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#### Abstract

A series of nitrogen heterocycles containing $\alpha$-ethoxyphenylpropionic acid derivatives were designed as dual PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ agonist ligands for the treatment of type 2 diabetes (T2D) and its complications. 6-Benzoyl-benzothiazol-2-one was the most tolerant of the tested heterocycles in which incorporation of O-methyl oxime ether and trifluoroethoxy group followed by enantiomeric resolution led to the (S)-stereoisomer $\mathbf{4 4 b}$ displaying the best in vitro pharmacological profile. Compound $\mathbf{4 4 b}$ acted as a very potent full PPAR $\gamma$ agonist and a weak partial agonist on the PPAR $\alpha$ receptor subtype. Compound $\mathbf{4 4} \mathbf{b}$ showed high efficacy in an ob/ob mice model with significant decreases in serum triglyceride, glucose and insulin levels but mostly with limited body-weight gain and could be considered as a selective PPAR $\gamma$ modulator (SPPAR $\gamma \mathrm{M}$ ).
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## 1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes (T2D), is a metabolic disorder characterised by hyperglycaemia caused not only by impaired insulin secretion from the pancreas but also by the increased insulin resistance of peripheral tissues ${ }^{1,2}$. The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR $\alpha$, PPAR $\beta / \delta$ and PPAR $\gamma$ ) are gene-specific transcription factors whose activation by small lipophilic derived ligands leads to significant improvement in insulin resistance, hyperglycaemia ${ }^{3}$, endothelial function ${ }^{4}$, markers of inflammation ${ }^{5}$ and cholesterol metabolism ${ }^{6}$. However, clinical use of PPAR ligands as thiazolidinediones or glitazars has been restricted by their side effect profile, namely, fluid retention, congestive heart failure (CHF), adipogenic weight gain, kidney impairment and a decrease in bone mineral density associated with fractures ${ }^{7-11}$. Recently, INT131 was designed as a selective PPAR $\gamma$ modulator (SPPAR $\gamma$ M) to maintain the benefits of the PPAR $\gamma$ full agonists while reducing or deleting their undesirable side effects ${ }^{12,13}$. This selective PPAR $\gamma$ modulator (SPPAR $\gamma \mathrm{M}$ ) and others ${ }^{14}$ had partial agonist activity and showed increased insulin sensitivity and robust glucose-lowering activity with a reduction of the adverse effects observed with PPAR $\gamma$ full agonists, whatever the animal model of diabetes. These results illustrated that anti-diabetic effects of PPAR ligands can be decoupled from unwanted side effects as oedema or weight gain.

As a part of our programme, we were interested in developing new SPPAR $\gamma$ M ligands with full PPAR $\gamma$ agonist activities as well as moderating the adverse side effects as fluid retention or bodyweight gain. We have previously described a large series of $\alpha$-ethoxyphenylpropionic acids bearing a benzoyl-indole core and
found that many of them were full dual PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ agonists with higher selectivity for the PPAR $\gamma$ receptor ${ }^{15}$. Oxime ether introduction and enantiomeric resolution within this series of compounds allowed adjustment of the PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ potency ratio leading to best equally balanced PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ ligands. Unfortunately, despite high efficacy in an ob/ob mice model of T2D and dyslipidemia, similar to rosiglitazone and tesaglitazar, these compounds exhibited a disapproving benefit-risk ratio as they displayed a significant increase in body weight gain.

Herein we investigated the impact of the indole core replacement by various nitrogen heterocycles as benzothiazol-2-one, ben-zothia- or benzoxazin-3-one and 1,3-dihydro-2H-indol-2-one on PPAR $\gamma$ binding affinity and PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ transactivation assays (Figure 1). The best heterocycle derivative was then selected to further chemical modulations as introduction of oxime and trifluoroethoxy groups as well as synthesis of optically pure enantiomers which were subjected to in vivo studies to assess their anti-hyperlipidemic, anti-hyperglycemic, and body weight effects in experimental animal models.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Chemistry

### 2.1.1. General

All reagents and solvents were purchased and used without further purification. Melting points were determined on a BÜCHI B540 apparatus and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300 spectrometer operating at $300 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$
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Figure 1. Design of $\alpha$-alkoxyphenylpropionic acid derivatives bearing various central nitrogen heterocycles.
or $75 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ). Chemical shifts are in parts per million (ppm) and were referenced to the residual proton peaks in deuterated solvents. Mass spectra were recorded with an LCMS (Waters Alliance Micromass ZQ 2000). LCMS analysis was performed using a Waters XBridge C18 column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size column, dimensions $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm})$. A gradient starting from $98 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ formate buffer 5 mM (pH 3.8) and reaching $100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /$ formate buffer 5 mM ( pH 3.8 ) within 4 min at a flow rate of $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ was used followed by a return to the starting conditions within 1 min . Elemental analyses were performed by UMR CNRS8181, and were in agreement with the calculated values within $\pm 0.2 \%$. Synthesis of compounds 1-7 was performed according procedures well described in the literature. Chiral HPLC method: Waters HPLC system was equipped with a HPLC 600 pump and a 2996 photodiode array detector. The HPLC column used was a Daicel Chiralpak AD Column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The eluents were: (A) IPA $+0.1 \%$ TFA; (B) $n$-hexane. The isocratic condition of elution was at a flow rate of 1 or $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a total run time of 40 min .

### 2.1.2. 5-Bromo-3,3-difluoro-1,3-dihydroindol-2-one (9)

DAST ( $5.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a solution of 5 bromoisatine ( 8 ) ( $4 \mathrm{~g}, 17.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in anhydrous DCM $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature for 24 h under inert atmosphere. The reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ then with water ( 50 mL ) and extracted twice with DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:2), yielding (9) as a yellow solid ( $3.82 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ); $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BrF}_{2} \mathrm{NO} ; \mathrm{MW}=248.03 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; m.p. $195-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.90$ (d, 1 H , $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 247.6\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right]^{+} ; 249.8\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{81} \mathrm{Br}\right]^{+}$.

### 2.1.3. 3,3-Difluoro-5-(hydroxyphenylmethyl)-1,3-dihydroindol-2-

 one (10)n-Butyllithium ( $15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $24 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ eq) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}(6 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 12 mmol , 2.0 eq ) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min . A solution of $9(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) was then added at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred also for 30 min . A solution of benzaldehyde ( $3.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 36 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h then rised to room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated
aqueous ammonium chloride solution ( 100 mL ) and extracted twice with EtOAc ( 50 mL ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (cyclohexane/ EtOAc 6:4), yielding $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a yellow solid ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ); $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{MW}=275.26 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \quad$ m.p. $\quad 161-163^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.30-7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $\left.\mathrm{MS}^{(E S I}{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 276.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 2.1.4. 5-Benzoyl-3,3-difluoro-1,3-dihydroindol-2-one (11)

Dess-Martin periodinane ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dissolved in DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $10(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated aqueous ammonium chloride and sodium thiosulphate solution $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred until a clear solution was obtained. The solution was extracted twice with DCM, the organic layers were washed with a saturated aqueous sodium chloride solution, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure yielding 11 as a yellow solid ( $0.504 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ) which can be used without further purification. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2} ; \mathrm{MW}=273.24 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $154-156^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.98-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) m/z $274.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 2.1.5. Ethyl 2-diazo-2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acetate (12)

The formation of benzenesulfonyl azide was accomplished according to the published procedure ${ }^{16}$. In brief, benzenesulfonyl chloride ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 56.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in acetone ( 100 mL ) and sodium azide ( $5.52 \mathrm{~g}, 84.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in water ( 20 mL ) were combined yielding 9.6 g of the desired reagent as a colourless liquid (93\%); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2$ and 8.3 Hz$), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.97 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). MS m/z $184[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Next stage was accomplished according to the procedure of Moody et al. [48]. Triethylphosphonoacetate ( 10.4 mL , $52.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in dry THF ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise to an ice-cold suspension of $60 \%$ sodium hydride ( $3.14 \mathrm{~g}, 78.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ eq) in dry THF ( 50 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h after which time benzenesulfonyl azide ( $9.6 \mathrm{~g}, 52.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq) in dry THF ( 100 mL ) was added, under a constant flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 16 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then quenched by the addition of $5 \%$ aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. Thereafter, the aqueous phase was extracted
with EtOAc which was washed with water and brine. The organic extract was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered off and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:5), yielding 12 as a pale yellow liquid $(11.4 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.19(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 251.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 2.1.6. General procedure for synthesis of alkoxy phosphonoacetates (13a,b)

This conversion was performed according to the method of Haigh et al. ${ }^{17}$. A mixture of diazo ester $12(10 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol})$, ethanol $(23.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 400 \mathrm{mmol})$ or 2-trifluoroethanol ( $28.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 400 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{II})$ acetate dimer ( $176.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 60 mL ) was heated with stirring at reflux for 4 h . The solution was concentrated in vacuo, hydrolysed and extracted 3 times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with water, brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered off and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting colourless liquid was used in the next step without further purification.
2.1.7. Ethyl 2-ethoxy-2-(diethylphosphono)acetate (13a)

Colourless liquid; $80 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{MW}=268.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.24-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 4.23-4.28 (m, 6H), $4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 269.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
2.1.8. Ethyl 2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy-2-(diethylphosphono)acetate (13 b) Colourless liquid; $80 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{MW}=322.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.24-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 323.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 2.1.9. 4-(2-Chloroethoxy)benzaldehyde (15)

A mixture of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (14) $(10 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate ( $22 \mathrm{~g}, 160 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-bromo-2-chloroethane ( $30 \mathrm{~mL}, 320 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 15 h . The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified via flash column chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc 7:3), yielding 15 as a white powder ( $13.6 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ); $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClO}_{2} ; \mathrm{MW}=184.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp} 29-32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.86(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.90(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H). MS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 185\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 187\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$.

