figures 1A and S1) (Hobbs et al., 2012). A<sub>al</sub> and early differentiating cells expressed *Plzf* and *Sall4*, while PLZF +  $A_s$  and  $A_{pr}$  had lower SALL4 (Figure 1B). At late differentiating stages (A<sub>4</sub>-In), PLZF was barely detectable but SALL4 levels were similar to those in A<sub>s</sub> and A<sub>pr</sub>. SALL4 thus marks all spermatogonia but expression peaks in progenitors and early differentiation stages (Figure 1A), consistent with RA-dependent regulation (Gely-Pernot et al., 2015). Sall4 expression in differentiating cells was confirmed by c-KIT staining (Figure 1C) (Schrans-Stassen et al., 1999). Differentiating cells were also strongly positive for KI67, demonstrating mitotic activity (Figure 1C). Importantly, self-renewing GFR $\alpha$ 1+ A<sub>s</sub> and Apr invariably expressed Sall4 although at lower levels than progenitors (Figures 1D and 1E). Sall4 expression is compatible with roles in both self-renewing and differentiating cells.

To test whether *Sall4* expression in self-renewing cells was affected by cellular activity, we treated mice with the DNA-alkylating agent, busulfan, which depletes differentiating cells plus much of the undifferentiated pool and induces regeneration from remaining stem cells (Zohni

et al., 2012). This response is characterized by formation of GFR $\alpha$ 1+ A<sub>al</sub> of 8 and 16 cells, potentially involved in stem cell recovery (Nakagawa et al., 2010). SALL4 was upregulated in regenerative GFR $\alpha$ 1+ A<sub>al</sub> compared with steady-state GFR $\alpha$ 1+ A<sub>s</sub> and A<sub>pr</sub> (Figures 1E and 1F), suggesting a role in germline regeneration. Regenerative GFR $\alpha$ 1+ A<sub>al</sub> were RAR $\gamma$ - (Figure 1G), indicating retention of selfrenewal capacity (Ikami et al., 2015).

## Differential Sensitivity of Undifferentiated and Differentiating Spermatogonia to *Sall4* Ablation

To assess SALL4 function in adults, we developed an inducible Sall4 KO by crossing floxed mice with a line expressing tamoxifen (TAM)-regulated Cre from the ubiquitin C promoter (UBC-CreER) (Ruzankina et al., 2007). While TAM treatment of Sall4<sup>flox/flox</sup> UBC-CreER mice (Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup>) induces body-wide Sall4 deletion, Sall4 expression in adults is restricted to spermatogonia, thus allowing assessment of function within these cells. To assess UBC-CreER activity, we crossed UBC-CreER mice with a Z/EG reporter that expresses GFP upon Cre-mediated recombination (Novak et al., 2000). Seven days after TAM, GFP was induced in GFRa1+ As and Apr, SALL4+ progenitors and c-KIT+ cells, confirming transgene activity throughout the spermatogonial hierarchy (Figures 2A and S2A). GFP was detected in spermatocytes and spermatids but absent from Sertoli cells (Figure S2A). PLZF+ cells expressed GFP at 7 and 60 days post-TAM, demonstrating stable lineage marking of the undifferentiated pool (Figure S2B). GFP was expressed throughout the epithelium at day 60, confirming transgene expression in stem cells (Figures S2B and S2C) (Nakagawa et al., 2010).

*Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> and *Sall4*<sup>flox/flox</sup> control mice were treated with TAM and harvested at different time points (Figure 2B). Depletion of SALL4+ cells in *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> testis by 7 days post-TAM indicated effective gene deletion (Figure 2B). Some SALL4+ cells remained, in agreement with mosaic UBC-CreER activity (Ruzankina et al., 2007). Consistent with a role for SALL4 in maintenance of differentiating cells (Hobbs et al., 2012), *Sall4* deletion triggered almost complete ablation of c-KIT+ KI67+ spermatogonia (Figure 2C). Depletion of c-KIT+ cells in *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> testis was evident 5 days post-TAM but not at day 2 when SALL4 was still detected (Figures 2C and S2D). While

<sup>(</sup>E and F) Flow cytometry of testis cells from Ctrl and  $Sall4^{TAM-KO}$  mice 7 days post-TAM. Populations no. 1 are undifferentiated cells, no. 2 early differentiating cells, and no. 3 late differentiating cells. Graph shows mean percentage of cells in each population  $\pm$  SEM. Four mice per genotype were analyzed. \*\*\*p < 0.001.

<sup>(</sup>G) Representative flow cytometry of EdU incorporation by undifferentiated cells (PLZF+ c-KIT–) from Ctrl and Sall4<sup>TAM–KO</sup> mice 14 days post-TAM as in (E). Only SALL4– cells are included from Sall4<sup>TAM–KO</sup>. Percentages of cells EdU+ are indicated.

<sup>(</sup>H and I) Representative whole-mount IF of seminiferous tubules 7 and 14 days post-TAM. Day 7 control tubules are shown. Arrowheads in *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> indicate *Sall4* null progenitor cysts.

Scale bars, 50  $\mu m.$  Dotted lines indicate tubule profiles. See also Figures S2 and S3.



#### A SALL4 (1) GFRα1 (2) KI67 (3)













(A) Representative whole-mount IF of seminiferous tubules from Ctrl and *Sall4*<sup>TAM-K0</sup> mice 7 and 30 days post-TAM. Images were taken along the tubule and stitched together. Seven mice per genotype were analyzed at 7 days and three per genotype at 30 days. Day 7 control



control and *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> tubules were compared at similar cycle stages, staging was not always possible in KOs due to loss of differentiating cells. In contrast, PLZF+ undifferentiated cells were readily detectable in KOs up to 14 days post-TAM (Figure 2D). From sections 7 days after TAM, 91.5%  $\pm$  4.37% of PLZF+ cells were SALL4+ in controls, while 13.0%  $\pm$  5.01% were SALL4+ in *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> mice (n = 3), confirming gene deletion. Kinetics of *Sall4* deletion in undifferentiated and differentiating cells post-TAM was similar (Figure S2E).

When comparing *Sall4*-deleted and *Sall4*-retaining PLZF+ cells in the KO, a shift in PLZF localization was apparent (Figure 2D). In SALL4+ spermatogonia, PLZF was predominantly nuclear but 7 days post-TAM was present in cytosol and nucleus of *Sall4*-deleted cells. Re-localization of PLZF to the cytosol in *Sall4*-deleted cells was particularly evident 14 days after TAM, indicating that SALL4 loss disrupts PLZF function (Figures 2D and S2F).

To quantify spermatogonial abundance, fixed and permeabilized testis cells 7 days post-TAM were stained for PLZF, c-KIT, and SALL4, and analyzed by flow cytometry (Figure 2E) (Hobbs et al., 2012, 2015). Undifferentiated cells are PLZF+ c-KIT- (population no. 1), early differentiating cells (A1-A2) PLZF+ c-KIT+ (no. 2), and late differentiating cells (A<sub>3</sub>-In) PLZF<sup>low</sup> c-KIT+ (no. 3). Abundance of early and late differentiating cells was dramatically reduced upon Sall4 deletion, while the undifferentiated population was intact (Figures 2E and 2F). Comparable results were obtained 5 and 14 days after TAM (Figures S3A-S3C). Sall4-deleted undifferentiated cells at 7 and 14 days post-TAM incorporated EdU to a similar extent as controls, demonstrating mitotic activity (Figures 2G and S3D). Sall4 KO in undifferentiated cells was confirmed (Figure S3E). Undifferentiated cells therefore tolerate acute SALL4 ablation while differentiating cells cannot. Notably, germline *Sall4* deletion is associated with apoptosis of differentiating cells (Hobbs et al., 2012).

