scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Efficacy of steroid therapy for Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy

Terumi Murakami^{1,2}, Takatoshi Sato¹, Michiru Adachi³, Kumiko Ishiguro¹, Minobu Shichiji¹, Hisateru Tachimori⁴, Satoru Nagata¹ & Keiko Ishigaki¹

Although there is only symptomatic treatment for Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy (FCMD), several reports have suggested that steroid therapy could be effective for FCMD; however, no independent intervention studies have been conducted. This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of steroid therapy for restoring motor functions in FCMD patients. This study involved 3-to-10-yearold FCMD patients who exhibited a decline in motor functions, requested steroid therapy. Patients with consent started oral administration of 0.5-mg/kg prednisolone every alternate day, which was increased to 1.0 mg/kg if the response was inadequate. We used the Gross Motor Function Measure (GMFM) to evaluate and compare the motor functions of all patients. Wilcoxon signed-rank test (significance level, P≤0.05) was used for statistical analysis. At the onset of steroid therapy, 8.10 years (SD, 2.14 years) was the mean age of FCMD patients. The mean GMFM difference between before and after the steroid therapy was + 1.23 (SD, 1.10), and a P value of 0.015 represented significant improvement in GMFM. Our results indicate that steroid therapy may contribute to the maintenance and improvement of the motor functions of advanced-stage FCMD patients.

Clinical Trial Registration Registration Number: UMIN000020715, Registration Date: Feb 1st, 2016 (01/02/2016).

Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy (FCMD) is the second most frequent type of childhood muscular dystrophy in Japan, after Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD)^{1,2}. This disease is caused by mutations in the fukutin (FKTN) gene. The fukutin protein acts as a transferase for ribitol phosphate³. A defect in fukutin induces abnormalities in the O-mannose-type sugar chain of α -dystroglycan (α -DG)⁴. This abnormality reduces the binding to laminin within the basal membrane, thus resulting in the weakening of myocyte membranes and necrosis/ denaturation of muscle cells. Overall, 87% of patients with FCMD exhibit a so-called founder mutation in FKTN (a 3-kb homozygous insertion mutation in the 3' untranslated region). In the remaining patients, heterozygous mutations (a combination of a founder mutation and a point mutation) are observed⁵.

Patients with FCMD exhibit generalized muscle weakness and psychomotor retardation from early infancy^{2,6}. In these patients, maximal motor ability is observed at ages 2-8, with 15% of the patients acquiring the ability to walk at the peak point (mild form). Moreover, 75% of the patients are only able to sit on their own or do bottom shuffling (typical form), and 10% of the patients lack head control (severe form)^{7,8}. After the peak point, motor functions start regressing and never recover spontaneously. After reaching the age of 15, many patients become bedridden⁷. This is an intractable disease with no established cure, and patients often die of respiratory failure or cardiomyopathy before age 20. In recent years, the functions of the FKTN gene product have been clarified, and studies of genetic therapy involving a-dystroglycan-related treatment strategies and radical treatment have been ongoing^{3,4}. Nevertheless, these findings have not resulted in practical applications, and no therapeutic methods are available for suppressing the progression of muscle weakness or other symptoms.

In patients with DMD, the stability of the muscular basal membrane and cellular membrane is disrupted because of the absence of dystrophin, which results in progressive muscle fiber damage. The efficacy of steroid therapy in managing this disease has been demonstrated, as it extends the walking duration, maintains the

¹Department of Pediatrics, Tokyo Women's Medical University School of Medicine, 8-1 Kawada-cho, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162-8666, Japan. ²Department of Clinical Research, National Hospital Organization Higashisaitama National Hospital, 4147 Kurohama, Hasuda, Saitama 349-0196, Japan. ³Department of Rehabilitation, Tokyo Women's Medical University, 8-1 Kawada-cho, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162-8666, Japan. ⁴Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Translational Medical Center, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, 4-1-1 Ogawa-Higashi, Kodaira, Tokyo 187-8551, Japan. [⊠]email: ishiqaki.keiko@twmu.ac.jp

Patient no	Sex	Fukutin gene 3 kb insertion mutation	Clinical form	Peak motor performance	
1	F	Homozygosity	Mild	Walking	
2	F	Homozygosity	Typical	Bottom shuffling	
3	М	Homozygosity	Typical Bottom shuffling		
4	F	Homozygosity	Typical	Bottom shuffling	
5	М	Homozygosity	Typical	Bottom shuffling	
6	F	Homozygosity	Typical	Bottom shuffling	
7	М	Homozygosity	Typical	Bottom shuffling	
8	F	Homozygosity	Typical	Bottom shuffling	
9	М	Heterozygosity	Severe	Unsteady head control	

Table 1. Patient characteristics. F female, M male.

