

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/radcr

Case Report

Magnetic resonance enterography appraisal of lupus enteritis: A case report

Giuseppe Cicero, MD*, Alfredo Blandino, MD, Tommaso D'Angelo, MD,
Antonio Bottari, MD, Marco Cavallaro, MD, Giorgio Ascenti, MD, Silvio Mazziotti, MD

Section of Radiological Sciences, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Morphological and Functional Imaging,
University of Messina, Policlinico "G. Martino" Via Consolare Valeria, 1, Messina 98100, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 March 2018

Revised 8 June 2018

Accepted 12 June 2018

Available online 11 July 2018

Keywords:

Magnetic resonance enterography

Lupus enteritis

ABSTRACT

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic autoimmune disease with a multisystemic involvement. Usually, radiological imaging does not play a central role in evaluating SLE patients, although it may be helpful in assessing complications, allowing a more accurate evaluation of the patient. Lupus enteritis is one of the most common and potentially lethal manifestations of the gastrointestinal involvement of SLE. Among the imaging modalities, computed tomography scan is now considered the gold standard in evaluating lupus enteritis, although it is impaired by the radiation exposure. On the other hand, during the last decade magnetic resonance enterography has achieved a remarkable importance in evaluating small bowel lesions in patients affected by Crohn's disease. We describe the first case report of lupus enteritis evaluated with magnetic resonance enterography, putting forward the proposal of a reliable and radiation-free alternative to computed tomography scan in evaluating the intestinal involvement of SLE.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license.

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic multisystemic autoimmune disease whose specific etiology still remains unknown [1,2].

A genetic predisposition and some environmental risk factors contribute to its onset, leading to an altered immune response consisting in hyperactivation of T and B lymphocytes, loss of self-tolerance, and formation of circulating pathogenic

immune complexes, with their consequent deposition and damage of several organs [1,2].

The overall incidence rates for SLE are approximately 0.3–23.7 per 100,000 person-years, with a prevalence that range from 6.5 to 178.0 per 100,000 and a female–male ratio close to 9:1 [2,3].

Although the diagnosis and the evaluation of the disease as a whole are strictly clinical, the assessment and the follow-up of some complications may require the usefulness of radiological imaging.

In particular, the gastrointestinal involvement of SLE is a potentially severe complication of SLE [4], with an incidence that range from 5.4% to 40% of the patients [5,6]; among its possible clinical manifestations, one of the most common

* Competing Interests: None.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: gcicero87@gmail.com (G. Cicero).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radcr.2018.06.008>

1930-0433/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

is lupus enteritis, an immunocomplex-mediated vascular inflammation that may lead to the necrosis of the vessel walls [5,7].

According to the definition given by the British Isles Lupus Assessment Group disease activity index, lupus enteritis is intended as a “gastrointestinal SLE involvement as either vasculitis or inflammation of the small bowel, with supportive imaging and/or biopsy findings” [8]. However, in literature, lupus enteritis and lupus vasculitis are often used as synonyms, together with other denominations, such as mesenteric arteritis, lupus arteritis, gastrointestinal vasculitis, intra-abdominal vasculitis, and acute gastrointestinal syndrome [5,9,10].

Up to now, all the different imaging modalities have not shown pathognomonic signs related to lupus enteritis, including computed tomography (CT) scan, that is considered the gold standard investigation in spite of the radiation exposure.

Magnetic resonance enterography (MRE) is a radiation-safe, full comprehensive examination usually indicated for patients affected by Crohn’s disease (CD).

However, considering the increasingly importance that this technique has achieved during the last years in evaluating small bowel lesions, it is possible to consider new frontiers of its performing.

To our knowledge, we describe the first case report of gastrointestinal involvement of SLE evaluated with MRE.

Case report

We describe the case of a 22-year-old woman affected by SLE who had been hospitalized twice, in 2 different hospitals, due to gastrointestinal symptoms.

The first time, an abdominal x-ray plain radiograph and a CT scan were obtained, showing some gas–fluid levels within the ileal loops, whose walls were also thickened and with a layered aspect; some centimetric lymph nodes were also visible in the mesenteric fat, and perihepatic and perisplenic fluid collections were seen.

A biopsy through a colonoscopic exam was also performed, which showed mucosal ulcerative lesions in the terminal ileum with cellular infiltration and hemorrhage foci within the underlying layers of the intestinal wall, allowing the diagnosis of lupus enteritis.

