

Cognitive impairment and its predictors: A cross-sectional study among the elderly in a rural community of West Bengal

Aparajita Dasgupta, Sauryadripta Ghose, Bobby Paul, Lina Bandyopadhyay, Pritam Ghosh, Akanksha Yadav

Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

ABSTRACT

Context: With the aging of Indian society, maintaining salubrious cognitive health in late life is a public health priority. Early detection and possible prevention of cognitive impairment (CI), thus, will help in increasing the quality of life of elderly people and decreasing the social, psychological, and economic burden of their families and caregivers. **Aims:** The study aimed to assess proportion of CI and its predictors. **Settings and Design:** This community-based cross-sectional study was conducted among 135 elderly people selected from 15 villages out of a total 64 villages in rural field practice area Singur of AIIH&PH, Kolkata. **Methods and Material:** Cluster sampling technique was used and villages were selected according to probability proportional to size method. Data was collected using a predesigned, pretested structured schedule, which included sociodemographic and behavioral variables, Montreal cognitive assessment tool, Geriatric depression scale short form (GDS 15), and mini nutritional assessment tool. **Statistical Analysis Used:** Predictors of CI were assessed by univariate and multivariable logistic regression using MS-Excel 2016 and SPSS version 16 software. **Results:** Mean age of the study participants was 67.03 ± 6.7 years with 51.9% of them being females. Proportion of CI was observed to be 48.1% which was significantly associated with increasing age [AOR = 1.1 (1.02-1.13)], decreasing years of schooling [AOR = 1.1 (1.01-1.2)], depression [AOR = 2.7 (1.3-5.8)], and malnourished group [AOR = 4.5 (1.01-20.3)] **Conclusion:** The burden of CI among the study population was found to be quite high. It is an alarming situation which needs improved screening facility for early detection. Nutritional upliftment and screening for depression should also be done on a regular basis.

Keywords: Cognitive impairment, elderly, Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) tool

Introduction

Global population of elderly is growing at a fast pace. India, the projected second highest contributor to world's elderly after China, will be home for 330 million elderly population (60 years or older) by the year 2050^[1] compared to 93 million according to the population census 2011. Cognitive

> Address for correspondence: Dr. Sauryadripta Ghose, Barabazar, Mogaltuli (Bibir Bagan), Chinsurah, Hooghly, West Bengal - 712 101, India. E-mail: sauryadriptaghose@gmail.com

Received: 11-04-2020 Accepted: 02-07-2020

Revised: 10-06-2020 **Published:** 30-09-2020

| Acce | ss this article online |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Quick Response Code: | Website: www.jfmpc.com |
| | DOI: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_604_20 |

impairment (CI) is defined as "confusion or memory loss that is happening more often or is getting worse during the past 12 months."^[2] CI debilitates daily life routine ultimately resulting in decreased quality of life and increased dependence. Elderly with CI have increased risk for progression to dementia and increased likelihood of contracting Alzheimer's disease than the general population.^[3] It is estimated that the number of people living with dementia will almost double every 20 years to 42.3 million in 2020 and 81.1 million in 2040.^[4] The rate of growth will be the highest (around 336%) in India, China, South Asia, and western Pacific regions.^[4] It is estimated that over 3.7

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Dasgupta A, Ghose S, Paul B, Bandyopadhyay L, Ghosh P, Yadav A. Cognitive impairment and its predictors: A crosssectional study among the elderly in a rural community of West Bengal. J Family Med Prim Care 2020;9:4603-12. million people were affected by dementia in our country in 2010 which is expected to double by 2030.^[5] CI, being the most consistent and characteristic symptom of Alzheimer's disease, can be considered a proxy for dementia and Alzheimer's disease in population-based studies.^[6] Till date, the treatment of CI is not available; therefore, only preventive measures taken at an appropriate time can help in reducing the burden of disease. For lack of realization and understanding of dementia in majority of countries including India, stigmatization, barriers to diagnosis and care happen, which impact caregivers, families, and societies physically, psychologically, and economically.

Various sociodemographic, physical, and psychological factors have been found to be associated with CI. Increasing age,^[7] male gender,^[8] poor socioeconomic status, low levels of education, and working status have been identified as risk factors in different settings.^[9] Patients with diabetes mellitus^[10] and hypertension^[11] are at higher risk of developing CI. Psychiatric disorders like anxiety and depression also predispose older adults to develop CI later in life.^[12] Increasing years of schooling^[7] and socialization^[13] have been reported as protective against CI. Nutrition is also known to be a key factor that regulates aging. Relationship between nutritional status and cognitive status of elderly, particularly in those who are malnourished and at risk of malnutrition, has been observed both in hospital and community-based research.^[14-16]

All these call for more research work on the risk factors for this very much dreaded impairment and, therefore, a study was conducted in a rural area of West Bengal to assess the status of CI among the geriatric population and also to identify its contextual factors. This study may prove to be useful in improving the quality of life of elderly population by delaying CI and dementia and, thus, drastically reducing the social, psychological, and economic burden of their families and caregivers.

The demographic shift with an increase in the number of elder people is particularly relevant for primary care physicians (PCPs) who provide the majority of medical care for them. But, ironically, CI recognition by PCPs in the absence of frank dementia is very difficult. Therefore, knowing the important predictors of CI will be extremely useful for them in order to delay the CI and, thus, preventing dementia.

