RESEARCH



Open Access

Ponatinib efficiently kills imatinib-resistant chronic eosinophilic leukemia cells harboring gatekeeper mutant T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRα: roles of Mcl-1 and β-catenin

Yanli Jin^{1,2}, Ke Ding³, Honglin Li⁴, Mengzhu Xue⁴, Xiaoke Shi^{1,2}, Chengyan Wang^{1,2} and Jingxuan Pan^{1,2,5,6*}

Abstract

Background: T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRα in a subset of chronic eosinophilic leukemia (CEL) is a gatekeeper mutation that is resistant to many tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) (e.g., imatinib, nilotinib and dasatinib), similar to T315I Bcr-Abl. Therefore, novel TKIs effective against T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRα are needed. Ponatinib (AP24534) is a novel orally bioavailable TKI against T315I Bcr-Abl, but it is not clear whether ponatinib is effective against T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRα. The purpose of this study was to examine the effect of ponatinib on T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRα.

Methods: Molecular docking analysis *in silico* was performed. The effects of ponatinib on PDGFRa signaling pathways, apoptosis and cell cycling were examined in EOL-1, BaF3 cells expressing either wild type (WT) or T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa. The in vivo antitumor activity of ponatinib was evaluated with xenografted BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa cells in nude mice models.

Results: Molecular docking analysis revealed that ponatinib could bind to the DFG (Asp-Phe-Gly)-out state of T674I PDGFRa. Ponatinib potently inhibited the phosphorylation of WT and T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa and their downstream signaling molecules (e.g., Stat3, Stat5). Ponatinib strikingly inhibited the growth of both WT and T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa-carrying CEL cells (IC₅₀: 0.004–2.5 nM). It induced apoptosis in CEL cells with caspase-3-dependent cleavage of Mcl-1, and inhibited tyrosine phosphorylation of β -catenin to decrease its stability and pro-survival functions. In vivo, ponatinib abrogated the growth of xenografted BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa cells in nude mice.

Conclusions: Ponatinib is a pan-FIP1L1-PDGFRa inhibitor, and clinical trials are warranted to investigate its efficacy in imatinib-resistant CEL.

Keywords: PDGFRa, T674I mutant, Tyrosine kinase inhibitor, Imatinib, Resistance, Ponatinib, Apoptosis

Introduction

Platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (PDGFR α) is a class III receptor tyrosine kinase with an extracellular domain, a single transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase domain [1]. Upon ligand binding, the activated receptor drives multiple downstream pathways such as signal transducer and activator of transcription (Stat), Src kinases, mitogen-activated protein kinases, and phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase to coordinate

* Correspondence: panjx2@mail.sysu.edu.cn

cell proliferation, differentiation, survival, adhesion, and cell migration [2]. Gain-of-function mutations in PDGFR α have been found in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) and chronic eosinophilic leukemia (CEL) [3]. A typical example is the fusion gene of FIP1-like 1 (FIP1L1)-PDGFR α created by an 800-kb cryptic interstitial deletion in chromosome 4q12, which is pathogenic for a subset of CEL [1]. FIP1L1-PDGFR α encodes a ligand-independent and constitutively active tyrosine kinase that is sensitive to imatinib [4-6]. However, acquired resistance to imatinib can occur because of point mutations in the ATP binding site (e.g., T674I and D842V) [7-9]. T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α is a "gatekeeper" mutation: substitution of the gatekeeper threonine (T674) with



© 2014 Jin et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

¹Department of Pathophysiology, Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

²Key Laboratory of Tropical Disease Control (Sun Yat-sen University), Ministry of Education, Guangzhou, China

a bulky amino acid (I) blocks access by imatinib and second-generation tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), such as nilotinib and dasatinib, to a hydrophobic pocket inside the ATP binding site [10]. This gatekeeper mutation is analogous to the T315I mutation in Bcr-Abl [1,5,11,12]. The prognosis is poor for CEL patients harboring T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α although it is rare in incidence.

