© 2014 International Society of Nephrology

The growth of acute kidney injury: a rising tide or just closer attention to detail?

Edward D. Siew¹ and Andrew Davenport²

¹Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA and ²UCL Center for Nephrology, Royal Free Hospital, University College London Medical School, London, UK

Acute kidney injury (AKI), previously termed acute renal failure, is associated with increased mortality, prolonged hospital stay, and accelerated chronic kidney disease (CKD). Over the past 2 decades, dramatic rises in the incidences of AKI have been reported, particularly within the United States. The question arises as to whether these changes reflect actual increases in disease incidence, or are potentially explained by the introduction of consensus definitions that rely on small standardized changes in serum creatinine, changes in coding and reimbursement, or increasingly available and more liberal use of dialysis. In this review, we explore the secular trends in AKI incidence in North America and Western Europe and its potential contributors.

Kidney International (2015) **87,** 46–61; doi:10.1038/ki.2014.293; published online 17 September 2014

KEYWORDS: acute renal failure; epidemiology and outcomes; nephrotoxicity

Throughout the medical literature, classic descriptions of acute kidney injury (AKI) have detailed its devastating effects on individual patients.¹⁻³ However, similar efforts to characterize the impact of AKI at a population level have been lacking until recently. Over the past two decades, the increased availability of electronic health records and large prospective cohorts of patients with AKI have facilitated the study of this disease in different settings. Rapid increases in the incidence of AKI have been reported, highlighting a growing contribution to the public health burden of advanced kidney disease.4-15 Collectively, these observations have led to calls for greater resources to be directed toward its treatment and prevention.^{12,16-18} However, residual concerns exist over the potential inflating effects of using administrative codes and increasingly sensitive laboratory definitions for reporting disease incidence.¹⁹ Furthermore, if the growth observed is indeed 'real,' then the factors responsible remain poorly characterized. Here, we review these trends and explore potential explanations for these observations.

GROWTH IN THE INCIDENCE OF AKI: IS IT REAL?

Changes in the incidence of AKI using administrative codes Most data illustrating a growth in hospitalized AKI have used administrative codes that rely on health-care providers to document that AKI has occurred. Xue et al.6 evaluated the growth of AKI between 1992 and 2001 among elderly Medicare beneficiaries. Medicare is the US government program designed to provide health-care coverage for people aged ≥ 65 years and those with end-stage renal disease. By sampling 5 million hospitalizations, they found an increase in the standardized rates of acute renal failure (ARF) from 14.6/1000 discharges to 36.4/1000 discharges using diagnostic codes for ARF (11%/year) (Figure 1a). The rises in rates occurred whether ARF was coded as a principal or secondary diagnosis, arguing against the 'adding-on' of these diagnoses to maximize reimbursement as a major determinant of these changes. Furthermore, the steady nature of the rise in this and other studies would not necessarily be expected from, for example, an abrupt change in reimbursement policies. Nevertheless, this study was limited by reporting of hospital-based incidences that can be affected by temporal variation in admission practices and case-mix.

Correspondence: Edward D. Siew, Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, MCN S3223, Nashville, Tennessee 37232, USA. E-mail: edward.siew@vanderbilt.edu

Received 27 August 2013; revised 21 January 2014; accepted 31 January 2014; published online 17 September 2014



Figure 1 | **Temporal trends in the hospital-based and population-based incidence of acute kidney injury (AKI).** (a) Hospital-based incidence in AKI among elderly (aged >65 years) Medicare beneficiaries using administrative codes (USA).⁶ (b, c) Community-based incidence of nondialysis- and dialysis-requiring AKI in Northern California (USA) using administrative codes and creatinine-based definitions,⁹ respectively. (d) Population incidence of dialysis-requiring AKI using the Nationwide Inpatient Sample and US Census data.³² ARF, acute renal failure.

Using both administrative codes and US census data, Waikar *et al.*⁷ examined the *population-based* incidence of AKI within a nationally representative data set of hospitalizations between 1988 and 2002. The Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) captures patient-level data from a 20% stratified probability sample of teaching and nonteaching hospitals across the United States. During this pre-RIFLE time period, the population-based incidence of ARF rose from 610 to 2880 cases per million per year. As with the Medicare study, increases were seen using either primary or secondary ARF codes.

The decision to enter a discharge code of ARF is influenced by multiple factors including whether the event is deemed clinically significant or as part of health-care reimbursement. Therefore, it is important to understand how increasing awareness or other external factors may affect coding practices. Although difficult to measure directly, some insight can be gained by examining change in the performance of administrative codes over time against a known reference standard (for example, serum creatinine change). Increasing awareness among medical providers might manifest by either gains in the sensitivity for AKI codes or loss of specificity (that is, increase in false positives). Using a doubling of serum creatinine between nadir and peak hospital values, the authors detected improvement in the diagnostic sensitivity of the major International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification diagnosis codes for ARF, Ninth Revision, between 1994 (17.4% of cases) and 2002 (29.3% of cases).⁷ However, the degree of improvement in the sensitivity observed was determined to be insufficient (70% needed in 2002) to account for the majority of growth observed.

Hwang *et al.*²⁰ examined the validity of the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (implemented in Canada since 2000) codes for acute kidney failure among elderly patients in Canada. Compared with the period examined in an earlier report (1994–2002), this later study encompassed years following publication of the RIFLE (Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss, and End-stage Kidney Disease) criteria (2003–2010). Using a doubling of serum creatinine (prehospital to peak within 48 h), investigators reported a substantially higher diagnostic sensitivity of 61.6% (95% confidence interval: 57.5–65.5). Even when a milder

injury standard was used (that is, a 50% increase in serum creatinine), the same diagnostic codes were still almost twice as sensitive at 56.4% (95% confidence interval: 53.2–59.7) than those observed in the earlier US study. Specificities in this and the study of Waikar *et al.*⁷ remained >95%, arguing against a large number of false positives contributing to these reported increases. Although geographical variation in practice and coding patterns make accurate comparisons between these two studies impossible, the higher sensitivities observed in the later time periods do suggest a trend toward increased AKI reporting.

More recently, Grams *et al.*²¹ evaluated the performance of discharge billing codes for AKI in hospitalized patients from the ARIC (Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities) cohort between 1996 and 2008 using KDIGO (Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes) criteria as the reference standard. The sensitivity of billing code-identified AKI improved from 9.7% (1996–2002) to 24.4% (2002–2008), with specificity remaining high in both time eras. Collectively, these findings indicate that the sensitivity of administrative codes for AKI vary by region, and have increased over time. Thus, studies relying *exclusively* on coding to examine changes in AKI incidence or its related outcomes should be interpreted with caution.

Changes in the incidence of AKI using laboratory-based criteria

Despite these observations, several lines of evidence suggest that growth in AKI is occurring. Hou *et al.*²² and Nash *et al.*²³ described early changes in incidence and risk factors for AKI between two tertiary care hospitals. They applied the same set of graded changes in serum creatinine during hospitalization and observed an increase in the hospital-based incidence of AKI from 4.9% in 1979 to 7.2% in 1996. However, these studies were conducted in two different medical centers where regional differences in admission practices may have contributed.

A decade ago, studies uncovering associations between incremental changes in serum creatinine and mortality led to the development of the first consensus definition for AKI.^{14,24} Known as the RIFLE criteria,²⁵ this classification scheme introduced a consistent approach for defining and staging AKI, allowing for more standardized comparisons between settings. Numerous validation studies have since confirmed a dose-dependent relationship between the severity of AKI and poor outcomes, prompting even more sensitive iterations of these criteria in recent years (Acute Kidney Injury Network (AKIN)/KDIGO) (Table 1).^{26,27}

Although the usefulness of these newer criteria to clinical practice remain to be defined, their application within research settings has resulted in large increases in the reported incidence of AKI, driven largely by the inclusion of less severe AKI (Table 2a-c). Another important consideration is that creatinine-based definitions of AKI require quantifying acute changes from a so-called 'baseline' value. Ideally, this value would reflect a given patient's steady-state

kidney function just before the AKI insult. However, information on prehospital kidney function is often lacking, prompting the use of various surrogate estimates. These may include inpatient values (for example, admission, nadir) or the imputation of values such as back-calculating a baseline creatinine using estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of 75 ml/min per 1.73 m² (eGFR 75) in patients with missing data.²⁵ However, this approach can inflate or reduce the reported incidence of AKI and its prognosis.^{28,29} In one study of 4863 hospitalized adults, the use of eGFR 75 approach or the minimum inpatient serum creatinine increased the incidence of AKI from 25.5% to 38.3% or 35.9%, respectively, compared with when AKI was measured using a known outpatient baseline value.²⁸ These increases were likely owing, in part, to erroneously identifying patients with stable chronic kidney disease (CKD) as having AKI. The use of minimum inpatient creatinine also appeared to overestimate AKI incidence. Although the reasons are not clear, potential explanations include changes in serum creatinine resulting from volume depletion on admission, followed by active rehydration protocols that further lower nadir serum creatinine values, leading to overreporting of AKI. Conversely, the use of the first admission serum creatinine value as a baseline led to an underreporting of AKI incidence at 13.7%, possibly because of unrecognized community-acquired AKI. As the misclassifying effects of surrogate baselines can be pronounced when applied to a large portion of the study population, interpreting epidemiologic studies of AKI that liberally apply these surrogates should be made with these limitations in mind. It also highlights the importance of providing the best estimate of baseline kidney function possible using available clinical information (see 'Limitations of serum creatinine as a biomarker of AKI' under the section 'Future Directions').