WHO states that "The Decade of Healthy Aging (2020-2030) is an opportunity to bring together governments, civil society, international agencies, professionals, academia, the media, and the private sector for 10 years of concerted, catalytic, and collaborative action to improve the lives of older people, their families, and the communities in which they live."^[17] Hence, just before the beginning of the decade of healthy aging, this study may provide a small contribution for bigger good of older people.

Subjects and Methods

Study type and setting

This community-based observational study with cross-sectional design was conducted in the rural field practice area of All India

Institute of Hygiene and Public Health (AIIH&PH), Kolkata, i.e., Singur in the district of Hooghly from May 2019 to August 2019. It serves a population of 99299 (according to 2011 census) residing in 64 villages in Singur Block of Hooghly district. Study population was all the elderly aged 60 years and above in rural field practice area of All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health. All elderly who were residing for at least 1 year in the village were included in study. Those who had not given informed written consent, critically ill at the time of the study and with severe speech, visual and hearing impairment were excluded from the study. Ethical approval was obtained from Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) of All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health. Date of approval 11-10-2018.

Sampling

A study^[18] on CI among elderly persons of rural area of Thrissur district, Kerala, India in 2016 showed the prevalence of 55% CI among the study population. Based on this prevalence, taking confidence interval as 95% with $Z_{1-\alpha} = 1.96$; relative error (L) = 20% according to the formula $(Z_{1-\alpha})^2 \times (P \times Q/L^2)$, where P = 0.55, Q = 1-P = 0.45, calculated sample size was 78.58 \approx 79. As the sampling was conducted by cluster sampling and not by simple random sampling, considering design effect of 1.5 sample size was $79 \times 1.5 = 118.5 \approx 119$. Adding 10% as nonresponse rate the sample size comes to $119 + (119 \times 10/100) = 130.9 \approx 131$. 15 clusters had been decided. Thus, the number of elderly people per cluster was $131 \div 15 = 8.73 \approx 9$. Therefore, the final sample size was $= 15 \times 9 = 135$ elderly people.

Cluster sampling method was used taking fifteen villages (cluster) and nine study participants in each village. Rural field practice area had 64 villages. List of population of all villages was obtained. From the list, 15 villages were selected by probability proportional to size (PPS) technique.

After going to center of the selected village with the help of local maps and local people, at first one direction was chosen randomly with the help of a currency note. Then, one house number was chosen randomly by the currency note. If an elderly person was present in that house, the house was taken as first house. If not present, then the next and then the neighbouring houses were visited continuously till all the nine elderly people had been covered in the selected cluster. In case of end of road, restart from the next lane was done. The same procedure was followed in all the 15 clusters.

Data collection

After obtaining permission from the Institutional Ethics Committee of AIIH&PH, Kolkata, a house-to-house visit was done. Informed written consent was taken from all study participants before data collection. Face-to-face interview was done for all study participants using a predesigned, pretested, structured schedule (in Bengali language) containing the following contents:

- Sociodemographic and behavioral information
- Morbidity profile

- Geriatric depression scale (short form) schedule
- Mini nutritional assessment (MNA) tool (Bengali version)
- Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) tool (Bengali version)

Operational definition

- **Cognitive Impairment:** CI was measured by Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) tool (Bengali version). According to that tool, a score of less than 26 was considered as the presence of CI. The maximum score attainable was 30. [Supplementary file 1]
- **Depression:** Depression was measured by Geriatric depression scale (GDS) 15. In GDS 15, a score >5 was considered as depression. [Supplementary file 2]
- Nutritional status: Nutritional status was measured by MNA tool for the elderly. A score of ≥12 was taken as normal, 8–11 at risk, and ≤7 was considered as malnourished. [Supplementary file 3]

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2016 and Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS for Windows, version 16.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) software. Descriptive and inferential statistics including univariate and multivariable logistic regression were performed. Level of statistical significance was defined as P value <0.05. Biologically plausible variables which were statistically significant in univariate models were selected in final multivariable model.

Ethical permission

Ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) of AIIH&PH, Kolkata.

Results

Sociodemographic and behavioral characteristics

Background information of the study subject participated in the current study revealed the mean age of the study participants as 67.03 ± 6.7 years ranging from 60 to 88 years. Female participants consisted of 51.9% of total participants. Mean years of schooling was 4.05 ± 4.6 years with 37.8% of illiteracy. According to B.G. Prasad socioeconomic scale 2019, most of them belonged to Class III socioeconomic group (52.6%). Mean per capita income of the participants was 2786.9 ± 1169 INR per month. Most of the study participants were married (68.9%) and the majority belonged to a joint family (65.9%). Majority of the study participants were financially dependent (62.2%) and approximately two-thirds of them were not working and staying at home (66.7%).

Addiction to tobacco smoking was found in 34.8% study participants, whereas 11.1% consumed alcohol. Smokeless tobacco products were used by 18.5% of the study participants.

Proportion of cognitive impairment

CI was found in 48.1% of the study participants by Montreal cognitive assessment tool.