To confirm effects of *Sall4* deletion, we analyzed independent spermatogonial markers (Figure 1A). DNMT3A+ cells were depleted following *Sall4* deletion, confirming loss of differentiating cells (Figure 2H) (Shirakawa et al., 2013). FOXO1+ SALL4– spermatogonia were present in *Sall4*<sup>TAM–KO</sup> mice 7 and 14 days after TAM, demonstrating retention of *Sall4*-deleted undifferentiated cells (Figure 2H) (Goertz et al., 2011). RAR $\gamma$ + SALL4– progenitors also remained following TAM, suggesting that differentiation-primed cells do not require SALL4 for maintenance while fully committed cells do (Figure 2I) (Ikami et al., 2015); consistent with abundant *Sall4*-deleted A<sub>al</sub> (Figures 2D and 2H).

## SALL4 Is Required for Long-Term Maintenance of Germline Stem Cell Activity

While undifferentiated spermatogonia persisted following *Sall4* deletion, steady-state stem cells comprise a minor component and the effects of SALL4 loss on stem cell function were not immediately evident. In *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> testis 7 days after TAM, *Sall4*-deleted GFR $\alpha$ 1+ self-renewing cells were present, but an increased proportion were four- and eight-cell A<sub>al</sub>, resembling a regenerative response (Figures 3A and 3B). Such a response is expected given the depletion of a large fraction of spermatogonia. Kinetics of SALL4 ablation in GFR $\alpha$ 1+ and PLZF+ cells was similar (Figures S2E and S4). As with busulfan, induction of GFR $\alpha$ 1+ A<sub>al</sub> upon *Sall4* deletion was transient and not evident 14 days post-TAM (not shown) (Nakagawa et al., 2010).

At 30 days post-TAM, KO tubules contained regions of active spermatogenesis interspersed with areas almost devoid of germ cells (Figure 3A). Repopulated areas contained SALL4+ spermatogonia, indicating that germline

\*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001; not significant (ns) p > 0.05. Scale bars, 50  $\mu$ m. See also Figure S4.

tubules are shown. Regions of germ cell-depleted and recovering  $Sall4^{TAM-KO}$  tubules at 30 days are indicated. Dashed outline in "b" indicates a GFR $\alpha$ 1+ KI67+ *Sall4*-deleted regenerative A<sub>al</sub>. Arrowheads in "d" mark a *Sall4*-retaining GFR $\alpha$ 1+ A<sub>s</sub>. Dotted lines indicate tubule profiles.

<sup>(</sup>B) Quantification of GFR $\alpha$ 1+ cells/cysts that were A<sub>al4</sub> and A<sub>al8</sub> from the 7-day time point of (A). Three mice per genotype were analyzed and >2 cm of tubules scored per sample. Mean values  $\pm$  SEM are shown.

<sup>(</sup>C) Quantification of PLZF+ cells expressing SALL4 in Ctrl and  $Sall4^{TAM-KO}$  testis sections at indicated time points post-TAM. Three mice per genotype were analyzed at each time point and 100 PLZF+ cells scored per sample. Mean values  $\pm$  SEM are shown.

<sup>(</sup>D) Testis weights of Ctrl and Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup> adult mice 30 days post-TAM. Horizontal bars represent the mean. Three Ctrl and five Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup> mice were analyzed.

<sup>(</sup>E) Representative IF of Ctrl and Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup> testis sections 30 days post-TAM. Inset shows DAPI stain of a tubule portion.

<sup>(</sup>F) Percentage of PLZF/SALL4+ cells from Ctrl testis sections and PLZF+ SALL4- (KO) cells from *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> sections KI67+ 14 and 30 days post-TAM. Mean values ± SEM are shown. Four mice per genotype were analyzed at each time point and 100 PLZF+ cells scored per sample. ns, not significant.

<sup>(</sup>G) Representative IF of Ctrl and  $Sall4^{TAM-KO}$  testis sections 7 and 30 days post-TAM. Arrowheads in insets refer to GFR $\alpha$ 1+ cells scored as KI67+ and KI67-. Three mice per genotype were analyzed at each time point.

<sup>(</sup>H) Percentage of GFR $\alpha$ 1+ cells KI67+ from (G) scored as in (F). Mean values  $\pm$  SEM are shown. Three mice per genotype were analyzed at each time point and  $\geq$  30 GFR $\alpha$ 1+ cells scored per sample.





Figure 4. Analysis of *Plzf* KO and *Plzf/Sall4* Double KO Spermatogonial Phenotype

(A) Representative whole-mount IF of seminiferous tubules from WT and  $Plzf^{-/-}$  mice. Images taken along the tubule were stitched together. Areas of intact and germ cell-depleted (degenerated) seminiferous epithelium are indicated.

(B–D) Representative whole-mount IF of  $Plzf^{-/-}$  and  $Plzf^{-/-}$ ;  $Sall4^{TAM-KO}$  tubules 10 days post-TAM. Three  $Plzf^{-/-}$  and four  $Plzf^{-/-}$  $Sall4^{TAM-KO}$  mice were analyzed. Arrowheads in (C) indicate Sall4-expressing (upper panels) and Sall4 KO (lower panels) undifferentiated cells. Dashed line in (D) indicates regenerative GFR  $\alpha$ 1+  $A_{al}$ .

Scale bars, 50  $\mu$ m. Dotted lines indicate tubule profiles.

recovery was mediated by *Sall4*-retaining stem cells. Occasional *Sall4*-deleted GFR $\alpha$ 1+ cells were found but appeared unable to contribute to regeneration. Therefore, while undifferentiated cells tolerate SALL4 loss, they are functionally compromised as they are progressively depleted and replaced by *Sall4*-retaining cells. Accordingly, <15% of PLZF+ cells express *Sall4* in KO testis 7 days post-TAM, but ~80% are SALL4+ by day 30 (Figure 3C). Despite expansion of *Sall4*-retaining cells, *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> testis weights at day 30 were lower than controls, indicating incomplete recovery of the seminiferous epithelium (Figures 3D and 3E).

Undifferentiated spermatogonia initially remained mitotically active following *Sall4* deletion, but were gradually depleted suggesting defective self-renewal. While increased apoptosis may contribute to depletion of *Sall4* KO undifferentiated cells (Hobbs et al., 2012), mitotic potential of *Sall4*-deleted PLZF+ cells declined over time as indicated by KI67 staining (Figure 3F). The majority of *Sall4* KO GFR $\alpha$ 1+ cells remaining 30 days post-TAM were KI67–, despite abundant *Sall4*-depleted KI67+ GFR $\alpha$ 1+ cells 7 days post-TAM (Figures 3A, 3G, and 3H). These data indicate that *Sall4* loss disrupts long-term

proliferative capacity and maintenance of undifferentiated spermatogonia.

