



ARTICLE

DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-05504-x

OPEN

Anion stabilised *hypercloso*-hexaalane Al_6H_6

Simon J. Bonyhady¹, David Collis¹, Nicole Holzmann ², Alison J. Edwards³, Ross O. Piltz³, Gernot Frenking⁴, Andreas Stasch ^{1,5} & Cameron Jones¹

Boron hydride clusters are an extremely diverse compound class, which are of enormous importance to many areas of chemistry. Despite this, stable aluminium hydride analogues of these species have remained staunchly elusive to synthetic chemists. Here, we report that reductions of an amidinato-aluminium(III) hydride complex with magnesium(I) dimers lead to unprecedented examples of stable aluminium(I) hydride complexes, $[(^{\text{Ar}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{Fiso})_2]$ ($^{\text{Ar}}\text{Nacnac} = [\text{HC}(\text{MeCNAr})_2]^-$, Ar = $\text{C}_6\text{H}_2\text{Me}_3$ -2,4,6 Mes; $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Et}_2$ -2,6 Dep or $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_2$ -2,6 Xyl; Fiso = $[\text{HC}(\text{NDip})_2]^-$, Dip = $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Pr}^i$ -2,6), which crystallographic and computational studies show to possess near neutral, octahedral *hypercloso*-hexaalane, Al_6H_6 , cluster cores. The electronically delocalised skeletal bonding in these species is compared to that in the classical borane, $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$. Thus, the chemistry of classical polyhedral boranes is extended to stable aluminium hydride clusters for the first time.

¹School of Chemistry, Monash University, PO Box 23Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia. ²Scientific Computing Department, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Oxford, Didcot OX11 0QX, UK. ³Australian Centre for Neutron Scattering, Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation, Locked Bag 2001, Kirrawee DC, NSW 2232, Australia. ⁴Fachbereich Chemie, Philipps-Universität Marburg, 35032 Marburg, Germany. ⁵Present address: EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, North Haugh KY16 9ST St Andrews, UK. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.F. (email: frenking@chemie.uni-marburg.de) or to A.S. (email: as411@st-andrews.ac.uk) or to C.J. (email: cameron.jones@monash.edu)

The binary hydrides of boron, i.e. boranes (typically $[B_xH_y]^{z-}$, $x \leq y$, $z = 0-2$), are of enormous importance to chemistry from both fundamental and applications standpoints. The vast majority of these species are low oxidation state boron cluster compounds, which exhibit an enormous array of structural types¹. The understanding of the structures of such clusters required the early development of revolutionary theories on chemical bonding (e.g. Wade–Mingos rules for electron counting)^{2,3}, which ultimately led to boranes finding applications in areas as diverse as synthesis⁴, rocket fuel technology⁵ and medical science⁶.

It is remarkable that aluminium, boron's neighbour in group 13, does not form any isolable hydride cluster compounds, or indeed many binary hydride compounds at all, e.g. AlH_3 , $H_2Al(\mu-H)_2AlH_2$ and $[AlH_4]^-$ ⁷. With that said, a handful of transient, low oxidation state alane cluster compounds have been studied in the gas phase, and some, e.g. Al_4H_6 , have been shown to have fleeting stability⁸⁻¹¹. Given that numerous ligand substituted, metalloid aluminium cluster compounds, e.g. $[Al_{77}(N(SiMe_3))_{20}]^{2-}$, have been reported to be stable at, or close to, room temperature^{12,13}, it seemed that related low-valent aluminium hydride clusters might be ultimately accessible under the right preparative conditions. As a prelude to realising this goal, we have synthesised the first stable binary low oxidation state aluminium hydride fragments, viz. $[Al_2H_6]^{2-}$ and Lewis base stabilised Al_2H_4 , by reduction of aluminium(III) hydride precursors with magnesium(I) dimers^{14,15}.

Here, we report that related reductions of an amidinato-aluminium(III) hydride complex lead to unprecedented examples of stable aluminium(I) hydride complexes, $[(ArNacnac)Mg]_2[Al_6H_6(Fiso)_2]$ ($ArNacnac = [HC(MeCNAr)_2]^-$, $Ar = C_6H_2Me_3-2,4,6$ Mes; $C_6H_3Et_2-2,6$ Dep or $C_6H_3Me_2-2,6$ Xyl; $Fiso = [HC(NDip)_2]^-$, $Dip = C_6H_3Pr^f-2,6$), which possess near neutral *hypercloso*-hexaalane, Al_6H_6 , cluster cores. Thus, this work represents a unique extension of the chemistry of classical polyhedral boranes to that of their alane analogues.