Morbidity profile

Medical reports and self-reporting revealed that 63% of the study participants were hypertensive and 19.3% were diabetic. According to GDS, 45.9% of the participants suffered from depression, whereas MNA tool for elderly assessed that 7.4% participants were malnourished and 46.7% were at risk of malnutrition.

Associated factors

Univariate logistic regression showed that CI was significantly associated with increasing age [OR = 1.08 (1.02–1.14); P value 0.007] and lesser years of schooling [OR = 1.1 (1.02–1.2); P value 0.012]. Association with various sociodemographic and behavioral characteristics is given in Table 1.

Association with various morbidity profiles is given in Table 2. CI was found to be significantly associated with depression [OR = 3.1 (1.5-6.2); *P* value 0.002]. Both "at risk" of malnourishment [OR = 2.8 (1.3-5.7); *P* value 0.006] and "malnourished" [OR = 4.6 (1.1-19.4); *P* value 0.04] group according to MNA were significantly associated with CI.

Multivariable Logistic Regression showed that increasing age, decreasing years of schooling, depression, and "malnourished" group according to MNA retained their significance [Table 3]. This model was fitting well evident from insignificant P value in Hosmer and Lemeshow test and 17.9% to 23.9% variability of dependent variable was explained by this model through Cox and Snell and Nagelkerke R square.

Figure 1 shows very strong negative correlation between MoCA score and GDS score (Spearman's rho = -0.356; *P* value < 0.01).

Figure 2 shows very strong positive correlation between MoCA score and MNA score (Spearman's rho = 0.379; *P* value < 0.01).



Figure 1: Scatter diagram with fit line showing correlation between MoCA score and GDS score

| Characteristic | Total (%) | Cognitive In | OR (95% CI) | |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | Absent (%) | Present (%) | ~ / |
| *Age↑ | 135(100) | **65(60-69.25) | **68(62.5-72) | 1.1(1.02-1.14) |
| Gender | | | | , |
| Male | 65(48.1) | 34(52.3) | 31(47.7) | 1(Reference) |
| Female | 70(51.9) | 36(51.4) | 34(48.6) | 1.04(0.5-2) |
| Marital status | | | | |
| Married | 93(68.9) | 51(54.8) | 42(45.2) | 1(Reference) |
| Widow/ Widower | 42(31.1) | 19(45.2) | 23(54.8) | 1.5(0.7-3.1) |
| *Years of schooling↓ | 135(100) | **4(0-7.25) | **2(0-4) | 1.1(1.02-1.2) |
| Socioeconomic class | | | | |
| Ι | 3(2.2) | 2(66.7) | 1(33.3) | 1(Reference) |
| II | 17(12.6) | 12(70.6) | 5(29.4) | 0.8(0.06-11.4) |
| III | 71(52.6) | 36(50.7) | 35(49.3) | 1.9(0.2-22.4) |
| IV | 44(32.6) | 20(45.5) | 24(54.5) | 2.4(0.2-28.4) |
| Financial dependency | | | | |
| Dependent | 84(62.2) | 40(47.6) | 44(52.4) | 1.6(0.8-3.2) |
| Independent | 51(37.8) | 30(58.8) | 21(41.2) | 1(Reference) |
| Working status | | | | |
| Working | 45(33.3) | 28(62.2) | 17(37.8) | 1(Reference) |
| Staying at home | 90(66.7) | 42(46.7) | 48(53.3) | 1.88(0.9-3.9) |
| Smoking | | | | |
| Present | 47(34.8) | 27(57.4) | 20(42.6) | 1(Reference) |
| Absent | 88(65.2) | 43(48.9) | 45(51.1) | 1.4(0.7-2.9) |
| Alcohol | | | | |
| Present | 15(11.1) | 10(66.7) | 5(33.3) | 1(Reference) |
| Absent | 120(88.9) | 60(50) | 60(50) | 2(0.6-6.2) |
| Smokeless tobacco | | | | |
| Present | 25(18.5) | 14(56) | 11(44) | 1(Reference) |
| Absent | 110(81.5) | 56(50.9) | 54(49.1) | 1.2(0.5-3) |

| Table 1: Univariate logistic regression showing association of cognitive impairment with various sociodemographic a |
|---|
| behavioral factors (n=135) |

| Table 2: Univariate logistic regression | showing association of | f cognitive impairment with | various morbidity profiles |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | (n=135) |) | |
| | | | |

| Characteristics | Total (%) | Cognitive | Impairment | OR (95% CI) |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| | | Absent (%) | Present (%) | |
| Chronic Illness | | | | |
| Hypertension | | | | |
| Present | 85(63) | 41(48.2) | 44(51.8) | 1.5(0.7-3) |
| Absent | 50(37) | 29(58) | 21(42) | 1(Reference) |
| Diabetes Mellitus | | | | |
| Present | 26(19.3) | 13(50) | 13(50) | 1.1(0.4-2.6) |
| Absent | 109(80.7) | 57(52.3) | 52(47.7) | 1(Reference) |
| Depression | | | | |
| Present | 62(45.9) | 23(37.1) | 39(62.9) | 3.1(1.5-6.2) |
| Absent | 73(54.1) | 47(64.4) | 26(35.6) | 1(Reference) |
| Nutritional Status | | | | |
| Normal | 62(45.9) | 41(66.1) | 21(33.9) | 1(Reference) |
| At risk | 63(46.7) | 26(41.3) | 37(58.7) | 2.8(1.3-5.7) |
| Malnourished | 10(7.4) | 3(30) | 7(70) | 4.6(1.1-19.4) |

