Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Virginio Salvi, Marche Polytechnic University, Italy

REVIEWED BY Mirko Manchia, University of Cagliari, Italy Eva Milena Johanne Peters, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Andreas Stengel andreas.stengel@med.unituebingen.de

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Psychological Therapy and Psychosomatics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

RECEIVED 19 May 2022 ACCEPTED 26 July 2022 PUBLISHED 11 August 2022

CITATION

Joli J, Buck P, Zipfel S and Stengel A (2022) Post-COVID-19 fatigue: A systematic review. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:947973. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.947973

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Joli, Buck, Zipfel and Stengel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Post-COVID-19 fatigue: A systematic review

Jian Joli¹, Patrizia Buck¹, Stephan Zipfel¹ and Andreas Stengel^{1,2*}

¹Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany, ²Charité Center for Internal Medicine and Dermatology, Department for Psychosomatic Medicine, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany

Fatigue is recognized as one of the most commonly presented long-term complaints in individuals previously infected with SARS-CoV-2. This systematic review was performed to describe symptoms, etiology, possible risk factors related to post-COVID-19 fatigue and the therapeutic approaches used for the treatment of post-COVID-19 fatigue. For the systematic literature search the databases PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and PsycInfo were used. All articles that met the inclusion criteria were analyzed for demographics, clinical data and treatment. Included were studies which focused on an adult population (18-65 years old); elderly patients and patients with chronic somatic diseases which can also cause fatigue were excluded. We identified 2,851, screened 2,193 and finally included 20 studies with moderate to high methodological quality, encompassing 5,629 participants. Potential risk factors for post-COVID-19 fatigue were old age, female sex, severe clinical status in the acute phase of infection, a high number of comorbidities, and a prediagnosis of depression/anxiety. Lastly, a possible autoimmune etiology was suspected. Several treatment approaches have been tested mostly in small and uncontrolled studies so far: a Chinese herbal formulation improved breathlessness and fatigue. Moreover, molecular hydrogen (H₂) inhalation had beneficial health effects in terms of improved physical (6-min walking test) and respiratory function in patients with post-COVID-19. Patients also noticed improvement in fatigue after undergoing hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) and enhanced external counterpulsation (EECP). Lastly. muscle strength and physical function were improved after undergoing an 8-weeks biweekly physical therapy course including aerobic training, strengthening exercises, diaphragmatic breathing techniques, and mindfulness training. However, larger and controlled studies e.g., investigating the effect of physical and / or psychotherapy for patients with post-COVID-19 fatigue are urgently warranted.

Systematic Review Registration: Unique Identifier: CRD42022320676, https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/.

KEYWORDS

brain fog, etiology, COVID-19, long-COVID, post-COVID fatigue, psychosomatic, symptoms, therapy

Introduction

Corona virus disease (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome corona virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has led to a global pandemic. From the beginning of the pandemic until today (mid of May 2022), more than 519 million people worldwide have been infected with SARS-CoV-2 according to the world health organization, of whom more than 6 million have died. The corona virus has now spread to more than 190 countries. Currently, the highest numbers of cases are reported in the United States, Brazil, India, Turkey, and Russia. In Europe, Italy, Spain, France, Germany, and the United Kingdom have the highest number of corona virus infections.

It has become increasingly clear that infected patients have symptoms not only in the acute phase, but also after recovery from the initial infection (1). A recent meta-analysis including 4828 patients with post-COVID-19 showed that symptoms and post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 can persist weeks to months after the infection (2). These patients who reported persistent symptoms have been termed "long haulers" or described as having long COVID, post-acute COVID-19, persistent COVID-19 symptoms, post COVID-19 manifestations, longterm COVID-19 effects, post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 (PASC), or post-COVID-19 syndrome (3). Based on NICE guideline on long COVID (4); long COVID is defined as signs and symptoms that develop during or following an infection consistent with COVID-19 and which continue for more than 4 weeks. NICE recommends using the term post-COVID syndrome from 12 weeks after infection and when symptoms are not explained by an alternative diagnosis. Since in the 20 studies included in our systematic review the symptoms are reported on av-erage 20, 5 weeks after infection, we refer to the symptom(s) - according to the NICE guide-lines as Post-COVID fatigue.

The most prevalent symptoms reported by patients were: fatigue (64%), dyspnea (40%), depression/anxiety (38%), arthralgia (24,3%), headache (21%), and insomnia (20%) (2). Fatigue is a common and debilitating symptom in people with neurological (and oncological) disorders. Despite significant efforts to explain the pathogenic mechanisms of fatigue, current knowledge is limited. This may be because the cause of fatigue often cannot be attributed to a single source. Changes in neurotransmitter levels, inflammation, psychiatric disorders, psychosocial burden, cognitive dysfunction and substrate metabolism/availability are potential contributors to fatigue (5). Post-COVID-19 fatigue is defined as a decrease in physical and/or mental performance resulting from changes in central, psychological and/or peripheral factors resulting from COVID-19 disease (5).

In this systematic review we focused on persistent fatigue after acute COVID-19 infection, defined here as 2 weeks or

greater post symptom onset. The review aimed to describe all symptoms related to post-COVID-19 fatigue, its possible etiology and risk factors as well as treatment approaches employed so far.

Methods

Data sources and searches

The protocol of this systematic review was registered on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; registration number: CRD42022320676). The planning and conduct of this systematic review were carried out following the Cochrane guidelines for Systematic Reviews (6) and the Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) criteria catalog (7).

A systematic literature search developed by using the PICO model was conducted on April 14rd 2022 on the following databases: PubMed/Medline, the Cochrane Library, PsycInfo, and Web of Science. There were no reservations related to the status, language or date of publication. The strategies developed and used for each database are presented in the Supplementary material. The following search terms were used: post OR post-infectious OR post-recovery OR postviral OR long OR long-term AND fatigue OR fatigue syndrome OR chronic fatigue AND coronavirus OR COVID-19 OR SARS-CoV-2.

Our search was based on a review question developed according to the PICO scheme i.e., Population (P): Patients, who have undergone a COVID-19 infection and suffer from post-COVID-19 symptoms, Intervention (I): all diagnostic tests (e.g., autonomic testing), interviews, self-reported fatigue tools / assessments / questionnaires, and therapy approaches used for patients with post-COVID-19, Comparison (C): patients, who had COVID-19 infection but no post-COVID-19 symptoms, and Outcome (O): all signs, symptoms, risk factors, pathophysiology related to fatigue after COVID-19 infection.