Results

Synthetic and spectroscopic studies. Treatment of benzene, toluene, cyclohexane or hexane solutions of the formamidinato-aluminium(III) hydride complex, $[\{(\mu-N,N-Fiso)Al(H)(\mu-H)\}_2]^{16}$, with 1.2–2.0 equivalents of β -diketiminato ligated magnesium(I) dimers, $[\{(ArNacnac)Mg\}_2]$ ($Ar = Mes, Dep$ or Xyl)¹⁷⁻¹⁹ (see Supplementary Materials and Supplementary Figs. 1–5), at elevated temperatures (typically 60–80 °C) reproducibly afforded low yields (ca. 5–20%) of the deep red crystalline aluminium(I) hydride cluster compounds **1** (Fig. 1), upon cooling the reaction solutions to ambient temperature. On several occasions, a number of low yielding colourless crystalline by-products were isolated from the reaction mixtures, including $[(Fiso)Mg$

(^{Dep}Nacnac)], $[(Fiso)_2AlH]^{16}$, $[\{(MesNacnac)Mg(\mu-H)\}_2]^{15}$ and the dialanate salt, $[\{(MesNacnac)Mg\}_2(\mu-H)_2][H_3Al-AlH_3]^{14}$ (see Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figs. 6–9). The nature of these by-products suggests that the reductive mechanism for the formation of **1** could involve several intermediates and/or could compete with side reactions. In order to assess these possibilities, reactions that gave **1** at 70 °C were followed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. This revealed complex mixtures of products after several minutes heating, of which $[(Fiso)Mg(Nacnac)]$ was identified in significant quantities (Supplementary Fig. 10). Definitive identification of products, other than those which were later isolated as crystalline solids, was not possible, and the mechanism of formation of **1** is not certain at this time.

To the best of our knowledge compounds **1** represent the first examples of isolated aluminium(I) hydride complexes, though mononuclear examples have recently been tentatively proposed as unstable intermediates in solution-based reactions²⁰. The cluster compounds have negligible solubility in common deuterated solvents once crystallised, so no meaningful solution state spectroscopic data could be acquired for them. The most relevant solid state spectroscopic data (Supplementary Figs. 11, 12) for the compounds come from their infrared spectra, which exhibit single bands in the characteristic region for terminal Al–H stretching modes⁷ (e.g. **1a**: $\nu = 1798\text{ cm}^{-1}$). In addition, stronger bands are seen at lower wavenumber (e.g. **1a**: $\nu = 1648\text{ cm}^{-1}$) that possibly arise from a weakly bridging Al–H...Mg stretching mode, though these bands overlap with ligand stretching absorptions (see below). Noteworthy is the fact that the band at $\nu = 1798\text{ cm}^{-1}$ observed for **1a** is completely absent in the infrared spectrum of its hexa-deuteride analogue, **1a-D**, which was prepared by magnesium(I) reduction of $[\{(\mu-N,N-Fiso)Al(D)(\mu-D)\}_2]$. The Al–D stretching band for **1a-D** should occur at ca. 1270 cm^{-1} , but this is likely masked by strong ligand stretching modes in that region (Supplementary Fig. 12).

Crystallographic studies. All complexes **1** were crystallographically characterised and found to be isostructural, so only the molecular structure of **1a** is depicted in Fig. 2 (see Supplementary Methods, Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figures 13 and 14). The hydride ligands of each cluster were located from difference maps and freely refined. A neutron diffraction study was also carried out on compound **1a**, which unambiguously confirmed the presence and connectivity of the six hydride ligands, and the absence of any other terminal, bridging or interstitial hydrides within the cluster core (see Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figs. 15, 16). The compounds can be considered as having near neutral, distorted octahedral Al_6H_6 cores, opposing equatorial sides of which are coordinated by bridging, electronically delocalised formamidinate ligands. The remaining equatorial sides of the octahedron are bridged by $[(MesNacnac)Mg]^+$ cations, which have weak interactions with the two hydride ligands that project from each side. Terminal hydride ligands coordinate to the apical aluminium centres of the cluster core, though these are slightly offset from the vector passing through the two aluminium centres to which they are coordinated, presumably for steric reasons. All of the Al–Al distances within the Al_6 core lie in the known range for such bonds (mean: $2.72(12)\text{ \AA}$, search of the Cambridge Crystallographic Database, February 2018), though the equatorial Al–Al distances ($2.701(2)\text{ \AA}$ and $2.826(2)\text{ \AA}$) are significantly longer than those between all axial and equatorial aluminium centres ($2.631(2)$ – $2.691(2)\text{ \AA}$). The shorter of the equatorial Al–Al interactions are, not surprisingly, those which are bridged by the formamidinate ligands.