Patient no.	Head control	Trunk stability	Foot movement	Upper limb movement	Able to sit	Able to turn over	Increased speed of bottom shuffling	Able to crawl on all fours	Able to walk longer distance
1	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable	Worsened	Worsened
2	Worsened	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A.	N.A.
3	Worsened	Worsened	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A.	N.A.
4	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	Worsened	Stable	N.A.	N.A.
5	Worsened	Stable	Worsened	Worsened	Stable	Worsened	Stable	N.A.	N.A.
6	Stable	Stable	Worsened	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A.	N.A.
7	Worsened	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A	N.A	N.A.	N.A.
8	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A.	N.A.
9	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Worsened	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

 Table 2.
 Regression findings before steroid therapy. N.A. Not applicable. Significant values are in [bold].

cardiopulmonary functions, and decreases the incidence of scoliosis⁹⁻¹². The possible mechanisms to explain the efficacy of steroid therapy include decrease in cytotoxic T cells, Ca intracellular influx, and laminin protein concentrations; however, these mechanisms remain unclear¹⁰.

FCMD is also caused by a disruption in the stability of the basement membrane and cell membrane because of abnormalities in the sugar chain of α -dystroglycan. The potential efficacy of steroid therapy for FCMD has been suggested in several case reports¹³⁻¹⁷. Moreover, it has been reported that short-term steroid administration is also effective in improving the exacerbated muscle weakness after viral infection in patients with FCMD¹³⁻¹⁷. In this study, we planned a prospective study to evaluate the efficacy of oral steroid therapy in patients with FCMD.

Results

Patients. This study involved nine patients (four boys and five girls), eight of whom had a 3-kb homozygous insertion mutation in the *FKTN* gene, whereas the remaining patient had a composite heterozygous mutation (Table 1). One patient was able to walk at the peak motor condition (mild form), seven patients attained the ability to sit unaided and for bottom shuffling (typical form), and one patient had not achieved head control (severe form) (Table 1). The findings of declined motor functions included three cases of deteriorating lower limb movement, five cases of deteriorating arm movement, six cases of unsteady trunk, four cases of unsteady head control, and one case of walking difficulty (Table 2).

Steroid therapy. The mean age at steroid therapy onset was 8.10 years (SD, 2.14 years) (Table 3). Prednisolone was administered to two patients at 0.5 mg/kg on an alternate-day basis, to one patient at 0.7 mg/kg on an alternate-day basis, and to six patients at 1.0 mg/kg on an alternate-day basis (Table 3). The mean duration of steroid administration was 8.86 months (SD, 3.05 years) (Table 3). One additional month was necessary to confirm the drug compliance, and the evaluation after therapy was delayed. Particularly, in case 6, the parents of the patient were very worried about her irritability and the increase in the dose of prednisolone was time consuming; moreover, the dose could only be increased to 0.7 mg/kg. Case 2 was evaluated in a short period because this study was terminated because of the enforcement of the Clinical Trials Act.

The adverse events that occurred during the steroid therapy included four cases of irritability/agrypnia, one case of increased appetite, and one case of moon-shaped face (Table 4). None of these adverse events were sufficiently serious to warrant the discontinuation/suspension of steroid therapy.

GMFM evaluation. For dimension A of the Gross Motor Function Measure (GMFM)¹⁸, eight of the nine cases showed increased percent scores, whereas one case remained unchanged (Fig. 1). For dimension B, five

Patient no.	Age at initiation of steroid therapy (years)	Prednisolone dose (mg/kg on an alternate-day basis)	Administration duration (months)
1	7.8	1.0	10.8
2	10.9	0.5	3.0
3	10.9	1.0	7.3
4	9.3	1.0	9.4
5	8.4	0.5	8.0
6	8.3	0.7	13.7
7	6.5	1.0	8.9
8	6.5	1.0	7.0
9	4.3	1.0	11.4
Average	8.10 (2.14 SD)		8.86 (3.05 SD)

Table 3. Results of the steroid therapy. SD standard deviation.

Patient no.	Agrypnia	Increased appetite	Moon face	Irritability
1	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	N.A.
2	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
3	Applicable	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
4	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
5	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
6	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
7	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
8	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Applicable
9	Applicable	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

Table 4. Adverse events during steroid therapy. N.A. Not applicable. Significant values are in [bold].