## SALL4 Function within the Spermatogonial Pool Is PLZF Independent

SALL4 is suggested to associate with target genes indirectly *via* interaction with PLZF and other factors (Lovelace et al., 2016). PLZF loss should thus disrupt SALL4 function. However, nuclear retention of PLZF is SALL4 dependent (Figures 2D and S2F), indicating that SALL4 loss disrupts PLZF function. To test whether SALL4 requires PLZF to exert its function and whether disruption in PLZF activity contributes to the *Sall4* KO phenotype, we crossed *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> mice onto a *Plzf*<sup>-/-</sup> background and compared effects of *Sall4* deletion in the presence and absence of PLZF.

 $Plzf^{-/-}$  mice are viable but spermatogonial maintenance is disrupted (Costoya et al., 2004; Hobbs et al., 2010). Adult  $Plzf^{-/-}$  tubules contained areas of active spermatogenesis interspersed with germ cell-depleted regions (Figure 4A). Spermatogenic regions occupied 53.6% ± 2.45% of the tubules (n = 3, ≥ 15 mm scored/mouse).  $Plzf^{-/-}$ ,  $Sall4^{TAM-KO}$ , and  $Plzf^{-/-}$  controls were treated with TAM, and then





#### Figure 5. Identification of SALL4 Targets Using Cultured Undifferentiated Spermatogonia

(A) Method for generating cultures of undifferentiated spermatogonia. Spermatogonia were enriched from *Sall*<sup>4</sup><sup>TAM-K0</sup> testis cell suspensions by  $\alpha$ CD9 magnetic selection and plated onto mitotically inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF). Colonies formed within 1–2 weeks and passaged cells were treated with vehicle (Veh) or 4-hydroxytamoxifen (TAM) then analyzed 4 days later. (B) Representative TE of Veh and TAM-treated *Sall*<sup>4</sup><sup>TAM-K0</sup> cultures as in (A). Gravscale insets show PLZE localization

(B) Representative IF of Veh and TAM-treated *Sall4*<sup>TAM-K0</sup> cultures as in (A). Grayscale insets show PLZF localization. (C) Representative images of Veh and TAM-treated *Sall4*<sup>TAM-K0</sup> cultures as in (B). Insets show higher magnification of indicated areas. Mean numbers of cleaved-PARP+ cells per colony are in upper graph. Fifty colonies scored per culture and condition. Lower graph shows relative KI67 levels quantified using ImageJ. One hundred cells scored per culture and condition. Mean values  $\pm$  SEM from three independent cultures are shown.

(legend continued on next page)



spermatogenic areas (containing GILZ+ germ cells) were analyzed 10 days later. While c-KIT+ differentiating cells were present in *Plzf*<sup>-/-</sup> tubules, *Sall4* deletion in a *Plzf*<sup>-/-</sup> background resulted in c-KIT+ cell depletion, as occurs in a wild-type (WT) background (Figure 4B). E-cadherin+ undifferentiated cells were largely unaffected by *Sall4* deletion in a *Plzf*<sup>-/-</sup> background, as when *Plzf* is expressed (Figure 4C) (Tokuda et al., 2007). *Sall4/Plzf* KO undifferentiated cells were often KI67+ indicating mitotic activity. As in a WT setting, GFR $\alpha$ 1+ stem cells persisted following *Sall4* loss in a *Plzf*<sup>-/-</sup> background and were often A<sub>al</sub>, suggesting a regenerative response (Figure 4D). GFR $\alpha$ 1+ cells were primarily A<sub>s</sub> and A<sub>pr</sub> in *Plzf*<sup>-/-</sup> controls.

Acute response of spermatogonia to Sall4 deletion was similar whether Plzf was expressed or not, suggesting that SALL4 function is independent of PLZF. Effects of Sall4 deletion on long-term undifferentiated cell maintenance in a  $Plzf^{-/-}$  background was difficult to assess as Plzf loss itself causes germline depletion. However, undifferentiated cells remained active in  $Plzf^{-/-}$  tubules at 6–8 weeks, while undifferentiated cell function was lost within 30 days of Sall4 deletion (Figure 3A), supportive of a PLZF-independent role. In addition, alterations in PLZF activity do not contribute substantially to the Sall4 KO phenotype. Given PLZF induction during spermatogonial development (Costoya et al., 2004),  $Plzf^{-/-}$  undifferentiated cells may be developmentally abnormal. Definitive assessment of the role of PLZF in adult undifferentiated cells awaits an inducible KO model.

## Identification of SALL4 Targets in Undifferentiated Spermatogonia

Having uncovered a role for SALL4 in undifferentiated cells, we sought to define relevant targets. Cultures of undifferentiated spermatogonia were generated from untreated *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> adults that allowed *Sall4* ablation *in vitro* (Figure 5A) (Hobbs et al., 2010; Seandel et al., 2007). Transplantation of GFP-labeled WT lines confirmed stem cell maintenance *in vitro* (Figure S5A). Cultured *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> cells expressed *Plzf*, *Sall4*, *Gfra1* and *Gilz* (Figure 5B). *Sall4* was deleted in >90% of cells by 4-hydroxy-

TAM, while expression of *Plzf*, *Gfra1* and *Gilz*, were maintained (Figure 5B). PLZF became predominantly cytosolic upon *Sall4* deletion (Figure 5B), as *in vivo*.

A large fraction of cultured *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> cells persisted following *Sall4* deletion, but increased cleaved-PARP+ apoptotic cells were evident 4 days after TAM compared with vehicle-treated controls (Figure 5C). Proliferation was inhibited as demonstrated by KI67 (Figure 5C). Cleaved-PARP+ cells were observed infrequently in TAMtreated cultures from UBC-CreER mice, and KI67 levels were unaffected, excluding Cre-dependent effects (Figure S5B). While effects of *Sall4* deletion were more pronounced in culture than *in vivo*, our data confirm that undifferentiated cell survival and mitotic activity are SALL4 dependent.

To characterize SALL4 targets, TAM-treated Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup> cultures were analyzed by microarray (Table S1). Expression of 496 annotated genes was altered in Sall4-depleted cells. Identified genes are involved in metabolic, cellular, and developmental processes (Figure S5C). Altered expression of a selection was confirmed by qRT-PCR (Figure 5D). Candidates were selected according to roles in proliferation and apoptosis (Card11, Fbxw13, Foxl1, Id1, Pmaip1, and Tox3), transcriptional regulation (Dmrt2, Egr1, Gfi1, and Onecut2), and signaling (Dusp4 and Inpp5a). SALL4 targets in ESCs (Ctgf, Dusp4, Ifitm1, Klf5, Tdgf1, and Upp1) and genes with roles in undifferentiated spermatogonia (Cxcl12 and Ddit4/Redd1) were included (Hobbs et al., 2010; Kanatsu-Shinohara and Shinohara, 2013; Lim et al., 2008; Rao et al., 2010). Ddx4 (Vasa) and Pou5f1 (Oct4), markers of germline and undifferentiated cells, respectively, were used as controls (Hobbs et al., 2010). While changes in candidate mRNA were confirmed, expression of some genes (Id1, Egr1, Klf5, and Onecut2) was not affected at the protein level (Figure 5E). Importantly, Dusp4, Fox11, and Gfi1 were upregulated at mRNA and protein levels in Sall4-deleted cells (Figures 5D and 5E). Transcription factor FOXL1 was low in vehicle-treated cells by IF but upregulated upon Sall4 deletion (Figure 5F). The phosphatase DUSP4 was detectable in a subset of control cells but elevated in Sall4-deleted cells (Figure 5G). TAM-treated

(I) qRT-PCR of SALL4-regulated genes in undifferentiated cells isolated as in (H). mRNA levels are corrected to *b*-actin and normalized to Ctrl. Ddx4/Vasa and Pou5f1/Oct4 are included as controls. Mean values  $\pm$  SEM are shown (n = 6 mice per genotype). \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001. Scale bars, 50 µm. See also Figure S5 and Table S1.