To search for novel TKIs to overcome imatinib resistance, midostaurin, EXEL-0862 and sorafenib have been investigated both in vitro and in vivo in cells harboring T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α [2,9,13]. Thus far, the first clinical trial of sorafenib for T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α -positive CEL showed a transient hematological response, but patients died of rapid emergence of additional sorafenibresistant point mutations in FIP1L1-PDGFR α [7]. Independently, Metzgeroth et al. reported limited clinical activity of sorafenib and nilotinib in T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α -positive CEL patients [14]. Therefore, imatinibresistant CEL remains a therapeutic challenge.

There has been exciting recent advance in thirdgeneration TKIs (ponatinib, HG-7-85-1 and DCC-2036) efficacious against the gatekeeper mutants [15-18]. In vitro screening assay has demonstrated that ponatinib, the first TKI effective against T315I Bcr-Abl, is also a potent inhibitor of KIT, PDGFR α , Flt3, Src, VEGFR and FGFR [15,19,20].

We investigated the molecular docking of ponatinib to T674I PDGFR α *in silico*. In vitro and in vivo study then confirmed that ponatinib is a potent inhibitor of CEL cells bearing wild-type (WT) or T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α .

Results

Computer-simulated binding of ponatinib to the native or mutated PDGFRα kinase in DFG(Asp-Phe-Gly)-out state

To gain insights into the structural basis for ponatinib to bind to the ATP-binding site of T674I PDGFRa, we performed computer simulations of molecular docking between ponatinib and T674I PDGFRa: ponatinib bound to native or mutated PDGFR α with the same orientation in the DFG-out state (Additional file 1: Figure S1A). These findings were similar to those observed in the complex between ponatinib and T315I Abl [15,21]. The T674I gatekeeper mutation does not perturb the overall protein structure of PDGFR α , except that the large aliphatic side chain causes a steric hindrance that prevents the binding of imatinib but not ponatinib (data not shown). The imidazo [1,2b] pyridazine scaffold of ponatinib docks in the adenine binding pocket of T674I PDGFR α and forms one hydrogen bond with the backbone N atom of C677 in the hinge region (distance 3.16 Å) (Additional file 1: Figure S1B). The ethynylene linker makes VDW interactions with the side chain of I674 residue, and the methylphenyl group occupies the hydrophobic pocket behind the gatekeeper residue. The extended amide linker contacts T674I PDGFRa by 2 hydrogen bonds, one with the backbone N atom of D836 (distance 3.76 Å) in the DFG motif and the other with the side chain carboxyl of E644 (distance 3.09 Å) in the C helix. Consequently, the trifluoromethylphenyl group binds to the pocket in the DFG-out conformation, with the terminal methylpiperazion group oriented to a solvent-exposed region.

Ponatinib and WT PDGFR α have an interaction profile (Additional file 1: Figure S1C) similar to but slightly different from that in Additional file 1: Figure S1B. Specifically, the whole WT PDGFR α molecule turns more closely to the DFG motif, with the corresponding H-bond distance shortened to 2.92 Å. The *in silico* structural comparisons revealed the importance of the DFG-out state and the ethynylene linker in ponatinib in avoiding a steric clash imposed by the mutated gatekeeper residue I674.

Ponatinib inhibits PDGFRa phosphorylation

To examine whether ponatinib is active against T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α , we exposed BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α cells to ponatinib, sorafenib and imatinib (the latter two serving as positive and negative controls, respectively); levels of phosphorylated and total PDGFR α were measured by immunoblotting. The phosphorylation of T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α was altered by sorafenib but not imatinib (Figure 1A), which is consistent with a previous report [13]. In contrast to imatinib, 300 nM ponatinib inhibited phosphorylation of T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α to a similar degree as 1000 nM sorafenib (Figure 1A). It also inhibited the phosphorylation of FIP1L1-PDGFR α in EOL-1, BaF3-WT FIP1L1-PDGFR α and BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α cells in concentration- and time-dependent manners (Figure 1B and C).

Ponatinib inhibits downstream signaling of PDGFRa

We next examined signal transduction downstream of PDGFR α after ponatinib treatment. The phosphorylation of Stat3, Stat5, Akt and Erk1/2 were measured by immunoblotting with phospho-specific antibodies. Treatment for 24 h with ponatinib reduced the phosphorylation of Stat3, Stat5, Akt and Erk1/2 in cells expressing WT or T674I PDGFR α at 0.3-30 nM (Figure 1D) and over time (Figure 1E).

