Annals of Internal Medicine

Original Research

Measuring the COVID-19 Mortality Burden in the United States

A Microsimulation Study

Julian Reif, PhD; Hanke Heun-Johnson, PhD; Bryan Tysinger, PhD; and Darius Lakdawalla, PhD

Background: Fully assessing the mortality burden of the COVID-19 pandemic requires measuring years of life lost (YLLs) and accounting for quality-of-life differences.

Objective: To measure YLLs and quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) lost from the COVID-19 pandemic, by age, sex, race/ethnicity, and comorbidity.

Design: State-transition microsimulation model.

Data Sources: Health and Retirement Study, Panel Study of Income Dynamics, data on excess deaths from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and nursing home death counts from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

Target Population: U.S. population aged 25 years and older.

Time Horizon: Lifetime.

Perspective: Individual.

Intervention: COVID-19 pandemic through 13 March 2021.

Outcome Measures: YLLs and QALYs lost per 10 000 persons in the population. The estimates account for the age, sex, and race/ethnicity of decedents, along with obesity, smoking behavior, lung disease, heart disease, diabetes, cancer, stroke, hypertension, dementia, and nursing home residence.

The COVID-19 pandemic has imposed considerable excess mortality burdens worldwide. In the United States, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that the pandemic caused 740247 excess deaths between 22 March 2020 and 13 March 2021. Measuring this mortality burden is critical for identifying vulnerable populations and evaluating pandemic mitigation measures.

However, excess deaths do not readily translate into years of life lost (YLLs), another standard measure of mortality burden (1-3). Because COVID-19 deaths are concentrated among older adults, who have lower life expectancies than young and middle-aged adults, existing estimates of excess pandemic-related death may understate YLLs among younger adults. Moreover, the few extant studies estimating YLLs from the COVID-19 pandemic adjust for age differences but not for common risk factors for death from COVID-19, such as obesity or diabetes, or for quality of life (4-7). These prior YLL estimates may overstate mortality burden if frail persons with shorter life expectancies are more likely to die of COVID-19.

We used an established, validated microsimulation model to estimate YLLs and quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) lost due to excess pandemic mortality from 22 **Results of Base-Case Analysis:** The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in 6.62 million QALYs lost (9.08 million YLLs) through 13 March 2021, with 3.6 million (54%) lost by those aged 25 to 64 years. The greatest toll was on Black and Hispanic communities, especially among men aged 65 years or older, who lost 1138 and 1371 QALYs, respectively, per 10 000 persons. Absent the pandemic, 38% of decedents would have had average or above-average life expectancies for their subgroup defined by age, sex, and race/ethnicity.

Results of Sensitivity Analysis: Accounting for uncertainty in risk factors for death from COVID-19 yielded similar results.

Limitation: Estimates may vary depending on assumptions about mortality and quality-of-life projections.

Conclusion: Beyond excess deaths alone, the COVID-19 pandemic imposed a greater life expectancy burden on persons aged 25 to 64 years, including those with average or above-average life expectancies, and a disproportionate burden on Black and Hispanic communities.

Primary Funding Source: National Institute on Aging.

Ann Intern Med. doi:10.7326/M21-2239 Annals.org For author, article, and disclosure information, see end of text. This article was published at Annals.org on 21 September 2021.

March 2020 until 13 March 2021 for the U.S. population aged 25 years or older. At the end of our observation window, rates of full COVID-19 vaccination ranged from 8.1% for ages 25 to 39 years to 40.5% for ages 75 years and older, and the 2 predominant COVID-19 variants were Alpha B.1.1.7 (59.5%) and lota B.1.526 (15.6%) (as of 10 April 2021) (8, 9).

Methods

We used microsimulation to project what life expectancy would have been for a nationally representative cohort of Americans aged 25 years or older if the COVID-19 pandemic had never happened. To compute YLLs and QALYs lost from the pandemic, we identified cohort members who most resembled persons who died

See also:

Editorial comment

Web-Only Supplement

Original Research

Figure 1. Structure of the microsimulation model.



This figure shows how this study uses a microsimulation model of individuals to estimate years of life lost (YLLs) for COVID-19 excess deaths for the U.S. population. The microsimulation is based on the Future Adult Model (FAM) and the Future Elderly Model (FEM), which are used to forecast health outcomes for adults aged 25-54 y and adults aged ≥55 y, respectively, as of 2020. First, these models forecast mortality, quality of life, smoking behavior, and disease incidence at the individual level for a nationally representative population for the 2009-2117 (FAM) and 2016-2086 (FEM) time periods, under the assumption of 0 excess deaths from the COVID-19 pandemic. Second, a fixed number of individuals in the model die during 2020-2021 as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. For each 5-y age, sex, and race/ethnicity subgroup, this fixed number of decedents is set equal to the number of excess deaths reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The average risk analysis assumes that these deaths occurred randomly within the age-sex-race/ethnicity subgroup. The individualized risk analysis assumes that the COVID-19-related excess deaths occurred within the age-sex-race/ethnicity subgroup in proportion to COVID-19 mortality odds ratios for 12 comorbidity risk factors, and that non-COVID-19-related excess deaths occurred among the individuals with the highest projected mortality risk, as estimated by the FEM and FAM.

of pandemic-related causes and summed the total lifeyears and QALYs they were projected to have lived absent the pandemic. As illustrated in Figure 1, we pursued 3 analytic approaches to identify such cohort members. The first ("average risk") analysis assigned equal excess risk for death to all individuals within a subgroup defined by age, sex, and race/ethnicity, an approach similar to subgroup life table analyses (4-7). The second ("individualized risk") analysis, our preferred approach, allowed risk for death to vary with individual characteristics, health status, and COVID-19 risk factors within such a subgroup. The third ("frailty-based risk") analysis presumed that the pandemic selectively targeted individuals with the highest projected risk for death in each subgroup and serves as a lower bound for our YLL estimates.

