

Article



Design and Characterization of Electrochemical Sensor for the Determination of Mercury(II) Ion in Real Samples Based upon a New Schiff Base Derivative as an Ionophore

Salman S. Alharthi ^{1,*}, Ahmed M. Fallatah ¹ and Hamed M. Al-Saidi ²

- ¹ Department of Chemistry, College of Science, Taif University, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia; a.fallatah@tu.edu.sa
- ² Department of Chemistry, University College in Al–Jamoum, Umm Al–Qura University, Makkah 21955, Saudi Arabia; hmsaidi@uqu.edu.sa
- Correspondence: s.a.alharthi@tu.edu.sa

Abstract: The present paper provides a description of the design, characterization, and use of a Hg^{2+} selective electrode (Hg^{2+} -SE) for the determination of Hg^{2+} at ultra-traces levels in a variety of real samples. The ionophore in the proposed electrode is a new Schiff base, namely 4-bromo-2-[(4methoxyphenylimino)methyl]phenol (BMPMP). All factors affecting electrode response including polymeric membrane composition, concentration of internal solution, pH sample solution, and response time were optimized. The optimum response of our electrode was obtained with the following polymeric membrane composition (% w/w): PVC, 32; o-NPOE, 64.5; BMPMP, 2 and NaTPB, 1.5. The potentiometric response of Hg²⁺–SE towards Hg²⁺ ion was linear in the wide range of concentrations $(9.33 \times 10^{-8} - 3.98 \times 10^{-3} \text{ molL}^{-1})$, while, the limit of detection of the proposed electrode was 3.98×10^{-8} molL⁻¹ (8.00 µg L⁻¹). The Hg²⁺–SE responds quickly to Hg²⁺ ions as the response time of less than 10 s. On the other hand, the slope value obtained for the developed electrode was 29.74 ± 0.1 mV/decade in the pH range of 2.0–9.0 in good agreement with the Nernstian response (29.50 mV/decade). The Hg²⁺–SE has relatively less interference with other metal ions. The Hg²⁺–SE was used as an indicator electrode in potentiometric titrations to estimate Hg²⁺ ions in waters, compact fluorescent lamp, and dental amalgam alloy and the accuracy of the developed electrode was compared with ICP-OES measurement values. Moreover, the new Schiff base (BMPMP) was synthesized and characterized using ATR-FTIR, elemental analysis, ¹H NMR, and ¹³C NMR. The PVC membranes containing BMPMP as an ionophore unloaded and loaded with Hg(II) are reported by scanning electron microscope images (SEM) along with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) spectra.

Keywords: Schiff base; mercury selective electrode; ionophore; PVC membrane; ISE-Hg

1. Introduction

Mercury exists in nature at trace and ultra-trace levels. However, it is one of the most toxic heavy metals on earth. Among the different valence states, Hg (II) is the most toxic even when present in very trace amounts [1]. Mercury can enter and accumulate in the human body through the food chain causing severe health problems such as vital organ damage, nervous system impairment, kidney failure, and cancer [2–5]. Thus, monitoring trace concentrations of this toxic element has become a vital necessity. Various analytical techniques have been developed and used for the determination of mercury species in different samples. These techniques include spectroscopic measurements in the UV-Vis region [6], cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (CV–AAS) [7], atomic emission spectroscopy (AES) [8], cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry (ICP–MS) [10], X-ray fluorescence [11], ion chromatography [12,13] and electrochemical sensors [14]. Despite the



Citation: Alharthi, S.S.; Fallatah, A.M.; Al-Saidi, H.M. Design and Characterization of Electrochemical Sensor for the Determination of Mercury(II) Ion in Real Samples Based upon a New Schiff Base Derivative as an Ionophore. *Sensors* 2021, 21, 3020. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/s21093020

Academic Editor: Kagan Kerman

Received: 25 March 2021 Accepted: 20 April 2021 Published: 25 April 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). fact that these techniques have high sensitivity and accuracy, they have some disadvantages in terms of high costs, maintenance, and complicated data analysis. Furthermore, highly trained skilled technicians are needed for data interpretation and operation [15]. As a result, developing a simple, fast, low-cost, accurate, sensitive, and selective analytical technique is necessary. Since it is relatively inexpensive, simple to operate, and provides a real-time measurement, the ion-selective electrode (ISE) is one of the most popular electroanalytical techniques used to determine the concentration of a wide variety of metal ions in various samples such as food, soil, and waters. Therefore, ISE can monitor the change of activity of ion with time [16–18].

Many organic and inorganic compounds have been documented in the literature as ionophores for the synthesis of ion selective electrodes for the determination of Hg²⁺ ion in various samples over the last three decades. Crown ether derivatives [19,20], polyvinyl pyridine [21], calix[4]pyrrole amide derivative [22], calixarene derivatives [23–28], thiol functionalized ionic liquid [29], amines [30,31], thioureas [25,32], and dithio derivatives [33,34] have all been used as ionophores for designing ISEs of Hg²⁺ ions. It's worth mentioning that, some ionic liquids, e.g., 1-n-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (BMIMBF₄) [35], polypyrrole (PPy) [36], O,O'-(2,2'-biphenylene)dithiophosphatepentyl (PenDTF) [37], 1-methyl-2-butylthioimidazolium combined with bis(trifluoromethanesulphonyl) imide [29], dimethylglyoxime [38], and 2-mercaptobenzimidazol (2MBI) [39] are widely employed as ionophores in ISEs of Hg(II) ions.

It is well known that Schiff bases can form stable complexes with most transition metal ions including mercury (II) ions [40,41]. Thus, many of these bases were prepared and used as ionophores for developing ISEs of mercury (II) [42–46]. Due to the good sensitivity of the selective electrodes dependent on Schiff bases, the present work will aim to prepare a new Schiff base and its use as a neutral ionophore for construction of Hg^{2+} selective electrode. Electrode membrane containing a new Schiff base will be characterized before and after loading Hg^{2+} ions using SEM micrographs and EDX spectra. The developed electrode will use for the determination of mercury in some real samples.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents and Chemicals

All reagents and chemicals used in this work were of analytical grade and used without further purification. Bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde, 4-methoxyphenyl amine, *o*-nitro-phenyloctylether, sodium tetraphenylborate, high molecular weight polyvinyl chloride (PVC), and metal salts (as nitrates) were purchased from MilliporeSigma (Saint Louis, MO, USA). and used with no further purification. Organic solvents were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Hampton, NH, USA). All solutions were prepared using deionized water.

2.2. Instrumentation

A Perkin Elmer analyzer model 2400 (Waltham, MA, USA) was used for determining the elemental compositions of the BMPMP ligand. A ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectrum of the BMPMP ionophore was obtained in DMSO-d₆ solvent using Bruker FT-NMR spectrometer (model DRX-500, Billerica, MA, USA). ATR-FTIR spectra of membrane used in Hg²⁺–SE was recorded in the range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹ using JASCO 4600 FT-IR spectrometer (Tokyo, Japan). Samples were directly introduced using the unit of the attenuated total reflectance model ATR PRO ONE Single-Reflection. Morphological studies and elemental distributions on the synthesized electrode surface were investigated using a JEOL JEM-6390 scanning electron microscope combined with a unit of energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (Peabody, MA, USA). Determination of concentration of mercury in aqueous solutions was carried out by Perkin Elmer ICP–OES spectrometry model Optima 2100 DV (Waltham, MA, USA). Acidic reaction (pH) measurements were performed using an advanced bench pH meter (model 3510, Jenway, Staffordshire, UK). pH–meter was calibrated by three different buffer solutions (pH 4.01-AD7004, pH 7.01-AD7007, and pH 10.01-AD7010). Potentiometric measurements (EMF, mV) of the designed Hg²⁺– SE were recorded under different conditions using a silver/silver chloride with Flexible Connector (MF-2052, RE-5B) filled with sodium chloride solution (3 molL⁻¹) as an external reference electrode. The electrochemical cell used for carrying out potentiometric measurements consists of Ag(s), AgCl(s)/internal solution ($1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ molL}^{-1} \text{ Hg}^{2+}$ ion in 0.1 molL⁻¹ KCl)/ISE membrane/sample solution/pH glass electrode.