Ponatinib inhibits growth of imatinib-resistant and -sensitive CEL cells bearing PDGFR $\!\!\!\alpha$

We examined the effect of TKIs on cell viability (MTS assay). The three lines of FIP1L1-PDGFR α -expressing cells were incubated with or without increasing concentrations of ponatinib, sorafenib, or imatinib for 72 h; log concentration-response curves are shown in Figure 2A. EOL-1 and BaF3-WT FIP1L1-PDGFR α cells were sensitive to imatinib, with IC₅₀ values of 0.3 and 2.8 nM, respectively. BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α cells were resistant to imatinib, similar to previous reports [1,2]. Ponatinib inhibited the growth of all three FIP1L1-PDGFR α -expressing cell lines,



with IC₅₀ values of 0.004-2.5 nM. Notably, BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α cells were about 100-fold more sensitive to ponatinib than to sorafenib (IC₅₀ = 2.5 versus 250 nM, respectively).

In another independent set of experiments, we evaluated the impact of ponatinib on clonogenicity of the two lines of BaF3 cells. Cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of ponatinib for 24 h, then equal numbers of treated cells were seeded in methylcellulose medium. Ponatinib concentration-dependently inhibited the number of clonogenic BaF3-WT or -T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α cells (Figure 2B), with IC₅₀ value 0.6 nM for BaF3-WT FIP1L1-PDGFR α and 2.8 nM for BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α cells.

Cell cycle distribution was analyzed by flow cytometry analysis of cellular DNA content after exposing the cells to increasing concentrations of ponatinib for 24 h. Ponatinib did not significantly change cell-cycle phase distribution except for an increase in sub- G_1 particles, indicative of apoptosis (Figure 2C).



Ponatinib induces apoptosis in both imatinib-sensitive and -resistant CEL cells by triggering the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway

We next assessed whether ponatinib induced apoptosis. The FIP1L1-PDGFR α -expressing cells were exposed to

increasing concentrations of ponatinib for 24 h, and apoptosis was measured by Annexin V binding. Ponatinib led to remarkable apoptotic cell death in all 3 cell lines (Figure 3A). Further, condensation of chromatin in the periphery of the nuclei was observed by transmission



(See figure on previous page.)

Figure 3 Ponatinib induces apoptosis in FIP1LI-PDGFRa-expressing cells. (A) EOL-1 and BaF3-WT or -T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of ponatinib for 24 h, apoptotic cells were assayed with flow cytometry by PI/Annexin V-FITC (EOL-1) or 7-AAD/Annexin V-PE (BaF3-WT or -T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa cells) staining. Left, representative histograms; Right, statistical data of 3 independent experiments, the vertical axis stands for the sum of all dead cells. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001, one-way ANOVA, *post hoc* comparisons, Tukey's test. **(B)** The indicated cells were treated with or without ponatinib (1 nM for EOL-1, 300 nM for BaF3-WT and -T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa cells, respectively) for 24 h, washed with PBS and fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde plus 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3). Representative photographs (9700×) were acquired under transmission electron microscopy. **(C)** The concentration- (for 24 h) and time-dependent (1 nM for EOL-1, 300 nM for BaF3-WT and -T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa cells) cleavage of PARP and caspase-3 triggered by ponatinib was analyzed by immunoblotting. **(D)** Ponatinib elicited release of AIF and cytochrome *c* into the cytosol. Cells were treated with 1 nM ponatinib for the indicated durations and the cytosolic fraction was extracted with digitonin buffer. Levels of AIF and Cytochrome *c* (Cyto c) were detected by immunoblotting. **(E)** Immunoblotting of apoptosis-related proteins in CEL cells after treatment for 24 h.

electron microscopy, and this was consistent with the induction of apoptosis by ponatinib (Figure 3B). Moreover, ponatinib induced concentration- and time-dependent specific cleavage of PARP and caspase-3 activation in all three FIP1L1-PDGFR α -expressing cell lines (Figure 3C). Therefore, ponatinib could efficiently induce apoptosis in CEL cells harboring WT or T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α .