Criteria for including studies

Original studies focusing on patients with post-COVID-19 fatigue were eligible for inclusion in this systematic review. Post-COVID-19 was initially defined as diagnosis when patients had at least one symptom beyond 2 weeks following acute infection (8). Studies with participants, who were in the acute phase during the study (< 2 weeks after being positive) were excluded. Since in the 20 studies included in our systematic review the symptoms are reported on average 20, 5 weeks after infection, we refer to the symptom(s) – according to the NICE guidelines as post-COVID fatigue.

Included were studies which focused on an adult population (older than 18). Those with data on geriatric patients over 65

years old (due to possible comorbidities in older age which can cause fatigue) and patients with chronic somatic diseases, which can also cause fatigue, were excluded. Only studies which reported cases with confirmed COVID-19 positive testing were included. Cases were defined as confirmed COVID-19 positive if they met one of the following criteria:

- Nasal, nasopharyngeal, oropharyngeal swab or nasotracheal, or blood samples tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid by using real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction assay (RT-PCR).
- A positive SARS-CoV-2 antibody (serology) test.

Studies were considered possibly eligible if they contained data from one or more patients encompassing randomized controlled trials, prospective cohort studies, cross-sectional studies and case reports. Non-original studies (meeting/conference/congress abstracts, notes and narrative reviews), animal studies, articles with non-topicspecific content, editorials, comments, hypotheses, opinions, dissertations, books or letters were excluded from further examination. Reviews, except for narrative reviews, were not generally excluded directly, but rather examined for potentially important primary sources if relevant to the topic. There were no restrictions related to the time of publication and time/duration of follow-up. Articles which met the criteria described above and were written in English were eligible for inclusion.

Screening and full-text review

Before screening, duplicates were removed. This step was performed independently by two investigators (J.J. and P.B.) and the number of titles was compared afterwards. Then, titles and abstracts of identified studies retrieved using the search strategy were independently screened by two investigators (J.J. and P.B.) to identify studies that potentially meet the inclusion criteria mentioned above. The full texts of eligible studies were retrieved and independently assessed for eligibility by the two investigators. Any disagreement between them over the eligibility of particular studies was resolved through discussion with a third investigator (A.S.). The entire screening process is shown in the PRISMA flow diagram (Figure 1).

Data extraction

The following information was extracted: Study data (author, year, country, study design, description of the included population with sample size and characteristics, duration of follow-up, ascertainment of COVID-19 and fatigue), demographic data (age and gender of included population, comorbidities), clinical data (symptoms and signs related to post-COVID-19), laboratory data (C-reactive protein, ferritin, antinuclear antibodies, D-dimer, lactate dehydrogenase, interleukin-6, white blood cells), etiology and possible risk factors (comorbidities, autoimmune diseases, gender, age), diagnostic tests (scales and questionnaires used for identifying fatigue after COVID-19 infection, chest-x-ray, brain MRI, spine MRI, electroencephalogram, autonomic testing, electrocardiogram, blood pressure, heart rate variability, electromyogram), and therapy approaches used for the treatment of post-COVID-19 fatigue.

Assessing the methodological quality or risk of bias of included studies

The risk of bias assessment was performed on the premises of the study design as presented below:

- Randomized clinical trials: Cochrane risk of bias tool (6).
- Case-control study, non-randomized trials: ROBINS-I (9).
- Prevalence cross-sectional study: the Joanna Briggs Institute checklist for prevalence studies.
- Case reports: National Institute of Health quality assessment tool for case series studies.

Two independent investigators (J.J. and P.B.) performed the evaluation and a third investigator (A.S.) was involved in case of dissensus. We judged the quality of studies that used therapeutic interventions using questions such as: Was the intervention well defined? Were there any deviations from the planned intervention?

Analysis of data

The prevalence of fatigue was estimated by dividing the number of patients with fatigue by the total number of patients with COVID-19 in the sample multiplied by 100 to estimate the percentage in each study.

We performed a narrative analysis (qualitative synthesis) to present the frequency of symptoms and signs related to post-COVID-19 fatigue.

Results

Search results

The search strategies retrieved 2,851 records, and 658 duplicates were removed initially. After reading of titles and abstracts (first phase), 1,889 references did not meet the inclusion criteria mentioned above and were excluded. The full



texts of the 304 remaining records were read (second phase), 54 studies were excluded because they were not related to topic. Six ongoing studies were excluded. Other exclusion reasons were: non-English articles, age, and no confirmation of COVID-19 by RT-PCR or antibody test. Through the citation search from reviews, no additional studies were included. Finally, 20 studies were included in this systematic review. The PRISMA flow diagram is presented in Figure 1.

The 20 included studies encompass 5 studies that met the inclusion criteria but involved patients with comorbidities to compare this cohort with the fatigued cohort without prediagnoses. In one study participants were followed up after a mean duration of recovery from COVID-19 of only 12 days. However, these participants had 2 consecutive negative PCR tests before follow-up.

Characteristics of the included studies

We present the results of the studies in Table 1 including: main characteristics of included studies including country, study design, description of the population, duration of follow-up and methods used for fatigue ascertainment. The included studies comprised a total sample of 5,629 participants (aged 18 to 65 years). Of the 20 included studies, 3 were prospective cohort studies, 2 were retrospective cohort studies, 3 were cross-sectional studies, 6 were case-control studies, 1 was a randomized single-blind, placebo-controlled study, 2 were observational studies and 3 were case reports. Five studies analyzed data from the US, 2 from Egypt, 4 from Ireland, 2 from Israel, 1 from China, and 1 each analyzed data from Saudi Arabia, Czech Republic, Switzerland, Germany, Canada, and Spain. Study populations ranged from 40 to 1,950 participants; except for the three case reports which analyzed just one patient. The median or mean follow-up periods ranged from 1 to 9 months.

Risk of bias of included studies

The risk of bias was categorized into low risk, some concerns, and high risk. The included studies were evaluated regarding five various forms of bias:

- Bias in selection of participants for the study.
- Bias due to deviations from intended interventions.