The strongest evidence supporting the growth of nondialysis-requiring AKI comes from studies applying a fixed definition sequentially over time. Hsu et al. leveraged an integrated health-care system within Northern California (USA) to examine the population incidence of AKI between 1996 and 2003. Using previous criteria described by Hou et al.,²² AKI was defined by an increase in serum creatinine level of 0.5 mg/dl for patients with a baseline serum creatinine level of $\leq 1.9 \text{ mg/dl}$, 1.0 mg/dl for patients with a baseline level of 2.0-4.9 mg/dl, and 1.5 mg/dl for patients with a baseline level of $\geq 5.0 \text{ mg/dl}$. In addition to improving sensitivity, this approach likely reduced other potential sources of bias. For example, a recent study suggests that clinicians may be more likely to code for AKI among CKD patients, possibly because their absolute baseline creatinine levels are already elevated.²⁰ Furthermore, given the nonlinear relationship between GFR and serum creatinine, patients with CKD require a smaller loss in kidney function to be classified as having AKI when applying a 0.3 mg/dl threshold for change than in patients with preserved kidney function. Thus, an increase in the hospital prevalence of patients with CKD over time may make it 'easier' for a

Table 1 | Evolution of consensus definitions for AKI

Criteria	1	RIFLE ²⁵			AKIN ²⁶			KDIGO ^{27,92}
Date o release	f	2004			2007			2012
Baselin	e	Not specifically defined. If not avail calculate a serum creatinine using 75 ml/min/1.73 m ² using the MDRD	lable, back- an eGFR of 9 equation	48-h	window	Not specifica serum creati SCr using M min/1.73 m ²	illy d nine DRD whei	efined. If not available, use lowest during hospitalization, or calculate assuming baseline eGFR 75 ml/ n there is no evidence of CKD
Time ir	nterval	Diagnosis and staging: within 1–7 of sustained more than 24 h	days and	Diag Stag	nosis: within 48 h ing: 1 week	Diagnosis: 50 0.3 mg/dl (26	0% ir 5.5 μr	ncrease in SCr within 7 days or nol/l) within 48 h
Criteria	1	Creatinine	Urine output		Creatinine (urir criteria sa	ne output me)		Creatinine (urine output criteria same)
Stage	Risk	Increased SCr 1.5–1.9 times baseline or GFR decrease >25%	<0.5 ml/kg/h for 6–12 h	1	Increased SCr 1.5 baselin <i>OR</i> ≥0.3 mg/dl (≥2 increas	5–1.9 times e 6.5 µmol/l) e	1	Increased SCr 1.5–1.9 times baseline (7 days) OR ≥0.3 mg/dl (≥26.5 µmol/l) increase (48 h)
	Injury	2.0–2.9 times baseline or GFR decrease >50%	<0.5 ml/kg/h for ≥12 h	2	Same as RIFLI eGFR crit	E minus eria	2	same as AKIN
	Failure	3.0 times baseline, GFR decrease $>75\%$, or SCr \ge 4.0 mg/dl (354 $\mu mol/l$) with an acute rise of \ge 0.5 mg/dl (44 $\mu mol/l$)	<0.3 ml/kg/h for ≥24 h OR Anuria for ≥12 h	3	Same as RIFLE o eGFR criteria r	or on RRT. emoved	3	3.0 times baseline, OR Increase in SCr \ge 4.0 mg/dl (354 µmol/l) OR Initiation of renal replacement therapy OR For < 18 years, decrease in eGFR to < 35 ml/min per 1.73 m ²
	Loss	Persistent ARF = complete loss of kidney function (need for dialysis) >4 weeks			Notable diffe (1) Addition of 0.3 m change in SCr to in nostic sens (2) eGFR criteria (3) 48-h time wind acuity (also allows baseline va (4) Exclusion of Los gories as diagno	rences: ng/dl absolute ncrease diag- itivity removed pow to ensure for inpatient lues) ss/ESKD cate- stic criteria		Notable differences: (1) Time frame differences for absolute versus relative changes in serum creatinine (2) 0.5 mg/dl increase for those with SCr ≥4.0 mg/dl (354 μmol/l) no longer required if minimum AKI threshold met (3) Inclusion of eGFR criteria for children
	ESKD	End-stage kidney disease (>3 months)						children

Abbreviations: AKI, acute kidney injury; AKIN, Acute Kidney Injury Network; ARF, acute renal failure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; MDRD, Modification of Diet in Renal Disease; KDIGO, Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes; RIFLE, Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss, and End-stage Kidney Disease; SCr, serum creatinine.

Table 2a	Hospital-based	incidence rates	of AKI for	cardiac surgery	before and	after RIFLE/AKIN/KDIGO
----------	----------------	-----------------	------------	-----------------	------------	------------------------

Study		Era		Country	Enrollment	Setting	Definition of AKI	Incidence
Chertow et al.134		Before		USA (Veterans Affairs)	1987–1994	Cardiac surgery	RRT	1.1%
Mangano <i>et al.</i> ¹³⁵	RIFLE	AKIN	KDIGO	USA	1991–1993	Cardiac surgery	Postoperative serum creatinine > 2 mg/dl with at least a 0.7 mg/dl increase from preoperative levels.	7.7%
Lenihan <i>et al</i> . ⁷⁵				USA (National Hospital Discharge Survey)	1999–2008	Cardiac surgery	ICD-9 Codes for ARF	7.7%
Hobson <i>et al.</i> ¹³⁶		After		USA (Florida)	1992–2002	Cardiothoracic surgery	RIFLE	43%
Dasta et al. ¹³⁷	RIFLE	AKIN	KDIGO	USA (Pittsburgh)	1998–2002	Cardiac surgery (CABG)	RIFLE	6.9%
Kuitunen <i>et al</i> . ¹³⁸				Finland (Helsinki)	2003	Cardiac surgery	RIFLE	19.3%

greater proportion of patients to be classified as having AKI. Requiring progressively larger increases in serum creatinine to meet diagnostic criteria as the baseline rises as in the above criteria reduces this potential for bias. Using these criteria, Hsu *et al.* reported that the community-based incidence of non-dialysis AKI increased from 3227 to 5224 per million

Table 2b Hospital-b	ased inci	idence rä	ates of AKI before an	d after RIFL	e/akin/kdigo		
Study	Era		Country	Enrollment	Setting	Definition used	Incidence
Hou <i>et al.</i> ²² RIFLE	Before AKIN	KDIGO	USA (Chicago)	1979	Hospitalized (single center)	Increase in serum creatinine by 0.5 mg/dl if baseline ≤ 1.9 mg/dl, 1.0 mg/dl if baseline 2.0-4.9 mg/dl, and 1.5 mg/dl if baseline ≥5 mg/dl	4.9%
Nash et al. ²³			USA (Boston)	1996	Hospitalized (single center)	Increase in serum creatinine by 0.5 mg/dl if baseline ≤1.9 mg/dl if baseline 2.0-4.9 mg/dl, and 1.5 mg/dl if baseline ≥5 mg/dl	7.2%
Liano <i>et al.</i> ¹³⁹			Spain (Madrid)	1991–1992	Hospitalized (multicenter)	Increase in serum creatinine 2 mg/dl in normal renal function or 50% increase if CKD	AKI: 209/million/year (95% CI: 195–223) ATN: 88/million/year (95% CI:79–97)
Hsu <i>et al.</i> ⁹			USA (California)	1996–2003	Hospitalized (multicenter)	Increase in serum creatinine by 0.5 mg/dl if baseline ≤ 1.9 mg/dl, 1.0 mg/dl if baseline 2.0-4.9 mg/dl, and 1.5 mg/dl if baseline ≥5 mg/dl	Nondialysis-requiring AKI: 3227 to 5224 per million person-years Dialysis-requiring AKI: 195 to 295 per million person-vears
Liangos <i>et al.</i> ¹³⁹			USA (National Hospital Discharge Survey)	2001	Hospitalized patients (multicenter)	ICD-9-CM codes for acute renal failure	1.9%
Uchino <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴⁰ RIFLE	After AKIN	KDIGO	Australia (Melbourne)	2000–2002	Hospitalized (single center)	RIFLE	18%
Ali et al. ¹⁴¹			United Kingdom (Scotland)	2003	Hospitalized patients (multicenter)	RIFLE	1811/million/year (AKI) 336/million/year (ACRF)
Porter <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴²			United Kingdom (Nottingham)	2011–2013	Hospitalized patients (multicenter)	AKIN + RIFLE	10.7%

Table 2c|ICU-based incidences rates of AKI before and after RIFLE/AKIN/KDIGO

Study		Era		Country	Enrollment	Setting	Definition used	Incidence
Brivet <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴³ F	SIFLE	Before AKIN	KDIGO	France	1991	<u>IC</u>	Increase in serum creatinine to > 3.5 mg/dl or BUN > 100 mg dl in non-CKD or 100%	7%
Uchino <i>et al.</i> ⁴				Global	2000–2001	ICU	Severe AKI: urine output <200 ml per 12 h or BUN > 84 mg/dl + RRT	5.7% (95% Cl: 5.5–6.0%)
Hoste <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴⁴		After		USA (Pittsburgh)	2000-2001	ICU (single center)	RIFLE	67%
Osterman <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴⁵ F	RIFLE	AKIN	KDIGO	United Kingdom and Germany	1988–1999	ICU (multicenter)	RIFLE	35.8%
Bagshaw <i>et al.</i> ^{146,147}				Australia/New Zealand	2000–2005	ICU (multicenter)	RIFLE on admission AKIN on admission	36.1% 37.1%
Bagshaw <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴⁸				Australia/New Zealand	2000-2005	ICU patients with sepsis (multicenter)	RIFLE on admission	42.1%
Cruz <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴⁹ Nisula <i>et al.</i> ¹⁵⁰				Italy Finland (Helsinki)	2003 2011–2012	ICU (multicenter) ICU (multicenter)	RIFLE KDIGO	10.8% (95% Cl: 9.5–12.1) 39.3% (95% Cl: 37.5–41.1)
Abbreviations: ACRF, acu surgery; Cl, confidence in Failure, Loss, and End-stay	te on chi terval; Ck ge Kidney	ronic renal fail (D, chronic kidt / Disease; RRT,	ure; AKI, ac ney disease renal replac	ute kidney injury; AKIN, Acute k ; ICD-9-CM, International Classific cement therapy.	kidney Injury Netwo ation of Diseases, C	nk; ARF, acute renal failure; ATN :linical Modification; ICU, intensiv	, acute tubular necrosis; BUN, blood urea nitro e care unit; KDIGO, Kidney Disease: Improving G	ogen; CABG, coronary artery bypass Global Outcomes; RIFLE, Risk, Injury,

50

Table 3 | Studies reporting the population-based incidence of dialysis-requiring acute kidney injury (AKI)

Study	Country	Enrollment years	Population-based incidence per million per year
Feest et al. ¹⁵¹	UK (England)	1986–1990	22
Waikar <i>et al</i> .7 ^a	USA (National)	1988	40
Khan <i>et al</i> . ¹⁵²	UK (Scotland)	1989–1990	50
Liano <i>et al</i> . ¹⁵³	Spain (Madrid)	1991	57
Korkeila et al. ¹⁵⁴	Finland (Kuopio)	1992–1993	80
Stevens et al. ¹⁵⁵	UK (East Kent)	1996	83
Cole et al. ¹⁵⁶	Australia (Victoria)	1996	134
Hsu(CY) et al. ⁹ a	USA (California)	1996	195
Robertson et al. ¹⁵³	⁷ UK (Scotland)	1994–2000	187
Metcalfe et al. ³¹	UK (Scotland—	2000	203
	Grampian/Tayside)		
Hsu(RK) et al. ³² a	USA (National)	2000	222
Waikar <i>et al.</i> 7 ^a	USA (National)	2002	270
Prescott et al. ¹⁵⁸	UK (Scotland—entire)	2002	286
Hsu(CY) et al. ^{9 a}	USA (California)	2002	295
Hsu(RK) et al. ^{32 a}	USA (National)	2009	533

^aData from multiple years presented from single studies.

patient-years (Figure 1b), confirming observations that growth is occurring and reminding us that the number of patients with AKI is substantially larger than captured by administrative data alone.