To identify the apoptotic pathway triggered by ponatinib, the cells were treated with ponatinib, and AIF and cytochrome c in the cytosolic fraction were measured by immunoblotting. Ponatinib induced a time-dependent release of AIF and cytochrome c from the mitochondria into the cytosol (Figure 3D). In assessing the effect of ponatinib on the expression of apoptosis-related proteins, immunoblotting analysis revealed a prominent decrease in protein levels of Mcl-1, survivin and Bcl-X_L, which are anti-apoptotic, with no effect on the levels of other apoptosis-related proteins such as XIAP, Bax, Bcl-2, and Bim (Figure 3E).

Ponatinib elicits caspase-3-dependent cleavage of Mcl-1

Because of the critical pro-survival role of Mcl-1 in leukemia [22,23], we explored its role in ponatinibinduced apoptosis of CEL cells. Mcl-1 mRNA levels did not significantly differ between ponatinib-treated CEL cells and controls (data not shown). Time chase experiments with inhibition of de novo protein synthesis by cycloheximide (CHX) revealed increased degradation of Mcl-1 level in ponatinib-treated CEL cells as compared with controls (Figure 4A). However, pretreatment with MG-132 (a specific proteasome inhibitor) did not prevent the ponatinib-induced degradation of Mcl-1 level (Figure 4B), which suggests that ponatinib decreased Mcl-1 level without involving proteasomes. Time-course study revealed that loss of Mcl-1 (p42) was accompanied by the appearance of a cleaved form Mcl-1 (p28) as apoptosis (specific cleavage of PARP) progressed (Figure 4C). These data are in agreement with a report of Mcl-1 being cleaved by caspase-3 at Asp¹²⁷ to produce a 28-kDa fragment (Mcl-1¹²⁸⁻³⁵⁰) [24]. To further confirm that ponatinib-induced Mcl-1 cleavage was caused by caspase-3 activation, CEL cells were treated with ponatinib in the absence or presence of the caspase-3 inhibitor z-DEVDfmk. Immunoblotting revealed complete abrogation of decreased Mcl-1 level (p42) and appearance of the Mcl-1¹²⁸⁻³⁵⁰ (p28) fragment (Figure 4D). Therefore, ponatinib-induced activation of caspase-3 may cleave

and decrease the amount of Mcl-1. Silencing Mcl-1 with specific siRNA duplex significantly enhanced the cytotoxicity of ponatinib, as reflected by PARP cleavage and cell death (Figure 4E). In contrast, enforced overexpression of Mcl-1 by transfection attenuated ponatinib-induced apoptosis in EOL-1 cells (Figure 4F). Taken together, cleavage of Mcl-1 by caspase-3 may form a positive feedback mechanism in the induction of apoptosis of CEL cells by ponatinib.

Ponatinib inhibits tyrosine phosphorylation of PDGFRa

 β -catenin, a critical effector in the canonical Wnt/ β -catenin signaling cascade widely involved in cell proliferation, differentiation, escape of apoptosis and transformation [25], is a substrate of tyrosine kinases such as PDGFRα, Bcr-Abl, Flt3, and KIT [26-28]. Tyrosine phosphorylation of β -catenin leads to increased protein stability, keeping β -catenin active [26-29]. We therefore examined the potential change in tyrosine phosphorylation of β -catenin as a result of inhibition of PDGFRa by ponatinib. Because nuclear translocation of β -catenin is required for its functions (i.e., to activate TCF/LEF), we first examined whether ponatinib affected the subcellular distribution of β -catenin. With standard subcellular fractionation protocols, the levels of β -catenin in cytosolic and nuclear fractions were dose-dependently lowered by ponatinib (Figure 5A). Immunofluorescence analysis further confirmed that β -catenin was decreased by ponatinib in both cytosolic and nuclear compartments (Figure 5B). Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) also revealed a concentration- and time-dependent decrease in nuclear β -catenin with ponatinib treatment (Figure 5C). Time chase experiments in the presence of CHX revealed that ponatinib led to an increased degradation rate of β -catenin (Figure 5D). Time-course study showed that the decrease in levels of β-catenin occurred concurrently with tyrosine dephosphorylation in β -catenin after inactivation of PDGFR α by ponatinib (Figure 5E). EOL-1 cells transfected with siRNA against