Patient	Steroid	Δ	в	с	D	F	A + B + C	+ C Total [Difference in total score before and after steroid the			therapy	(%)			
no.	therapy		-	•	-		+ D + E score (%)		() ().5	1.	.0 1	.5	2.0	2	.5
1	Before	96.1	90.0	88.1	66.7	58.3	399.2	79.84			1			1		2 5 2	
	After	96.1	95.0	92.9	66.7	61.1	411.8	82.36			i			i	i	2.52	
2	Before	31.4	43.3	0	0	0	74.7	14.94			0.78						
2	After	35.3	43.3	0	0	0	78.6	15.72			0.70						
2	Before	31.4	46.7	0	0	0	78.1	15.62	0.2								
3	After	33.3	43.3	0	0	0	76.6	15.32	-0.5								
4	Before	60.8	55.0	0	0	0	115.8	23.16			!			! 19			
4	After	68.6	56.7	0	0	0	125.3	25.06			1	-		1.5			
F	Before	33.3	50.0	0	0	0	83.3	16.66	0.06		-			1			
5	After	35.3	48.3	0	0	0	83.6	16.72	0.00		1			1			
6	Before	45.1	58.3	0	0	0	103.4	20.68			:			19			
0	After	52.9	60.0	0	0	0	112.9	22.58			1	1		1.0			
	Before	76.5	65.0	4.8	0	0	146.3	29.26			1	04					
1	After	78.4	68.3	4.8	0	0	151.5	30.30				.01					
	Before	39.2	56.7	0	0	0	95.9	19.18		0.4							
8	After	41.2	56.7	0	0	0	97.9	19.58		0.4							
	Before	33.3	13.3	0	0	0	46.6	9.32			i			i	i		28
9	After	37.3	23.3	0	0	0	60.6	12.12			i			i	i		2.0
							Wilcox	on signed	-rank test,	P = 0.015 (·	<0.05)						

Figure 1. Comparison of GMFM results before and after steroid therapy.

Scientific Reports | (2021) 11:24229 |

Patient no.	Steroid therapy	Head control	Trunk stability	Foot movement	Upper limb movement	Able to sit	Able to turn over	Increased speed of bottom shuffling	Able to crawl on all fours	Able to walk longer distance	
1	Before	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable	Worsened	Worsened	
1	After	Stable	Improved	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable	Improved	Improved	
2	Before	Worsened	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	
2	After	Improved	Stable	Improved	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	
2	Before	Worsened	Worsened	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	
5	After	Improved	Improved	Improved	Improved	Stable	Stable	Stable	table N.A.		
4	Before	Stable	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	Worsened	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	
	After	Stable	Improved	Stable	Stable	Stable	Improved	Improved	N.A.	N.A.	
-	Before	Worsened	Stable	Worsened	Worsened	Stable	Worsened	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	
5	After	Improved	Improved	Improved	Improved	Stable	No change	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	
6	Before	Stable	Stable	Worsened	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	
0	After	Improved	Improved	No change	Improved	Stable	Improved	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	
7	Before	Worsened	Worsened	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
/	After	Improved	Improved	Stable	Improved	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
0	Before	Stable	Worse	Stable	Worse	Stable	Stable	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	
8	After	Stable	Improved	Stable	No change	Stable	Improved	Improved	N.A.	N.A.	
0	Before	Stable	Worse	Stable	Worse	Stable	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
9	After	Improved	Improved	Improved	No change	Acquired	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	

Table 5. Improved and acquired findings after steroid therapy. *N.A.* Not applicable. Significant values are in [bold].

of the nine cases showed increased percent scores, two remained unchanged, and two exhibited decreased percent scores (Fig. 1). The two cases with decreased percent scores for dimension B exhibited increased scores for dimension A (Fig. 1). Of the two cases that could be evaluated for dimension C, one case had an increased percent score, and one remained unchanged (Fig. 1). The one case that could be evaluated for dimension D exhibited an increased percent score (Fig. 1). From before to after the steroid therapy, the mean change in the total score was + 1.23 (SD, 1.10), with eight of the nine cases exhibiting an increase in the total scores (Fig. 1). In case 3, the total score was decreased, but the percent score in dimension A was increased (Fig. 1). The Wilcoxon signed-rank test revealed significant differences in the motor functions between before and after the steroid therapy (P=0.015; Fig. 1).

