

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

# Neutralization of Budded Autographa californica NPV by a Monoclonal Antibody: Identification of the Target Antigen

# LOY E. VOLKMAN,<sup>\*,1</sup> PHYLLIS A. GOLDSMITH,\* ROBERTA T. HESS,\* AND PETER FAULKNER<sup>†</sup>

\*Department of Entomology and Parasitology, University of California, Berkeley, California, 94720, and †Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, K7L3N6 Canada

Received October 5, 1983; accepted December 14, 1983

A neutralizing monoclonal antibody of the budded phenotype of Autographa californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus did not react with the occluded form of the virus as determined by neutralization, ELISA, and indirect immunoperoxidase staining. The antibody did react with the surface of infected cells in the prepolyhedra stage of cytopathic effect, the period of active virus budding. Immunoelectron microscopy indicated the antigen(s) reactive with the neutralizing antibody was present all around the viral envelope, but was more highly concentrated at the end with peplomers. Four proteins were immunoprecipitated from solubilized, radiolabeled budded virus preparations with the monoclonal antibody. The major protein had a molecular weight of approximately 64,000, while the other three were approximately 127,000, 59,000, and 49,000. All four proteins could be labeled with N-acetyl-D-[1- $^{3}$ H]glucosamine. This glycosylation reaction could be inhibited by tunicamycin.

#### INTRODUCTION

It is now well recognized that Autographa californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus (AcNPV), the type species of subgroup A baculoviruses, generates two separate phenotypes during the course of infection (Faulkner, 1981). These two phenotypes appear to have specific roles in the persistence of the virus in nature. The occluded form (OV), which gains its envelope in the nucleus before being incorporated into the polyhedral protein matrix, is thought to be responsible for the transmission of infection from one larva to the next. The polyhedra, released into the environment by the liquification of infected, diseased insects, are ingested by susceptable larvae and are dissolved by the alkaline pH of the midgut juices. The occluded virions, thereby released into the gut lumen, are freed to infect the midgut cells. The second phenotype, the budded virus (BV), gains its envelope by budding through the plasma membrane of infected cells. This form is thought to be responsible for transmitting infection from one tissue to another within an infected insect.

Larvae-grown occluded virions can be artificially released from polyhedra in the laboratory by exposure to alkali. This larvae-occluded virus, alkali liberated (LOVAL) has been compared to BV and has been found to be different morphologically, biologically, and biochemically (Volkman, 1983), even though the genomes of the two phenotypes have been shown to be the same by restriction endonuclease analysis (Smith and Summers, 1978). Germane to this report are the observations that BV possesses polar peplomers while LOVAL does not (Adams et al., 1977). Differences in infectivity have also been documented; BV is much more highly infectious in the hemocoel than in the gut (1  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup>-fold greater), while LOVAL is three times more highly infectious in the gut than in the hemocoel. In cell culture, BV is about  $1.88 \times 10^3$  times more infectious than LOVAL (Volkman and Summers, 1977). A third observation pertinent to this report is that BV and LOVAL are neutralized by different populations of anti-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Author to whom requests for reprints should be addressed.

bodies (Volkman et al., 1976). The availability of a neutralizing monoclonal antibody of the BV phenotype of AcNPV (Hohmann and Faulkner, 1983) afforded the opportunity to further compare the LOVAL and BV phenotypes with regard to their reaction with this antibody, and to identify the BV antigen recognized by the antibody. Results of this investigation revealed that the antibody did not recognize the LOVAL phenotype at all, but reacted with surface antigens on infected cells in the prepolyhedra stage of cytopathic effect (CPE) (Volkman and Summers, 1975), the period of active virus budding (Volkman et al., 1976). The BV antigen(s) recognized was a glycoprotein incorporated throughout the BV envelope, but was more concentrated at the end of the virus containing peplomers. Four glycoproteins of molecular weights 127,000, 64,000, 59,000, and 49,000 were identified by the immunoprecipitation of solubilized radiolabeled BV structural proteins with the neutralizing monoclonal antibody. The relationship of these proteins to one another has vet to be established.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Cell Culture

All studies were conducted with Spodoptera frugiperda IPLB-SF-21 cells grown at 28° in BML-TC/10 medium (Gardiner and Stockdale, 1970) with 10% fetal calf serum.