cells were treated with 1 nM ponatinib for different times, and the indicated proteins were measured with immunoblotting. (**D**) Mcl-1 cleaved in a caspase-3-dependent manner. EOL-1 cells were treated with 1 nM ponatinib for 24 h with or without 10 μ M z-DEVD-fmk, then underwent immunoblotting. (**E**) Silencing Mcl-1 potentiated ponatinib-induced apoptosis in EOL-1 cells. Twenty-four hours after transfection with Mcl-1 siRNA or control (mock) siRNA, EOL-1 cells were treated with various concentrations of ponatinib, and levels of Mcl-1, PARP, and actin were evaluated by immunoblotting (top); parallel samples were examined for apoptosis by trypan blue staining (bottom, *** *P* < 0.0001, *t* test, error bars represent 95% confidence intervals; representative data from 3 independent experiments are shown). (**F**) Enforced overexpression of Mcl-1 abrogated the ponatinib-induced apoptosis. Twenty-four hours after transfection with pCMV5-flag empty vector or the plasmid expressing Mcl-1, EOL-1 cells were incubated with or without concentrations of ponatinib for another 24 h. Cell viability was evaluated by trypan blue dye exclusion (lower, *** *P* < 0.0001, *t* test, error bars represent 95% confidence intervals); Mcl-1 and PARP levels were detected by immunoblotting.

PDGFR α displayed decreased levels in PDGFR α and β catenin (Figure 5E, right), further supporting the specific effect of PDGFR α on β -catenin stability. These data support that tyrosine phosphorylation in β -catenin by PDGFR α directly promotes β -catenin stability.

Ponatinib inhibits TCF/LEF-dependent reporter gene transcription

Nuclear β -catenin in complex with TCF/LEF transcription factors can activate target genes whose promoter contains the regulatory elements [30]. We therefore examined whether ponatinib treatment influenced TCF/LEF-dependent transcription. EOL-1 cells were cotransfected with TOPflash (or FOPflash) and pEFR*Renilla*-Luc for 24 h, cultured with

or without ponatinib for an additional 24 h, then luciferase assay was performed. Ponatinib concentration-dependently inhibited the luciferase activity of TOP promoter constructs with optimized TCF-binding sites (Figure 5F). As a negative control, the luciferase activity of FOP promoter constructs with mutated TCF-binding sites was not changed.

Ponatinib decreases the level of TCF/LEF-dependent genes involved in proliferation

We next examined whether ponatinib inhibited the expression of target genes (e.g. c-Myc and cyclin D1) of β -catenin-TCF/LEF. Immunoblotting revealed EOL-1 cells incubated with or without ponatinib for 24 h showing a



(See figure on previous page.)

Figure 5 Inhibition of tyrosine kinase activity of PDGFRa by ponatinib attenuates signaling of β -catenin by lowering its stability. (A) Ponatinib concentration-dependently lowered β -catenin. EOL-1 cells were incubated with ponatinib for 24 h, and cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts were determined by immunoblotting. (B) Analysis of β -catenin localization. EOL-1 cells were pretreated with 1 nM ponatinib for 24 h, immunofluorescence analysis was performed with anti- β -catenin. Nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). (C) EOL-1 cells were pretreated with the indicated concentrations of ponatinib for 24 h or 1 nM ponatinib for various durations; and the nuclear extracts were then assayed for TCF/LEF activation by EMSA. (D) Ponatinib increased β -catenin turnover rate. After pretreatment with or without 1 nM ponatinib for 16 h, EOL-1 cells were exposed to 5 µg/ml of CHX, followed by immunoblotting for β -catenin. (E) Inhibition of PDGFRa decreased β -catenin. EOL-1 cells were treated with 1 nM ponatinib for various times, then total and tyrosine-phosphorylated β -catenin were evaluated (Left) by immunoblotting. EOL-1 cells were transfected with mock siRNA or PDGFRa siRNA, and β -catenin was monitored by immunoblotting. (F) Ponatinib abrogated TCF/LEF-dependent luciferase activity. EOL-1 cells were transfected with TOPflash and FOPflash plasmids and pEFRenilla-luc. After 24 h, the cells were treated with ponatinib for another 24 h, then underwent luciferase activity assay. (G) Ponatinib decreased the expression of target genes of β -catenin. Immunoblotting analysis in EOL-1 cells that were exposed to ponatinib for 24 h. (H) Ectopically changing the levels of β -catenin affected the ponatinib, and the relevant protein levels were evaluated by immunoblotting (left); parallel samples were examined by the trypan blue dye exclusion assay (right, *** P<0.0001, *t* test; error bars represent 95% confidence intervals).

concentration-dependent decrease in c-Myc and cyclin D1 levels (Figure 5G).