Author, Year, Reference	Country	Study design	Population included	Sample size (<i>n</i>) and characteristics	Follow-up duration	Ascertainment of COVID-19	Ascertainment of fatigue	Results	Possible risk factors associated with persistent fatigue
Nehme, 2021, (1)	Switzerland	Prospective longitudinal study	non-hospitalized subjects	n = 629 mean age: 42.1 years gender: 60.9% F, 39.1% M	Baseline (acute phase), 30–45 days follow up and 7–9 months follow-up	RT-PCR	ECOG (29) performance scale	Fatigue was reported at: - Baseline: 61.7% - 30–45 days follow-up: 17.9% - 7–9 months follow-up: 20.7% additional symptoms such as myalgia, difficulty concentrating, and memory loss were	female and older patients had a higher risk suffering from fatigue
Graham, et al. (10)	United States	prospective case-control study	non-hospitalized post-COVID-19 patients; at least 6 weeks after symptom onset and those who had neurological symptoms lasting over than 6 weeks. negative patients meeting IDSA (30) guidelines were included as a comparison group	<i>n</i> = 100 mean age: 43.2 years gender: 70% F, 30% M	follow-up time: mean 5.27 months from onset	RT-PCR or SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing	PROMIS assessment (31), NIH toolbox (30, 32) Blood laboratory testing, brain MRI, MR vessel wall imaging, spine MRI, EMG and EEG	85% reported fatigue, 81% Brain fog, 32% short-term memory deficit, 27% attention deficit, and 33% reported insomnia no different results in PROMIS and NIH or in laboratory tests between the two groups	possible autoimmune etiology of post-Covid premorbid depression/anxiety suggesting a possible neuropsychiatric vulnerability to becoming a "long hauler" after SARS- CoV-2 infection

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the included studies.

Author, Year, Reference	Country	Study design	Population included	Sample size (<i>n</i>) and characteristics	Follow-up duration	Ascertainment of COVID-19	Ascertainment of fatigue	Results	Possible risk factors associated with persistent fatigue
Elanwar, et al. (11)	Egypt	case-control study	COVID-19 long-haulers who were diagnosed as having PIFS (33) and another sample of age- and sex-matched volunteers (recovered from COVID-19 without any residuals)	n = 92 mean age: 54.5 years in fatigue group and 51 years in non-fatigue group gender: 23.9% M, 76.1% F in fatigue group and 41% M and 58.7% F in non-fatigue group	cross-sectional after recovery; recovery was defined as having 2 negative tests	not reported	fatigue questionnaire (34) to assess physical and mental fatigue motor and sensory nerve- conduction studies to exclude any neuropathy electromyography examinations to exclude	79.3% reported fatigue median value for fatigue was 6 patient in PIFS had longer disease duration and higher levels of CRP and ferritin.	high levels of ferritin during acute phase and duration of COVID-19 illness
Kamal, 2021 (12)	Egypt	retrospective cross-sectional study	survivors from COVID-19 from general population	n = 287 mean age: 32.3 years gender: 64.1% F, 35.9% M	cross-sectional	not reported	any myopathy questionnaire ad <i>hoc</i>	72.8% reported fatigue 38% reported anxiety 28,6% reported depression 28.6% reported dementia	severity of COVID-19 was related to the severity of post-COVID-19 manifestations

Author, Year, Reference	Country	Study design	Population included	Sample size (<i>n</i>) and characteristics	Follow-up duration	Ascertainment of COVID-19	Ascertainment of fatigue	Results	Possible risk factors associated with persistent fatigue
Ganesh,	United States	retrospective	patients identified	<i>n</i> = 817	Cross-	RT-PCR	PROMIS (35)	dysfunction was	female gender has a
et al. (13)		cross-sectional	by history of	mean age: 44	sectional, the		scales to assess	reported in	higher risk of
		study	positive PCR for	years	mean interval		physical and	following domains:	fatigue
			SARS-CoV-2 who	gender: 61.1%	between being		social dysfunction	ability to participate	
			meet the clinical	F, 38.9% M	positive and		PROMIS	in social roles	
			definition of		survey		domains were:	(43.2%), pain	
			recovery from		response was		fatigue, social	(17.8%), and fatigue	
			COVID-19		68.4 days		roles, pain,	(16.2%)	
			(resolution of				physical		
			symptoms or >30				functions		
			days post initial				and sleep		
			positive PCR test)						
El Sayed,	Saudi	Cross-sectional	patients with	n = 200	cross-sectional	RT-PCR	fatigue	Mean fatigue score	Not assessed
2021 (14)	Arabia	observational study	COVID-19 after 2	mean age: 36.6	after recovery		assessment	was 40.8, which	
		with longitudinal	consecutive	years			scale (36)	means a very high	
		component	negative PCR tests	gender: 43% F,				level of fatigue	
			who attended the	57% M				(highest level=50)	
			pulmonology clinic					positive correlation	
			for follow up and					between fatigue and	
			psychiatric					anhedonia	
			department for					negative correlation	
			assessment					between duration	
								after recovery and	
								fatigue	

Author, Year, Reference	Country	Study design	Population included	Sample size (<i>n</i>) and characteristics	Follow-up duration	Ascertainment of COVID-19	Ascertainment of fatigue	Results	Possible risk factors associated with persistent fatigue
Townsend,	Ireland	case-control study	post-COVID-19	<i>n</i> = 149	median time	RT-PCR	Chalder-	a positive	Not assessed
et al. (15)			patients with and	mean age: 48	for follow-up		fatigue	association between	
			without Vitamin D	years	was 79 days		score	fatigue scores and	
			supplementation	gender: 59% F,	from initial			higher vitamin D	
				41% M	infection			levels	
Townsend,	Ireland	observational single	Post-COVID-19	n = 128	56 days to 12	RT-PCR	CFQ-11	52.3% reported	female patients and
et al. (16)		center study	patients; at least 6	mean age: 49.5	weeks after		(34, 37) blood	fatigue	patients with a
			weeks after: - date	years	initial		sampling to	no relationship	history of
			of last acute	gender: 53.9%	diagnosis		assess any	between fatigue and	depression/anxiety
			COVID-19	F, 46.1% M			relationship	pro-inflammatory	or anti-depressant
			symptoms				between	cytokines (absence	use had higher risk
			(for outpatients) -				fatigue and	of a specific	for suffering from
			date of discharge				pro-	immune signature	fatigue
			for those who were				inflammatory	associated with	
			admitted during				cytokines	persistent fatigue)	
			acute phase						

80

Author, Year, Reference	Country	Study design	Population included	Sample size (n) and characteristics	Follow-up duration	Ascertainment of COVID-19	Ascertainment of fatigue	Results	Possible risk factors associated with persistent fatigue
Townsend, et al. (17)	Ireland	case-control study	20 patients with post-COVID-19 patients and fatigue and 20 patients with post-COVID-19 without fatigued	<i>n</i> = 40 median age: 44.5 years gender: 36% F, 64% M	median time to follow up: 166.5 days	RT-PCR	CFQ-11 Autonomic testing: Ewing's autonomic function test battery (38), continuous blood pressure, electrocardiogram and heart rate variability	no differences between fatigued and non-fatigued patients on autonomic-testing or on 24-h blood pressure 70% of the fatigued cohort reported orthostatic intolerance at time of active standing fatigue was associated with increased anxiety, with no patients having a pre-existing diagnosis of anxiety	Not assessed
Margalit, et al. (18)	Israel	case-control study	previously healthy post-COVID-19 patients, at least 2 months after initial infection	n = 141 mean age: 47 years gender: 59% F, 41% M	212–240 days after initial infection	RT-PCR	Self-reported on a scale from 0 (not present) to 3 (severe)	46.8% reported fatigue	having more children and a lower proportion of hypothyroidism was associated with fatigue in patients with post-COVID-19