### 2.1.10. General procedure for synthesis of propionate $(17 a, b)$

Phosphonoacetate 13a ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 18.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) or $\mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{b}(6 \mathrm{~g}, 18.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise to a suspension of $60 \%$ sodium hydride ( $0.93 \mathrm{~g}, 23.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 100 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a constant flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Benzaldehyde 15 ( $2.85 \mathrm{~g}, 15.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added in dry THF ( 50 mL ). Post stirring for a further 4 h at room temperature, the solution was concentrated in vacuo and the residue dissolved in EtOAc for washing with water and brine. Combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered off and concentrated in vacuo leading a residue that was purified by flash column (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:2) to yield 16a,b (66-92\% yield) as mixtures of two isomers (ratio $Z: E=60: 40$ ) which were not separated and directly used in the next catalytic hydrogenation step. A solution of $\mathbf{1 6 a , b}$ ( 13.2 mmol ) in ethanol ( 150 mL ) was stirred with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst at room temperature under an atmospheric pressure of hydrogen for 20 h . The catalyst is
separated by filtration and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified via flash column chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc 7:3).
2.1.11. Ethyl 3-[4-(2-chloroethoxy)-phenyl]-2-ethoxy-propionate (17a)
Colourless liquid; $93 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClO}_{4} ; \mathrm{MW}=300.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.17(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81$ (t, 2H, J=5.9 Hz), 3.98 (t, 1H, J=5.6Hz), 4.12-4.25 (m, 4H), $6.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 300.1$ $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 302.2\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$.
2.1.12. Ethyl 3-[4-(2-chloroethoxy)-phenyl]-2,2,2-trifluoroethoxypropionate (17b)
Colourless liquid; $97 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} ; \mathrm{MW}=354.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), \quad 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 354.2$ $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 356.2\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$.

### 2.1.13. General procedure for synthesis of propionic acid $(18 a, b)$

Lithium hydroxide ( $0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a solution of 17a ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) or $17 \mathrm{~b}(2.36 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq) dissolved in a 1: 1 mixture of THF ( 30 mL ) and water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 20 h . Thereafter the whole was concentrated in vacuo, and the concentrate subjected to vigorous stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as the solution pH was adjusted to 1 with additions of aliquots of 1 M HCl . The resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with water, pentane and dried under reduced pressure.

### 2.1.14. 3-[4-(2-Chloroethoxy)-phenyl]-2-ethoxy-propionic acid (18a)

 White solid; $85 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}_{4} ; \mathrm{MW}=272.72 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp} 49-51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.04(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ $\left(E S I^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 273.2\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 275.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$.
### 2.1.15. 3-[4-(2-Chloroethoxy)-phenyl]-2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy-propionic acid (18 b) <br> White solid; $91 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} ; \mathrm{MW}=326.72 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp} 70-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.03$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.16 (dd, 1 H , $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 327.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 329.4\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$.

### 2.1.16. General procedure for synthesis of diastereoisomers (19a,b and 20a,b)

To a solution of $\mathbf{1 8 a}(3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ or $\mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{b}(4.09 \mathrm{~g}$, $12.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\operatorname{DCM}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \operatorname{EDCI}(2.87 \mathrm{~g}$, $15.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$, ( $($ )-(-)-2-phenylgycinol ( $1.74 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq), and HOBT ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added. Finally, triethylamine ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 12 h at ambient temperature, quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution, and diluted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo and
the resulting residue was purified via flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc 45:55).
2.1.17. 2-(S)-3-[4-(2-Chloroethoxy)-phenyl]-2-ethoxy-N-((S)-2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl) propanamide (19a)
Off-white solid; $37 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4} ; \quad \mathrm{MW}=391.88 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp}$ $135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.21(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; 2.91 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \quad J=6.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.09 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \quad J=3.75 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.75 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6.75 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, 3 H ); MS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 392.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$, $394.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}^{+}\right.$.
2.1.18. 2-(S)-3-[4-(2-Chloroethoxy)-phenyl]-2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy-N-((S)-2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl) propanamide (19b)
Off-white solid; $37 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} ; \mathrm{MW}=445.86 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp}$ $139-140^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.21(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 14.55 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.55 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.75-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.25 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, 3 H ); MS (ESI $) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 447.1\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 449.0\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}^{+}\right.$.
2.1.19. 2-(R)-3-[4-(2-Chloroethoxy)-phenyl]-2-ethoxy-N-((S)-2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl) propanamide (20a)
Off-white solid; $33 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4} ; \mathrm{MW}=391.88 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp}$ $127-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.0(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 392.3 \quad\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$, $394.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$.
2.1.20. 2-(R)-3-[4-(2-Chloroethoxy)-phenyl]-2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy-N-((S)-2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl) propanamide (20b)
Off-white solid; $37 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} ; \quad \mathrm{MW}=445.86 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp}$ $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}_{;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.11(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.35 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.21 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.66-3.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.35 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.35 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $447.1\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 449.0\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$.
2.1.21. General procedure for synthesis of optically pure propionic acids (21a,b and 22a,b)
5 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(82 \mathrm{~mL}, 408 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to a solution of 19a or 20a ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 4.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) or 19 b or $20 \mathrm{~b}(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 4.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ in a $1: 1$ mixture of 1,4 -dioxane $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 8 h , cooled to room temperature, diluted with EtOAc, and the resulting organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo and the brown residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NEt}_{3} 96: 2: 2$ ).
2.1.22. 2-(S)- 3-[4-(2-Chloroethoxy)-phenyl]-2-ethoxypropionic acid (21a)
White solid; 81\%; $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}_{4} ; \mathrm{MW}=272.72 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp} 49-51{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.04(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ $\left(E S I^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 273.2\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 275.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} 5: 95, t_{\mathrm{r}}=16.62 \mathrm{~min}$.