Discussion

The proportion of CI observed in the current study was 48.1%. The prevalence of CI was found to be 55% in a study conducted in Thrissur, Kerala by Ramachandran et al.[18], whereas the prevalence of CI was 31% as reported in an outdoor patient department (OPD)-based study in Tirupati.^[19] A camp-based study carried out in a rural population of Varanasi by Gambhir et al. showed that 42.9% of the elderly had CI^[20] and in another study among the inmates of old age home in Hyderabad,

1

Table 3: Multivariable logistic regression showing the association of cognitive impairment with various covariates (n=135)

| covariates (n=135) | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Characteristic | AOR (95% CI) | Р | | |
| Increasing Age | 1.1 (1.01-1.13) | 0.04 | | |
| Decreasing Years of schooling | 1.1(1.01-1.2) | 0.04 | | |
| Depression | | | | |
| Present | 2.7 (1.3-5.8) | 0.01 | | |
| Absent | 1 (Reference) | | | |
| MNA | | | | |
| Normal | 1 (Reference) | | | |
| At Risk | 1.7 (0.8-3.9) | 0.19 | | |
| Malnourished | 4.5 (1.01-20.3) | 0.04 | | |
| Cox and Snell P. Square value=0.179. Nagelkerke | R square value=0.230 Hosmer and | Lamachow, test | | |

Cox and Snell R Square value=0.179. Nagelkerke R square value=0.239. Hosmer and Lemeshow test, P-value=0.052 (Not significant)



Figure 2: Scatter diagram with fit line showing correlation between MoCA score and MNA score

it was found to be 38%.^[21] The prevalence of CI among community-dwelling older adults in Jamaica was found to be 32.2%,^[22] whereas, in a study conducted in elderly care home in Malaysia, it was found to be 36.5%.^[23] All these were more or less similar to our study. However, CI prevalence was much less (8.8%) in the study by Sengupta *et al.* in Ludhiana, Punjab.^[6] The prevalence of CI was also less in a study conducted in Shimla hills (3.5%; 1.3%-urban, 2.3%-rural) by Sharma *et al.*^[24]

CI was significantly associated with increasing age, decreasing years of schooling, depression, and poor nutritional status in the current study. The study conducted in Thrissur, Kerala found that CI was significantly associated with older age groups, female gender, elderly belonging to below poverty line (BPL) families, financial dependence, single/widowed status, and nutritional status.^[18] The OPD-based study of Tirupati by Kumar *et al.* showed that CI significantly related to age, gender, and literacy status. CI was higher in females compared to males.^[19] The camp-based study of Varanasi found that literate and male elderly had statistically significant higher mean score as compared to illiterates and females. Significant correlation of CI with increasing age was also observed.^[20] The study in Hyderabad by Rao *et al.* among the inmates of old age home showed a significant association of CI with age and literacy

status. However, no significant association with gender, marital status, socioeconomic status, type of family, residence was seen.^[21] The study from Ludhiana, Punjab found that increasing age, unmarried/widowed status, illiteracy, unemployment, and poverty were independently associated with CI.^[6] The study in Shimla hills showed that increasing age, educational level, and marital status acting as significant predictors of CI in the elderly.^[22] Ferdous et al. in a study done in 457 elderly subjects in rural Bangladesh, found that poorer cognitive performance was independently associated with older age, female sex, and illiteracy.^[25] The Jamaican study by Waldron et al. showed that CI was significantly associated with age, educational level, gender, area of residence, hospitalization in the last 3 years, falls in the last 3 months, limited activities for fear of falling, self-reported diabetes mellitus and hypertension, depression, and dependence in ADL.^[23] The study conducted in elderly care home in Malaysia by Al-Jawad et al. found that CI was significantly related to age but not with gender.[24]

CI was significantly associated with increasing age in the current study, which is a similar finding in almost all other studies mentioned above. There are structural and functional changes in the brain that correlate with these age-related cognitive changes, including alterations in neuronal structure without neuronal death, loss of synapses, and dysfunction of neuronal networks. Age-related illnesses accelerate the rate of neuronal dysfunction, neuronal loss, and cognitive decline, with many persons developing CIs which is critical enough to reduce their everyday functional abilities, the definition of dementia.^[26]

CI was significantly associated with decreasing years of schooling in the current study, which is a similar finding across all other studies mentioned above. There are several possible explanations for the association between literacy and cognitive function. The association could be a test bias, in which elderly persons with higher literacy levels perform better on cognitive tests because they are more adept at taking tests in general. Literacy also could reflect a person's innate level of cognitive ability or the effect of early life exposures and educational experiences. Alternatively, literacy could be causally associated with better cognitive function or could be a marker of other causal factors. For example, reading and writing could lead directly to the enrichment of neural networks, which could enable persons with higher levels of literacy to have larger cognitive reserves and to process cognitive information more efficiently.^[27]