Microsimulation Modeling Steps Projecting Life Expectancy

We used the Future Elderly Model (FEM) and the Future Adult Model (FAM) to project life expectancy under the assumption of 0 excess deaths from the COVID-19 pandemic. These microsimulation models have been used in prior research to forecast prepandemic disease incidence, functional status, quality of life, smoking behavior, and mortality (10-20). The FEM and FAM use data collected from longitudinal surveys-the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) and the Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID), respectively-to forecast disease incidence, smoking behavior, quality of life, and mortality for adults aged 25 years or older (Figure 1; Supplements 2 and 4, available at Annals.org) (21, 22). The HRS surveys a nationally representative sample of persons older than 50 years, and the PSID surveys a nationally representative sample of all ages. Mortality is measured in these surveys using proxy reports. Quality of life is measured using the EuroQol 5-dimension questionnaire and imputed in the HRS and PSID using variables in common with the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (Section 3.1 of Supplement 1, available at Annals. org). Smoking behavior and disease incidence are measured using survey responses.

The FAM simulations started with PSID respondents aged 25 to 44 years in 2009, whose outcomes were simulated through 2021; in each year of the simulation, the sample was replenished with a new cohort of 25year-olds. This produced a nationally representative sample aged 25 to 54 years in 2020. The FEM simulations began with HRS respondents aged 51 years or older in 2016, whose outcomes were simulated through 2021 (without replenishment), to produce a nationally representative sample aged 55 years or older in 2020. Together, the FEM and FAM output included 28 175 simulants, which when weighted provided a nationally representative sample of the 223 million U.S. adults who were aged 25 years or older and alive as of 1 July 2020. Mortality, quality of life, smoking behavior, and disease incidence for these simulants were then projected into

years beyond 2020 on the basis of statistical models estimated from HRS and PSID data on disease incidence, disability, and mortality (**Supplements** 3 and 5, available at Annals.org). Projecting lifetime outcomes under the assumption of 0 excess pandemic deaths generated the nonpandemic life expectancy projections used in this study for individuals, subpopulations, and the entire population.

Mortality in the FEM and FAM microsimulations depended on both fixed and time-varying components (**Supplements** 3 and 5). The fixed components include sex, race/ethnicity, and education. The time-varying components, updated as the simulation progresses, include age, chronic health conditions, functional limitations, and smoking behavior. To account for expected future declines in mortality rates, we applied an adjustment factor from Social Security Administration actuarial forecasts (Section 6 of **Supplement** 2; Section 3.3 of **Supplement** 4) (23).

Estimating YLLs and QALYs Lost From the COVID-19 Pandemic

To estimate YLLs and QALYs lost during the pandemic by 5-year age group, sex, and race/ethnicity (White, Black, or Hispanic), we started with weekly data collected from the CDC (Section 1 of **Supplement** 1). The CDC estimated total excess deaths by comparing deaths in 2020 and 2021 with deaths in prior years and estimated COVID-19related deaths on the basis of causes of death listed on death certificates. We aggregated these data to estimate total excess deaths and COVID-19-related deaths by 5-year age group, sex, and race/ethnicity over the period 22 March 2020 through 13 March 2021. We defined excess deaths not related to COVID-19 as the difference between total excess deaths and COVID-19-related deaths.

Next, we identified the simulants in our microsimulation model that "experienced" these excess deaths using 3 approaches, described in the following paragraph. In each approach, we computed YLLs and QALYs lost for each simulant who had an excess death by computing their projected life expectancy absent the pandemic. Summing across all simulants yielded our estimate of total YLLs and QALYs lost from excess pandemic deaths. We calculated QALYs lost similarly by incorporating the quality-of-life index, which ranges from 0 to 1, and estimating projected QALYs for each dying simulant. Both YLLs and QALYs lost are undiscounted, which facilitates their comparison and corresponds to traditional YLL calculations (24). Because quality-of-life adjustments can be imperfect (25), we report both YLLs and QALYs lost in our main tables.

The first approach to identifying simulants with excess deaths is the average risk analysis. It presumed that excess risk for death is uniform within each subgroup defined by age, sex, and race/ethnicity. The individualized risk analysis, our second and preferred approach, presumed that risk for death from COVID-19 within each subgroup varies with underlying COVID-19 risk factors identified in U.K. data on COVID-19 deaths. Excess risk for death not related to COVID-19 depended

To account for individual risk factors for death from COVID-19 in the individualized risk analysis, we used mortality odds ratios (ORs) for 11 comorbidity risk factors from a recent associational study of more than 17 million adults in England (Section 2 of Supplement 1) (26, 27). These estimates were derived from longitudinal electronic medical records from 40% of the population of England, spread geographically across the country. Our analysis includes the following risk factors: body mass index (BMI) of 30 to 35 kg/m², BMI of 35 to 40 kg/m², BMI of 40 kg/m² or higher, former smoking, hypertension, lung disease, heart disease, diabetes mellitus, cancer, stroke, and dementia (Table 1 of Supplement 1). We excluded risk factors that lacked statistically significant (P < 0.05) OR estimates, such as current smoking. The use of electronic medical record data, rather than insurance claims, permits more reliable analysis of smoking status and BMI category (28).

In our simulated cohort, the most influential risk factor, dementia (OR, 3.62), presents in only 2.5% of the population and skews toward the oldest age groups (Figure 1 of **Supplement** 1). By contrast, moderate risk factors, such as former smoking (OR, 1.26), diabetes mellitus (OR, 1.41), and obesity (BMI of 30 to 35 kg/m²: OR, 1.07; BMI of 35 to 40 kg/m²: OR, 1.44; and BMI ≥40 kg/ m²: OR, 2.11), are significantly more prevalent overall and among younger adults. Most of the 2020 U.S. population (72.7%) and adult population aged 25 to 64 years (67.9%) had 1 or more risk factors positively associated with COVID-19 mortality (Figure 1 of **Supplement** 1).

