

Can the management of blood sugar levels in gestational diabetes mellitus cases be an indicator of maternal and fetal outcomes? The results of a prospective cohort study from India

Rajesh Jain, Sanjeev Davey¹, Anuradha Davey², Santosh K. Raghav¹, Jai V. Singh¹

Gestational Diabetes Prevention Control Project, Jain Hospital, Kanpur, ¹Department of Community Medicine, Muzaffarnagar Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarnagar, ²Department of Community Medicine, Subharti Medical College, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. Sanjeev Davey, B-197, 3rd Floor, Prashant Vihar, Sector 14, Rohini, New Delhi - 110 085, India. E-mail: Sanjeevdavey333@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is emerging as an important public health problem in India owing to its increasing prevalence since the last decade. The issue addressed in the study was whether the management of blood sugar levels in GDM cases can predict maternal and fetal outcomes. **Materials and Methods:** A prospective cohort study was done for 1 year from October 1, 2013, to September 31, 2014, at 652 diabetic screening units as a part of the Gestational Diabetes Prevention and Control Project approved by the Indian Government in the district of Kanpur, state of Uttar Pradesh. A total of 57,108 pregnant women were screened during their 24–28th weeks of pregnancy by impaired oral glucose test. All types of maternal and perinatal outcomes were followed up in both GDM and non-GDM categories in the 2nd year (2013–2014) after blood sugar levels were controlled. **Results:** It was seen that for all kinds of maternal and fetal outcomes, the differences between GDM cases and non-GDM cases were highly significant ($P < 0.0001$, relative risk > 1 in every case). Moreover, perinatal mortality also increased significantly from 5.7% to 8.9% when blood sugar levels increased from 199 mg/dl and above. Perinatal and maternal outcomes in GDM cases were also significantly related to the control of blood sugar levels ($P < 0.0001$). **Conclusion:** Blood sugar levels can be an indicator of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality in GDM cases, provided unified diagnostic criteria are used by Indian laboratories. However, to get an accurate picture on this issue, all factors need further study.

Key words: Blood sugar, diabetes mellitus, gestation, India, indicator, maternal, perinatal

INTRODUCTION

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) appears as glucose intolerance, which begins or is first detected during pregnancy.^[1-4] GDM is emerging as an important public health problem across the world as it affects 7% of all pregnancies. Nearly, 200,000 cases are seen per year.^[2] A significantly higher prevalence of GDM in pregnant Indian

women, i.e., 6–13.4% has been reported in many studies.^[1-5] Moreover, the Indian scenario is more difficult to manage since the majority of pregnant women, whether urban or rural, have no knowledge about GDM.^[5]

It has now been established that GDM has many potential complications for both mother and child and that screening,

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Jain R, Davey S, Davey A, Raghav SK, Singh JV. Can the management of blood sugar levels in gestational diabetes mellitus cases be an indicator of maternal and fetal outcomes? The results of a prospective cohort study from India. *J Fam Community Med* 2016;23:94-9.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.jfcmonline.com
	DOI: 10.4103/2230-8229.181002

diagnosis, and management of hyperglycemia in GDM are essential.^[4-6] Treatment through medical nutrition therapy and close monitoring of glucose levels can help to reduce the complications.^[4-6] It has been further seen that increasing levels of plasma glucose are associated with birth weight above the 90th percentile, and the cornerstone of the management of GDM cases is glycemic control.^[6] An antepartum control of sugars is found to provide good peripartum control for the reduction of neonatal complications.^[7] In the peripartum management of diabetes, the avoidance of maternal hyperglycemia is very important to reduce the chances of neonatal hypoglycemia.^[7] Therefore, many studies postulate an emphasis on the proper control of blood sugar levels in managing GDM cases.^[4-8] Most studies, therefore, indicate that an appropriate management of GDM can improve both maternal and perinatal outcomes.^[4-8] A few studies^[5,9] have also indicated that poor perinatal outcomes (6% and 43%) can be associated with a bad glycemic control in mothers. Despite these studies^[1-8] which give evidence of an increasing number of GDM cases in India, there are hardly any studies in the literature on the actual follow-up of management of various blood sugar levels and the consequent impact on maternal and fetal outcomes. Though, there are few studies^[4-9] on perinatal outcomes on patients in India with GDM, in the literature there are no studies on perinatal outcomes of the GDM patients in India with their controlled as well as uncontrolled blood sugar levels. Therefore, this study is unique in that no such cohort study has been done after the mass screening of GDM cases in North India. The objective of the study was to determine the impact of the management of various blood sugar levels in reducing maternal and perinatal complications in GDM cases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was approved by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi (India) as a part of a Gestational Diabetes Prevention and Control Project (Project No: WDF12-678) and Government of Uttar Pradesh, India.