2.3. Synthesis of (4-Bromo-2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl-Imino)Methyl]Phenol, BMPMP

4-Bromo-2-[(4-methoxyphenylimino)methyl]phenol was synthesized according to the methodology reported in the literature [47]. A mixture of 4-methoxyphenyl amine (25 mmol) and 5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (25 mmol) was refluxed in methanol (50 mL) for 3 h at 70 °C. The precipitate was filtered, washed, and recrystallized by a mixture of methanol and diethyl ether. Yield, 0.259 g (71.7%), m.p.: 150 °C. MS: m/z 306.58 [M]⁺. Elemental analysis was carried out in duplicates; (CHN) for C₁₄H₁₂O₂NBr (MW. 306.16): Calculated (C%, 54.92; H%, 3.95; N%, 4.57). Found (C%, 54.65; H%, 3.76; N%, 4.48). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 2.60 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.55–7.98 (m, 7H, aromatic H), 9.05 (s, 1H, CH = N), 14.22 (s, 1H, OH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 500 Mz): δ = 67.00 (COCH₃), 109.6 (Br-C), 112.4 (CHCHCOCH₃), 119.1 (CHCHCOH), 121.6 (CHCCNC), 124.6 (NCCH), 129.7 (BrCHC), 133.7 (BrCHCH), 140.5 (NCCH), 157.1 (CHCOH), 159.8 (COCH₃), 163.9 (CC = N) [supplementary file]. Scheme 1 shows the protocol of BMPMP synthesis.

0.11

$$H_2N$$
 OCH_3 $+$ H_2N OCH_3 $+$ H_2N OCH_3 $+$ H_2N H_2N

Scheme 1. Synthetic protocol of (4-bromo-2-[(4-methoxyphenylimino)methyl]phenol, BMPMP.

2.4. Construction of Membrane Electrode

Nine membranes were prepared using the technique described in the literature, with different concentrations of polymer (PVC), ionophore (BMPMP), ionic additive (NaTPB), and plasticizer (o-NPOE). [42]. A mixture of previous components with various percentages shown in Table 1 was dissolved in 6 mL tetrahydrofuran (THF) with shaking for 5 min. The solution was transferred into a petri dish and left at room temperature $(25 \pm 2 \,^{\circ}C)$ until solvent was evaporated. Thereafter, a tube with a diameter of 15 mm was immersed into the previous mixture for about 10 s to obtain a transparent membrane with the aid of an adhesive solution prepared by dissolving PVC in THF. After 24 h, the tube was separated from the mixture and filled with an internal solution of saturated KCl containing Hg(NO₃)₂ (1 × 10⁻³ molL⁻¹). The internal reference electrode was Ag/AgCl electrode. The membranes were conditioned overnight in a solution of Hg(NO₃)₂ with a concentration of 110⁻² molL⁻¹.

Table 1. Optimization of membrane composition of the proposed Hg²⁺-ISE based on the BMPMP ionophore.

	I	Membrane Composition (%)			<u>Clama</u>	DL b	r n h	ртþ
ISE No.	BMPMP	PVC	Plasticizer o-NPOE	Additive NaTPB	(mV/Decade) ^a	$(\text{mol}.\text{L}^{-1})$	$(mol.L^{-1})$	(s)
1	0	32	68	0	0.61 ± 0.2	-	-	-
2	0	32	67	1	4.30 ± 0.2	-	-	-
3	1	32	67	0	17.07 ± 0.2	$1.78 imes 10^{-6}$	$3.16 imes 10^{-6}$ – $5.62 imes 10^{-4}$	20
4	1	32	66	1	22.62 ± 0.2	$3.16 imes 10^{-5}$	$4.47 imes 10^{-5}$ - $3.16 imes 10^{-3}$	10-15
5	1.5	32	66	0.5	25.92 ± 0.2	$1.58 imes10^{-6}$	$2.51 imes 10^{-6}$ - $1.0 imes 10^{-3}$	10-15
6	1.5	32	65	1.5	27.78 ± 0.2	$1.58 imes10^{-7}$	$6.31 imes 10^{-7}$ -7.08 $ imes 10^{-3}$	>10
7	2	32	64.5	1.5	29.74 ± 0.2	$3.98 imes10^{-8}$	$9.33 imes 10^{-8}$ – $3.98 imes 10^{-3}$	<10
8	2	33	63.5	1.5	34.41 ± 0.2	1.58×10^{-7}	$2.82 imes 10^{-7}$ - $1.00 imes 10^{-3}$	10-15
9	2	34	62.5	1.5	32.62 ± 0.2	$1.26 imes 10^{-5}$	3.16×10^{-5} – 7.94×10^{-3}	10-15

^a All slope values reported represent the mean and \pm SD of three measurements. ^b D.L., L.R., and R.T. denote the lower detection limit, linear working range and response time respectively. The results are based on three replicate measurements.

2.5. Potentiometric Measurements

Potentiometric measurements were performed on the engineered PVC membranes, with the prepared Hg²⁺ selective electrode and reference electrode inserted in 50 mL of Hg(NO₃)₂ solution at concentration levels of 1.00×10^{-2} to 1.00×10^{-8} molL⁻¹ before the potential reading became stable. All measurements were carried out at 25 ± 2 °C and pH 6 with magnetic stirring. The potential of the electrochemical cell, including Hg²⁺–SE was calculated using the Nernst equation:

$$E_{cell} = E^o - 2.303 \, \frac{\mathrm{R}T}{zF} loga \tag{1}$$

where E_{cell} , E° , R, T, and F are the potential of electrochemical cell potential, the standard potential, gas constant, absolute temperature, and Faraday constant, respectively, while, z is the ion charge, and a is its activity. The ion activities were calculated using Debye–Huckel equation [48]. Calibration curves of tested Hg²⁺–SEs were obtained by plotting E_{cell} in mV versus—log $a_{Ho^{2+}}$.

2.6. Selectivity Measurements

The potentiometric selectivity coefficients ($K_{Hg,M}^{pot}$) of the proposed Hg²⁺–SE against interfering ions were determined according to the separate solution method (SSM) [49]. It was conducted as follows: pH value of a solution of primary ion of Hg(NO₃)₂ (2.23 × 10⁻⁴ molL⁻¹) was adjusted to an optimal value of 6.0 using HCl 1 molL⁻¹ and/or NaOH 1 molL⁻¹. A constant concentration of the interfering ion solution (2.23 × 10⁻⁴ molL⁻¹) was added to a solution of primary ion of Hg(NO₃)₂ (2.23 × 10⁻⁴ molL⁻¹) until the same potential change (ΔE) was achieved. For each interferent the selectivity factor $K_{Hg,M}^{pot}$ was calculated using the following equation:

$$logk_{Hg,M}^{pot} = \frac{E_{Hg} - E_M}{2.303RT/Z_A F} + loga_{Hg} - loga_M^{1/Z_M}$$
(2)

where E_M is the standard potential of the interfering ion at the activity a_M and E_{Hg} is the standard potential of the primary ion at the activity a_M .

2.7. Potentiometric Titration

The PVC membrane achieved the optimized response was used for designing Hg²⁺ selective electrode (Hg²⁺–SE). The designed electrode (Hg²⁺–SE) was evaluated as an indicator electrode by the potentiometric titration of 60 mL of Hg(NO₃)₂ solution ($2.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{ molL}^{-1}$) with standard EDTA solution ($3.00 \times 10^{-2} \text{ molL}^{-1}$). Calibration curve was employed to determine Hg²⁺ ion concentration accurately.