β-catenin plays a significant role in ponatinib-induced apoptosis

EOL-1 cells transfected with specific siRNA duplex against β -catenin underwent remarkable apoptosis as compared

with cells transfected with control siRNA, as reflected by PARP cleavage, caspase-3 activation and trypan-blue staining (Figure 5H, left). In addition, silencing β -catenin potentiated the sensitivity of EOL-1 cells to ponatinib (Figure 5H, left). Conversely, EOL-1 cells transfected with constructs encoding full-length β -catenin led to decreased sensitivity of EOL-1 cells to ponatinib (Figure 5H, right).



mouse xenografts. (A) BALB/c *nu/nu* nude mice were subcutaneously inoculated with BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa cells, then randomized into 3 groups (10 animals each) for daily oral administration of vehicle [30% Cremophor EL/ethanol (4:1), 70% PBS], imatinib or ponatinib during days 5–21 after inoculation of cells. The tumor growth curves are plotted. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. (B) Dissected tumor xenografts were measured on day 21. ***, P < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA, *post hoc* comparisons, Tukey's test. Columns, mean; error bars, 95% confidence intervals. Representative tumors removed from mice of each group are shown (*upper*). (C) Immnunohistochemical analysis with anti-Ki67 and H & E staining of xenograft tissues from mice sacrificed 21 days after tumor inoculation. (D) The signaling of PDGFRa in tumor tissue was inhibited by ponatinib. Whole cell lysates prepared from xenografts of each group were detected by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies.

Ponatinib inhibits growth of xenografted T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa cells in nude mice

The in vivo antineoplastic activity of ponatinib as a single agent against imatinib-resistant T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRaexpressing cells was evaluated in the nude mouse xenograft model. Thirty nu/nu BALB/c mice were subcutaneously injected with BaF3-T674I PDGFRα cells. Four days later, when tumor sizes were $\sim 50 \text{ mm}^3$, the mice were randomized to receive treatment with vehicle, imatinib (50 mg/kg/d) or ponatinib (30 mg/kg/d) for 15 days (n = 10). The tumor growth curve (the estimated tumor size calculated from the tumor dimension versus time) with imatinib was almost the same as with vehicle (Figure 6A), which indicates in vivo resistance of BaF3-T674I PDGFRa cells to imatinib. In contrast, ponatinib treatment abrogated the growth of tumors (Figure 6A). Tumor weight did not differ between imatinib- and vehicle-treated tumors but was lower in ponatinib-treated than control tumors (Figure 6B). Cell proliferation, as reflected by Ki67 immunohistochemistry, was inhibited by ponatinib (Figure 6C) as compared with the two controls. Immunoblotting of cell lysates from tumors from ponatinib-treated mice showed pronounced decreases in phosphorylated PDGFR α , Stat5, Akt, and Erk1/2 but not their total counterparts, so ponatinib blocked PDGFR α signaling in xenografts (Figure 6D). In addition, the level of β -catenin was decreased by ponatinib (Figure 6D). The body weights of the mice remained stable, with no significant differences between drugtreated and control mice (data not shown). Motor activity and feeding behavior of the mice were all normal. No mice died until the scheduled sacrifice. Overall, ponatinib was well tolerated at the dosage used.

Discussion

Acquired resistance to TKIs presents a therapeutic challenge. Gatekeeper mutants (e.g. T315I Bcr-Abl, T670I KIT and T674I PDGFRα) are particularly multi-drug resistant. In the present study, ponatinib potently inhibited the phosphorylation of the WT and gatekeeper mutant T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRα and their downstream signaling. Our molecular docking analysis revealed that ponatinib could target native or T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa in the DFG-out (inactive) binding mode, similar to ponatinib docking in T315I Bcr-Abl. This characteristic of ponatinib may be related to its imidazo [1,2b] pyridazine core that occupies the pocket for adenine in the enzyme, whereas the methylphenyl group occupies the hydrophobic pocket behind the gatekeeper residue of the enzyme [15]. Encouraged by the *in silico* simulation results, we evaluated the efficacy of ponatinib against imatinibresistant CEL cells both in vitro and in vivo.