Moreover, a US examination of both kidneys and an ultrasound-guided biopsy of the lower pole of the left kidney were already performed, demonstrating a renal histology of class IV lupus nephritis.

The patient was discharged after the prescription of steroids and immunosuppressive therapy.

However, the immunosuppressive therapy was later suspended due to the onset of a marked neutropenia.

After 10 months from the last hospitalization, the patient came to the Emergency Room of our hospital due to the recrudescence of the abdominal symptoms and the occurrence of vasculitic urticaria with angioedema of the right eye and the superior lip.

Laboratory tests showed active renal disease, with increased proteinuria (3040, 70 mg/24 h), low complement fraction C3 (61, 9 mg/dL), low C4 (5.29 mg/dL), increased PCR (31,

54 mg/L), high velocity di eritrosedimentazione (VES) value (40 mm/h), positive elevated anti-ds-DNA antibodies (123, 60 IU/mL), positive antinuclear antibody at 1:1600, positive anti-Ro antibodies, and a normal lymphocyte count with lower CD4+ and/or CD8+ ratio.

In order to assess the current status of the intestinal involvement and in accordance with the clinicians, it was decided to perform an MRE, with the principal aim of sparing the patient another amount of radiations.

MRE requires the oral administration of approximately 1500 mL of polyethylene glycol-water solution, starting 45 minutes before the beginning of the exam.

After the patient was placed in supine position inside the scanner, coronal thick-section T2-weighted rapid acquisition with relaxation enhancement (RARE) acquisition, axial and coronal T2-weighted true fast imaging with steady-state precession (repetition time/echo time: 4.20/2.10 ms, flip angle (FA): 60°), and half-Fourier acquisition single-shot turbo spin echo (repetition time/echo time: ∞/80 ms) with and without fat suppression were performed, together with diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) sequences, obtained on the axial plane using a diffusion factor *b* fixed at 0, 400, and 800 s/mm².

Coronal precontrast ultrafast 3D T1-weighted gradient-echo fat-suppressed and ultrafast axial 3D T1-weighted gradient-echo fat-suppressed images obtained after injection of gadoterate meglumine (Dotarem) at a dose of 0.2 mL/kg body weight were acquired at 30, 60, and 180 seconds, followed by a bolus of 30 mL of normal saline.

The exam allowed to detect a mild thickening (5 mm) of several ileal loops, whose total extension, measured with digital calipers from the ileocecal valve, amounted to 38 cm.

Moreover, the “thumb printing sign,” usually related to ischemic condition, was clearly detectable on T2-weighted thick-section RARE images. A moderate amount of free fluid was also seen within the abdominal cavity (Fig. 1).

Diffusion-weighted and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) calculation did not show water restriction, whereas contrast-enhanced sequences demonstrated a mild enhancement of the thickened small bowel walls (Fig. 2).

The patient was treated with steroids (intravenous administration of methylprednisolone, 1 g/day for 3 days) and monoclonal antibodies (intravenous administration of rituximab, 1g/day).

After the relief of the abdominal and cutaneous symptoms and the prescription of the steroid therapy, the patient was discharged.

Discussion

Although several manifestations of the gastrointestinal tract involvement can be recognized in SLE patients (eg, protein-losing enteropathy, intestinal pseudo-obstruction, eosinophilic enteritis, etc.) [7], lupus enteritis remains one of the most common, affecting up to 53% of the patients presenting abdominal pain [4,11].

Inflammatory enteritis is consequent to the deposition of circulant pathologic immunocomplex and thrombosis of the intestinal vessels [12]; its prevalence ranges from 0.2% to 53%




Fig. 1 – Coronal T2-weighted half-Fourier acquisition single-shot turbo-spin-echo scan (a) showing a mild thickening of a distal ileal loop (*black arrowheads*) and free intraperitoneal fluid (*asterisk*). The “thumb printing sign” (*arrows*) is also easily appreciable on coronal T2-weighted thick-section RARE image (b).




Fig. 2 – Coronal T1-weighted T1 high resolution isotropic volume excitation (THRIVE) with fat saturation (FS) after Gd injection sequences (a) demonstrates mild enhancement of the small bowel thickened walls (*arrows*). Axial diffusion-weighted acquisition (b) and gray-scale ADC image (c) does not show water restriction of the same pathologic loop (*arrows*). S, sigma.

of SLE patients, although it is clinically significant in only 2% of them [13].

Usually, the most affected tract of the gut is the one supplied by the superior mesenteric artery [6,14], in particular jejunum and ileum [5].

