# **BMJ Open** Estimation of tuberculosis incidence at subnational level using three methods to monitor progress towards ending TB in India, 2015–2020

Kathiresan Jeyashree <sup>(i)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Jeromie Thangaraj, <sup>1</sup> Kiran Rade, <sup>2</sup> Bhavesh Modi, <sup>3</sup> Sriram Selvaraju, <sup>4</sup> Saravanakumar Velusamy, <sup>1</sup> Sasidharan Akhil, <sup>1</sup> Mathavaswami Vijayageetha, <sup>1</sup> Dhanapal Sudha Rani, <sup>1</sup> Ramasamy Sabarinathan, <sup>1</sup> Sakthivel Manikandanesan, <sup>1</sup> Rajalakshmi Elumalai, <sup>1</sup> Meenakumari Natarajan <sup>(i)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Bency Joseph, <sup>1</sup> Amarendra Mahapatra, <sup>5</sup> Almas Shamim, <sup>6</sup> Amar Shah, <sup>7</sup> Ashok Bhardwaj, <sup>8</sup> Anil Purty, <sup>9</sup> Bhavin Vadera, <sup>10</sup> Anand Sridhar, <sup>6</sup> Aniket Chowdhury, <sup>6</sup> Asif Shafie, <sup>11</sup> Avijit Choudhury, <sup>2</sup> Deka Dhrubjyoti, <sup>6</sup> Hardik Solanki, <sup>6</sup> Krushna Sirmanwar, <sup>12</sup> Kshitij Khaparde, <sup>6</sup> Malik Parmar, <sup>2</sup> Nisha Dahiya, <sup>11</sup> Parija Debdutta, <sup>6</sup> Quazi Ahmed, <sup>13</sup> Ranjani Ramachandran, <sup>2</sup> Ranjeet Prasad, <sup>11</sup> Rohini Shinde, <sup>11</sup> Rupali Baruah, <sup>14</sup> Sandeep Chauhan, <sup>6</sup> Sandip Bharaswadkar, <sup>6</sup> Shanta Achanta, <sup>6</sup> Burugina Nagaraja Sharath, <sup>15</sup> Shibu Balakrishnan <sup>(i)</sup>, <sup>6</sup> Shivani Chandra, <sup>6</sup> Sophia Khumukcham, <sup>6</sup> Sudarsan Mandal, <sup>11</sup> Sumitha Chalil, <sup>6</sup> Vaibhav Shah, <sup>6</sup> Venkatesh Roddawar, <sup>12</sup> Raghuram Rao, <sup>11</sup> Kuldeep Sachdeva, <sup>11</sup> Manoj Murhekar <sup>(i)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Subnational TB claims verification group

#### To cite: Jeyashree K,

Thangaraj J, Rade K, *et al.* Estimation of tuberculosis incidence at subnational level using three methods to monitor progress towards ending TB in India, 2015–2020. *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e060197. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-060197

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-060197).

KJ and JT contributed equally.

Received 15 December 2021 Accepted 29 June 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Dr Manoj Murhekar; mmurhekar@gmail.com

# ABSTRACT

**Objectives** We verified subnational (state/union territory (UT)/ district) claims of achievements in reducing tuberculosis (TB) incidence in 2020 compared with 2015, in India. **Design** A community-based survey, analysis of programme data and anti-TB drug sales and utilisation data.

**Setting** National TB Elimination Program and private TB treatment settings in 73 districts that had filed a claim to the Central TB Division of India for progress towards TB-free status.

**Participants** Each district was divided into survey units (SU) and one village/ward was randomly selected from each SU. All household members in the selected village were interviewed. Sputum from participants with a history of anti-TB therapy (ATT), those currently experiencing chest symptoms or on ATT were tested using Xpert/Rif/ TrueNat. The survey continued until 30 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* cases were identified in a district.

**Outcome measures** We calculated a direct estimate of TB incidence based on incident cases identified in the survey. We calculated an under-reporting factor by matching these cases within the TB notification system. The TB notification adjusted for this factor was the estimate by the indirect method. We also calculated TB incidence from drug sale data in the private sector and drug utilisation data in the public sector. We compared the three estimates of TB incidence in 2020 with TB incidence in 2015.

**Results** The estimated direct incidence ranged from 19 (Purba Medinipur, West Bengal) to 1457 (Jaintia Hills, Meghalaya) per 100 000 population. Indirect estimates of incidence ranged between 19 (Diu, Dadra and Nagar

# STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ This was the first and largest exercise of its kind to verify subnational progress towards TB-free status in a country.
- ⇒ Incidence of TB was calculated by three methods direct method from a community-based survey, indirect method by correcting the notification rate for under-reporting of cases in the notification system, and using drug sales and utilisation data
- ⇒ Global Positioning System coordinates captured at every surveyed household, in-the-field supervision, real-time data monitoring through state and national dashboards, random review of audio and written transcripts of nominal group technique and key informant interviews, ensured quality of the data.
- ⇒ Though we tested only one spot sputum sample and did not perform chest radiography, we are less likely to have missed patients with TB since we tested all participants currently on anti-TB therapy, with a history of TB or currently experiencing any TB symptoms.

Haveli) and 788 (Dumka, Jharkhand) per 100 000 population. The incidence using drug sale data ranged from 19 per 100 000 population in Diu, Dadra and Nagar Haveli to 651 per 100 000 population in Centenary, Maharashtra.

**Conclusion** TB incidence in 1 state, 2 UTs and 35 districts had declined by at least 20% since 2015. Two districts in India were declared TB free in 2020.

# BACKGROUND

The Government of India (GoI) has set an ambitious goal for ending tuberculosis (TB) by reducing the incidence of new TB cases by 80% by 2025 compared with 2015.<sup>1</sup> India has a high TB burden (2.69 million cases in 2019), with a notification rate of approximately 159 cases/100 000 population.<sup>2</sup> There is a wide variation in TB burden across the country. The efforts toward ending TB also vary across states/union territories (UTs) and districts of India. It is, therefore, crucial to monitor the progress towards the elimination goal at the subnational level.

The Central TB Division (CTD) of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, GoI decided to incentivise states/ UTs/districts for their progress towards TB-free status.<sup>3 4</sup> Under this initiative, the CTD sought claims from states/ UTs/districts for achievements in reducing TB incidence in 2020 compared with 2015. These achievements were considered under four categories: 20%–39% (bronze), 40%–59% (silver), 60%–79% (gold) and  $\geq 80\%$  (TB free).

The claims received by the CTD were to be independently verified by an external agency. A national task force comprising experts in the field of TB epidemiology drafted methodology for this verification. The objective of the verification process was to estimate the incidence of TB in 2020, calculate the decline in the incidence of TB between 2015 and 2020 and verify the claim made by the respective state/UT/district for progress towards TB-free status. We describe the methodology of the verification process and the challenges faced during its implementation.

#### **METHODS**

The verification process included a community-based survey and review of programme data and anti-TB drug utilisation data in each district. Incidence of TB for each district was estimated by three methods: (1) through a community-based survey (direct method), (2) by correcting the notification rate for under-reporting of cases in the notification system (indirect method) and (3) using drug sales and utilisation data.