The counts of COVID-19-related deaths among residents in certified Medicare skilled-nursing facilities and Medicaid nursing facilities up through 13 March 2021 were collected from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (29). These data were used to calculate a 12th OR for COVID-19 mortality, which was applied to nursing home residents in the microsimulation (Section 2 of **Supplement** 1).

Microsimulation Model Validation

The mortality and quality-of-life projections produced by the FEM and FAM microsimulation models have been validated in prior research (19, 30). Mortality forecasts line up closely with published death counts and perform at least as well as alternative forecasts used by the Social Security Administration (19). In addition, we did a data-splitting exercise to validate population, smoking behavior, cancer, diabetes, heart disease, hypertension, lung disease, and stroke forecasts using data from before the COVID-19 era (Section 7 of **Supplement** 2; Section 10 of **Supplement** 4).

We provide additional validation specific to the COVID-19 context by comparing YLL estimates from the

Outcome	Totals				Ages 25-64 y						Ages ≥65 y				
	Ages	Ages	Ages	Women			Men			Women			Men		
	25-64 y	≥65 y	≥ 25 y	Black	Hispanic	White	Black	Hispanic	White	Black	Hispanic	White	Black	Hispanic	White
Population, n (thousands)	167 910	55 182	223 092	11 219	13 991	58 603	10 283	15 786	58 029	2946	2601	25 042	2030	2032	20 531
CDC excess deaths															
Deaths, n	173 995	566 252	740 247	15 224	14 736	25 865	29 308	38 110	50 752	45 068	38 867	180 944	44 844	48 052	208 477
COVID-19	105 726	439 598	545 324	9600	10 838	16 992	13 456	25 764	29 076	28 777	27 478	152 850	28 833	36 999	164 661
Non-COVID-19	68 269	126 654	194 923	5624	3898	8873	15 852	12 346	21 676	16 291	11 389	28 094	16 011	11 053	43 816
Deaths per 10 000 persons	10.4	102.6	33.2	13.6	10.5	4.4	28.5	24.1	8.7	153.0	149.4	72.3	220.9	236.5	101.5
COVID-19	6.3	79.7	24.4	8.6	7.7	2.9	13.1	16.3	5.0	97.7	105.6	61.0	142.1	182.1	80.2
Non-COVID-19	4.1	23.0	8.7	5.0	2.8	1.5	15.4	7.8	3.7	55.3	43.8	11.2	78.9	54.4	21.3
Longitudinal outcome	es														
YLLs, n (thousands)	- 4 / 0		40 (70		100	700	700			405	450				1000
Period life table	5163	5514	106/8	455	492	790	793	1188	1446	485	452	1634	456	557	1930
Average risk	5133	5429	10 562	437	465	800	797	1157	14/8	435	390	1/39	415	484	1967
Individualized risk	4668	4412	9080	389	431	/10	/20	1079	1339	342	318	1384	338	406	1625
Frailty-based risk	2472	1145	3616	195	262	252	427	/21	615	101	100	324	94	145	380
QALYs lost, n (thousands)															
Average risk	4025	3934	7959	328	357	631	620	916	1174	300	268	1266	298	348	1454
Individualized risk	3574	3046	6620	282	324	542	546	841	1039	221	207	957	231	279	1151
Frailty-based risk YLLs per 10 000 persons	1688	590	2278	120	174	155	291	530	417	49	51	161	50	82	198
Period life table	308	999	479	405	352	135	771	753	249	1645	1737	652	2247	2744	940
Average risk	306	984	473	389	332	137	775	733	255	1476	1499	694	2043	2381	958
Individualized risk	278	800	407	347	308	121	700	684	231	1159	1223	553	1663	1998	792
Frailty-based risk	147	207	162	173	187	43	415	457	106	342	386	129	465	714	185
QALYs lost per 10 000 persons	,	207	102	170	107	10	110	10,	100	012	000	127	100	,	100
Average risk	240	713	357	292	255	108	603	580	202	1019	1030	506	1467	1710	708
Individualized risk	213	552	297	251	231	93	531	533	179	751	794	382	1138	1371	561
Frailty-based risk	101	107	102	107	124	27	283	336	72	165	195	64	246	403	96

Table 1. YLLs and QALYs Lost Due to the U.S. COVID-19 Pandemic, by Age Group, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity*

CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; QALY = quality-adjusted life-year; YLL = year of life lost.

* This table reports the YLLs and QALYs lost over the time period 22 March 2020 through 13 March 2021 as a result of the U.S. COVID-19 pandemic. We report 95% uncertainty intervals in Tables 2 and 3 of Supplement 1. Population data were obtained from the Health and Retirement Study and the Panel Study of Income Dynamics. The longitudinal outcome estimates presented in this table are based on the number of excess deaths reported by the CDC. Period life table estimates of YLLs are equal to the number of excess deaths multiplied by life expectancy obtained from a 2018 CDC period life table that varies by age, sex, and race/ethnicity. The estimates of YLLs and QALYs lost for the average risk, individualized risk, and frailty-based risk analyses are derived from the Future Elderly Model and the Future Adult Model, which use microsimulation to produce individual-level forecasts of mortality and quality of life for the U.S. population aged \geq 25 y (Figure 1). The average risk analysis assumes that each excess death occurred randomly within the 5-y age, sex, and race/ethnicity category that corresponds to that death. The individualized risk analysis assigns all excess deaths related to COVID-19 within the age-sex-race/ethnicity subgroup in proportion to estimates of COVID-19 comorbidity mortality odds ratios, and assigns non-COVID-19-related deaths in proportion to the 2020 (pre-COVID-19) annual mortality hazard projected by the microsimulation. The frailty-based risk analysis assigns all excess deaths within the subgroup to the individuals with the highest annual mortality hazard projected by the microsimulation.

model-based average risk analysis–which does not adjust for comorbidity risk factors–versus analogous YLL estimates calculated using a 2018 period life table from the CDC that reports life expectancy by age, sex, and race/ethnicity (31).