## Virus

The virus was the E2 variant of AcNPV (Smith and Summers, 1978). Budded virus used in ELISA was third passage virus from 48 hr culture medium of infected IPLB-SF-21 cells. This virus was purified by differential centrifugation and sucrose density gradients described by Volkman *et al.* (1976). LOVAL was obtained and purified as described by Volkman (1983).

## Source of Antibodies

The generation and preliminary characterization of the monoclonal antibody AcV<sub>1</sub> was described by Hohmann and Faulkner (1983). The lymphocyte hybridoma cells producing AcV<sub>1</sub> were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagles medium (DMEM) with 20% fetal calf serum in a 7% CO<sub>2</sub>-93% air environment at 37°. Conditioned DMEM was the source of hybridoma antibody. Antiserum to electrophoretically purified BV 64,000 molecular weight protein (BVP 64) was provided by Dr. James Maruniak, University of Florida, Gainsville, Fla.

# ELISA

Indirect ELISA was performed essentially as described by Voller et al. (1979). Gilford cuvette strips were coated with purified virus by incubating either BV or LOVAL at 5  $\mu$ g/ml in 0.05 M sodium carbonate (pH 9.6) overnight at 4°. The strips were washed three times by hand in 0.15 M NaCl and 0.1% Tween 20 after this incubation and all others. DMEM alone or containing  $AcV_1$  was diluted in indirect buffer (0.1 *M* phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4, 0.05% Tween 20, 2% polyvinylpyrrolidone-10, and 0.5% bovine serum albumin) and incubated in wells containing virus for 2 hr at 35° on a rotary shaker at 60 rpm. After washing, alkaline phosphatase-coupled goat anti-mouse IgG diluted in indirect buffer was added and incubated as in the previous step. Following another wash, the substrate  $\rho$ -nitrophenyl phosphate was added at 1 mg/ml in 10% diethanolamine, pH 9.8, and incubated for 1 hr at 35°. The optical density of the reaction product in each well was determined at 405 nm with a Gilford PR 50 EIA processor.

# Neutralization Experiments

Neutralization experiments were performed by diluting 160 to 100 plaque forming units (PFU) of AcNPV BV or LOVAL 1:2 with a known dilution of DMEM (alone or containing  $AcV_1$ ) and incubating 1 hr at 37°. The number of remaining infectious virions was determined by the immunoperoxidase infectivity assay (Volkman and Goldsmith, 1982).

## Immunoperoxidase Staining

Light microscopy. A subconfluent monolayer of IPLB-SF-21 cells was infected with 100 PFU virus and incubated at 28° for 20 hr. The medium was removed and the cells were fixed for 30 sec in buffered formolacetone, pH 4.5, (Volkman and Goldsmith, 1981). Cells were incubated 30 min with undiluted DMEM containing  $AcV_1$ , rinsed with 0.05 *M* Tris-buffered saline, pH 7.6, and, for indirect staining, incubated with horseradish peroxidase coupled goat antimouse IgG for an additional 30 min before being stained and dehydrated (Volkman and Goldsmith, 1981).

*Electron microscopy.* IPLB-SF-21 cells were seeded as subconfluent monolayers in 35-mm diameter tissue culture dishes and infected at a multiplicity of infection (m.o.i.) of 10. After a 2-hr adsorption period, the inoculum was removed and fresh medium was added. A 27-hr incubation period at 28° followed, then the medium was removed and the cells were fixed for 15 min with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. The cells were treated with DMEM alone or containing  $AcV_1$ , and subsequently processed by the peroxidase anti-peroxidase (PAP)-staining procedure described elsewhere (Volkman and Goldsmith, 1981). Following PAP staining, the cells were postfixed in  $1\% OsO_4$  (phosphate buffer, pH 7.0) for 1 hr, dehydrated, and embedded as described previously (Volkman and Goldsmith, 1983).