### 2.1.23. 2-(S)- 3-[4-(2-Chloroethoxy)-phenyl]- 2,2,2-trifluoroethoxypropionic acid (21b)

White solid; 84\%; $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} ; \mathrm{MW}=326.72 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp} 70-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.03$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.16 (dd, 1 H , $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 327.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 329.4\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=A / B 5: 95$, $t_{\mathrm{r}}=14.85 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.24. 2-(R)- 3-[4-(2-Chloroethoxy)-phenyl]-2-ethoxypropionic acid (22a)
White solid; $78 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}_{4} ; \mathrm{MW}=272.72 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp} 49-51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.04(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ $\left(E I^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 273.2\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 275.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} 5: 95, t_{\mathrm{r}}=12.48 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.25. 2-(R)- 3-[4-(2-Chloroethoxy)-phenyl]- 2,2,2-trifluoroethoxypropionic acid (22b)
White solid; $68 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} ; \mathrm{MW}=326.72 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{mp} 70-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 327.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 329.4\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=A / B 5: 95$, $t_{\mathrm{r}}=12.63 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.26. General procedure for synthesis of N-alkylated benzoyl heterocycles (23-30)
To a solution of benzoyl heterocycle derivative $\mathbf{1 - 7}$ or $11(0.5 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in DMF ( 35 mL ) was added potassium carbonate $(0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $17 \mathrm{a}(0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ or $17 \mathrm{~b}(0.85 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ in DMF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered, hydrolysed and extracted twice with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered off and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified via flash column chromatography (cyclohexane/ EtOAc 7:3) to afford the corresponding N -alkylated heterocycle derivatives.
2.1.27. Ethyl 3-\{4-[2-(5-benzoyl-2-oxo-benzothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionate (23)
Colourless gum; $58 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=519.62 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.95(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $4.16(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.29(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.12-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ 7.52 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.60 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.83 (dd,
$1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $520.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
2.1.28. Ethyl 3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-2-oxo-benzothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionate (24a)
Colourless gum; $61 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=519.62 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.15(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.05 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.05 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $2.93(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.15 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.16(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.05 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.30(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.05 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.40(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.05 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.45 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.62(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.79$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.87 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.45 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.93 (d, 1 H , $J=1.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). MS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) m/z $520.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
2.1.29. Ethyl 3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-2-oxo-benzothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)propionate (24 b)
Colourless gum; $64 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=573.58 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl $)_{3}$ : $\delta 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.55 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4.55 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.51 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.62(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.79$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.87 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.93 (d, 1 H , $J=1.75 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 574.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
2.1.30. Ethyl 3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-3-oxo-benzo[1, 4]thiazin-4-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionate (25)
Colourless gum; $48 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=533.65 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.95(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.18(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.29(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.36(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $6.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.19$ (m, 2H); 7.51 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.62 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI ${ }^{+}$) m/z $534.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
2.1.31. Ethyl 3-\{4-[2-(7-benzoyl-3-oxo-benzo[1, 4]thiazin-4-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionate (26)
Colourless gum; $78 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=533.65 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.95$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.96(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.17(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.32(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.39$ (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ 7.50 (m, 2H); 7.63 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.69 (d, 1 H , $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.76 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.80 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 534.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
2.1.32. Ethyl 3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-3-oxo-benzo[1, 4]oxazin-4-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionate (27)
Colourless gum; $73 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{7} ; \mathrm{MW}=517.58 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.95$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.95(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.15(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.26(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.37(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}$ $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 518.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
2.1.33. Ethyl 3-\{4-[2-(7-benzoyl-3-oxo-benzo[1, 4]oxazin-4-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionate (28)
Colourless gum; $69 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{7} ; \mathrm{MW}=517.58 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.85 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $4.17(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.05 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.37(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.05 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.47-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.61(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.79$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.45 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 518.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
2.1.34. Ethyl 3-\{4-[2-(5-benzoyl-2-oxo-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl)ethoxy]phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionate (29)
Colourless gum; $68 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{6} ; \mathrm{MW}=501.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; 1 \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.15(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.64(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H); 2.93 (m, 2H); $3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; 4.12-4.23 (m, 4H); $4.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.14$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.28(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 502.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
2.1.35. Ethyl 3-\{4-[2-(5-benzoyl-3,3-difluoro-2-oxo-2,3-dihydroin-dol-1-yl)ethoxy]phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionate (30)
Colourless gum; $62 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{6} ; \mathrm{MW}=537.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; 1 \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.15(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.93$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.12-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; $4.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; $8.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.08(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}\left(E I^{+}\right)$ $m / z 538.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
2.1.36. General procedure for synthesis of carboxylic acids (31-38) A solution of ester 23-30 ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and lithium hydroxide ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 2,15 \mathrm{~mL})$ is stirred at room temperature for 10 h . After removal of the solvent in vacuo, 1 N HCl aqueous solution ( 10 mL ) is added and the resulting precipitate is filtered and purified by flash column chromatography (DCM/MeOH 9:1) to yield the corresponding carboxylic acid.
2.1.37. 3-\{4-[2-(5-benzoyl-2-oxo-benzothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (31)
Pale yellow solid; $82 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=491.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; m.p. $49-51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.18(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.11(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.31(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.10-7.13(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H); 7.23-7.26 (m, 4H); 7.83 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.88 (d, 1 H , $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 15.0,37.6,42.8,65.5,66.9$, 79.6, 112.6, 114.3, 121.9, 125.4, 128.4, 129.9, 130.0, 130.6, 132.6, 134.6, 135.8, 137.4, 137.9, 156.9, 169.8, 173.7, 195.7. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \text { LCMS }}=$ $2.44 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 492.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 65.97 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.13 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.85$; found $\mathrm{C}, 65.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.31$; N, 2.64.
2.1.38. 3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-2-oxo-benzothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (32a)
Off-white solid; $79 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=491.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $57-59^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.95 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.05 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.04 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.05 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.41 (m,
$1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.02$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 4.30 (t, 2H, $J=5.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.62$ (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.86(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 15.0$, 37.7, 42.9, 65.5, 66.7, 79.6, 111.1, 114.2, 122.6, 124.8, 128.4, 129.0, 129.5, 129.8, 130.6, 132.4, 132.6, 137.6, 140.9, 156.8, 170.4, 175.1, 195.1153. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \text { LCMs }}=2.45 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 492.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 65.97$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.13 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.85$; found C , 65.77; H, 5.31; N, 2.68.
2.1.39. 3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-2-oxo-benzothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phe-nyl\}-2-(2,2,2-trifluoro)ethoxy-propionic acid (32 b)
Off-white solid; $74 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=545.52 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; m.p. $60-62^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.99(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \quad J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 14.65 Hz ); 3.11 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.20(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.31(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.40(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $7.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.62(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.78 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.85 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 37.7$, $42.9,65.4,68.05\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=34.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 77.2,80.7,111.0,114.2,122.6$, 124.9, 128.4, 128.6, 129.0, 129.9, 130.6, 132.5, 132.6, 137.5, 140.9, 157.0, 170.5, 173.9, 195.3. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.50 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 546.3$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 59.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.06 ; \mathrm{N}$, 2.57; found C, 59.56; H, 3.98; N, 2.49.
2.1.40. 3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-3-oxo-benzo[1, 4]thiazin-4-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (33)
Pale yellow solid; $78 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=505.59 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $52-54{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.92(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $3.06(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.35(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.11(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.46-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.61(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.82$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 15.0, 31.4, 37.7, 46.8, 65.6, 66.8, 79.7, 114.3, 120.7, 125.4, 127.7, 128.4, 129.1, 129.8, 129.9, 130.5, 132.7, 136.6, 137.3, 140.5, 157.1, 164.9, 174.0, 195.4. $t_{\text {R,LCMS }}=2.42 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 506.3$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 66.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.38 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.77$; found C, 66.88; H, 5.74; N, 2.51.
2.1.41. 3-\{4-[2-(7-benzoyl-3-oxo-benzo[1, 4]thiazin-4-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (34)
Off-white solid; $79 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=505.59 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $58-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.18(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.95 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.08 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.32(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $4.39(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.75 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.62(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.74 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.25 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 15.0,31.3,37.6$, 46.3, 65.3; 66.8, 79.6, 114.3, 118.6, 124.0, 128.4, 129.2, 129.4, 129.8, 130.1, 130.6, 132.6, 132.7, 137.3, 143.4, 157.1, 165.1, 173.9, 194.8. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \text { LCMS }}=2.44 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 506.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 66.52; $\mathrm{H}, 5.38 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.77$; found $\mathrm{C}, 66.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.31$; N, 2.54.
2.1.42. 3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-3-oxo-benzo[1, 4]oxazin-4-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (35)
Off-white solid; $76 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{7} ; \mathrm{MW}=489.53 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $47-49^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.17(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.02(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.25 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.26(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.36(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.75$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $7.45-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.00(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.75 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 15.0,29.7,37.7,42.0$, 65.2, 67.6, 79.7, 114.2, 114.3, 116.4, 118.0, 127.4, 128.3, 129.8, $129.8,130.5,130.6,132.4,137.6,148.9,157.1,164.0,173.9,195.1$. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.30 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 490.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 68.70 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.56 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.86$; found C, 68.53 ; H, 5.31; N, 2.63.
2.1.43. 3-\{4-[2-(7-benzoyl-3-oxo-benzo[1, 4]oxazin-4-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (36)
Off-white solid; $76 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{7} ; \mathrm{MW}=489.51 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $36-38{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.17(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.25 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.06$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.25 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.75 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.29(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.38(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $7.48-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.61(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 15.0,37.8,42.0,65.0,66.7,67.5,79.6,114.2,114.4$, 115.6,118.8, 125.4, 128.3, 129.8, 130.6, 132.4, 132.9, 133.3, 137.4, 144.8, 157.0, 164.7, 175.4, 195.0. $\left.t_{\text {R,LCMS }}=2.34 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}^{(\mathrm{ESI}}{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $490.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 68.70 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.56 ; \mathrm{N}$, 2.86; found C, 68.54; H, 5.63; N, 2.57.
2.1.44. 3-\{4-[2-(5-benzoyl-2-oxo-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl)ethoxy]phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (37)
Pale yellow solid; $73 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{6} ; \mathrm{MW}=473.51 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $68-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .1 \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.18(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.95 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; $2.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.05$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.05 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.20$ (t, 2H, J=5.05 Hz); $4.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.05 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.52(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.64(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.95 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.01(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 8.24 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 15.1,37.4,40.5,40.8,65.72,66.9,79.5,111.4,114.2$, 116.8, 127.2, 128.6, 129.4, 129.6, 130.7, 132.8, 136.9, 140.3, 154.4, 156.8, 158.5, 172.8, 182.2, 194.2. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.25 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $474.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 71.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.75 ; \mathrm{N}$, 2.96; found C, 71.19; H, 5.59; N, 2.90.
2.1.45. 3-\{4-[2-(5-benzoyl-3,3-difluoro-2-oxo-2,3-dihydroindol-1-yl) ethoxy]phenyl\}-2-ethoxy-propionic acid (38)
Pale yellow solid; $84 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{6} ; \mathrm{MW}=509.49 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $78-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .1 \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.14(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.95 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.92$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.05 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.05 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.05 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.43 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.01(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.35 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.15(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.24(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.52(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.95 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.63(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.06$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 15.0,37.6$, $40.6,65.4,66.7,79.8,110.0,110.6,114.2,119.9,126.6,128.5,129.7$, 130.6, 132.7, 133.4, 136.2, 137.1, 147.4, 156.7, 165.7, 174.6, 194.4. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.49 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 510.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%)
for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C, 66.01; H, 4.95; N, 2.75; found C, 66.22; H, 5.01; $\mathrm{N}, 2.69$.

