Syndromic or non-syndromic congenital ichthyosis? A case report of two brothers with ichthyosis but microphthalmia and blindness in only one brother

SAGE Open Medical Case Reports Volume 12: 1-4 © The Author(s) 2024 Article reuse guidelines: sageub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/2050313X241231386 journals.sagepub.com/home/sco



Rachel L Aubry¹, A. Micheil Innes² and Richard M Haber³

Abstract

We present the cases of two brothers with ichthyosis, born to consanguineous parents, with the eldest having extracutaneous manifestations in the form of microphthalmia and corneal opacities causing complete blindness. Initially, we were faced with the question of whether the phenotype in this family was due to the effects of a single pleiotropic, presumably autosomal recessive gene manifesting as a syndromic form of ichthyosis, or whether there were multiple causal genes, and the ichthyosis was non-syndromic. Ultimately, clinical follow-up of the family, combined with research-based exome sequencing established a diagnosis of NIPAL4 autosomal recessive congenital ichthyosis in both brothers, but the ocular abnormalities causing blindness in the older brother were due to coexisting autosomal recessively inherited loss of function mutations in peroxidasin, the latter finding also seen in a sister unaffected by ichthyosis.

Keywords

Ichthyosis, dermatitis, genetics, paediatric

Date received: 13 October 2023; accepted: 11 December 2023

Case reports

Patient I

Patient 1 was seen by genetics at 7 days of age, as he presented with microphthalmia and dry skin. At age 4.5 months, during an admission to paediatric ICU for a viral illness, he demonstrated ichthyotic skin changes. At 9 years of age, he was referred to our dermatology clinic, and the clinical diagnosis of ichthyosis was confirmed with the presence of dark thickened scales over his neck, and extremities including the flexor surfaces of antecubital and popliteal fossae. Palms showed mild hyperlinearity but no keratoderma, and hair, teeth and nails were normal. At birth, he had normal appearing skin with no collodion membrane. In addition to ichthyosis, his congenital microphthalmia and subsequent corneal opacities had led to complete blindness. There was a history of cryptorchidism but no history of delayed labour at birth.

Patient 2

Patient 2, the younger brother of patient 1, was assessed in our dermatology clinic at 4 years of age with a history of dry skin starting at 8 months. He was diagnosed with ichthyosis, appearing as diffuse generalized dark thickened scales on his body but no keratoderma. Like his older brother, he had a history of normal skin at birth with no collodion membrane and no history of delayed labour at birth. However, he differed from his brother, as he did not present with any ocular abnormalities or a history of cryptorchidism. In addition, he was diagnosed with a carnitine uptake deficiency diagnosed on neonatal metabolic screening necessitating ongoing treatment with oral L-carnitine.

The brothers are from a Kurdish family with five children and consanguineous parents. None of the other three children have ichthyosis. However, one of the female siblings has

³Richmond Road Diagnostic and Treatment Centre (RRDTC), Calgary, AB, Canada

Corresponding Author:

Rachel L Aubry, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, 3330 Hospital Dr NW, Calgary, AB T2N 4NI, Canada. Email: rachel.aubry@ucalgary.ca

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada ²Department of Medical Genetics, Alberta Children's Hospital, Calgary, AB, Canada



Figure I. Pedigree of the family of patients I and 2 demonstrating consanguinity, and clinical presentations of the five siblings. Numeric labels are in order of birth. Case report: patient I is labelled (1) and patient 2 is labelled (4) within the pedigree.

microphthalmia leading to corneal opacities and blindness similar to her older brother. The other two female siblings are healthy (Figure 1).

This family was previously briefly reported as Family #6 in a genetics journal,¹ the purpose of which was to emphasize the use of Whole Exome Sequencing (WES) to diagnose multiple genetic diagnoses in probands, and their families referred for analysis in two national research programmes in Canada (FORGE and Care4Rare Canada). This publication did not discuss the dermatologic features or the diagnostic dilemma when faced with patients with ichthyosis and ocular abnormalities in detail, which is the major reason for this publication.

Genetic testing and investigations

Early genetic testing on patient 1 consisted of a normal karyotype and a normal fluorescence in situ hybridization using a steroid sulfatase probe. A skin biopsy was obtained for cultured fibroblasts and showed normal enzyme activity for fatty aldehyde dehydrogenase and arylsulfatase.