Discussion

It is known that the motor functions of patients with FCMD peak from 2 to 8 years of age and start regressing at around 4–8 years of age. Once regression starts, it is understood that the motor functions never recover¹. In the present study, we administered a steroid orally to nine patients with FCMD whose motor functions had started regressing and observed improvements in all of them. This result suggests that steroid therapy may help these patients improve or maintain their motor functions after they have already started regressing. While previous case studies focused on patients with mild FCMD, including cases that gained the ability to walk^{13,14}, we administered steroid therapy not only to mild cases, but also to typical and severe forms. Consequently, we observed improved motor functions in patients of all clinical forms. In the mild and typical forms, the patients gained the ability to perform actions that were previously impossible (Table 5). In the severe cases, the patients were able to stabilize the previously unsteady neck and trunk and sit unaided, showing an improvement in the peak motor ability (Table 5).

The initial steroid dose was 0.5 mg/kg on an alternate-day basis, and, for the nonresponders, the dose was increased up to 1.0 mg/kg on an alternate-day basis.

Regarding the correlation between the steroid dose and its effects, no statistical analysis could be performed because of the small sample size. In Cases 2 and 5, 0.5 mg/kg alternate-day steroid dose (0.25 mg/kg/day) was administered, and motor function improvement was observed. In addition, according to the reports by Toyono et al.¹³, alternate-day administration at 0.5 mg/kg (0.25 mg/kg/day) had a definite effect. As opposed to the minimum dose demonstrated to be effective against DMD (prednisolone at 0.3 mg/kg/day), even lower doses may contribute to improving motor function in patients with FCMD^{9,10,13}. Even when the 0.5 mg/kg alternate-day (0.25 mg/kg/day) regimen was ineffective, increasing the steroid doses showed improvement in motor function. This suggests that steroid therapy is effective even at low doses, and its efficacy may be further enhanced by increasing the dose. In addition to improving motor functions, the previously reported effects of steroid therapy include improvement in swallowing functions, intensification of voice volume, and improvement in the peak expiratory flow rate¹³⁻¹⁵. In the present study, two cases achieved a decrease in salivation, and one case witnessed an intensified voice volume (Table 6). Although decreased salivation suggests improvement in swallowing functions, an intensified voice volume may be related to improvement in vital capacity. Similar to steroid therapy

Patient no.	Motivation	Salivation	Voice volume	Vocabulary		
1	Increased	N.P.	N.P.	N.P.		
2	N.P.	N.P.	N.P.	N.P.		
3	Increased	Decreased	N.P.	N.P.		
4	Increased	Decreased	N.P.	N.P.		
5	N.P.	N.P.	N.P.	N.P.		
6	N.P.	N.P.	N.P.	N.P.		
7	Increased	N.P.	Intensified	N.P.		
8	Increased	Decreased	N.P.	N.P.		
9	Increased	N.P.	N.P.	Increased		

Table 6. Improved functions other than motor function. N.P. Not particularly. Significant values are in [bold].

for DMD, steroid therapy for FCMD may also contribute to the improvement of swallowing and pulmonary functions. Moreover, six cases exhibited improved motivation, and two cases attained increased vocabulary, indicating that the central nervous system was also affected, in addition to motor functions (Table 5). Patients with FCMD exhibit dysphagia prior to a decrease in the respiratory functions. Therefore, to prevent aspiration and asphyxiation, they sometimes require gastric fistula formation or tracheoesophageal separation⁷. Improvement in the swallowing functions by steroid therapy will lead to a better quality of life among patients with FCMD.

Regarding the start/finish times of steroid therapy, our study focused on the patients whose motor functions started regressing. Toyono et al. administered steroid therapy to patients who were capable of walking and whose motor functions reached a developmental plateau, and reported that their walking and moving speed increased¹³. In our study, we administered steroid therapy to patients with severe-type FCMD whose motor functions had started regressing; consequently, the patients became able to sit unaided and attained improved peak motor performance. This finding suggests that starting steroid therapy before the motor functions reach a developmental plateau and start regressing may improve the peak motor performance. However, in FCMD, the timing of the establishment of a developmental plateau of motor functions differs greatly from patient to patient. In severe-type FCMD, patients reach a plateau at around 2 years of age. Hence, steroid therapy should be initiated from an earlier age. In such cases, several issues should be considered, such as preventing adverse reactions, including growth suppression, and inoculating live vaccines. Even during steroid treatment, live vaccines are not always contraindicated, depending on the dose. However, there is little evidence of a clear quantitative relationship between the dose and immunosuppression. The risks and benefits of live vaccines, even at low doses of steroids, must be considered.