### 2.1.46. General procedure for synthesis of optically pure carboxylic acids (41a,b and 42a,b)

A solution of $2(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in HMPA ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise to a $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ dispersion in mineral oil $(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 eq ) in HMPA ( 5 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. A solution of 21a or 22a $(0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ or $\mathbf{2 1}$ b or $22 \mathbf{~ b}(0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ in HMPA ( 5 mL ) was then added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was hydrolysed with 1 M aqueous HCl solution, and the precipitate was filtered off, dried and purified by flash column chromatography (DCM/MeOH 95:5).
2.1.47. (S)-3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-2-oxo-benzothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (41a)
Off-White solid; $79 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=491.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $57-59^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.95 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.05 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.04 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.05 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.41 ( m , $1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.02$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.30(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.45 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $4.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.62$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 8.5 Hz ); $7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 15.0$, 37.7, 42.9, 65.5, 66.7, 79.6, 111.1, 114.2, 122.6, 124.8, 128.4, 129.0, 129.5, 129.8, 130.6, 132.4, 132.6, 137.6, 140.9, 156.8, 170.4, 175.1, 195.1153. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \text { LCMS }}=2.45 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}^{\left(E S I^{+}\right)} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 492.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=A / B 2: 8$, tr $=25.44 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.48. (S)-3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-2-oxo-benzothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-(2,2,2-trifluoro)ethoxy-propionic acid (41 b)
Off-white solid; $76 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=545.52 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $60-62^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.99$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.11 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.20(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.31(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.38$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.62(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ 7.78 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.85 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.92 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 37.7,42.9,65.4,68.05$ $\left(q, J_{C-F}=34.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 77.2,80.7,111.0,114.2,122.6,124.9,128.4$, 128.6, 129.0, 129.9, 130.6, 132.5, 132.6, 137.5, 140.9, 157.0, 170.5, 173.9, 195.3. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.50 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 546.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} 2: 8, \mathrm{tr}$ $=22.36 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.49. (R)-3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-2-oxo-benzothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (42a)
Off-White solid; $72 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=491.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $57-59^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.95 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.05 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.04 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.05 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.41 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.02$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.30(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.62$ (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.86(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 8.5 Hz ); $7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 15.0$, 37.7, 42.9, 65.5, 66.7, 79.6, 111.1, 114.2, 122.6, 124.8, 128.4, 129.0,
129.5, 129.8, 130.6, 132.4, 132.6, 137.6, 140.9, 156.8, 170.4, 175.1, 195.1153. $t_{\text {R,LCMS }}=2.45 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 492.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} 2: 8$, tr $=22.38 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.50. (R)-3-\{4-[2-(6-benzoyl-2-oxo-benzothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-(2,2,2-trifluoro)ethoxy-propionic acid (42 b)
Off-white solid; $74 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=545.52 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $60-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.99(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.11$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.20(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.31(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.38$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.62(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ 7.78 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.85 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.55 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.92 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 37.7,42.9,65.4,68.05$ $\left(q, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=34.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 77.2,80.7,111.0,114.2,122.6,124.9,128.4$, 128.6, 129.0, 129.9, 130.6, 132.5, 132.6, 137.5, 140.9, 157.0, 170.5, 173.9, 195.3. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.50 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 546.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=A / B 2: 8$, tr $=17.10 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.51. General procedure for the synthesis of oxime $39 a, b, 43 a$, $45 a$ and $O$-methyl oxime ethers $40 a, b, 44 a, b, 46 a, b$
Hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) or O-methylhydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $0.83 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{3 2 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{4 1} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ or $\mathbf{4 2 a}, \mathbf{b}(2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in pyridine ( 20 mL ), and the mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, hydrolysed with 1 M aqueous HCl solution, and extracted twice with DCM. The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO4, filtered off, evaporated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residues were purified by flash column chromatography (toluene/EtOAc 97:3).
2.1.52. 3-\{4-[2-(6-[(E)-hydroxyimino-phenyl-methyl]-2-oxo-benzo-thiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (39a)
Off-white solid; $76 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=506.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $84-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.17(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.06 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $4.35(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.39-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 15.0,37.8,42.7,65.5,66.7,79.7,111.4,114.2$, $122.2,122.7,126.0,128.4,129.3,129.4,129.5,130.6,131.4,132.1$, 138.6, 156.9, 157.1, 170.3, 174.8. $\left.t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.25 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}^{(\mathrm{ESI}}{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $507.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 64.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.17$; N, 5.53; found C, 64.21; H, 5.21; N, 5.44.
2.1.53. 3-\{4-[2-(6-[(Z/E)-hydroxyimino-phenyl-methyl]-2-oxo-ben-zothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-(2,2,2-trifluoro)ethoxypropionic acid (39 b)
Off-white solid; $74 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=560.54 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $55-56^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.01$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.28-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.67(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.75(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H); $7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.35-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.77-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 37.9,42.7,65.4,67.2,67.7,68.1,68.4,68.6,80.9$, 111.0, 111.4, 114.2, 114.3, 122.3, 122.5, 123.9, 124.9, 126.0, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5, 129.4, 129.8, 129.9, 130.6, 135.7, 137.5, 138.2, 138.7, 140.9, 157.0, 170.3, 174.5. $t_{\text {R,LCMS }}=2.32 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 561.3$
$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 57.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.14 ; \mathrm{N}$, 5.00; found C, 57.95; H, 5.03; N, 5.12.
2.1.54. 3-\{4-[2-(6-[(E)-methoxyimino-phenyl-methyl]-2-oxo-benzo-thiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (40a)
Off-white solid; $73 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=520.59 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $151-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.18(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.07 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.28(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.35(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.44-7.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 15.0,37.6$, 42.7, 62.5, 65.4, 66.9, 79.6, 111.1, 114.2, 121.8, 122.6, 126.1, 128.3, 129.1, 129.1, 129.2, 130.6, 131.8, 132.9, 138.3, 155.8, 157.0, 170.4, 174.1. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.7 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 521.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 64.60; H, 5.42; N, 5.38; found C, 64.71; H, 5.31; N, 5.46.
2.1.55. 3-\{4-[2-(6-[(E)-methoxyimino-phenyl-methyl]-2-oxo-benzo-thiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-(2,2,2-trifluoro)ethoxypropionic acid (40 b)
Off-white solid; $81 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=574.56 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; m.p. $56-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.01$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.13$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.73$ (m, 1H), 4.00 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.30(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.35$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ 7.24 (d, 1H, J=8.7 Hz); 7.37 (m, 2H); 7.44-7.51 (m, 4H); 7.57 (d, 1H, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 37.8,42.7,62.5,65.3,68.0$ $\left(q, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=34.45 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 77.2,80.8,111.0,114.3,121.9,122.6,126.1$, 128.0, 128.3, 128.3, 128.5, 129.1, 130.6, 131.7, 132.9, 138.3, 155.9, 157.1, 170.4, 173.9. $t_{\text {R,LCMS }}=2.67 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 575.3$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 58.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.39 ; \mathrm{N}$, 4.88; found C, 58.69 ; H, 4.25; N, 4.78.
2.1.56. (S)-3-\{4-[2-(6-[(E)-hydroxyimino-phenyl-methyl]-2-oxo-ben-zothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (43a)
Off-white solid; $78 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=506.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $84-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.17(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.06 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.04$ (dd, 1H, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.28$ (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $4.35(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.39-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 15.0,37.8,42.7,65.5,66.7,79.7,111.4,114.2$, 122.2, 122.7, 126.0, 128.4, 129.3, 129.4, 129.5, 130.6, 131.4, 132.1, 138.6, 156.9, 157.1, 170.3, 174.8. $\left.t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.25 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}^{(\mathrm{ESI}}{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $507.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=A / B 2: 8, \operatorname{tr}=32.19 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.57. (S)-3-\{4-[2-(6-[(E)-methoxyimino-phenyl-methyl]-2-oxo-ben-zothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (44a) Off-white solid; $79 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=520.59 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $151-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.18(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.07 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.46 (m, 1H); $3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.04$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.28(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.35(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.44-7.51(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ); 7.57 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 15.0,37.6$, 42.7, 62.5, 65.4, 66.9, 79.6, 111.1, 114.2, 121.8, 122.6, 126.1, 128.3, 129.1, 129.1, 129.2, 130.6, 131.8, 132.9, 138.3, 155.8, 157.0, 170.4,
174.1. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.7 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 521.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=A / B 2: 8, \mathrm{tr}=16.05 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.58. (S)-3-\{4-[2-(6-[(E)-methoxyimino-phenyl-methyl]-2-oxo-ben-zothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-(2,2,2-trifluoro)ethoxypropionic acid (44 b)
Off-white solid; $81 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=574.56 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $56-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.01$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \quad J=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 14.4 Hz ); 3.13 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.22$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $4.30(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.35$ (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 6.75 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ 7.24 (d, 1H, J= 8.7 Hz ); 7.37 (m, 2H); 7.44-7.51 (m, 4H); 7.57 (d, 1H, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 37.8,42.7,62.5,65.3,68.0$ $\left(q, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=34.45 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 77.2,80.8,111.0,114.3,121.9,122.6,126.1$, 128.0, 128.3, 128.3, 128.5, 129.1, 130.6, 131.7, 132.9, 138.3, 155.9, 157.1, 170.4, 173.9. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{LCMS}}=2.67 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 575.3$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=A / B$ $15: 85, \operatorname{tr}=22.02 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.59. (R)-3-\{4-[2-(6-[(E)-hydroxyimino-phenyl-methyl]-2-oxo-ben-zothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (45a)
Off-white solid; $72 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=506.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $84-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.17(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 3.06 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $4.35(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.45 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.39-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 15.0,37.8,42.7,65.5,66.7,79.7,111.4,114.2$, 122.2, 122.7, 126.0, 128.4, 129.3, 129.4, 129.5, 130.6, 131.4, 132.1, 138.6, 156.9, 157.1, 170.3, 174.8. $\left.t_{\text {R,LCMS }}=2.25 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}^{(E S I}{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $507.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=A / B 2: 8, \operatorname{tr}=29.42 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.60. (R)-3-\{4-[2-(6-[(E)-methoxyimino-phenyl-methyl]-2-oxo-ben-zothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-ethoxypropionic acid (46a) Off-white solid; $75 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=520.59 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ m.p. $151-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.18(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ $3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.28(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.35(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.44-7.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 15.0,37.6$, 42.7, 62.5, 65.4, 66.9, 79.6, 111.1, 114.2, 121.8, 122.6, 126.1, 128.3, 129.1, 129.1, 129.2, 130.6, 131.8, 132.9, 138.3, 155.8, 157.0, 170.4, 174.1. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \text { LCMS }}=2.7 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 521.3\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} 2: 8, \mathrm{tr}=13.51 \mathrm{~min}$.
2.1.61. (R)-3-\{4-[2-(6-[(E)-methoxyimino-phenyl-methyl]-2-oxo-ben-zothiazol-3-yl)-ethoxy]-phenyl\}-2-(2,2,2-trifluoro)ethoxypropionic acid (46 b)
Off-white solid; $74 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{MW}=574.56 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; m.p. $56-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.01$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.13$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.22$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 4.30 (t, 2H, $J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 4.35 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.44-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 37.8,42.7,62.5,65.3,68.0$ $\left(q, J_{C-F}=34.45 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 77.2,80.8,111.0,114.3,121.9,122.6,126.1$, 128.0, 128.3, 128.3, 128.5, 129.1, 130.6, 131.7, 132.9, 138.3, 155.9,
157.1, 170.4, 173.9. $t_{\mathrm{R}, \text { LCMS }}=2.67 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 575.3$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Chiral HPLC method: flow rate $=1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, eluents $=A / B$ $15: 85, \operatorname{tr}=15.61 \mathrm{~min}$.