## Isotopic Labeling

To prepare [ $^{35}$ S]methionine or N-acetyl-D-[1- $^{3}$ H]glucosamine-labeled BV, near confluent cell monolayers in 75-ml cell culture flasks were infected (or mock infected) at 28° with BV at an m.o.i. of 10. The inoculum was allowed to adsorb for 2 hr while the flasks were gently rocked on a Bellco rocker platform, then the inoculum was removed and 10 ml/flask of fresh medium was added. At 7 hr post infection (p.i.) the complete medium was removed and 10 ml labeling medium, either deficient in methionine (for [ $^{35}$ S]methionine labeling) or containing 1/10th normal glucose concentration (for N-acetyl-D-[1-<sup>3</sup>H]glucosamine labeling) and 2% dialyzed fetal calf serum were added to each flask and incubated for 2 hr. This medium was removed and 8 ml labeling medium plus 25  $\mu$ Ci/ml [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine or 40  $\mu$ Ci/ml N-acetyl-D-[1-<sup>3</sup>H]glucosamine were added per flask. The cells were rocked gently until 27 hr p.i., at which time BV was harvested. For some experiments, 5  $\mu$ g/ml tunicamycin (a glycosylation inhibitor) was added at the time of infection and was maintained throughout the starvation and labeling periods.

# Immunoprecipitation, SDS-PAGE, and Autoradiography

BV was harvested by removing the medium from labeled cell cultures at 27 hr p.i., centrifuging at 1000 g for 10 min to remove any floating cells and large debris, and then centrifuging the supernatant fluid for 1 hr at 50,000 g to pellet the virus. The virus pellets were resuspended in 0.2 ml solubilization buffer (0.01 M Tris, pH 7.6,0.15 M NaCl, 0.001 M EDTA, 0.5% Nonidet P40, 0.877%  $\beta$ -D-octyl-glucoside, 1% aprotinin, and 0.002 M phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride, and rocked for 3 hr at 4°. Solubilized virus was centrifuged at 50.000 gfor 1 hr at 4°, and the supernatant fractions were treated with 25  $\mu$ l normal rabbit serum plus 15-20 ng preswollen Protein A-Sepharose CL-4B beads, rocked for 1 hr at 4°, and centrifuged to preclear the soluble antigen-containing fraction. AcV<sub>1</sub> (7.5  $\mu$ g) was added to each sample along with 96  $\mu$ g Protein A-Sepharose CL-4B beads saturated with rabbit anti-mouse IgG. The samples were gently rocked overnight at 4°. Following this, the beads were pelleted and washed 4 times with solubilization buffer, then resuspended and boiled in SDS-2 mercaptoethanol buffer for 10 min. The boiled samples were loaded onto 10 or 12% SDS-PAGE gels (Laemmli, 1970) and electrophoresed at constant current (30 mA per gel) for approximately 4 hr. The gels were treated with En<sup>3</sup>Hance (New England Nuclear) and dried under vacuum. Labeled proteins were detected by autoradiography with Kodak X-Omat AR film and a Dupont lightning-plus intensifying screen.

### RESULTS

# Absence of Reactivity with LOVAL

Monoclonal antibody AcV<sub>1</sub> was generated to the BV phenotype of AcNPV, and was found to neutralize that form of the virus (Hohmann and Faulkner, 1983). It was therefore of interest to determine whether it neutralized or recognized AcNPV LOVAL. The results of a comparative neutralization test of AcV<sub>1</sub> versus BV and LOVAL are presented in Fig. 1. A dilution of 1:500 of  $AcV_1$ -containing cell culture medium completely neutralized BV while no neutralization of the LOVAL form was achieved even with a 1:2 dilution of the same medium. Comparative ELISA results, shown in Fig. 2, indicated that no detectable reaction between  $AcV_1$  and LOVAL could be obtained, while  $AcV_1$ readily recognized BV.