The consent forms were completed by all pregnant women to participate in this project. Moreover, approval was sought from a chief medical officer, Kanpur district of the state Uttar Pradesh where this study was proposed. All private health facilities in Kanpur district of the state Uttar Pradesh, which gave their consent to participate in this study, were also included.

A prospective cohort study was done for 1 year from October 1, 2013, to September 31, 2014, at 652 diabetic screening units (covering both Government and Private health facilities including camps) as a part of the Gestational Diabetes Prevention and Control Project. A total of 57,108

pregnant women were screened in their 24–28th weeks of pregnancy (to get the maximum effect of GDM) by impaired oral glucose test. To measure GDM, Accu-check glucometer from Roche was used and 75 g glucose packets together with glucometers and strips, lancets, glass, spoon, etc., were distributed to all 652 screening units.

The Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Group India (DIPSI) criteria was used to diagnose GDM. These DIPSI criteria were used as per the WHO guidelines, which suggest that DIPSI can serve both as a screening and diagnostic test and can also be a useful test for managing GDM cases in the Indian environment.^[10,11] DIPSI is a single test procedure to diagnose GDM in the community in which the measurement of only 2 h postglucose (75 g) >140 mg/dl by the GOD-POD method is done to screen positive for GDM. In this test, women were diagnosed as diabetic if 2 h postprandial blood plasma glucose after breakfast was ≥ 200 mg/dl and they were advised, exercise, diet, and insulin therapy. The women were labeled as “prediabetes” if blood sugar was 140–199 mg/dl. These women were given treatment and advised on exercise and diet control. Blood sugar values were considered as controlled when they were below 140 mg/dl but were labeled as “decreased gestational glucose tolerance,” and the women were also advised to exercise.

First of all, GDM cases were discovered in the previous year's study (2012–2013), which had only looked for GDM cases. In the 2nd year (2013–2014), all GDM and non-GDM cases were taken as two groups and were followed up after control of their blood sugar levels, but no randomization or blinding was done. All types maternal and perinatal outcomes were followed up in both the GDM and non-GDM categories for 2nd year (2013–2014). The fetal outcomes were seen separately in both the GDM and non-GDM categories, when a history of previous fetal complications was present. Perinatal mortality was further seen as a function of blood sugar (mg/dl) value from 100 mg/dl to ≥ 200 mg/dl and its comparison with H/O previous perinatal loss was done by applying a test of significance. From them, the effect of blood sugar levels was seen in relation to maternal and perinatal outcomes in GDM cases.

All types of data were analyzed by means of special GDM software supplied under Gestational Diabetes Prevention and Control Project, which did all statistical calculations. Chi-square test was used to test the differences in obtained qualitative data. Odds ratios and relative risk (RR) were calculated for different risk factors using bivariate and multiple logistic regression analysis.

RESULTS

Of the 7641 pregnant women who were diagnosed with GDM, 6657 were followed-up for blood sugar monitoring

(87%) once, and 4327 of these GDM women were followed.

Labeled as “Prediabetes” were 1996 who had the value of 120–139 mg/dl. and 1137 who had blood sugar 140 - <199 mg/dl. Diabetics were 648 and had blood sugar value ≥200 mg/dl. The 334 in the GDM category had the value ≥140 mg/dl.