2.8. Preparation of Real Samples

Four real samples of tap water, sea water, compact fluorescent lamp, and dental amalgam alloy were used to evaluate the efficiency of Hg^{2+} –SE as an indicator electrode for the potentiometric determination of Hg^{2+} ions.

2.8.1. Preparation of Water Samples

Tap water was sampled from laboratories of the department of chemistry, Taif University, KSA. Sea water was collected from the Red Sea, Jeddah City, western Saudi Arabia. Water samples were filtered through Whatman filter paper (No. 1 with a diameter of 150 mm). Each water sample was transferred into a clean 50-mL volumetric flask. The pH of samples was adjusted at 6 using a mixture of HCl and NaOH. The determination of Hg²⁺ ions in water samples was carried out at 25 ± 0.2 °C by potentiometric titration using the developed Hg²⁺–SE as an indicator electrode. For comparison, ICP-OES measurements were performed according to the method mentioned in [50].

2.8.2. Preparation of Compact Fluorescent Lamp

A compact fluorescent lamp sample was obtained from Alfanar Company, Riyadh city, KSA. The obtained sample was treated according to the mentioned procedure [51]. Briefly, the sample was digested using a mixture of concentrated nitric acid and H_2O_2 with a concentration of 30% for 1 h. The solution obtained after digestion was neutralized by NaOH (5 molL⁻¹) and diluted to 50 mL. A part of solution was subjected to potentiometric titration using Hg^{2+} –SE as an indicator electrode for the determination of mercury in a compact fluorescent lamp.

2.8.3. Preparation of a Dental Amalgam Alloy

A dental amalgam capsule alloy was purchased from Dentsply Sirona Company. According to the manufacturer, the alloy contains 33.0% Ag, 8.5% Sn, 16.5% Cu, and 42.0% Hg. An accurate weight of alloy was digested using HNO₃ (20 mL, 60%) at 60–70 °C for 2 h. The residue was washed with deionized water and filtered into a 50-mL volumetric flask. The solution pH was adjusted at 6 using a mixture of HCl and NaOH solutions. The content of mercury in alloy was analyzed by standard addition method where change in voltage is monitored after each addition of the standard solution of Hg(NO₃)₂ ($3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ molL}^{-1}$). Moreover, the mercury concentration in the dental amalgam sample was also determined using the ICP-OES method [6,45].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Optimization of PVC Membrane Compositions

BMPMP ligand, synthesized in this study, contains active sides (imine and phenolic OH) that may react with Hg (II) ions to form a stable complex. Therefore, this Schiff base was used as a new ionophore to prepare the selective electrode for Hg²⁺ ions. It was previously known that the potential of ion-selective electrodes (ISEs) is fundamentally dependent on the amount and nature of the ionophore, plasticizer, and lipophlic additives [52]. On the other hand, the plasticizer/PVC ratio plays a main role to obtain optimized response [53]. *o*-NPOE was chosen as plasticizer due to good solubility of membrane components as well as moderate dielectric constant while NaTPB was used as a lipophlic additive owing to its important role in increasing the sensitivity and selectivity of the electrode as well reduces anionic interference.

Thus, the impact of membrane composition on the performance of the proposed Hg²⁺-SE was investigated by designing nine ISEs containing different membranes as shown in Table 1. Calibration curves were plotted for each ISE and shown in Figure 1. Findings in Table 1 and Figure 1 reveal that the electrodes of ISE1 and ISE2 did not respond to the change in Hg^{2+} concentration. This behavior is most likely attributed to the absence of the BMPMP ionophore in the membrane matrix. However, membranes grafted with BMPMP as an ionophore provided better responses towards Hg²⁺ ion (ISEs 3–9). The response of these ISEs for Hg²⁺ ion may be attributed to interaction between Hg²⁺ ions and BMPMP molecule. ISE7 provided the optimized response where Nernstian slope was 29.78 ± 0.15 mV/decade in good agreement with the value of 29.5 mV/decade of the divalent ions. Moreover, ISE7 gives fast and linear response over a wide range of Hg²⁺ ion concentration (9.33 \times 10⁻⁸–3.98 \times 10⁻³ molL⁻¹) with the detection limit of 3.98×10^{-8} molL⁻¹ at optimized experimental conditions (Figure 2). Thus, PVC membrane of ISE7 was studied using ATR-FTIR, SEM micrographs, and EDX spectra before and after soaking in an aqueous solution of Hg²⁺ ions. The electrodes of ISE3, ISE4, ISE5, ISE6, ISE8, and ISE9 provided low performance compared with that of ISE7. This behavior is most likely attributed to saturation of membrane and its inhomogeneity [54]. The composition of ISE7 was selected for designing an ion-selective electrode for mercury determination in a variety of real samples.



Figure 1. The influence of membrane composition on the potential response of the Hg²⁺-ISEs.



Figure 2. Calibration curve of the Hg²⁺-SE (ISE7) based on the BMPMP as ionophore.

3.2. ATR-FTIR Investigation of Hg^{2+} -SE Membrane Based on BMPMP as an Ionophore

ATR–FTIR spectra of Hg²⁺–SE membrane containing optimized composition were recorded before and after using for sensing Hg²⁺ ions to obtain information on the ion–ligand interaction and specify the active sites available in BMPMP molecule that can coordinate with Hg²⁺ ion. A thin layer of membrane was used to record ATR–FTIR spectra shown in Figure 3. ATR–FTIR spectrum of PVC membrane that does not contain BMPMP was recorded and subtracted from the spectra shown in Figure 3A,B. Characteristics bands of PVC membrane before soaking with analyte solution (Figure 3A) are observed at 3400, 1642, 1523, and 1407 cm⁻¹ corresponding to v(O–H), v(C = N), v(C = C), and δ (O-CCH₃), respectively. Significant changes in the spectrum of membrane loaded with Hg(II) indicate an interaction between BMPMP and Hg²⁺ ions in a PVC membrane matrix (Figure 3B). The disappearance of peak at 3400 cm⁻¹ corresponding to v(OH) and the appearance of a new peak of v(Hg–O) at 549 cm⁻¹ reveal that BMPMP ligand has coordinated with Hg²⁺ ions by the phenolic OH. Coordination of Hg²⁺ ions through nitrogen atom of BMPMP molecule is confirmed by the red shift of the v(C = N) band from 1642 to 1600 cm⁻¹ and the appearance of new peak at 510 cm⁻¹ corresponding to v(Hg–N) [55].



Figure 3. ATR–FTIR spectra of Hg^{2+} –SE membrane: (A) membrane before being superimposed in Hg^{2+} –SE and (B) the membrane after using for sensing Hg^{2+} ions.

3.3. SEM-EDX Investigations of Hg²⁺–SE Membrane

The morphology of the PVC membrane containing BMPMP as the ionophore was studied by SEM images before being superimposed in the ISE. The SEM micrograph shows a microporous membrane (Figure 4A). The surface of the membrane is somewhat smooth with few small protrusions. There are significant changes in membrane morphology after soaking in an aqueous Hg^{2+} solution as demonstrated in Figure 4B. The surface of the electrode is rougher with white patches spreading over the surface of the membrane providing an indication of the presence of analyte in the membrane matrix. The presence of Hg^{2+} cation in the membrane used for sensing Hg^{2+} was confirmed by EDX analysis of SEM micrograph displayed in Figure 4B. The characteristic peaks of mercury at 1.7, 2.3, and 10.2 keV were observed in Figure 5A. EDX spectrum of control membrane was recorded and shown in Figure 5B for comparison. It should be noted that the absence of the Cl peak may be due to the leaching of anionic impurities [56,57].



Figure 4. SEM micrographs of **(A)** control membrane and **(B)** optimized membrane used for sensing mercury (II).