Sensitivity Analysis

We assessed uncertainty around microsimulation parameter estimates via a nonparametric bootstrap that resampled the data underlying the FEM and FAM models (32). We assessed uncertainty in the estimated ORs for COVID-19 mortality by drawing from a multivariate normal distribution (26, 27). The distribution was based on the variance-covariance matrix resulting from estimation of the ORs (Section 3.2 of **Supplement** 1). We express the propagated uncertainty in our results with 95% uncertainty intervals (UIs).

Role of the Funding Source

The funding source had no role in the design of the study; the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data; or the decision to approve publication of the finished manuscript.

RESULTS

 Table 1 presents the CDC's excess death data for adults aged 25 to 64 years and 65 years or older, by sex

Table 2. YLLs and QALYs Lost Due to the U.S. COVID-19 Pandemic, by 10-Year Age Group*											
Outcome	Age										
	≥25 y	25-34 y	35-44 у	45-54 y	55-64 y	65-74 у	75-84 y	≥85 y			
Population, n (thousands)	223 092	44 195	40 899	40 589	42 228	32 432	16 228	6522			
CDC excess deaths	740 047	10.107	20.077		01 407	100.001	107 077	100.054			
Deaths, n	740 247	18 136	29 977	34 455	91427	180 021	19/9//	188 254			
	242 324	3007	730Z	20 150	00 343	120 310	101 009	10/ /11			
	194 923	14 407	20015	8305	24 002	59703	40 400	20 543			
COVID 10	33.2	4.1	7.3	0.0	21.7	22.2	122.0	200.0			
	24.4	0.8	2.3	0.4	15.0	37.1	93.4	237.1			
NON-COVID-19	0.7	3.5	5.0	2.0	5.7	10.4	20.0	51.5			
Longitudinal outcomes YLLs, n (thousands)											
Period life table	10 678	870	1190	1062	2042	2747	1863	905			
Average risk	10 562	886	1194	1069	1984	2679	1831	918			
Individualized risk	9080	846	1091	1004	1727	2257	1518	637			
Frailty-based risk	3616	693	731	589	459	615	388	141			
QALYs lost, n (thousands)	7050	710	057	947	1501	1009	1220	617			
Individualized rick	6620	676	951	792	1266	1420	10/1	295			
Frailty-based risk	2278	524	513	401	250	328	196	67			
Period life table	479	197	291	262	484	847	1148	1387			
Average risk	473	200	292	263	470	826	1129	1408			
Individualized risk	407	191	267	247	409	696	935	977			
Frailty-based risk QALYs lost per 10 000 persons	162	157	179	145	109	190	239	216			
Average risk	357	163	234	209	355	616	813	946			
Individualized risk	297	153	208	193	300	499	642	591			
Frailty-based risk	102	119	125	99	59	101	121	102			

CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; QALY = quality-adjusted life-year; YLL = year of life lost.

* This table reports the YLLs and QALYs lost over the time period 22 March 2020 through 13 March 2021 as a result of the U.S. COVID-19 pandemic. We report 95% uncertainty intervals in Tables 4 and 5 of Supplement 1. Population data were obtained from the Health and Retirement Study and the Panel Study of Income Dynamics. The longitudinal outcome estimates presented in this table are based on the number of excess deaths reported by the CDC, which are reproduced in the second row. Period life table estimates of YLLs are equal to the number of excess deaths multiplied by life expectancy obtained from a 2018 CDC period life table that varies by age, sex, and race/ethnicity. The estimates of YLLs and QALYs lost for the average risk, individualized risk, and frailty-based risk analyses are derived from the Future Elderly Model and the Future Adult Model, which use microsimulation to produce individual-level forecasts of mortality and quality of life for the U.S. population aged ≥25 y (Figure 1). The average risk analysis assumes that each excess death occurred randomly within the 5-y age, sex, and race/ethnicity category that corresponds to that death. The individualized risk analysis assigns all excess deaths related to COVID-19 within the age-sex-race/ethnicity subgroup in proportion to estimates of COVID-19 comorbidity mortality odds ratios, and assigns non-COVID-19-related deaths in proportion to the 2020 (pre-COVID-19) annual mortality hazard projected by the microsimulation. The frailty-based risk analysis assigns all excess deaths within the subgroup to the individuals with the highest annual mortality hazard projected by the microsimulation.

and race/ethnicity. A total of 740 247 excess deaths occurred between 22 March 2020 and 13 March 2021, of which 545 324 were classified as COVID-19-related and 194 923 as non-COVID-19-related. Estimates of YLLs and QALYs lost, computed for each analytic approach, appear under "Longitudinal outcomes." To assess uncertainty in the microsimulation estimates, we report 95% Uls in Tables 2 and 3 of Supplement 1.

Mortality Burden Adjusted for Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity Alone

Table 1 presents YLLs based on life expectancies obtained from a 2018 period life table from the CDC that accounts only for age, sex, and race/ethnicity (31). In each subgroup, YLLs equal the number of excess deaths in that subgroup multiplied by the CDC life expectancy estimate. They are analogous to previously published estimates of YLLs due to COVID-19 (4-7). This life table approach estimates 10.68 million total YLLs from the COVID-19 pandemic.