60

Author, Year, Reference	Country	Study design	Population included	Sample size (<i>n</i>) and characteristics	Follow-up duration	Ascertainment of COVID-19	Ascertainment of fatigue	Results	Possible risk factors associated with persistent fatigue
Dayrit,	USA	case report	38-years-old	n = 1	patient	RT-PCR	ad hoc	fatigue and brain	Not assessed
2021, (19)			Hispanic woman	age: 38 years	underwent			fog	
			with no preexisting	gender: 100%	treatment after			brain fog and	
			health conditions	F	3 months of			fatigue were	
					her persistent			improved after	
					symptom			treatment with	
					onset			EECP	
Mayer, et	USA	case report	37-years-old	n = 1	64-120 days	RT-PCR	ad hoc	fatigue and	Not assessed
al. (20)			non-hospitalized	age: 37 years	after initial			cognitive fog were	
			woman	gender: 100%	diagnosis			reported, which had	
				F				not improved after	
								physical therapy	

TABLE 1 Continued

Author, Year, Reference	Country	Study design	Population included	Sample size (<i>n</i>) and characteristics	Follow-up duration	Ascertainment of COVID-19	Ascertainment of fatigue	Results	Possible risk factors associated with persistent fatigue
Bhayiat, et al. (21)	Israel	case report	55-years-old previously healthy man	n = 1 age: 44 years gender: 100% M	patient underwent the therapy after 3 months of acute Infection	RT-PCR	ad hoc	fatigue, memory problems, worsening of multitasking abilities, low energy, breathlessness, and reduced physical fitness after 15 sessions of HBOT he noted less fatigue and an improvement in his previously low energy	Not assessed
Pang, et al. (22)	China	case-control study	Patients with post-COVID-19 after two consecutive negative results of RT-PCR tests with at least a 1-day interval between tests	n = 388 mean age: 46 years gender: 62% F, 38% M	Baseline, 7-days and 14-days follow-up after inclusion and randomization	RT-PCR	Borg scale (39)	improvement of fatigue after 7 days no adverse event related to QJYQ was recorded	Not assessed

Author, Year, Reference	Country	Study design	Population included	Sample size (<i>n</i>) and characteristics	Follow-up duration	Ascertainment of COVID-19	Ascertainment of fatigue	Results	Possible risk factors associated with persistent fatigue
Townsend, et al. (23)	Ireland	cross-sectional study	hospitalized (including ICU) and not-hospitalized patients with post-COVID-19	n = 153 median age: 48 years gender: 57.5% F, 42.5% M	61–117 days after diagnosis	RT-PCR	CFQ-11 chest X-ray, blood sampling, 6MWT (40) and modified Borg dyspnea scale (MBS) (41) to find associated factors with persistent poor health after COVID- 19	48% met the case definition for fatigue and this was not associated with the severity of initial infection or abnormal chest x-ray but associated with an increased MBS score 62% did not return to full health which was associated with an increased MBS score	Not assessed
Augustin, et al. (24)	Germany	prospective and longitudinal study	asymptomatic patients, who had previously positive PCR; at least 6 weeks after symptom onset or positive PCR	n = 353 median age: 43 years gender: 53.3% F, 46.5% M	6–8 months following onset of symptoms	RT-PCR	questionnaire ad hoc	14.7% reported fatigue	female patients and individuals with a prior diagnosis of depression or anxiety had a higher risk of suffering from fatigue

10.3389/fpsyt.2022.947973

Author, Year, Reference	Country	Study design	Population included	Sample size (<i>n</i>) and characteristics	Follow-up duration	Ascertainment of COVID-19	Ascertainment of fatigue	Results	Possible risk factors associated with persistent fatigue
Fernandez- de-la- penas, 2021, (25)	Spain	retrospective study	hospitalized patients	n = 1950 mean age: 61 gender: 46.9% F, 53.1% M	Mean 11.2 ± 0.5 months after hospital discharge	RT-PCR and radiological finding	self-reported via structured telephone interview conducted by trained healthcare professionals	61.4% reported fatigue 16% (6/38) reported being no longer able to participate in a sport or recreational activity because of their ongoing symptoms	Not assessed
Vanichkachorn, et al. (26)	USA	Observational study	patients with post-Covid-19; at least after 4 weeks from being positive or symptomatic start.	n = 100 mean age: 45.4 years gender: 68% F, 32% M	mean time of presentation was 93.4 days after diagnosis	RT-PCR or antibody (serology) test	function focused interview	80% reported fatigue, 59% reported neurological complaints such as cognitive impairment and sleep disturbance	Not assessed

TABLE 1 Continued

Author, Year, Reference	Country	Study design	Population included	Sample size (<i>n</i>) and characteristics	Follow-up duration	Ascertainment of COVID-19	Ascertainment of fatigue	Results	Possible risk factors associated with persistent fatigue
Botek, et al. (27)	Czech Republic	randomized, single-blind, placebo-controlled study	Non-vaccinated post-COVID-19 patients	n = 50 mean age: 38 years F, 44 years M gender: 48% F, 52% M	21–33 days after initial infection	RT-PCR	self-reported on a 5-point scale (0 = none, 4 = severe)	80% reported fatigue molecular hydrogen (H2) inhalation had beneficial health effects in terms of improved physical (6MWT) and respiratory function in patients with post-COVID-19 no significant improvement in fatigue between the	Not assessed
Schaeffer, et al. (28)	Canada	case-control study	patients with post-COVID-19, 3 months after discharge or last positive SARS-CoV-2 test	n = 49 mean age: 47 years gender: 47% F, 53% M	Cross- sectional, 3 months after infection	RT-PCR	questionnaire ad hoc	two groups higher depression and anxiety scale in patients with post-COVID-19 fatigue in comparison to the control group	Not assessed

6MWT, 6-Minute walk test; CFQ-11, chalder fatigue scale; COVID-19, Coronavirus disease 2019; CPET, Cardiopulmonary exercise test, ECOG, the eastern cooperative oncology group; EECP, enhanced external counterpulsation; F, Female; HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; ICU, Intensive care unit; IDSA, Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines; M, Male; MBS, Modified Borg scale; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; n, Number; NIH, national institute of health; PIFS, Postinfectious fatigue syndrome; PROMIS, patient-reported outcome measurement information system; QJYQ, QingjinYiqi granules; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; SARS-CoV-2, Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

- Bias due to missing data.
- Bias in measurement of the outcomes.
- Bias in selection of the reported results.