Fig. 1 Formation of compounds **1** (Mes = $C_6H_2Me_3-2,4,6$; Dep = $C_6H_3Et_2-2,6$; Xyl = $C_6H_3Me_2-2,6$). The compounds **1** are prepared by reduction of $[\{(\mu-N,N-Fiso)Al(H)(\mu-H)\}_2]$ with magnesium(I) dimers




Fig. 2 Molecular structure of **1a**. Hydrogen atoms, except hydrides, omitted. Ellipsoids shown at the 20% probability level, except aryl substituents, which are shown as wire frame. Selected bond lengths (Å): Al(1)–Al(2) 2.6317(15), Al(1)–Al(3) 2.6340(17), Al(1)–Al(2)′ 2.6472(15), Al(1)–Al(3)′ 2.6907(18), Al(2)–Al(3) 2.701(2), Al(2)–Al(3)′ 2.8257(14), Al(3)–N(2) 1.950(3), Al(2)–N(1) 1.925(3), Al(1)–H(1) 1.52(3), Al(2)–H(2) 1.55(3), Al(3)–H(3) 1.57(3), Mg(1)–H(2) 1.96(3), Mg(1)–H(3)′ 1.98(3). Symmetry operation: $-x + 2, -y + 1, -z$

Electronic structure and computational studies. The neutral distorted, octahedral Al_6H_6 cluster cores of **1** somewhat resemble the structure of the classical polyhedral borane, $\text{closo-}[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$ ¹, despite their aforementioned elongated equatorial Al–Al interactions. This is intriguing as Al_6H_6 can be viewed as having 12 (i.e. $2n$) valence electrons (i.e. 2 from each Al vertex) contributing to the skeletal Al–Al bonding of the cluster core. As such, it would be expected to have a more unsymmetrical, capped structure than the 14 skeletal valence electron ($2n + 2$) $\text{closo-}[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$, according to Wade–Mingos rules^{2,3}. Indeed, computational studies have predicted a number of more open and unsymmetrical structures for Al_6H_6 ^{21,22}, which are close in energy. Of course, in **1** the distorted octahedral geometry of this fragment is likely enforced by coordination to the amidinate ligands, which computational studies suggest do not add to the skeletal electron count (see below). For sake of comparison, isoelectronic B_6H_6 and Ga_6H_6 , which have not been isolated experimentally, have been predicted to have capped trigonal bipyramidal *hypercloso*-structures, with all hydrides terminal^{23,24}. Also worthy of mention are several ligand substituted analogues of Al_6H_6 , e.g. $\text{B}_6(\text{NMe}_2)_6$ ²⁵ and $\text{Ga}_6\{\text{SiMe}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_6$ ²⁴, which possess distorted octahedral structures with several elongated E–E bonds, not dissimilar to the situation in **1**. In the case of $\text{Ga}_6\{\text{SiMe}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2\}_6$, calculations on the model compound Ga_6H_6 suggest that this can be attributed to a Jahn–Teller distortion arising from loss of degeneracy of the t_{2g} HOMOs of $\text{closo-}[\text{Ga}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$ upon removal of two electrons from that dianion²⁴.

In order to shed light on the nature of the bonding in the Al_6H_6 core of **1**, DFT calculations (theory level: RI-BP86/def2-TZVPP) were carried out on a cut-down model of the cluster compounds, viz. $[(^{\text{Me}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{H}^{\text{Fiso}})_2]$ **1**′ ($^{\text{Me}}\text{Nacnac} = [\text{HC}(\text{MeCNMe}_2)_2]^-$, $\text{H}^{\text{Fiso}} = [\text{HC}(\text{NH})_2]^-$) (Supplementary Methods). The geometry of the complex (Supplementary Fig. 7 and Supplementary Table 2) optimised to be similar to those of **1**, including a distorted octahedral Al_6H_6 core with somewhat shorter $\text{Al}_{\text{ax}}\text{--Al}_{\text{eq}}$ bonds (2.650–2.668 Å) than $\text{Al}_{\text{eq}}\text{--Al}_{\text{eq}}$ distances

(2.718–2.819 Å). Reassuringly, the calculated infrared spectrum of **1**′ (Supplementary Table 3) exhibits terminal and bridging Al–H stretching bands ($\nu = 1797\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (m) and 1649 cm^{-1} (s) respectively) that are very close to the experimental values for **1a**, thus supporting the use of **1**′ as a model for **1**. The charges on the whole Al_6H_6 fragment (−0.67), both H^{Fiso} ligands (−1.10) and both $(^{\text{Me}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}$ fragments (+1.76) (Supplementary Table 4), indicate that **1**′ is best viewed as an anion coordinated, near neutral *hypercloso- Al_6H_6* cluster, having weak hydride bridges to $[(^{\text{Me}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]^+$ cationic units. The calculated Wiberg bond indices (WBI) for the $\text{Al}_{\text{ax}}\text{--Al}_{\text{eq}}$ bonds (0.63–0.66) (Supplementary Table 5) are suggestive of relatively strong bonding interactions, while the WBIs for the $\text{Al}_{\text{eq}}\text{--Al}_{\text{eq}}$ interactions are much smaller (0.23–0.31). In line with this result is the fact that no bond critical points were found between the equatorial aluminium centres (Supplementary Fig. 8). Calculations on the $[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{H}^{\text{Fiso}})_2]^{2-}$ dianion, in the absence of the $[(^{\text{Me}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]^+$ cations, showed this fragment to be stable, with a geometry similar to that in the full contact ion compound (Supplementary Fig. 17 and Supplementary Table 2). This, combined with the fact that the uncoordinated Al_6H_6 octahedral unit was calculated to be an unstable entity in the electronic singlet state, confirms that the *hypercloso- Al_6H_6* moiety of **1**′ is stabilised by coordination to the H^{Fiso} anions.