It was seen that for all kinds of maternal outcomes such as cesarean section, pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH), premature baby unit (PBU) care, family H/O DM and antepartum hemorrhage/postpartum hemorrhage (APH/PPH), the differences between GDM and non-GDM cases were highly statistically significant ($P < 0.0001$, $RR > 1$ in every case). This was also seen in the outcomes of neonates in terms of perinatal death, stillbirth, neonatal death, congenital malformations, low gestation for age (LGA), low birth weight (LBW), jaundice. Here also the differences between GDM and non-GDM cases were statistically significant ($P < 0.0001$, $RR > 1$ in every case) [Table 1].

In terms of H/O previous birth complications, again in the category of stillbirths and perinatal deaths both in GDM and non-GDM cases, the differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.0001$). However, in neonatal deaths, it was not significant in both GDM and non-GDM category ($P > 0.05$) [Table 2].

As the blood sugar level rose above 120 mg/dl, perinatal mortality rose significantly as compared to previous perinatal loss ($P < 0.0001$). This increased significantly from (5.7% to 8.9%) when blood sugar level was ≥199 mg/dl [Table 3 and Figure 1].

When 5043 GDM cases were segregated into blood sugar controlled (<140 mg%) and uncontrolled (≥140 mg%) and followed for the development of complications, it was found that outcomes in both neonatal and maternal were related to the control of blood sugar levels significantly ($P < 0.0001$) except in the case of congenital malformations [Table 4 and Figure 2].

DISCUSSION

DM is increasing worldwide and this rise is more prevalent in developing countries such as India, which is going to become the future “Diabetic-Capital,” for which GDM is thought to be a real contributor.^[12] This emphasizes the importance of prevalence studies in India in pregnant women in order to reveal the exact prevalence of GDM.^[12] Hence, GDM is emerging as a rising public health problem in pregnant women in India as many studies have indicated.^[5,12-15] Various prevalence rates from places such as




Figure 1: Perinatal mortality (%) in gestational diabetes mellitus cases in relation to the maternal blood sugar levels (in g/dl)

Table 1: Maternal and fetal outcomes of gestational diabetes mellitus and nongestational diabetes mellitus pregnant women

Outcomes	GDM cases (n=7641) N (%)	Non-GDM cases (n=8000) N (%)	RR	95% CI	p-value
Stillbirth	247 (3.2)	102 (1.3)	2.53	2.0-3.1	<0.0001
Neonatal death	128 (1.7)	56 (0.7)	2.39	1.75-3.27	<0.0001
Perinatal death	375 (4.9)	158 (1.97)	2.48	2.0-2.9	<0.0001
Congenital malformations	382 (5)	82 (1.03)	4.87	3.8-6.1	<0.0001
Cesarean section	2242 (29.3)	1814 (22.67)	1.21	1.2-1.3	<0.0001
PBU care	234 (3.06)	85 (1.06)	2.88	2.25-3.68	<0.0001
LGA	684 (9)	67 (.83)	10.6	8.3-13.7	<0.0001
LBW	863 (11.3)	758 (9.4)	1.19	1.1-1.3	<0.0002
PIH	686 (9)	483 (6)	1.83	1.6-2.0	<0.0001
Jaundice	382 (5)	84 (1)	4.76	3.7-6.0	<0.0001
Family history of DM	1372 (17.9)	546 (6.8)	2.62	2.3-2.8	<0.0001
APH/PPH	64 (0.84)	26 (0.32)	2.57	1.6-4.0	<0.0001

APH: Antepartum hemorrhage; PPH: Postpartum hemorrhage; PIH: Pregnancy-induced hypertension; LBW: Low birth weight; LGA: Low gestation for age; PBU: Premature baby unit; OR: Odds ratio; RR: Relative risk; DM: Diabetes mellitus; GDM: Gestational diabetes mellitus