Changes in the incidence of dialysis-requiring AKI

There has also been a parallel increase in observed rates of AKI requiring renal replacement therapy (RRT). As RRT is a procedure tightly linked to reimbursement, it is less susceptible to variations in coding practices. One study found a high sensitivity (90.3%) and specificity (93.8%) using procedure codes for RRT linked to major AKI codes when using chart review as a diagnostic standard.³⁰ Using the same approach to interrogate the NIS, the incidence of AKI requiring RRT within the United States increased by sixfold from 40 to 270 patients per million population between 1988 and 2002. Hsu et al.9 observed a remarkably similar increase in the rates of AKI requiring RRT from 195 to 295 per million person-years between 1996 and 2003 using an integrated health-care system database in California (USA) (Figure 1c). Similar growth has been reported in other countries (Table 3). For example, Metcalfe et al.³¹ estimated the population-based incidence of AKI requiring RRT in Scotland (United Kingdom) at 203 cases per million in the year 2000, a rate mirroring the US estimate from the same year (222 cases per million population).³² A subsequent prospective study using data encompassing all hospitals within Scotland estimated that the population-based incidence of AKI requiring RRT grew to 286 (95% confidence interval: 269-302) cases per million in 2002.31 Concurrent growth within the United States also occurred with a similar population incidence of 270 per million population in 2002.7 A recent update using the NIS database by Hsu et al.³² reported continued increases by up to 10%/year between 2000 and 2009, with a near tripling in the absolute number of annual cases (Figure 1d). However, the extent to which these increases reflect changes in underlying patient characteristics, provider practices, or increased availability of RRT over time is not yet known (see the section 'Potential reasons for growth in AKI' below).

In conclusion, studies have demonstrated a growing incidence of AKI among hospitalized patients. However, interpreting trends in AKI and its outcomes should be interpreted with potential increases in reporting and the inclusion of less severe AKI in mind. Nevertheless, population-based studies using more 'objective' creatinine-based criteria coupled with a rapidly growing incidence of AKI requiring dialysis suggest that increases in AKI are indeed occurring and that the numbers of patients experiencing AKI are larger than those indicated by administrative data alone.

POTENTIAL REASONS FOR THE GROWTH IN AKI Earlier and more liberal use of dialysis

One possible explanation for the increasing incidence of AKI requiring RRT is more liberal application of dialytic support. However, between 1988 and 2002, Waikar *et al.*⁷ observed increases in the comorbidity burden and illness severity of patients receiving acute dialysis in the United States. For example, the proportion of AKI patients receiving dialysis with at least 3 comorbidities rose from 16.9 to 24.6% between the first and last third of the study. Furthermore, the proportion of those requiring mechanical ventilation also increased from 18.0% in 1988 to 32.4% in 2002. Although 'code-creep' may have partially contributed to the former, the rise in mechanical ventilation is less likely to be affected by coding and do not support more liberal application of acute dialysis to less sick patients as the primary reason for these increases.

Other possibilities include increasing availability of RRT and earlier or lower thresholds for initiation, a trend observed with the initiation of chronic dialysis.33,34 Although observational data do suggest potential benefit for earlier initiation in AKI,^{35,36} few studies have examined secular trends in the timing of dialysis initiation during AKI. Table 4 lists the serum creatinine and blood urea nitrogen levels at the time of RRT initiation within major observational studies and clinical trials within the past two decades. We have also included a few selected studies from the more distant past for comparison. Although it is clear that the timing of initiation has evolved since the 'early days' of dialytic therapy, more recent data do not suggest an obvious trend toward earlier initiation over the past two decades using these criteria alone. However, as most studies included are clinical trials with specific criteria for RRT initiation, these findings may not mimic changes in real-world practice patterns. Furthermore, recent practice surveys suggest that nephrologists are more likely to initiate RRT based on more 'imminent' indications such as hypervolemia, acidosis, or electrolyte disturbances rather than the degree of azotemia alone, particularly as severity of illness increases.^{37,38} For example, attention to prognostic significance of fluid overload in critically ill patients with and without AKI has

Study	Туре	Location (country)	Study enrollment	Mean serum creatinine at initiation (mg/dl) ^a	Mean BUN at initiation (mg/dl)
Farly					
Parsons <i>et al</i> . ¹⁵⁹	Historical comparison	UK	1956–1958	—	Early 120–150 Late 200
Fischer <i>et al</i> . ¹⁶⁰	Historical comparison				Early 152
Kleinknecht <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶¹	Historical comparison	France	1966–1970	_	Early threshold 93 Late 164
Contemporary					
Gettings et al. ¹⁶²	Observational	Scotland	1989–1997	3.3 ± 1.8	73.2 ± 39.6
Mehta et al. ¹⁶³	RCT (modality study)	USA	1991–1995	4.4, 4.6	78.5, 87.1
Schiffl et al. ¹⁶⁴	RCT (dose of IHD)	Germany	1993–1998	4.9±1.4	91 ± 13
				4.6 ± 1.0	88±16
Ronco <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶⁵	RCT (dose of CRRT)	Italy	1994–1999	3.5 ± 1.5	51.0 ± 12.1
				3.7 ± 1.6	50.1 ± 10.9
				3.6 ± 2.1	54.1 ± 12.1
Bouman <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶⁶	RCT (early vs. late)	Netherlands	1998–2000		Early 45.7 (38.4–57.7) Late 104.7(61.6–116.0)
Cho et al. ¹⁶⁷	Observational (PICARD)	USA	1999–2001	4.0, 5.1 (by modality)	77, 95 (by modality)
Vinsonneau <i>et al</i> . ¹⁶⁸	RCT (modality study) (Hemodiafe)	France	1999–2003	4.8 (95% Cl: 4.6–5.2) 4.9 (95% Cl: 4.3–5.3)	86.8 (95% CI: 81.2–92.4) 81.2 (95% CI: 72.9–86.8)
Uchino <i>et al</i> . ¹⁶⁹	Observational (BEST study)	Global	2000–2001	Median (IQR) 3.3 (2.2-4.8)	not reported
Carl et al. ¹⁷⁰	Observational (early vs. late)	USA	2000–2004	Early 5.0 ± 2.1	Early: 66.0 ± 20.2 Late: 137 + 28.4
Prescott <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷¹	Observational	UK	2002	Median (range) 4.2 (0.55–26.9), 5.8 (0.77–19.8) (by CKD status)	Median (range) 72.8 (11.2–263) 100.8 (25.2–308.1) (by CKD status)
Palvesky et al. ¹⁷²	RCT (dose of RRT)	USA	2003-2007	4.1 ± 2.3	65.9 ± 30.2
i intestiy et an	(ATN Study)			4.1 ± 2.0	66.7 ± 35.2
Bellomo <i>et al</i> . ¹⁷³	RCT (dose of CRRT)	Australia/New Zealand	2005–2008	3.8 ± 2.2	67.8 ± 37.3
	(ANZICS)			3.7 ± 2.2	63.9 ± 34.2

Table 4 Mean/median serum BUN and creatinine at initiation of RRT in observational studies and clinical trials

Abbreviations: ATN, acute tubular necrosis; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy; IHD, intermittent hemodialysis; IQR, interquartile range; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RRT, renal replacement therapy.

 $^{a}\mbox{lf}$ data were from RCT, means \pm s.d. were presented for each arm (if available).

also increased in recent years, although its impact on acute dialysis practice is not yet known.³⁹⁻⁴² However, one study examining secular trends in dialysis-requiring AKI following elective major surgery within Ontario, Canada, found that the timing of dialysis postoperatively shrank from a median of 5 days (interquartile range: 3–9) in 1995 to 2 days (interquartile range: 1–6) in 2009.¹⁰ Further studies are required to determine whether and why more aggressive dialysis initiation is occurring and whether this strategy is yielding significant benefit. Nevertheless, it should be emphasized that AKI requiring dialysis still represents a small fraction of patients experiencing AKI.

Increases in comorbidity burden that affect susceptibility to AKI

Another potential contributor to the growth in AKI is an increase in the number of patients hospitalized who are susceptible to this disease. As the absolute number of hospital deaths associated with AKI requiring dialysis has increased, the case–fatality ratio appears to be decreasing. The previously described study by Waikar *et al.*⁷ revealed declining mortality from as high as 41.3% in 1988 down to 28.1% in 2002, a decrease not entirely attributable by increased discharges to ongoing care facilities. The mortality associated with dialysis-requiring AKI has since decreased further to 23.5% in 2009 in a similar study by Hsu et al.³² Although improving therapies for diseases including myocardial infarction, sepsis, and acute lung injury may be contributing, similar progress for treatment of AKI itself has not occurred. The latter prompts the question over whether some of these improvements may be due to an increasing number of patients who require a less severe insult that prompts the need for RRT. For example, as CKD is the predominant premorbid risk factor for AKI,^{43,44} an increase in the hospital prevalence of CKD would be a plausible explanation for both the increasing earlier initiation and the lower associated mortality rates observed. Although it has been pointed out that only modest increases in the population-based prevalence of CKD have occurred,^{16,45,46} it is not clear whether the same holds true for hospitalized populations. A recent Canadian study found a near tripling in the prevalence of patients with CKD being considered

for major surgery from 2.0% in 1995-1997 to 5.5% in 2006–2009.47 Unfortunately, diagnostic codes have been shown to be relatively insensitive for capturing CKD with sensitivities ranging between 26.6 and 42.4% and may also be subject to 'code-creep' over time.48-50 One study examining the secular trends of renal dysfunction in patients hospitalized with heart failure found that the mean admission eGFR of patients admitted to Mayo Clinic hospitals between 1987 and 2002 decreased from 73 ± 31 to 55 ± 25 ml/min per 1.73 m².⁵¹ Although likely reflecting some increase in AKI, some of this trend may also be attributable to an increasing prevalence of CKD among patients with heart failure. Of importance, as heart failure hospitalizations continue to rise (Figure 2b),⁵² it is worth noting that nearly one-third of patients hospitalized for heart failure within the United States and more than half of the patients in the French intensive care units have admission creatinine values of $> 2 \text{ mg/dl}.^{53}$

