



# Plasma proteome in multiple sclerosis disease progression

Arjan Malekzadeh<sup>1</sup> (D), Cyra Leurs<sup>2</sup>, Wessel van Wieringen<sup>3</sup>, Martijn D. Steenwijk<sup>4</sup>, Menno M. Schoonheim<sup>4</sup> (D), Michael Amann<sup>5,6</sup>, Yvonne Naegelin<sup>7</sup>, Jens Kuhle<sup>7</sup>, Joep Killestein<sup>2</sup> & Charlotte E. Teunissen<sup>1</sup> (D)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Chemistry, Amsterdam University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Amsterdam University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Amsterdam University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Department of Anatomy and Neurosciences, Amsterdam Neuroscience, MS Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>Division of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

<sup>6</sup>Medical Image Analysis Center (MIAC AG), Basel, Switzerland

<sup>7</sup>Department of Biomedicine and Clinical Research, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

#### Correspondence

Arjan Malekzadeh, Department of Clinical Chemistry, Amsterdam University Medical Centre, de Boelelaan 1117, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Tel: +31 (20) 444-3868; Fax: +31 (20) 444-3857; E-mail: arian.malekzadeh@gmail.com

#### **Funding Information**

This work was supported by the Primary Progressive MS Alliance (Grant: PA 0186), Dutch MS Research Foundation (Grant number 14-358e) and a grant of the Amsterdam Neuroscience (Proof of Concept pilot grant).

Received: 24 September 2018; Revised: 28 February 2019; Accepted: 7 March 2019

Annals of Clinical and Translational Neurology 2019; 6(9): 1582–1594

doi: 10.1002/acn3.771

#### Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic degenerative disease of the central nervous system (CNS) that primarily affects young adults. MS is the leading neuropathological, nontraumatic, cause of disability in young adults.<sup>1</sup> The current hypothesis of MS etiology is that MS is an autoimmune disease caused by an interplay between genetic susceptibility of multiple immune system

#### Abstract

Background: The pathophysiology of multiple sclerosis disease progression remains undetermined. The aim of this study was to identify differences in plasma proteome during different stages of MS disease progression. Methods: We used a multiplex aptamer proteomics platform (Somalogic) for sensitive detection of 1129 proteins in plasma. MS patients were selected and categorized based on baseline and a 4-year follow-up EDSS (delta EDSS) scores; relapseonset (RO) slow progression (n = 31), RO with rapid progression (n = 29), primary progressive (n = 30), and healthy controls (n = 20). The relation of baseline plasma protein levels with delta EDSS and different MRI progression parameters were assessed using linear regression models. Results: Regression analyses of plasma proteins with delta EDSS showed six significant associations. Strong associations were found for the proteins LGLAS8 ( $P = 7.64 \times 10^{-5}$ , q = 0.06), CCL3 (P = 0.0001, q = 0.06), and RGMA (P = 0.0005, q = 0.09). In addition, associations of plasma proteins were found with percentage brain volume for C3  $(P = 2,08 \times 10^{-9}, q = 1,70 \times 10^{-6})$ , FGF9  $(P = 3,42 \times 10^{-9}, q = 1,70 \times 10^{-6})$  $q = 1.70 \times 10^{-6}$ ), and EHMT2 (P = 0.0007, q = 0.01). Most of the significant markers were associated with cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix adhesion, immune system communication, immune system activation, and complement pathways. Conclusions: Our results revealed eight novel biomarkers related to clinical and radiological progression in MS. These results indicate that changes in immune system, complement pathway and ECM remodeling proteins contribute to MS progression and may therefore be further explored for use in prognosis of MS.

> associated loci and environmental factors.<sup>2,3</sup> Approximately 85% of the MS patients experience a relapseremitting (RRMS) disease course from onset.<sup>3</sup> RRMS is characterized by temporary episodes of neurological malfunctioning, with the presence of focal CNS inflammation and demyelination.<sup>3</sup> Remission occurs upon a relapse with full or partial recovery. The relapse and remission disease course varies between individuals and within two decades approximately 60% of the RRMS patients develop

**1582** © 2019 The Authors. Annals of Clinical and Translational Neurology published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc on behalf of American Neurological Association. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. a secondary disease (SPMS) course.<sup>3–6</sup> SPMS is characterized by steady neurological decline and neurological atrophy, without apparent inflammatory lesions.<sup>3,4</sup> Approximately 10-15% of the MS patients suffer from primary progressive (PPMS) symptoms, characterized by accumulation of neurological deficits from onset without apparent supra-attacks.<sup>3,4</sup>

Despite advances in the MRI field and improvements in clinical assessments for MS diagnostics, the unpredictable nature of lesion formation and MS progression make prognosis and life-planning choices challenging for both the clinician and the patient. Moreover, even within the same MS subtype, different disease progression rates are observed.<sup>7</sup> Some RRMS patients show a more benign disease course, with little to no progression, while others accumulate disability rapidly and show an aggressive disease course.<sup>7</sup> Laboratory quantified blood-based biomarkers would be a relevant tool to aid the clinician in defining prognosis of MS and help with the selection of different therapy regimens. Moreover, biomarkers could help in informing the patient regarding clinical course and aid with life-planning choices.<sup>8</sup>

Different biological molecules (e.g., DNA, RNA, and proteins) and body fluids have been explored for MS biomarker discovery.8 A vast amount of knowledge has been gained at the genetic susceptibility level with genome-wide association studies regarding the risk of MS, rather than the disease onset and progression rate. Functional units within and outside the cells, such as proteins and RNAs, are more likely to be involved in disease mechanisms and can reflect the ongoing pathology as a biomarker. Therefore, the application of proteomics for biomarker research, could give insight into ongoing disease mechanisms and offer prognostic biomarkers. Until now, most of the focus of MS protein biomarker discovery was on cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) proteomics, often using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS).8 Using LC-MS for biomarker discovery for blood biomarker research has proven to be challenging due to preanalytical and analytical factors, including the interference of abundant proteins, for example, albumin, low sensitivity, and low dynamic range within blood.9 A novel proteomics technology is the SOMAscan, which is based on aptamers that are able to bind conformational protein epitopes with high specificity and sensitivity.<sup>10</sup> This array is able to detect >1000 different proteins with a wide dynamic range (>8 logs of concentration difference) in blood. Since MS is considered to be autoimmune pathology with a strong involvement of the peripheral immune system, we hypothesized that assessment of blood proteomics for MS would aid in gaining insight into the proteins and pathways involved in MS progression.