<sup>(</sup>D) qRT-PCR of SALL4-regulated genes from microarray analysis.  $Sall4^{TAM-KO}$  cultures were treated with Veh or TAM as in (B). mRNA levels are corrected to *b-actin* and normalized to Veh-treated sample. Levels of germline marker Ddx4/Vasa and undifferentiated cell marker Pou5f1/Oct4 are included as controls. Five independent cultures were analyzed. Mean values are shown  $\pm$  SEM.

<sup>(</sup>E) Western blot of three independent Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup> cultures treated as in (B). Molecular weights (kDa) are indicated. VASA and  $\beta$ -ACTIN were used as loading controls.

<sup>(</sup>F and G) Representative IF of Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup> cultures treated as in (B). Dashed line indicates Sall4-deleted cells expressing high DUSP4 levels. (H) Representative flow cytometry of Ctrl and Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup> testis cells 7 days post-TAM. Percentages of EpCAM+ cells within c-KIT-  $\alpha$ 6-integrin+ undifferentiated gate are indicated.





#### Figure 6. SALL4 Targets Regulate Activity of Cultured Undifferentiated Spermatogonia

(A–C) Analysis of SALL4 binding to *Foxl1* (A), *Dusp4* (B), and *Sall3* (C) in WT cultured undifferentiated cells by ChIP-qPCR. Top panels depict promoter regions and first exon (E1) of genes. Arrows indicate transcription start sites (TSS) and red bars ChIP amplicons. Blue lines are SALL4 binding motifs and green lines PLZF motifs from cultured spermatogonia. Orange lines are SALL4 motifs from ESCs. Graphs show relative enrichment of amplicons from four independent lines normalized to negative control region of *H1foo* not targeted by SALL4 (-ve Ctrl). IgG controls are included. Mean values ± SEM are shown.

(legend continued on next page)



UBC-CreER cells did not upregulate FOXL1 or DUSP4, controlling for effects of Cre (Figures S5D and S5E).

We next validated whether Sall4 loss in vivo was associated with altered expression of candidates. Respective antibodies performed poorly in tissue IF, so undifferentiated cells were sorted from TAM-treated Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup> and Sall4<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice for qRT-PCR. The EpCAM+ c-KIT-  $\alpha$ 6integrin+ testis fraction comprises a pure population of PLZF+ undifferentiated cells with transplantation capabilities (Takubo et al., 2008). We confirmed enrichment of Gfra1-expressing cells in this fraction, and also high levels of CD9, a stem cell-associated marker (Figures S5F–S5H) (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al., 2004). EpCAM+ c-KIT- α6integrin+ cells were present as anticipated in Sall4<sup>TAM-KO</sup> testis 7 days post-TAM, while c-KIT+ differentiating populations were disrupted (Figures 5H and S5I). Genes aberrantly expressed upon Sall4 deletion in vitro (Dusp4, Egr1, Fbxw13, Foxl1, Gfi1, Id1, Inpp5a, and Onecut2) displayed similar perturbations in sorted undifferentiated cells (Figure 5I). Combined, our data revealed SALL4-regulated genes in undifferentiated spermatogonia.

## SALL4 Inhibits *Foxl1* and *Dusp4* Expression by Binding Proximal Promoter Elements

Notable genes were aberrantly expressed in undifferentiated cells upon *Sall4* loss. *Foxl1* (forkhead box L1) encodes a transcription factor with pro-apoptotic and growthsuppressive roles (Chen et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2013). *Dusp4* (dual specificity phosphatase 4) encodes an inhibitor of MAPK signaling that promotes senescence (Hijiya et al., 2016; Schmid et al., 2015; Tresini et al., 2007). Growth-suppressive roles of FOXL1 and DUSP4 in multiple cell types suggested that increased expression would disrupt undifferentiated cell activity. We next tested the ability of SALL4 to regulate these genes and effects of increased expression on undifferentiated cells.

Genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) approaches indicated that SALL4 associates with Dusp4 regulatory regions in ESCs and Dusp4 and Foxl1 promoters in some spermatogonial samples (Lim et al., 2008; Lovelace et al., 2016). However, the ability of SALL4 to target these genes has not been confirmed. As distinct SALL4 DNA binding motifs have been characterized in ESCs and spermatogonia (Lim et al., 2008; Lovelace et al., 2016; Rao et al., 2010), we analyzed *Foxl1* and *Dusp4* promoters for SALL4 recognition elements. Motifs from spermatogonia were present around and upstream of transcription start sites (TSS) of both genes, while motifs from ESCs were not (Figures 6A and 6B). As SALL4 may bind genes indirectly through PLZF and DMRT1, we scanned promoters for PLZF and DMRT1 sites (Lovelace et al., 2016). The Foxl1 promoter contained one PLZF site, but that of Dusp4 did not contain motifs for either factor. To confirm that Foxl1 and Dusp4 are SALL4 targets, we performed ChIP-qPCR using WT undifferentiated cultures (Figures 6A and 6B). To control for non-specific chromatin pulldown, we measured SALL4 binding to H1foo, an oocyteexpressed gene not bound by SALL4 together with immunoglobulin G (IgG) controls (Yuri et al., 2009). SALL4 associated with regions around the Foxl1 TSS and Dusp4 promoter 0.25-1 kb upstream of the TSS. As a positive control, we analyzed SALL4 binding to known targets Sall1 and

\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001. Scale bars, 50 μm. See also Figure S6.

<sup>(</sup>D) Identification of SALL4 interacting proteins in undifferentiated spermatogonia. Lysates were incubated with magnetic beads conjugated to SALL4 antibody or IgG (control). Immunoprecipitated proteins were identified by MS.

<sup>(</sup>E) Summary of SALL4-associated proteins from three combined runs from (D).

<sup>(</sup>F) Confirmation of SALL4-interacting proteins by SALL4 IP from WT cultures and WB. Non-specific IgG control is shown.

<sup>(</sup>G) WT cultured undifferentiated cells infected with lentivirus containing Myc-tagged *Foxl1* and *Dusp4*. Cells were passaged 2 days after infection and allowed to form colonies for 10 days before analysis. Infection efficiency was 30%–40%. Representative IF of infected cells is shown. Insets: uninfected control cells.