Depression is associated with CI in our study which was also found in the Jamaican study by Waldron *et al.*^[23] Emotional processing, motivational processing, and cognitive processing each require interactions within and among specific brain networks. Common psychiatric illnesses involve varying degrees of dysregulation in these three domains. Although major depressive disorder may be viewed primarily as a disease of emotional dysregulation, it also involves significant cognitive changes.^[28] CI is familiar among elderly with late-life depression (LLD). CI in LLD possibly appears as a result of various abnormalities, including structural brain changes (i.e., cerebrovascular vascular changes, grey matter atrophy) and molecular abnormalities, in particular in inflammatory and neurotrophic cascades.^[29] Different type of psychotherapies, such as cognitive behavioral therapy, may lead to an improvement in the clinical symptoms of depression. But it is still remaining unclear if effective psychotherapies also lead to progress in cognitive deficits associated with depression.^[28]

Nutritional status is also one important significant finding associated with CI in this study which is similar to the study done by Ramachandran *et al.* in Thrissur district of Kerala.^[18] Mantzorou *et al.* also found that malnutrition in the elderly was associated with CI independently of age, gender, educational level, BMI, and mid-arm and calf circumference from their study among Greek population in seven Greek cities.^[30] This relationship can be explained by the effect of dietary factors on multiple brain processes by regulating neurotransmitter pathways, synaptic transmission, membrane fluidity, and signal-transduction pathways. Particularly to note is the effect of oxidative damage on the brain, wherein lies the importance of vitamins C, E and β -carotene.^[31]

Conclusion

Cognitive health maintenance among aging population for a better quality of life is a public health priority. This study offers baseline information regarding the magnitude of CI among the rural elderly population in the area studied. The study design was cross-sectional and, therefore, it was not possible to make causal inferences from the associations found. Nevertheless, the findings of the study revealed that various sociodemographic factors like age, years of schooling as well as depression and nutritional status were associated with CI.

Therefore, timely screening and early intervention of the important preventable risk factors identified in this study may prove useful in improving the quality of life of elderly population by delaying CI and dementia. Cognitive health screening for early detection of CI could be introduced as a part of existing geriatric services at the level of Primary Health Center through the National Programme for Health Care of the Elderly (NPHCE). To prevent or delay CI, counseling of the elderly for the intake of a balanced diet and screening for depression should also be done on a regular basis. There is growing evidence that healthy lifestyles may decrease the rate of cognitive decline seen with aging and help delay the onset of cognitive symptoms in the setting of age-associated diseases. These healthy lifestyle components may comprise physical activity, mental stimulation, avoiding excessive exposure to neurotoxins (e.g., alcohol), treating depression and managing stress, and controlling common medical conditions such as hypertension, diabetes, and obstructive sleep apnea.^[26] Hence, we may already hold the keys to driving a successful movement to minimize the detrimental impact of age on cognition and to delay the onset of dementia in the elderly.

There is also a need for a multicentric prevalence study with associated factors of CI in India in order to generate robust data, using which strengthening of the geriatric care services can be done so as to minimize the destructive effect of CI and holding the onset of dementia in the elderly. This will go a long way in increasing the quality of life of elderly people and decreasing the social, psychological, and economic burden of their families and caregivers.

Acknowledgement

We want to convey our gratitude to Director of All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health to permit us to conduct this study. We also acknowledge the support of health functionaries of Rural Health Unit and Training Center, Singur under AIIHPH for their untiring support.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- United Nations [Internet]. World population ageing. Department of Economic and Social Affairs, New York, USA; 2015 [cited 2020 Mar 25]. Available from: https://www. un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/ pdf/ageing/WPA2015_Report.pdf.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. Cognitive impairment: A call for action, Now!; 2011 [cited 2020 Mar 26]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/aging/ pdf/cognitive_impairment/cogimp_poilicy_final.pdf.
- 3. Maroof M, Ahmad A, Khalique N, Ansari MA, Shah MS, Eram U. Prevalence and determinants of cognitive impairment among rural elderly population of Aligarh. Ntl J Community Med 2016;7:189-92.
- 4. Ferri CP, Prince M, Brayne C, Brodaty C, Fratiglioni L, Ganguli M, *et al.* Global prevalence of dementia: A Delphi consensus study. Lancet 2005;366:2112-7.
- 5. Shaji KS, Jotheeswaran AT, Girish N, Bharath S, Dias A, Pattabiraman M, *et al.* The dementia India report: Prevalence, impact, costs and services for dementia. Alzheimer's and Related Disorders Society of India; 2010.
- 6. Sengupta P, Benjamin AI, Singh Y, Grover A. Prevalence and correlates of cognitive impairment in a north Indian elderly population. WHO South-East Asia J Public Health 2014;3:135-43.
- 7. Afgin AE, Massarwa M, Schechtman E, Israeli-Korn SD, Strugatsky R, Abuful A, *et al.* High prevalence of mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease in arabic villages in northern Israel: Impact of gender and education. J Alzheimer's Dis 2012;29:431-9.
- 8. Sosa AL, Albanese E, Stephan BC, Dewey M, Acosta D, Ferri CP, *et al.* Prevalence, distribution, and impact of mild cognitive impairment in Latin America, China, and India: A 10/66 population-based study. PLoS Med 2012;9:e1001170.
- 9. Marengoni A, Fratiglioni L, Bandinelli S, Ferrucci L. Socioeconomic status during lifetime and cognitive impairment no-dementia in late life: The population-based

aging in the Chianti Area (InCHIANTI) Study. J Alzheimers Dis 2011;24:559-68.

