### **Data Supplement**

# Thioredoxin 1 promotes autophagy through transnitrosylation of Atg7 during myocardial ischemia

Narayani Nagarajan<sup>1</sup>, Shin-ichi Oka<sup>1</sup>, Jihoon Nah<sup>1</sup>, Changgong Wu<sup>2</sup>, Peiyong Zhai<sup>1</sup>, Risa Mukai<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoyong Xu<sup>1,3</sup>, Sanchita Kashyap<sup>1</sup>, Chun-Yang Huang<sup>1,4,5</sup>, Eunah Sung<sup>1</sup>, Wataru Mizushima<sup>1</sup>, Allen Sam Titus<sup>1</sup>, Koichiro Takayama<sup>1</sup>, Youssef Mourad<sup>1</sup>, Jamie Francisco<sup>1</sup>, Tong Liu<sup>2</sup>, Tong Chen<sup>2</sup>, Hong Li<sup>2</sup>, Junichi Sadoshima<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cell Biology and Molecular Medicine, Cardiovascular Research Institute, Rutgers New Jersey Medical School, Newark, New Jersey, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Center for Advanced Proteomics Research, Department of Microbiology, Biochemistry, and Molecular Genetics, Rutgers New Jersey Medical School and Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Ningbo Medical Center Lihuili Hospital, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China.

<sup>4</sup>Division of Cardiovascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Clinical Medicine, School of Medicine National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan.

NN and SO contributed equally to this study.

<sup>6</sup>Address correspondence to: Junichi Sadoshima, MD, PhD Department of Cell Biology and Molecular Medicine, Cardiovascular Research Institute, Rutgers New Jersey Medical School, 185 S. Orange Ave., MSB G609, Newark, NJ 07103, USA Phone: +1-973-972-8916, FAX: +1-973-972-8919 E-mail: <u>sadoshju@njms.rutgers.edu</u>

Running title: Trx1 transnitrosylates Atg7





LacZ, Glucose deprivation



shTrx1, Glucose deprivation



Trx1C73S, Glucose deprivation





**Figure S1.** (A) Representative microscopic images of GFP and mRFP dots shown in Figure 2A. (B) Low powered images corresponding to Figure 2B.

Table S1

Group	n	LVEDD	LVESD	IVSWT	PWT	EF (%)	%FS
Wild	8	4.19±0.28	3.07±0.38	0.73±0.06	0.87±0.12	52.49±9.86	26.95±6.06
Wild Starvation	8	3.94±0.59	2.93±0.63	0.71±0.09	0.69±0.11	51.83±9.37	26.35±5.78
C73S	8	4.14±0.22	3.11±0.32	0.65±0.05	0.61±0.09	49.35±9.2	24.39±5.63
C73S Starvation	8	3.8±0.71	2.82±0.43	0.69±0.16	0.67±0.24	49.25±11.8	24.89±7.38

Value are means ± SD. n, number; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic dimension, mm; LVESD, left ventricular end systolic dimension, mm; IVSWT, interventricular septal wall thickness, mm; PWT, posterior wall thickness, mm; EF, left ventricular ejection fraction, %; FS, fractional shortening, %. Statistical significance was determined with ANOVA. There is no statistical significance between Wild and Trx1C73S knock-in mice.

Table S2

Group	n	LVEDD	LVESD	IVSWT	PWT	EF (%)	%FS
Wild	5	4.46±0.27	3.22±0.24	0.56±0.07	0.51±0.07	54.30±2.31	27.97±1.41
Wild MI	5	4.76±0.50	3.96±0.67	0.43±0.05	0.45±0.09	35.93±10.54	17.38±5.59
C73S	6	4.45±0.29	3.4±0.32	0.63±0.09	0.54±0.05	47.33±4.89	23.65±2.86
C73S MI	5	4.72±0.26	4.23±0.25	0.53±0.05	0.41±0.05	22.59±5.71*	10.37±2.84*

Value are means ± SD. n, number; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic dimension, mm; LVESD, left ventricular end systolic dimension, mm; IVSWT, interventricular septal wall thickness, mm; PWT, posterior wall thickness, mm; EF, left ventricular ejection fraction, %; FS, fractional shortening, %. Statistical significance was determined with ANOVA. \* p<0.05; vs Wild MI.

Table S3

Group	n	LVEDD	LVESD	IVSWT	PWT	EF (%)	%FS
Wild	6	4.58±0.35	3.33±0.33	0.61±0.07	0.52±0.09	53.27±3.35	27.38±2.03
Wild MI	6	4.65±0.34	3.87±0.49	0.51±0.09	0.47±0.05	35.17±10.09	16.94±5.33
C402S	7	4.37±0.40	3.32±0.35	0.58±0.08	0.49±0.05	48.21±4.86	24.15±2.84
C402S MI	6	4.31±0.44	3.82±0.37	0.47±0.08	0.41±0.10	24.47±5.21*	11.20±2.62*

Value are means ± SD. n, number; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic dimension, mm; LVESD, left ventricular end systolic dimension, mm; IVSWT, interventricular septal wall thickness, mm; PWT, posterior wall thickness, mm; EF, left ventricular ejection fraction, %; FS, fractional shortening, %. Statistical significance was determined with ANOVA. \* p<0.05; vs Wild MI.

## **UNCUT GELS**

# Figure 1B







#### Figure 1D



## Figure 1E



12KD

12KD



Figure 2D



Full blot









# Figure 3B



	Full blot	
-		
6	1790a	
1000		
80.04 °	24.4	
0:4	 	
**		
	~	
~*		

## Figure 3C





#### Figure 3D





Figure 3F





Figure 3G





77

12

50

Full blot



Figure 4B



# Figure 4C









77

12

77 12



Full blot



Full blot





Full blot



## Figure 5D



Figure 5E





## Figure 5F











Figure 6D