By applying the aptamer proteomics approach in plasma we aimed to identify; (1) disease progression biomarkers that discriminate the different stages of relapse-onset MS, (2) biological pathways that offer insight into disease progression rates of relapse-onset (RO) and primary progressive MS subtype, and (3) biomarkers in plasma associated with RRMS and PPMS in comparison with healthy controls.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Study design and participants**

MS patients and healthy controls were included (n = 100)from the GeneMSA multicentre cohort (Amsterdam University Medical Centers (AUMC) and University Hospital Basel).<sup>11</sup> From the AUMC Presto cohort PPMS (n = 10) patients were included<sup>12,13</sup> (Table 1). Patients were selected and categorized based on differences in baseline and 4 years of follow-up Kurtzke expanded disability status scale (EDSS) scores.<sup>14</sup> Baseline EDSS was defined as the EDSS measured at first blood collection.11-13 Participants who received glucocorticosteroids within 1 month prior to study entry were excluded. Disease-modifying therapies (DMT) for MS were permitted (Table 1).<sup>11</sup> Three different MS groups were defined: (1) relapse-onset (RO) slow progressors (n = 31, with maximum increase of < 1 point on EDSS in)4 years), RO- rapid progressors (n = 29, with a minimum increase of 1 point EDSS), and primary progressive (PP) (n = 30). Finally, age and gender matched healthy controls (n = 20) were included (Table 1). Healthy controls consisted of participants with North-Europe ancestry without any familial history or current MS diagnosis. Study protocols of each cohort were approved by the local institutional ethics committee and participants signed informed consent.11

#### Plasma samples

EDTA plasma was collected in BD Vacutainer EDTA tubes (BD, NJ). Plasma tubes were processed within 2 h upon collection and were centrifuged at 1800g for 10 min at room temperature. EDTA plasma supernatant was aliquoted in polypropylene tubes (Sarstedt, Germany) and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

#### **MRI imaging**

The MRI imaging parameters T1-hypo-intense lesion volume, T2-hyper-intense lesion volume (T2-lesion volume), and percentage brain volume change (PBVC) were used as outcome parameters based on the

Table 1. Cohor	t demographics.
----------------	-----------------

	RO-slow	RO-rapid		
	( <i>n</i> = 31)	( <i>n</i> = 29)	PP ( $n = 30$ )	HC ( <i>n</i> = 20)
Age mean (SD)	38.8 (9.6)	41.0 (8.15)	48.7 (8.15)	45.3 (5.2)
Sex (f/m)	F = 17 M = 14	F = 17 M = 12	F = 12 M = 18	F=10 M = 10
Disease duration (SD)	6.5. (4.4)	9.5 (6.5)	7.0 (5.2)	
EDSS baseline mean (SD)	2.3 (1.1)	2.4 (1.4)	4.0 (0.9)	
EDSS 4-year follow-up mean (SD)	1.3 (1.4)	4.6 (1.5)	5.1 (1.35)	
$\Delta$ EDSS mean (SD)	-1.0 (0.9)	2.2 (1.0)	1.3 (1.0)	
T1 hypo-intense lesion volume baseline, mean (SD) <sup>1</sup>	913.8 (1533.6)	2690.7 (5054.9)	810.8 (1473.7)	
T1 hypo-intense lesion volume 2-year follow-up, mean (SD) <sup>1</sup>	890.4 (1546.4)	3041.0 (5094.1)	935.8 (1637.0)	
T2 hyper-intense lesion volume baseline, mean (SD) <sup>1</sup>	3234.3 (5598.6)	5939.1 (6460.9)	4212.3 (7111.0)	
T2 hyper-intense lesion volume 2-year follow-up, mean (SD) <sup>1</sup>	3531.4 (6625.3)	6958.3 (6995.7)	4428.3 (7258.8)	
Percentage brain volume change 2 years, mean (SD) <sup>1</sup>	-0.016 (0.038)	-0.049 (0.045)	-0.017 (0.064)	
Disease-modifying therapy <sup>2</sup>	n = 8	<i>n</i> = 12	<i>n</i> = 1	

<sup>1</sup>The averages of MRI parameters for the primary progressive shown are derived from PP patients of the GeneMSA cohort (n = 10).

<sup>2</sup>DMT used upon inclusion consisted of; Beta-Interferon users (n = 19), one person from the RO-rapid group was on azathioprine, and PP DMT user was on Methotrexate.

similarities in imaging protocols between centers according to the GeneMSA protocol as previously published.<sup>11,15</sup> Briefly, participants underwent MRI scanning upon entry, using 1.5 Tesla MR scanners, using common MR sequences and protocols.<sup>11</sup> T1-hypo-intense lesions and T2-lesions were manually outlined. Followup T1-hypo-intense lesion volume and T2-lesion volume after 1 and 2 years were calculated in milliliters (mL). We obtained follow-up data of 74 MS patients for both T1-hypo-intense lesion volume and T2-lesion volume. T1-hypo-intense lesions and T2-lesions were centrally measured using the AMIRA software.<sup>11</sup> Delta T1-hypointense lesion volume and delta T2-lesion volume were used for statistical analyses by subtraction of T1-hypointense lesion and T2-lesion follow-up values by T1-hypo-intense lesion and T2-lesion baseline values.<sup>15</sup> Volumetric analyses for all patients were performed in Amsterdam.<sup>15</sup> We had access to PBVC data of 62 MS patients with 2 years of follow-up from the GeneMSA cohort. Brain volume changes were determined between these two time-points using SIENA.<sup>11,16</sup> Lastly, because of differences in MRI imaging protocols between the GeneMSA and presto cohorts, we excluded the 10 PPMS patients derived from the presto cohort from MRI parameters regression analyses.