- 10. Luchsinger JA, Reitz C, Patel B, Tang MX, Manly JJ, Mayeux R. Relation of diabetes to mild cognitive impairment. Arch Neurol 2007;64:570-5.
- 11. Reitz C, Tang MX, Manly J, Mayeux R, Luchsinger JA. Hypertension and the risk of mild cognitive impairment. Arch Neurol 2007;64:1734-40.
- 12. Geda YE, Roberts RO, Mielke MM, Knopman DS, Christianson TJ, Pankratz VS, *et al.* Baseline neuropsychiatric symptoms and the risk of incident mild cognitive impairment: A population-based study. Am J Psychiatry 2014;171:572-81.
- 13. Anderson ND, Murphy KJ, Troyer AK. Living with mild cognitive impairment: A guide to maximizing brain health and reducing risk of dementia. Oxford University Press; 2012.
- 14. El Zoghbi M, Boulos C, Amal AH, Saleh N, Awada S, Rachidi S, *et al.* Association between cognitive function and nutritional status in elderly: A crosssectional study in three institutions of Beirut Lebanon. Geriatr Ment Heal Care 2013;1:73-81.
- 15. Malara A, Sgrò G, Caruso C, Ceravolo F, Curinga G, Renda GF, *et al.* Relationship between cognitive impairment and nutritional assessment on functional status in Calabrian long-term-care. Clin Interv Aging 2014;9:105.
- 16. Magri F, Borza A, del Vecchio S, Chytiris S, Cuzzoni G, Busconi L, *et al.* Nutritional assessment of demented patients: A descriptive study. Aging Clin Exp Res 2003;15:148-53.
- 17. World Health Organization [Internet]. Decade of Healthy Ageing (2020-2030) [cited Mar 27]. Available from: https://www.who.int/ageing/decade-of-healthy-ageing.
- Ramachandran R, Mundodan JM, Saju CR, Joshy VM. Nutritional status and cognitive impairment in elderly population in a rural area of Thrissur district, Kerala. Int J Community Med Public Health 2018;5:1218-23.
- 19. Kumar N, Sudhakar TP. Prevalence of cognitive impairment and depression among elderly patients attending the medicine outpatient of a tertiary care hospital in South India. Int J Res Med Sci 2013;1:359-64.
- 20. Gambhir IS, Khurana V, Kishore D, Sinha AK, Mohapatra SC. A clinico-epidemiological study of cognitive function status of community- dwelling elderly. Indian J Psychiatry 2014;56:365-70.
- 21. Rao SS, Chennamsetty SK, Kuna SR. A cross-sectional study of cognitive impairment and morbidity profile of inmates of old age home. Sch J App Med Sci 2014;2:1506-13.

- 22. Waldron N, Laws H, James K, Willie-Tyndale D, Holder-Nevins D, Mitchell-Fearon K, *et al.* The prevalence of cognitive impairment among older adults in Jamaica. WIMJ Open 2015;2:71-6.
- 23. Al-Jawad M, Rashid AK, Narayan KA. Prevalence of undetected cognitive impairment and depression in residents of an elderly care home. Med J Malaysia 2007;62:375-9.
- Sharma D, Mazta SR, Parashar A. Prevalence of cognitive impairment and related factors among elderly: A population- based study. J Dr NTR Univ Health Sci 2013;2:171-6.
- 25. Ferdous T, Cederholm T, Kabir ZN, Hamadani JD, Wahlin Å. Nutritional status and cognitive function in community-living rural Bangladeshi older adults: Data from the poverty and health in ageing project. J Am Geriatr Soc 2010;58:919-24.
- 26. Murman DL [Internet]. The Impact of Age on Cognition; 2015 [cited 2020 March 30]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pm c/articles/PMC4906299/#__ffn_sectitle.
- 27. Barnes DE, Tager IB, Satariano WA, Yaffe K [Internet]. The Relationship between Literacy and Cognition in Well-Educated Elders. The Journals of Gerontology; 2004 [cited 2020 Mar 31]. Available from: https:// academic.oup.com/biom\edgerontology/article/59/4/ M390/637780.
- 28. Rubin E [Internet]. Cognitive Impairment in Depression; 2016 [cited 2020 March 30]. Available from: https:// www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/demystifying psychiatry/201606/cognitive-impairment-in-depression.
- Diniz BS, Butters MA. Biomarkers of cognitive impairment in latelife depression. In: Hantke N, Etkin A, O'Hara R, editors. Handbook of mental health and aging. 3rd ed. Academic Press; 2020. p. 81-5.
- 30. Mantzorou M, Vadikolias K, Pavlidou E, Serdari A, Vasios G, Tryfonos C, *et al.* Nutritional status is associated with the degree of cognitive impairment and depressive symptoms in a Greek elderly population. Nutrit Neurosci 2020;23:201-9.
- 31. Gonzalez-Gross M, Marcos A, Pietrzik K. Nutrition and cognitive impairment in the elderly. Br J Nutr 2001;86:313-21.