Figure 5. EDX spectra of (A) control membrane and (B) membrane selected for sensing mercury (II).

3.4. The Influence of the Internal Solution Concentration

An aqueous solution of Hg(NO₃)₂ was employed as an internal solution in the developed Hg²⁺–SE. Therefore, the concentration influence of this solution on the potential of Hg²⁺–SE was studied in the range of 1.0×10^{-2} – 1.0×10^{-4} molL⁻¹. The results outlined in Table 2 (Figure 6) reveal that the optimized slope, wide linear concentration range, lower detection limit, and fast response time were obtained with the concentration of 1.0×10^{-2} molL⁻¹. This is likely due to the high activity of Hg(NO₃)₂ solution at this concentration that enhanced the potential of Hg²⁺–SE. Thus, this concentration was employed in subsequent work.

3.5. The pH Effect on the Proposed Electrode Response

Two standard solutions containing 1.0×10^{-2} and 1.0×10^{-3} molL⁻¹ of Hg²⁺ ion were used to test the pH effect. The test solutions pH was adjusted to desired values (0.5–10.0) by adding HCl or NaOH (0.1 molL⁻¹). Linear deficiency in the potential response was noticeable in pH range of 0.5 to 2 (Figure 7). However, the potential remains constant from pH 2.0 to 8.5. Then, a sharp deficiency was observed at higher pH values higher than 9. (Figure 7). The precipitation of Hg^{2+} ions as $Hg(OH)_2$ at pH higher than 9 is a possible cause of this deficiency [58,59]. pH 6.0 was selected as the optimized value to adjust sample pH in the next work due to the fast response at this value.

Table 2. The influence of internal solution concentration on the potential of the optimized Hg^{2+} -SE.

Concentration of Internal Solution (molL ⁻¹)	Slope (mV/Decade)	D.L. (molL ⁻¹)	L.R. (molL ⁻¹)	R.T. (s)
0.01	29.74 ± 0.2	$3.98 imes 10^{-8}$	$9.33 imes 10^{-8}$ - $3.98 imes 10^{-3}$	5
0.001	27.16 ± 0.2	$5.01 imes10^{-5}$	$1.15 imes 10^{-4}$ – $1.95 imes 10^{-2}$	10
0.0001	17.63 ± 0.2	$7.08 imes10^{-6}$	1.26×10^{-5} - 3.16×10^{-3}	7



Figure 6. The relation between $-\log [Hg^{2+}]$ and the potential of Hg^{2+} –SE at different concentrations of internal solution.

3.6. Response Time of the Proposed Hg^{2+} –SE

The response time of the proposed Hg²⁺–SE was investigated at a different concentration of Hg(NO₃)₂ (1.0×10^{-7} – 1.0×10^{-4} molL⁻¹). The potential versus response time was plotted in Figure 8. The response time of developed Hg²⁺–SE becomes fast upon the concentration increases. However, the response of the electrode reached a steady-state potential in less than 10 s after analyte addition. The steadiness attained in a short response time indicates fast kinetics of Hg²⁺ ions interaction with the ionophore (BMPMP) occurring at the test solution-membrane interphase to reach chemical equilibrium [60].

3.7. Life Time of the Proposed Hg^{2+} –SE

Generally, the lifetime of ISE basically relies on the electrode compositions and the number of times of use [61]. The lifetime of our electrode was investigated by measuring the slope value weekly over a 16-week period (112 days). The results shown in Figure 9 reveal that there is no significant change in the slop value (29.78 mV/decade) during the first 10 weeks. Therefore, the developed Hg²⁺–SE can be used successfully during this period for determination of Hg²⁺ ions. However, the slope value of Hg²⁺–SE decreased dramatically from 22.85 after the twelfth week to 6.15 mV/decade at 16 weeks. The expected reason for the decrease in the value of the electrode slope over time is leaching plasticizer, ionophore, additive, or PVC as a matrix from the membrane into the sample solution during use [62].



Figure 7. Role of test solution's pH on the potential response of Hg²⁺–SE at different concentrations: (blue) 1.0×10^{-2} and (red) 1.0×10^{-3} molL⁻¹.



Figure 8. The relation of response time with Hg²⁺–SE potential at different concentrations of analyte and 298K: The curves of a, b, c, d, e, and f shows this relation at the Hg²⁺ concentrations of 1.06×10^{-7} , 1.06×10^{-6} , 1.06×10^{-5} , 1.0×10^{-4} , 1.0×10^{-3} and 1.0×10^{-2} molL⁻¹, respectively.

3.8. Response of the Proposed Hg^{2+} –SE towards Other Ions (Selectivity)

The selectivity coefficient $(K_{A,M}^{pot})$ is used to describe the influence of interfering ions on the response of ISEs. When $K_{A,M}^{pot}$ is less than 1, ISE preferentially responds to primary ion (analyte). The selectivity coefficient of Hg(II)–SE $(K_{Hg,M}^{pot})$ was calculated according to IUPAC recommendations using the matched potential method. From findings in Table 3 and Figure 10, all tested metal ions have selectivity coefficient less than $3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ molL}^{-1}$. Therefore, our electrode provides high selective to the Hg²⁺ ion in the presence of wide variety of cations.



Figure 9. Life time of the proposed Hg²⁺-SE for a period of 112 days.

Table 3. Selectivity coefficients of proposed Hg²⁺–SE (ISE-7) for different interfering ions calculated using MPM at optimized conditions.

Interfering Ion, M	$K^{pot}_{Hg,M}$	Interfering Ion, M	$K_{Hg,M}^{pot}$
Hg ²⁺	1.00	Fe ³⁺	$1.9 imes10^{-4}$
Na ⁺	$2.81 imes 10^{-5}$	Ag ⁺	$1.5 imes10^{-3}$
K^+	$1.94 imes10^{-5}$	Cu ²⁺	$2.55 imes10^{-4}$
Ca ²⁺	$5.71 imes 10^{-5}$	Zn ²⁺	$1.85 imes10^{-5}$
Mg ²⁺	$3.67 imes10^{-6}$	Co ²⁺	$7.67 imes 10^{-6}$
Ba ²⁺	$1.86 imes 10^{-5}$	Ni ²⁺	$1.98 imes10^{-5}$
Al ³⁺	$1.33 imes10^{-5}$	Fe ³⁺	$9.59 imes10^{-5}$
Cd^{2+}	$2.65 imes10^{-5}$	Cr ³⁺	$1.06 imes 10^{-6}$
Mn ²⁺	$1.19 imes10^{-5}$	Pb ²⁺	$1.40 imes 10^{-5}$



Figure 10. The values of $K_{Hg,M}^{pot}$ of proposed Hg²⁺–SE for variety of different cations.

3.9. Potentiometric Titrations Using Hg(II)-ISEs Based on BMPMP

The proposed Hg^{2+} –SE based on BMPMP as an ionophore was employed as an indicator electrode in potentiometric titrations to test the electrode's ability for monitoring mercury (II) concentration in aqueous solutions. Figure 11 shows potentiometric titration

curve of 60 mL of Hg(NO₃)₂ ($2.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ molL}^{-1}$) with $3.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ molL}^{-1}$ of EDTA as a titrant. As seen in Figure 11, the potential response before the end point remains almost steady, due to the low concentration of EDTA in the solution. After the end point, the potential response remains constant which is referred to the low concentration of free Hg²⁺ ions in the solution. The end point of the titration was found to be ~4.0 mL. This indicates that the developed Hg²⁺–SE at optimized conditions is a suitable analytical tool for the potentiometric determination of Hg²⁺ ion in aqueous solutions.



Figure 11. Potentiometric titration curve of standard solution of $Hg(NO_3)_2$ with EDTA solution using our proposed electrode as an indicator electrode at optimized conditions. The concentrations used are mentioned in the text.

