

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Exploring the digital footprint of depression: a PRISMA systematic literature review of the empirical evidence

Daniel Zarate^{1*}, Vasileios Stavropoulos^{1,2}, Michelle Ball¹, Gabriel de Sena Collier¹ and Nicholas C. Jacobson^{3,4,5,6}

Correction: *BMC Psychiatry* 22, 421 (2022)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-022-04013-y>

Following the publication of the original article [1], the authors identified errors in the figures, and under the heading **Depressive behaviour digital traces**, the footnotes and font size were incorrectly selected (Figs. 1 and 4).

The original article [1] has been corrected.

Author details

¹Institute for Health and Sport, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia. ²Department of Psychology, University of Athens, Athens, Greece. ³Center for Technology and Behavioral Health, Geisel School of Medicine, Dartmouth College, Hanover, USA. ⁴Department of Biomedical Data Science, Geisel School of Medicine, Dartmouth College, Hanover, USA. ⁵Department of Psychiatry, Geisel School of Medicine, Dartmouth College, Hanover, USA. ⁶Quantitative Biomedical Sciences Program, Dartmouth College, Hanover, USA.

Published online: 05 August 2022

Reference

1. Zarate D, et al. Exploring the digital footprint of depression: a PRISMA systematic literature review of the empirical evidence. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2022;22:421. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-022-04013-y>.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-022-04013-y>.

*Correspondence: Daniel.zarate@live.vu.edu.au

¹ Institute for Health and Sport, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.




Fig. 1 PRISMA flowchart of primary study selection. We excluded studies that exclusively called participants to conduct surveys over the phone given the limited ecological nature of such interventions. However, we have included studies that employed phone-based assessments where participants interact with pre-recorded messages. *Excluded if search terms were not targeted in the article. **Excluded if study i) did not use digital technology to conduct momentary assessments, ii) conducted psychometric evaluations of questionnaires




Fig. 4 This conceptual flowchart clarifies the current taxonomy within the field and provides guidelines suggesting how to use each related term. For example, while all these terms refer to methodologies with high granularity, some may employ digital technology, and some may not