Ten studies were classified as presenting high quality (low risk), and the remaining 10 as moderate quality (some concerns) data. The reasons for these concerns were as follows: the outcomes were measured *via* questionnaires or were self-reported by the participants (domain 4: bias in measurement of outcomes). We present the judgments for the bias in Table 2.

Results from the included studies

Scales and definition criteria used for identifying post-COVID-19 fatigue

Elanwar et al. (11) defined participants as patients with post-COVID-19 if they were diagnosed with postinfectious fatigue syndrome (PIFS). In order to fulfill the definition of PIFS, patients had to have persistent fatigue for at least 6 months after recovery. Post-COVID-19 fatigue was defined in the other studies by persistent fatigue symptoms at least 6 weeks after recovery or after being negative using RT-PCR test. Kamal et al. (12) did not explain exactly how they defined fatigued patients. In the studies of Ganesh et al. (13) and Graham et al. (10), fatigue domains were assessed using patient-reported outcome measurement information system (PROMIS) with a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = never to 5 = always. El Sayed et al. (14) used the fatigue assessment scale. Elanwar et al. (11), Townsend et al. (15-17) used the Chalder fatigue scale providing a total fatigue score from 0-4. Scores of 2 or above are regarded as fatigued.

All of the scales mentioned above consist of items that measure both the experience of physical and/or mental fatigue and the interference of fatigue on daily activities over the past weeks. Examples of items are: "How often did you feel tired?", "How often were you too tired to take a bath/shower?", "I get tired very quickly", "I don't do much during the day", "Physically, I feel exhausted", "I have problems thinking clearly", and "Mentally, I feel exhausted".

In the study of Pang et al. (22) the patients used the Borg scale (39) to grade the level of their fatigue. This is a scale ranging from 0 = nothing at all to 20 = very, very severe (maximal).

Signs and symptoms related to fatigue experienced by participants

The participants reported several symptoms related to fatigue in different proportions. Fatigue (by definition) was always present, anhedonia, brain fog and difficulty concentrating (up to 81%), myalgia (up to 55%), depression/anxiety (up to 47%), insomnia and sleep disturbance (up to 33%), and dementia or loss of memory (up to 32%). A summary of

symptoms reported in the included studies is presented in Figure 2.

Etiology and risk factors

A total of 7 studies reported aspects that were investigated as potential risk factors for post-COVID-19 fatigue. Kamal (12), Nehme (1), and Townsend (16) described that older and female patients have a higher risk of suffering from fatigue after COVID-19 infection. Kamal (12), El Sayed (14), and Elanwar (11) showed that the longer the duration of the acute phase (or of the disease recovery) and the more severe the disease, the higher the risk of suffering from fatigue. Finally, patients with comorbidities were more affected to have persistent symptoms (12).

Similar to these results, Graham (10) suggested in their study a possible neuropsychiatric vulnerability to becoming long haulers after COVID-19 infection because premorbid depression/anxiety was prevalent in their cohort. Lastly, Townsend (16) found a significant association between preexisting depression diagnosis and antidepressant use and subsequent development of severe fatigue.

Graham (10) showed that the prevalence of preexisting autoimmune disease and elevated ANA (antinuclear antibodies) in the cohort of participants with post-COVID-19 compared to the general population possibly pointing toward an autoimmune contribution (10). In addition, Ganesh (13) hypothesizes that sex differences in the immune response to COVID-19 may be related to the development of persistent symptoms after COVID-19 infection. Elanwar (11) found higher levels of ferritin in patients with post-COVID-19 fatigue compared to the control group without fatigue. Margalit et al. (18) reported in their study that patients with post-COVID-19 fatigue had more children and lower proportion of hypothyroidism. Lastly, Townsend et al. (15) showed a positive association between fatigue scores and higher vitamin D levels in their cohort. A summary of associated risk factors reported in included studies is presented in Figure 3.

Therapeutic approaches

Dayrit et al. (19) presented a case of a 38-year-old female patient who experienced post-COVID-19 sequelae, including fatigue, headache, shortness of breath, and brain fog for 3 months before she underwent enhanced external counterpulsation (EECP) of 1-h sessions, three times per week for 5 weeks. EECP is a non-invasive therapy for patients with chronic stable angina and/or heart failure of ischemic etiology. Standard therapy involves 35 1-h treatments over seven weeks. The patient lies on a treatment table with compression cuffs securely wrapped around the calves, thighs and buttocks. These cuffs induce a sequence of drainage from distal to proximal simultaneously before the onset of diastole and systole. Inflation and deflation are tailored specifically to the patient's ECG to

References	Selection of participants	Deviations from interventions	Missing data	Measurment of outcomes	Selection of reportd results	Total
(1)						
(10)						
(11)						
(12)						
(13)						
(14)						
(15)						
(16)						
(17)						
(18)						
(19)						
(20)						
(21)						
(22)						
(23)						
(24)						
(25)						
(26)						
(27)						
(28)						

TABLE 2 Risk of bias assessment.

□ = low risk □ = high risk. □ = some concerns

optimize treatment use. Compression produces pulsating shear stress, this is the same as adjusting Vasodilators (e.g., nitric oxide) and proinflammatory agents (e.g., tumor necrosis factor alpha). This leads to physiological and biochemical changes in the vasculature with the aim to stimulate angiogenesis and increase coronary function (42). Dayrit et al. are the first to use EECP for persistent post-COVID-19 symptoms. After 1 week of treatment, the patient's brain fog improved. Shortness of breathing improved after 1.5 weeks and the patient reported returning to pre-COVID-19 health and fitness after approximately 5 weeks of EECP treatment. However, this was only one case and a control person was lacking.

Mayer et al. (20) presented another case of a 37-old-year woman who experienced persistent symptoms after COVID-19 infection including dyspnea, headache and cognitive fog. She previously participated in an outpatient physical therapist evaluation, which showed deficits in exercise capacity, reaching 50% of the expected 6-min walk distance for her age (6MWD). Moreover, she had minor reductions in muscle strength and cognitive function. She underwent a physical therapy examination that was based on the guidelines for intensive care unit (ICU) survivors as well as the COVID-19 core outcome measure guidelines from the academies and sections of the American physical therapy association. Subsequently, she participated in an 8-week biweekly physical therapy course that included aerobic training, strengthening exercises, diaphragmatic breathing techniques and mindfulness training. Metabolic equivalent (METS) values for tasks increased as the program progressed. The patient's muscle strength, physical performance, and physical function improved. The 6MWD increased by 199 m, which is 80% of the predicted distance for her age. However, self-reported quality of life (QoL) scores did not improve. After physical therapy, the patient continued to have migraine, dyspnea, fatigue, and cognitive impairment. Also in this case-report (n = 1) there was no control group.