Table 2: Fetal outcomes in gestational diabetes mellitus versus nongestational diabetes mellitus and its relationship with history of previous birth complications

Outcomes in neonate	GDM present (n=7641) N (%)	Previous fetal loss present N (%)	p-value	GDM absent (n=8000) N (%)	Previous fetal loss present N (%)	p-value
Stillbirth	247 (3.3)	916 (12)	<0.0001	102 (1.2)	212 (2.6)	<0.0001
Neonatal death	128 (1.6)	156 (2)	<0.09	56 (0.7)	62 (0.8)	<0.5
Perinatal death	375 (4.9)	1072 (14)	<0.0001	158 (1.9)	274 (3.4)	<0.0001

GDM: Gestational diabetes mellitus

Table 3: Perinatal mortality as a function of blood sugar (mg/dl) value and its comparison with a history of previous perinatal loss

Blood sugar levels (mg/dl)	Samples tested (n=57,018)	Perinatal mortality present N (%)	History of previous perinatal mortality N (%)	p-value
<100	n1=12,560	-	-	
100-119	n2=31,075	776 (2.4)	768 (2.5)	<0.44
120-139	n3=5742	137 (2.4)	214 (3.7)	<0.0001
140-159	n4=3915	137 (3.5)	417 (10)	<0.0001
160-179	n5=1451	65 (4.4)	176 (12.1)	<0.0001
180-199	n6=940	54 (5.7)	168 (17.8)	<0.0001
≥200	n7=1335	119 (8.9)	311 (23.2)	<0.0001

Kanpur - 13.4%, Haryana - 7.1%, Kashmir - 3.8%, South India - 21%, and 16.5% in random surveys across many cities of India have shown this. This is in agreement with varying GDM of 2.5% to 21% found across developing world.^[14] This variation also indicates that different criteria may have been used for the diagnosis of GDM.^[12]

In present study, for all the studied maternal outcomes, there were higher rates in findings such as cesarean section, PIH, PBU care, family H/O DM, and APH/PPH among GDM cases. The neonatal outcomes had also a higher percentage of perinatal deaths, stillbirths, neonatal death, congenital malformations, LGA, LBW, and jaundice. Both kinds of outcomes in the maternal and neonatal categories had a significant association with the presence of GDM. It was further seen that for all kinds of maternal and neonatal outcomes, the differences between GDM and non-GDM cases were highly statistically significant (for both - $P < 0.0001$, $RR \geq 1$ in every case, respectively). This finding was unique in contrast to many related studies on GDM^[5,16] in terms of types of outcomes in the past in India, which indicates that gestational diabetes can result in significant feto-maternal outcomes. Many studies have also reported that the Type 2 DM risk is higher for patients with GDM.^[16-18] Our study shows that the differences were highly statistically significant ($P < 0.0001$). In terms of fetal outcomes with H/O previous birth complications again in the category of stillbirths and perinatal deaths both in GDM and non-GDM cases. This indicates that diabetic




Figure 2: Maternal and perinatal outcomes (in %) in gestational diabetes mellitus cases in relation to the maternal blood sugar levels controlled by treatment (in g/dl)

complications affect the fetus of gestational diabetic women as also found in another study.^[16] Scientific evidence now also reveals that the control of blood glucose levels with management strategies can reduce the frequency of congenital anomalies and improve maternal and neonatal outcomes in GDM cases.^[19]

The most important finding in our study was that as blood sugar levels rose above 120 mg/dl, there was significant perinatal mortality compared to previous perinatal loss ($P < 0.0001$). This perinatal loss increased significantly from (5.7% to 8.9%) when blood sugar level was ≥ 199 mg/dl. This finding was also unique in contrast to many related studies.^[19-26] It has been seen that the values of oral glucose tolerance test in the middle phase of pregnancy and antenatal random glycemia can to some extent also predict PIH, preterm births, or stillbirths.^[20]