3.10. Analytical Applications

The accuracy of Hg²⁺–SE designed in this work was tested using a dental amalgam capsule alloy (Dentsply Sirona company). Mercury concentration in this alloy estimated by the proposed Hg²⁺–SE was 41.8% (w/w) with a small difference from certified value (42.0%). However, student's t-test showed that no significant difference between the two concentrations at the 95% confidence level because the tabulated value of t (2.78) is greater than the calculated one (2.65) for five replicate measurements. Therefore, electrode accuracy is acceptable from the point of view of analytical chemistry. Four real samples shown in Table 4 were used to evaluate the developed electrode. All samples were treated as above-mentioned and part of their aqueous solutions was subjected to potentiometric measurements using the developed Hg²⁺–SE as an indicator electrode. All samples were analyzed before and after spiking with known concentrations of Hg(II) ions. According to the results shown in Table 4, it is clear that the recovered amounts of the mercury from the real samples were almost quantified. Moreover, the samples were analyzed using ICP-OES. The results of ICP-OES measurements were in good agreement with those of Hg²⁺-SE as shown in Table 4. The statistical evaluation using F-test has been applied, and the results revealed that no statistical difference between two methods where the calculated values of F were always less than the tabulated F value (3.179) for ten replicate measurements. Therefore, we can say that the precision of both methods is statistically acceptable and there is no significant difference between them at the 95% confidence level.

3.11. Comparison with Previous Studies

The efficiency of many ISEs that use different ionophores was compared with analytical performance of electrode developed in this study. Comparison shown in Table 5 includes slope, detection limit, working pH, and selectivity. The developed Hg²⁺–SE offers much better features than the electrodes mentioned in the comparison. Moreover, the analytical performance of the proposed electrode in terms of sensitivity, recovery, and linear

concentration range was compared with different analytical techniques [63–69]. The Hg²⁺– SE designed in the present study provides better performance than some of the methods mentioned in Table 6 without the need to use the extraction or preconcentration methodology. Moreover, the proposed electrode can operate at a wide range of pH values, therefore, it is suitable for analysis without the need to adjust sample pH in most cases. The good selectivity of developed Hg²⁺–SE may be attributed to the PVC membrane composition containing BMPMP as an ionophore. BMPMP molecule serves as a selective ligand for Hg²⁺ ions.

		Hg ²⁺ Ions Concentration (μM)					
Sample *	Hg ²⁺ Added (µM)	Hg ²	+-SE	ICP-OES			
	-	Found	Recovery (%)	Found	Recovery (%)		
Tan water	0	ND	-	ND	-		
iap water	20	19.97 ± 0.15	99.85	20.03 ± 0.15	100.15		
Ded cas water	0	ND	-	0.040 ± 0.001	-		
Ked sea water	26	25.77 ± 0.25	99.11	26.1 ± 0.2	100.23		
Compact fluorescent	0	1.89 ± 0.65	-	1.95 ± 0.18	-		
lamp (CFL)	26	27.86 ± 0.36	99.88	28.1 ± 0.22	100.58		

Table 4. The analysis of mercury in some real samples.

* Results are based on the average of four measurements \pm standard deviation, ND: not detected.

Table 5. Comparison between some responses characteristics of the proposed Hg^{2+} -SE based on BMPMP with the previously reported for Hg^{2+} -SES based on various ionophores with the reported electrodes.

Ionophore	Slope (mV/decade)	L.R. (mol.L ⁻¹)	D.L. (mol.L ⁻¹)	Working pH	R.T. (s)	Significant Interference $(K_{Hg,M}^{pot})$	Ref.
meso-tetramethyl-tetrakis-(4N,N- diethylacetamide) phenoxymethyl] calix[4]pyrrole	29.0	1.81×10^{-7} – 1.78×10^{-3}	1×10^{-5}	4.3-8.5	10	Ag ⁺ (4.2 × 10 ⁻²)	[22]
calix[4]pyrrole amide derivative	29.10	$6.15\times 10^{-8} 2.28\times 10^{-2}$	$6.0 imes10^{-8}$	3.2-8.8	5–8	-	[24]
1,3-diphenylthiourea	30.8	$2.00 imes 10^{-6}$ – $2.10 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.0 imes10^{-6}$	4.0	<30	Ag+ (3.1×10^{-2})	[25]
1-methyl-2-butylthioimidazolium bis(trifluoromethanesulphonyl)imide	6.70	$1.00\times 10^{-10}1.00\times 10^{-5}$	$4.1 imes10^{-11}$	2.0-3.0	5	-	[29]
1,2-bis-(N'- benzoylthioureido)cyclohexane	28.1	$1.00\times 10^{-5}1.00\times 10^{-1}$	$2.5 imes 10^{-6}$	7.0	50-100	-	[32]
<i>O,O</i> -(2,2biphenylene) dithiophosphate alkyl	29.80	$1.00\times 10^{-9}1.00\times 10^{-1}$	$9.1 imes 10^{-10}$	0.0–6.0	30	${ m Fe}^{3+}$ (1.2 $ imes$ 10 ⁻⁵)	[33]
1-n-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate	29.3	$5.00 imes 10^{-9}$ - $1.00 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.5 imes 10^{-9}$	2.0-4.3	~5	-	[35]
polypyrrole-PPy	23.7 ± 1.4	$1.0 imes 10^{-9}$ - $1.0 imes 10^{-2}$	$6.0 imes10^{-10}$	3.0	60	Ag ⁺ (1.0×10^{-3})	[36]
bis [5-((4-nitrophenyl) azo salicylaldehyde)]	30 ± 1.0	$7.00\times 10^{-7} 5.00\times 10^{-2}$	$7.0 imes10^{-8}$	1.0-3.5	<10	-	[42]
salicylaldehyde thiosemicarbazone	29	$1.78 imes 10^{-6}$ - $1.00 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.0 imes10^{-6}$	1.0-3.0	<30	Ag ⁺ (3.1×10^{-2})	[43]
1-(2-hydroxy-1,2- diphenylethylidene)thiosemicarbazide	30.5	2.00×10^{-6} - 1.00×10^{-2}	$1.0 imes10^{-6}$	2.0-5.0	<30	Ag ⁺ (2.7×10^{-1})	[44]
2-amino-6-purinethiol	29.4	7.00×10^{-8} – 1.00×10^{-1}	$4.4 \times 10 - 8$	2.0-4.5	10	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Ag^{+}} \ (1.5\times 10^{-2});\\ {\rm Cu^{2+}} \ (3.1\times 10^{-2});\\ {\rm Pb^{2+}} \ (5.0\times 10^{-2})\end{array}$	[45]
bis- salicyladehydediaminjodipropylamine	30.5 ± 0.4	$9.50 imes 10^{-7}$ - $6.40 imes 10^{-2}$	$7.0 imes 10^{-7}$	1.5–3.5	10–25	${ m Ag}^{+}$ (3.1 $ imes$ 10 ⁻²)	[46]
poly-o-toluidine into zirconium phosphoborate	28.0	$1.0\times 10^{-7}1.0\times 10^{-1}$	$7.08 imes 10^{-8}$	2.5-10.0	6	${ m K}^+$ (6.4 $ imes$ 10 $^{-2}$)	[51]
1-undecanethiol assembled Au substrate	28.83	$1.00 imes 10^{-8}$ - $1.00 imes 10^{-4}$	$4.5 imes 10^{-9}$	6.0	~30	-	[54]
trioctylmethylammonium thiosalicylate	44.08	1.00×10^{-9} - 1.00×10^{-2}	1.0×10^{-10}	3.0–9.0	~5	$\begin{array}{l} {\rm Ag}^{+} \ (8.9 \times 10^{-4}); \\ {\rm Cu}^{2+} \ (8.9 \times 10^{-4}); \\ {\rm Pb}^{2+} \ (9.6 \times 10^{-4}) \end{array}$	[60]

Ionophore	Slope (mV/decade)	L.R. (mol.L ⁻¹)	D.L. (mol.L ⁻¹)	Working pH	R.T. (s)	Significant Interference $(K^{pot}_{Hg,M})$	Ref.
dithio derivatized macrotricyclic	29.4 ± 0.4	$1.00\times 10^{-6}1.00\times 10^{-1}$	$4.0 imes10^{-7}$	1.5-4.0	<10	${ m Ag^{+}}~(1.3 imes 10^{-2})$	[70]
poly-o-anisidine Sn(IV) tungstate	21.0	$1.00\times 10^{-7}1.00\times 10^{-1}$	$1.00 imes 10^{-7}$	4.0-8.0	30		[71]
4-Bromo-2-[(4- methoxyphenylimino)methyl]phenol	29.74	$9.33 \times 10^{-8} 3.98 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.98 imes 10^{-8}$	3.0–7.0	<10		P.W.