### 2.2. Biological assay methods

### 2.2.1. Membrane-bound PPAR $\gamma$ binding assay

Binding assays were performed in 96 -well plates format, using a classical filtration assay with a human full length PPAR $\gamma$ construct (GST-PPAR LBD ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )) expressed in bacteria with some modifications regarding the conditions of the experiments. The mem-brane-associated PPAR $\gamma$ was used as the biological source as previously described. Binding buffer consisted of 10 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl}$, pH 8.2 , containing 50 mM KCl and 1 mM dithiothreitol. Membrane preparations $(5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ were incubated for 180 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ rosiglitazone [BRL49653, Amersham] ( 4 nM ) and the tested compounds. Non-specific binding was defined using an excess of unlabelled rosiglitazone ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Incubation was terminated by the addition of ice cold 50 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl}$ buffer pH 7.4 followed by rapid filtration under reduced pressure through Whatman GF/C filter plates pre-soaked with ice-cold buffer, followed by three successive washes with the same buffer. Radioactivity was measured in a TopCount apparatus (Packard). The receptor preparation used during these experiments presented a Bmax of $49 \mathrm{pmol} / \mathrm{mg}$ proteins and a Kd of 5.58 nM for [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-rosiglitazone. The compounds were solubilised in pure DMSO and diluted to the appropriate working concentrations ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 0.1 nM ). For each compound tested, plots of ligand concentration versus DPM of radioligand bound were constructed and apparent Ki values were estimated from nonlinear least-squares fit of the data assuming simple competitive binding. The details of this assay have been reported ${ }^{18}$.

### 2.2.2. Gene reporter assays: cell culture and transfection

Cos-7 cells were transiently transfected with luciferase reporter plasmid (pG5-TK-pGL3) in the presence of pGal4-hPPAR $\alpha$ or pGal4hPPAR $\gamma$ (these vectors express chimeric proteins containing the Gal4 DNA-binding domain fused to the human PPAR $\alpha$ or PPAR $\gamma$ ligand binding domain coding sequence) expression vector. Plasmids pGal4-hPPAR $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ pG5-TK-pGL3 were constructed as described previously ${ }^{19}$. Cells were seeded in 60 mm dishes at a density of $5.5 \times 10^{5}$ cells/dish in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ FCS and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h prior to transfection. Cells were transfected in OptiMEM without FCS for 3 h at $37^{\circ}$, using polyethylenimine (PEI), with reporter and expression plasmids, as stated in figure's legend. The plasmid pBluescript (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) was used as carrier DNA to set the final amount of DNA to $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ dish. The pCMV- $ß$-galactosidase expression plasmid was cotransfected as a control for transfection efficiency. Transfection was stopped by addition of DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ FCS and cells were then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 16 h , cells were trypsinised and seeded in 96 -well plates at the density of $2 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well and incubated for 6 h in $10 \%$ FCS containing DMEM. Cells were then incubated for 24 h in DMEM containing $0.2 \%$ FCS and increasing concentrations of the compound tested or vehicle (DMSO). At the end of the experiment, cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS and the luciferase and the $\beta$-galactosidase assays were performed as described previously ${ }^{20}$. Cells were incubated 24 h in the presence of indicated concentrations of compound. Luciferase activity was measured and normalised to internal control $\beta$-galactosidase activity. Compounds which elicited on average at least $80 \%$ activation of $\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha$ or $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ versus
respectively WY 14,643 and rosiglitazone (positive controls) were considered full agonists. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ were estimated using Prism software (GraphPad). All transfection experiments were performed at least three times.

### 2.2.3. In vivo ob/ob mice

The glucose- and triglyceride-lowering activities of the compounds prepared were then tested using 10-12-week-old male ob/ob mice. The mice were housed in a temperature-controlled room $\left(21.0-24.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ at a relative humidity of $45-65 \%$, and a 12 h light-dark cycle (light period 5:30-17:30). Mice had access to filtered $(0.22 \mathrm{~mm})$ tap water ad libitum and irradiated pelleted laboratory chow (transbreed, Special Diet Services, England) throughout the study. Compounds were prepared as a suspension in $1 \%$ hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) for oral administration ( $10 \mathrm{mLkg}^{-}$). The mice ( $n=8-12$ per group) were dosed by gavage daily between 15:00 and 17:00 for 4 days. Randomisation was performed based on glycaemia values. The body weight gain (DBW in grams) of the animals was determined by measuring the difference between body weight at the beginning and end of the study for each mouse. At day 5 between 9:00 and 11:00 the mice were weighed, and blood samples were collected into heparin-containing tubes by retro-orbital puncture ( 500 mL per mouse). All procedures complied with International European Ethical Standards (86/609-EEC), the French National Committee (décret $87 / 848$ ) for the care and use of laboratory animals and were approved by our institutional ethical committee. Plasma samples were prepared by centrifugation ( 2000 g for 10 min ), and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For triglycerides and insulin determination, the percent change in plasma level in the treated group was calculated relative to the mean plasma level in the vehicle-treated group. ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's comparison test (one-tailed) was used to estimate significant differences between the plasma glycaemia, triglycerides, insulin, and DBW values between the control group and the individual com-pound-treated groups. The compound is considered active at the specific dosage administered if the difference in plasma levels has $p<0.05$; all compounds with $p>0.05$ were reported as inactive.