In the present study, when perinatal mortality as a function of blood sugar (mg/dl) value was compared with H/O previous perinatal loss, it was also highly statistically significant ($P < 0.0001$). This further means that a rise

Table 4: Post follow-up complications of gestational diabetes diagnosed in controlled and uncontrolled blood sugar after treatment

Maternal and neonatal outcomes	BS-controlled (<140 mg%) (n=4589) N (%)	BS-uncontrolled (>140 mg%) (n=454) N (%)	RR	95% CI	p-value
Stillbirth	64 (1.4)	15 (3.3)	0.42	2.0-3.1	<0.0023
Neonatal death	37 (0.8)	8 (1.8)	0.04	30.28-0.98	<0.043
Perinatal death	101 (2.19)	23 (5.1)	0.43	0.28-0.68	<0.0002
Congenital malformations	206 (4.5)	22 (4.8)	0.93	0.60-1.4	<0.73
Cesarean section	1101 (24.0)	163 (35.9)	0.67	0.58-0.76	<0.0001
PBU care	27 (0.59)	12 (2.75)	0.22	0.11-0.44	<0.0001
LGA	30 (.65)	34 (7.5)	0.087	0.054-0.14	<0.0001
LBW	413 (8.9)	71 (15.6)	0.57	0.46-0.73	<0.0001
PIH	137 (2.98)	42 (9.3)	0.32	0.23-0.45	<0.0001
Jaundice	26 (0.56)	24 (5.2)	0.11	0.062-0.18	<0.0001
Family history of DM	357 (7.7)	103 (22.6)	0.34	0.28-0.41	<0.0001
APH/PPH	11 (0.23)	4 (0.88)	0.27	0.087-0.85	<0.025
Insulin use	298 (6.4)	5 (1.1)	5.89	2.4-14.1	<0.0001

APH: Antepartum hemorrhage; PPH: Postpartum hemorrhage; PIH: Pregnancy-induced hypertension; LBW: Low birth weight; LGA: Low gestation for age; PBU: Premature baby unit; BS: Blood sugar; OR: Odds ratio; RR: Relative risk; DM: Diabetes mellitus

in perinatal mortality was seen in terms of the rise of blood sugar (mg/dl) from 120 mg/dl to 200 mg/dl. This association was also unique in contrast to related studies on GDM^[21-27] in the literature. There is scientific evidence that monitoring of diet, glycemic control can bring about a successful outcome of pregnancy in women with diabetes.^[21] All pregnant diabetic women should have strict metabolic control because of the possible negative impact on the mother's and fetus' health.^[22] It has also been seen that gestational diabetes generally leads to fetal growth alterations, leading to perinatal mortalities.^[23] Scientific evidence also indicates that increased glucose levels are associated with a continuous increase in the risk of macrosomia and cesarean section as a few studies have shown.^[24,25] The loss of pregnancy is also found to be significantly higher among women with diabetes compared to the nondiabetic population.^[26] The study by Schaefer *et al.* also found a two-fold increase in the risk of congenital anomalies when fasting glucose levels were <120 mg/dl when detected during pregnancy.^[27] All these studies indicate that perinatal mortality appears as a function of blood sugar in pregnant women, which is also a major finding of our study.

When GDM cases were segregated into blood sugar controlled (<140 mg%) and uncontrolled (\geq 140 mg%) and further followed up for the development of complications, it was found that both perinatal and maternal outcomes were related to control of blood sugar levels significantly ($P < 0.0001$). This finding in our study corroborates findings in a few studies,^[27-31] which clearly reveal that blood sugar levels and consequent diabetic state are associated with maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. Many studies on GDM^[29-31] also suggest that early screening

and dietary control of gestational diabetes can promote the curtailment of maternal and perinatal morbidities. Therefore, early proper diagnosis coupled with postpartum testing and follow-up in women with GDM can improve perinatal outcomes.^[32] Similar to the findings of our present study, scientific evidence also endorses the thesis that pregnancies complicated by GDM are associated with a higher frequency of adverse maternal and fetal outcomes.^[33] Hence, finally what we can say that there is a need for proper unified programs to manage GDM cases to reduce the growing burden of diabetes in India as advocated by some studies in North India^[34,35] which addressed issues similar to those in our present study.