Bhaiyat et al. (21) reported in a case-report of a 55year-old healthy man the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy. The man suffered from persistent symptoms including fatigue, memory problems, low energy, breathlessness and reduced physical fitness, which all started 3 months after the acute infection of COVID-19. He underwent a hyperbaric oxygen therapy that included 60 sessions, 5 days per week, and each session encompassed exposure to 90 min of 100% oxygen at 2 atmosphere absolute with 5min air breaks every 20 min. The patient noted less fatigue





and an improvement in his previous low energy after 15 sessions. Additionally, he reported that his memory and multitasking ability returned to his pre-COVID-19 levels. The baseline brain MRI, prior to therapy showed a global decrease in the brain perfusion which was increased after therapy. However, this was only one case and a control person was lacking.

Pang et al. (22) used a drug called Qingjin Yiqi granules (QJYQ) for 388 patients with post-COVID-19 fatigue for 14 days. QJYQ contains 16 herbs. These herbs are initially

extracted with water, followed by concentration and spraydrying to powder, after which excipients are added with the final mixture pelletized by dry granulation method. Each package contains 10 g, equivalent to 52 g of the crude drug. QJYQ has been recommended by the rehabilitation guidelines of integrated medicine for patients with post-COVID-19 in China (43, 44). In this study, data showed the QJYQ-group was superior to the control group in Borg scale, which was employed to evaluate perceived exertion and fatigue. Improvement in breathlessness and fatigue has been shown. No adverse event related to QJYQ was recorded.

Botek et al. (27) used in their randomized, singleblind, placebo-controlled study molecular hydrogen (H₂) as a therapeutic gas for 50 patients with post-COVID-19 with a follow-up of 33 days because it has antioxidative, anti-inflammatory, anti-apoptotic and anti-fatigue properties (45–47). The protocol consisted of H₂/placebo inhalation, 2×60 min/day for 14 days. Results showed that H₂ therapy increased 6-min walking distance and improved forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume (FEV1) compared with placebo. These data suggested that H₂ inhalation may have beneficial health effects in terms of improved physical and respiratory function in patients with post-COVID-19.

Discussion

Fatigue was reported as one of the most common persistent symptoms in individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 before. Persistent fatigue lasting at least 6 months is termed chronic fatigue syndrome (33). This may be observed after several viral and bacterial infections (48). In the included studies of the present systematic review, fatigue was a symptom that either occurred already in the acute phase or developed after recovery from the acute phase of infection. The included studies recruited patients for a maximum of 9 months, with fatigue as persistent symptom. This should be followed up for a longer period to see how long fatigue could persist after the acute infection of COVID-19.

The origin of fatigue can be-based on our current knowledge-explained by a biopsychosocial model of the disease (49). It can be caused by a variety of biological or physical dysfunctions (e.g., genetic factors). In addition, other factors may contribute to the development of fatigue in patients with post-COVID-19 such as cytokines released by SARS-CoV-2 infection that impair psychological defense mechanisms. Also, the prevalence of preexisting autoimmune disease and elevated ANA (antinuclear antibodies) in a cohort of participants with post-COVID-19 compared to the general population suggests the possibility of an autoimmune contribution (10). Lastly, Townsend et al. (17) clearly demonstrated the absence of significant dysautonomia in post-COVID-19 fatigue. Social factors (e.g., socioeconomic status) as well as psychological factors (e.g., emotional stress) can contribute individually or in combination to the development of fatigue (49). Psychological and social factors include experiences of helplessness in illness, avoidance behaviors, financial worries due to unemployment, and loneliness due to limitations in social contacts (49). However, the individual handling of fatigue (motivational factors, coping behavior, sleep habits)

also represents an important factor. In our systematic review, a possible link between premorbid depression/anxiety and post-COVID-19 fatigue has been shown (16, 24). Taken, together, the most predisposing factors of persistent symptoms observed in patients with post-COVID-19 syndrome were old age, female sex, severe clinical status at acute phase, high number of comorbidities, premorbid depression/anxiety, hospital admission and oxygen supplementation at the acute phase (50).

Several non-mutually exclusive hypotheses regarding the pathogenesis of COVID-19 suggest that antiinflammatory drugs may be beneficial for selected patients (51). Also psychotropic drugs (e.g., selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors) (52) can modulate proinflammatory cytokine levels, and may have beneficial effects on mood and cognition in COVID-19 survivors (53). However, data are lacking and the effect of these drugs on patients with post-COVID-19 fatigue should be investigated.

Based on the suggested vascular pathophysiology possibly contributing to post-COVID-19 fatigue (54), EECP may be an appropriate treatment for such patients. EECP is a noneinvasive treatment for patients with chronic stable angina and/or ischemic heart failure (42). It has also been shown to enhance cerebral blood flow, collateralization in the ischemic regions of the brain, and cognitive function. Improving of the patient's symptoms in the case-report of Dayrit et al. (19) gives further rise to the hypothesis that post-COVID-19 fatigue may be related to intracerebral hypoperfusion possibly due to COVID-19 associated microemboli (55).

Based on our findings, rehabilitation programs like pulmonary rehabilitation using hyperbaric oxygen therapy or physical therapy including aerobic training, strengthening exercises, diaphragmatic breathing techniques as well as mindfulness training, might represent treatment options in patients with persistent symptoms after COVID-19. Also, a molecular hydrogen (H₂) inhalation had beneficial health effects in terms of improved physical (6-min walking test) and respiratory function in patients with post-COVID-19 (27). Other therapeutic options are likely to appear as well and may have been missed here due to the focus on English written literature only. It would be also important to investigate whether (additional) psychotherapeutic approaches such as cognitive behavioral therapy, shown before to be beneficial for patients with long-lasting fatigue after Q-fever (affecting up to 30% of patients after the largest reported outbreak of Q-fever) could be an effective treatment for post-COVID-19 as also suggested by Vink et al. (56), however, actual data are lacking so far. Since most patients with post-COVID-19 fatigue also suffer from brain fog, myalgia, and depression/anxiety, more research including physical and psychological therapy is necessary in order to identify treatment options and ultimately improve the quality of life of patients with post-COVID-19 fatigue.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

JJ and PB: performed the systematic search. JJ: wrote the first draft of the manuscript. AS: planned the study and together with SZ gave critical input throughout the study. All authors finalized the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

Funding by Tübingen University Hospital, Department of Psychosomatic medicine and psychotherapy, and open access publishing fund of Tübingen University Hospital and of DFG(Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpsyt.2022.947973/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Nehme M, Braillard O, Chappuis F, Courvoisier DS. Guessous I,T. Covicare study, prevalence of symptoms more than seven months after diagnosis of symptomatic COVID-19 in an outpatient setting. *Ann Intern Med.* (2021) 174:1252–160. doi: 10.7326/M21–0878

