

# The Combination of Red and Blue Light, Radiofrequency and Intense Pulsed Light for the Treatment of Facial Postacne Erythema [Letter]

Jia-Yu Zhang , Bao-Hua Xu

China-Japan Friendship Hospital (Institute of Clinical Medical Sciences), Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Bao-Hua Xu, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, 2 Cherry Blossom Garden East Street, Chaoyang District, Beijing, 100029, People's Republic of China, Email drbaohuaxu@163.com

## Dear editor

We read with great interest the article entitled “The Combination of Red and Blue Light, Radiofrequency and Intense Pulsed Light for the Treatment of Facial Postacne Erythema” by Liang et al.<sup>1</sup> The authors conducted a study to evaluate the efficacy of a combination therapy of LED red and blue light, radiofrequency (RF) and intense pulsed light (IPL) for the treatment of postacne erythema. We congratulate Dr. Liang on the results. However, from the perspective of data interpretation and extrapolation, we have several concerns.

First, as most studies dealing with this topic did run control groups simultaneously, we would like to know if there was any type of control group to compare safety and efficacy, such as RF or IPL applied alone. The author mentions some articles, most of which reported comparative outcomes for at least two groups.<sup>2,3</sup> In addition, we note that the treatment outcomes in this study relied primarily on subjective reports from researchers and patients rather than objective indicators. Therefore, if there was no control group in this study, the claim that combination therapy is more effective than other treatments reported for facial postacne erythema is not rigorous.

Second, in this article, the total effective rate of treatment was analyzed and utilized as the primary measurement of outcome. Although the clinical endpoint is hard to define, we think other indicators, such as self-evaluated patient satisfaction, are also very important for evaluating the therapy.

Third, we believe that patient photos should be taken according to the same standard procedures. However, from the photographs shown by the author, we found that there were nonnegligible changes in angle and ambient light when the author photographed the patient, which may lead to potential deviations. In addition, we think it is better to state whether the patient received other skin treatments during the entire treatment and follow-up period to remove the confounding in this factor, thus making the result more convincing.

Finally, all patients in this study received a uniform management procedure from a single medical institution. While this helped control for operator bias, it also limited the generalization of the results to more institutions.

Despite these concerns, we thank the authors for their efforts and contributions in the treatment of facial postacne erythema, especially for this innovative combined therapy. Since the advantages of RF and IPL are becoming more and more clear, we hope to see long-term comparative studies with larger sample sizes of these therapies in the future.

## Disclosure

The authors have no competing interest to declare in relation to the content of this communication.

## References

1. Liang Y, Li L. The combination of red and blue light, radiofrequency and intense pulsed light for the treatment of facial postacne erythema. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol*. 2022;15:2383–2389. doi:10.2147/ccid.s389705
2. Choi MS, Yun SJ, Beom HJ, Park HR, Lee JB. Comparative study of the bactericidal effects of 5-aminolevulinic acid with blue and red light on *Propionibacterium acnes*. *J Dermatol*. 2011;38(7):661–666. doi:10.1111/j.1346-8138.2010.01094.x
3. Chilicka K, Rogowska AM, Szygula R, Rusztowicz M, Nowicka D. Efficacy of oxyabrasion in the treatment of acne vulgaris: a preliminary report. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11:13.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology

Dovepress

**Publish your work in this journal**

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal that focuses on the latest clinical and experimental research in all aspects of skin disease and cosmetic interventions. This journal is indexed on CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-cosmetic-and-investigational-dermatology-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/CCID.S396962>