Supplementary Files

| MONTREAL CO Version 7.1 Ber | DGNITIVE ASSESSI | MENT (MOCA) |) | NAME Education Sex | : | Date of birth : DATE : | |
|--|--|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| VISUOSPATIAL / E () () () () () () () () () () () () () | xecutive ती ्रा ् ि ् ि ् ि | Í | ¥rð | | আঁকা (এগারে points) | টা বেজে দশ) | POINTS |
| গি | [] | | ſ | |] [itour Nu |] [] mbers Hands | /5 |
| NAMING | | | | | | | /3 |
| সব শব্দগুলি ঠিক ঠিক মন | শব্দগুলি পডুন, আর আপনা: । ২ বার করে শব্দগুলি পডুন। প্র ন থাকলেও দ্বিতীয়বার শব্দগুলিবে ার শব্দগুলি জিজ্ঞাসা করুন। | থমবারে 🔍 কাক | চোখ া | শাড়ি | মন্দির | গোলাপ নীল | No points |
| ATTENTION | নম্বরের তালিকাটি পড়ুন (১ নং/(| | । ম্বেরগুলি যেভাবে বল নম্বরগুলি যেভাবে বল | | | []२১৮৫8 द]९८२ | /2 |
| অক্ষরগুলো পডুন। যখনই | 'এ' শুনবে, পরীক্ষার্থীকে আঙুল দিটে [] | | Nopoints if ≥ 2 e নিএএজক লান | | ড আ এ এ এ | জএমওফএএব | /1 |
| ক্রমান্বয় ১০০ থেকে ৭ বি | ায়োগ [|] බං [4 or 5 correct s |] ხუა [subtractions : 3 pts , |] ৭৯ 2 or 3 correct | [] १२ : 2 pts, 1 correc | [] ৬৫ ct:1pt,0correct:0pt | /3 |
| LANGUAGE | বলুন : আমি শুধু জানি রাম-ই বেড়াল শুধু খাটের তল | সেই যার আজকে যাওয়ার া য় লুকিয়ে পড়ে কুকুরগুলো | ইল না। ঘরে এলে। | | | | /2 |
| | দিয়ে যেকটা শব্দ জানা আছে বলওে | | | | [] | (N ≥ 11 words) | /1 |
| ABSTRACTION | মিল কি - যেমন : কলা- | | | | | 1 | _/2 |
| DELAYED RECALL | আগের শব্দগুলি স্মরণ করা সাহায্য ছাড়া | চোখ শার্ণি [] [| | গোলাপ [] | নীল [] | Points for UNCUED recall only | _/5 |
| Optional | দলগত বৈশিষ্ট্যমূলক সাহায্য একাধিক পছন্দ | | | | | | |
| ORIENTATION | |] মাস | []বছর [] |] দিন | []এলাকা | [] শহর | _/6 |
| © Z.Nasreddine M | D v | www.mocatest.o | rg N | lormal ≥ 26 | / 30 TOT | AL | /30 |
| Administered by: | | | | | | Add 1 point if ≤12 yr | edu |

Supplementary File 1: MOCA Tool

Geriatric Depression Scale (Short Form)

| Patie | ent's Name: Date: _ | | |
|-------|---|----------|-------|
| | <u>uctions:</u> Choose the best answer for how you felt over the past week. Note: Int to complete the form, provide the self-rated form (included on the following | | g the |
| No. | Question | Answer | Score |
| 1. | Are you basically satisfied with your life? | YES / NO | |
| 2. | Have you dropped many of your activities and interests? | YES / NO | |
| 3. | Do you feel that your life is empty? | YES / NO | |
| 4. | Do you often get bored? | YES / NO | |
| 5. | Are you in good spirits most of the time? | YES / NO | |
| 6. | Are you afraid that something bad is going to happen to you? | YES / NO | |
| 7. | Do you feel happy most of the time? | YES / NO | |
| 8. | Do you often feel helpless? | YES / NO | |
| 9. | Do you prefer to stay at home, rather than going out and doing new things? | YES / NO | |
| 10. | Do you feel you have more problems with memory than most people? | YES / NO | |
| 11. | Do you think it is wonderful to be alive? | YES / NO | |
| 12. | Do you feel pretty worthless the way you are now? | YES / NO | |
| 13. | Do you feel full of energy? | YES / NO | |
| 14. | Do you feel that your situation is hopeless? | YES / NO | |
| 15. | Do you think that most people are better off than you are? | YES / NO | |
| | | TOTAL | |

(Sheikh & Yesavage, 1986)

Scoring:

Answers indicating depression are in bold and italicized; score one point for each one selected. A score of 0 to 5 is normal. A score greater than 5 suggests depression.

- Sources:

- Sources:
 Sheikh JI, Yesavage JA, Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS): recent evidence and development of a shorter version. *Clin Gerontol.* 1986 June;5(1/2): 165-173.
 Yesavage JA. Geriatric Depression Scale. *Psychopharmacol Bull.* 1988;24(4):709-711.
 Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res.* 1982-83;17(1):37-49.