In addition to lower eGFR, other risk factors that confer susceptibility to AKI may also be increasing in prevalence. For example, proteinuria has been identified to have a dosedependent association with the risk of developing AKI.^{54–56} The hypothesis that this may also be contributing is supported by analyses of the NIS data set indicating that the prevalence of diabetes among hospitalized patients was as high as 19.4% in 2008.⁵⁷ Similarly, obesity itself has recently been identified to be an independent risk factor for AKI, an effect potentially mediated by increases in the burden of oxidative stress.⁵⁸ Parallel increases in the prevalence of obesity among hospitalized patients are also being observed.⁵⁹ Last, one of the fastest growing group of patients experiencing AKI is the elderly,⁸ a group that constitutes ~ 35% of hospitalizations within the United



Figure 2 | Temporal trends in sepsis and heart failure hospitalizations. National US trends of hospital discharges for (**a**) sepsis using the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (USA)⁶⁶ and (**b**) congestive heart failure using the National Hospital Discharge Survey (USA).⁵²

States.⁶⁰ In addition to being most likely to experience critical illnesses,^{61,62} age-related structural and functional changes in the kidney including sclerosis, vascular rarefaction, and loss of GFR and autoregulatory capacity all combine to increase the risk for AKI in this growing population.⁶³

Other changes in case-mix

Acute and chronic conditions associated with AKI. Another possibility that might explain the reported growth in AKI includes increases in its underlying precipitants.⁴ For example, increases in hospitalizations for sepsis have paralleled growth in AKI,64-66 recently surpassing acute myocardial infarction and stroke for frequency of emergency medical service encounters (Figure 2a). Two studies examining the populationbased incidence of sepsis in the United States reported ageadjusted increases in sepsis-related hospitalization of $\sim 8\%$ per year.^{65,66} Among the elderly, rates of hospitalization with pneumonia also increased by 20% between 1988 and 2002, with an accompanying increase in patients admitted with ≥ 3 comorbid diagnoses including a higher prevalence of CKD.⁶⁷ These changes have also been characterized by increasing illness severity, with severe sepsis accounting for nearly half of the sepsis-related hospitalizations, as well as increases in accompanying organ failure, with the lung and kidney being most commonly involved.

Another increasingly common condition associated with the development of AKI is acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF). ADHF remains a leading cause of Medicareassociated hospitalizations, constituting ~ 1 million admissions per year.⁵² Impaired kidney function is extremely common among patients hospitalized with ADHF, and it is one of the most potent predictors of mortality.^{68,69} Approximately 64% of patients with serum creatinine values on admission have an eGFR of $<60 \text{ ml/min per } 1.73 \text{ m}^{2},^{68,69}$ with worsening of renal function during hospitalization occurring in up to 37% of hospitalized patients with ADHF.^{70,71} Hospitalization rates for ADHF have increased by nearly 150% over the past two decades⁵² (Figure 2b), a number projected to rise with the advancing age of the population and improved survival in patients with cardiovascular disease.

A higher frequency of invasive procedures over time has also been suggested as a part of the changing casemix of AKI.^{16,23} Hassan *et al.*⁷² demonstrated that rates of angioplasty in Canada more than doubled between 1994 and 2005, whereas rates of coronary bypass surgery remained relatively stable, resulting in an increase in percutaneous coronary intervention to coronary artery bypass surgery ratio. However, despite this increasing frequency of percutaneous coronary intervention, rates of AKI have actually declined for patients associated with acute myocardial infarction, particularly among those requiring cardiac catheterization.⁷³ By analyzing 33,249 hospitalizations from the electronic medical record data set of 56 hospitals across the United States between 2000 and 2008, Amin *et al.*⁷³ found a decrease in the adjusted rates of AKI by 4.4% a year during this time interval (5.5% in those treated with cardiac catheterization; Figure 3a). These findings persisted even when more severe definitions of AKI were used (that is, doubling of serum creatinine) and after adjusting for a potential increase in the frequency of monitoring of kidney function.⁷⁴

One result of the increasing application of minimally invasive procedures including percutaneous coronary intervention and laparoscopic surgeries may be an increasing complexity of cases referred for major surgery. A recent study of patients undergoing major elective surgery in Ontario, Canada, between 1995 and 2009 found that patients were increasingly older (increasing proportion of age ≥ 65 years from 39.5 to 50.6%) and sicker (proportion with ≥ 2 comorbidities increasing from 10.2 to 18.4%) over time.¹⁰ Patients hospitalized with an underlying diagnosis of CKD, hypertension, congestive heart failure, liver disease, and diabetes were at a higher independent risk for dialysisrequiring AKI, the incidence of which increased threefold during this time frame. This increase was experienced primarily by those undergoing cardiac (1 in 390 to 1 in 80) and vascular (1 in 230 to 1 in 85) surgeries (Figure 3b). This trend has also been confirmed among cardiac surgery patients within the United States.⁷⁵ As data from randomized controlled trials continue to favor coronary artery bypass surgery for patients with advanced coronary artery disease or complex lesions, this population may reflect an



Figure 3 | Temporal trends in MI and surgery associated acute kidney injury (AKI). Temporal trends in the incidence of dialysis-requiring AKI during hospitalization for (**a**) acute myocardial infarction in the United States⁷³ and (**b**) major surgery in Ontario, Canada.¹⁰

54

important expanding subgroup of patients at risk for developing AKI.^{76,77}

In an attempt to quantify the potential impact of some of the above-mentioned factors, Hsu *et al.*³² used regression modeling to potentially explain the continued growth of dialysis-requiring AKI. Adjusting for demographics, as well as hospitalizations involving sepsis, mechanical ventilation, congestive heart failure, and cardiac catheterization, the authors found that increases in these types of hospitalizations accounted for only 30% of the observed growth. Even considering potential shifts in inpatient case-mix to more complicated procedures such as major surgery, one would potentially expect these to be also captured by mechanical ventilation records, suggesting the importance of other contributors.

One increasingly recognized contributor includes patients with cancer.⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰ Improvements in the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of malignancy have reduced cancer-related mortality by 20% over the past two decades.⁸¹ As patients with malignancy largely include the elderly with high comorbidity burden, this improved survival may also be increasing the number of survivors at risk for developing AKI. In addition, these welcome advances also carry inherent risks that can contribute to AKI. Recent data using creatinine-based definitions suggest that the incidence of AKI among hospitalized patients with cancer may be as high as 12%.82 The etiology of AKI varies widely in this population, but includes traditional risk factors such as volume depletion from vomiting and diarrhea, sepsis from immunosuppression, attendant antibiotic use (prophylaxis and treatment), and serial imaging. In addition, disease-associated factors including renal cancers, cast nephropathy, tumor lysis syndrome, hypercalcemia and hyperuricemia, glomerular disease, and obstruction are also important contributors. Finally, the spectrum of potentially nephrotoxic chemotherapies and myeloablative protocols have grown drastically, including, but not limited to, platinum-based therapies, methotrexate, calcineurin inhibitors, gemcitabine, cytokine therapies (for example, interleukin-2), and anti-vascular endothelial growth factor agents, and are detailed elsewhere.83 Enhancing our understanding of these adverse sequelae and their management (that is, 'Onco-nephrology') has been recently recognized by the American Society of Nephrology as a growing area of need.78

Medications

Early single-center studies were among the first to suggest an expanding role of medications in the changing epidemiology of AKI. In the previous series of studies by Hou *et al.*²² and Nash *et al.*,²³ the contribution of medications grew from 7 to 16% over a 17-year span between two centers. This increase in prominence was marked by increases in the repertoire of potential nephrotoxic drugs available. In 1979, aminogly-cosides accounted for 82% of AKI caused by drugs; however, by 1996, they accounted for only 29% of drug-related AKI.

Large observational studies of critically ill patients estimate that medications now contribute to almost one-fifth of severe AKI in adults.⁸⁴ Even among children, nephrotoxin exposures (16% of cases) have supplanted primary renal disease (7% of cases) as a leading cause of AKI.⁸⁵ A recent matched case–control study in 2008 found that most (>80%) of all hospitalized children with and without AKI were exposed to at least one potentially nephrotoxic medication, with a median number of at least two (range: 0–8) for children who developed AKI.⁸⁶

In addition to newer chemotherapeutic agents, nephrotoxins are becoming increasingly varied with an ever-expanding repertoire of antimicrobial agents.87 Recent attention has also been directed to commonly used interventions previously considered to be benign. These include a critical reexamination of certain intravenous fluid formulations including hydroxyethyl starches⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰ and, more recently, chloride-rich solutions.⁹¹ The former have been associated with a higher incidence of AKI and RRT in recently conducted trials in critically ill patients, and no longer recommended for initial volume expansion.92,93 Chloriderich solutions have also been demonstrated to associate with an increased risk for AKI and RRT in a recent open-label sequential period study;⁹¹ however, these findings remain to be validated in randomized trials. As secular trends in the growth in use of chloride-rich solutions are difficult to quantify, their contribution to the increasing rates of AKI observed remain uncertain. However, increasing emphasis on early and aggressive fluid resuscitation as a component of standard therapy in diseases such as sepsis have occurred over the past decade.94,95 These data compel us to examine the safety of intravenous solutions with the same level of scrutiny as other pharmacotherapeutics.

Even outside of hospitalization, an increasing spectrum of medications commonly administered in a chronic stable setting is becoming recognized for their nephrotoxic potential (Table 5). Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug exposure, in particular, continues to be problematic in patients at risk for AKI, including those with hypertension, congestive heart failure, and CKD, and it is one of the five areas recently identified by the American Society of Nephrology Quality and Patient Safety Task Force 'most open' to improvement.^{96,97} In addition to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, proton-pump inhibitors and phosphate-based purgatives have been implicated in AKI, many of which do not require a prescription and therefore more difficult to study.