2. Malik P, Patel K, Pinto C, Jaiswal R, Tirupathi R, Pillai Post-acute COVID-19 ty of life (HRQoL)-a and S. et al. syndrome (PCS) healthaı. quality of I Med review systematic related and metaanalysis. Virol. (2022)94:253-62. doi: 10.1002/imv. 27309

3. Stengel A, Malek N, Zipfel S, Goepel S. Long haulers-what is the evidence for post-COVID fatigue? *Front Psychiatry*. (2021) 12:677934. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.677934

4. Sivan M, Taylor S, NICE. guideline on long covid. BMJ. (2020) 371:m4938. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m4938

5 Rudroff T. Fietsam AC. Deters IR. AD. Bryant Kamholz contributing I. Post-COVID-19 fatigue: potential Brain (2020)10:1012. doi: 10.3390/brainsci10 factors. Sci. 121012

6. Julian JT, Higgins PT, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page M, et al. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 60.* Chichester: The Cochrane Collaboration; John Wiley and Sons (2021).

7. Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD et al. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. (2021) 372:n160. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n160

8. Lopez-Leon S, Wegman-Ostrosky ST, Perelman C, Sepulveda R, Rebolledo PA, Cuapio A et al. More than 50 long-term effects of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *MedRxiv.* (2021). doi: 10.1101/2021.01.27.21250617. [Epub ahead of print].

9. Sterne JA, Hernan MA, Reeves BC, Savovic J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ.* (2016) 355:i4919. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i4919

10. Graham EL, Clark JR, Orban ZS, Lim PH, Szymanski AL, Taylor C, et al. Persistent neurologic symptoms and cognitive dysfunction in non-hospitalized Covid-19 "long haulers". *Ann Clin Transl Neurol.* (2021) 8:1073-85. doi: 10.1002/acn3.51350

11. Elanwar R, Hussein M, Magdy R, Eid RA, Yassien A, Abdelsattar AS, et al. Physical and mental fatigue in subjects recovered from COVID-19 infection: a case-control study. *Neuropsychiatr dis treat.* (2021) 17:2063–71. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S317027

12. Kamal M, Abo Omirah M, Hussein A, Saeed H. Assessment and characterisation of post-COVID-19 manifestations. *Int J Clin Pract.* (2021) 75:e13746. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.13746

13. Ganesh R, Ghosh AK, Nyman MA, Croghan IT, Grach SL, Anstine CV, et al. PROMIS scales for assessment of persistent post-COVID symptoms: a cross sectional study. *J Prim Care Community Health.* (2021) 12:21501327211030413. doi: 10.1177/21501327211030413

14. Samir ES, Shokry D, Gomaa SH. Post-COVID-19 fatigue and anhedonia: a cross-sectional study and their correlation to post-recovery period. *Neuropsychopharmacol Rep.* (2021) 41:50–5. doi: 10.1002/npr2.12154

15. Townsend L, Dyer AH, McCluskey P, O'Brien PK, Dowds J, Laird E, et al. Investigating the relationship between vitamin D and persistent symptoms following SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nutrients.* (2021) 13:2430. doi: 10.3390/nu13072430

16. Townsend L, Dyer AH, Jones K, Dunne J, Mooney A, Gaffney F, et al. Persistent fatigue following SARS-CoV-2 infection is common and independent of severity of initial infection. *PLoS ONE.* (2020) 15:e0240784. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0240784

17. Townsend L, Moloney D, Finucane C, McCarthy K, Bergin C, Bannan C, et al. Fatigue following COVID-19 infection is not associated with autonomic dysfunction. *PLoS ONE.* (2021) 16:e0247280. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0247280

18. Margalit I, Yelin D, Sagi M, Rahat MM, Sheena L, Mizrahi N, et al. Risk factors and multidimensional assessment of long COVID fatigue: a nested casecontrol study. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2022) cia283. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciac283. [Epub ahead of print].

19. Dayrit JK, Verduzco-Gutierrez M, Teal A, Shah SA. Enhanced external counterpulsation as a novel treatment for post-acute COVID-19 sequelae. *Cureus*. (2021) 13:e14358. doi: 10.7759/cureus.14358

20. Mayer KP, Steele AK, Soper MK, Branton JD, Lusby ML, Kalema AG, et al. Physical therapy management of an individual with post-COVID syndrome: a case report. *Phys Ther.* (2021) 101:pzab098. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzab098

21. Bhaiyat AM, Sasson E, Wang Z, Khairy S, Ginzarly M, Qureshi U, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen treatment for long coronavirus disease-19: a case report. *J Med Case Rep.* (2022) 16:80. doi: 10.1186/s13256-022-03287-w

22. Pang W, Yang F, Zhao Y, Dai E, Feng J, Huang Y, et al. Granules for post-COVID-19 condition: a randomized clinical trial. *J Evid Based Med.* (2022) 15:30–8. doi: 10.1111/jebm.12465

23. Townsend L, Dowds J, O'Brien K, Sheill G, Dyer AH, O'Kelly B, et al. Persistent poor health after COVID-19 is not associated with respiratory complications or initial disease severity. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* (2021) 18:997–1003. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.202009–1175OC

24. Augustin M, Schommers P, Stecher M, Dewald F, Gieselmann L, Gruell H, et al. Post-COVID syndrome in non-hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a longitudinal prospective cohort study. *Lancet Reg Health Eur.* (2021) 6:100122. doi: 10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100122

25. Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, Guijarro C, Plaza-Canteli S, Hernandez-Barrera V, Torres-Macho. Prevalence of post-COVID-19 cough one year after SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter study. *Lung.* (2021) 199:249–53. doi: 10.1007/s00408-021-0014450-w

26. Vanichkachorn G, Newcomb R, Cowl CT, Murad MH, Brecher L, Miller S, et al. Post-COVID-19 syndrome (Long Haul Syndrome): description of a multidisciplinary clinic at mayo clinic and characteristics of the initial patient cohort. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2021) 96:1782–91. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.04.024

27. Botek M, Krejci J, Valenta M, McKune A, Sladeckova B. Konecny Pet al. Molecular hydrogen positively affects physical and respiratory function in acute post-COVID-19 patients: a new perspective in rehabilitation. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2022) 19:1992. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19041992

28. Schaeffer MR, Cowan J, Milne KM, Puyat JH, Voduc N, Corrales-Medina V, et al. Cardiorespiratory physiology, exertional symptoms, and psychological burden in post-COVID-19 fatigue. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol.* (2022) 302:103898. doi: 10.1016/j.resp.2022.103898

29. Buccheri G, Ferrigno D, Tamburini M. Karnofsky and ECOG performance status scoring in lung cancer: a prospective, longitudinal study of 536 patients from a single institution. *Eur J Cancer.* (1996) 32A:1135-41. doi: 10.1016/0959-8049(95)00664-8

30. Hanson KE, Caliendo AM, Arias CA, Englund JA, Lee MJ, Loeb M, et al. Infectious diseases society of america guidelines on the diagnosis of COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2020). doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab048. [Epub ahead of print].