# Location of the $AcV_1$ Target Antigen(s)

To determine the cellular location of the target antigen(s) of  $AcV_1$ , infected cells were examined by immunolight and immunoelectron microscopy. Figure 3 shows the results of the indirect immunoperoxidase staining of a mixture of infected and uninfected IPLB-SF-21 cells fixed with formol-buffered acetone (to expose intra-



FIG. 1. Neutralization of AcNPV BV  $(\bullet)$  and LOVAL  $(\bullet)$  with AcV<sub>1</sub>-containing DMEM.



FIG. 2. ELISA of AcNPV BV versus  $AcV_1$ -containing DMEM ( $\bullet$ ) or DMEM alone ( $\bigcirc$ ), and AcNPV LOVAL versus  $AcV_1$ -containing DMEM ( $\blacksquare$ ) or DMEM alone ( $\Box$ ).

cellular antigens) and treated with DMEMcontaining  $AcV_1$ . The staining obtained was heavy on the surface of infected cells, recognizable by the condensed virogenic



FIG. 3. Indirect immunoperoxidase staining of  $AcV_1$ treated infected (arrows) and uninfected formol-buffered acetone fixed cells. Even though internal antigens were exposed only surface antigens stained significantly. No nuclear staining is evident.

stroma in the nuclei (Volkman and Summers, 1975). Staining occurred most heavily on cell surfaces not in contact with other cells. There was a notable lack of staining in the nuclei of infected cells.

Figures 4, 5, and 6 show electron micrographs of infected and uninfected cells exposed to DMEM with or without  $AcV_1$ . Cell surface staining was evident in infected cells, although staining intensity varied from regions where it was undetectable to areas of very heavy staining (Fig. 4C). Electronmicrographs of higher magnification revealed that relatively heavy staining was correlated with regions of concentrated virus budding (Fig. 5C). Higher concentrations of  $AcV_1$  also resulted in the heavy staining of regions of virus budding (Fig. 5D). Staining in areas of relatively low budding activity with the appropriate dilution of antibody revealed that the target antigen was a component of the plasma membrane before any association with viral nucleocapsid was apparent (Fig. 6). As nucleocapsids approached the plasma membrane, however, the antigen appeared to become concentrated at the site of initial contact, the location where peplomers form (Figs. 6A, B, D) (Adams *et al.*, 1977). Although staining was more intense at the peplomer end of the BV envelope, it could be detected all around the virion envelope (Fig. 6C).

# Immunoprecipitation of BV Structural Proteins with $AcV_1$

To determine the molecular weight of the target antigen of  $AcV_1$ , AcNPV BV was radioactively labeled with <sup>35</sup>[S]methionine or N-acetyl-D-[1-<sup>3</sup>H]glucosamine, solubilized with nonionic detergents, immuno-



FIGS. 4 (left), 5 (right). Immunoelectron micrographs of portions of PAP-stained uninfected (A) and infected (B, C, D) cells treated with either undiluted DMEM alone (B), or DMEM containing  $AcV_1$ , diluted 1:50 (C), 1:5 (D), or not diluted (A). The bar represents 1  $\mu$ m in Fig. 4 and 100 nm in Fig. 5.