Limitations of study

Ours was a prospective cohort study in which maternal and perinatal outcomes of GDM cases after controlling blood sugar levels were seen, without any randomization and blinding approach typically used in an intervention study. Moreover, DIPSI criteria were used according to its suitability to the Indian environment. Any generalization of the study findings should be done with caution.

CONCLUSION

Maternal and fetal outcomes in GDM cases are poor. Perinatal and maternal outcomes in GDM cases are also significantly related to control of blood sugar levels. Therefore, blood sugar levels appear to be an important possible indicator of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality in Indian GDM cases. However, there is a need to unify diagnostic criteria in practices throughout the Indian subcontinent for a better validation of results from this study as well as other GDM studies conducted in

India. Moreover, all other multiple factors in combination also need further detailed study for a true picture on this issue to emerge.

Acknowledgments

Authors are very thankful to staff involved in Gestational Diabetes Prevention Control Project-who contributed in data collection to execution in management of this study at Kanpur and PMS Department of Government of Uttar Pradesh Kanpur, and all private health facilities which allowed data collection.

Financial support and sponsorship

Gestational Diabetes Prevention Control Project Finding by Ministry of Health and family Welfare (MOHFW), Government of India (GOI), New Delhi.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Report of the expert committee on the diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26 Suppl 1:S5-20.
- American Diabetes Association. Gestational diabetes mellitus (Position statement). *Diabetes Care* 2004;27 Suppl 1:S88-90.
- Metzger BE, Coustan DR. Summary and recommendations of the Fourth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. The Organizing Committee. *Diabetes Care* 1998;21 Suppl 2:B161-7.
- Setji TL, Brown AJ, Mark N. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Clin Diabetes* 2005;23:17-24.
- Jain R, Pathak RR, Kotecha AA. Gestational diabetes: Perinatal and maternal complication in 24-28 weeks. *Int J Med Sci Public Health* 2014;3:1283-8.
- Gilmartin AB, Ural SH, Repke JT. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Rev Obstet Gynecol* 2008;1:129-34.
- Kalra P, Anakal M. Peripartum management of diabetes. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab* 2013;17 Suppl 1:S72-6.
- Bener A, Saleh NM, Al-Hamaq A. Prevalence of gestational diabetes and associated maternal and neonatal complications in a fast-developing community: Global comparisons. *Int J Womens Health* 2011;3:367-73.
- Otolorin EO, Famuyiwa OO, Bella AF, Dawodu AH, Adelusi B. Reproductive performance following active management of diabetic pregnancies at the University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria. *Afr J Med Med Sci* 1985;14:155-60.
- DIPSI Guidelines. Available from: <http://www.dipsi.in/guidelines.html>. [Last accessed on 2016 Mar 03].
- DIPSI Guidelines. Available from: <http://www.dipsi.in/forms/DIPSI-guidelines.pdf>. [Last accessed on 2016 Mar 03].
- Rajput R, Yadav Y, Nanda S, Rajput M. Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus & associated risk factors at a tertiary care hospital in Haryana. *Indian J Med Res* 2013;137:728-33.
- Zargar AH, Sheikh MI, Bashir MI, Masoodi SR, Laway BA, Wani AI, *et al.* Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in Kashmiri women from the Indian subcontinent. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2004;66:139-45.
- Schmidt MI, Ducan BB, Reichelt AJ, Branchtein L, Matos MC, Costa E Forti A, *et al.* For the Brazilian Gestational Diabetes Study Group. Gestational diabetes mellitus diagnosed with a 2-h 75 gm oral glucose tolerance test and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Diabetes Care* 2001;24:1151-5.
- Seshiah V, Balaji V, Balaji MS, Sanjeevi CB, Green A. Gestational diabetes mellitus in India. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2004;52:707-11.