The electronic structure of the $[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{H}^{\text{Fiso}})_2]^{2-}$ dianion was calculated and found to be similar to that of the full contact ion compound (Supplementary Figs. 19–21), so only the former is displayed in Fig. 3. There are seven Al-based molecular orbitals (MOs) on the dianion, six of which are filled, in line with the view that the cluster is a 12 skeletal valence electron species. None of these MOs are degenerate, but they do closely resemble the seven filled cluster based MOs for *closo- $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$* (triply degenerate t_{2g} and t_{1u} orbital sets, and a_{1g} orbital)², and thus display significant electronic delocalisation over the Al_6 core. The lack of degeneracy of the Al-based MOs of $[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{H}^{\text{Fiso}})_2]^{2-}$ arises from the lower symmetry, and lower skeletal electron count, of the dianion relative to those of *closo- $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$* . Interestingly, the LUMO+7 (lower energy empty MOs are ligand based) of $[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{H}^{\text{Fiso}})_2]^{2-}$ resembles the degenerate t_{2g} HOMO of *closo- $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$* which exhibits the most analogous, *quasi-equatorial* B–B bonding character. This goes a long way to explaining the weak $\text{Al}_{\text{eq}}\text{--Al}_{\text{eq}}$ interactions in **1**′. The HOMO and HOMO-1 of $[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{H}^{\text{Fiso}})_2]^{2-}$ are reminiscent of the other two t_{2g} orbitals of *closo- $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$* , while the HOMO-2, HOMO-3 and HOMO-4 show similarities with the t_{1u} orbitals of the borane. At lower energy is the HOMO-9 which corresponds to the a_{1g} orbital of *closo- $[\text{B}_6\text{H}_6]^{2-}$* . No Al-based MO exhibits significant contributions from the H^{Fiso} anions, the lone pairs of which are polarised towards their N-centres, and should therefore not be included in the counting of electrons contributing to Al–Al bonding within the cluster core. This view is supported by results of an energy decomposition analysis of the intrinsic interactions between the Al_6H_6 core and the amidinate ligands in $[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{H}^{\text{Fiso}})_2]^{2-}$ (Supplementary Fig. 22 and Supplementary Table 6). Calculations of the NICS values of **1**′ at the centre of the Al_6H_6 core suggest that the cluster exhibits significant 3-dimensional aromaticity (NICS_{iso} = −12.49 ppm, NICS_{zz} = −45.74 ppm) (Supplementary Table 7), as is common for polyhedral boranes¹.

Methods

General. Experiments were carried out under a dry, oxygen-free dinitrogen atmosphere using Schlenk-line and glove-box techniques. All solvents and reagents were rigorously dried and deoxygenated before use. Compounds were variously characterised by elemental analyses, NMR, FTIR, and Raman spectroscopies, single crystal X-ray diffraction studies, and DFT calculations. Further details are available in Supplementary Methods.




Fig. 3 Representations of the aluminium-based MOs of $[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\mu\text{-Fiso})_2]^{2-}$. **a** LUMO+7 (5.64 eV), **b** HOMO (3.42 eV), **c** HOMO-1 (3.30 eV), **d** HOMO-2 (1.96 eV), **e** HOMO-3 (1.84 eV), **f** HOMO-4 (1.70 eV), **g** HOMO-9 (-0.01 eV)

Preparation of $[(^{\text{Xyl}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}(\text{OEt}_2)]$. A freshly prepared solution of MeMgI (28.4 mmol) in diethyl ether (80 mL) was added over 20 min to a stirred solution of $^{\text{Xyl}}\text{NacnacH}^{26}$ (8.08 g, 26.4 mmol) in diethyl ether (100 mL) at -20°C , yielding a colourless precipitate. The suspension was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h after which time the precipitate of the title compound was collected by filtration. The supernatant solution was concentrated to ca. 40 mL and cooled to -30°C to afford a second crop (12.86 g, 90%). M.P. $195\text{--}197^\circ\text{C}$ (decomp.); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, C_6D_6) $\delta = 0.44$ (br, 6H; OCH_2CH_3), 1.54 (s, 6H; NCCH_3), 2.07 (br, 6H; *ortho*- CH_3), 2.64 (br, 6H; *ortho*- CH_3), 3.11 (br, 4H; OCH_2CH_3), 4.86 (s, 1H; *CH*), 6.75–7.15 (m, 6H; *Ar-H*); $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, C_6D_6) $\delta = 13.0$ (NCCH_3), 18.7 (*ortho*- CH_3), 21.1 (*ortho*- CH_3), 23.4 (OCH_2CH_3), 65.9 (OCH_2CH_3), 95.3 (*CH*), 124.7, 129.6, 131.5, 147.7 (*Ar-C*), 168.8 (NCCH_3); MS (EI 70 eV), m/z (%): 457.1 ($\text{MH}^+ \text{-OEt}_2$, 5), 306.4 ($^{\text{Xyl}}\text{NacnacH}^+$, 100); IR (Nujol) ν (cm^{-1}): 1518s, 1262m, 1215w, 1197m, 1185m, 1148m, 1092m, 1021m, 996m, 857m, 848w, 775s, 758m, 636m. Note: A satisfactory reproducible microanalysis of the compound could not be obtained due to co-crystallisation of the product with small amounts (ca. 3%) of the β -diketimine, $^{\text{Xyl}}\text{NacnacH}$, which could not be removed after several recrystallisations.