Aside from an increasing variety of nephrotoxic medications, growth in AKI over the past two decades has also occurred on a background of increasingly aggressive blood pressure control. This is particularly true among patients with CKD, the group identified to be at the highest risk for developing AKI.^{43,44} Peralta *et al.*⁹⁸ observed that nearly one-third of hypertensive patients with CKD were on ≥ 3 antihypertensive drugs, including 50% on diuretics and 58% on renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibition. Increasing efforts to improve blood pressure control typically increases the number of medications prescribed, leading to a wider pulse pressure and a lowering of diastolic blood pressure. Even in the absence of frank hypotension, a fall in blood pressure in patients with impaired renal autoregulation, particularly those with CKD, hypertension, and the elderly, may lead to increased risk of AKI.⁹⁹

One component of the above strategy has included widespread adoption of RAAS inhibitors. No other class of medications has been so widely integrated into treatment for multiple chronic conditions, including proteinuric and nonproteinuric CKD, diabetes, coronary artery disease, systolic heart failure, and hypertension.¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰⁵ Simultaneously, efforts to improve CKD awareness, including increased electronic reporting, have potentially increased their use.^{106–108} However, although the renal benefits of lowering intraglomerular pressure are well established, it is also known to come at the expense of blunting regional hemodynamic autoregulation that may lower the threshold for developing or worsening AKI in circumstances that 'stress the kidney' in susceptible individuals. One recent study observed that RAAS inhibition was among the most common medication classes associated with adverse drug events among patients hospitalized with AKI, including exacerbation of hypotension or AKI itself.¹⁰⁹ RAAS inhibition has also been associated with AKI in other conditions such as cardiac surgery and contrast exposure.^{110,111} Analyses from the ON-TARGET and the VA NEPHRON-D studies, two randomized studies comparing single versus dual RAAS blockade, found the latter to be associated with a greater loss of kidney function over time, a finding largely driven by increases in AKI.^{112,113} Not surprisingly, the use of a triple therapy combination, of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, diuretics, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, was also recently reported to be associated with a 31% increased risk for AKI.¹¹⁴ In aggregate, these findings suggest that the changing pharmacoepidemiology of AKI is an important and emerging area of investigation.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Limitations of serum creatinine as a biomarker of AKI

As the potential contributors to AKI become more varied and common, a premium will be placed upon the ability to extend AKI phenotyping beyond describing the clinical setting in which the injury occurs. Most studies predominantly use changes in serum creatinine to stage AKI. In addition to how the choice of baseline creatinine can affect the reported incidence of AKI, a few important biological and measurement considerations of creatinine potentially limit accuracy in diagnosing AKI. Leaving aside the inherent difficulties in interpreting small changes in serum creatinine in neonates and infants, serum creatinine depends equally upon both creatinine generation and excretion. Creatinine generation can be influenced by multiple factors, and can be reduced in AKI. Whether changes in production rate is similar in all types of AKI is unknown, as intuitive differences between sepsis-associated AKI and drug-induced AKI, for

Study	Design/setting	Medication	AKI definition	Risk of AKI
Leonard <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁴ (1987–2002)	Nested case-control in a National General Practitioner data set, London, UK	Proton-pump inhibitor	Acute interstitial nephritis (diagnosis codes, free text)	Adjusted OR 3.2 (95% Cl: 0.80–12.79)
Dormuth <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁵ (1997–2008)	Nested case-control of new users aged $>$ 40 years, Canada + UK + USA	High-potency statins	Hospitalization for AKI using a validated coding algorithm	Fixed effect rate ratio: non-CKD 1.34 (95% Cl: 1.25–1.43) CKD 1.1 (95% Cl: 0.99–1.23)
Bird <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁶ (2001–2011)	Nested case-control study of men aged 45–80 years within a Health Plan Claims Database, United States	Fluoroquinolones	Hospitalization with a primary discharge diagnosis of ARF (ICD-9-CM)	RR 2.18 (95% CI: 1.74–2.73)
Hurst <i>et al</i> . ¹⁷⁷ (2002–2006)	Retrospective Cohort, Department of Defense EMR	Phosphate-based purgatives (USA)	50% Increase in serum creatinine	Adjusted OR 2.35 (95% Cl: 1.51–3.66)
Zhao <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁸ (2004–2008)	Population-based cohort study of elderly adults, Ontario, Canada	Fibric acid derivatives	Hospitalization for increase in serum creatinine code (ICD-10) within 90 days of prescription	Adjusted OR 2.4 (95% Cl: 1.7–3.3)
Schneider <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁹ (2006)	Nested case-control study of elderly patients in Quebec, Canada	NSAIDs/COX-2 inhibitors	Hospitalization with ICD-9 discharge diagnoses of acute renal failure within 30 days of prescription	RR 2.05 (95% CI: 1.61–2.60)
Wikman <i>et al</i> . ¹⁸⁰ (2008–2011)	Prospective cohort of 271 consecutively treated HIV patients	HAART therapy (Madrid/ Spain)	RIFLE/AKIN	7 episodes/100 patient-years
Gandhi <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸¹ (2003–2012)	Population-based retrospective cohort of elderly adults in Ontario, Canada	Calcium-channel blocker + clarithromycin	Hospitalization with ICD-9 discharge diagnoses of acute renal failure within 30 days of prescription	OR 1.98 (95% Cl: 1.68–2.34) compared with azithromycin

Table 5 Medicat	ions that associat	e with AKI at a	population level
-------------------	--------------------	-----------------	------------------

Abbreviations: AKI, acute kidney injury; ARF, acute renal failure; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; EMR, electronic medical record; HAART, highly active antiretroviral therapy; ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk.

example, likely exist.¹¹⁵ In addition, creatinine generation or release will also depend upon hepatic creatine synthesis, which will be reduced in liver disease, and affected by other endocrine disorders.¹¹⁶ Finally, it must also be recognized that serum creatinine is measured as a concentration and thus affected by variations in volume status, particularly among patients with congestive heart failure, one of the growing populations experiencing AKI discussed in this review. Recent attempts to quantify the impact of fluid accumulation on the characterization of AKI suggest that it can hinder a timely diagnosis or mask less severe injury.^{40,117} In a post hoc analysis of the Fluid and Catheter Treatment Trial (FACTT), Liu et al.40 found that AKI was potentially misclassified in up to 18% of patients after adjusting serum creatinine values for net fluid balance and estimated total body water. Most cases were patients in whom the diagnosis of AKI would have otherwise been 'missed' without adjustment. These patients experienced mortality rates similar to those with AKI that persisted before and after adjustment. These data suggest that the incidence of AKI may actually be underestimated in some patients and that the impact of fluid accumulation in its diagnoses and staging is not trivial.

Conversely, modest increases in serum creatinine may not necessarily reflect parenchymal injury and may even be associated with improved prognosis in some circumstances. For example, Coca *et al.*¹¹⁸ recently demonstrated that preoperative use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/ angiotensin receptor blocker before cardiac surgery associates with AKI using serum creatinine-based definitions but not with significant elevations in tubular injury markers compared with non-AKI patients. Testani *et al.*^{119,120} observed that the indices of hemoconcentration associated strongly with worsening renal function (that is, increases in serum creatinine) yet also with reduced mortality during treatment of decompensated heart failure. Collectively, these examples highlight the need to allow for complementary information regarding ongoing parenchymal damage to be added to observed functional changes. The ability to segregate tissue injury from changes in function is a knowledge gap that novel tissue injury biomarkers propose to fill.^{121–123} Figure 4 illustrates the conceptual framework proposed by the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) that describes how AKI might be classified using a combination of both functional (for example, serum creatinine, urine output) markers and damage (for example, tubular injury) biomarkers. This expands upon the current paradigm that infers injury by the presence of functional changes alone. The potential for enhanced phenotyping with newer injury markers might allow for the characterization of 'subclinical' injury (upper right quadrant) that may eventually be accompanied by functional loss but still associate with worse outcomes, patients with loss of function who may be at risk for damage, and those with both ongoing damage and loss of function (lower right quadrant).¹²³ In addition to facilitating a timely and accurate diagnosis of ongoing parenchymal damage, recent studies suggest that these markers may potentially provide additional diagnostic and prognostic information that complement serum creatinine.124-126

In the interim, future studies that use administrative codes alone to examine trends in the incidence of AKI and its associated outcomes should be interpreted in light of



Figure 4 | Proposed framework by the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) for evaluating acute kidney injury (AKI) using both functional and damage markers simultaneously.^{121,123}

potential increases in reporting over time that includes less severe AKI. Whenever possible, the magnitude of these effects should be estimated by consistently applying a single laboratory-based definition throughout the survey period in at least a subset of patients. Greater emphasis should also be placed on providing population-based, rather than hospital-based, incidence rates to reduce the impact of variation in admission practices in disease reporting.¹²⁷ Attention to premorbid information on baseline kidney function is also essential to minimize potential bias and to anchor the study of the long-term effects of AKI.¹²⁸ If information on premorbid kidney function is not available, estimates of baseline kidney function should make use of clinical data available and sensitivity analyses performed.¹²⁹ Regardless of the further refinements in the diagnostic approach to this disease, it is important to remember that each iterative definition has demonstrated a dose-dependent association between increasingly severe AKI and poor outcomes.^{13,130,131} Refinements to standardized definitions for other acute conditions such as acute myocardial infarction that also once heavily relied on coding but continue to incorporate newer and improved diagnostics along with robust validation efforts highlight an important path for investigators of AKI to follow.^{132,133} Last, with data sources currently available, continued efforts should be undertaken to pinpoint the reasons for this observed growth, including identifying subgroups experiencing the most rapid increases in AKI and modifiable risk factors that can attenuate this growth.

SUMMARY

The hospital- and population-based reported incidences of AKI have increased in North America and Europe. Although evidence suggests some increases in the diagnostic sensitivity of administrative codes, studies applying consistent creatinine-based definitions over time indicate that 'true' increases in AKI are occurring. The incidence of dialysis-requiring AKI is also increasing, although the reasons for this growth and the effect of changes in how this treatment is being applied remains to be studied. Contributors to the growth of AKI include increases in the known precipitants of AKI such as sepsis, major surgery, and congestive heart failure, higher age and comorbidity burden of patients that increase the risk of AKI including CKD, proteinuria, diabetes, and obesity, and the broadening repertoire of medications that either are directly nephrotoxic or may lower the threshold for sustaining AKI.

In conclusion, the important work accomplished in this field within a relatively short time frame has uncovered that AKI is a growing problem. The parallel increase in workload, related patient outcomes, and escalating health-care costs associated with this disease highlight important and growing challenges for the medical community. Reducing the burden of AKI will require identifying those experiencing the fastest growth in AKI and its complications, refinements to how we approach the diagnosis of AKI, including the development and validation of biomarkers that can complement the limitations of serum creatinine, and research that identifies modifiable targets to prevent, treat, and reduce the impact of this disease.

DISCLOSURE

EDS reports a previous consulting agreement with Abbvie and Alere. AD declared no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

EDS is supported by NIH K23 DK088964-03.