#### Somalogic aptamer assay

Proteins were measured using the aptamer-based proteomics Somascan v3 measuring 1129 different proteins

(Somascan, Somalogic Inc, Boulder, Co).<sup>10</sup> Aptamers are single stranded DNA molecules that are modified to mimic protein side chains, which allow selective binding to protein targets of interest. Moreover, these DNA aptamers are able to pair with DNA probes, allowing quantification using a DNA microarray. Therefore, the measurement output is in relative fluorescence units (RFU). The reported intra and inter-run coefficient of variation (CV%) in blood are <5%.<sup>10</sup> Since we analyzed the samples in three different batches, we included internal controls to control for potential batch variation. In addition, two levels of quality controls were performed; (1) to avoid relative signal variation between the samples at the microarray level, a set of aptamers with the lowest overall signal variation was used for normalization within plates, and (2) the median RFU for a set of aptamer used for normalization is calculated from all the samples and a scaling factor for each individual sample is calculated to control for the technical variability.

#### Gene enrichment analyses

Explorative enrichment of molecular and biological processes was performed with String database (version 10.5). We included plasma proteins that significantly (P < 0.05) associated with delta EDSS (for delta EDSS also q < 0.15), delta T1-hypo-intense lesion volume, delta T2-lesion volume and PBVC. The background of enrichment analyses was set on whole human genome.

#### Data and statistical analyses

Baseline group comparisons with regards to different MS subtypes and disease-related scales were analyzed using Krusikal Wallis. Correlation between the different MRI parameters was assessed using Spearman's rho.

Analysis of 1129 different proteins was performed and the relation of potential biomarkers with clinical progression were determined by regression analysis with; baseline EDSS subtracted from follow-up EDSS (delta EDSS), delta T1-hypo-intense lesion volume, delta T2-lesion volume, and 2 years PBVC. Statistics were performed on the R statistical computation platform using Limma-package.<sup>17,18</sup> The effect of biomarker expression levels (natural log-transformed) on the independent variables was assessed using the linear regression model, taking possible confounders (batch variation, DMT, and age) into account. Significance of the estimated effect was determined using a moderated *t*-test (implemented in Limma), employing empirical Bayes estimation of the variance. The multiplicity problem (multiple proteins testing) was addressed by application of the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to the raw P-values to control the FDR (q value, False Discovery Rate).

Graphical representation of data was performed with SPSS 23.0, by depicting unstandardized predicted values on the *y*-axis with the different progression groups as fixed factors and DMT, different batch runs and age as covariates. A significant threshold of P < 0.001 and or q < 0.2 was set. Finally, to assess the overlap of the different proteins that were significantly associated with all outcome parameters, delta EDSS, delta T1-hypo-intense lesion, delta T2-lesion, and PBVC, we set an explorative threshold (P < 0.05 and q < 0.1) and an online Venn diagram tool was used (Venny 2.1)<sup>19</sup>).

#### Results

#### **Cohort characteristics**

A total of 90 MS patients and 20 healthy controls were included in this study (Table 1). A significant higher baseline EDSS (Kruskal–Wallis  $\chi^2(2) = 33.8$ , P < 0.0001) and age (Kruskal–Wallis  $\chi^2(2) = 17.8$ , P < 0.0001) was observed for the primary progressive (PP) group. No significant differences between the groups were observed for disease duration and gender (Table 1).At baseline, significant higher T2-lesion volume (Kruskal–Wallis  $\chi^2(2) = 7.19$ , P = 0.027) was observed for the RO-rapid group compared to RO-slow and PP groups (Table 1).

In the total MS group, we found a significant correlation between baseline T1-hypo-intense lesion volume and T2-lesion volume, (Spearman's rho r = 0.86, P < 0.0001). In addition, a significant correlation between delta T1hypo-intense lesion volume and delta T2-lesion volume was observed (Spearman's rho r = 0.37, P = 0.001). Also, a significant association between delta EDSS and delta T1-hypo-intense lesion volume was observed (Spearman's rho r = 0.24, P = 0.039). Percentage brain volume change (PBVC) over 2 years showed significant correlations with delta EDSS (Spearman's rho r = -0.39, P = 0.002), baseline T1-hypo-intense lesion volume (Spearman's rho r = -0.36, P = 0.004), and baseline T2-lesion volume (Spearman's rho r = -0.36, P = 0.004).

# Plasma proteome in relation to EDSS progression

Moderated regression analysis was performed to discover the association of baseline plasma proteins with different EDSS progression rates during follow-up. Six markers were significantly associated with delta EDSS (P < 0.001 and q < 0.2, Table 2); LGALS8 (Lectin, Galactoside-Binding, Soluble 8), CDH1 (E-Cadherin), CCL3 (Macrophage Inflammatory Protein 1-Alpha), CHEK1 (Checkpoint Kinase 1), TNFRSF13B (TNF Receptor Superfamily Member 13B), and RGMA (Repulsive Guidance Molecule Family Member A) (Fig. 1). Plasma levels of LGALS8, CCL3, CDH1, and RGMA were negatively associated with change in EDSS. In contrast, TNFRSF13B and CHEK1 plasma levels were positively associated with change in EDSS (Fig. 1).

Lastly, to assess the potential different subtype disease mechanisms, we performed moderated regression analysis on baseline proteins and delta EDSS for each specific MS group. For both RO-slow and rapid group CCL3 and SIGLEC9 were statistically significant, however, did not survive the multiple testing cut-off (q > 0.2). Interestingly, for the PP group; SIGLEC9 ( $\beta = -0.13$ , P = 0.001, q = 0.12), PLA2G2A (Phospholipase A2 Group IIA) ( $\beta = -0.20$ , P = 0.0009, q = 0.12), and RGMA ( $\beta = -0.18$ , P = 0.002, q = 0.12) were significant.