A wide spectrum of generic symptoms can be related to this condition, including abdominal pain, fever, vomiting, anorexia, diarrhea, pancreatitis, besides some coexisting typical signs of SLE, such as malar rash or arthritis [12,13,15].

However, a rapid diagnosis may lead to a timely therapeutic approach: steroids are usually sufficient, otherwise immunosuppressive treatment can be chosen for more severe cases [5].

Although the definite diagnosis and the evaluation of the disease entirely remain in the hands of the clinicians, radiological imaging can provide a useful support in the assessment and follow-up of this complication.

Nowadays, CT scan is considered the gold standard in imaging evaluation of lupus enteritis, allowing the detection of the typical features of ischemic bowel: focal or diffuse wall thickening, dilatation of the lumen, enhancement of the mucosa and serosa (the so-called “target sign”), engorgement of mesenteric vessels (“the comb sign”), and mesenteric fat stranding [5,6,12,13,15]. Additional reliefs such as ascites, lymphadenopathies, and genitourinary involvement can also be found [14].

Other imaging modalities can also be used in the evaluation of this condition: abdominal ultrasound can be helpful in recognizing bowel submucosal edema, wall thickening, or ascites [11], whereas double-contrast radiography may show thickening and irregular profile of the loop involved due to hemorrhage and edema (the “thumb printing” sign), suggesting bowel ischemia [6,11,12].

However, all those radiological signs are not specific of lupus enteritis and the differential diagnosis may include pancreatitis, mechanical bowel obstruction, peritonitis, or inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs) [5].

Therefore, endoscopic and histological confirmations are required in order to get the right diagnosis and to exclude other concomitant diseases, although very rare, such as IBD [1,13].

During the last years, MRE has already been included in the evaluation protocol of CD patients, due to its accuracy in the appraisal of small bowel lesions and the lack of radiation exposure and invasiveness [16,17].

In the case described, the patient had already got a histological diagnosis of lupus enteritis, which was assessed by CT scan during her previous hospitalization.

In order to re-evaluate the intestinal involvement with the purpose of sparing her from another amount of radiations, it was decided to perform an MRE.

This imaging modality allowed us the detection of some findings usually associated with lupus enteritis, such as a wall thickening of the last ileal loop, with a concomitant indentation of the mucosal and serosal sides, referable to edema and/or hemorrhage of the submucosal layer, and a moderate amount of fluid collection within the abdominal cavity.

Although it is well established that both small bowel ischemia and acute inflammatory conditions (eg, IBDs) are strictly related to hyperintensity on DWI and contrast-

enhanced images, in our case the small bowel walls involved showed only a mild contrast enhancement and no significant water restriction.

The most reasonable hypothesis that could explain this scenario would be a fibrotic progression of the small bowel walls involved. As already described for IBDs, intestinal fibrosis is typically characterized by hypointensity on DWI and by a delayed hyperenhancement after contrast medium injection [18,19], whose detection would have needed at least an additional later acquisition. However, beyond these discrepancies that should be deepened with further studies, in our opinion MRE has the potential to play a central role in evaluating the intestinal involvement of SLE.

In fact, for different reasons, the imaging evaluation of the small bowel has always been problematic due to the radiation exposure (CT scan), the potentially nonexhaustive evaluation (fluoroscopy), or the healthcare costs (videocapsule endoscopy).

Through the ingestion of the water solution of polyethylene glycol (PEG), MRE permits to distend the intestinal loops, leading to an accurate evaluation of their wall.

The main advantages of this technique consist in the comprehensive evaluation of the whole abdominal cavity, including the possibility of detecting extraintestinal findings, and in its safeness, which consent to reperform the exam after short periods of time or even after few minutes (ie, if the intestinal loops are not well dilated) [20].

Of course, MRE is also impaired by some limitations, such as the scanning time (about 20-30 minutes), the expertise of the radiologist and the compliance of the patient in assuming the oral contrast medium.

Therefore, CT scan is still considered the imaging modality of choice in evaluation of acute onset of this condition, due to its widespread availability, the faster scanning time, and the optimal image quality even in presence of intraluminal gas, which could indeed produce susceptibility artifacts on the MRE images.

Moreover, MRE, as well as the other imaging modalities, could not establish a confident identification of lupus enteritis, which has to be diagnosed clinically and eventually with endoscopy.

However, on the basis of the lack of radiation and large amount of information achievable with this exam, the benefits–costs ratio seems to incline toward the MRE technique.