#### Study settings

The District Tuberculosis Centre functions as the nodal point for all TB control activities under the National Tuberculosis Elimination Program (NTEP) in the district through a tuberculosis unit (TU) at the subdistrict level. The first points of contact with the community where TB diagnosis and treatment initiation happens are peripheral health institutions manned by at least one medical officer and Health and wellness centres equipped to provide comprehensive Primary healthcare. TB is a notifiable disease in India since 2012. TB diagnosis and treatment are offered free of cost under the NTEP. Nikshay is the standard notification system that also captures patients diagnosed in the private sector.

# **Community-based household surveys** Sampling procedure

Sixty-seven districts, one state and two UTs had filed claims under different categories of achievement. For verifying the claim from the state, we selected four districts using probability proportionate to size (PPS) sampling. The probability of selection of the district was proportional to the size of the population of the district. The districts with a higher population had a higher probability of selection into the sample. Thus, we verified the claims in a total of 73 districts. We divided each district into survey units (SU) based on the number of TUs in it to represent the entire district in the household survey. We divided the districts with <5 TUs into 5 SU, districts with 6–10 TUs into 10 SU and districts with >10 TUs into 15 SU. We selected one village/ward from each SU by PPS sampling (probability of selection of cluster proportionate to its population size) from the 2011 census list of villages and wards.

#### Sample size

We used the inverse sampling method to detect a predetermined number of bacteriologically positive TB patients in each district. Inverse sampling is a sampling technique to estimate a proportion (P) for rare events.<sup>5</sup> In this method, the survey is continued until a predetermined number of rare events (m) are detected in the surveyed population. The required sample size (n) is not known in advance, contrary to the conventional cluster sampling. We decided to detect 30 bacteriologically confirmed TB cases in a district, assuming an expected proportion of TB of 274 cases/100 000 population and a coefficient of variation of 18.5.

# Survey procedure

In each selected cluster, the survey teams started from a randomly selected household and moved sequentially. Using an android application developed by the WHO India, the trained survey teams interviewed participants of any gender, and all ages, residing in the selected village/ ward for at least 1 year. Those who reported any symptoms (persistent cough for  $\geq 2$  weeks, fever for  $\geq 2$  weeks, significant weight loss, presence of blood in sputum any time during last 6 months, chest pain in last 1 month), or were currently on anti-TB treatment (ATT) or had a history of ATT, were eligible for sputum collection. The teams obtained written informed consent from those eligible and collected one spot sputum sample, which was transported according to NTEP Guidelines. The nearest NTEP testing centre performed a rapid molecular test (CBNAAT or TrueNat) for Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB).<sup>67</sup> The teams uploaded the results to the web page of the application within 24-48 hours of collection.

The survey continued until 30 cases of TB were detected from each district. If the desired number of bacteriologically positive TB patients were not achieved within the selected cluster, we selected the next geographically adjacent cluster and repeated the process. The survey was stopped once the target of 30 TB cases were detected. We considered additional stop rules for districts that could not detect 30 TB cases—either covering 10000 households or 5% of the total population, whichever they met first.

# Data analysis

We used the survey data to calculate the incidence of TB in 2020 by two methods—direct and indirect. The direct method used incident MTB cases detected during the survey (defined as those with a history of ATT between April 2020 and March 2021, those currently on ATT on the date of survey with a date of diagnosis between April 2020 and March 2021 and those newly testing positive during the survey) as the numerator and total population surveyed as the denominator. In the indirect method, we matched the incident cases detected among the surveyed population with those notified in Nikshay database. We calculated an under-reporting factor for each district using the number of TB cases that could not be matched with Nikshay database. We adjusted the Nikshay-based TB notification rate for 2020 using this factor.

# Secondary data verification

We verified the programmatic data and TB drug utilisation data from the public and private sectors using rifampicin as the indicator drug.

# TB drug utilisation data

We obtained data about rifampicin consumption in the public sector for 2015-2020 from NTEP drug consumption registers. We collected sales data of rifampicincontaining drugs in the private sector from Clearing and Forwarding (C&F) agencies, drug manufacturers, distributors, chemists, drug commissioners, drug inspectors and private practitioners. All formulations, paediatric and adult, fixed-dose combinations, and separate tablets were included. Besides the incomplete nature of recording and maintaining data in the private sector, certain issues challenged the validity of private sector drug sale data. In some districts where the private sector was non-existent, people were purchasing drugs from nearby districts. Some patients notified from the private sector were being treated under the public sector. Also, some rifampicincontaining drugs were used for conditions other than TB, and the number of drug formulations were many; each being prescribed in a multitude of dosing regimens. We obtained this information through qualitative research techniques. Trained members conducted 2-3 nominal group technique (NGT) sessions with chemists, private practitioners and drug manufacturers and 5 key informal interviews (KII) with state drug controller, drug inspectors, and C&F agency in the district. The data were collected in paper forms and uploaded to the web portal developed by Indian Council of Medical Research-National Institute of Epidemiology (ICMR-NIE).

# Data quality assurance

We formed verification teams at the central, state and district levels and trained them to standardise data collection and analysis. The district verification teams trained and supervised the district survey teams. Central and state teams supervised the secondary data verification performed by district verification teams. Data analysis: For a given drug (i), based on the quantity of drug consumed in the public sector, we calculated patient months treated for TB, using the following formula:

Patient months in the Public sector for drug (i) = Number of

Rifampicin – containing drug (i) packs solds in 1 year  $\times$  Ti

where Ti is the number of treatment months represented by each unit of that drug i.

We calculated Ti of a given formulation, the strength of rifampicin in it, and its dosing regimen, based on the inputs from qualitative interviews.

We adjusted private sector drug sale data for rifampicin usage for non-TB conditions and coverage of drug sales data.

Patient months in the private sector for drug i  $= \frac{No. of packs sold}{Ci} \times Ti \times Xi$ 

Ti—the number of treatment months represented by each unit of sale of drug i.

Xi-the proportion of sale of drug i intended for tuberculosis.

Ci-the proportion of sales of drug i that has been reported.

We calculated the drug data-based TB incidence by dividing the total patient months (sum of patient months in the public and private sector) by the average duration of treatment for TB and the district's population.

# Comparison of TB incidence between 2015 and 2020

We estimated the incidence of TB for 2020 for each district based on direct, indirect and drug data-based methods.<sup>89</sup> We compared these estimates with the baseline TB incidence for 2015. This baseline incidence was calculated based on TB notification under the programme, presumptive TB examination rate, presence of private sector facilities in the district, drug sales data (for state-level incidence) and local intelligence. For district-level TB incidence estimations, state-level estimations were divided into districts with district-level weights for public sector notification rates, the number of private health facilities, private sector notification rates and presumptive examination per bacteriologically positive case. The criteria for recommending a district for subnational claims for progress towards TB-free status are summarised in table 1.

# Patient and public involvement

Patients and members of the community were not part of the conception and design of the study. Volunteers from the community were involved in community mobilisation/sensitisation and survey data collection.