#### **Plasma proteome and MRI parameters**

Next, moderated regression analyses were performed to determine the association of baseline plasma proteome in relation to several MRI progression parameters during follow-up, including delta (the difference of baseline and 2 years of follow-up values) T1-hypo-intense lesion volume, delta T2-lesion volume, and percentage brain volume changes (PBVC, 2 years of follow-up) (Table 2).

Both ACE2 (Angiotensin I Converting Enzyme 2) and PIK3CA/PIK3R1 (Phosphoinositide-3-Kinase, Catalytic, Alpha complex) were significantly positively associated with increasing T2-lesion volume (Fig. 3 and Table 3).

Table 2. Overview of significant markers for regression analyses on delta EDSS and different MRI parameters.

Peptide	Uniprot	Beta	P value	<i>q</i> value
Delta EDSS				
LGALS8	000214	-0.05	$7.64 \times 10^{-5}$	0.06
CCL3	P10147	-0.12	0.0001	0.06
CHEK1	014757	0.040	0.0002	0.06
TNFRSF13B	O14836	0.031	0.0003	0.07
CDH1	P12830	-0.04	0.0004	0.09
RGMA	Q96B86	-0.07	0.0005	0.09
Delta T1 Hypo-intense lesion volu	me			
PIK3CA/PIK3R1 complex	P42336/P27986	0.00016	0.0009	0.88
Delta T2 Hyper-intense lesion volu	ume			
ACE2	Q9BYF1	$9.76 \times 10^{-5}$	0.0001	0.16
PIK3CA/PIK3R1 complex	P42336/P27986	$8.17 \times 10^{-5}$	0.0003	0.16
PBVC 2 years				
C3 (C3 fragment d)	P01024	-2.65	$2.08 \times 10^{-9}$	$1.70 \times 10^{-6}$
FGF9	P31371	1.22	$3.42 \times 10^{-9}$	$1.70 \times 10^{-6}$
C3 (C3a anaphylatoxin)	P01024	-1.59	$2.20 \times 10^{-7}$	$7.27 \times 10^{-5}$
MATK	P42679	2.46	$3.85 \times 10^{-5}$	0.009
LTBR	P36941	2.00	$6.06 \times 10^{-5}$	0.01
ESR1	P03372	1.88	$6.48 \times 10^{-5}$	0.01
CTSE	P14091	3.20	0.0001	0.01
EHMT2	Q96KQ7	0.86	0.0007	0.01

For delta T1-hypo-intense lesion volume no proteins survived the multiple testing (q < 0.2), however, PIK3CA/ PIK3R1 also showed a positive association with increasing T1-hypo-intense lesion volume at an explorative level (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

Eight plasma proteins were significantly associated with PBVC; C3 (Complement C3 fragment a and d), FGF9 (Fibroblast Growth Factor 9), MATK (Megakaryocyte-Associated Tyrosine Kinase), LTBR (Lymphotoxin Beta Receptor), ESR1 (Estrogen Receptor 1), CTSE (Cathepsin E), and EHMT2 (Euchromatic Histone Lysine Methyltransferase 2). Plasma levels of C3 (both fragment a and d), ESR1, MATK, and CTSE were positively associated with decreasing annualized PBVC scores (2 years), whereas decreasing annualized PBVC scores were negatively associated with LTBR, FGF9, and EHMT2 plasma levels (Fig. 3).

Lastly, to determine whether MRI parameters were differentially associated with plasma proteome in different MS groups, we performed moderated regression analysis for the different subtypes. Interestingly, specific significant associations were observed for the RO-slow group for delta T2-lesion volume with; HBEGF (Heparin-binding EGF like growth factor) (( $\beta = 0,0005$ ,  $P = 9.5 \times 10^{-8}$ , q = 0.009) and IL3RA (Interleukin 3 receptor alpha)  $\beta = 0,0006$ ,  $P = 3.1 \times 10^{-8}$ , q = 0.015). Both RO-rapid and PPMS groups showed significant associations for both delta T1-hypo-intense volume and delta T2-lesion volume with PIK3CA/PIK3R, however, it did not survive the multiple testing cut-off.

# Overlap analyses of plasma proteins with EDSS and MRI parameters

We exploratively determined the overlap in plasma proteins that were associated with delta EDSS, delta T1hypo-intense lesion volume, delta T2-lesion volume, and PBVC (Fig. 4), applying a more stringent threshold of significance (P < 0.05 and q < 0.1). A total of 64 proteins was associated with delta EDSS, 34 proteins with delta T1-hypo-intense lesion volume, 46 with delta T2-lesion volume, and 28 proteins with PBVC (Fig. 4 and Table 4). CHEK1 was related to both delta EDSS and T1-hypointense lesion volume and T2-lesion volume. CXCL13 was related to both delta EDSS and T1-hypo-intense lesion volume. MPO (Myeloperoxidase) and CST5 (Cystatin D) were related to both delta EDSS and PBVC. For the relation with delta T1-hypo-intense lesion volume and delta T2-lesion volume, 10 proteins were found to be overlapping, including the three earlier mentioned; ACE2, PIK3CA/PIK3R1 complex, and SIGLEC9 (Table 4). INS (insulin) and CTSE were related to both delta T1-hypointense lesion volume and PBVC. No proteins were related to delta EDSS and delta T2-lesion volume. Lastly, no markers were overlapping between delta T2-lesion volume and PBVC.

Interestingly, similar enrichment patterns were observed for pathway evaluations for all the clinical and MRI parameters, with most of the markers being enriched for pathways; involved with cell-cell and cell-extracellular (ECM) adherence, immune system communication,



Figure 1. Scatter plots of several significant markers associated with delta EDSS. On the *y*-axis corrected Log10 levels of significant markers are shown, and on the *x*-axis delta EDSS.

and immune system activation. Further analyses of the immune associated markers showed that a majority of the immune markers were involved with T and B cell homeostasis.