### 2.3. Molecular docking studies

The crystallographic data for PPAR $\gamma$ co-crystallized with tesaglitazar were downloaded from the PDB data bank (http://www.rcsb. org/-PDB code: 1171). The studied compounds were built using the atomic partial charges calculated with the Gasteiger-Hückel method and their geometry was optimised by the Powell method available in the Maximin2 procedure to a gradient of $0.001 \mathrm{Kcal} /$ mol. $\AA$ using the Tripos force field ${ }^{21}$. UCSF Chimaera $1.12^{22}$ (https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimaera), a visualisation tool for molecular modelling and structural biology, was used to remove molecules, ions, and water and to minimise the structure of proteins and ligands, using the Gasteiger charges with 1000 steps of minimisation. Both ligands and receptor were then converted to pdbqt format. AutoDock Vina ${ }^{23}$ (http://vina.scripps.edu), an opensource programme for molecular docking, was used to investigate ligand-protein affinity. A grid box was centred ( $x=17.949$, $y=19.682$, and $z=15.341$ ) on the active site of PPAR $\gamma \operatorname{LBD}$, to cover the pocket with the main residues of receptor binding site. The best conformation was chosen with the lowest docked energy, based on complete docking search (ten runs). The interactions of PPAR $\gamma$ LBD with the ligands, hydrogen bonds, bond lengths, Root Mean Square Difference (RMSD) were analysed using Chimaera software. The docking solutions of tesaglitazar into


Scheme 1. Synthesis of 5-benzoyl-3,3-difluoro-1,3-dihydro-2H-indol-2-one 11. Reagents and conditions: (a) DAST, DCM, r.t.; (b) i: i-PrMgCl, n-BuLi, dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii: benzaldehyde, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) Dess-Martin periodinane, DCM, r.t.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of optically pure $\alpha$-alkoxyphenylpropionic acids 21, 22. Reagents and conditions: (a) ethanol or trifluoroethanol $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{2}$, toluene, reflux; (b) 1,2-bromo-chloroethane, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux; (c) NaH , dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t.; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, ethanol, r.t.; (e) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, r.t.; (f) 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide hydrochloride, triethylamine, HOBT , (S)-(-)-2-phenylglycinol, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t.; (g) $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux.
binding site using AutoDock Vina converged consistently towards a unique binding mode within a $1.56 \AA$ RMSD in comparison with the co-crystallized tesaglitazar and showed binding energy of $-8.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for protein-ligand complex.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Chemistry

5-benzoyl-benzothiazol-2-one (1) ${ }^{24}$ and 6-benzoyl-benzothiazol-2one (2) ${ }^{25}$, 6-benzoyl-benzothiazin-3-one (3) and 7-benzoyl-benzo-thiazin-3-one $(\mathbf{4})^{26}, 6$-benzoyl-benzoxazin-3-one $(\mathbf{5})^{27}$, and 7-ben-zoyl-benzoxazin-3-one (6) ${ }^{28}$ and finally 5-benzoyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-indol-2-one (7) ${ }^{29}$ were synthesised according to well-described procedures. 5-Bromoisatin (8) was easily converted to 5-bromo-3,3-difluoro-1,3-dihydro-2H-indol-2-one (9) using diethylaminosulfur trifluoride (DAST) in $\mathrm{DCM}^{30}$. Bromo derivative 9 was then subjected to a halogen-magnesium exchange reaction with in-situ produced isopropyl magnesium di-n-butyl lithium ate complex (iPrMgnBu 2 Li ) at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzaldehyde was then added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide the corresponding benzyl alcohol 10 as a racemic mixture ${ }^{31}$. Finally, 5-benzoyl-3,3-difluoro-1,3-dihydro-2H-indol-2-one (11) was obtained by oxidation of alcohol 10 with Dess-Martin periodinane in DCM (Scheme 1) ${ }^{32}$.

We previously described the synthesis of the key $\alpha$-alkoxy propionic acid ester racemates $\mathbf{1 7 a}, \mathbf{b}^{33}$ and the resolution of the optically pure enantiomers 21a and 22a ${ }^{15}$. Herein, we also performed synthesis of the optically pure trifluoroethoxy derivatives $\mathbf{2 1 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 2 b}$ by chromatographic separation of diastereoisomeric amides $\mathbf{1 9 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 0 b}$ resulting of the reaction of the acid $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ with chiral (S)-2-phenylglycinol (Scheme 2). The condensation reaction between benzoyl heterocycles and racemic $\alpha$-ethoxy propionic acid esters 17a,b was classically carried out in the presence
of potassium carbonate in DMF at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the desired acids 31-38 were obtained after lithium hydroxide mediated saponification (Scheme 3). Synthesis of enantiomerically pure 41a,b and $\mathbf{4 2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ is outlined in Scheme 4. Condensation of $\mathbf{2}$ with the optically pure carboxylic acids $\mathbf{2 1 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{2 2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ was performed under mild conditions, employing NaH in HMPA at room temperature, in order to prevent partial racemisation. Finally, racemic or optically pure aryl ketones 32, 41 and 42 were further functionalised into the corresponding oxime derivatives 39,40 and $43-46$ by treatment with hydroxylamine hydrochloride or O-methylhydroxylamine hydrochloride in pyridine. Surprisingly, while introduction of oxime and oxime ethers provided exclusively the $E$ isomers (compounds 39a, 40a,b, 43a, 44a,b, 45a, 46a,b), assigned by NOE experiments, condensation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride with the trifluoroethyl derivative $\mathbf{3 2 b}$ led to the formation of a racemic mixture of $E$ and $Z$ isomers $\mathbf{3 9 b}$.

### 3.2. In vitro evaluation: binding studies and transactivation assays

Compounds 31-38 were characterised by determining the binding affinity to human PPAR $\gamma$ using a competitive binding assay with $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ rosiglitazone, the appropriate radioligand for PPAR $\gamma$. The binding profiles of compounds were compared to the profiles of several references: rosiglitazone, a full PPAR $\gamma$ agonist and muraglitazar, a dual PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ agonist which was discontinued in clinical trials for greater incidence of oedema, heart failure, renal dysfunction and weight gain (Table 1). Except 6-benzoyl-benzothiazinone derivative 33, all the tested compounds displayed potent binding affinities to PPAR $\gamma$ with $K_{i}$ values lower than 10 nM . The results are in agreement with the structural frameworks of compounds 31-38 and reference compounds which, like most PPAR activators, are conformed to a three-module structure, with a binder acidic


Scheme 3. Synthesis of PPAR agonists 31-38. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, r.t.


Scheme 4. Synthesis of optically pure and oxime derived PPAR agonists 39-46. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 17 \mathrm{a}$ or $17 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{DMF}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$; (c) hydroxylamine hydrochloride or O-methylhydroxylamine hydrochloride, pyridine, reflux; (d) NaH, 21a,b or 22a,b, HMPA, r.t.
group, an ethoxyphenyl linker and an effector end bearing a large hydrophobic tail part ${ }^{1}$. Molecular mechanisms will be addressed in the molecular docking studies in order to understand the large shift of binding affinity induced by the replacement of an oxygen atom by a sulphur atom in the 6-benzoyl substituted benzazinone series of compounds while no loss of affinity occurred with the 7benzoyl derivatives.

Functional activity of compounds 31-38 was measured in a transient transfection assay using human pGAL4hPPAR $\alpha$ and human pGAL4hPPAR $\gamma$. The results are given in Table 1. All the target compounds were tested as racemic mixtures and were functionally inactive at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against human PPAR $\delta$. The functional profiles of test compounds were compared to the same references as for binding studies for PPAR $\gamma$ and to WY14,643, a full fibrate $\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha$ agonist. Benzothiazol-2-one derivatives 31 and 32a were considered as dual full PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ agonists with potency $\geq 80 \%$. 5Benzoyl derivative 31 exhibited better $\alpha$ functional activity than its 6-benzoyl counterpart 32a and proved to interact better with the PPAR $\alpha$ ligand binding domain (LBD) (compounds 31 and 32a have respective $\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of 256 and 2400 nM ). In the benzoxa-zin-3-one series, 6- and 7-benzoyl substituted derivatives 35 and 36 were equipotent with a very potent full PPAR $\gamma$ agonist pharmacologic profile (potency $>100 \%$ ) and a full agonist profile
at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ on the $\operatorname{PPAR} \alpha$ receptor subtype equivalent to the reference WY14,643. Benzothiazin-3-one analogues displayed more contrasted results with functional activity ranging from 37 nM to 219 nM on PPAR $\gamma$ and from $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ on PPAR $\alpha$. While 7-benzoyl derivative 34 acted as dual full PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ agonist, its 6 -benzoyl counterpart 33 should be considered as partial PPAR $\gamma$ agon since it displayed lower potency ( $\leq 70 \%$ ) on PPAR $\gamma$ and was found totally inactive (potency $\leq 20 \%$ ) against PPAR $\alpha$. Functional activity results obtained for compound 33 were in accordance with the binding studies. The oxygen atom replacement by a sulphur atom in the 6-benzoyl substituted series of compounds did not seem to be well tolerated for PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ activity in comparison with the steric bulk of 7-benzoyl substituted derivatives. In order to assess the impact of oxygen or sulphur contained heterocycles on PPAR functional activity, 5-benzoyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-indol-2-one 37 and its 3,3-difluoro derivative $\mathbf{3 8}$ were designed and synthesised. Replacement of a C-H bond with fluorine may lead to special beneficial effects which are linked with its small size, its high electronegativity and low polarizability and its lipophilicity ${ }^{34}$. These structural modifications led to a slight decrease in $\gamma$ functional efficiency ( $\leq 75 \%$ ) while maintaining good PPAR $\gamma$ potency ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50} \leq$ 40 nM ), suggesting that compounds 37 and $\mathbf{3 8}$ could be considered as partial PPAR $\gamma$ agonists. However, direct comparison