<sup>(</sup>H) Relative mean colony size of infected cells (+) versus uninfected cells (-) in cultures from (G). Mean values  $\pm$  SEM are shown (n = 4 lines of infected cells). GFP-infected cells were included as controls.

<sup>(</sup>I) Representative IF of WT cultures infected with lentivirus containing Myc-tagged *Foxl1* or GFP control constructs as in (G). Cells were stained for Myc-tag or GFP to confirm infection (inset).

<sup>(</sup>J) Relative mean KI67 levels in infected cells from (I) quantified with ImageJ. One hundred cells were quantified from each of six infected WT cultures. Mean values  $\pm$  SEM are shown.

<sup>(</sup>K) Representative IF of WT cultures infected with lentivirus containing Myc-tagged *Foxl1* and GFP control constructs as in (G). Cleaved caspase-3 staining identified apoptotic cells.

<sup>(</sup>L) Graph indicates mean number of cleaved caspase-3+ cells per infected spermatogonial colony  $\pm$  SEM from cultures of (K). One hundred colonies from each of three infected WT cultures were analyzed.

<sup>(</sup>M) WB of independent WT cultures (no. 1 and no. 2) infected with lentivirus containing Myc-tagged *Dusp4* and GFP as in (G). VASA and  $\beta$ -ACTIN were used as loading controls.

<sup>(</sup>N) Graph indicates relative levels of P-JNK from (M) corrected to total JNK and normalized to GFP-infected cells. Four independent WT cultures were analyzed. Mean values  $\pm$  SEM are shown.





Figure 7. SALL4-Dependent Pathways Maintaining Undifferentiated Cell Activity SALL4 silences *Foxl1* and *Dusp4* by binding promoter regions and recruiting the NuRD co-repressor. FOXL1 can inhibit spermatogonial proliferation and survival *via* multiple targets. DUSP4 inhibits JNK, which is required for self-renewal downstream reactive oxygen species (ROS) and non-canonical WNT. Upon *Sall4* deletion, FOXL1 and DUSP4 accumulate to block proliferation plus survival and suppress JNK-dependent self-renewal, resulting in progressive stem cell failure.

*Sall3* (Hobbs et al., 2012; Lim et al., 2008; Lovelace et al., 2016). While SALL4 associated with *Sall1* and *Sall3* promoters plus intronic regions, gene expression was not altered upon *Sall4* loss (Figures 6C, S6A, and S6B). Thus, gene binding is not invariably predictive of SALL4-dependent regulation.

Expression of Foxl1 and Dusp4 was low in controls and induced upon Sall4 deletion (Figures 5F and 5G). Accordingly, the repressive epigenetic marker, trimethyl histone H3 Lys 27 (H3K27Me<sub>3</sub>), was readily detected on Foxl1 and *Dusp4* promoters in WT cells (Figures S6C and S6D). SALL4 can repress genes by recruiting the nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase co-repressor (NuRD) complex (Lauberth and Rauchman, 2006; Lu et al., 2009). Mass spectrometry (MS) of SALL4-associated proteins in undifferentiated cultures identified NuRD components plus PLZF and other SALL family proteins (Figures 6D and 6E) (Hobbs et al., 2012; Sakaki-Yumoto et al., 2006), confirmed by immunoprecipitation (IP) and western blot (WB) (Figure 6F). SALL1 was strongly enriched in SALL4 IPs, suggesting that SALL4 functions as a SALL1-SALL4 heterodimer in undifferentiated cells (Sakaki-Yumoto et al., 2006). CBX3 was identified in the MS analysis but was poorly enriched in SALL4 IPs by WB, indicating weak or indirect binding. Our data indicate that SALL4 represses Foxl1 and Dusp4 by binding promoter elements and recruiting NuRD.

#### Aberrant *Foxl1* and *Dusp4* Expression Disrupts Activity of Undifferentiated Spermatogonia

Increased expression of *Foxl1* and *Dusp4* upon *Sall4* deletion was predicted to disrupt undifferentiated cell proliferation and survival (Chen et al., 2015; Schmid et al., 2015). To confirm the effects of *Foxl1* and *Dusp4* we transduced WT cultures with lentivirus containing Myc-tagged constructs (Figure 6G). Compared with GFP-transduced or uninfected controls, colonies from cells overexpressing *Foxl1* were smaller, suggesting that FOXL1 inhibits proliferation

and/or survival (Figure 6H). Accordingly, Foxl1 overexpression caused reduction in KI67 and increased cleaved caspase-3+ apoptotic cells (Figures 6I-6L). Dusp4 overexpression did not affect colony growth despite its ability to induce cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis via MAPK suppression in other cells (Figure 6H) (Hijiya et al., 2016; Schmid et al., 2015; Tresini et al., 2007). The high growth factor environment in vitro may over-ride negative effects of DUSP4 on MAPK. However, phosphorylated (active) JNK MAPK was decreased in Dusp4-overexpressing cells (Figures 6M, 6N, and S6E). JNK is required for self-renewal downstream of non-canonical Wnt and reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Morimoto et al., 2013; Yeh et al., 2011). Although ERK MAPK is a mediator of spermatogonial self-renewal and DUSP4 targets ERK (Hasegawa et al., 2013; Hijiya et al., 2016; Tresini et al., 2007), phospho-ERK levels in Dusp4-transduced and control cells were similar (Figure S6F). Dusp4 thus selectively disrupts self-renewal pathways while Foxl1 inhibits proliferation and promotes apoptosis. Aberrant expression of these genes could, in part, account for loss in undifferentiated cell activity following Sall4 deletion.

#### DISCUSSION

Through development of an inducible *Sall4* KO model, we characterized effects of acute *Sall4* deletion on adult spermatogonial function. Besides confirming a key role for SALL4 in differentiation (Hobbs et al., 2012), we find that long-term maintenance of undifferentiated cell function is SALL4-dependent and implicates targets *Foxl1* and *Dusp4* as stem cell regulators (Figure 7). In contrast to rapid depletion of differentiating spermatogonia following *Sall4* deletion, undifferentiated cells tolerated SALL4 loss. Coincident with differentiating cell depletion, a regenerative response was initiated from *Sall4* KO stem cells



characterized by formation of GFR $\alpha$ 1+ A<sub>al</sub>. However, *Sall4*deleted GFR $\alpha$ 1+ stem cells lost proliferative capacity over time and were depleted. Spermatogonial recovery was driven from few *Sall4*-retaining cells. Thus, SALL4 loss does not affect the ability of stem cells to respond to tissue damage but disrupts long-term regenerative capacity.

We characterized two SALL4 targets in undifferentiated cells, Dusp4 and Foxl1, which are tumor suppressors. DUSP4 expression is commonly lost in B cell lymphoma, promoting cell survival by de-repression of JNK (Schmid et al., 2015). Increased DUSP4 expression inhibits ERK and induces senescence, a checkpoint in tumor development (Bignon et al., 2015; Tresini et al., 2007). Both JNK and ERK are linked to spermatogonial self-renewal, although DUSP4 inhibited JNK most effectively in undifferentiated cells (Hasegawa et al., 2013; Morimoto et al., 2013; Yeh et al., 2011). FOXL1 is downregulated in multiple cancers and low expression predicts poor outcome (Chen et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2013). Besides promoting growth arrest, FOXL1 induces TRAIL, a mediator of DNA damage-induced apoptosis of undifferentiated spermatogonia (Ishii et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2013). Given conserved roles in spermatogonia, increased Dusp4 and Foxl1 expression upon Sall4 deletion would suppress proliferation, disrupt selfrenewal, and promote apoptosis.