Preparation of $[(^{\text{Xyl}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2$. Toluene (80 mL) and diethyl ether (ca. 2 mL) were added to $[(^{\text{Xyl}}\text{Nacnac})\text{MgI}(\text{OEt}_2)]$ (1.58 g, 2.40 mmol). The resultant solution was rapidly stirred over a sodium mirror (0.70 g, 30.4 mmol) for 5 days to yield a yellow/green suspension. This was filtered, the yellow filtrate concentrated to ca. 20 mL and placed at -30°C overnight to give yellow crystals of the title compound. A second crop was isolated after further concentration and cooling of the supernatant solution (0.28 g, 29%). M.P. $180\text{--}181^\circ\text{C}$ (decomp.); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, 298 K, C_6D_6) $\delta = 1.48$ (s, 12H; NCCH_3), 1.90 (br. s, 24H; *ortho*- CH_3), 4.76 (s, 2H; *CH*), 6.85–7.10 (m, 12H; *Ar-H*); $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (100 MHz, 298 K, C_6D_6) $\delta = 19.2$ (NCCH_3), 23.1 (*ortho*- CH_3), 95.3 (*CH*), 124.1, 128.4, 131.8, 148.0 (*Ar-C*), 166.3 (NCCH_3); MS (EI 70 eV), m/z (%): 659.5 (MH^+ , 10); IR (Nujol) ν

(cm^{-1}): 1555s, 1520w, 1278m, 1262m, 1182m, 1094m, 1023m, 809w, 762m. Note: A satisfactory reproducible microanalysis of the compound could not be obtained due to co-crystallisation of the product with small amounts (ca. 5%) of the iodide bridged magnesium(II) dimer, $[(^{\text{Xyl}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}(\mu\text{-I})_2]$, which could not be removed after several recrystallisations. The constitution of this co-crystallised mixture was confirmed by a poor quality crystal structure determination of $[(^{\text{Xyl}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2$, details of which are not reported here due to the low quality of the diffraction data.

Preparation of $[(^{\text{Mes}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{Fiso})_2]$ (1a**).** $[(\mu\text{-N,N-Fiso})\text{Al}(\text{H})(\mu\text{-H})_2]^{16}$ (164 mg, 0.21 mmol) was added to a suspension of $[(^{\text{Mes}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2^{27}$ (300 mg, 0.42 mmol) in benzene (5 mL) in a grease-free Schlenk flask (20 mm diameter). The mixture was heated to 65°C for 5 min or until a bright red solution formed. Allowing the solution to stand at room temperature for 4 days resulted in the deposition of red crystals of **1a** (20 mg, 18% based on aluminium). M.P. $> 150^\circ\text{C}$ (decomp.) $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, 298 K, solid state) $\delta = 17.5$, 20.6, 25.4, 27.7, 95.1, 123.8, 129.4, 131.5, 142.1, 146.4, 163.4, 167.4; MS (EI 70 eV), m/z (%): 335.5 ($^{\text{Mes}}\text{NacnacH}_2^+$, 100), 365.5 (FisoH_2^+ , 38); MALDI-TOF MS m/z : 335.5 ($^{\text{Mes}}\text{NacnacH}_2^+$), 357.4 ($(^{\text{Mes}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}^+$), 365.5 (FisoH_2^+), 389.4 ($(\text{Fiso})\text{Al-H}^+$); IR (Nujol) ν (cm^{-1}): 1798m (Al-H str.), 1648s (br, incl. Al-H str.), 1542vs, 1197m, 1176m, 1146s, 1097s, 1021s, 854s, 803s, 755s; Raman (solid under N_2 , 514 nm excitation, cm^{-1}): $\nu = 3064\text{m}$, 1352s, 1318m, 522m, 386m. A similar yield of **1a** was obtained when the reaction was conducted in cyclohexane (ca. 12 mL). Elemental analysis calculated for $\text{C}_96\text{H}_{134}\text{Al}_6\text{Mg}_2\text{N}_8\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}$: C 72.29%, H 8.68%, N 6.61%; found: C 72.13%, H 8.55%, N 6.54%. Notes: (i) A few crystals of the known magnesium(II) hydride dimer, $[(^{\text{Mes}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}(\mu\text{-H})_2]^{15}$, the known aluminium(III) hydride, $[(\text{Fiso})_2\text{AlH}]^{16}$, and the new colourless dialanate salt, $[(^{\text{Mes}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2(\mu\text{-H})_2[\text{H}_3\text{Al-AlH}_3]$, co-crystallised with **1a** from the reaction mixture. All were identified by X-ray crystallography (Supplementary Fig. 9). Insufficient amounts of $[(^{\text{Mes}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2(\mu\text{-H})_2[\text{H}_3\text{Al-AlH}_3]$ were obtained to