# RESULTS

#### **TB incidence: direct method**

Survey teams (n=645) visited 355171 households across 73 districts and interviewed 1184106 (86.4%) individuals who consented to participate in the survey. Of the total participants, 52% (n=617500) were females, 28%

Table 1         Criteria for the recommendation of recognition for	r achievements in progress towards TB-free status in India, 2020
Criteria	Recommendation for certification/award
Any of the three incidence estimates* higher than 10% of the baseline estimate	Not recommended under any category
At least two of the three-point estimates of decline in incidence support claimed category and none of the incidence estimates shows an increase from baseline incidence	Recommended under eligible/claimed category
At least two of the three-point estimates of decline in incidence support claimed category and the other point estimate of incidence shows an increase of up to 10% from baseline incidence	If lower bound of the incidence estimate is more than 10% of the baseline estimate, then not recommended for any category. Else recommended under claimed category
At least two of the three-point estimates of decline in incidence support higher than the claimed category	If lower bounds of CIs of those estimates of decline in incidence are found to support higher category, recommended under higher category
All three incidence estimates are <44/100 000 population	Tuberculosis (TB)-free status for that year
*The three estimates refer to the decline in the incidence of TB bet by direct, indirect and drug data-based methods.	ween 2015 and 2020 calculated using the TB incidence in 2020 calculated

(n=333569) were aged between 15 and 30 years and chemis 79% (n=935256) were Hindu by religion. Overall, 24 410 KII. In

79% (n=935256) were Hindu by religion. Overall, 24 410 (2.06%) reported one or more symptoms, 6371 (0.5%) had a history of ATT and 1041 (0.1%) were currently on ATT. Of the total 24422 samples tested, survey detected 998 MTB cases.

Among the 73 districts surveyed, the criteria of stop rule was achieved in 25 districts (30 active cases with TB detected in 11 districts, 10000 households surveyed in 6 districts, 5% of the district population surveyed in 8 districts). The remaining 48 districts did not meet any of the three stop rules. The estimated incidence of TB using the direct method ranged from 19 (Purba Medinipur, West Bengal) to 1457 (Jaintia hills, Meghalaya) per 100000 in different Indian districts (table 2, online supplemental table 1, figure 1).

# **TB** incidence: indirect method

Of the 1507 incident cases (currently on ATT and history of TB between April 2020 and March 2021), excluding those newly diagnosed during the survey, 358 (23.8%) cases could not be verified with Nikshay. In 25 of the 73 districts, all incident TB cases could be matched within Nikshay indicating that there was no under-reporting. The under-reporting was <20% in 18 districts, between 21% and 40% in 18 districts, 41% and 60% in 8 and 61% and 80% in 4 districts (table 3). Adjusting the TB notification rate in 2020 from Nikshay for the level of under-reporting observed, the estimated incidence of TB ranged between 19 (Diu, Dadra and Nagar Haveli) and 788 (Dumka, Jharkhand) per 100000 population (table 2, online supplemental table 1, figure 1).

# TB incidence: drug consumption/sale data-based method

Overall, 1329 individuals including 475 (35.7%) private providers, 679 (51.1%) chemists, and 175 (13.2%) drug inspectors, assistant drug controller, president of

chemist association or Stockists participated in NGT/ KII. In the private sector, the median (IQR) duration of treatment given to patients treated in the private sector in different districts was 6 (6, 6) months, a median (IQR) 100% (99%–100%) of the drug sale was intended for TB and the median (IQR) coverage of sales data was 95% (80%, 100%). The incidence of TB using drug sale data ranged from 19 per 100000 population in Diu, Dadra and Nagar Haveli to 651 per 100000 population in Centenary, Maharashtra (table 2, online supplemental table 1, figure 1).

The agreement between the three estimates is presented in figure 2. Based on the verification criteria enlisted in table 1, we recommended certifying Lakshadweep (UT) and Budgam district, Jammu & Kashmir as TB free ( $\geq$ 80% reduction in TB incidence). We recommended Diu district for award under the gold category (60%–79% reduction in TB incidence) and four districts under silver (40%–59% reduction in TB incidence). Twenty-eight districts, one UT and one state were recommended for the bronze category (20%–39% reduction in TB incidence).

# DISCUSSION

We conducted a nationwide exercise in India in 2020 to verify the subnational claims submitted by different states/districts/UTs for various levels of progress towards TB-free status. In 2 of the 73 districts, the incidence was less than the national target for 2025 of 44 per 100000 population. Compared with the incidence in 2015, the incidence in 2020 declined by 60%–80% in 1 district, 40%–60% in 4 districts and 20%–40% in 28 districts, 1 UT and 1 state.