Recent studies highlight the complexity of SALL4-dependent gene regulation in spermatogonia (Hobbs et al., 2012; Lovelace et al., 2016). In cultures, SALL4 is co-recruited with PLZF to promoters of genes with roles in undifferentiated cells, including Foxo1, Gfra1, Oct4, and Etv5 (Lovelace et al., 2016). However, expression of these genes was not significantly altered upon Sall4 deletion. PLZF and SALL4 co-bound genomic regions contain PLZF binding sites, indicating that PLZF recruits SALL4 to these targets (Lovelace et al., 2016). However, Sall4 deletion triggered re-localization of PLZF to the cytosol, suggesting that the ability of PLZF to regulate genes is SALL4 dependent. Moreover, response of spermatogonia to SALL4 loss was indistinguishable whether PLZF was present or not, indicating an independent role for SALL4. Further studies are necessary to define the interplay between SALL4, PLZF, and other factors. Interestingly, proximity-ligation assays of WT cultures demonstrated that interaction of SALL4 with PLZF and DMRT1 was highly variable from cell to cell despite homogeneous expression (Figures S6G and S6H), underscoring the dynamic nature of interaction.

While we identify SALL4 targets within undifferentiated cells, it is unclear whether these are relevant for SALL4 function in differentiating cells. SALL4 interacts with DNA methyltransferases, and *Sall4* deletion in oocytes results in genomic hypomethylation (Xu et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2012). Given that induction of *Dnmt3a* and *3b* and

methylation of self-renewal genes are involved in transition from undifferentiated to differentiated states (Shirakawa et al., 2013), SALL4 may direct methylation of genes that need to be silenced during differentiation. Given that SALL4 is capable of interacting with multiple transcription factors in the germline (Hobbs et al., 2012; Lovelace et al., 2016; Yamaguchi et al., 2015), it will be of interest to characterize the dynamics of SALL4-dependent networks during germ cell maturation.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

#### **Mouse Maintenance and Treatment**

Sall4<sup>flox/flox</sup> and Plzf<sup>-/-</sup> mice are described (Costoya et al., 2004; Sakaki-Yumoto et al., 2006). *Ubc-CreER* and *Z/EG* mice were from Jackson Laboratory. For gene deletion and lineage tracing, 6- to 8-weekold mice were injected for two consecutive days with 2 mg TAM (Sigma) in sesame oil intraperitoneally (Matson et al., 2010). To induce regeneration, C57BL/6 adults were injected intraperitoneally with 10 mg/kg busulfan (Cayman Chemical) (Zohni et al., 2012). To detect proliferation, mice were injected intraperitoneally with 0.4 mg EdU (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 2 hr before harvesting. Transplantation was performed using busulfan-conditioned C57BL6/ CBA F1 recipients (Seandel et al., 2007). Cultured cell suspension (10–15  $\mu$ L) was microinjected *via* testis efferent ducts. The Monash University Animal Ethics Committee approved animal experiments.

#### IF

IF of whole mounts, sections, and cultures are as described previously (Hobbs et al., 2012, 2015). See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details.

#### **Flow Cytometry**

Cell preparation for sorting and analysis has been described previously (Hobbs et al., 2012). See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details.

#### Cell Culture

Undifferentiated spermatogonia were cultured on mitomycininactivated mouse embryonic fibroblast feeders (Hobbs et al., 2012). See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details.

#### Lentiviral Overexpression

*Dusp4* and *Foxl1* cDNA (Origene) was sub-cloned by PCR into pCCL-hPGK (Dull et al., 1998). Cultures were infected with lentiviral-containing supernatant (Hobbs et al., 2010). Cells infected with pCCL-hPGK-GFP were used as controls. Colony size was measured using ImageJ.

#### **RNA Extraction and qRT-PCR**

RNA was purified using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Direct-zol MiniPrep Kits (Zymo Research). Tetro cDNA synthesis kits (Bioline) were used for cDNA synthesis. qPCR was run on a Light Cycler 480 (Roche) using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara). Primers are in Table S2.



#### Microarray

RNA was isolated from three independent *Sall4*<sup>TAM-KO</sup> cultures treated with TAM or vehicle. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details.

#### ChIP

SimpleChIP Enzymatic Chromatin IP Kits (Cell Signaling Technologies) were used for ChIP of undifferentiated cultures (Hobbs et al., 2010). Primers are in Table S2. MEME was used to define binding motifs (Machanick and Bailey, 2011). We implemented a threshold for a match of a sequence to a motif by an E value <10, position p value <0.0001, and 90% sequence identity.

#### **CoIP and Western Blotting**

CoIP and WB were performed as described previously (Hobbs et al., 2012). See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details.

#### **Mass Spectrometry**

Lysates from undifferentiated cultures were prepared as described previously (Mathew et al., 2012). SALL4 complexes were immunoprecipitated with Dynabeads coupled with *a*SALL4 antibody using a Dynabead Coupling Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Dynabeads coupled to rabbit IgG were used for controls. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details.

#### In Situ Proximity-Ligation Assay

Cultured spermatogonia on chamber slides were fixed and permeabilized then processed using Duolink In Situ Orange Kits (Sigma-Aldrich).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Assessment of statistical significance was performed with a standard two-tailed t test. Associated p values are indicated as follows: \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001; not significant (ns) p > 0.05.

#### **ACCESSION NUMBERS**

The accession number for the microarray data reported in this paper is GEO: GSE98991.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, six figures, and two tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2017. 08.001.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

A.C., H.M.L., J.M.D.L., J.M., M.R., and R.M.H. conceived and designed the study. A.C., H.M.L., J.M.D.L., J.M., M.S., and R.M.H. performed experiments. A.C., H.M.L., J.M.D.L., M.E., J.M., M.R., and R.M.H. analyzed data. A.C., J.M.D.L., and R.M.H. wrote the paper.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ryuichi Nishinakamura for *Sall4* floxed mice, Monash Animal Research Platform for animal care, Nicholas Williamson

from Bio21 Proteomics Facility for mass spectrometry assistance, Mehmet Özmen of Monash University Statistical Consulting Service for advice on data analysis, and Antonella Papa for helpful discussions. We acknowledge facilities and technical assistance of Monash Micro Imaging, FlowCore, and Monash Health Translation Precinct Medical Genomics Facility. R.M.H. is supported by an ARC Future Fellowship and J.M.D.L. by Stem Cells Australia. J.M. was recipient of a Sigrid Jusélius Foundation Fellowship. M.R. is supported by a NHMRC/Heart Foundation Career Development Fellowship. NHMRC Project Grant APP1046863 to R.M.H. supported this work. The Australian Regenerative Medicine Institute is supported by grants from the State Government of Victoria and Australian Government.