Regarding the end time of steroid therapy, the consequences of its long-term administration, in addition to the functional improvement, should be considered, as in steroid therapy for DMD. Once the therapy is found to no longer improve motor functions, it is essential to factor in the risk-benefit balance and decide whether to continue the therapy in consultation with the patients and their guardians. The repercussions of long-term steroid administration also need to be assessed in the future.

The limitations of this study was small sample size, and included the different steroid doses administered to the patients and the rather long time that was needed to confirm the drug compliance. All patients refused to take prednisolone orally because of its bitter taste. Because patients with FCMD have moderate-to-severe mental retardation, their parents often encounter this type of problem during the administration of the medication. In future studies, it will be necessary to increase the number of patients and establish a more robust steroid administration schedule.

The adverse reactions to steroid therapy that occurred during the short period of this study were not sufficiently severe to justify the discontinuation of the therapy; however, one patient did not receive the maximal dose due to parental anxiety regarding the irritability of the patient. Hence, we believe that steroid therapy can be administered safely. In future studies, we need to assess the effects of the long-term administration of these drugs, especially when therapy is initiated at an early age.

As mentioned above, for steroid therapy in FCMD, there are still points of consideration, including the dosage and start/finish times. Nonetheless, the results of this study suggest that steroid therapy is effective in improving/maintaining the motor functions of patients with FCMD for a short period. The fact that steroid therapy improves and maintains the motor functions of patients signifies that it could also improve their quality of life.

Methods

Clinical study design. This study was open-label, single-arm clinical study. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Tokyo Women's Medical University (Approval No. 160104, Supplemental Protocol 1, 2) on January 9, 2016 and registered on the Information Network Clinical Trial Registry (UMIN-CTR Unique ID: UMIN000020715) on February 1, 2016. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was carried out at Tokyo Women's Medical University Hospital from February 2016 to March 2019. Patients who were being followed at the Department of Pediatrics of Tokyo Women's Medical University from 2016 to 2019 and who satisfied all of the following requirements were included in the study. (1) Patients genetically diagnosed with FCMD, (2) patients aged 3–10 years whose decline in motor function was clinically ascertained, and (3) consented to undergo steroid therapy provided by the patients or their parents. Verbal and

written explanations of the study objectives, methodology, and expected benefits were provided to all the participants and their guardians, and written informed consent was obtained from the guardians of all the children to participate in the study (Supplemental Protocol 1, Sects. 6, 7–9).

Evaluation methods. Oral steroid therapy was administered to all patients fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Their motor functions were evaluated and compared using the GMFM, before and after the onset of steroid therapy.

Clinical classification of FCMD. The clinical classification of patients with FCMD was based on maximum motor development. Those who could sit on their own or do bottom shuffling were classified as having the typical form of FCMD; those who could walk were classified as having the mild form; and those lacking head control were classified as having the severe form⁷.

Steroid therapy. Prednisolone was orally administered to the patients at 0.5 mg/kg on an alternate-day basis. In case this therapy proved to be ineffective after 1 month, the steroid dose was increased by 0.2–0.25 mg/ kg alternate-day every month up to 1.0 mg/kg alternate-day.

GMFM. Although the GMFM is a scale that is used for evaluating the motor functions of children with cerebral palsy, it has also been reported to be useful for assessing the motor functions of patients with FCMD^{19,20}. The GMFM scale consists of the following 88 items in 5 dimensions: dimension A: lying and rolling (17 items, 51 points); dimension B: sitting (20 items, 60 points); dimension C: crawling and kneeling (14 items, 42 points); dimension D: standing (15 items, 39 points); and dimension E: walking, running, and jumping (24 items, 72 points). Each item was evaluated on a 4-point scale: 0 points: not possible at all; 1 point: barely possible (below 10% of the standard); 2 points: partially possible ($\geq 10\%$ and < 100% of the standard); and 3 points: completely possible. For each area, a percent score (actual score/(item count $\times 3$) $\times 100$) was calculated, and the total score is obtained by calculating the average of the five areas. The motor functions of the patients were evaluated using the GMFM and compared before and after the onset of therapy. The evaluation after the therapy was performed 6 months after the drug compliance was confirmed.