Table 5. Cont.

Table 6. Comparisons of analytical results for the detection of Hg^{2+} by using other methods.

Materials	Method	Sample	L.R. (mol L^{-1})	D.L. (mg L^{-1})	pН	Recovery	Ref.
AuNPs, DNA	spICP-MS	tap water	$1.0 imes 10^{-7}$ – $1.0 imes 10^{-6}$	$3.1 imes 10^{-8}$	-	110 ± 10	[63]
T-gCNQDs	Fluorescence	pond water	$1.0 imes 10^{-8}$ – $5.0 imes 10^{-7}$	$1.5 imes10^{-10}$	7.2	95	[64]
pyrrolidinedithiocarbamate (PDC)	D- DLLME/GFAAS	rice	0.01–0.3	$1.8 imes10^{-7}$	6.0	98	[65]
AuNPs, APTES	Colorimetric	river water	$0–9.23 imes10^{-8}$	$1.0 imes10^{-8}$	7.2	96.4	[66]
-	PVG-CVAAS	DORM-1	$1.75 imes 10^{-7}$ - $1.69 imes 10^{-6}$	$1.4 imes 10^{-9}$	-	92.7	[67]
	СКМ	wastewater	$1.0 - 30.0 imes 10^{-6}$	$1.5 imes 10^{-7}$	4	97.93	[68]
graphene nanosheets	DMSPE	Fresh water	$1.9 imes 10^{-9}$ – $5.19 imes 10^{-6}$	$1.9 imes10^{-9}$	-	95.0<	[69]

spICP-MS: single-particle inductively-coupled plasma/mass spectrometry; D-DLLME/GFAAS: displacement-dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction-graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry; PVG-CVAAS: Photochemical Vapor Generation-Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Spectrometry; CKM: catalytic kinetic method; DMSPE: Dispersive Micro-Solid Phase Extraction.

4. Conclusions

For the first time, Schiff base (BMPMP) is synthesized and used as a neutral carrier to design a new PVC membrane for Hg²⁺ ions. The interaction between BMPMP and Hg²⁺ ions in the PVC membrane matrix was studied by ATR–FTIR spectra, SEM images, and EDX spectra. The study of ATR–FTIR spectra recorded using the electrode membrane revealed that the Hg²⁺ ion could be coordinated with a BMPMP molecule through nitrogen and oxygen atoms. The analysis of SEM images and EDX spectra confirmed the presence of analyte in the membrane matrix. The membrane composition of 32% PVC, 64.5% *o*-NPOE, 2% BMPMP, and 1.5% NaTPB provides a better analytical performance with high selectivity towards Hg²⁺ ions over a wide concentrations range 9.33×10^{-8} – 3.98×10^{-3} molL⁻¹ (0.0933–3980 µM). The electrode developed in this work offers a relatively fast response, less interference, reasonable long-term stability, and potential stability. The fabricated electrode was successfully applied for the determination of Hg(II) in real samples.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/s21093020/s1.

Author Contributions: Data curation, H.M.A.-S.; Formal analysis, S.S.A.; Funding acquisition, A.M.F.; Investigation, S.S.A.; Methodology, S.S.A. and A.M.F.; Project administration, S.S.A.; Supervision, S.S.A.; Writing—original draft, S.S.A.; Writing—review & editing, S.S.A. and H.M.A.-S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This paper was funded by a supportive program (Grant No. 1-438-5996) through of the deanship of scientific research at Taif University, Saudi Arabia.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not Applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not Applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not Applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the Taif University for the financial support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Zhu, S.; Chen, B.; He, M.; Huang, T.; Hu, B. Speciation of mercury in water and fish samples by HPLC-ICP-MSafter magnetic solid phase extraction. *Talanta* 2017, 171, 213–219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cheng, H.; Wu, C.; Liu, J.; Xu, Z. Thiol-functionalized silica microspheres for online preconcentration and determination of mercury species in seawater by high performance liquid chromatography and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *RSC Adv.* 2015, 5, 19082–19090. [CrossRef]
- 3. Gibb, M.; O'Leary, K.G. Mercury exposure and health impacts among individuals in the artisanal and small-scale goldmining community: A comprehensive review. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2014**, 122, 667–672. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, S.; Zhou, M. Comparison of DMA-80 and ICP-MS Combined with Closed-Vessel Microwave Digestion for the Determination of Mercury in Coal. J. Anal. Methods Chem. 2020, 2020, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Du, J.; Jiang, L.; Shao, Q.; Liu, X.; Marks, R.S.; Ma, J.; Chen, X. Colorimetric Detection of Mercury Ions Based on Plasmonic Nanoparticles. *Small* 2013, 9, 1467–1481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hamza, A.; Bashammakh, A.; Al-Sibaai, A.; Al-Saidi, H.; El-Shahawi, M. Part 1. Spectrophotometric determination of trace mercury (II) in dental-unit wastewater and fertilizer samples using the novel reagent 6-hydroxy-3-(2-oxoindolin-3-ylideneamino)-2-thioxo-2H-1,3-thiazin-4(3H)-one and the dual-wavelength β-correction spectrophotometry. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2010, 178, 287–292. [CrossRef]
- Yang, L.-L.; Zhang, D.-Q.; Zhou, Q.-X. Determination of Mercury in Biological Tissues by Graphite-furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometry with an in-situ Concentration Technique. *Anal. Sci.* 2002, *18*, 811–814. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Shekhar, R. Improvement of sensitivity of electrolyte cathode discharge atomic emission spectrometry (ELCAD-AES) for mercury using acetic acid medium. *Talanta* **2012**, *93*, 32–36. [CrossRef]
- Ai, X.; Wang, Y.; Hou, X.D.; Yang, L.; Zheng, C.B.; Wu, L. Advanced oxidation using Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles and its application in mercury speciation analysis by high performance liquid chromatography–cold vapor generation atomic fluorescence spectrometry. *Analyst* 2013, *138*, 3494–3501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Fong, B.M.W.; Siu, T.S.; Lee, J.S.K.; Tam, S. Determination of Mercury in Whole Blood and Urine by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry. J. Anal. Toxicol. 2007, 31, 281–287. [CrossRef]
- 11. Aranda, P.R.; Colombo, L.; Perino, E.; De Vito, I.E.; Raba, J. Solid-phase preconcentration and determination of mercury(II) using activated carbon in drinking water by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry. *X-ray Spectrom.* **2013**, *42*, 100–104. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Q. Determination of mercury and methylmercury in seafood by ion chromatography using photo-induced chemical vapor generation atomic fluorescence spectrometric detection. *Microchem. J.* 2010, 95, 255–258. [CrossRef]
- 13. de Souza, S.S.; Campiglia, A.D.; Junior, F.B. A simple method for methylmercury, inorganic mercury and ethylmercury determination in plasma samples by high performance liquid chromatography-cold-vapor-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2013**, *761*, 11–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Yuan, S.; Peng, D.; Song, D.; Gong, J. Layered titanate nanosheets as an enhanced sensing platform for ultrasensitive stripping voltammetric detection of mercury(II). *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *181*, 432–438. [CrossRef]
- 15. Silva, M.F.; Tóth, I.V.; Rangel, A.O. Determination of mercury in fish by cold vapor atomic absorption spectrophotometry using a multicommuted flow injection analysis system. *Anal. Sci.* **2006**, *22*, 861–864. [CrossRef]
- 16. Cui, J.; Lisak, G.; Strzalkowska, S.; Bobacka, J. Potentiometric sensing utilizing paper-based microfluidic sampling. *Analyst* **2014**, 139, 2133–2136. [CrossRef]
- 17. Gupta, V.K.; Ganjali, M.R.; Norouzi, P.; Khani, H.; Nayak, A.; Agarwal, S. Electrochemical Analysis of Some Toxic Metals by Ion–Selective Electrodes. *Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem.* **2011**, *41*, 282–313. [CrossRef]
- Cui, L.; Wu, J.; Ju, H. Electrochemical sensing of heavy metal ions with inorganic, organic and bio-materials. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2014, 63, 276–286. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Gupta, V.K.; Jain, S.; Khurana, U. A PVC-based pentathia-15-crown-5 membrane potentiometric sensor for mercury(II). *Electroanalysis* **1997**, *9*, 478–480. [CrossRef]
- 20. Javanbakht, M.; Ganjali, M.R.; Eshghi, H.; Sharghi, H.; Shamsipur, M. Mercury(II) ion-selective electrode based on dibenzodiazathia-18-crown-6-dione. *Electroanalysis* **1999**, *11*, 81–84. [CrossRef]
- 21. Bakhtiarzadeh, F.; Ghani, S.A. An ion selective electrode for mercury (II) based on mercury (II) complex of poly (4-vinyl pyridine). *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2008**, *624*, 139–143. [CrossRef]
- De Namor, A.F.D.; El Gamouz, A.; Alharthi, S.; Al Hakawati, N.; Varcoe, J.R. A ditopic calix[4]pyrrole amide derivative: Highlighting the importance of fundamental studies and the use of NaPh4B as additive in the design and applications of mercury(ii) ion selective electrodes. J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 13016–13030. [CrossRef]
- 23. Lu, J.; Tong, X.; He, X. A mercury ion-selective electrode based on a calixarene derivative containing the thiazole azo group. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2003**, *540*, 111–117. [CrossRef]
- 24. De Namor, A.F.D.; Alharthi, S.; El Gamouz, A.; Al Hakawati, N.; Cox, B.G. Calix[4] based Hg(II) ion selective electrodes: A thermodynamic protocol to address the selectivity versus the hosting capacity paradigm in the selection of the carrier. *Electrochim. Acta* 2018, 290, 686–694. [CrossRef]
- Perez-Marin, L.; Otazo-Sanchez, E.; Macedo-Miranda, G.; Avila-Perez, P.; Chamaro, J.A.; Lopez-Valdivia, H.L. Mercury (II) ion-selective electrode. Study of 1,3-diphenylthiourea as ionophore. *Analyst* 2000, 125, 1787–1790. [CrossRef]
- 26. Gupta, V.K.; Sethi, B.; Sharma, R.; Agarwal, S.; Bharti, A. Mercury selective potentiometric sensor based on low rim functionalized thiacalix [4]-arene as a cationic receptor. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2013**, 177, 114–118. [CrossRef]