allow spectroscopic characterisation, but it is noteworthy that the 2,6-diethylphenyl substituted analogue of the compound, $[\{(\text{D}^{\text{ep}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}\}_2(\mu\text{-H})_2][\text{H}_3\text{Al-AlH}_3]$, has been previously reported and fully characterised¹⁴. (ii) Reproducible low yield syntheses of **1a** (typically 5–20%) were achieved under a number of reaction conditions. For example, toluene, hexane, cyclohexane or benzene could be used as the reaction solvent, the reaction temperature was varied from ca. 60–80 °C, the reaction stoichiometry was varied from 1.2:1 to 2:1 ($[\{(\text{Mes}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}\}_2][\{(\mu\text{-N,N-Fiso})\text{Al}(\text{H})(\mu\text{-H})_2\}]$), and the time the reaction mixture was kept at elevated temperature varied from 5 to 25 min. The time required for the reaction depended strongly on the diameter of the reaction flask. (iii) Compound **1a** (and **1b-c**) have negligible solubility in common deuterated solvents once crystallised, so no meaningful solution state spectroscopic data could be acquired for them. Attempts to dissolve **1a** in d_8 -THF led to decomposition of the compound. (iv) Attempts were made to obtain solution state spectroscopic data on **1a** from red reaction solutions before it crystallised from those solutions. NMR spectroscopic data on those solutions showed complex product mixtures (Supplementary Fig. 10), while ESI mass spectroscopic analyses of the reaction solutions showed no ion that could be assigned to **1a** or its fragmentation products.

Preparation of $[(\text{D}^{\text{ep}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{Fiso})_2]$ (1b**).** $[\{(\mu\text{-N,N-Fiso})\text{Al}(\text{H})(\mu\text{-H})_2\}]$ ¹⁶ (102 mg, 0.13 mmol) was added to a suspension of $[\{(\text{D}^{\text{ep}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}\}_2]$ ¹⁹ (200 mg, 0.26 mmol) in benzene (2 mL) in a grease-free Schlenk flask (20 mm diameter). The mixture was heated to 65 °C for 5 min or until a bright red solution formed. Allowing the solution to stand at room temperature for 4 days resulted in the deposition of deep red crystals of **1b** (10 mg, 5% based on aluminium). M.P. > 150 °C (decomp.); IR (Nujol) ν (cm⁻¹): 1834m (Al-H str.), 1633s (br, incl. Al-H str.), 1538s, 1366vs, 1338s, 1320s, 1261s, 1232m, 1177s, 1108s, 1023s, 946m, 802s. No solution state spectroscopic data could be obtained for the compound due to its negligible solubility in common organic solvents. A reproducible microanalysis of the compound could not be obtained due to its low yield and the fact that it co-crystallised with the known dialanate salt, $[\{(\text{D}^{\text{ep}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}\}_2(\mu\text{-H})_2][\text{H}_3\text{Al-AlH}_3]$ ¹⁴, and the new, colourless magnesium(II) complex, $[(\text{Fiso})\text{Mg}(\text{D}^{\text{ep}}\text{Nacnac})]$. The latter compound was subsequently intentionally synthesised, spectroscopically characterised, and its X-ray crystal structure obtained (Supplementary Figure 8).

Preparation of $[(\text{Xyl}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2[\text{Al}_6\text{H}_6(\text{Fiso})_2]$ (1c**).** $[\{(\mu\text{-N,N-Fiso})\text{Al}(\text{H})(\mu\text{-H})_2\}]$ ¹⁶ (118 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added to a suspension of $[\{(\text{Xyl}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}\}_2]$ ¹⁹ (200 mg, 0.30 mmol) in benzene (3 mL) in a grease-free Schlenk flask (20 mm diameter). The mixture was heated to 65 °C for 5 min or until a bright red solution formed. Allowing the solution to stand at room temperature for 4 days resulted in the deposition of deep red crystals of **1c** (10 mg, 4% based on aluminium). M.P. > 150 °C (decomp.); IR (Nujol) ν (cm⁻¹): 1829m (Al-H), 1623m (br incl. Al-H str.), 1593m, 1543vs, 1336s, 1322s, 1265m, 1257m, 1233m, 1185s, 1096m, 1031m, 951m, 934m, 843m, 802m, 762s, 698m. No solution state spectroscopic data could be obtained for the compound due to its negligible solubility in common organic solvents. A reproducible microanalysis of the compound could not be obtained due to its low yield and the fact that it co-crystallised with colourless crystalline compounds from which it could not be completely separated.