Month Fundent         State in the integration         121         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         132         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         133         <	State	District	Incidence: indirect (per 100 000 population)	Incidence: direct (per 100 000 population)	Incidence: drug data (per 100000 population)	Baseline incidence (per 100 000 population)	Decline: indirect (%)	Decline: direct (%)	Decline: drug data (%)
Vietnagenerie         (17)         (22)         (17)         (26)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)         (17)	Andhra Pradesh	Srikakulam	121	151	139	177	32	15	21
durational particular         East frameger         280         175         51           functional protein         139         210         210         21         21           functional protein         130         210         21         23         21         21           function         130         212         24         24         21         21           Mast Sanger         220         130         21         24         24         24           Demontion         131         147         25         24         24         24           Demontion         131         131         23         24         24         24           Demontion         132         147         23         24         24         24           Demontion         132         141         23         24         24         24           Demontion         132         141         24         24         24         24           Demontion         142         24         24         24         24         24           Demontion         142         24         24         24         24         24           Demontion <td< td=""><td></td><td>Vizianagaram</td><td>167</td><td>229</td><td>113</td><td>227</td><td>26</td><td>Ţ</td><td>50</td></td<>		Vizianagaram	167	229	113	227	26	Ţ	50
East Sang         19         211         52         213         54         51           Tapic         223         273         24         24         24         24           Mer Sang         28         14         26         24         24         24           Mer Sang         18         14         26         14         7         7         24         24           Mer Sang         18         14         16         14         26         14         7         24         24           Mer Sang         18         14         16         16         16         16         16         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24         24	Arunachal Pradesh	East Kameng	299	175	82	360	17	51	27
Ing         28         27         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64         64		East Siang	139	201	52	342	59	41	85
Meet Sange, Facing         Eq.         141         -79         -30           Darken Might, Dark Nager Hauei         137         15         99         17         59         50           Darman, Diaman         13         13         13         13         13         50         50         50           Darman, Diaman         13         13         13         13         13         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50         50		Tirap	328	278	54	245	-34	-13	78
Darian Name         Daria Nagar Haoei         127         55         66           Daman, Usi         13         14         14         22         17         50         56           Daman, Usi         13         14         14         14         14         54         54           Daman, Usi         12         12         12         12         54         54         54           Alact         12         12         12         12         12         55         56           Alact         13         14         12         14         12         57         56           Metana         14         14         12         14         12         56         56           Metana         15         14         14         14         157         56         56           Metana         15         14         14         157         16         16         16           Metana         15         15         14         14         157         16         16           Metana         15         15         14         16         16         16         16           Metana         15		West Siang	252	184	26	141	-79	-30	82
Dimun, Due         Dame, Due         118         147         78         22         47         54           Du         Du         1         3         1         3         1         3         3           Du         Du         13         0         13         0         12         0         13         54         55           Derbuni/Dorris         51         13         14         13         34         55         56           Mensare         19         14         14         15         14         56         56           Perbundir         86         14         15         14         15         56         56           Perbundir         16         14         16         14         56         56         56           Interval         15         16         14         16         16         56         56           Interval         16         16         16         16         16         56         56           Interval         16         16         16         16         16         56         56           Interval         16         16         16         16	Dadra Nagar Haveli,	Dadra Nagar Haveli	137	75	66	171	20	56	42
Du         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10<	Daman, Diu	Daman	118	147	78	222	47	34	65
Bilart         Biad         12         69         76         75         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         55         <		Diu	19	48	19	102	81	53	81
Derblumi banka         66         40         64         128         65         69           Mesana         191         181         233         347         45         48           Mesana         191         181         233         347         45         48           Mesana         191         181         144         159         243         69         48           Mesana         190         127         164         74         57         56         58           Mesana         190         128         74         242         56         58         56           Menu         190         150         128         140         26         57         58         58           Menu         190         150         128         140         27         56         58           Menu         101         147         140         24         57         58         58           Metanu         168         174         164         174         219         51         58         58           Metanu         168         168         164         164         164         16         51         58 <td>Gujarat</td> <td>Botad</td> <td>112</td> <td>69</td> <td>76</td> <td>154</td> <td>27</td> <td>55</td> <td>51</td>	Gujarat	Botad	112	69	76	154	27	55	51
Mehsena         191         181         233         347         45         46           Pobandar         86         144         150         213         60         23           Pobandar         86         147         166         127         91         24         86           Hajkot         107         166         74         242         59         46           Handar         183         111         140         237         52         5         5           Handar         166         124         140         214         26         5         5         5           Handar         169         127         140         213         263         56         53         5           Mehstar         169         169         140         214         219         263         55         5         5           Mehstar         169         161         140         214         213         263         263         55         53         53         53         53         53         53         53         53         53         53         53         53         53         53         53         53         <		Devbhumi Dwarka	58	40	64	128	55	69	50
Potendar         66         14         150         14         50         22           Rajkot         100         17         10         17         24         59         48           Rajkot         100         17         16         17         24         59         48           Randor         100         153         111         140         27         59         56           Manchal Paden         19         12         141         140         23         56         56         56           Kimau         19         102         147         140         24         56         57         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         57         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56         56 </td <td></td> <td>Mehsana</td> <td>191</td> <td>181</td> <td>233</td> <td>347</td> <td>45</td> <td>48</td> <td>33</td>		Mehsana	191	181	233	347	45	48	33
Indertabute         Intertabute         Intertabute <thintertabute< th=""> <thintertabute< th=""></thintertabute<></thintertabute<>		Porbandar	86	144	150	213	60	32	30
The Dange         107         166         74         157         22         6           Innachal Padesh         Hampur         133         111         140         237         35         53           Kindart         139         152         139         129         237         35         53           Kindart         139         139         139         129         243         263         31           Kindart         101         102         243         243         263         31           Lahu-Spiti         102         147         140         219         32         31           Markhand         101         101         141         249         51         31         32           Markhand         101         101         249         157         161         113           Markhand         101         101         249         161         123         143         161           Markhand         101         101         124         157         161         123           Markhand         101         101         161         161         161         123           Markhand         101		Rajkot	100	127	91	242	59	48	62
Innotal Padesh         Hampur         163         111         140         237         55         53           Kangar         19         152         152         152         220         46         31           Kangar         19         152         129         223         263         57         53           Kinaur         120         121         147         140         237         57         38           Lahu-Split         122         123         214         214         214         214           Una         101         294         114         237         57         38           Inthadu         93         136         124         214         13           Inthadu         138         136         137         214         213           Inthadu         213         136         137         214         213           Inthadu         213         213         214         214         213           Inthadu         213         213         214         214         214           Inthadu         213         213         214         214         214           Inthadu         213		The Dangs	107	166	74	157	32	9	53
Kangat         19         15         12         20         46         31           Kimaur         159         159         159         243         263         26         27           Lahu-Split         102         120         213         263         26         27           Lahu-Split         102         147         140         219         57         28         27           Una         101         294         114         24         57         38           Inthunu         101         284         102         102         114         127         31           Inthunu         101         284         102         264         147         147         13           Inthunu         186         136         102         264         147         145         145           Inthunu         186         137         167         167         169         123           Inthunu         163         163         167         167         169         123           Inthunu         168         164         167         169         123         123           Inthunu         163         163 <td< td=""><td><b>Himachal Pradesh</b></td><td>Hamirpur</td><td>153</td><td>111</td><td>140</td><td>237</td><td>35</td><td>53</td><td>41</td></td<>	<b>Himachal Pradesh</b>	Hamirpur	153	111	140	237	35	53	41
Kimaur         150         180         243         263         26           Lahul-Spiti         102         62         174         219         57         23           Lahul-Spiti         102         62         147         140         237         57         24           Lahul-Spiti         101         147         140         24         57         38           Lahul-Spiti         101         294         114         24         54         31           Lahuu         186         136         102         157         41         13           Markhaud         Dumka         788         579         264         14         14         14           Markhaud         Dumka         788         136         102         64         14         14         14           Markhaud         Dumka         789         74         74         24         24         24         24         24           Palanu         169         102         264         102         64         123         24         24           Markhaud         Edemand         103         102         224         24         24         24		Kangra	119	152	129	220	46	31	41
Lahul-Spti         102         62         174         219         53         72           Una         101         147         140         237         57         38           Iamuu         Kathua         101         147         140         237         57         38           Iamuu         Kathua         101         294         114         224         57         31           Udhampur         93         136         102         157         41         13           Markhand         186         579         264         321         -145         -60           Palanu         186         136         135         137         262         145         -145           Parkhinishighbhun         213         1029         155         167         262         -145           Parkhinishighbhun         213         1029         157         262         149         -293           Ashmit         Budgan         20         37         282         299         -139           Ashmit         Endedute         21         28         29         29         293           Ashmit         Endemu         29         102 </td <td></td> <td>Kinnaur</td> <td>159</td> <td>198</td> <td>243</td> <td>263</td> <td>40</td> <td>25</td> <td>8</td>		Kinnaur	159	198	243	263	40	25	8
Imate         Internation         Internation <th< td=""><td></td><td>Lahul–Spiti</td><td>102</td><td>62</td><td>174</td><td>219</td><td>53</td><td>72</td><td>21</td></th<>		Lahul–Spiti	102	62	174	219	53	72	21
Immu         Kathua         I01         294         114         54         51         31           Idhampur         93         136         102         157         141         13           Idhampur         93         788         579         24         55         90           Intrkhand         Dunka         788         579         24         14         13           Intrkhand         Dunka         788         579         262         145         90           Palanu         186         139         165         262         197         69         293           Ashchinslinghbun         213         1029         65         262         197         293         293           Ashchinslinghbun         213         1029         65         216         219         293           Ashchinslinghbun         213         30         181         228         293         2193           Ashchinslinghbun         21         69         210         210         219         213           Ashchinslinghbun         21         29         129         212         213         213           Ashchinslinghbun         21		Una	101	147	140	237	57	38	41
Udhampur         0dhampur         03         136         102         157         41         13           Iharkhaud         Dunka         78         579         264         321         -145         80           Palanu         186         439         135         197         6         -135         -103           Palanu         186         135         135         197         6         -135         -133           Pashchimslinghbuu         213         1029         65         262         197         6         -233           Pashchimslinghbuu         213         1029         65         262         197         6         -233           Ashmir         Budgam         20         37         30         104         81         -139           Ashmir         Emakulam         67         69         82         120         139         -139           Kaargod         47         29         104         112         69         149         64           Kaargod         64         107         109         112         69         74           Kaargod         64         107         112         69         74	Jammu	Kathua	101	294	114	224	55	-31	49
Inarkhaud         Dumka         78         579         264         21         -145         60           Palanu         18         135         197         6         -135         -103           Palanu         186         139         135         197         6         -135           Pashchimi Singhbun         213         1029         65         262         19         -203           Rankuch         Budgam         163         545         181         228         29         -139           Anhir         Budgam         20         37         30         104         81         -139           Ashuk         Emakulam         67         69         82         104         81         43           Keargod         47         29         107         50         147         56         74           Kolam         64         107         50         147         56         74           Matapuram         74         74         74         74         74           Matapuram         77         99         57         56         77		Udhampur	93	136	102	157	41	13	35
Palamu         186         439         135         197         6         -123           Rashchin Singhbun         213         1029         65         262         19         293         293           Rashchin Singhbun         213         1029         65         282         19         293         2139           Ashchin Singhbun         163         545         181         228         29         2139           Ashchin Sudum         20         37         30         104         81         64           Ashchin Budgam         67         69         82         120         84         43           Keata         74         78         72         74         74         74           Keata         64         107         50         147         56         74           Kolam         64         76         74         74         74           Kolam         64         76         74         74         74           Kolam         64         76         74         74         74           Kolam         74         74         74         74         74           Matopuranto         74	Jharkhand	Dumka	788	579	264	321	-145	-80	18
Pashchimi Singhburn         213         1029         65         262         19         -293           Rankur         Badarkharsawan         163         545         181         228         29         -139           Ashnir         Budgam         20         37         30         104         81         69         -139           Ashnir         Emakulam         67         82         104         81         64         43           Arata         Emakulam         67         82         120         147         64         43           Kollam         64         107         50         147         56         27           Malapuram         77         37         49         27         56         27           Ashadweep         27         28         27         56         27         25		Palamu	186	439	135	197	6	-123	31
Saraikela-Kharsawan         163         545         181         228         29         -139           Ashmir         Budgam         20         37         30         104         81         64           Ashmir         Budgam         20         37         30         104         81         64           Ashuk         Ernakulam         67         69         82         120         44         43           Kasargod         47         29         48         112         58         74           Kollam         64         107         50         147         56         27           Malapuram         47         37         49         99         53         63           Ashadweep         27         28         27         36         25         27		Pashchimi Singhbhum	213	1029	65	262	19	-293	75
(ashmir         Budgam         20         37         30         104         81         64           (arala         Emakulam         67         69         82         120         44         43           (arala         Kasargod         47         29         48         112         58         74           (kolam         64         107         50         147         56         27           Malapuram         47         37         49         99         53         63           Ashadweep         Lakshadweep         27         28         27         36         25         27		Saraikela-Kharsawan	163	545	181	228	29	-139	21
Gerala         Emakulam         67         69         82         120         44         43           Kasargod         47         29         48         112         58         74           Kollam         64         107         50         147         56         27           Malappuram         47         37         49         99         53         63           akshadweep         Lakshadweep         27         28         27         26         27	(ashmir	Budgam	20	37	30	104	81	64	71
Kasargod         47         29         48         112         58         74           Kollam         64         107         50         147         56         27           Malapuram         47         37         49         99         53         63           akshadweep         27         28         27         36         22         22	<pre>(erala</pre>	Ernakulam	67	69	82	120	44	43	32
Kollam         64         107         50         147         56         27           Malapuram         47         37         49         99         53         63           akshadweep         27         28         27         36         25         22		Kasargod	47	29	48	112	58	74	57
Malappuram         47         37         49         99         53         63           akshadweep         27         28         27         36         25         22		Kollam	64	107	50	147	56	27	66
akshadweep Lakshadweep 27 28 27 28 22		Malappuram	47	37	49	66	53	63	51
	Lakshadweep	Lakshadweep	27	28	27	36	25	22	25