The family was eventually enrolled in a research-based exome sequencing project (FORGE Canada), when patient 1 was age 14 and patient 2 was age 7, showing in both patients, a novel homozygous likely pathogenic frameshift variant in the NIPAL4 (ichthyin) gene, specifically c.1310_1317del [p.Leu437Glnfs*35], leading to the diagnosis of non-syndromic NIPAL4 ARCI (OMIM 612281) and clinically they both had lamellar ichthyosis-like features. In addition to this mutation, patient 1 was also found to have a rare (present twice in the heterozygous state in gnomAD) homozygous likely pathogenic missense variant in the PXDN gene (c.3211A>G [p.Arg1071Gly]), responsible for his

symptoms of microphthalmia and corneal opacities (OMIM 269400), whereas patient 2 had a co-existing homozygous variant in the SLC22A5/OCTN2 gene (c.95A>G [p.Asn32Ser]) responsible for a carnitine uptake defect (OMIM 212140).

Discussion

The ichthyoses are a group of inherited Mendelian disorders of cornification affecting all or most of the integument. Patients with inherited ichthyoses present with hyperkeratosis and scaling and varying degrees of erythema.¹ Inherited ichthyoses can be syndromic, with extracutaneous manifestations as well as non-syndromic² that will present primarily with cutaneous manifestations. ARCI, a non-syndromic form of ichthyosis, has been reported to be caused by 14 different genes³ with the most common being TGM1, NIPAL4⁴ and ABCA12. Three large patient series have confirmed NIPAL4 as the second commonest cause of ARCI.^{3,5,6} The majority of NIPAL4-related ichthyosis will present as congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma-like,⁷ however, a lamellar ichthyosislike phenotype can also occur.⁷

Patients can be born with a collodion membrane occurring in 28% in an English cohort.³ A yellowish diffuse palmoplantar keratoderma was suggested as a phenotypic clue to NIPAL4 ichthyosis.⁸ This was not supported in other case series.³

When faced with only males in a family with ichthyosis, X-linked ichthyosis (XLI) is often the first consideration as it almost exclusively occurs in males, and most often appears as brown scales on the neck, trunk, and lower extremities with flexural involvement.⁹ In our two brothers, it was





Figure 2. (a) Patient I presenting with ichthyosis demonstrating dark thickened scales on his chest. (b) Patient I demonstrating clearance of ichthyosis at 2 months following treatment with oral acitretin 10 mg daily.

originally difficult to determine whether ichthyosis was an isolated issue, or part of a syndrome. Patient 1's clinical presentations were suggestive of XLI, as he presented with corneal opacities and cryptorchidism, whereas his younger brother presented solely with ichthyosis and no ocular





Figure 3. (a) Patient 2 presenting with ichthyosis demonstrating dark thickened scales on his back. (b) Patient 2 demonstrating clearance of ichthyosis at 2 months following treatment with oral acitretin 10 mg daily.

abnormalities. However, XLI does not cause blindness, and the presence of similar microphthalmia and corneal opacities in the second oldest sister suggested that the blindness was likely not associated with the inherited ichthyosis that occurred in the two brothers.

WES testing finally confirmed our suspicion that the presentation of ichthyosis, microphthalmia and corneal opacities were due to two separate autosomal recessive gene mutations, and not part of a syndromic ichthyosis with ocular involvement, such as XLI,⁹ ichthyosis–follicularis–atrichia–photophobia (IFAP),¹⁰ Sjogren–Larsson syndrome,¹¹

Senter–KID (keratitis, ichthyosis and deafness) syndrome¹² or a single case report of a family with microphthalmia and ichthyosis reported by Loffredo et al.¹³

WES identified that the ichthyosis in both patients was due to a homozygous NIPAL4 variant. The ocular symptoms in patient 1 and his sister were due to a separate variant in the PXDN gene¹⁴ demonstrating the complexity of clinically diagnosing inherited genetic conditions such as ichthyosis with potential syndromic features in consanguineous families, in whom multiple coexisting autosomal recessive conditions can occur in the same patient at a higher frequency.¹

The first-line treatment for ichthyosis is using topical emollients and keratolytics such as urea, alpha-hydroxy acids, propylene glycol or salicylic acid.¹⁵ Further management can include systemic retinoids such as acitretin at doses of 0.2–0.5 mg/kg/day.¹⁶ Both our patients have used multiple moisturizers as well as 20% urea cream without much improvement. Finally, oral acitretin 10 mg daily was prescribed for each of the two brothers, resulting in significant improvement of their ichthyosis (Figures 2 and 3).