Preparation of $[(\text{Mes}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}]_2[\text{Al}_6\text{D}_6(\text{Fiso})_2]$ (1a-D**).** $[\{(\mu\text{-N,N-Fiso})\text{Al}(\text{D})(\mu\text{-D})_2\}]$ ¹⁶ (165 mg, 0.21 mmol), prepared as per the procedure for $[\{(\mu\text{-N,N-Fiso})\text{Al}(\text{H})(\mu\text{-H})_2\}]$ ¹⁶ (see Supplementary Methods), was added to a suspension of $[\{(\text{Mes}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}\}_2]$ ²⁷ (300 mg, 0.42 mmol) in benzene (5 mL) in a grease-free Schlenk flask (20 mm diameter). The mixture was heated to 65 °C for 5 min or until a bright red solution formed. Allowing the solution to stand at room temperature for 4 days resulted in the deposition of red crystals of **1a** (21 mg, 19% based on aluminium). M.P. > 150 °C (decomp.); IR (Nujol) ν (cm⁻¹): 1665m, 1546s, 1388vs, 1366vs, 1338s, 1259s, 1231m, 1195m, 1146s, 1098s, 1022s, 856m, 803m, 754s, 698s; Raman (solid under N₂, 514 nm excitation, cm⁻¹): ν = 1353s, 1320m, 523w, 445m, 380w.

Preparation of $[(\text{Fiso})\text{Mg}(\text{D}^{\text{ep}}\text{Nacnac})]$. This compound was a by-product in the preparation of **1b**. It was subsequently intentionally synthesised as follows. Toluene (10 mL) was added to a solid mixture of $[\{(\text{D}^{\text{ep}}\text{Nacnac})\text{Mg}(\mu\text{-}^i\text{Bu})_2\}]$ ¹⁹ (0.390 g, 0.440 mmol) and FisoH (0.331 g, 0.907 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was then stirred for 90 min at 40 °C to afford a colourless solution. The resultant solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to 3 mL, *n*-hexane (4 mL) was added, and the solution was stored at -30 °C overnight to afford colourless crystals of $[(\text{Fiso})\text{Mg}(\text{D}^{\text{ep}}\text{Nacnac})]$ (0.31 g, 47%). M.P.: gradually softens above 210 °C and takes on a yellow colour above 290 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ = 1.06 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 6.9 Hz, 24H; CH(CH₃)₂), 1.10 (t, ³J_{H,H} = 7.6 Hz, 12H; CH₂CH₃), 1.53 (s, 6H; NCCH₃), 2.55 (dq, ^{2,3}J_{H,H} = 15.0 Hz, 7.5 Hz, 4H; CH₂CH₃), 2.67 (dq, ^{2,3}J_{H,H} = 15.0 Hz, 7.5 Hz, 4H; CH₂CH₃), 2.99 (sept, ³J_{H,H} = 6.9 Hz, 4H; CH(CH₃)₂), 4.92 (s, 1H; CH), 6.96–7.10 (m, 12H; Ar-H), 7.94 (s, 1H; N₂CH); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.5 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ = 13.8 (CH₂CH₃), 23.8 (NCCH₃), 24.6 (CH₂CH₃), 25.0 (CH(CH₃)₂), 28.7 (CH(CH₃)₂), 96.0 (CH), 123.6, 123.9, 125.3, 125.9, 137.2, 142.5, 143.8, 147.4 (Ar-C), 169.9 (NCCH₃), 171.5 (N₂CH); IR (Nujol): ν (cm⁻¹) = 1665m, 1595w, 1537s, 1531s, 1505m, 1462s, 1443s, 1390s, 1377s,

1321m, 1274s, 1266s, 1207m, 1181m, 1108m, 1031m, 1018m, 962w, 934m, 854m, 806m, 799m, 755s, 722m; elemental analysis calculated for C₅₀H₆₈N₄Mg: C 80.13%, H 9.14%, N 7.48%; found: C 80.03%, H 9.17, N 7.43%.

Data availability. The X-ray crystallographic coordinates for structures reported in this study have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), under deposition numbers 1830325–1830331. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Received: 18 May 2018 Accepted: 6 July 2018