Jeyashree K, et al. BMJ Open 2022;12:e060197. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-060197

Iable 2 Continued								
State	District	Incidence: indirect (per 100 000 population)	Incidence: direct (per 100 000 population)	Incidence: drug data (per 100000 population)	Baseline incidence (per 100 000 population)	Decline: indirect (%)	Decline: direct (%)	Decline: drug data (%)
Madhya Pradesh	Alirazpur	132	184	66	109	-21	-69	39
	Betul	169	98	94	177	S	45	47
	Harda	104	359	64	357	71	Ŧ	82
	Narsinghpur	165	291	190	219	25	-33	13
	Sehore	150	414	170	182	18	-127	7
	Umaria	248	586	134	175	-42	-235	23
Maharashtra	Kolhapur	43	61	45	158	73	61	72
	Nashik	43	60	40	112	62	46	64
	Ratnagiri	75	128	119	203	63	37	41
	Satara	67	49	85	179	63	73	53
	Sindhudurg	79	80	86	143	45	44	40
	Bhiwandi Nizampur	694	310	296	346	-101	10	14
Mumbai	Centenary	400	572	651	686	42	17	5
	Ghatkopar	269	512	273	583	54	12	53
	Grant Road	314	55	130	351	11	84	63
	Parel	324	149	224	671	52	78	67
	Prabhadevi	336	158	66	338	Ŧ	53	71
Meghalaya	East Khasi Hills	408	491	188	344	-19	-43	45
	Jaintia	341	1457	188	292	-17	-399	36
	West Khasi Hills	231	267	102	166	-39	-61	39
Mizoram	Mamit	95	112	62	101	6	-11	39
Nagaland	Kiphire	208	308	NA	150	-39	-105	I
Odisha	Dhenkanal	122	136	75	121	Ŧ	-12	38
	Sonapur	94	286	54	87	8-	-229	38
Puducherry	Puducherry	115	49	86	185	38	74	54
Punjab	Fatehgarh Sahib	69	35	97	152	55	77	36
	Kapurthala	50	101	57	169	70	40	43
	Nawanshahr	98	87	50	252	61	65	80
Rajasthan	Bhilwara	198	456	140	366	46	-25	62
	Rajsamand	184	287	95	266	31	8-	64
Tamil Nadu	Thiruvannamalai	64	66	70	135	53	51	48
Telangana	Bhadradri Kothaguden	n 285	281	172	425	33	34	60
	Khammam	227	160	232	251	10	36	8
	Nizamabad	146	77	162	269	46	71	40
	Kamareddy	144	139	167	136	-9	-2	-23
								Continued

6

Table 2 Continued								
State	District	Incidence: indirect (per 100 000 population)	Incidence: direct (per 100 000 population)	Incidence: drug data (per 100000 population)	Baseline incidence (per 100 000 population)	Decline: indirect (%)	Decline: direct (%)	Decline: drug data (%)
Tripura	Dhalai	48	286	64	122	61	-134	48
	North Tripura	67	411	68	156	57	-163	56
	South Tripura	38	217	48	113	66	-92	58
	West Tripura	76	145	83	238	68	39	65
West Bengal	Nadia	06	200	60	173	48	-16	65
	Purba Medinipur	36	19	38	145	75	87	74

# Strengths

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first and largest exercise of its kind to verify subnational progress towards TB-free status in a country. The use of anti-TB drug sales and consumption data available in the programme or primary data collected from multiple sources to estimate incidence was used on such a large scale for the first time, including both public and private sectors.<sup>8</sup><sup>10</sup> Three different methods of estimation of TB incidence were employed so that the strengths of one method shall overcome the limitations of another. We used the underreporting factor calculated from the survey to adjust the incidence derived from the Nikshay notification portal to obtain a more realistic estimate. Similarly, the drug data from the private sector was adjusted using correction factors obtained from qualitative research techniques. Quality assurance of this process was multipronged and at multiple levels. We captured Global Positioning System coordinates at every household included in the survey process. Besides, we ensured in-the-field supervision, real-time monitoring of the data through state and national dashboards, review of audio and written transcripts of NGT and KIIs, and the quality of the data at every level. The web application developed by the WHO and ICMR-NIE as a one-time effort is now available for efficient and quality implementation of future rounds of this exercise.