Statistical analysis. For statistical analysis, a Wilcoxon signed-rank test was performed using the SPSS27 software (significance level, $P \le 0.05$).

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

Received: 26 May 2021; Accepted: 29 November 2021 Published online: 20 December 2021

References

- 1. Fukuyama, Y., Kawazura, M. & Haruna, H. A peculiar form of congenital progressive muscular dystrophy. *Pediatria Universitatis Tokyo.* **4**, 5–8 (1960).
- 2. Osawa, M. et al. Fukuyama Type Congenital Muscular Dystrophy 31-68 (Elsevier Sience, 1997).
- 3. Kanagawa, M. *et al.* Identification of a post-translational modification with ribitol-phosphate and its defect in muscular dystrophy. *Cell Rep.* **14**, 2209–2223 (2016).
- 4. Taniguchi-Ikeda, M. *et al.* Pathogenic exon-trapping by SVA retrotransposon and rescue in Fukuyama muscular dystrophy. *Nature* **478**, 127–131 (2011).
- Kobayashi, K. et al. An ancient retrotransposal insertion causes Fukuyama-type congenital muscular dystrophy. Nature 394, 388–392 (1998).
- Fukuyama, Y., Osawa, M. & Suzuki, H. Congenital progressive muscular dystrophy of the Fukuyama type—Clinical, genetic and pathological considerations. *Brain Dev.* 3, 1–29 (1981).
- İshigaki, K. Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy: Clinical aspects. In *Translational Research in Muscular Dystrophy* (eds Takeda, S. et al.) 1–19 (Springer Japan, 2016).
- Saito, K. *et al.* Haplotype-phenotype correlation in Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* 92, 184–190 (2000).
- 9. Takeuchi, F. *et al.* Prednisolone improves walking in Japanese Duchenne muscular dystrophy patients. *J. Neuro.* **260**, 3023–3029 (2013).
- Societas Neuroligica Japonica, Japanise Society of Child Neurology, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry. Practical guideline for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) 2014. Nankodo (2014) (Japanese).
- Bushby, K. et al. Diagnosis and management of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, part 1: Diagnosis, and pharmacological and psychosocial management. Lancet Neuro. 9, 77–93 (2010).
- Manzur, A. Y., Kuntzer, T., Pike, M. & Swan, A. Glucocorticoid corticosteroids for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Cochrane Database of System. Rev.* 23, CD003725 (2008).
- Toyono, M., Śawaishi, Y., Yano, T., Kubota, H. & Ishigaki, K. Effects of oral steroid therapy for Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy patients capable of walking. *No To Hattatsu* 47(1), S245 (2015) (Japanese).
- Miyamoto, A., Fukuda, I., Tanaka, H., Oka, R. & Osa, K. Attempts of steroid therapy for Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy (mild type). No To Hattatsu 42, S330 (2010) (Japanese).
- 15. Terauchi, S., Kuroiwa, Y., Izumi, T., Kaneko, W. & Yagi, Y. Two cases of Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy treated with steroids 1) A woman whose inflammatory myosis was treated in the infant stage 2) A man who received short-term oral administration of prednisone (R) for an acute decline in swallowing functions. *No To Hattatsu* 46, 230 (2014) (Japanese).
- 16. Godfrey, C. et al. Fukutin gene mutations in steroid-responsive limb girdle muscular dystrophy. Ann. Neuro. 60, 603-610 (2006).

- 17. Murakami, T. *et al.* Severe muscle damage following viral infection in patients with Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy. *Brain Dev.* 34, 293–297 (2012).
- Russell, D. et al. The gorss motor function measure; A means to evaluate the effects of physical therapy. Dev. Med. Child Neuro. 31, 341–352 (1989).
- 19. Sato, T. *et al.* The gross motor function measure is valid for Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy. *Neuromusc. Disorders.* **25**(1), 45–49 (2017).
- 20. Sato, T. *et al.* A short form of gross motor function measure for Fukuyama congenital muscular dystrophy. *Brain Dev.* **42**, 383–388 (2020).

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by a psychological/neurological disease research grant (Grant number: 2-4) provided by the National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Japan and supported by SHISEIKAI Scientific Award. We are indebted to Mr. Ben Cooper for his medical writing services.

Author contributions

T.M., K.E.I., and S.N. designed the study. T.M., T.S., K.U.I., M.S., and K.E.I. executed the study. M.A. measured GMFM. T.M., K.E.I, and H.T. analyzed the data. T.M., K.E.I., and S.N. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.I.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021, corrected publication 2022