FIG. 6. Immunoelectron micrographs of portions of PAP-stained cells with budding and budded AcNPV evident. All cells were treated with a 1:50 dilution of  $AcV_1$ -containing DMEM. The bar represents 100 nm. Arrows indicate the stained peplomers.

precipitated, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. Four proteins of different molecular weights were consistently precipitated in several experiments, with the most heavily labeled one being approximately 64,000, one of intermediate intensity at approximately 49,000, and two minor bands at 127,000 and 59,000 (Fig. 7). All four were labeled with both radioactive methionine and acetyl-glucosamine (Figs. 7A, C). Minor bands of molecular weights less than 49,000 were also obtained, but the molecular weights and the intensity of the bands were variable from experiment to experiment. Treatment of cells with 5  $\mu$ g/ ml tunicamycin, an inhibitor of N-asparagine-linked glycosylation (Klenk and Rott, 1980), resulted in the precipitation of no acetyl-glucosamine-labeled proteins (Fig. 7D), and only a 59,000-molecular weight methionine-labeled protein (Fig. 7B). Pelleted BV from tunicamycin-treated infected cultures was negatively stained and viewed by electron microscopy to confirm its presence in those preparations (not shown). Processing of control samples from labeled uninfected cells with and without tunicamycin resulted in the precipitation of no labeled proteins (not shown).

#### DISCUSSION

The presence of glycoproteins on the surface is a common property of enveloped animal viruses (Choppin and Sheid, 1980). In most enveloped viruses, the glycoproteins are present in the form of peplomers and are functional in the early interactions of the virus and host cell; either in the initial adsorption and attachment to the cell, and/or in the subsequent penetration of the cell (Choppin and Sheid, 1980; Klenk and Rott, 1980). Adsorption of a given virus often requires interaction with a specific receptor, thus peplomers potentially play an important role in the specificity of infection. Enveloped virus peplomers are usually composed of several glycoprotein molecules, either the same molecular spe-



FIG. 7. Autoradiogram of BV peptides immunoprecipitated with  $AcV_1$ . BV was labeled with either [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine (A, B) or N-acetyl-D-[1-<sup>3</sup>H]glucosamine (C, D) in the presence (B, D) or absence (A, C) of tunicamycin. Molecular weights (in thousands) are indicated.

cies or different fragments that arise by proteolytic cleavage of a common precursor. Some viruses have only one type of peplomer, while others possess two types, each formed by different glycoprotein species (Klenk and Rott, 1980). Commonly it is the viral glycoprotein that is the target of neutralizing antibodies.

Immunoprecipitation of radiolabeled BV structural proteins with the neutralizing monoclonal antibody  $AcV_1$  yielded four bands of different molecular weights when analyzed under reducing conditions by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. The fact that the same banding pattern was obtained when the precipitated BV proteins were labeled with N-acetyl-D-[1-3H]glucosamine as with [35S]methionine indicated all the proteins involved were glycoproteins. The addition of tunicamycin, as expected, blocked the glycosylation of the proteins in question. These results indicated that the oligosaccharides were N-linked rather than O-linked. Although recently O-linkages have been reported in some viral glycoproteins (Stern and Sefton, 1982; Zezulak and Spear, 1983), the majority reported so far have been N linkages (Klenk and Rott, 1980). When tunicamycin was used in conjunction with the methionine label, a protein of molecular weight 59,000 was immunoprecipitated. Whether or not BVP 59 is a slightly glycosylated version of this same protein remains to be determined.

Stiles *et al.* (1983) reported that treatment of AcNPV-infected TN-368 cells with  $5 \mu g/ml$  tunicamycin resulted in a 99% reduction in BV titer, while it had no effect on the infectivity of OV. These results are consistent with our observations that this tunicamycin-sensitive glycoprotein is critical to the infectivity of BV, but is not a component of LOVAL.