# Limitations

We tested eligible participants identified from the survey using only one spot sputum sample. Though we screened for 'any TB symptom', and tested all persons reporting symptoms, currently on ATT or reporting history of consumption of ATT, the survey might have missed patients with new onset extrapulmonary TB. We did not use chest radiography (CXR) to screen the survey participants. Though CXR has higher accuracy than symptom screening alone, screening for 'any TB symptom' had a high sensitivity of 90% on par with the 94% sensitivity of CXR.<sup>11</sup> Further, we included participants currently on ATT and those with a history of TB who are likely to have been the people who would have been captured by CXR. Chadha et al have reported a significant additional yield (3.3%-21.3%) of cases when screening for a history of ATT.<sup>12</sup> Hence, we might not have missed a substantial number of eligible participants to have influenced our incidence estimates.

Though we used the inverse sampling technique, since most districts did not attain the target number of cases, we proceeded with the calculation variance and 95% CI consistent with random sampling. Thus, the survey could have ended up studying a larger sample than would have been required had an appropriate sample size calculation been performed based on the incidence of TB in that region.<sup>13</sup> In the light of this experience, for the future rounds of this exercise, we recommend recalculation of the target number of cases to be achieved by the districts based on their baseline incidence and population.



Figure 1 Comparison of baseline tuberculosis (TB) incidence with direct, indirect and drug data based TB incidence for subnational verification of TB claims in India, 2020.

We could not adjust the private sector drug data-based incidence calculation for the proportion of private sector patients taking treatment from the public sector in some districts. Thus, we could have overestimated the incidence based on drug data calculation in those districts. But we do not anticipate this might have influenced our recommendations as the drug data-based incidence estimates were the lowest of the three estimates in most districts. Though drug sale and utilisation data-based incidence estimation posed challenges, we have established a baseline estimate through this exercise against which estimates from future rounds can be compared.

# Anti-TB drug sale data for incidence estimation

A comprehensive estimation of the burden of TB, especially in a high Tb burden country, should account for all patients with TB irrespective of their place of diagnosis and compliance with treatment. Though an efficient national TB elimination programme is in place in India offering free diagnosis and treatment

Table 3         Under reporting of active tuberculosis (TB)
cases in Nikshay portal calculated as part of verification of
subnational claims for progress towards TB-free status in
India, 2020

Under-reporting	Districts, n
Nil	25
≤20%	18
21%-40%	18
41%-60%	8
61%-80%	4
>80%	None

for TB, a sizeable proportion of TB patients are diagnosed and treated in the private sector.<sup>14</sup> With the availability of many anti-TB drug formulations over the counter, compounded by the varying prescription practices for TB treatment and with an average duration of treatment of 2–6 months,<sup>8 15</sup> counting the number of patients treated for TB in the private sector is challenging.<sup>16 17</sup> This complex heterogeneity in the private sector TB diagnosis and care makes the usefulness of drug sale data in burden estimation and monitoring apparent. The data from the private TB drug market also have some limitations, such as its lack of organised and complete recording and reporting.<sup>15 18</sup>

It was challenging to enlist all drugs available in the market and calculate the number of treatment months represented by each unit of sale of drug (Ti) for each of them, given the various formulations and dosing regimens. Through multiple rounds of training, hands-on sessions, and field visits to interview chemists, programme staff and private practitioners, we standardised the list of the drugs and their Ti. We recommend using this list with modifications in the future rounds without repeating the exercise in its entirety. Our study captures the incomplete reporting of TB drug sales data in the private sector, and we have corrected the private sector drug sale volume for the issues mentioned above.

Individual district divisions under metropolitan cities had applied separately for TB-free status. It was challenging to obtain drug sales data at the disaggregated level as the data are usually available at the level of metro city only.



Figure 2 Estimates of tuberculosis (TB) incidence per 100 000 population by three methods, India, 2020.

#### Agreement between incidence estimates

In 45 districts, all three estimates of TB incidence suggested a decline in the incidence of TB. An efficient Tb notification system with minimal or no underreporting and diligent maintenance of drug sales and utilisation data ensured that all three estimates agreed. In 17 districts, the indirect and drug data-based estimates pointed toward a decline, while the direct estimate pointed an increase in TB incidence since 2015. National TB Elimination Programme misses 10% of estimated drug-sensitive TB and 50% of estimated drug-resistant TB cases. (India TB report 2020). Even after the adjustment for the under-reporting, indirect estimates were lower than the direct estimate in these districts, as the under-reporting factor might not have captured the full extent of under-reporting.<sup>19</sup> This could have been due to the sampling error induced variations in the direct estimate and the under-reporting factor used to calculate the indirect estimate.

In 11 districts where 2 estimates pointed towards an increase in incidence, the only estimate pointing towards a decline in incidence was the drug data-based estimate. It is likely that the drug data from these districts could be incomplete, leading to a very low estimate of the incidence by this method. This might be because, in some districts, the private sector does not exist and people migrate to nearby districts to buy ATT. Though we tried to capture this proportion through NGT/KIIs, it is still likely to be an underestimate. Rifampicin is a Schedule H1 drug that cannot be sold in retail without a prescription from a registered medical practitioner and the details of the supply shall be recorded in a separate register, maintained for 3 years, and be available for inspection. Despite this fact, chemists' reporting of rifampicin sales is incomplete, leading to missing drug sales data. In such cases, the drug data-based incidence might show a trend opposite the other two estimates. To avoid decisions based on an apparent steep decline in one incidence estimate, we had framed the verification criteria based on reduction suggested by at least two of the three estimates.

### The possible impact of COVID-19

Given that we carried out the exercise during the pandemic, we would like to describe its possible impact on our estimates. The pandemic and the control measures instituted might have led to under notification of TB due to the constraints under which the general healthcare system of the country functioned during this period.<sup>19</sup> In September 2020, the NTEP announced a Rapid Response Plan to mitigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on TB epidemic and NTEP activities, which called for bidirectional TB-COVID screening.<sup>20</sup> It is likely that TB notification might have increased after implementation of this plan.

#### Implications for policy and future research

Based on the experience of subnational verification of progress towards TB-free status in India in 2020, we make the following recommendations. First, yearly monitoring of progress towards TB-free status is to be carried out at subnational level by setting up a standardised mechanism for periodic aggregation and reporting of data at the TU and district level. Second, it is essential to ensure universal and complete reporting of Schedule H drug sale data providing details of the prescriber, patient, indication, name of drug and quantity sold. Third, there is a need to refine the methodology of drug data-based incidence estimation and ensure better quality of drug data required for incidence estimation. This can be done by sensitisation of all the stakeholders including the drug controllers, drug inspectors, C&F agencies and private practitioners regarding recording and reporting of drug sale and utilisation data.

# CONCLUSION

Subnational verification of claims for progress towards TB-free status in India showed that the incidence of TB in 1 state, 2 UTs and 35 districts has declined by atleast 20% since 2015. Two districts in India were TB free in 2020.