REFERENCES

- Marketos SG, Eftychiadis AG, Diamandopoulos A. Acute renal failure according to ancient Greek and Byzantine medical writers. J R Soc Med 1993; 86: 290–293.
- Swan RC, Merrill JP. The clinical course of acute renal failure. *Medicine* 1953; 32: 215.
- Smith HJ. The Kidney: Structure and Function in Health and Disease fourth printing. Oxford University Press: London, England, 1964; 810.
- Uchino S, Kellum JA, Bellomo R et al. Acute renal failure in critically ill patients: a multinational, multicenter study. Jama 2005; 294: 813–818.
- Mehta RL, Pascual MT, Soroko S et al. Spectrum of acute renal failure in the intensive care unit: the PICARD experience. *Kidney Int* 2004; 66: 1613–1621.
- Xue JL, Daniels F, Star RA *et al.* Incidence and mortality of acute renal failure in Medicare beneficiaries, 1992 to 2001. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 17: 1135–1142.
- Waikar SS, Curhan GC, Wald R et al. Declining mortality in patients with acute renal failure, 1988 to 2002. J Am Soc Nephrol 2006; 17: 1143–1150.
- Hsu RK, McCulloch CE, Dudley RA et al. Temporal Changes in Incidence of Dialysis-Requiring AKI. J Am Soc Nephrol 2013; 24: 37-42.
- Hsu CY, McCulloch CE, Fan D et al. Community-based incidence of acute renal failure. *Kidney Int* 2007; 72: 208–212.
- Siddiqui NF, Coca SG, Devereaux PJ et al. Secular trends in acute dialysis after elective major surgery–1995 to 2009. CMAJ 2012; 184: 1237–1245.
- Hsu CY, Vittinghoff E, Lin F et al. The incidence of end-stage renal disease is increasing faster than the prevalence of chronic renal insufficiency. Ann Intern Med 2004; 141: 95–101.
- 12. Wald R, Quinn RR, Luo J *et al.* Chronic dialysis and death among survivors of acute kidney injury requiring dialysis. *JAMA* 2009; **302**: 1179–1185.
- Ricci Z, Cruz D, Ronco C. The RIFLE criteria and mortality in acute kidney injury: A systematic review. *Kidney Int* 2008; 73: 538–546.
- Chertow GM, Burdick E, Honour M *et al*. Acute kidney injury, mortality, length of stay, and costs in hospitalized patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005; 16: 3365–3370.
- Coca SG, Singanamala S, Parikh CR. Chronic kidney disease after acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Kidney Int* 2011; 81: 442-448.

- Hsu CY. Where is the epidemic in kidney disease? J Am Soc Nephrol 2010; 21: 1607–1611.
- 17. Siew ED, Himmelfarb J. The inexorable rise of AKI: can we bend the growth curve? *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013; **24**: 3–5.
- Chawla LS, Kimmel PL. Acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease: an integrated clinical syndrome. *Kidney Int* 2012; 82: 516–524.
- Lameire N, Van Biesen W, Vanholder R. The changing epidemiology of acute renal failure. Nat Clin Pract Nephrol 2006; 2: 364–377.
- Hwang YJ, Shariff SZ, Gandhi S *et al*. Validity of the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision code for acute kidney injury in elderly patients at presentation to the emergency department and at hospital admission. *BMJ Open* 2012; 2: 1–11.
- Grams ME, Waikar SS, MacMahon B *et al*. Performance and limitations of administrative data in the identification of AKI. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol CJASN* 2014; **9**: 682–689.
- 22. Hou SH, Bushinsky DA, Wish JB *et al*. Hospital-acquired renal insufficieny: a prospective study. *Am J Med* 1983; **74**: 243.
- Nash K, Hafeez A, Hou S. Hospital-acquired renal insufficiency. Am J Kidney Dis 2002; 39: 930–936.
- 24. Levy EM, Viscoli CM, Horwitz RI. The effect of acute renal failure on mortality. A cohort analysis. *JAMA* 1996; **275**: 1489–1494.
- Bellomo R, Ronco C, Kellum JA *et al.* Acute renal failure—definition, outcome measures, animal models, fluid therapy and information technology needs: the Second International Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) Group. *Crit Care* 2004; 8: R204-R212.
- Mehta RL, Kellum JA, Shah SV *et al.* Acute Kidney Injury Network: report of an initiative to improve outcomes in acute kidney injury. *Crit Care* 2007; **11**: R31.
- 27. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). *Kidney Int Supp* 2012; **2**: 19.
- 28. Siew ED, Matheny ME, Ikizler TA *et al.* Commonly used surrogates for baseline renal function affect the classification and prognosis of acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int* 2010; **77**: 536–542.
- 29. Bagshaw SM, Uchino S, Cruz D *et al.* A comparison of observed versus estimated baseline creatinine for determination of RIFLE class in patients with acute kidney injury. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009; **24**: 2739–2744.
- Waikar SS, Wald R, Chertow GM *et al.* Validity of International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification Codes for Acute Renal Failure. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; **17**: 1688–1694.
- Metcalfe W, Simpson M, Khan IH et al. Acute renal failure requiring renal replacement therapy: incidence and outcome. QJM 2002; 95: 579–583.
- 32. Hsu RK, McCulloch CE, Dudley RA *et al.* Temporal Changes in Incidence of dialysis-requiring Acute Kidney Injury. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2013; **24**: 37–42.
- Rosansky S, Glassock RJ, Clark WF. Early start of dialysis: a critical review. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; 6: 1222–1228.
- 34. Rosansky SJ, Eggers P, Jackson K *et al.* Early start of hemodialysis may be harmful. *Arch Intern Med* 2011; **171**: 396–403.
- Liu KD, Himmelfarb J, Paganini E et al. Timing of initiation of dialysis in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 1: 915–919.
- Shiao CC, Wu VC, Li WY *et al.* Late initiation of renal replacement therapy is associated with worse outcomes in acute kidney injury after major abdominal surgery. *Crit Care* 2009; **13**: R171.
- Thakar CV, Rousseau J, Leonard AC. Timing of dialysis initiation in AKI in ICU: international survey. *Crit Care* 2012; 16: R237.
- Clark E, Wald R, Walsh M *et al.* Timing of initiation of renal replacement therapy for acute kidney injury: a survey of nephrologists and intensivists in Canada. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2012; 27: 2761–2767.
- Wiedemann HP, Wheeler AP, Bernard GR *et al.* Comparison of two fluidmanagement strategies in acute lung injury. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **354**: 2564–2575.
- Liu KD, Thompson BT, Ancukiewicz M et al. Acute kidney injury in patients with acute lung injury: impact of fluid accumulation on classification of acute kidney injury and associated outcomes. Crit Care Med 2011; 39: 2665–2671.
- Grams ME, Estrella MM, Coresh J et al. Fluid balance, diuretic use, and mortality in acute kidney injury. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011; 6: 966–973.
- 42. Butcher BW, Liu KD. Fluid overload in AKI: epiphenomenon or putative effect on mortality? *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2012; **18**: 593–598.
- Grams ME, Astor BC, Bash LD *et al.* Albuminuria and estimated glomerular filtration rate independently associate with acute kidney injury. J Am Soc Nephrol 2010; 21: 1757–1764.
- 44. Hsu CY, Ordonez JD, Chertow GM *et al.* The risk of acute renal failure in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 2008; **74**: 101–107.

- Coresh J, Astor BC, Greene T *et al.* Prevalence of chronic kidney disease and decreased kidney function in the adult US population: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003; 41: 1–12.
- Coresh J, Walser M, Hill S. Survival on dialysis among chronic renal failure patients treated with a supplemented low-protein diet before dialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol 1995; 6: 1379–1385.
- Siddiqui NF, Coca SG, Devereaux PJ et al. Secular trends in acute dialysis after elective major surgery–1995 to 2009. CMAJ 2012; 184: 1237–1245.
- Winkelmayer WC, Schneeweiss S, Mogun H *et al.* Identification of individuals with CKD from Medicare claims data: a validation study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2005; **46**: 225–232.
- Fleet JL, Dixon SN, Shariff SZ *et al.* Detecting chronic kidney disease in population-based administrative databases using an algorithm of hospital encounter and physician claim codes. *BMC Nephrol* 2013; 14: 81.
- Kern EF, Maney M, Miller DR *et al*. Failure of ICD-9-CM codes to identify patients with comorbid chronic kidney disease in diabetes. *Health Serv Res* 2006; **41**: 564–580.
- 51. Owan TE, Hodge DO, Herges RM *et al.* Secular trends in renal dysfunction and outcomes in hospitalized heart failure patients. *J Card Fail* 2006; **12**: 257–262.
- 52. Writing Group MembersLloyd-Jones D, Adams RJ *et al.* Heart disease and stroke statistics–2010 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2010; **121**: e46–e215.
- Adams KF Jr, Uddin N, Patterson JH. Clinical predictors of in-hospital mortality in acutely decompensated heart failure-piecing together the outcome puzzle. *Congest Heart Fail* 2008; **14**: 127–134.
- Grams ME, Astor BC, Bash LD *et al.* Albuminuria and estimated glomerular filtration rate independently associate with acute kidney injury. J Am Soc Nephrol 2010; 21: 1757–1764.
- 55. James MT, Hemmelgarn BR, Wiebe N *et al.* Glomerular filtration rate, proteinuria, and the incidence and consequences of acute kidney injury: a cohort study. *Lancet* 2010; **376**: 2096–2103.
- Hsu RK, Hsu CY. Proteinuria and reduced glomerular filtration rate as risk factors for acute kidney injury. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2011; 20: 211–217.
- Fraze T, Jiang JH, Burgess J. Hospital Stays for Patients with Diabetes, 2008 (Statistical Brief #93). Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb93.pdf. 2010.
- Billings FTt, Pretorius M, Schildcrout JS et al. Obesity and oxidative stress predict AKI after cardiac surgery. J Am Soc Nephrol 2012; 23: 1221–1228.
- Patil H, Astik G, House JA et al. Prevalence of grade II and III obesity among patients hospitalized with cardiovascular diagnoses in 2002 v. 2009. Mo Med 2012; 109: 397–401.
- Nagamine M, Jiang J, Merril CT. Trends in elderly Hospitalizations, 1997-2004. Statistical Brief #14. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb14.pdf 2006.
- Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell E, Peabody E et al. Incidence and outcomes of acute lung injury. N Eng J Med 2005; 353: 1685–1693.
- 62. Martin GS, Mannino DM, Moss M. The effect of age on the development and outcome of adult sepsis. *Crit Care Med* 2006; **34**: 15–21.
- 63. Anderson S, Eldadah B, Halter JB *et al.* Acute kidney injury in older adults. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; **22**: 28–38.
- 64. Seymour CW, Rea TD, Kahn JM *et al.* Severe sepsis in pre-hospital emergency care: analysis of incidence, care, and outcome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012; **186**: 1264–1271.
- Martin GS, Mannino DM, Eaton S *et al.* The epidemiology of sepsis in the United States from 1979 through 2000. *N Engl J Med* 2003; **348**: 1546–1554.
- Dombrovskiy VY, Martin AA, Sunderram J et al. Rapid increase in hospitalization and mortality rates for severe sepsis in the United States: a trend analysis from 1993 to 2003. Crit Care Med 2007; 35: 1244–1250.
- Fry AM, Shay DK, Holman RC *et al.* Trends in hospitalizations for pneumonia among persons aged 65 years or older in the United States, 1988-2002. *JAMA* 2005; **294**: 2712–2719.
- 68. Heywood JT, Fonarow GC, Costanzo MR *et al.* High prevalence of renal dysfunction and its impact on outcome in 118,465 patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure: a report from the ADHERE database. *J Card Fail* 2007; **13**: 422–430.
- Fonarow GC, Adams KF Jr, Abraham WT *et al.* Risk stratification for inhospital mortality in acutely decompensated heart failure: classification and regression tree analysis. *JAMA* 2005; **293**: 572–580.
- 70. Forman DE, Butler J, Wang Y *et al.* Incidence, predictors at admission, and impact of worsening renal function among patients hospitalized with heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004; **43**: 61-67.