Table 1 also reports estimates from the average risk analysis, which assumes that the COVID-19 pandemic caused deaths at random within subgroups defined by age, sex, and race/ethnicity. This approach estimated 10.56 million YLLs (95% UI, 10.43 million to 10.69 million YLLs), within 1.1% of the life table estimate of 10.68 million YLLs. This concordance provides additional validation of the microsimulation's mortality forecasts over the relevant age-sex-race/ethnicity subgroups. This estimate of 10.56 million YLLs corresponds to 7.96 million QALYs lost after decedents' projected quality of life is accounted for.

Mortality Burden Adjusted for Underlying Risk Factors

Table 1 reports results from the individualized risk analysis, which allowed COVID-19 mortality within each age-sex-race/ethnicity subgroup to vary with individual risk factors. This approach, which provides our preferred estimates, indicates that the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in 6.62 million QALYs lost (95% UI, 6.51 million

Original Research

to 6.73 million QALYs lost) and 9.08 million YLLs, 14% lower than the 10.56 million YLLs reported for the average risk analysis, indicating that persons with COVID-19 risk factors have below-average life expectancy for their subgroup. Adults aged 25 to 64 years lost 213 QALYs (95% UI, 208 to 218 QALYs) per 10 000 persons in the population, compared with 552 QALYs (95% UI, 544 to 560 QALYs) per 10 000 for adults aged 65 years or older. Although excess risk for death per capita was 9.9 times higher for adults over 65 than their under-65 counterparts, individualized QALYs lost per capita were only 2.6 times as high. Adults aged 85 years or older faced 70 times more excess risk for death than those aged 25 to 34 years but only 3.9 times more individualized loss of QALYs per capita (Table 2). This discrepancy remains when the analysis is limited to excess deaths related to COVID-19 (Tables 6 to 8 of Supplement 1).

Finally, **Table 1** reports YLLs if COVID-19 systematically targeted those with the shortest life expectancies in their subgroups, thereby minimizing YLLs and QALYs lost. This frailty-based risk computation contrasts with the average risk computation, which assumed that excess deaths occurred at random within each subgroup and produced per capita YLLs and QALYs lost equal to mean life expectancies for each subgroup. Because the YLLs estimated in our preferred individualized risk computation lie much closer to the average risk estimates than to the frailty-based risk estimates, these comparisons suggest that the pandemic tends to target persons with close-to-average life expectancy for their subgroup.

Figure 2 explores how decedents' life expectancy in the individualized risk analysis compares with mean life expectancy for their age-sex-race/ethnicity subgroups. The figure plots the probability distribution of the difference between projected life expectancy (assuming 0 excess pandemic deaths) and the CDC-estimated average life expectancy for the relevant subgroup. Positive differences occur for healthier individuals predicted to die of pandemic-related causes despite longer-than-average life expectancy for their subgroup. Most decedents under our analysis had below-average life expectancy for their subgroup (median difference, -1.63 years), but 37.6% (95% UI, 36.6% to 38.6%) had a projected life expectancy equal to or exceeding their subgroup's average. In separate analyses of age, sex, and race/ethnicity subgroups, the fraction of decedents with average or above-average life expectancy ranged from 27.7% (95% UI, 25.9% to 29.5%) (non-White women aged ≥65 years) to 46.3% (95% UI, 44.4% to 48.2%) (White men aged 25 to 64 years) (Figure 5 of Supplement 1).

Disparities in QALYs Lost

Figure 3 reports QALYs lost per 10 000 persons for groups with various comorbid conditions. These estimates do not control for other risk factors and represent the unconditional average risk faced by persons with the relevant comorbid condition. Nursing home residence and dementia are the 2 biggest risk factors, with mortality burdens ranging from 897 to 1371 QALYs lost per 10 000 persons. For adults aged 65 years or older, the next 2 largest risk factors are history of stroke (752

This figure reports the distribution of the difference between the life expectancy of individuals dying in the individualized risk analysis (in the absence of any excess pandemic mortality) and the simulated decedent's age-, sex-, and race/ethnicity-adjusted life expectancy as reported in the 2018 period life table from the CDC. Anx-axis value of 0 indicates that the decedent's predicted YLLs, which are estimated by microsimulation under the individualized risk analysis, equals the average CDC life expectancy in their subgroup defined by age, sex, and race/ethnicity. Values greater than 0 correspond to decedents with YLLs above the average CDC life expectancy for their subgroup, whereas values less than 0 correspond to individuals with YLLs below the average CDC life expectancy. The dashed vertical lines report the median, 25th percentile, and 75th percentile of the distribution; 95% UIs for those 3 estimates are given in parentheses in the figure labels. The fraction of decedents with YLLs equal to or exceeding the CDC life expectancy for their subgroup-i.e., with anx-axis value equal to or exceeding 0-is 0.376 (95% UI, 0.366 to 0.386). Across all decedents, the mean difference between their estimated YLLs and their subgroup's CDC life expectancy is -1.81 y (95% UI, -2.00 to -1.62 y) (see text for median). CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; UI = uncertainty interval; YLL = year of life lost.

QALYs lost [95% UI, 720 to 783 QALYs lost] per 10 000) and BMI greater than 40 kg/m² (733 QALYs lost [95% UI, 674 to 792 QALYs lost] per 10 000). For adults aged 25 to 64 years, the next 2 largest risk factors are history of stroke (378 QALYs lost [95% UI, 341 to 415 QALYs lost] per 10 000) and diabetes (361 QALYs lost [95% UI, 346 to 376 QALYs lost] per 10 000) (Figures 6 and 7 of **Supplement** 1 provide average risk and frailty-based risk analyses).