Table 1. In vitro profile of compounds 31-38 on PPAR $\gamma$ affinity and PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ transactivation.


| Cmpd | X | n | Pos ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\mathrm{i}} \\ (\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | hPPAR gene reporter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\alpha$ Gal4 <br> (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ & (\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{d}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \gamma \text { Gal4 } \\ (\%)^{c} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ & (\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{d}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 31 | S | 0 | 5 | 8 | 81 | 256 | 145 | 61 |
| 32a | S | 0 | 6 | 4 | 100 | 2400 | 89 | 15 |
| 33 | S | 1 | 6 | 220 | 19 | 10000 | 69 | 219 |
| 34 | S | 1 | 7 | 4 | 116 | 2050 | 97 | 37 |
| 35 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 97 | 10,000 | 119 | 2 |
| 36 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 80 | 10,000 | 113 | 80 |
| 37 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | 5 | 6.5 | 100 | 10,000 | 60 | 40 |
| 38 | $\mathrm{CF}_{2}$ | 0 | 5 | 4 | 89 | 10,000 | 75 | 5 |
| rosi ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  | 8 | 28 | 10,000 | 100 | 4 |
| mura ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  | 2 | 84 | 595 | 128 | 3 |
| WY14643 |  |  |  | / | 100 | 10,000 | N.A. | N.A. |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pos: position of the benzoyl substitution on the heterocycle.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{hPPAR} \gamma$ binding affinity: $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were calculated according to the equation $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ $=\mathrm{IC}_{50} /\left(1+[\mathrm{L}] / K_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is the concentration of test compound required to inhibit $50 \%$ of the specific binding of the radioligand, $[\mathrm{L}]$ is the concentration of the radioligand used, and $K_{d}$ is the dissociation constant for the radioligand at the PPAR $\gamma$ receptor.
${ }^{\text {C Effficiency: Emax was the maximal PPAR fold activation relative to maximum }}$ activation obtained with WY14643 $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and rosiglitazone ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) corresponded to $100 \%$ in GAL4 chimeric hPPAR $\alpha$ and hPPAR $\gamma$ system.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ : Concentration required to induce $50 \%$ maximum activity of tested compound.
${ }^{e}$ Rosiglitazone.
${ }^{f}$ Muraglitazar.
NA: not active.
between compounds 37, 38 and 32a revealed that replacement of the sulphur atom by a methylene derivative weakens the ligand-PPAR $\alpha$ interaction and likely decreases activity. We decided to select and develop for further studies, compound 32a bearing a benzothiazol-2-one which seems to be the most tolerant of the tested heterocycles and for which acylation in position 6 was chemically easier than its 5 -benzoyl counterpart.

Resolution of the racemate 32a into its optical antipodes first led to compound 41a, which corresponded to the ( $S$ )-enantiomer and possessed very potent binding affinity to PPAR $\gamma$ with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value lower than 1 nM and acted as full dual PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ agonist (Table 2). In contrast, its ( $R$ )-configured counterpart 42a proved to interact more weakly with the PPAR $\gamma$ LBD with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 70 nM and was found to be a selective partial PPAR $\gamma$ agonist with no activity for the PPAR $\alpha$ subtype. These data were in accordance with previous studies describing PPAR agonists containing (S)-ethoxypropanoic acid which displayed higher activities than the ( $R$ )-stereoisomer analogues at both PPAR $\alpha$ and PPAR $\gamma$ receptor subtypes ${ }^{35}$.

Functionalization of the ketone tail portion of the acyl group with O-methyl oxime ether or introduction of a trifluoroethoxy group in previous PPAR agonist series developed in our laboratory were found to respectively enhance PPAR $\alpha$ and decrease PPAR $\gamma$ functional activity ${ }^{15,33,36}$. These chemical modulations were then applied by oxime forming reactions on the benzoyl part of ethoxy 32a or trifluoroethoxy $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{b}$ racemates in order to assess the impact of the nature of the substituents on PPAR transactivation (Table 2). As previously reported, incorporation of O-methyl oxime

Table 2. In vitro profile of compounds 32, 39-46 on PPAR $\gamma$ affinity and PPARa/ $\gamma$ transactivation.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | hPPAR gene reporter |  |  |  |
| Cmpd | X | R | Stereo | $\begin{gathered} K_{\mathrm{i}} \\ (\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha$ Gal4 $(\%)^{c}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha \mathrm{EC}_{50} \\ & (\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{d}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \gamma \text { Gal4 } \\ (\%)^{c} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma E C_{50} \\ & (\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{d}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 32a | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Rac | 4 | 100 | 2400 | 89 | 15 |
| 39a | NOH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Rac | 2 | 129 | 10,000 | 91 | 24 |
| 39b | NOH | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | Rac | 165 | 29 | 10,000 | 44 | 10 |
| 40a | $\mathrm{NOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Rac | 4 | 159 | 129 | 87 | 2 |
| 40b | $\mathrm{NOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | Rac | 1.3 | 56 | 180 | 95 | 7 |
| 41a | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | S | 0.5 | 166 | 522 | 142 | 8 |
| 42a | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $R$ | 70 | 0 | 10,000 | 39 | 300 |
| 43a | NOH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | S | 0.5 | 153 | 10,000 | 123 | 16 |
| 44a | $\mathrm{NOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | S | 0.7 | 154 | 32 | 115 | 2 |
| 44b | $\mathrm{NOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | S | 3 | 59 | 525 | 150 | 0.9 |
| 45a | NOH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $R$ | 210 | 30 | 10,000 | 66 | 799 |
| 46a | $\mathrm{NOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $R$ | 86 | 124 | 1480 | 87 | 558 |
| 46b | $\mathrm{NOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $R$ | 33 | 117 | 1665 | 116 | 9 |
| rosi ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  | 8 | 28 | 10,000 | 100 | 4 |
| mura ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  | 2 | 84 | 595 | 128 | 3 |
| WY14643 |  |  |  | / | 100 | 10,000 | N.A. | N.A. |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pos: position of the benzoyl substitution on the heterocycle.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were calculated according to the equation $K_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{I} \mathrm{C}_{50} /\left(1+[\mathrm{L}] / K_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is the concentration of test compound required to inhibit $50 \%$ of the specific binding of the radioligand, [ $L$ ] is the concentration of the radioligand used, and $K_{d}$ is the dissociation constant for the radioligand at the PPAR $\gamma$ receptor.
${ }^{\text {c Potency: Emax was the maximal PPAR fold activation relative to maximum acti- }}$ vation obtained with WY14643 $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and rosiglitazone $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ corresponded to $100 \%$ in GAL4 chimeric hPPAR $\alpha$ and hPPAR $\gamma$ system.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ : Concentration required to induce $50 \%$ maximum activity of tested compound.
${ }^{e}$ Rosiglitazone.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Muraglitazar.
NA: not active.
ether led to compounds $\mathbf{4 0 a}, \mathbf{b}$ exhibiting better $\alpha$ functional activity than the carbonyl counterpart 32a and especially the non-substituted oximes 39a, $\mathbf{b}$. Introduction of trifluoroethoxy group led to compound $\mathbf{3 9 b}$ displaying a selective partial PPAR $\gamma$ agonist profile and to compound 40b acting as dual PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ agonist with partial PPAR $\alpha$ and full PPAR $\gamma$ agonist activities. These results confirm that the incorporation of substituents such as methyl oxime ether and trifluoroethoxy group strengthens the ligand-PPAR $\alpha$ interaction and likely increases activity while maintaining good PPAR $\gamma$ potency. We have next evaluated optically pure stereoisomers of racemates 39a and 40a,b for both binding and transactivation studies. As previously reported, (S)-configured derivatives 43a, 44a,b proved to interact better with the PPAR $\gamma$ LBD with binding affinities 10 - to 400 -fold more potent than their ( $R$ )-counterparts 45a, 46a,b. They are also highly potent agonists of both human PPAR $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ in cellular assays except for non-substituted oxime derivatives 43a and 45a which can be considered as selective PPAR $\gamma$ agonists.

In the (S)-series of stereoisomers, introduction of an O-methyl oxime ether considerably improved PPAR $\alpha$ efficiency and potency while replacement of ethoxy group by its trifluoro analogue led to compound $\mathbf{4 4 b}$ with lower functional activity, characterised by a partial PPAR $\alpha$ agonist profile. These chemical modulations didn't affect the PPAR $\gamma$ receptor subtype leading to compounds with very potent PPAR $\gamma$ agonist profile.


Figure 2. Predicted binding mode of (A) (S)-34 (pink) and (S)-36 (cyan) and (C) (S)-33 (pink) and (S)-35 (cyan) enantiomers with PPAR $\operatorname{LBD}$ (PDB id 1I7I). Key amino acid side chains are shown in white stick format and are labelled and hydrogen bonds are shown in red dotted lines. Overlapping and molecular surface maps of (B) $(S)-34$ (pink) and (S)-36 (cyan) and (D) (S)-33 (pink) and (S)-35 (cyan) enantiomers with PPAR $\gamma$ LBD (PDB id 117I). The protein and key amino acid side chains are shown in white rounded ribbon and white stick format, respectively. The molecular surface maps are shown in grey.