31. Lai JS, Cella D, Choi S, Junghaenel DU, Christodoulou C, Gershon R, et al. How item banks and their application can influence measurement practice in rehabilitation medicine: a PROMIS fatigue item bank example. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2011) 92:S20–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ampr.2010.08.033

32. Gershon RC, Wagster MV, Hendrie HC, Fox NA, Cook KF, Nowinski CJ, et al. toolbox for assessment of neurological and behavioral function. *Neurology*. (2013) 80:S2–6. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b13e3182872e5f

33. Institute of Medicine Committee. Beyond Myalgic Encephalomyelitis/Chronic Fatigue Syndrome: Redefining an Illness, Committee on the Diagnostic Criteria for Myalgic Encephalomyelitis/Chronic Fatigue Syndrome. National Academies Press.

34. Chalder T, Berelowitz G, Pawlikowska T, Watts L, Wessely S, Wright D, et al. Development of a fatigue scale. *J Psychosom Res.* (1993) 37:147–53. doi: 10.1016/0022-3999(93)90081-P

35. Ameringer SW, Elswick RK, Menzies V, Robins JLW, Starkweather AR, Walter JM, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the patient-reported outcomes measurement information system fatigue-short form across diverse populations. *Nursing Res.* (2016) 65:279–89. doi: 10.1097/NNR.000000000 0000162

36. Michielsen HJ, De Vries J, Van Heck GL. Psychometric qualities of a brief self-rated fatigue measure: the fatigue assessment scale. *J Psychosom Res.* (2003) 54:345–52. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3999(02)00392-6

37. Butler S, Chalder T, Ron M, Wessely S. Cognitive behaviour therapy in chronic fatigue syndrome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (1991) 54:153– 8. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.54.2.153 38. Ewing DJ, Martyn CN, Young RJ, Clarke BF. The value of cardiovascular autonomic function tests: 10 years experience in diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* (1985) 8:491–8. doi: 10.2337/diacare.8.5.491

39. Borg GA. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. (1982) 14:377–81. doi: 10.1249/00005768-198205000-00012

40. Weisman IM, Zeballos RJ. Clinical exercise testing. Clin Chest Med. (2001) 22:679–701. doi: 10.1016/S0272-5231(05)70060-5

41. Borg E, Borg G, Larsson K, Letzter M, Sundblad BM. An index for breathlessness and leg fatigue. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* (2010) 20:644–50. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.00985.x

42. Raza A, Steinberg K, Tartaglia J, Frishman WH, Gupta T. Enhanced external counterpulsation therapy: past, present, and future. *Cardiol Rev.* (2017) 25:59–67. doi: 10.1097/CRD.00000000000122

43. Zhen H. Health Commission of Hebei Province. *Hebei Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Rehabilitation Guidelines of Integrated Medicine for COVID-19 Patients in Hebei Province.* Hebei Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (2021).

44. Lyu M, Fan G, Xiao G, Wang T, Xu D, Gao J, et al. Traditional chinese medicine in COVID-19. *Acta Pharm Sin B.* (2021) 11:3337-63. doi: 10.1016/j.apsb.2021.09.008

45. Ichihara M, Sobue S, Ito M, Ito M, Hirayama M. K. Ohno K Beneficial biological effects and the underlying mechanisms of molecular hydrogen - comprehensive review of 321 original articles. *Med Gas Res.* (2015) 5:12. doi: 10.1186/s13618-015-0035-1

46. Li TT, Sun T, Wang YZ, Wan Q, Li WZ, Yang WC. Molecular hydrogen alleviates lung injury after traumatic brain injury: pyroptosis and apoptosis. *Eur J Pharmacol.* (2022) 914:174664. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2021.174664

47. Ara J, Fadriquela A, Ahmed MF, Bajgai J, Sajo MEJ, Lee SP, et al. Hydrogen water drinking exerts antifatigue effects in chronic forced swimming mice via antioxidative and anti-inflammatory activities. *Biomed Res Int.* (2018) 2018:1–9. doi: 10.1155/2018/2571269

48. Bansal AS, Bradley AS, Bishop KN, Kiani-Alikhan S, Ford B. Chronic fatigue syndrome, the immune system and viral infection. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2012) 26:24–31. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2011.06.016

49. Weingartner AL, Stengel A. [Fatigue at long COVID]. Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol. (2021) 71:515–27. doi: 10.1055/a-1544-8349

50. Cabrera Martimbianco AL, Pacheco RL, Bagattini AM. R. Riera R Frequency, signs and symptoms, and criteria adopted for long COVID-19: a systematic review. *Int J Clin Pract.* (2021) 75:e14357. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.14357

51. Zhou Y, Fu B, Zheng X, Wang D, Zhao C, Qi Y, et al. Pathogenic T-cells and inflammatory monocytes incite inflammatory storms in severe COVID-19 patients. *Natl Sci Rev.* (2020) 7:998–1002. doi: 10.1093/nsr/nwaa041

52. Galecki P, Mossakowska-Wojcik J, Talarowska M. The anti-inflammatory mechanism of antidepressants - SSRIs, SNRIs. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* (2018) 80:291–4. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.03.016

53. Heckenberg RA, Eddy P, Kent S, Wright BJ. Do workplace-based mindfulness meditation programs improve physiological indices of stress? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Psychosom Res.* (2018) 114:62–71. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2018.09.010

54. Puntmann VO, Carerj ML, Wieters I, Fahim M, Arendt C, Hoffmann J, et al. Outcomes of cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging in patients recently recovered from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Cardiol.* (2020) 5:1265–73. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2020.3557

55. Batra A, Clark JR, LaHaye K, Shlobin NA, Hoffman SC, Orban ZS, et al. Transcranial doppler ultrasound evidence of active cerebral embolization in COVID-19. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2021) 30:105542. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2020.105542

56. Vink M, Vink-Niese A. Could cognitive behavioural therapy be an effective treatment for long COVID and post COVID-19 fatigue syndrome? Lessons from the qure study for Q-fever fatigue syndrome. *Healthcare (Basel).* (2020) 8:552. doi: 10.3390/healthcare8040552