Received: January 11, 2017 Revised: August 1, 2017 Accepted: August 2, 2017 Published: August 31, 2017

#### REFERENCES

Bignon, A., Regent, A., Klipfel, L., Desnoyer, A., de la Grange, P., Martinez, V., Lortholary, O., Dalloul, A., Mouthon, L., and Balabanian, K. (2015). DUSP4-mediated accelerated T-cell senescence in idiopathic CD4 lymphopenia. Blood *125*, 2507–2518.

Buaas, F.W., Kirsh, A.L., Sharma, M., McLean, D.J., Morris, J.L., Griswold, M.D., de Rooij, D.G., and Braun, R.E. (2004). Plzf is required in adult male germ cells for stem cell self-renewal. Nat. Genet. *36*, 647–652.

Chen, X., Deng, M., Ma, L., Zhou, J., Xiao, Y., Zhou, X., Zhang, C., and Wu, M. (2015). Inhibitory effects of forkhead box L1 gene on osteosarcoma growth through the induction of cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Oncol. Rep. *34*, 265–271.

Costoya, J.A., Hobbs, R.M., Barna, M., Cattoretti, G., Manova, K., Sukhwani, M., Orwig, K.E., Wolgemuth, D.J., and Pandolfi, P.P. (2004). Essential role of Plzf in maintenance of spermatogonial stem cells. Nat. Genet. *36*, 653–659.

de Rooij, D.G., and Grootegoed, J.A. (1998). Spermatogonial stem cells. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. *10*, 694–701.

Dull, T., Zufferey, R., Kelly, M., Mandel, R.J., Nguyen, M., Trono, D., and Naldini, L. (1998). A third-generation lentivirus vector with a conditional packaging system. J. Virol. *72*, 8463–8471.

Gassei, K., and Orwig, K.E. (2013). SALL4 expression in gonocytes and spermatogonial clones of postnatal mouse testes. PLoS One *8*, e53976.

Gely-Pernot, A., Raverdeau, M., Teletin, M., Vernet, N., Feret, B., Klopfenstein, M., Dennefeld, C., Davidson, I., Benoit, G., Mark, M., et al. (2015). Retinoic acid receptors control spermatogonia cell-fate and induce expression of the SALL4A transcription factor. PLoS Genet. *11*, e1005501.

Goertz, M.J., Wu, Z., Gallardo, T.D., Hamra, F.K., and Castrillon, D.H. (2011). Foxo1 is required in mouse spermatogonial stem cells for their maintenance and the initiation of spermatogenesis. J. Clin. Invest. *121*, 3456–3466.

Hara, K., Nakagawa, T., Enomoto, H., Suzuki, M., Yamamoto, M., Simons, B.D., and Yoshida, S. (2014). Mouse spermatogenic stem



cells continually interconvert between equipotent singly isolated and syncytial states. Cell Stem Cell 14, 658–672.

Hasegawa, K., Namekawa, S.H., and Saga, Y. (2013). MEK/ERK signaling directly and indirectly contributes to the cyclical self-renewal of spermatogonial stem cells. Stem Cells *31*, 2517–2527.

Hijiya, N., Tsukamoto, Y., Nakada, C., Tung Nguyen, L., Kai, T., Matsuura, K., Shibata, K., Inomata, M., Uchida, T., Tokunaga, A., et al. (2016). Genomic loss of DUSP4 contributes to the progression of intraepithelial neoplasm of pancreas to invasive carcinoma. Cancer Res. *76*, 2612–2625.

Hobbs, R.M., Fagoonee, S., Papa, A., Webster, K., Altruda, F., Nishinakamura, R., Chai, L., and Pandolfi, P.P. (2012). Functional antagonism between Sall4 and Plzf defines germline progenitors. Cell Stem Cell *10*, 284–298.

Hobbs, R.M., La, H.M., Makela, J.A., Kobayashi, T., Noda, T., and Pandolfi, P.P. (2015). Distinct germline progenitor subsets defined through Tsc2-mTORC1 signaling. EMBO Rep. *16*, 467–480.

Hobbs, R.M., Seandel, M., Falciatori, I., Rafii, S., and Pandolfi, P.P. (2010). Plzf regulates germline progenitor self-renewal by opposing mTORC1. Cell *142*, 468–479.

Ikami, K., Tokue, M., Sugimoto, R., Noda, C., Kobayashi, S., Hara, K., and Yoshida, S. (2015). Hierarchical differentiation competence in response to retinoic acid ensures stem cell maintenance during mouse spermatogenesis. Development *142*, 1582–1592.

Ishii, K., Ishiai, M., Morimoto, H., Kanatsu-Shinohara, M., Niwa, O., Takata, M., and Shinohara, T. (2014). The Trp53-Trp53inp1-Tnfrsf10b pathway regulates the radiation response of mouse spermatogonial stem cells. Stem Cell Reports *3*, 676–689.

Kanatsu-Shinohara, M., and Shinohara, T. (2013). Spermatogonial stem cell self-renewal and development. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *29*, 163–187.

Kanatsu-Shinohara, M., Toyokuni, S., and Shinohara, T. (2004). CD9 is a surface marker on mouse and rat male germline stem cells. Biol. Reprod. *70*, 70–75.

Lauberth, S.M., and Rauchman, M. (2006). A conserved 12-amino acid motif in Sall1 recruits the nucleosome remodeling and deace-tylase corepressor complex. J. Biol. Chem. *281*, 23922–23931.

Lim, C.Y., Tam, W.L., Zhang, J., Ang, H.S., Jia, H., Lipovich, L., Ng, H.H., Wei, C.L., Sung, W.K., Robson, P., et al. (2008). Sall4 regulates distinct transcription circuitries in different blastocyst-derived stem cell lineages. Cell Stem Cell *3*, 543–554.

Lovelace, D.L., Gao, Z., Mutoji, K., Song, Y.C., Ruan, J., and Hermann, B.P. (2016). The regulatory repertoire of PLZF and SALL4 in undifferentiated spermatogonia. Development *143*, 1893–1906.

Lu, J., Jeong, H., Kong, N., Yang, Y., Carroll, J., Luo, H.R., Silberstein, L.E., Yupoma, and Chai, L. (2009). Stem cell factor SALL4 represses the transcriptions of PTEN and SALL1 through an epigenetic repressor complex. PLoS One *4*, e5577.

Machanick, P., and Bailey, T.L. (2011). MEME-ChIP: motif analysis of large DNA datasets. Bioinformatics *27*, 1696–1697.

Mathew, R., Seiler, M.P., Scanlon, S.T., Mao, A.P., Constantinides, M.G., Bertozzi-Villa, C., Singer, J.D., and Bendelac, A. (2012). BTB-ZF factors recruit the E3 ligase cullin 3 to regulate lymphoid effector programs. Nature *491*, 618–621.

Matson, C.K., Murphy, M.W., Griswold, M.D., Yoshida, S., Bardwell, V.J., and Zarkower, D. (2010). The mammalian doublesex homolog DMRT1 is a transcriptional gatekeeper that controls the mitosis versus meiosis decision in male germ cells. Dev. Cell *19*, 612–624.