- Logeart D, Tabet JY, Hittinger L *et al.* Transient worsening of renal function during hospitalization for acute heart failure alters outcome. *Int J Cardiol* 2008; **127**: 228–232.
- Hassan A, Newman A, Ko DT et al. Increasing rates of angioplasty versus bypass surgery in Canada, 1994-2005. Am Heart J 2010; 160: 958–965.
- Amin AP, Salisbury AC, McCullough PA et al. Trends in the incidence of acute kidney injury in patients hospitalized with acute myocardial infarction. Arch Intern Med 2012; 172: 246–253.
- Neilson EG, Johnson KB, Rosenbloom ST et al. The impact of peer management on test-ordering behavior. Ann Intern Med 2004; 141: 196–204.
- Lenihan CR, Montez-Rath ME, Mora Mangano CT *et al.* Trends in acute kidney injury, associated use of dialysis, and mortality after cardiac surgery, 1999 to 2008. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2013; **95**: 20–28.
- Serruys PW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP *et al.* Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary-artery bypass grafting for severe coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360: 961–972.
- Farkouh ME, Domanski M, Sleeper LA *et al.* Strategies for multivessel revascularization in patients with diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 2375–2384.
- Salahudeen AK, Bonventre JV. Onconephrology: the latest frontier in the war against kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol 2013; 24: 26–30.
- Lam AQ, Humphreys BD. Onco-nephrology: AKI in the cancer patient. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2012; 7: 1692–1700.
- Perazella MA, Berns JS, Rosner MH. Cancer and the kidney: the growth of onco-nephrology. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis 2014; 21: 4–6.
- Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z *et al.* Cancer Statistics, 2014. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2014; 64: 9–29.
- Salahudeen AK, Doshi SM, Pawar T et al. Incidence rate, clinical correlates, and outcomes of AKI in patients admitted to a comprehensive cancer center. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2013; 8: 347–354.
- Perazella MA. Onco-nephrology: renal toxicities of chemotherapeutic agents. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012; **7**: 1713–1721.
- 84. Uchino S. The epidemiology of acute renal failure in the world. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2006; **12**: 538–543.
- Hui-Stickle S, Brewer ED, Goldstein SL. Pediatric ARF epidemiology at a tertiary care center from 1999 to 2001. Am J Kidney Dis 2005; 45: 96–101.
- Moffett BS, Goldstein SL. Acute kidney injury and increasing nephrotoxic-medication exposure in noncritically-ill children. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; 6: 856–863.
- 87. Perazella MA. Drug use and nephrotoxicity in the intensive care unit. *Kidney Int* 2012; **81**: 1172–1178.
- Myburgh JA, Finfer S, Bellomo R *et al.* Hydroxyethyl starch or saline for fluid resuscitation in intensive care. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **367**: 1901–1911.
- Perner A, Haase N, Guttormsen AB et al. Hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.42 versus Ringer's acetate in severe sepsis. N Engl J Med 2012; 367: 124–134.
- Zarychanski R, Abou-Setta AM, Turgeon AF *et al.* Association of hydroxyethyl starch administration with mortality and acute kidney injury in critically ill patients requiring volume resuscitation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2013; **309**: 678–688.
- Yunos NM, Bellomo R, Hegarty C *et al*. Association between a chlorideliberal vs chloride-restrictive intravenous fluid administration strategy and kidney injury in critically ill adults. *JAMA* 2012; **308**: 1566–1572.
- Kellum JA, Lameire N. for the KAKIGWG. Diagnosis, evaluation, and management of acute kidney injury: a KDIGO summary (Part 1). *Crit Care* 2013; **17**: 204.
- Palevsky PM, Liu KD, Brophy PD *et al.* KDOQI US commentary on the 2012 KDIGO clinical practice guideline for acute kidney injury. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2013; **61**: 649–672.
- 94. Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S *et al.* Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **345**: 1368–1377.
- Levy MM, Dellinger RP, Townsend SR *et al*. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign: results of an international guideline-based performance improvement program targeting severe sepsis. *Intensive Care Med* 2010; 36: 222–231.
- Williams AW, Dwyer AC, Eddy AA et al. Critical and honest conversations: the evidence behind the 'Choosing Wisely' campaign recommendations by the American Society of Nephrology. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2012; 7: 1664–1672.
- 97. Plantinga L, Grubbs V, Sarkar U *et al.* Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use among persons with chronic kidney disease in the United States. *Ann Family Med* 2011; **9**: 423–430.

- Peralta CA, Shlipak MG, Wassel-Fyr C et al. Association of antihypertensive therapy and diastolic hypotension in chronic kidney disease. *Hypertension* 2007; 50: 474–480.
- Abuelo JG. Normotensive ischemic acute renal failure. N Engl J Med 2007; 357: 797–805.
- Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP *et al.* The effect of angiotensinconverting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy. The Collaborative Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1993; **329**: 1456–1462.
- Kramer BK, Schweda F. Ramipril in non-diabetic renal failure (REIN study). Ramipril Efficiency in Nephropathy study. *Lancet* 1997; **350**: 736 author reply 736-737.
- Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR et al. Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001; 345: 851–860.
- Yusuf S, Sleight P, Pogue J *et al.* Effects of an angiotensin-convertingenzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients. The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. *N Engl J Med* 2000; **342**: 145–153.
- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR *et al.* The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. *JAMA* 2003; 289: 2560–2572.
- KDOQI. KDOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines and Clinical Practice Recommendations for Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease. Am J Kidney Dis 2007; 49: S12–154.
- National Kidney Foundation. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. Am J Kidney Dis 2002; 39: S1–266.
- Levey AS, Eckardt KU, Tsukamoto Y et al. Definition and classification of chronic kidney disease: a position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Kidney Int 2005; 67: 2089–2100.
- 108. Jain AK, Cuerden MS, McLeod I *et al.* Reporting of the estimated glomerular filtration rate was associated with increased use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin-II receptor blockers in CKD. *Kidney Int* 2012; **81**: 1248–1253.
- Cox ZL, McCoy AB, Matheny ME et al. Adverse drug events during AKI and its recovery. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2013; 8: 1070–1078.
- 110. Arora P, Rajagopalam S, Ranjan R et al. Preoperative use of angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers is associated with increased risk for acute kidney injury after cardiovascular surgery. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2008; 3: 1266–1273.
- 111. Rim MY, Ro H, Kang WC *et al.* The effect of renin-angiotensinaldosterone system blockade on contrast-induced acute kidney injury: a propensity-matched study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2012; **60**: 576–582.
- 112. Mann JF, Schmieder RE, McQueen M *et al.* Renal outcomes with telmisartan, ramipril, or both, in people at high vascular risk (the ONTARGET study): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008; **372**: 547–553.
- Fried LF, Emanuele N, Zhang JH et al. Combined Angiotensin inhibition for the treatment of diabetic nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2013; 369: 1892–1903.
- 114. Lapi F, Azoulay L, Yin H *et al.* Concurrent use of diuretics, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, and angiotensin receptor blockers with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of acute kidney injury: nested case-control study. *BMJ* 2013; **346**: e8525.
- Okusa M, Davenport A. Reading between the guidelines The KIDGO Practice Guideline on acute kidney injury in the individual patient. *Kidney Int* 2013; 85: 39–48.
- 116. Davenport A, Cholongitas E, Xirouchakis E *et al.* Pitfalls in assessing renal function in patients with cirrhosis–potential inequity for access to treatment of hepatorenal failure and liver transplantation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2011; **26**: 2735–2742.
- Macedo E, Bouchard J, Soroko SH *et al.* Fluid accumulation, recognition and staging of acute kidney injury in critically-ill patients. *Crit Care* 2010; 14: R82.
- Coca SG, Garg AX, Swaminathan M et al. Preoperative angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blocker use and acute kidney injury in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013; 28: 2787–2799.
- 119. Testani JM, Chen J, McCauley BD *et al.* Potential effects of aggressive decongestion during the treatment of decompensated heart failure on renal function and survival. *Circulation* 2010; **122**: 265–272.
- Testani JM, Brisco MA, Chen J *et al.* Timing of hemoconcentration during treatment of acute decompensated heart failure and subsequent survival: importance of sustained decongestion. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013; 62: 516–524.