### 3.3. Molecular docking studies

Molecular modelling studies were conducted to understand the large shift of binding affinity induced by the replacement of an oxygen atom by a sulphur atom in the 6-benzoyl substituted benzazinone series of compounds while no loss of affinity occurred with the 7-benzoyl derivatives. Whereas compounds 33-36 were synthesised and evaluated as racemic mixtures, only (S)-enantiomers have been analysed in docking studies, since ( $S$ )-stereoisomers displayed the best pharmacological profiles described in the literature. Crystal structure of PPAR $\gamma$ (PDB id: 1171$)^{37}$ co-crystallized with tesaglitazar was downloaded from PDB database. The binding poses of 7-benzoyl benzazinones 34 and 36 with the LBDs of PPAR $\gamma$ are shown in Figure 2(A). In addition to the classical hydrogen bondings with His323, His449 and Tyr473, it was apparent that the benzoyl moiety and the benzoxazin-3-one or benzothia-zin-3-one cores are buried in a binding cavity of PPAR $\gamma$ LBD composed of a cluster of hydrophobic residues (Leu255, Ile262, lle281, Val339, Ile341, Met348 and Leu353). In the 7-benzoyl series of compounds, each sulphur $\mathbf{3 4}$ or oxygen $\mathbf{3 6}$ derivative is well superimposed with each other in the PPAR $\gamma$ LBD with the heterocyclic cores deeply oriented in the hydrophobic pocket and the benzoyl moieties directed towards the solvent (Figure 2(B)). In the 6-benzoyl series of compounds, benzoxazin-3-one 35 performed the same type of interactions as its 7-benzoyl counterpart with the heterocycle core in the hydrophobic cavity and the benzoyl exposed to the solvent (Figure 2(C,D), cyan molecule). However, the benzothiazin-3-one derivative 33 didn't hinder at all the hydrophobic pocket since the set of binding poses adjusts both the phenyl part of benzoyl and the benzothiazinone sulphur atom towards the solvent (Figure 2(C,D), pink molecule). The unexpected PPAR $\gamma$ binding affinity of compound $\mathbf{3 3}$ can be explained
in terms of deformed torsional angles which are more pronounced in the six-membered rings containing sulphur atoms relative to those containing oxygen atoms.

### 3.4. In vivo biological evaluation

The in vivo effect of test compounds was determined by measuring glycaemia, triglyceridemia, insulinemia, and body weight using ob/ob mice (Table 3). The ob/ob mice were obese, insulin resistant with hypertriglyceridaemia and hyperglycaemia, and have been used as a rodent model of obesity-induced insulin resistance. In this model, mice were dosed orally with either synthesised compounds or standard reference compounds (rosiglitazone and muraglitazar) at $8.4 \mu \mathrm{Mkg}^{-1}$ and $3 \mathrm{mgkg}^{-1}$ respectively for 4 days. As a side effect index, body weight was also measured daily, and body weight variation was calculated as a percentage of the difference in body weight between days 4 and 0 . The results were normalised to those obtained with rosiglitazone in the same experiment, which was set to $100 \%$. Benzoyl derivatives 32a, 41a and 42a were not evaluated in vivo with regard to their low absorption values with $\mathrm{CaCO}_{2}$ cells ( $<25 \%$ ), an in vitro model predicting membrane permeability ${ }^{38}$. In this model, oxime derivatives displayed good percentages of absorption ranging from $65 \%$ to $100 \%$, except compound 45 a with only $31 \%$ in $\mathrm{CaCo}_{2}$ permeability. Racemate 39a bearing an oxime function displayed in vivo profile similar to reference compounds, but without promoting body weight gain compared with rosiglitazone and muraglitazar. Interestingly, incorporation of O-methyl oxime ether led to compound 40a showing a slight improvement of the pharmacological activity in terms of reducing triglyceridemia, glycaemia and insulinemia. However, no improvement was obtained in terms of body

Table 3. In vivo efficacy of compounds 39a,b, 40a,b, 43a, 44a,b, 45a in ob/ob mice animal models.

| Cmpd | TG $^{\text {b }}$ | Change <br> Gly $^{\text {c }}$ | $(\%)^{\text {a }}$ <br> Ins $^{\text {d }}$ | BWW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 39a | -69 | -49 | -34 | -10 |
| 39b | -30 | -18 | 10 | 75 |
| 40a | -83 | -58 | -76 | 188 |
| 40b | -54 | -37 | -55 | -70 |
| 43a | -33 | -55 | -29 | 113 |
| 44a | -79 | -46 | -81 | 177 |
| 44b | -69 | -36 | -89 | -17 |
| 45a | 21 | -7 | 51 | 328 |
| rosi $^{\text {f }}$ | -46 | -57 | -73 | 100 |
| mura $^{\text {g }}$ | -34 | -46 | -46 | 206 |

${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ bercent change versus control for ob/ob mice at day 4.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Triglycerides.
${ }^{\text {chelycemia. }}$
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Insulin.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Body weight variation between day 0 and day 4 are expressed in\% of rosiglitazone in the same study.
${ }^{f}$ Rosiglitazone.
${ }^{9}$ Muraglitazar.
weight gain showing the same level as muraglitazar and suggesting the same side effects. Introduction of a trifluoroethoxy group led to less potent racemates $\mathbf{3 9 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 0 b}$ but with a sharp decrease in body-weight gain compared with rosiglitazone. These results are consistent with the results obtained in the PPAR $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ gene reporter assays. Indeed, incorporation of substituents such as methyl oxime ether and trifluoroethoxy group are benefiting for PPAR activity regulation and so for effective management of dyslipidemia without inducing body weight gain. The same results were observed with the ( $S$ )-derivative $44 \mathbf{b}$ in which O-methyl oxime ether allowed significant decreases in serum triglyceride, glucose and insulin levels compared with its oxime counterpart 43a. The $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group incorporation, beyond keeping a good in vivo efficacy, provided a robust reduction of body weight induced with compound 44a, strengthening the beneficial pharmacological effect of C-H bond replacement with fluorine. Compound $\mathbf{4 4 b}$ may be considered as a SPPAR $\gamma \mathrm{M}$ since this full PPAR $\gamma$ agonist retains the insulin-sensitizing and glucose-lowering actions while mitigating or eliminating the undesirable side effects. Finally, only the $(R)$-stereoisomer 45a was engaged in the in vivo experiments and was found inactive as the whole of PPAR ligands described in the literature ${ }^{1}$.

## 4. Conclusion

Dual PPAR $\alpha / \gamma$ agonists that were developed to target hyperlipidaemia and hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes patients, caused cardiac dysfunction or other adverse effects as fluid retention and body-weight gain. Thus, there was significant interest in the design of novel partial agonists and/or selective PPAR modulators (SPPARMs) corresponding to a safer generation of PPAR ligands that evoke fewer side effects while preserving insulin-sensitizing potential. In this context we have reported the design of various nitrogen heterocycles containing $\alpha$-ethoxyphenylpropionic acid chain 31-38 as PPAR agonists. Except 6-benzoyl-benzothiazinone derivative 33, all the tested compounds displayed potent binding affinities to PPAR $\gamma$ with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values lower than 10 nM . Molecular docking studies showed that the benzothiazin-3-one heterocycle wasn't able to correctly accommodate its 6-benzoyl substituent in the hydrophobic pocket. 6-Benzoyl-benzothiazol-2-one which was the most tolerant of the tested heterocycles was further investigated to identify new PPAR ligands with the best in vitro pharmacological profiles. Incorporation of O-methyl oxime ether and
trifluoroethoxy group followed by enantiomeric resolution led to the $(S)$-stereoisomer $\mathbf{4 4} \mathbf{b}$. On the gene reporter assays, this compound displayed a very potent full PPAR $\gamma$ agonist pharmacologic profile and a weak partial agonist profile on the PPAR $\alpha$ receptor subtype. This kind of pharmacological profile, common to numerous PPAR $\gamma$ ligands, suggested a potent insulin sensitivity and robust glucose-lowering activity but certainly shadowed by the risk for fluid retention and weight gain. However, after 4 days of oral treatment with $\mathbf{4 4 b}$, ob/ob mice did not gain weight compared with rosiglitazone as reference, while keeping high efficacy on the decreasing blood parameters. Compound $\mathbf{4 4 b}$ seems to differentially induce specific PPAR receptor effects depending on the cellular context with high anti-diabetic properties and low potency in terms of effects on adipose generation, fluid retention and weight-gain as characterised by SPPARMs. Complementary studies will be performed to evaluate the effect of compound $44 \mathbf{b}$ in term of osteoporosis and cardiac function to reinforce its safety profile. In conclusion, 6-benzoyl-benzothiazol-2-one is a scaffold that could be substituted to develop therapeutic agents for diabetes and/or serve as a lead compound for further drug design studies targeting PPAR- $\gamma$ and PPAR $\alpha$ for effective management of type 2 diabetes and dyslipidemia without inducing weight gain.
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