Table 1 reports QALYs lost per 10 000 persons for adults aged 65 years or older and those aged 25 to 64 years, by sex and race/ethnicity. Compared with similarly aged White populations, the Black and Hispanic populations aged 65 years or older lost about twice as many QALYs per person and those aged 25 to 64 years lost about 3 times as many (Figure 8 of **Supplement** 1). Hispanic men aged 65 years or older lost 1371 QALYs (95% UI, 1295 to 1447 QALYs) per 10000, and Black men aged 65 years or older lost 1138 QALYs (95% UI,

Figure 3. Number of QALYs lost per 10 000 persons, by age group and comorbidity.

This figure reports the number of QALYs lost from the COVID-19 pandemic among U.S. adults aged 25-64 y and \geq 65 y, by comorbidity, over the time period 22 March 2020 through 13 March 2021. 95% UIs are given in parentheses. The estimates are produced by the microsimulation model's individualized risk analysis, which assumes that all COVID-19 excess deaths reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention are distributed within 5y age, sex, and race/ethnicity groups in proportion to estimated COVID-19 mortality odds ratios for different comorbid conditions. Estimates for dementia and living in a nursing home pertain only to ages \geq 55 y. Non-COVID-19-related excess deaths are assumed to occur on the basis of the (pre-COVID-19) mortality probabilities projected by the microsimulation. BMI = body mass index; QALY = quality-adjusted life-year; UI = uncertainty interval.

1088 to 1189 QALYs) per 10000. Persons in nursing homes and those with dementia had the largest absolute disparities in QALYs lost per person for the Hispanic and Black populations compared with the White population (Figure 4). We report corresponding estimates for the average risk and frailty-based risk analyses in Figures 9 and 10 of Supplement 1.

DISCUSSION

Our model estimated that the U.S. COVID-19 pandemic resulted in 9.08 million YLLs through 13 March 2021. By comparison, Americans lost an estimated 15.4 million YLLs from cancer and an estimated 14.7 million from cardiovascular diseases in 2019 (33). In approximately 1 year, the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in half the premature mortality caused by all cancer types combined or all cardiovascular diseases combined. Earlier research on the COVID-19 mortality burden has emphasized the much higher death rate among older populations (34, 35). Although excess deaths provide an important measure of mortality burden, calculating YLLs and QALYs lost reveals that the pandemic has also imposed a considerable mortality burden on younger populations. Over our observation window, the pandemic resulted in 4.7 million YLLs for adults aged 25 to 64 years; for comparison, in 2019 adults in this age range lost 6.8 million YLLs, 5.3 million YLLs, 1.9 million YLLs, and 0.61 million YLLs from all cancer types, cardiovascular diseases, self-harm or interpersonal violence, and unintentional injuries, respectively (33). Although the excess mortality rate for the population aged 65 years or older exceeds that of adults aged 25 to 64 years by nearly a factor of 10, this factor falls below 3 when YLLs and QALYs lost are examined.

The number of excess deaths among those aged 25 to 64 years understates their mortality burden relative to those aged 65 years or older because many of those deaths occurred among persons otherwise expected to have near-normal lifespans. Although COVID-19 disproportionately targets those with comorbid conditions, adults aged 25 to 64 years with comorbid conditions still have high life expectancy relative to those aged 65 years or older. The case of obesity, which affects 39.1% of the

Figure 4. Number of QALYs lost per 10 000 persons, by race/ethnicity and comorbidity.

This figure reports the number of QALYs lost from the COVID-19 pandemic among U.S. adults aged ≥ 25 y, by comorbidity and race/ethnicity, over the time period 22 March 2020 through 13 March 2021. 95% UIs are given in parentheses. The estimates are produced by the microsimulation model's individualized risk analysis, which assumes that all COVID-19 excess deaths reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention are distributed within 5-y age, sex, and race/ethnicity groups in proportion to estimated COVID-19 mortality odds ratios for different comorbid conditions. Estimates for dementia and living in a nursing home pertain only to ages ≥ 55 y. Non-COVID-19-related excess deaths are assumed to occur on the basis of the (pre-COVID-19) mortality probabilities projected by the microsimulation. BMI = body mass index; QALY = quality-adjusted life-year; UI = uncertainty interval.

population aged 25 to 64 years, is instructive (Figure 1 of **Supplement** 1). Overall, 40-year-olds expect to live 39 more years on average, and 65-year-olds expect 18 more years. Prior studies suggest that obesity reduces life expectancy at age 40 by 6 to 7 years for nonsmokers (36). Thus, the unexpected death of a 40-year-old nonsmoker with obesity takes away 32 to 33 life-years, about 14 to 15 more than an unexpected death for a typical 65-year-old, and COVID-19 increases the otherwise moderate effect of body weight-related risk factors on lifespan.

Black and Hispanic persons bear a disproportionate share of the mortality burden, despite the former having lower age-adjusted life expectancy than White persons. Hispanic men aged 65 years or older lost 2.5 times more QALYs per capita than similarly aged White men, and Hispanic men aged 25 to 64 years lost 3 times more than similarly aged White men. For both Black and Hispanic men, the number of QALYs lost per capita exceeded those associated with all comorbid conditions except dementia and living in a nursing home.

This study has several limitations. Our results exclude deaths that occurred after 13 March 2021 and thus

morbidity caused by COVID-19 in survivors or for other morbidity effects that may have resulted from the pandemic response. Our analysis relies on recent estimates of the mortality ORs for COVID-19 risk factors from a study of the U.K. population. Validation analyses suggest that these estimates pertain well to the U.S. population, but knowledge about their accuracy and generalizability continues to develop (37). Although our sensitivity analysis suggests that the estimated uncertainty around these variables would not change our qualitative conclusions, major changes could significantly alter our estimates. Our microsimulation's projections of mortality and other health outcomes are based on data from the HRS and PSID. Our data-splitting exercises show that the model's projections perform well before 2020, but it is impossible to know the predictive accuracy of the microsimulation projections for future years. Our estimates are specific to the United States and may not generalize to other countries. However, our methods may be useful to researchers tackling this problem for other countries, provided that data on excess deaths by demographic group and on

underestimate the total mortality burden of the COVID-19

pandemic. We do not account for the effects of possible

health characteristics are available. Similarly, our estimates depend on the set of variants circulating during our analysis window and may not generalize to more recent variants of concern, such as Delta B.1.617.2. Finally, although our analysis documents a disproportionately higher mortality burden for Black and Hispanic men, it cannot determine what underlying societal factors cause these differences.