Supplementary File 2: GDS 15

Geriatric Depression Scale (Short Form) Self-Rated Version

Date:

Patient's Name: _

Instructions: Choose the best answer for how you felt over the past week.

| No. | Question | Answer | Score |
|-----|--|----------|-------|
| 1. | Are you basically satisfied with your life? | Yes / No | |
| 2. | Have you dropped many of your activities and interests? | YES / NO | |
| 3. | Do you feel that your life is empty? | YES / NO | |
| 4. | Do you often get bored? | YES / NO | |
| 5. | Are you in good spirits most of the time? | Yes / No | |
| 6. | Are you afraid that something bad is going to happen to you? | YES / NO | |
| 7. | Do you feel happy most of the time? | Yes / No | |
| 8. | Do you often feel helpless? | Yes / No | |
| 9. | Do you prefer to stay at home, rather than going out and doing new things? | Yes / No | |
| 10. | Do you feel you have more problems with memory than most people? | Yes / No | |
| 11. | Do you think it is wonderful to be alive? | YES / NO | |
| 12. | Do you feel pretty worthless the way you are now? | Yes / No | |
| 13. | Do you feel full of energy? | Yes / No | |
| 14. | Do you feel that your situation is hopeless? | Yes / No | |
| 15. | Do you think that most people are better off than you are? | Yes / No | |
| | | TOTAL | |

(Sheikh & Yesavage, 1986)

| Mini Nutritional Assessment MNA [®] | | | Nestlé NutritionInstitut | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--------------|
| লামের শেষ | মংশ | | লামের প্রথম অংশ | | | |
| লিঙ্গ | ব্যুস | ওজল (কেজিতে) | উচ্চতা (সেন্টিমিটারে) | তারিখ | | |
| | র মাধ্যমে বক্স প্ | বল করে মূল্যামল (স্কীলিং) |) কাজ সম্পন্ন করতে হবে। চু | ড়ান্ত মূল্যামন (স্ক্রী | লিং) স্কোরের সর্বমোট লম্বর। | |
| ০ = অত্যাধি | ক পরিমালে কমে পরিমালে কমেছে | ছে | যালো বা গিলতে অসুবিধার | কারলে আগলার গ | ধাবার গ্রহল কি পরিমাল কমেছে? | |
| থ. বিগত বি ০ = ওজন ৩ ১ = জালেন ২ = ১ থেকে ৩ = ওজন ক | চল মাসে আপলার কেজির বেশী করে গা ৩ কেজির মধ্যে ও মেলি | া কি পরিমাল ওজল কমেদে মছে (৬.৬ পাউন্ডস) ১জল কমেছে (২.২ এবং ৬.১ লাফেরা কিডাবে মূল্যায়ন | ৬ গাউন্ডম) | | | |
| ০ = বিছালা ১ = বিছালা/ | ৰা চেয়াৰে সীমাৰ | - | | | | |
| ঘ. বিগত তি ০ = হ্যাঁ ২ = | | কালো মালসিক চাপ বা প্ৰু | থর কোলো রোগে ভোগেচ্ছেন্ | 17 | | |
| ০ = অত্যধি ১ = কম ভুলে | क ভूल याउँया व | | বে মূল্যামল করবেল? | | | |
| ০ = বি এম : ১ = বি এম ত ২ = বি এম ত | আই (ওজন কেন্টি আই ১৯ এর নিচে মাই ১৯ থেকে ২১ মাই ২১ থেকে ২৩ মাই ২৩ বা ভাব | এর লিচে এর লিচে | | | | |
| ৰ্মা | দ বি এম আই লা | পাওয়া যায় তাহলে চ-১. | প্রশ্নের পরিবর্তে চ-২. প্রশ্নে | যেতে হবে। যদি চ | -১. প্রশ্ন সম্পন্ন হয় তাহলে ১-২ প্রশ্নের উত্ত | র দরকার লেই। |
| ০ = পায়ের | কাফ) মাংসপেশী | পরিধি (সেন্টিমিটারে) র পরিধি ৩১ এর লিচে । পরিধি ৩১ বা উপরে | | | | |
| মূল্যায়ন (স্ক্রী | লিং) স্কোর (সর্বো | চ ১৪ পয়েন্ট) | | | | |
| ১২–১৪ = পুর্ি ৮–১১ = অগ্ ০–৭ = অগুষ্টি | ষ্টির ঝুঁকিতে আ | 2 | | | | |
| Rubenstein LZ, Har Nutritional Assessm Guigoz Y. The Mini- Kaiser MJ, Bauer JI identification of nutri | er JO, Salva A, Guigoz ent (MNA-SF). J. Geron Nutritional Assessment M, Ramsch C, et al. Vali | Y, Vellas B. Screening for Undernutr t. 2001; 56A: M366-377 (MNA [®]) Review of the Literature - With dation of the Mini Nutritional Assesses with Anina. 2009; 13:782-788. | lenges. J Nutr Health Aging. 2008;10:4 titon in Gerlatric Practice: Developing 1 tat does it tell us? J Nutr Health Aging. ment Shorti-Form (MNA®-SF): A practic | he Short-Form Mini 2006; 10:466-487. | | |

Supplementary File 3: MNA Tool