- 27. Mahajan, R.K.; Kaur, R.; Kaur, I.; Sharma, V.; Kumar, M. Mercury(II) ion-selective electrodes based on p-tert-butyl calix[4]crowns with imine units. *Anal. Sci.* 2004, 20, 811–814. [CrossRef]
- 28. Kim, Y.; Kim, J.; Kim, K.N.; Chang, S.-K.; Chung, T.D. Potentiometric Response of a Neutral-carrier-based Membrane to Aqueous Mercury in Cl–rich Media. *Anal. Sci.* 2009, 25, 567–570. [CrossRef]
- 29. Miao, J.; Wang, X.; Fan, Y.; Li, J.; Zhang, L.; Hu, G.; He, C.; Jin, C. Determination of total mercury in seafood by ion-selective electrodes based on a thiol functionalized ionic liquid. *J. Food Drug Anal.* **2018**, *26*, 670–677. [CrossRef]
- 30. Gupta, V.K.; Chandra, S.; Lang, H. A highly selective mercury electrode based on a diamine donor ligand. *Talanta* **2005**, *66*, 575–580. [CrossRef]
- 31. Abu-Shawish, H.M. A mercury(II) selective sensor based on N,N'-bis(salicylaldehyde)-phenylenediamine as neutral carrier for potentiometric analysis in water samples. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2009**, *167*, 602–608. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Jumal, J.; Yamin, B.M.; Ahmad, M.A.; Heng, L.Y. Mercury Ion-Selective Electrode with Self-plasticizing Poly(n–buthylacrylate) Membrane Based On 1,2-Bis-(N'–benzoyl-thioureido) cyclohexane As Ionophore. *Apchee Procedia* **2012**, *3*, 116–123. [CrossRef]
- Júarez-Gómez, J.; Ramírez-Silva, M.T.; Romero-Romo, M.; Rodríguez-Sevilla, E.; Pérez-García, F.; Palomar-Pardavé, M. Ion-Selective Electrodes for Mercury Determination at Low Concentrations: Construction, Optimization and Application. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2016, 163, B90–B96. [CrossRef]
- 34. Patel, B.; Kumar, A.; Menon, S.K. Mercury selective membrane electrode based on dithio derivatized macrotricyclic compound. *J. Incl. Phenom. Macrocycl. Chem.* 2009, 64, 101–108. [CrossRef]
- Khani, H.; Rofouei, M.K.; Arab, P.; Gupta, V.K.; Vafaei, Z. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes-ionic liquid-carbon paste electrode as a super selectivity sensor: Application to potentiometric monitoring of mercury ion(II). *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2010, 183, 402–409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Mai, P.T.N.; Hoa, P.T. Fabrication of solid contact ion selective electrode for mercury (II) using conductive polymer membrane (polypyrrole-PPy). *Mater. Trans.* 2015, *56*, 1428–1430. [CrossRef]
- Juarez-Gomez, J.; Perez-Garcia, F.; Ramirez-Silva, M.; Rojas-Hernandez, A.; Galan-Vidal, C.; Paez-Hernandez, M. Solid-contact Hg(II)-selective electrode based on a carbon-epoxy composite containing a new dithiophosphate-based ionophore. *Talanta* 2013, 114, 235–242. [CrossRef]
- Ahmed, H.M.; Aboul-Enein, H.Y. New Simple Ion-Selective Membrane Electrode for Serious Environmental Pollutant, Mercury (II), Analysis in Aqueous Solution, Fluorescent Mercury Lamp White Dust, Mercurochrome and Dental Alloy. *Curr. Anal. Chem.* 2018, 14, 36–42. [CrossRef]
- 39. Han, W.-S.; Wi, K.-C.; Park, W.-S.; Hong, T.-K. Mercury ion selective poly(aniline) solid contact electrode based on 2mercaptobenzimidazol ionophore. *Russ. J. Electrochem.* 2012, *48*, 525–531. [CrossRef]
- Salib, K.A.R.; Stefan, S.L.; Abu El-Wafa, S.M.; El-Shafiy, H.F. Metal complexes of novel symmetrical schiff base ligands. Synth. React. Inorg. Met. Chem. 2001, 31, 895–915. [CrossRef]
- 41. Mishra, P.; Soni, M. Synthesis, Structural, and biological studies of some Schiff bases and their metal complexes. *Met. Based Drugs* 2008, 7, 2008. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Mashhadizadeh, M.H.; Sheikhshoaie, I. Mercury (II) ion-selective polymeric membrane sensor based on a recently synthesized Schiff base. *Talanta* **2003**, *60*, 73–80. [CrossRef]
- 43. Mahajan, R.K.; Kaur, I.; Lobana, T.S. A mercury (II) ion-selective electrode based on neutral salicylaldehyde thiosemicarbazone. *Talanta* **2003**, *59*, 101–105. [CrossRef]
- 44. Xu, L.; Yuan, R.; Chai, Y.-Q. Mercury(II) ion Potentiometric Sensor Based on a Sulfur Schiff's Base 1-(2-Hydroxy-1,2-diphenylethylidene)thiosemicarbazide as Ionophore. *Chem. Lett.* **2005**, *34*, 440–441. [CrossRef]
- 45. Gupta, V.; Singh, A.; Al Khayat, M.; Gupta, B. Neutral carriers based polymeric membrane electrodes for selective determination of mercury (II). *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2007, 590, 81–90. [CrossRef]
- 46. Zhang, M.; Wu, X.; Chai, Y.Q.; Yuan, R.; Ye, G.R. A Novel Ion-Selective Electrode for Determination of the Mercury(II) Ion Based on Schiff Base as a Carrier. *J. Chin. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *55*, 1345–1350. [CrossRef]
- 47. Refat, M.S.; El-Sayed, M.Y.; Adam, A.M.A. Cu(II), Co(II) and Ni(II) complexes of new Schiff base ligand: Synthesis, thermal and spectroscopic characterizations. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2013**, *1038*, 62–72. [CrossRef]
- 48. Debye, P.; Huckel, E. The theory of electrolytes. I. Freezing point depression and related phenomena. Phys. Z. 1923, 24, 185–206.
- 49. Buck, R.P.; Lindner, E.; Kutner, W.; Inzelt, G. Piezoelectric chemical sensors (IUPAC Technical Report). *Pure Appl. Chem.* 2004, *76*, 1139–1160. [CrossRef]