Several ideas can be put forth as possible explanations of why four bands were obtained in the immunoprecipitation experiments: (1) the four proteins were precipitated individually because they share an epitope reactive with  $AcV_1$  due to a precursor-product relationship of some kind; (2) the precipitated proteins are not related

but merely share epitopes cross-reactive with  $AcV_1$ ; (3) not all the precipitated proteins interact directly with  $AcV_1$  but are precipitated because of noncovalent linkages (such as ionic and hydrogen bonding), or covalent disulfide bonding with a protein (peptide) that does react with  $AcV_1$  and are subsequently separated by the denaturing and reducing conditions of the Laemmli gel system; (4) the epitope reactive with  $AcV_1$  is formed by the association of two or more of the precipitated proteins; (5) the 127,000 band represents dimers of the 64,000 protein and the other minor bands are the result of sample preparatory procedures and/or proteolytic activity during sample preparation; (6) combinations of the above. Certainly, further experimentation is required to determine which (if any) of the above listed explanations most closely approximates the situation, but the hypothesis that the BV epitope in question is dependent upon the association of at least two proteins (or peptide chains) is consistent with information collected over several years of experimentation. BVP 64 is one of the major proteins of AcNPV BV (Smith and Summers, 1978) and though LOVAL contains a structural protein of the same molecular weight, the BV protein is considerably more abundant. BVP 64 is the major structural protein precipitated by  $AcV_1$ , but antiserum made to electrophoretically purified BVP 64 does not neutralize BV (not shown). Further, antiserum made to AcNPV LOVAL will react with BVP 64, (Volkman, 1983) but will not neutralize BV (Volkman *et al.*, 1976). Additionally,  $AcV_1$ reactivity with BV (as demonstrated by ELISA) is destroyed if the BV antigen is first boiled with the standard Laemmli SDS-PAGE sample buffer as is customary before gel analysis (unpublished results). The loss of reactivity by this treatment explains the lack of activity obtained by Hohmann and Faulkner (1983) in their Western blot analysis of BV with  $AcV_1$ .

Immunoperoxidase staining in conjunction with both light and electron microscopy revealed that cell-associated  $AcV_1$ target antigen(s) were located at the surface of cells in the prepolyhedra stage of infection (Volkman and Summers, 1975), and appeared to be preferentially substituted into the plasma membrane where no contact with another cell occurred. Further, immunoelectron microscopy revealed that the target antigen(s) were substituted into the plasma membrane in advance of virus budding, but were concentrated at the point of nucleocapsid attachment, resulting in differential staining of the envelope of the budded virus. The introduction of the viral glycoprotein into the plasma membrane before viral budding is the normal process observed with other enveloped viruses (Klenk and Rott, 1980).

Staining results indicated that the  $AcV_1$ target antigen(s) were present in the viral envelope all around the virion, but were more highly concentrated at the end with peplomers. Adams et al. (1977), after having reviewed numerous electron micrographs of in vivo and in vitro budding baculoviruses, described the plasma membranes of infected cells as having a "spiny coat" appearance (to various degrees) in areas where no peplomers were seen. Since most enveloped-virus glycoproteins are present at the surface in the form of spikes (Klenk and Rott, 1980), it is speculated that these antigens may be components of the spines and peplomers observed in the BV envelope (Adams *et al.*, 1977). Whether or not this is true, and whether the same proteins are components of both the spines and peplomers remains to be determined.

**Reciprocal neutralization and adsorption** experiments (Volkman et al., 1976) have indicated that LOVAL and BV are neutralized by different populations of antibodies, so it is not surprising that a monoclonal antibody that neutralized BV would not neutralize LOVAL. Since antiserum to LOVAL does not neutralize BV (Volkman et al., 1976), it also was not surprising that the target epitope of the neutralizing antibody  $AcV_1$  was absent altogether from LOVAL, as indicated by both ELISA and the absence of immunoperoxidase staining of infected cell nuclei in acetone-fixed cells. It may be that this difference in glycoproteins is responsible for the difference in infectivity and specificity of the two phenotypes.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank James Maruniak for rabbit antiserum to BVP 64. This research was supported by U. S. Department of Agriculture S135 Regional Research Funds, California State Critical Applied Research Funds, Federal Hatch Funds, and U. S. Department of Agricuture Competitive Research Grant 83-CRCR-1-1244.