- Murray PT, Mehta RL, Shaw A *et al.* Current use of biomarkers in acute kidney injury: report and summary of recommendations from the 10th Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative consensus conference. *Kidney Int* 2014; 85: 513–521.
- 122. McCullough PA, Bouchard J, Waikar SS *et al.* Implementation of novel biomarkers in the diagnosis, prognosis, and management of acute kidney injury: executive summary from the Tenth Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI). *Contrib Nephrol* 2013; **182**: 5–12.
- 123. McCullough PA, Shaw AD, Haase M *et al.* Diagnosis of acute kidney injury using functional and injury biomarkers: workgroup statements from the tenth acute dialysis quality initiative consensus conference. *Contrib Nephrol* 2013; **182**: 13–29.
- Coca SG, Garg AX, Thiessen-Philbrook H et al. Urinary biomarkers of AKI and mortality 3 years after cardiac surgery. J Am Soc Nephrol 2014; 25: 1063–1071.
- 125. Haase M, Devarajan P, Haase-Fielitz A et al. The outcome of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin-positive subclinical acute kidney injury: a multicenter pooled analysis of prospective studies. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011; 57: 1752–1761.
- Belcher JM, Sanyal AJ, Peixoto AJ *et al.* Kidney biomarkers and differential diagnosis of patients with cirrhosis and acute kidney injury. *Hepatology* 2014; **60**: 622-632.
- Hsu RK, McCulloch CE, Ku E *et al.* Regional variation in the incidence of dialysis-requiring AKI in the United States. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013; 8: 1476–1481.
- 128. Siew ED, Ikizler TA, Matheny ME *et al.* Estimating baseline kidney function in hospitalized patients with impaired kidney function. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012; **7**: 712–719.
- 129. Siew ED, Peterson JF, Eden SK *et al.* Use of multiple imputation method to improve estimation of missing baseline serum creatinine in acute kidney injury research. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013; **8**: 10–18.
- Thakar CV, Christianson A, Freyberg R et al. Incidence and outcomes of acute kidney injury in intensive care units: a Veterans Administration study. Crit Care Med 2009; 37: 2552–2558.
- 131. Joannidis M, Metnitz B, Bauer P *et al.* Acute kidney injury in critically ill patients classified by AKIN versus RIFLE using the SAPS 3 database. *Intensive Care Med* 2009; **35**: 1692–1702.
- 132. Alpert JS, Thygesen K. A call for universal definitions in cardiovascular disease. *Circulation* 2006; **114**: 757–758.
- 133. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS *et al*. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012; **60**: 1581–1598.
- Chertow GM, Levy EM, Hammermeister KE *et al.* Independent association between acute renal failure and mortality following cardiac surgery. *Am J Med* 1998; **104**: 343–348.
- 135. Mangano CM, Diamondstone LS, Ramsay JG *et al.* Renal dysfunction after myocardial revascularization: risk factors, adverse outcomes, and hospital resource utilization. The Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group. *Ann Intern Med* 1998; **128**: 194–203.
- Hobson CE, Yavas S, Segal MS *et al.* Acute kidney injury is associated with increased long-term mortality after cardiothoracic surgery. *Circulation* 2009; **119**: 2444–2453.
- Dasta JF, Kane-Gill SL, Durtschi AJ *et al.* Costs and outcomes of acute kidney injury (AKI) following cardiac surgery. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008; 23: 1970–1974.
- Kuitunen A, Vento A, Suojaranta-Ylinen R *et al.* Acute renal failure after cardiac surgery: evaluation of the RIFLE classification. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006; 81: 542–546.
- Liangos O, Wald R, O'Bell JW et al. Epidemiology and outcomes of acute renal failure in hospitalized patients: a national survey. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006; 1: 43–51.
- 140. Uchino S, Bellomo R, Goldsmith D *et al*. An assessment of the RIFLE criteria for acute renal failure in hospitalized patients. *Crit Care Med* 2006; **34**: 1913–1917.
- Ali T, Khan I, Simpson W *et al.* Incidence and outcomes in acute kidney injury: a comprehensive population-based study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007; 18: 1292–1298.
- Porter CJ, Juurlink I, Bisset LH *et al.* A real-time electronic alert to improve detection of acute kidney injury in a large teaching hospital. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* (advance online publication 16 April 2014; e-pub ahead of print).
- 143. Brivet FG, Kleinknecht DJ, Loirat P *et al.* Acute renal failure in intensive care units-causes, outcome, and prognostic factors of hospital mortality; a prospective, multicenter study. French Study Group on Acute Renal Failure. *Crit Care Med* 1996; **24**: 192–198.
- 144. Hoste EA, Clermont G, Kersten A *et al*. RIFLE criteria for acute kidney injury are associated with hospital mortality in critically ill patients: a cohort analysis. *Crit Care* 2006; **10**: R73.

- Ostermann M, Chang RW. Acute kidney injury in the intensive care unit according to RIFLE. *Crit Care Med* 2007; 35: 1837–1843.
- Bagshaw SM, George C, Dinu I *et al*. A multi-centre evaluation of the RIFLE criteria for early acute kidney injury in critically ill patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008; 23: 1203–1210.
- Bagshaw SM, George C, Bellomo R. A comparison of the RIFLE and AKIN criteria for acute kidney injury in critically ill patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008; 23: 1569–1574.
- 148. Bagshaw SM, George C, Bellomo R *et al.* Early acute kidney injury and sepsis: a multicentre evaluation. *Crit Care* 2008; **12**: R47.
- 149. Cruz DN, Bolgan I, Perazella MA *et al.* North East Italian Prospective Hospital Renal Outcome Survey on Acute Kidney Injury (NEiPHROS-AKI): targeting the problem with the RIFLE Criteria. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007; **2**: 418–425.
- 150. Nisula S, Kaukonen KM, Vaara ST *et al.* Incidence, risk factors and 90-day mortality of patients with acute kidney injury in Finnish intensive care units: the FINNAKI study. *Intensive Care Med* 2013; **39**: 420–428.
- Feest TG, Round A, Hamad S. Incidence of severe acute renal failure in adults: results of a community based study. *BMJ* 1993; **306**: 481-483.
- 152. Khan IH, Catto GR, Edward N *et al*. Acute renal failure: factors influencing nephrology referral and outcome. *QJM* 1997; **90**: 781–785.
- Liano F, Pascual J. Epidemiology of acute renal failure: a prospective, multicenter, community-based study. Madrid Acute Renal Failure Study Group. *Kidney Int* 1996; **50**: 811–818.
- 154. Korkeila M, Ruokonen E, Takala J. Costs of care, long-term prognosis and quality of life in patients requiring renal replacement therapy during intensive care. *Intensive Care Med* 2000; 26: 1824–1831.
- 155. Stevens PE, Tamimi NA, Al-Hasani MK *et al*. Non-specialist management of acute renal failure. *QJM* 2001; **94**: 533–540.
- 156. Cole L, Bellomo R, Silvester W *et al.* A prospective, multicenter study of the epidemiology, management, and outcome of severe acute renal failure in a 'closed' ICU system. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000; **162**: 191–196.
- Robertson S, Newbigging K, Isles CG *et al.* High incidence of renal failure requiring short-term dialysis: a prospective observational study. *QJM* 2002; **95**: 585–590.
- Prescott GJ, Metcalfe W, Baharani J *et al.* A prospective national study of acute renal failure treated with RRT: incidence, aetiology and outcomes. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2007; 22: 2513–2519.
- 159. Parsons DF, Darden EB Jr. Optimal conditions for methacrylate embedding of certain tissues and cells sensitive to polymerization damage. *Exp Cell Res* 1961; **24**: 466–483.
- Fischer RP, Griffen WO Jr, Reiser M *et al.* Early dialysis in the treatment of acute renal failure. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1966; **123**: 1019–1023.
- Kleinknecht D, Jungers P, Chanard J et al. Uremic and non-uremic complications in acute renal failure: evaluation of early and frequent dialysis on prognosis. Kidney Int 1972; 1: 190–196.
- Gettings LG, Reynolds HN, Scalea T. Outcome in post-traumatic acute renal failure when continuous renal replacement therapy is applied early vs. late. *Intensive Care Med* 1999; 25: 805–813.
- Mehta RL, McDonald B, Gabbai FB *et al.* A randomized clinical trial of continuous versus intermittent dialysis for acute renal failure. *Kidney Int* 2001; 60: 1154–1163.
- Schiffl H, Lang SM, Fischer R. Daily hemodialysis and the outcome of acute renal failure. N Engl J Med 2002; 346: 305–310.
- 165. Ronco C, Bellomo R, Homel P *et al.* Effects of different doses in continuous veno-venous haemofiltration on outcomes of acute renal failure: a prospective randomised trial. *Lancet* 2000; **356**: 26–30.
- 166. Bouman CS, Oudemans-Van Straaten HM, Tijssen JG et al. Effects of early high-volume continuous venovenous hemofiltration on survival and recovery of renal function in intensive care patients with acute renal failure: a prospective, randomized trial. *Critical Care Med* 2002; **30**: 2205–2211.
- Cho KC, Himmelfarb J, Paganini E *et al.* Survival by dialysis modality in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 17: 3132–3138.
- 168. Vinsonneau C, Camus C, Combes A *et al*. Continuous venovenous haemodiafiltration versus intermittent haemodialysis for acute renal failure in patients with multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome: a multicentre randomised trial. *Lancet* 2006; **368**: 379–385.
- 169. Uchino S, Bellomo R, Morimatsu H et al. Continuous renal replacement therapy: a worldwide practice survey: The Beginning and Ending Supportive Therapy for the Kidney (B.E.S.T. Kidney) Investigators. Intensive Care Med 2007; 33: 1563–1570.

- Carl DE, Grossman C, Behnke M *et al.* Effect of timing of dialysis on mortality in critically ill, septic patients with acute renal failure. *Hemodial Int* 2010; **14**: 11–17.
- Prescott GJ, Metcalfe W, Baharani J et al. A prospective national study of acute renal failure treated with RRT: incidence, aetiology and outcomes. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2007; 22: 2513–2519.
- Palevsky PM, Zhang JH, O'Connor TZ *et al.* Intensity of renal support in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **359**: 7–20.
- 173. Bellomo R, Cass A, Cole L *et al.* Intensity of continuous renalreplacement therapy in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **361**: 1627–1638.
- 174. Leonard CE, Freeman CP, Newcomb CW *et al.* Proton pump inhibitors and traditional nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and the risk of acute interstitial nephritis and acute kidney injury. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2012; **21**: 1155–1172.
- Dormuth CR, Hemmelgarn BR, Paterson JM *et al.* Use of high potency statins and rates of admission for acute kidney injury: multicenter, retrospective observational analysis of administrative databases. *BMJ* 2013; **346**: f880.
- Bird ST, Etminan M, Brophy JM *et al.* Risk of acute kidney injury associated with the use of fluoroquinolones. *CMAJ* 2013; **185**: E475-E482.

- 177. Hurst FP, Bohen EM, Osgard EM *et al.* Association of oral sodium phosphate purgative use with acute kidney injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007; **18**: 3192–3198.
- Zhao YY, Weir MA, Manno M *et al.* New fibrate use and acute renal outcomes in elderly adults: a population-based study. *Ann Intern Med* 2012; **156**: 560–569.
- 179. Schneider V, Levesque LE, Zhang B *et al.* Association of selective and conventional nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs with acute renal failure: a population-based, nested case-control analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 2006; **164**: 881–889.
- Wikman P, Safont P, Del Palacio M et al. The significance of antiretroviral-associated acute kidney injury in a cohort of ambulatory human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013; 28: 2073–2081.
- Gandhi S, Fleet JL, Bailey DG *et al.* Calcium-channel blocker-clarithromycin drug interactions and acute kidney injury. *JAMA* 2013; **310**: 2544–2553.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/