#### Plasma proteome of different MS groups and healthy controls

There was no significant difference in expression of almost all proteins between RO-rapid and RO-slow patients. Siglec9 (Sialic Acid Binding Ig Like Lectin 9) was significantly downregulated in the RO-rapid group in comparison with the RO-slow group (P < 0.001, Fig. 5 and Table 3), however, the effect did not survive the multiple testing threshold (q < 0.2). Interestingly, Siglec9 plasma levels for RO-slow were similar to those in HC (Fig. 5).

Five markers were differentially expressed between PPMS and pooled RO-patients; CDH1 (E-Cadherin), KLK4 (Kallikrein Related Peptidase 4), FN1 (Fibronectin), FN1 fragment 4, C3 (Complement C3, inactivated C3b), and FCN1 (Ficolin 1). All these markers met both multiple testing significance criteria (P < 0.001, q < 0.2). C3, FCN1, and KLK4 were significantly upregulated in PPMS

compared to the RO group (Fig. 5). Additionally, FN1 was specifically downregulated in the PPMS compared to RO group, whereas CDH1 was downregulated in both the RO-rapid and PP group. Lastly, comparison of all MS patients with HC showed a highly significant decrease of MMP3 ( $P = 6.77 \times 10^{-5}$ , q = 0.067) in MS subtypes compared to HC (Fig. 5 & Table 3). Comparing the plasma proteome between RO-all and HC, three markers were differentially expressed; MMP3 (Matrix

 Table 3. Overview of significant markers for different group comparisons.

Peptide	Beta	Uniprot	P value	q value
RO-rapid × RO-slow				
SIGLEC9	-1.78	Q9Y336	0.00057	0.56
$PP \times RO-all$				
CDH1	-0.19	P12830	0.00027	0.16
KLK4	0.43	Q9Y5K2	0.00033	0.16
FN1 (Fragment 4)	-0.20	P02751	0.00089	0.19
C3 (inactivated C3b)	0.65	P01024	0.00094	0.19
FCN1	0.21	000602	0.00099	0.19
HC $\times$ RO-all				
MMP3	1.00	P08254	$7.98 \times 10^{-6}$	0.007
FN1	-0.27	P02751	0.00042	0.18
FN1(Fragment 3)	-0.25	P02751	0.00055	0.18
HC × PP				
PLA2G2A	0.52	P14555	0.000125	0.11
CDH1	0.21	P12830	0.00021	0.11
LGALS8	0.25	000214	0.00047	0.15
HC $\times$ MS-all				
MMP3	0.83	P08254	$6.77 \times 10^{-5}$	0.067

Metallopeptidase 3), FN1 (Fibronectin 1), and FN1 (fragment 3). Both FN1 fragments were upregulated in the RO group in comparison with HC group. Comparison between PPMS and HC showed three different significant markers; PLA2G2A, CDH1 (E-Cadherin), and LGALS8 (Lectin, Galactoside-Binding, Soluble 8). All the three markers were significantly downregulated in PPMS patients compared to HC (Fig. 5).

#### **Discussion and Conclusion**

In this study, we explored the relation of 1129 plasma proteins with multiple sclerosis (MS) disease progression, and for this performed group comparison on three different MS groups. MS patients were grouped in relapseonset (RO)-slow progression, RO-rapid progression, primary progressive (PP), and finally healthy controls were included. Several interesting plasma proteins were significantly associated with EDSS progression; CDH1, CHEK1, LGALS8, TNFRSF13B, RGMA, and CCL3. Annualized percentage brain volume changes strongly associated with C3, FGF9, and EHMT2. Assessment of markers related with EDSS progression and change in different MRI parameters showed no overlapping markers for all of the parameters. Lastly, explorative analyses on markers associated with EDSS progression and MRI imaging parameters, showed primarily enrichments in biological pathways associated with cell adhesion, immune system communication and immune activation pathways.

Previous MS biomarker studies performed mostly LC-MS blood proteomics.<sup>20,21</sup> Moreover, few studies have



Figure 2. Scatter plots of PIK3CA complex associated with delta T1 hypo-intense and delta T2 hyper-intense volume. On the *y*-axis corrected Log10 levels of significant markers are shown, and on the *x*-axis delta delta T1 hypo-intense volume and delta T2 hyper-intense volume.



Figure 3. Scatter plots of several significant markers associated with percentage brain volume change (PBVC). On the *y*-axis corrected Log10 levels of significant markers are shown, and on the *x*-axis PBVC values for 2 years.

focused on the different progression courses within MS, making comparison with earlier publications challenging.<sup>20,21</sup> Nonetheless, some of our results coincide with earlier findings from candidate approach and pathology based studies, as we will discuss below.

Decreasing repulsive guidance molecule A (RGMA) levels in blood were significantly associated with EDSS progression. RGMA is involved in axon guidance and neurogenesis and has been shown to be expressed in chronic and active MS lesions.<sup>22</sup> Interestingly, decreased RGMA CSF levels were associated with functional improvements in MS patients,<sup>22</sup> showing the opposite direction from our findings. Possibly, the compartmentalization differences of the body fluids could be an explanation for the observed difference. RGMA could be an interesting progression marker, however, differences in RGMA expression in CSF and blood in MS should be further explored in future studies.