#### REFERENCES

- ADAMS, J. R., GOODWIN, R. H. and WILCOX, T. A. (1977). Electron microscopic investigation on invasion and replication of insect baculoviruses in vivo and in vitro. Biol. Cell 28, 261–268.
- CHOPPIN, P. W., and SCHEID, A. (1980). The role of viral glycoproteins in adsorption, penetration, and pathogenecity of viruses. *Rev. Inf. Dis.* 2, 40-61.
- FAULKNER, P. (1982). Baculoviruses. In "Pathogenesis of Invertebrate Microbial Diseases" (E. W. Davidson, ed.), pp. 3-37. Allenheld, Osmun and Co., N. J.
- GARDINER, G. R., and STOCKDALE, H. (1970). Two tissue culture media for production of lepidopteran cells and nuclear polyhedrosis viruses. J. Invert. Pathol. 25, 363-370.
- HOHMANN, A. W., and FAULKNER, P. (1983). Monoclonal antibodies to baculovirus structural proteins: Determination of specificities by western blot analysis. Virology 125, 432-444.
- KLENK, H. D., and ROTT, R. (1980). Cotranslational and posttranslational processing of viral glycoproteins. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 90, 19-48.
- LAEMMLI, J. K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature (London) 227, 680-685.
- SMITH, G. E., and SUMMERS, M. D. (1975). Analysis of baculovirus genomes with restriction endonucleases. *Virology* 89, 517-527.
- STERN, D. F. and SEFTON, B. M. (1982). Coronavirus proteins: Structure and function of the oligosaccharides of the avian infectious bronchitis virus glycoproteins. J. Virol. 44, 804-812.
- STILES, B., WOOD, H. A., and HUGHES, P. R. (1983). Effect of tunicamycin on the infectivity of Autographa californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus. J. Invert. Pathol. 41, 405-408.
- VOLLER, A., BIDWELL, D. E., and BARTLETT, A. (1977). The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Dynatech, Europe, Borough House, Guernsey, U. K.
- VOLKMAN, L. E. (1983). Occluded and budded Auto-

grapha californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus: Immunological relatedness of structural proteins. J. Virol. 46, 221-229.

- VOLKMAN, L. E., and GOLDSMITH, P. A. (1981). Baculovirus bioassay not dependent upon polyhedra production. J. Gen. Virol. 56, 203-206.
- VOLKMAN, L. E., and GOLDSMITH, P. A. (1982). Generalized immunoassay for Autographa californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus infectivity in vitro. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44, 227-233.
- VOLKMAN, L. E., and GOLDSMITH, P. A. (1983). In vitro survey of Autographa californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus interaction with nontarget vertebrate host cells. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 45, 1085-1093.
- VOLKMAN, L. E., and SUMMERS, M. D. (1975). Nuclear polyhedrosis virus detection: Relative capabilities of clones developed from *Trichoplusia ni* ovarian

cell line TN-368 to serve as indicator cells in a plaque assay. J. Virol. 16, 1630-1637.

- VOLKMAN, L. E., and SUMMERS, M. D. (1977). Autographa californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus: Comparative infectivity of the occluded, alkali-liberated, and nonoccluded forms. J. Invert. Pathol. 30, 102-103.
- VOLKMAN, L. E., SUMMERS, M. D., and HSIEH, C. H. (1976). Occluded and nonoccluded nuclear polyhedrosis virus grown in *Tricoplusia ni*: Comparative neutralization, comparative infectivity, and *in vitro* growth studies. J. Virol. 19, 820-832.
- ZEZULAK, K. M., and SPEAR, P. G. (1983). Characterization of a Herpes simplex virus type 2 75,000molecular weight glycoprotein antigenically related to Herpes simplex type 1 glycoprotein c. J. Virol. 47, 553-562.