Measuring the mortality burden of the COVID-19 pandemic in terms of YLLs and QALYs lost provides important insights. The adult population aged 25 to 64 years bears a larger share of the total burden of excess COVID-19 mortality than the population aged 65 years or older, despite the latter incurring a significantly larger total number of deaths. Excess mortality rates among adults aged 25 to 64 years were 9.9 times smaller than for adults aged 65 years or older when measured using deaths per capita but only 2.6 times smaller when measured using QALYs lost per capita. Although persons with comorbid conditions face higher risk for death, our analysis nevertheless estimates that more than one third of decedents would have enjoyed normal or better life expectancy compared with their peers of the same age, sex, and race/ethnicity. Efforts to mitigate pandemic harms should include both young and old and should particularly target Black and Hispanic populations.

From University of Illinois, Champaign, Illinois, and National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts (J.R.); University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California (H.H., B.T.); and University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, and National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts (D.L.).

Acknowledgment: The authors thank Anupam Jena for providing helpful comments and Krishnan Bhaskaran for providing data on COVID-19 mortality risk factors.

Grant Support: By grant 1R01AG062277 from the National Institute on Aging.

Disclosures: Disclosures can be viewed at www.acponline.org /authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=M21-2239.

Reproducible Research Statement: *Study protocol:* Details are available in **Supplements 2** and **4**. *Statistical code:* Available by request (e-mail, heunjohn@usc.edu or btysinge@usc.edu). *Data set:* Available online, as described in **Supplement 1**.

Corresponding Author: Darius Lakdawalla, PhD, Schaeffer Center for Health Policy and Economics, School of Pharmacy, and Sol Price School of Public Policy, University of Southern California, 635 Downey Way, VPD 414K, Los Angeles, CA 90089; e-mail, dlakdawa@usc.edu.

Current author addresses and author contributions are available at Annals.org.

References

1. Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Measuring the global burden of disease. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:448-57. [PMID: 23902484] doi:10.1056 /NEJMra1201534 2. Holford TR, Meza R, Warner KE, et al. Tobacco control and the reduction in smoking-related premature deaths in the United States, 1964-2012. JAMA. 2014;311:164-71. [PMID: 24399555] doi:10.1001 /jama.2013.285112

3. Johansson-Stenman O, Mahmud M, Martinsson P. Saving lives versus life-years in rural Bangladesh: an ethical preferences approach. Health Econ. 2011;20:723-36. [PMID: 20575149] doi:10.1002/hec.1627

4. Wetzler HP, Wetzler EA, Cobb HW. COVID-19: how many years of life lost? medRxiv. Preprint posted online 18 June 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.06.08.20050559

5. **Elledge SJ.** 2.5 million person-years of life have been lost due to COVID-19 in the United States. medRxiv. Preprint posted online 27 October 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.10.18.20214783

6. Quast T, Andel R, Gregory S, et al. Years of life lost associated with COVID-19 deaths in the United States. J Public Health (Oxf). 2020;42:717-722. [PMID: 32894287] doi:10.1093/pubmed /fdaa159

7. Mitra AK, Payton M, Kabir N, et al. Potential years of life lost due to COVID-19 in the United States, Italy, and Germany: an old formula with newer ideas. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17. [PMID: 32570888] doi:10.3390/ijerph17124392

8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Variant proportions. Accessed at https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#variant-proportions on 6 July 2021.

9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Vaccination demographics trends. Accessed at https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#vaccination-demographics-trends on 6 July 2021.

 Bhattacharya J, Cutler DM, Goldman DP, et al. Disability forecasts and future Medicare costs. Front Health Policy Res. 2004;7:75-94. [PMID: 15612336]

11. Dzau VJ, Ginsburg GS, Van Nuys K, et al. Aligning incentives to fulfil the promise of personalised medicine. Lancet. 2015;385:2118-9. [PMID: 25957453] doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60722-X

12. Goldman D, Michaud PC, Lakdawalla D, et al. The fiscal consequences of trends in population health. Natl Tax J. 2010;63: 307-30. doi:10.17310/ntj.2010.2.07

13. Goldman DP, Cutler D, Rowe JW, et al. Substantial health and economic returns from delayed aging may warrant a new focus for medical research. Health Aff (Millwood). 2013;32:1698-705. [PMID: 24101058] doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2013.0052

14. Goldman DP, Lakdawalla DN, Malkin JD, et al. The benefits from giving makers of conventional 'small molecule' drugs longer exclusivity over clinical trial data. Health Aff (Millwood). 2011;30:84-90. [PMID: 21209443] doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2009.1056

15. Goldman DP, Shang B, Bhattacharya J, et al. Consequences of health trends and medical innovation for the future elderly. Health Aff (Millwood). 2005;24 Suppl 2:W5R5-17. [PMID: 16186147]

16. Goldman DP, Zheng Y, Girosi F, et al. The benefits of risk factor prevention in Americans aged 51 years and older. Am J Public Health. 2009;99:2096-101. [PMID: 19762651] doi:10.2105/AJPH .2009.172627

17. Lakdawalla DN, Goldman DP, Michaud PC, et al. U.S. pharmaceutical policy in a global marketplace. Health Aff (Millwood). 2009;28:w138-50. [PMID: 19088101] doi:10.1377/hlthaff.28.1.w138