Consent

We obtained consent from the patients referenced in this publication including permission to publish their relevant medical information and photographs.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Rachel L Aubry (D) https://orcid.org/0009-0005-0336-1244

References

- 1. Balci TB, Hartley T, Xi Y, et al. Debunking Occam's razor: diagnosing multiple genetic diseases in families by wholeexome sequencing. *Clin Genet* 2017; 92(3): 281–289.
- Oji V, Tadini G, Akiyama M, et al. Revised nomenclature and classification of inherited ichthyoses: results of the First Ichthyosis Consensus Conference in Sorze 2009. J Am Acad Dermatol 2010; 63(4): 607–641.
- Simpson JK, Martinez-Queipo M, Onoufriadis A, et al. Genotype–phenotype correlation in a large English cohort of

patients with autosomal recessive ichthyosis. *Brit J Dermatol* 2020; 182(3): 729–737.

- Charfeddine C, Laroussi N, Mkaouar R, et al. Expanding the clinical phenotype associated with NIPAL4 mutation: study of a Tunisian consanguineous family with erythrokeratodermia variabilis – Like Autosomal Recessive Congenital Ichthyosis. *PLoS One* 2021; 16: e0258777.
- Supsrisunjai C, Bunnag T, Chaowalit P, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of autosomal recessive congenital ichthyosis in Thailand. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2023; 40(1): 107–112.
- Eckl KM, De Juanes S, Kurtenbach J, et al. Molecular analysis of 250 patients with autosomal recessive congenital ichthyosis: evidence for mutation hotspots in ALOXE3 and allelic heterogeneity in ALOX12B. *J Invest Dermatol* 2009; 129(6): 1421–1428.
- Binamer Y. Ichthyin (NIPAL4)-autosomal recessive congenital ichthyosis with atopic diathesis: case report and literature review. *J Dermatol Dermatol Surg* 2016; 20(1): 55–57.
- Alavi A, Shahshahani MM, Klotzle B, et al. Manifestation of diffuse yellowish keratoderma on the palms and soles in autosomal recessive congenital ichthyosis patients may be indicative of mutations in NIPAL4. *J Dermatol* 2012; 39(4): 375–381.
- Fernandes NF, Janniger CK and Schwartz RA. X-linked ichthyosis: an oculocutaneous genodermatosis. J Am Acad Dermatol 2010; 62(3): 480–485.
- Traboulsi EI, Waked N, Mégarbané H, et al. Ocular findings in ichthyosis follicularis-alopecia-photophobia (IFAP) syndrome. *Ophthal Genet* 2004; 25(2): 153–156.
- 11. Aslam SA and Sheth HG. Ocular features of Sjogren Larsson syndrome. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2007; 35(1): 98–99.
- Messmer EM, Kenyon KR, Rittinger O, et al. Ocular manifestations of keratitis-ichthyosis-deafness (KID) syndrome. *Ophthalmology* 2005; 112(2): e1.
- Loffredo A, Cennamo C, Frederico A, et al. Hereditary microphthalmos associated with ichthyosis: a genetic, clinical, ultrasonographic and histological study. *Opthalmologica* 1982; 184(2): 78–86.
- Choi HS, Suh MJ, Hong SC, et al. The association between the concentration of heavy metals in the indoor atmosphere and atopic dermatitis symptoms in children aged between 4 and 13 years: a pilot study. *Children* 2021; 8(11): 1004.
- Abeni D, Rotunno R, Diociaiuti A, et al. The burden of autosomal recessive congenital ichthyoses on patients and their families: an italian multicentre study. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2021; 101(6): 618.
- Mazereeuw-Hautier J, Vahlquist A, Traupe H, et al. Management of congenital ichthyoses: European guidelines of care, part one. *Brit J Dermatol* 2019; 180(2): 272–281.