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>ICMR- National Institute of Epidemiology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India
 <sup>2</sup>World Health Organization, Country Office for India, New Delhi, India
 <sup>3</sup>GMERS Medical College & Civil Hospital, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India
 <sup>4</sup>ICMR - National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India
 <sup>5</sup>ICMR-Regional Medical Research Centre, Bhubaneswar, Orissa, India
 <sup>6</sup>WHO-NTEP Technical Assistance Project, New Delhi, India

<sup>7</sup>USAID India Mission, New Delhi, Delhi, India

<sup>8</sup>MM Medical College & Hospital, Kumarhatti, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

<sup>9</sup>Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences, Puducherry, India

<sup>10</sup>Wadhwani Institute of Artificial Intelligence, Mumbai, India

<sup>11</sup>Central TB Division, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, New Delhi, Delhi, India
<sup>12</sup>PATH India, New Delhi, India

- <sup>13</sup>CDC. New Delhi. Delhi. India
- <sup>14</sup>Gauhati Medical College, Guwahati, Assam, India
- <sup>15</sup>ESIC Medical College and PGIMSR, Bengaluru-560010, Karnataka, India

Acknowledgements We are grateful for the cooperation and contribution of the volunteers who collected data for the survey, the NTEP officials at the state and district levels. We also thank the members of the national taskforce for their constructive input to the methods of this verification exercise.

**Collaborators** Subnational TB claims verification group: Javakrishna Kurada (Wadhwani Institute of Artificial Intelligence, India); Mayank Sharma (PATH India India); Shamim Mannan (William J Clinton Foundation, India); Debajyoti Mohapatra (REACH, India): Yogesh Patel (World Health Partners India): Sapna Thekkepat (PATH India); Karthikeyan Kumaraswamy (Karnataka Health Promotion Trust, India); Mallika Tharakan (Karnataka Health Promotion Trust, India); Shramana Majumdar (Karnataka Health Promotion Trust, India); Vrinda Manocha (Karnataka Health Promotion Trust, India); Sukriti Chauhan (Karnataka Health Promotion Trust, India); Swati Mahajan (Johns Hopkins Program for International Education in Gynecology and Obstetrics, India); Shekhar Waikar (Johns Hopkins Program for International Education in Gynecology and Obstetrics, India); Puja Ambule (Johns Hopkins Program for International Education in Gynecology and Obstetrics, India); Krithika Murali (Johns Hopkins Program for International Education in Gynecology and Obstetrics, India); Devi Madhavi Bhimarasetty (Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India); Tulika Goswami (Assam Medical College, Assam, India); Darshan Mahyavanshi (NAMO Medical Education and Research Institute, Silvaasa, Dadra Nagar Haveli, India); Krutarth Bhrahmbhatt (GMERS Medical College Junagadh, India); Bhautik Modi (Dr N D Desai Faculty of Medical Science & Research, Nadiad, Gujarat, India); Anmol Gupta (Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India); Sunil Raina (Dr. R.P. Govt, Medical College, Tanda, Himachal Pradesh, India); Rajiv Kumar Gupta (GMC Jammu, India); Ratnesh Sinha (Manipal Tata Medical College, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand, India); Sairu Philip (Government TD Medical College, Alappuzha, Kerala, India); Aswathy Sreedevi (Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Kochi, India); Manju Toppo (Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal, MP, India); Lalit Sankhe (Grant Medical College, Mumbai, Maharastra India); Gajen Medhi (NEIGHRIMS Shillong, Meghalaya, India); Swati M Patki (Zoram Medical College, Mizoram, India): Bishnu Ram Das (Jorhat Medical College Assam, India); Lisa Sarangi (Hi Tech Medical College, Bhubaneswar, Orissa, India); Ganapathy Kalaiselvan (Sri Manakular Vinayagar Medical College & Hospital, Puducherry, India); Sarit Sharma (Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana, Punjab, India); Afsal Hakim (Dr SN Medical College, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India); Suresh Balan (Kanyakumari Govt Medical College, Achirepallam, Tamil Nadu, India); Baer Philip Ravikumar (Mamata Medical College, Khammam, Telangana, India); Subrata Baidya (Agartala Government Medical College, Tripura, India); Abhik Sinha (ICMR- National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases, Kolkata, WB, India); Boddepalli Nagendra Naidu (Government Medical College (RIMS), Andhra Pradesh, India); Bikash Chandra Nayak (Maharaja Institute of Medical Sciences, Andhra Pradesh, India); Anoop Dev (Tomo Riba Institute of Health & Medical Sciences, Naharlagun, India); Pallavi Boro (Tomo Riba Institute of Health & Medical Sciences, Naharlagun, India); Debarshi Paul (Tomo Riba Institute of Health & Medical Sciences, Naharlagun, India); Amrita Sarkar (Tomo Riba Institute of Health & Medical Sciences, Naharlagun, India): Bhavesh Bariya (NAMO Medical Education and Research Institute, Silvaasa, India); Paras Mehta (NAMO Medical Education and Research Institute, Silvaasa, India); Jatin Chhaya (GMERS Medical College, Junagadh, Gujarat, India); Dixit Chauhan (Government Medical College, Bhavnagar, Gujarat, India); Mithun Sanghavi (M.P. Shah Medical College, Jamnagar, Gujarat, India); Nilesh Thakor (GMERS Medical College, Vadnagar, Gujarat, India); Rohit Ram (M.P. Shah Medical College, Jamnagar, Gujarat, India); Anupam Banerjee (PDU Medical College, Rajkot, Gujarat, India); Hinal Baria (GMERS Medical College, Valsad. Valsad, Gujarat, India); Abhilash Sood (Dr. Radhakrishnan Government Medical College Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India); Anupam Parashar (Indira Gandhi Medical College Shimla, India); Devender Kumar (Dr. Radhakrishnan Government Medical College Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India); Yangchen Dolma (Government Medical College, Kathua, India); Rakesh Bahl (Government Medical College, Jammu, India); Surinder Singh (Dumka Medical College, Jharkhand, India): Dilip Kumar (Palamu Medical College, Jharkhand, India); Mohammad Salim Khan (Government Medical College, Srinagar, India); A K Jayasree (Government Medical College Kannur); Zinia Nujum (Government Medical