Ponatinib potently inhibit the viability of EOL-1 cells expressing WT FIP1L1-PDGFRa, with an IC₅₀ value of 0.004 nM. This efficacy agrees with recent results [20] showing an inhibitory effect in EOL-1 cells, with an IC₅₀ of 0.5 nM. In the same study, ponatinib inhibited malignant cells expressing Bcr-Abl, Flt3, KIT, FGFR1, with IC₅₀ values from 2 to 36 nM [20]. We showed that ponatinib had an inhibitory effect on imatinibresistant leukemic BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRα cells, with an IC₅₀ of 2.5 nM, which is comparable to the potency in BaF3-T315I Bcr-Abl cells, with an IC₅₀ of 11 nM [15]. Clonogenicity assay confirmed that ponatinib restrained the proliferation of BaF3-WT or -T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa cells at low nanomolar concentrations. Further, our in vivo data revealed that ponatinib, at an oral dose of 30 mg/kg/day, potently abrogated the growth of xenografted imatinib-resistant BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFRa cells, with PDGFRa signaling highly suppressed (Figure 6). A pharmacokinetics study in mice indicated that orally administrated ponatinib as a single oral dose of 30 mg/kg, which was well tolerated, resulted in mean plasma concentrations of 782 and 561 nM at 2 and 6 h post-dosing, respectively [15]. Such plasma levels highly exceed the in vitro IC_{50} values for all 3 lines of FIP1L1-PDGFRa-expressing cells, so ponatinib may efficiently inhibit the growth of FIP1L1-PDGFRa-positive cells with clinically achievable doses.

Ponatinib induced remarkable apoptosis in both imatinib-sensitive and -resistant CEL cells, as evidenced by Annexin V binding, activation of caspase-3, and specific cleavage of PARP. The apoptosis was triggered by the mitochondrial-dependent pathway because of release of AIF and cytochrome c to the cytosol after treatment with ponatinib. The levels of survivin, Bcl-X_L and Mcl-1were decreased in ponatinib-mediated apoptotic CEL cells. The transcription of survivin and Bcl-X_L is regulated by Stat3, Stat5 and Erk1/2 [31,32]. The decreased expression of survivin and Bcl-X_L caused by ponatinib treatment is likely associated with the inhibition of Stat3, Stat5 and Erk1/2. However, future experiments can further define the precise mechanisms.

Mcl-1, a pro-survival and anti-apoptotic protein with relatively short-half life in the Bcl-2 family, is expressed in malignant hematological cells and protects cells against apoptosis in response to chemotherapeutic agents including TKIs [22,33]. The decrease in Mcl-1 by ponatinib in CEL cells may facilitate apoptosis, because silencing Mcl-1 with siRNA significantly potentiated the ponatinibmediated apoptosis in EOL-1 cells, which is in line with the finding that decreased Mcl-1 level sensitizes leukemia cells to tyrosine kinase inhibitors [22]. Forced overexpression of Mcl-1 protected CEL cells against apoptosis in response to ponatinib. Regarding the mechanism underlying the decrease in Mcl-1 level by ponatinib, our results do not support the involvement of the ubiquitin-proteosome and transcription-dependent pathways. Rather, our data support a caspase-3-dependent mechanism, which is consistent with findings for other small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors [9]. Of note, the resulting truncated form of Mcl-1¹²⁸⁻³⁵⁰ (p28) cleaved by activated caspase-3 can potentiate apoptosis [24,34]. Mcl-1¹²⁸⁻³⁵⁰ (p28) after ponatinib treatment likely produces a positive feedback to apoptosis. Although Bim has been reported as the primary death effector in TKIs-treated CML cells [35], no appreciable change was noted in ponatinib-treated CEL cells in the present study. However, because Mcl-1 has been demonstrated to neutralize Bim through complex formation to prevent apoptosis, our observed decline in Mcl-1 by ponatinib would increase the Bim/Mcl-1 ratio, which may release Bim to promote apoptosis [36].