18. Lakdawalla DN, Goldman DP, Shang B. The health and cost consequences of obesity among the future elderly. Health Aff (Millwood). 2005;24 Suppl 2:W5R30-41. [PMID: 16186149]

19. Leaf DE, Tysinger B, Goldman DP, et al. Predicting quantity and quality of life with the Future Elderly Model. Health Econ. 2020. [PMID: 33026140] doi:10.1002/hec.4169

20. Michaud PC, Goldman DP, Lakdawalla DN, et al. The value of medical and pharmaceutical interventions for reducing obesity. J Health Econ. 2012;31:630-43. [PMID: 22705389] doi:10.1016/j .jhealeco.2012.04.006

21. Panel Study of Income Dynamics. Institute for Social Research; 2021. Accessed at https://psidonline.isr.umich.edu on 5 August 2019.

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

22. Health and Retirement Study. University of Michigan; 2020. Accessed athttps://hrs.isr.umich.edu on 29 August 2019.

23. Social Security Administration. The 2016 Annual Report of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Federal Disability Insurance Trust Funds. US Gov Pr Office; 2016.

24. Watkins DA, Johnson CO, Colquhoun SM, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of rheumatic heart disease, 1990-2015. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:713-722. [PMID: 28834488] doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1603693 25. Attema AE, Brouwer WBF. Constant proportional trade-offs and health state evaluations. In: Michalos AC, ed. Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research. Springer; 2014. doi:10 .1007/978-94-007-0753-5_3839

26. Bhaskaran K, Bacon S, Evans SJ, et al. Factors associated with deaths due to COVID-19 versus other causes: population-based cohort analysis of UK primary care data and linked national death registrations within the OpenSAFELY platform. Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2021;6:100109. [PMID: 33997835] doi:10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100109

27. Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY. Nature. 2020;584:430-436. [PMID: 32640463] doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2521-4

28. Snider JT, Bognar K, Globe D, et al. Identifying patients at risk for high medical costs and good candidates for obesity intervention. Am J Health Promot. 2014;28:218-27. [PMID: 24359175] doi:10.4278 /ajhp.121116-QUAN-561

29. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. COVID-19 nursing home data. Accessed at https://data.cms.gov/Special-Programs-Initiatives-COVID-19-Nursing-Home/COVID-19-Nursing-Home-Dataset /s2uc-8wxp on 26 June 2021.

30. **Tysinger B.** Validating risk factor and chronic disease projections in the Future Adult Model. Int J Microsimul. 2020;13:54-69. doi:10.34196/IJM.00225

31. Arias E, Xu J. United States life tables, 2018. Natl Vital Stat Rep. 2020;69:1-45. [PMID: 33270553]

32. Blaylock B. Essays on the Use of Microsimulation for Health and Economic Policy Analysis. Univ Southern California; 2015.

33. Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019) Results. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation; 2020. Accessed at http://ghdx .healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool on 20 July 2021.

34. Ioannidis JPA, Axfors C, Contopoulos-Ioannidis DG. Populationlevel COVID-19 mortality risk for non-elderly individuals overall and for non-elderly individuals without underlying diseases in pandemic epicenters. Environ Res. 2020;188:109890. [PMID: 32846654] doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.109890

35. Yanez ND, Weiss NS, Romand JA, et al. COVID-19 mortality risk for older men and women. BMC Public Health. 2020;20:1742. [PMID: 33213391] doi:10.1186/s12889-020-09826-8

36. Peeters A, Barendregt JJ, Willekens F, et al; NEDCOM, the Netherlands Epidemiology and Demography Compression of Morbidity Research Group. Obesity in adulthood and its consequences for life expectancy: a life-table analysis. Ann Intern Med. 2003;138:24-32. [PMID: 12513041]

37. Jin J, Agarwala N, Kundu P, et al. Individual and communitylevel risk for COVID-19 mortality in the United States. Nat Med. 2021;27:264-269. [PMID: 33311702] doi:10.1038/s41591-020-01191-8 **Current Author Addresses:** Dr. Reif: Department of Finance, Gies College of Business, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 515 East Gregory Street, Champaign, IL 61820.

Dr. Heun-Johnson, PhD: Schaeffer Center for Health Policy and Economics, Sol Price School of Public Policy, University of Southern California, 635 Downey Way, VPD 312, Los Angeles, CA 90089.

Dr. Tysinger, PhD: Schaeffer Center for Health Policy and Economics, Sol Price School of Public Policy, University of Southern California, 635 Downey Way, VPD 514K, Los Angeles, CA 90089.

Dr. Lakdawalla: Schaeffer Center for Health Policy and Economics, School of Pharmacy, and Sol Price School of Public Policy, University of Southern California, 635 Downey Way, VPD 414K, Los Angeles, CA 90089. Author Contributions: Conception and design: J. Reif, H. Heun-Johnson, B. Tysinger, D. Lakdawalla.

Analysis and interpretation of the data: J. Reif, H. Heun-Johnson, B. Tysinger, D. Lakdawalla.

Drafting of the article: J. Reif, H. Heun-Johnson, B. Tysinger, D. Lakdawalla.

Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: J. Reif, H. Heun-Johnson, B. Tysinger, D. Lakdawalla.

Final approval of the article: J. Reif, H. Heun-Johnson, B. Tysinger, D. Lakdawalla.

Statistical expertise: J. Reif, H. Heun-Johnson, B. Tysinger, D. Lakdawalla.

Obtaining of funding: D. Lakdawalla.

Administrative, technical, or logistic support: J. Reif, H. Heun-Johnson, B. Tysinger.

Collection and assembly of data: J. Reif, H. Heun-Johnson, B. Tysinger.