We also identified several interesting immune system markers, that is, CCL3, TNFRSF13B, and LGALS8, to be significantly associated with EDSS progression. For CCL3 and LGALS8, lower blood levels were related with EDSS progression. In a recent study, LGALS8 was shown to have immunosuppressive protective role in CNS inflammation, by balancing the Th17 and Th1 cells.<sup>23</sup> Moreover, LGALS8 was shown to be present in CSF of MS patients,



**Figure 4.** Venn-diagram of overlapping significant plasma proteins (P < 0.05) associated with delta EDSS, delta T1 hypo-intense volume, delta T2 hyper-intense volume, and percentage brain volume change (PBVC).

and RRMS patients with antibodies against LGALS8 showed EDSS progression and worse disease prognosis.<sup>23</sup> Interestingly, potential imbalance in peripheral Th17 and Th1 cells has also been shown to be associated with reduced gene expression of several different cytokines and chemokines in MS patients, among which CCL3.24 It is possible that the observed decrease in both LGALS8 and CCL3 reflect imbalance in T helper cells, which promote a pro-inflammatory self-antigen reaction and MS progression. Lastly, TNFRSF13B is a transmembrane receptor involved in B cell homeostasis.<sup>25</sup> Increased TNFRSF13B CSF levels have been observed in MS patients compared to other neurological controls, whereas no differences in plasma TNFRSF13B levels were observed between MS and controls.<sup>25</sup> Others report IFN- $\beta$  therapy is able to reduce pathogenic subset of memory B cells, thereby reducing the MS associated humoral response.<sup>26</sup> TNFRSF13B is an interesting marker because of persistent presence of B cell producing antibodies (e.g., IgG and IgM) in early and later stages of MS.<sup>27</sup> It is possible that the increase in soluble TNFRSF13B in MS progression reflects attempts of restoring B cell homeostasis. These three markers in conclusion might therefore reflect in imbalance in T and B cell regulatory process and reflect ongoing MS pathophysiological mechanisms in plasma.

The most prominent overlapping marker that was associated with both delta T1-hypo-intense lesion volume and delta T2-lesion volume, was the PIK3CA/PIK3R1 complex. The PIK family of receptors is involved in the activation of the B and T cell receptors and regulation of self-antigen recognition.<sup>28</sup> Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in *PIK3R1* gene are associated with MS susceptibility *HLA* genes and are likely to contribute to MS susceptibility.<sup>29</sup> Increased PIK3R1 complex plasma

**Table 4.** Overview of overlapping significant markers for delta EDSS and different MRI parameters.

Protein		A T4 111	A T2 111	
name	Δ EDSS	ΔII-HL	Δ IZ-HL	PBVC
CHEK1	P = 0.00017	<i>P</i> = 0.0055	<i>P</i> = 0.022	
CXCL13	P = 0.0031	<i>P</i> = 0.013		
PIK3CA/		P = 0.00089	P = 0.00032	
PIK3R1				
PLXNC1		P = 0.0029	P = 0.032	
SIGLEC9		P = 0.0095	P = 0.045	
EDA2R		<i>P</i> = 0.012	P = 0.046	
IL20		<i>P</i> = 0.015	P = 0.0039	
ACE2		<i>P</i> = 0.015	P = 0.00019	
CSNK2A1		<i>P</i> = 0.018	<i>P</i> = 0.017	
PTN		<i>P</i> = 0.021	P = 0.046	
CAT		P = 0.047	P = 0.043	
MPO	<i>P</i> = 0.0011			<i>P</i> = 0.023
CST5	<i>P</i> = 0.0012			P = 0.0039
INS	P = 0.0089			<i>P</i> = 0.029
CTSE	<i>P</i> = 0.048			<i>P</i> = 0.00012

levels associated with increasing delta T1-hypo-intense lesion volume and delta T2-lesion volume, could indicate a continuous imbalance of immune cell activation and faulty self-recognition mechanisms, contributing to MS disease progression.

In addition, we observed a strong association of C3 and EHMT2 with percentage brain volume change (PBVC), with higher C3, and lower EHMT2 plasma levels related to increasing brain atrophy. Interestingly, the group comparisons between RO and PP-MS subtypes showed upregulation of plasma proteins in the RO group that are associated with complement pathway; C3, Ficolin 1, and fibronectin, which is an extracellular matrix (ECM) protein. Complement activation is a well-known feature in gray matter lesions, these lesions were surrounded by complement receptor-positive microglia, and known to contribute to irreversible MS progression.<sup>30</sup> Additionally, the presence of C3 together with other complement factors, and Fibronectin aggregates at lesions have been shown to prevent remvelination and shown to be differentially regulated in serum of presymptomatic and symptomatic MS patients.<sup>20</sup> We observed a significant increase of C3 plasma levels related to increasing brain atrophy and increased FN1 plasma levels in RO-all compared to healthy controls. In contradiction with our results, lower expression of C3 and FN1 were observed in serum of presymptomatic and symptomatic MS patients compared to healthy controls.<sup>20</sup> The average disease duration of the presymptomatic and symptomatic MS cohort was less than 2 years, whereas the average disease duration of our cohort was 6 years and higher.<sup>20</sup> It is possible that, with longer disease duration and ongoing brain atrophy, C3 and FN1 plasma levels rise in MS patients.

Next, with increased brain atrophy we observed lower EHMT2 plasma levels. EHMT2 is a methyltransferase and involved with demethylation of histone H3 at lysine 9. This results in recruitment of other transcription regulators and results in repression of transcription.<sup>31,32</sup> In vitro studies show that EHMT2 promotes neuronal and immature oligodendrocyte differentiation and is required for oligodendrocyte maturation.<sup>33</sup> It is likely that with ongoing MS progression and brain atrophy, C3, FN1, and EHMT2 reflect the pro-inflammatory environment, lack of neuro-glial maturation, and remyelination mechanisms and indicative of MS progression.

Lastly, we observed increasing HBEGF plasma levels were significantly associated with increasing T2-lesion volume for the RO-slow group. Astrocytes surrounding MS lesions have an increased expression of HBEGF, which potentially allow trans-endothelial monocyte migration.<sup>34</sup> This could be an indication that plasma HBEGF levels reflect ongoing monocyte migration into the lesions, which leads to increased T2-lesion volume. It is possible that different mechanisms of chemo-traction and immune activation might be altered between RO-rapid and slow groups, resulting in different disease progression rates.

Surprisingly, no markers survived the multiple testing for MS subtype analyses of PBVC with plasma proteome. This is most likely due to a lack of power upon group segregations and having less PBVC data points compared to the other MRI parameters.