Published online: 06 August 2018

References

- Nöth, H. in *Molecular Clusters of the Main Group Elements* (eds Driess, M. & Nöth, H.) 34–94 (Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004).
- Wade, K. Structural and bonding patterns in cluster chemistry. *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.* **18**, 1–66 (1976).
- Mingos, D. M. P. Polyhedral skeletal electron pair approach. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **17**, 311–319 (1984).
- Brown, H. C. *Boranes in Organic Chemistry*. (Cornell, Ithaca, 1972).
- Martin, D. R. The development of borane fuels. *J. Chem. Educ.* **36**, 208–214 (1959).
- Gabel, D. & Endo, Y. in *Molecular Clusters of the Main Group Elements* (eds Driess, M. & Nöth, H.) 95–125 (Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004).
- Aldridge, S. & Downs, A. J. Hydrides of the main-group metals: new variations on an old theme. *Chem. Rev.* **101**, 3305–3365 (2001).
- Li, X. et al. Unexpected stability of Al₄H₆: a borane analog? *Science* **315**, 356–358 (2007).
- Grubisic, A. et al. Closo-alanes (Al₄H₄, Al_nH_{n+2}, 4 ≤ n ≤ 8): a new chapter in aluminum hydride chemistry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 5969–5975 (2007).
- Zhang, X. et al. Low oxidation state aluminum-containing cluster anions: LAIH⁻ and LAI_n⁻ (n = 2–4, L = N[Si(Me)₃]₂). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **19**, 15541–15548 (2017).
- Wang, H. et al. Photoelectron spectroscopy of boron aluminum hydride cluster anions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **140**, 164317 (2014).
- Schnöckel, H. Structure and properties of metalloid Al and Ga clusters open our eyes to the diversity and complexity of fundamental chemical and physical processes during formation and dissolution of metals. *Chem. Rev.* **110**, 4125–4163 (2010).
- Ecker, A. & Schnöckel, H. Synthesis and structural characterization of an Al₇ cluster. *Nature* **387**, 379–381 (1997).
- Bonyhady, S. J., Holzmann, N., Frenking, G., Stasch, A. & Jones, C. Synthesis, characterization, and computational analysis of the dialanate dianion, [H₃Al-AlH₃]²⁻: a valence isoelectronic analogue of ethane. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **56**, 8527–8531 (2017).
- Bonyhady, S. J. et al. Synthesis of a stable adduct of dialane(4) (Al₂H₄) via hydrogenation of a magnesium(I) dimer. *Nat. Chem.* **2**, 865–869 (2010).
- Cole, M. L., Jones, C., Junk, P. C., Kloth, M. & Stasch, A. Synthesis and characterization of thermally robust amidinato group 13 hydride complexes. *Chem. Eur. J.* **11**, 4482–4491 (2005).
- Jones, C. Dimeric magnesium(I) β-diketiminates: a new class of quasi-universal reducing agent. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **1**, 0059 (2017).
- Stasch, A. & Jones, C. Stable dimeric magnesium(I) compounds: from chemical landmarks to versatile reagents. *Dalton Trans.* **40**, 5659–5672 (2011).
- Lairempuia, R. et al. Activation of CO by hydrogenated magnesium(I) dimers: sterically controlled formation of ethanediate and cyclopropanetriolate complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 8944–8947 (2015).
- Tan, G., Szilvási, T., Inoue, S., Blom, B. & Driess, M. An elusive hydridoaluminum(I) complex for facile C–H and C–O bond activation of ethers and access to its isolable hydridogallium(I) analogue: syntheses, structures, and theoretical studies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 9732–9742 (2014).
- Marchal, R. et al. Structures and stabilities of small, ligated Al_nL_n^{0/2-} and Al_nL_{n+2} clusters (L = H, Cl)—a theoretical study. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, 4856–4866 (2012).
- Yao, C. H. et al. Structures and electronic properties of hydrogenated aluminum clusters. *Eur. Phys. J. D* **57**, 197–205 (2010).
- McKee, M. L., Wang, Z.-X. & von Rague Schleyer, R. Ab initio study of hypercloso boron hydrides B_nH_n and B_nH_n⁻. Exceptional stability of neutral B₁₃H₁₃. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **122**, 4781–4793 (2000).
- Linti, G., Coban, S. & Dutta, D. Das Hexagallan [Ga₆{SiMe(SiMe₃)₂}]₆ und das closo-hexagallanat [Ga₆{Si(CMe₃)₄(CH₂C₆H₅)₂}]²⁻—Der Übergang zu einem ungewöhnlichen precloso-cluster. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **630**, 319–323 (2004).

25. Mesbah, W. et al. *Hypercloso*-hexa(amino)hexaboranes: structurally related to known *hypercloso*-dodecaboranes, metastable with regard to their classical cycloisomers. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, 5577–5582 (2009).
26. Feldman, J. et al. Electrophilic metal precursors and a β -diimine ligand for nickel(II)- and palladium(II)-catalyzed ethylene polymerization. *Organometallics* **16**, 1514–1516 (1997).
27. Bonyhady, S. J. et al. β -Diketiminato-stabilized magnesium(I) dimers and magnesium(II) hydride complexes: synthesis, characterization, adduct formation, and reactivity studies. *Chem. Eur. J.* **16**, 938–955 (2010).

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Australian Research Council (C.J. and A.S.), the U.S. Air Force Asian Office of Aerospace Research and Development (grant FA2386-18-1-0125 to C.J.), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (FR 641/25-2) (G.F.), and Director, Bragg Institute, ANSTO, 2011 approval of DB 1959 (A.J.E. and C.J.).

Author contributions

C.J. and A.S. contributed to conception and design of experiments, data collection, analysis and interpretation; G.F., A.J.E., S.J.B., D.C. and N.H. contributed to data collection, analysis and interpretation; R.O.P. extracted the neutron single-crystal data from the Laue diffraction images; C.J. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05504-x>.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2018