- Sreelakshmi PR, Nair S, Soman B, Alex R, Vijayakumar K, Kutty VR. Maternal and neonatal outcomes of gestational diabetes: A retrospective cohort study from Southern India. *J Family Med Prim Care* 2015;4:395-8.
- Mahalakshmi MM, Bhavadharini B, Kumar M, Anjana RM, Shah SS, Bridgette A, *et al.* Clinical profile, outcomes, and progression to type 2 diabetes among Indian women with gestational diabetes mellitus seen at a diabetes center in South India. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab* 2014;18:400-6.
- Huddle K, England M, Nagar A. Outcome of pregnancy in diabetic women in Soweto, South Africa 1983-1992. *Diabet Med* 1993;10:290-4.
- Reece EA, Homko CJ. Assessment and management of pregnancies complicated by pregestational and gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Assoc Acad Minor Phys* 1994;5:87-97.
- Gui J, Li A, Su X, Feng L. Association between hyperglycemia in middle and late pregnancy and maternal-fetal outcomes: A retrospective study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2014;14:34.
- Magon N, Chauhan M. Pregnancy in type 1 diabetes mellitus: How special are special issues? *N Am J Med Sci* 2012;4:250-6.
- Negrato CA, Mattar R, Gomes MB. Adverse pregnancy outcomes in women with diabetes. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 2012;4:41.
- Jovanovic L, Pettitt DJ. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *JAMA* 2001;286:2516-8.
- Sermer M, Naylor CD, Gare DJ. Impact of increasing carbohydrate metabolism intolerance on maternal fetal outcomes in 3637 women without gestational diabetes: The Toronto Tri-hospital gestational diabetes project. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1995;173:146-56.
- HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group, Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, *et al.* Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:1991-2002.
- Hawthorne G, Robson S, Ryall EA, Sen D, Roberts SH, Ward Platt MP. Prospective population based survey of outcome of pregnancy in diabetic women: Results of the Northern Diabetic Pregnancy Audit, 1994. *BMJ* 1997;315:279-81.
- Schaefer UM, Songster G, Xiang A, Berkowitz K, Buchanan TA, Kjos SL. Congenital malformations in offspring of women with hyperglycemia first detected during pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1997;177:1165-71.
- Adams KM, Li H, Nelson RL, Ogburn PL, Diana R, Dixon D. Sequelae of unrecognized gestational diabetes. Presented at the Sixty-Fifth Annual Meeting of the Central Association of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Scottsdale, Arizona, October 29-November 1, 1997. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1998;178:1321-32.
- Tanir HM, Sener T, Güner H, Kaya M. A ten-year gestational diabetes mellitus cohort at a university clinic of the mid-Anatolian region of Turkey. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol* 2005;32:241-4.
- Jensen DM, Sørensen B, Feilberg-Jørgensen N, Westergaard JG, Beck-Nielsen H. Maternal and perinatal outcomes in 143 Danish women with gestational diabetes mellitus and 143 controls with a similar risk profile. *Diabet Med* 2000;17:281-6.
- Thomas T, Prabhata S, Valsangkar S. Diabetes screening and the distribution of blood glucose levels in rural areas of North India. *J Family Community Med* 2015;22:140-4.
- Jain R, Davey S, Arya S, Davey A, Raghav SK. A prospective study with outcome on gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Evol Med Dent Sci* 2015;4:15640-7.
- Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Moss JR, McPhee AJ, Jeffries WS, Robinson JS; Australian Carbohydrate Intolerance Study in Pregnant Women (ACHOIS) Trial Group. Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:2477-86.
- Dodd JM, Crowther CA, Antoniou G, Baghurst P, Robinson JS. Screening for gestational diabetes: The effect of varying blood glucose definitions in the prediction of adverse maternal and infant health outcomes. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 2007;47:307-12.
- Agarwal MM. Gestational diabetes mellitus: An update on the current international diagnostic criteria. *World J Diabetes* 2015;6:782-91.