- 50. Afkhami, A.; Bagheri, H.; Khoshsafar, H.; Saber-Tehrani, M.; Tabatabaee, M.; Shirzadmehr, A. Simultaneous trace-levels determination of Hg(II) and Pb(II) ions in various samples using a modified carbon paste electrode based on multi-walled carbon nanotubes and a new synthesized Schiff base. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2012**, *746*, 98–106. [CrossRef]
- 51. Kaushal, S.; Badru, R.; Kumar, S.; Mittal, S.K.; Singh, P. Fabrication of a mercury(II) ion selective electrodebased on poly-otoluidine–zirconiumphosphoborate. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 3150–3158. [CrossRef]
- 52. Soleymanpour, A.; Shafaatian, B.; Hanifi, A.; Jarrahpour, A.A. Construction of a Solid Contact Polymeric Membrane Electrode for pH Measurements in Acidic Media. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2013**, *161*, B14–B18. [CrossRef]
- 53. Gurtova, O.; Ye, L.; Chmilenko, F. Potentiometric propranolol-selective sensor based on molecularly imprinted polymer. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2012**, 405, 287–295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 54. Demiral, A.; Dogan, A.; Cancel, E.; Memon, S.; Yilmaz, M.; Kilic, E. Hydrogen ion-selective poly(vinyl chloride) membrane electrode based on a p-tert-butylcalix[4]arene-oxacrown-4. *Talanta* **2004**, *62*, 123–129. [CrossRef]
- Nakamoto, K. *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds*, 3rd ed.; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1978; p. 305.
 Socrstes, G. *Infrared Characteristics Group Frequencies*, 3rd ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, UK, 1980; p. 145.
- Li, X.-Q.; Liang, H.-Q.; Cao, Z.; Xiao, Q.; Xiao, Z.-L.; Song, L.-B.; Chen, D.; Wang, F.-L. Simple and rapid mercury ion selective electrode based on 1-undecanethiol assembled Au substrate and its recognition mechanism. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2017, 72,
- 26–33. [CrossRef]
 58. Cotton, F.A.; Wilkinson, G. *Quimica Inorganica Avanzada*, 4th ed.; Editorial Limusa: Mexico City, Mexico, 1996; pp. 731–732.
- Gupta, V.; Jain, A.; Kumar, P.; Agarwal, S.; Maheshwari, G. Chromium(III)-selective sensor based on tri-o-thymotide in PVC matrix. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2006, 113, 182–186. [CrossRef]
- 60. Abu Ismaiel, A.; Aroua, M.K.; Yusoff, R. A New Electrochemical Sensor Based on Task-Specific Ionic Liquids-Modified Palm Shell Activated Carbon for the Determination of Mercury in Water Samples. *Sensors* **2014**, *14*, 13102–13113. [CrossRef]
- 61. Ganjali, M.R.; Ghafarloo, A.; Faridbod, F.; Norouzi, P. Copper-Selective PVC Membrane Sensor. Int. J. Electrochem. Sci. 2012, 7, 3706–3716.
- 62. Sadeghi, S.; Dashti, G.R.; Shamsipur, M. Lead-selective poly(vinyl cholride) membrane electrode based on piroxicam as a neutral carrier. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2002, *81*, 223–228. [CrossRef]
- 63. Xing, Y.; Han, J.; Wu, X.; Pierce, D.T.; Zhao, J.X. Aggregation-based determination of mercury(II) using DNA-modified single gold nanoparticle, T-Hg(II)-T interaction, and single-particle ICP-MS. *Microchim. Acta* **2019**, *187*, 56. [CrossRef]
- 64. Achadu, O.J.; Revaprasadu, N. Microwave-assisted synthesis of thymine-functionalized graphitic carbon nitride quantum dots as a fluorescent nanoprobe for mercury(II). *Microchim. Acta* **2018**, *185*, 461. [CrossRef]
- 65. Liang, P.; Yu, J.; Yang, E.; Mo, Y. Determination of Mercury in Food and Water Samples by Displacement-Dispersive Liquid-Liquid Microextraction Coupled with Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometry. *Food Anal. Methods* **2014**, *8*, 236–242. [CrossRef]
- 66. Xie, Y. Colorimetric determination of Hg(II) via the gold amalgam induced deaggregation of gold nanoparticles. *Microchim. Acta* **2018**, *185*, 351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Silva, N.A.; Nobre, N.F.; Lopes, G.S. Rapid and Low Cost Determination of Total Mercury in Cat Foods by Photochemical Vapor Generation Coupled to Atomic Absorption Spectrometry. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* **2021**, *199*, 1161–1169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Agarwal, A.; Verma, A.K.; Yoshida, M.; Naik, R.M.; Prasad, S. A novel catalytic kinetic method for the determination of mercury(ii) in water samples. *RSC Adv.* 2020, *10*, 25100–25106. [CrossRef]
- 69. Duval, B.; Gredilla, A.; de Vallejuelo, S.F.-O.; Tessier, E.; Amouroux, D.; de Diego, A. A simple determination of trace mercury concentrations in natural waters using dispersive Micro-Solid phase extraction preconcentration based on functionalized graphene nanosheets. *Microchem. J.* 2020, 154, 104549. [CrossRef]
- 70. Hosseini, M.; Rahimi, M.; Sadeghi, H.B.; Taghvaei-Ganjali, S.; Abkenar, S.D.; Ganjali, M.R. Determination of Hg(II) ions in water samples by a novel Hg(II) sensor, based on calix[4]arene derivative. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* 2009, *89*, 407–422. [CrossRef]
- 71. Khan, A.A.; Shaheen, S.; Habiba, U. Synthesis and characterization of poly-o-anisidine Sn(IV) tungstate: A new and novel 'organic–inorganic' nano-composite material and its electro-analytical applications as Hg(II) ion-selective membrane electrode. *J. Adv. Res.* **2012**, *3*, 269–278. [CrossRef]