College, Kollam); Sheela P Haveri (MES Medical College, Perinthalmanna); Dinesh Bhatnagar (R.D. Gardi Medical College Ujjain, India); Subrata Kumar Palo (ICMR-Regional Medical Research Centre, Bhubaneswar); Privesh Marskole (Government Medical College Khandwa, Madhya Pradesh, India); Prashant Verma (Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Medical College Jabalpur, India); Dinesh Kumar Pal (Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal, India);cNeelam Toppo (Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Medical College Jabalpur, India);cSanjay R Quraishi (Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University Medical College and Hospital, Sangli, India);cSunil Panigrahi (Dr. Vasantrao Pawar Medical College, Hospital & Research Center, Nashik, India);cRambadan Chauhan (BKL Walawalkar Rural Medical College, Sawarde, Ratnagiri, India): Javashree Gothankar (Bharati Vidvapeeth Deemed to be University Medical College and Hospital, Pune, India); Swapnali S Kadam (Rajiv Gandhi Medical College, Thane, India); Dasi Rao (Lokmanya Tilak Medical College, Mumbai, India): Vijav Singh (Lokmanya Tilak Municipal General Hospital And Medical College. Mumbai, India); Sudhir Wanje (National Institute of Public Health Training and Research, Mumbai, India); Pallavi Uplap (Grant Medical College, Mumbai, India); Ningombam Joenna Devi (North Eastern Indira Gandhi Regional Institute of Health & Medical Sciences (NEIGRIHMS), Shillong Meghalaya, India); Rikrak Ch Marak (North Eastern Indira Gandhi Regional Institute of Health & Medical Sciences (NEIGRIHMS), Shillong Meghalaya, India); Markordor Lyngdoh (North Eastern Indira Gandhi Regional Institute of Health & Medical Sciences (NEIGRIHMS), Shillong Meghalaya, India); Manoj B Patki (Zoram Medical College, Mizoram, India); Satyajit Sundar Ray (Government Medical College, Balangir, India); Kavita Vasudevan (Indira Gandhi Medical College Hospital and Research Institute, Puducherry, India); Mahesh Satija (DMCH, Ludhiana, India); Jasleen Kaur (GMC Amritsar, India); Mahesh Choudhary (DMCH, Ludhiana, India); Mitin Parmar (Ananta institute of medical sciences, India); Venkatesh Govindasamy (Tiruvamnamalai MC, India); Karlapudi Nitesh Kumar (Mamata Medical College, Khammam, India); Goutham Thumari (Government medical college, Siddipet, India); Amar Tripura (Agartala Government Medical College, Tripura, India); Chanda Mog (Agartala Government Medical College, Tripura, India); Rituparna Das (Agartala Government Medical College, Tripura, India); Shampa Das (Agartala Government Medical College, Tripura, India): Ritesh Singh (AIIMS Kalyani, India); Chandra Shekar Maity (All Institute of Hygiene and Public Health, Kolkata, India); Mamta Gehlawat (Government medical college, Siddipet, India).

**Contributors** KJ conceptualised the study design, analysed data, prepared the first draft of the manuscript, provided critical inputs to revise the manuscript. MM and JVWT conceptualised the study design, analysed data and provided critical inputs to revise the manuscript. VS, KJ, JVWT and RSa analysed data. AKS, MV, DSR, RaS, SaM, RE, MN and BJ collected data, analysed data and provided critical inputs to revise the manuscript. KR, BM, AB, AJP, BV and RaR conceptualised the study design, analysed data and provided critical inputs to revise the manuscript. KR, BM, AB, AJP, BV and RaR conceptualised the study design, analysed data and provided critical inputs to revise the manuscript. AM, AIS, AmS, ANS, AvC, AcS, AnC, DD, HS, KS, KK, MP, ND, PD, QTA, RR, RP, RoS, RB, SC, SB, SA, SBN, SC, SK, SM, SC, VS, VR and KS collected data, analysed data and provided critical inputs to revise the manuscript. The members of the "Subnational TB claims verification group" contributed to the data collection, data analysis. MM is the guarantor of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Central TB Division, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, India (no award/ grant number).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Consent obtained directly from patient(s)

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by Institutional Human Ethics Committee, National Institute of Epidemiology, Chennai, ID: NIEIHEC/202012-12, dated on 17 December 2020. We obtained informed written consent from all participants in the survey. The secondary data analysed used no identifiers, and we present only aggregate measures. We preserved the confidentiality of the data by allowing access to data only for verification team members. We linked persons newly diagnosed with TB during the survey to the NTEP and their treatment was initiated without any delay. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and

# 

responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID iDs**

Kathiresan Jeyashree http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6459-3659 Meenakumari Natarajan http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8623-6510 Shibu Balakrishnan http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8694-3573 Manoj Murhekar http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1720-7628

#### REFERENCES

- National strategic plan for tuberculosis elimination 2017–2025, 2017. New Delhi. Available: https://tbcindia.gov.in/WriteReadData/NSP Draft 20.02.2017 1.pdf
- 2 India TB report 2020, 2020. New Delhi. Available: www.tbcindia.gov. in [Accessed 19 Apr 2021].
- 3 Pardeshi G, Wang W, Kim J, et al. TB notification rates across parliamentary constituencies in India: a step towards data-driven political engagement. Trop Med Int Health 2021;26:730–42.
- 4 Golandaj JA, Naikar SK, Hallad JS. Trends and sub-national disparities in TB notifications in India: insights from HMIS data. Indian J Tuberc.
- 5 Aggarwal A, Pandey A. Inverse sampling to study disease burden of leprosy. *Indian J Med Res* 2010;132:438.
- 6 Wang X-X, Chen J-Y, Jiang H, et al. Utilization and expenses of outpatient services among tuberculosis patients in three Chinese counties: an observational comparison study. *Infect Dis Poverty* 2019;8:79.

- 7 Training Modules (1-4) For Programme managers & Medical officers, 2020. New Delhi. Available: https://tbcindia.gov.in/WriteReadData/ NTEPTrainingModules1to4.pdf [Accessed 19 Apr 2021].
- 8 Arinaminpathy N, Batra D, Khaparde S, *et al.* The number of privately treated tuberculosis cases in India: an estimation from drug sales data. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016;16:1255–60.
- 9 Wells WA, Ge CF, Patel N, et al. Size and usage patterns of private TB drug markets in the high burden countries. PLoS One 2011;6:e18964.
- 10 Frederick A, Das M, Mehta K, et al. Pharmacy based surveillance for identifying missing tuberculosis cases: a mixed methods study from South India. Indian J Tuberc 2021;68:51–8.
- 11 van't Hoog AH, Meme HK, Laserson KF, et al. Screening strategies for tuberculosis prevalence surveys: the value of chest radiography and symptoms. PLoS One 2012;7:e38691.
- 12 Chadha VK, Anjinappa SM, Dave P, et al. Sub-national TB prevalence surveys in India, 2006-2012: results of uniformly conducted data analysis. PLoS One 2019;14:e0212264.
- 13 Inverse Sampling: Simple Definition & Examples Statistics How To. Available: https://www.statisticshowto.com/inverse-sampling/ [Accessed 6 Sep 2021].
- 14 Satyanarayana S, Nair SA, Chadha SS, et al. From where are tuberculosis patients accessing treatment in India? results from a cross-sectional community based survey of 30 districts. PLoS One 2011;6:e24160.
- 15 Laxminarayan R, Chaudhury RR. Antibiotic resistance in India: drivers and opportunities for action. *PLoS Med* 2016;13:e1001974.
- 16 Wells WA, Ge CF, Patel N, et al. Size and usage patterns of private TB drug markets in the high burden countries. PLoS One 2011;6:e18964.
- 17 Chadha VK, Bhalla BB, Ramesh SB, *et al.* Tuberculosis diagnostic and treatment practices in private sector: implementation study in an Indian City. *Indian J Tuberc* 2018;65:315–21.
- 18 Farooqui HH, Selvaraj S, Mehta A, et al. The impact of stringent prescription-only antimicrobial sale regulation (schedule H1) in India: an interrupted time series analysis, 2008–18. JAC Antimicrob Resist 2020;2.
- 19 Bhargava A, Shewade HD. The potential impact of the COVID-19 response related lockdown on TB incidence and mortality in India. *Indian J Tuberc* 2020;67:S139–46.
- 20 Shrinivasan R, Rane S, Pai M. India's syndemic of tuberculosis and COVID-19. *BMJ Glob Heal* 2020;5:3979.