Obviously, further studies have to be performed in this way to improve the current knowledge and to extend the outreach of this technique outside the IBD borders.

Conclusion

We described the first case of lupus intestinal enteritis evaluated with MRE, an imaging modality now mainly performed in patients affected by CD. As well as the other imaging modalities, MRE cannot allow making a definite diagnosis but, in comparison with them, its use is encouraged by some advantages, such as the lack of radiation and the huge amount of information achievable.

Probably the real potential of MRE in evaluating the small bowel is still not entirely known and further improvement in this sense could bring future benefits on patient care, in terms of completeness of disease assessment and sparing of radiation exposure.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kirby JM, Jhaveri KS, Maizlin ZV, Midia M, Haider E, Khalili K. Abdominal manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus: spectrum of imaging findings. *Can Assoc Radiol J* 2009;60(3):121–32.
- [2] Weckerle CE, Niewold TB. The unexplained female predominance of systemic lupus erythematosus: clues from genetic and cytokine studies. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* 2011;40(1):42–9.
- [3] Pons-Estel GJ, Ugarte-Gil MF, Alarcón GS. Epidemiology of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 2017;13(8):799–814.
- [4] Fortuna G, Brennan MT. Systemic lupus erythematosus: epidemiology, pathophysiology, manifestations, and management. *Dent Clin North Am* 2013;57(4):631–55.
- [5] Janssens P, Arnaud L, Galicier L, Mathian A, Hie M, Sene D, et al. Lupus enteritis: from clinical findings to therapeutic management. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2013;8:67.
- [6] Goh YP, Naidoo P, Ngian GS. Imaging of systemic lupus erythematosus. Part II: gastrointestinal, renal, and musculoskeletal manifestations. *Clin Radiol* 2013;68(2):192–202.
- [7] Barile-Fabris L, Hernández-Cabrera MF, Barragan-Garfias JA. Vasculitis in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2014;16(9):440.
- [8] Isenberg DA, Rahman A, Allen E, Farewell V, Akil M, Bruce IN, et al. BILAG 2004. Development and initial validation of an updated version of the British Isles Lupus Assessment Group's disease activity index for patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2005;44(7):902–6.
- [9] Yuan S, Ye Y, Chen D, Qiu Q, Zhan Z, Lian F, et al. Lupus mesenteric vasculitis: clinical features and associated factors for the recurrence and prognosis of disease. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2014;43(6):759–66.
- [10] Brewer BN, Kamen DL. Gastrointestinal and hepatic disease in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2018;44(1):165–75.
- [11] Ebert EC, Hagspiel KD. Gastrointestinal and hepatic manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2011;45(5):436–41.
- [12] Tian X-P, Zhang X. Gastrointestinal involvement in systemic lupus erythematosus: insight into pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment. *World J Gastroenterol* 2010;16(24):2971–7.
- [13] Katsanos KH, Voulgari PV, Tsianos EV. Inflammatory bowel disease and lupus: a systematic review of the literature. *J Crohns Colitis* 2012;6(7):735–42.
- [14] Lalani TA, Kanne JP, Hatfield GA, Chen P. Imaging findings in systemic lupus erythematosus. *RadioGraphics* 2004;24(4):1069–86.
- [15] Ha HK, Lee SH, Rha SE, Kim JH, Byun JY, Lim HK, et al. Radiologic features of vasculitis involving the gastrointestinal tract. *RadioGraphics* 2000;20(3):779–94.
- [16] Mazziotti S, Ascenti G, Scribano E, Gaeta M, Pandolfo A, Bombaci F, et al. Guide to magnetic resonance in Crohn's disease: from common findings to the more rare complicances. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2011;17(5):1209–22.
- [17] Mazziotti S, Blandino A, Scribano E, Gaeta M, Mileto A, Fries W, et al. MR enterography findings in abdominopelvic extraintestinal complications of Crohn's disease. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2013;37(5):1055–63.
- [18] Rimola J, Planell N, Rodríguez S, Delgado S, Ordás I, Ramírez-Morros A, et al. Characterization of inflammation and fibrosis in Crohn's disease lesions by magnetic resonance imaging. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2015;110(3):432–40.
- [19] Kaushal P, Somwaru AS, Charabaty A, Levy AD. MR enterography of inflammatory bowel disease with endoscopic correlation. *RadioGraphics* 2017;37(1):116–31.
- [20] Mazziotti S, Blandino A. MR enterography. 1st ed. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2014.