Morimoto, H., Iwata, K., Ogonuki, N., Inoue, K., Atsuo, O., Kanatsu-Shinohara, M., Morimoto, T., Yabe-Nishimura, C., and Shinohara, T. (2013). ROS are required for mouse spermatogonial stem cell self-renewal. Cell Stem Cell *12*, 774–786.

Nakagawa, T., Sharma, M., Nabeshima, Y., Braun, R.E., and Yoshida, S. (2010). Functional hierarchy and reversibility within the murine spermatogenic stem cell compartment. Science *328*, 62–67.

Ngo, D., Cheng, Q., O'Connor, A.E., DeBoer, K.D., Lo, C.Y., Beaulieu, E., De Seram, M., Hobbs, R.M., O'Bryan, M.K., and Morand, E.F. (2013). Glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper (GILZ) regulates testicular FOXO1 activity and spermatogonial stem cell (SSC) function. PLoS One *8*, e59149.

Novak, A., Guo, C., Yang, W., Nagy, A., and Lobe, C.G. (2000). Z/ EG, a double reporter mouse line that expresses enhanced green fluorescent protein upon Cre-mediated excision. Genesis *28*, 147–155.

Qin, Y., Gong, W., Zhang, M., Wang, J., Tang, Z., and Quan, Z. (2014). Forkhead box L1 is frequently downregulated in gallbladder cancer and inhibits cell growth through apoptosis induction by mitochondrial dysfunction. PLoS One *9*, e102084.

Rao, S., Zhen, S., Roumiantsev, S., McDonald, L.T., Yuan, G.C., and Orkin, S.H. (2010). Differential roles of Sall4 isoforms in embryonic stem cell pluripotency. Mol. Cell. Biol. *30*, 5364–5380.

Ruzankina, Y., Pinzon-Guzman, C., Asare, A., Ong, T., Pontano, L., Cotsarelis, G., Zediak, V.P., Velez, M., Bhandoola, A., and Brown, E.J. (2007). Deletion of the developmentally essential gene ATR in adult mice leads to age-related phenotypes and stem cell loss. Cell Stem Cell *1*, 113–126.

Sakaki-Yumoto, M., Kobayashi, C., Sato, A., Fujimura, S., Matsumoto, Y., Takasato, M., Kodama, T., Aburatani, H., Asashima, M., Yoshida, N., et al. (2006). The murine homolog of SALL4, a causative gene in Okihiro syndrome, is essential for embryonic stem cell proliferation, and cooperates with Sall1 in anorectal, heart, brain and kidney development. Development *133*, 3005–3013.

Schmid, C.A., Robinson, M.D., Scheifinger, N.A., Muller, S., Cogliatti, S., Tzankov, A., and Muller, A. (2015). DUSP4 deficiency caused by promoter hypermethylation drives JNK signaling and tumor cell survival in diffuse large B cell lymphoma. J. Exp. Med. *212*, 775–792.

Schrans-Stassen, B.H., van de Kant, H.J., de Rooij, D.G., and van Pelt, A.M. (1999). Differential expression of c-kit in mouse undifferentiated and differentiating type A spermatogonia. Endocrinology *140*, 5894–5900.

Seandel, M., James, D., Shmelkov, S.V., Falciatori, I., Kim, J., Chavala, S., Scherr, D.S., Zhang, F., Torres, R., Gale, N.W., et al. (2007). Generation of functional multipotent adult stem cells from GPR125+ germline progenitors. Nature *449*, 346–350.

Shirakawa, T., Yaman-Deveci, R., Tomizawa, S., Kamizato, Y., Nakajima, K., Sone, H., Sato, Y., Sharif, J., Yamashita, A., Takada-Horisawa,



Y., et al. (2013). An epigenetic switch is crucial for spermatogonia to exit the undifferentiated state toward a Kit-positive identity. Development *140*, 3565–3576.

Takubo, K., Ohmura, M., Azuma, M., Nagamatsu, G., Yamada, W., Arai, F., Hirao, A., and Suda, T. (2008). Stem cell defects in ATMdeficient undifferentiated spermatogonia through DNA damageinduced cell-cycle arrest. Cell Stem Cell *2*, 170–182.

Tokuda, M., Kadokawa, Y., Kurahashi, H., and Marunouchi, T. (2007). CDH1 is a specific marker for undifferentiated spermatogonia in mouse testes. Biol. Reprod. *76*, 130–141.

Tresini, M., Lorenzini, A., Torres, C., and Cristofalo, V.J. (2007). Modulation of replicative senescence of diploid human cells by nuclear ERK signaling. J. Biol. Chem. *282*, 4136–4151.

Xu, K., Chen, X., Yang, H., Xu, Y., He, Y., Wang, C., Huang, H., Liu, B., Liu, W., Li, J., et al. (2016). Maternal Sall4 is indispensable for epigenetic maturation of mouse oocytes. J. Biol. Chem. *292*, 1798–1807.

Yamaguchi, Y.L., Tanaka, S.S., Kumagai, M., Fujimoto, Y., Terabayashi, T., Matsui, Y., and Nishinakamura, R. (2015). Sall4 is essential for mouse primordial germ cell specification by suppressing somatic cell program genes. Stem Cells *33*, 289–300.

Yang, J., Corsello, T.R., and Ma, Y. (2012). Stem cell gene SALL4 suppresses transcription through recruitment of DNA methyl-transferases. J. Biol. Chem. *287*, 1996–2005.

Yang, F.Q., Yang, F.P., Li, W., Liu, M., Wang, G.C., Che, J.P., Huang, J.H., and Zheng, J.H. (2014). Foxl1 inhibits tumor invasion and

predicts outcome in human renal cancer. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol. 7, 110–122.

Yeh, J.R., Zhang, X., and Nagano, M.C. (2011). Wnt5a is a cellextrinsic factor that supports self-renewal of mouse spermatogonial stem cells. J. Cell Sci. *124*, 2357–2366.

Yuri, S., Fujimura, S., Nimura, K., Takeda, N., Toyooka, Y., Fujimura, Y., Aburatani, H., Ura, K., Koseki, H., Niwa, H., et al. (2009). Sall4 is essential for stabilization, but not for pluripotency, of embryonic stem cells by repressing aberrant trophectoderm gene expression. Stem Cells *27*, 796–805.

Zhang, J., Tam, W.L., Tong, G.Q., Wu, Q., Chan, H.Y., Soh, B.S., Lou, Y., Yang, J., Ma, Y., Chai, L., et al. (2006). Sall4 modulates embryonic stem cell pluripotency and early embryonic development by the transcriptional regulation of Pou5f1. Nat. Cell Biol. *8*, 1114–1123.

Zhang, G., He, P., Gaedcke, J., Ghadimi, B.M., Ried, T., Yfantis, H.G., Lee, D.H., Hanna, N., Alexander, H.R., and Hussain, S.P. (2013). FOXL1, a novel candidate tumor suppressor, inhibits tumor aggressiveness and predicts outcome in human pancreatic cancer. Cancer Res. *73*, 5416–5425.

Zohni, K., Zhang, X., Tan, S.L., Chan, P., and Nagano, M.C. (2012). The efficiency of male fertility restoration is dependent on the recovery kinetics of spermatogonial stem cells after cytotoxic treatment with busulfan in mice. Hum. Reprod. *27*, 44–53.