Finally, lower MMP3 (Matrix Metallopeptidase 3) plasma levels were observed for all MS subtypes in comparison with HC, especially for the RO group compared to HC. MMP3 and other MMP family members have previously been described to be involved in MS.35,36 Our results seemingly contrast to earlier reported increases during relapses in MS.36 Active MMP3 and other MMP family members contribute to BBB breakdown and aid to leukocyte infiltration at focal in chronic and active lesions.<sup>37</sup> Interestingly, MMP3 is able to degrade Fibronectin (higher in RO-MS compared to PP-MS and HC in our study) and E-Cadherin (CDH1 lower in RO-MS compared to PP-MS in our study), which are components of the BBB.<sup>38,39</sup> The overall upregulation of MMP3 in MS sera and lesions in the previous studies does not necessarily contradict our results, 36,37 since earlier studies did not include healthy controls, it can therefore not be excluded that the overall MMP3 expression in blood of MS patients is lower compared to healthy controls. The overall reduced MMP3 plasma levels in MS patients in comparison to HC could potentially be a physiological attempt to restore balance and restore the BBB and inhibit progression mechanisms in MS patients.



Figure 5. Graphical representation of several significant markers for different group comparisons. On the *y*-axis corrected Log10 levels of significant markers are shown. The dark lines in the boxplot represent the median, and the whiskers represent the 95% confidence interval.

Strengths of the study are the design, including MS patients with different disease courses and progression rates in a relatively large sample size, and a novel proteomics approach that is optimized for plasma analysis. Furthermore, in this study we specifically choose to perform blood proteomics, while most of proteomics in MS have focused on more tissue specific cerebrospinal fluid (CSF).8 CSF being adjacent to the brain tissue could give more insight into lesion microenvironment, however, CSF sampling is relatively uncomfortable.<sup>40</sup> While blood lacks brain tissue specificity, it does provide a broad overview of different tissues and cell types. This is of importance for MS because of its probable, peripheral autoimmune onset and progression mechanisms. Moreover, we have had access to different lesion and brain volume MRI baseline and follow-up data, which showed significant correlations with different blood markers.

However, this study also has limitations, such as the relative limited selection of markers present on the SOMAscan array, although these markers cover a broad range of pathways and include brain specific proteins, potentially allowing discovery of different pathways involved with MS progression. Another weakness of this study was the high amount of measured proteins relative to the sample size. Therefore, to exclude potential falsepositives we performed multiple testing corrections. However, we chose for a relative mild false discovery cut-off, this to minimize losing true-positive markers, while attempting to exclude false-positive markers. Lastly, we did not exclude MS patients who were on disease-modifying therapy (DMT) at baseline. Including participants with a rapid disease progression, that are not on DMT upon inclusion is challenging. Therefore, we performed statistical corrections for DMT usage.

Taken together, to our knowledge, this is the first explorative study that has assessed large numbers of plasma proteins in a substantial amount of MS patients with different rates of disease progression, as defined by both clinical and MRI measures. The differentially regulated biomarkers in relation to MS prognosis were primarily associated with molecular pathways involved with cell-cell and cell-extracellular, adherence, immune system communication, and immune system activation. Validation of these markers in an independent cohort with longer follow-up and repeated blood analysis would be needed. The identified markers increase our insight into the prominent mechanisms involved with MS disease progression and potentially yield new prognostic and monitoring blood-based biomarkers for MS.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Primary Progressive MS Alliance (Grant: PA 0186), Dutch MS Research Foundation (Grant number 14-358e), and a grant of the Amsterdam Neuroscience (Proof of Concept pilot grant). We thank the University Hospital Basel for providing plasma samples and MRI parameters.

#### **Author Contributions**

Study concept and design were performed by A.M, J.K (J. Killestein), and C.T. Data regarding Amsterdam cohort were provided by C.L, M.S (M. Steenwijk), and M.S (M. Schoonheim). Data regarding Basel GeneMSA cohort were provided by Y.N, J.K (J. Kuhle), and M.A. Statistics were performed and controlled by W.W. Drafting significant portions of the manuscript by A.M and C.T. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

## **Conflict of Interest**

C. Teunissen has functioned in advisory boards of Fujirebio and Roche, received nonfinancial support in the form of research consumables from ADxNeurosciences and Euroimmun, performed contract research or received grants from Probiodrug, Janssen prevention center, Boehringer, Brainsonline, AxonNeurosciences, EIP farma, Roche.

Jens Kuhle served on scientific advisory boards for Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Merck, Biogen, Sanofi Genzyme, Roche, and Bayer; has received funding for travel and/or speaker honoraria from Biogen, Sanofi Genzyme, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Teva, and the Swiss MS Society; and received research support from Bayer, Biogen, Merck, Sanofi Genzyma, Novartis, Roche, ECTRIMS Research Fellowship Programme, University of Basel, Swiss MS Society, Swiss National Research Foundation (320030\_160221).

Joep Killestein has accepted speaker and consultancy fees from Merck, Biogen, Teva, Genzyme, Roche, and Novartis. M.P.W. received speaker and consultancy fees from Biogen, Biologix, Celgene, IXICO, Merck, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi Genzyme.

#### References

- WHO. Atlas multiple sclerosis resources in the world 2008.
   p. 56. WHO Press, 2008; https://www.who.int/mental\_hea lth/neurology/atlas/en/
- 2. Raj T, Rothamel K, Mostafavi S, et al. Polarization of the effects of autoimmune and neurodegenerative risk alleles in leukocytes. Science 2014;344:519–523.
- Dendrou CA, Fugger L, Friese MA. Immunopathology of multiple sclerosis. Nat Rev Immunol 2015;15:545–558.
- Dutta R, Trapp BD. Relapsing and progressive forms of multiple sclerosis–insights from pathology. Curr Opin Neurol 2014;27:271.
- Thompson AJ, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, et al. Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. Lancet Neurol 2018;17:162–173.
- Lublin FD, Reingold SC, Cohen JA, et al. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: the 2013 revisions. Neurology 2014;83:278–286.
- Hurwitz BJ. The diagnosis of multiple sclerosis and the clinical subtypes. Ann Indian Acad Neurol 2009;12:226–230.
- 8. Teunissen CE, Malekzadeh A, Leurs C, et al. Body fluid biomarkers for multiple sclerosis—the long road to clinical application. Nat Rev Neurol 2015;11:585.
- Chandramouli K, Qian P-Y. Proteomics: challenges, techniques and possibilities to overcome biological sample complexity. Hum Genomics and Proteomics 2009;2009:22.
- Gold L, Ayers D, Bertino J, et al. Aptamer-based multiplexed proteomic technology for biomarker discovery. PLoS ONE 2010;5:e15004.
- 11. Baranzini SE, Wang J, Gibson RA, et al. Genome-wide association analysis of susceptibility and clinical phenotype in multiple sclerosis. Hum Mol Genet 2009;18:767–778.
- Bosma LV, Kragt JJ, Knol DL, et al. Clinical scales in progressive MS: predicting long-term disability. Mult Scler 2012;18:345–350.
- Schoonheim MM, Popescu V, Lopes FCR, et al. Subcortical atrophy and cognition: sex effects in multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2012;79:1754–1761.
- Kurtzke JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded disability status scale (EDSS). Neurology 1983;33:1444–1452.
- Strijbis EMM, Inkster B, Vounou M, et al. Glutamate gene polymorphisms predict brain volumes in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2013;19:281–288.

- Smith SM, Zhang Y, Jenkinson M, et al. Accurate, robust, and automated longitudinal and cross-sectional brain change analysis. NeuroImage 2002;17:479–489.
- 17. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res 2015;43:e47.
- R Development Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2016.
- Oliveros JC. VENNY. An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn Diagrams. BioinfoGP of CNB-CSIC 2007; http://bioinfogp.cnnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.ht.
- Wallin MT, Oh U, Nyalwidhe J, et al. Serum proteomic analysis of a pre-symptomatic multiple sclerosis cohort. Eur J Neurol 2015;22:591–599.
- Tremlett H, Dai DLY, Hollander Z, et al. Serum proteomics in multiple sclerosis disease progression. J Proteomics 2015;118:2–11.
- 22. Demicheva E, Cui YF, Bardwell P, et al. Targeting repulsive guidance molecule A to promote regeneration and neuroprotection in multiple sclerosis. Cell Rep 2015;10:1887–1898.
- 23. Pardo E, Cárcamo C, Martín RUS, et al. Galectin-8 as an immunosuppressor in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and a target of human early prognostic antibodies in multiple sclerosis. PLoS ONE 2017;12: e0177472.
- 24. Hu D, Notarbartolo S, Croonenborghs T, et al. Transcriptional signature of human pro-inflammatory TH17 cells identifies reduced IL10 gene expression in multiple sclerosis. Nat Comm 2017;8:1600.
- Hoffmann FS, Kuhn P-H, Laurent SA, et al. The Immunoregulator soluble TACI is released by ADAM10 and reflects B cell activation in autoimmunity. J Immunol 2015;194:542–552.
- 26. Rizzo F, Giacomini E, Mechelli R, et al. Interferon- $\beta$ therapy specifically reduces pathogenic memory B cells in multiple sclerosis patients by inducing a FAS-mediated apoptosis. Immunol Cell Biol 2016;94:886.
- Lehmann-Horn K, Kinzel S, Weber MS. Deciphering the role of B cells in multiple sclerosis—towards specific targeting of pathogenic function. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18:2048.

- Okkenhaug K, Fruman DA. PI3Ks in lymphocyte signaling and development. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 2010;346:57–85.
- 29. Baranzini SE, Galwey NW, Wang J, et al. Pathway and network-based analysis of genome-wide association studies in multiple sclerosis. Hum Mol Genet 2009;18:2078–2090.
- 30. Watkins LM, Neal JW, Loveless S, et al. Complement is activated in progressive multiple sclerosis cortical grey matter lesions. J Neuroinflamm 2016;13:161.
- Penas C, Navarro X. Epigenetic modifications associated to neuroinflammation and neuropathic pain after neural trauma. Front Cell Neurosci 2018;12:158.
- 32. Laumet G, Garriga J, Chen SR, et al. G9a is essential for epigenetic silencing of K+ channel genes in acute-to-chronic pain transition. Nat Neurosci 2015;18:1746–1755.
- Fiszbein A, Giono LE, Quaglino A, et al. Alternative splicing of G9a regulates neuronal differentiation. Cell Rep 2016;14:2797–2808.
- Schenk GJ, Dijkstra S, van het Hof AJ, et al. Roles for HB-EGF and CD9 in multiple sclerosis. Glia 2013;61:1890– 1905.
- Rosenberg GA. Matrix metalloproteinases and their multiple roles in neurodegenerative diseases. Lancet Neurol 2009;8:205–216.
- 36. Kanesaka T, Mori M, Hattori T, et al. Serum matrix metalloproteinase-3 levels correlate with disease activity in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2006;77:185–188.
- Maeda A, Sobel RA. Matrix metalloproteinases in the normal human central nervous system, microglial nodules, and multiple sclerosis lesions. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 1996;55:300–309.
- Fosang AJ, Neame PJ, Hardingham TE, et al. Cleavage of cartilage proteoglycan between G1 and G2 domains by stromelysins. J Biol Chem 1991;266:15579–15582.
- Sternlicht MD, Lochtest A, Sympson CJ, et al. The stromal proteinase MMP3/stromelysin-1 promotes mammary carcinogenesis. Cell 1999;98:137–146.
- 40. Wang LP, Schmidt JF. Central nervous side effects after lumbar puncture. A review of the possible pathogenesis of the syndrome of postdural puncture headache and associated symptoms. Dan Med Bull 1997;44:79–81.