The observed decrease in β -catenin induced by ponatinib exposure may be important because of the fundamental functions of β -catenin in cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis. [25]. Besides accumulating in a Wnt/GSK3β-dependent way, β-catenin may also accumulate after phosphorylation by tyrosine kinases (e.g., Bcr-Abl, RET, KIT, Flt3, PDGFRα) [26-28,37]. Inactivating PDGFRa by treating EOL-1 cells with ponatinib inhibited tyrosine phosphorylation (Y654) and concurrently decreased levels of β -catenin, as reflected by immunoblotting and immunofluorescent staining (Figure 5). Silencing PDGFR α also lowered β -catenin level, which further supports the specificity of the effect of PDGFR α on the levels of β -catenin. The turnover rate is enhanced in EOL-1 cells pretreated with ponatinib. Of note, inactivation of PDGFRa by ponatinib decreased β-catenin level in both cytosolic and nuclear pools. Ponatinib also decreased β-catenin level in xenografts in in vivo experiments.

The decrease in β -catenin level by ponatinib has functional consequences. First, TCF/LEF-dependent gene transcription was impaired in EOL-1 cells treated with ponatinib. Second, the expression of β -catenin-dependent genes such as c-Myc and cyclin D1 was decreased. Third, the binding of β -catenin and DNA was also decreased, as revealed by EMSA. Because β -catenin plays a crucial role in controlling self-renewal and differentiation in both normal and cancer stem cells [25], a decrease in β -catenin level may be an important aspect of the antineoplastic mechanism of ponatinib. An interesting hypothesis for future research is whether decreased β -catenin can facilitate the eradication of cancer stem cells.

While this manuscript was under review, Lierman et al. reported that ponatinib was active against imatinib-resistant FIP1L1-PDGFR α mutants [38]. Our results corroborated and extended their findings by providing a mechanism for the induction of apoptosis and evidence for in vivo efficacy.

Conclusions

In conclusion, ponatinib is a potent inhibitor against both WT and T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α . Caspase-3-dependent Mcl-1 cleavage may be a positive feedback mechanism to enhance apoptosis in ponatinib-treated cells. Inhibition of PDGFR α activity by ponatinib leads to decreased tyrosine phosphorylation of β -catenin, decreased protein stability and protein level of β -catenin, decreased transcription of TCF/LEF-regulated genes, and enhanced cytotoxicity. Therefore, regulation of β -catenin by PDGFR α plays a role in the antineoplastic mechanism of ponatinib. Given the FDA approval of oral ponatinib in patients with refractory CML and Ph + ALL resistant to the first- and second-generation of TKIs [39], our findings warrant a clinical trial of ponatinib in imatinib-resistant CEL and other malignant disorders harboring T674I PDGFR α .

Materials and methods

Reagents

Ponatinib (purity > 95%, HPLC) was synthesized in our lab. Imatinib and sorafenib were purchased from Alexis Biochemicals (Plymouth Meeting, PA). 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was from Invitrogen. Cycloheximide (CHX) and propidium iodide (PI) were from Sigma-Aldrich. TOPflash/FOPflash system consisting of optimized TCF binding sites (TOP) or mutated sites (FOP) controlling the expression of a luciferase reporter gene was from Upstate Biotechnology (Lake Placid, NY). pCMV5-flag-human Mcl-1 and pcDNA3-\beta-catenin were kindly provided by Dr. Mien-Chie Hung (The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX) [40]. ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool small interfering RNA (siRNA) duplexes against human Mcl-1 or PDGFRα, and Non-Targeting Pool siRNA control were from Dharmacon RNA Tech. (Lafayette, CO) [8,41].

Cell culture and cell growth measurement

The EOL-1 cell line harboring the FIP1L1-PDGFR α fusion oncogene was purchased from DMSZ (Braunschweig, Germany). BaF3 cells expressing WT or T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α were cultured as described previously [8,9].

Cell viability was assessed by MTS assay (CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution reagent, Promega, Shanghai) [40,42].

Clonogenicity assay was performed as described [40]. In brief, 2×10^5 /ml cells were treated with drugs or diluent (DMSO, control) for 24 h, then washed with PBS and seeded in methylcellulose medium (Methocult M3231, Stem Cell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada) [40]. After incubation for ~7 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂, colonies with >50 cells were counted [40].

Preparation of whole cell lysates and cytosolic fraction

Most experiments of immunoblotting involved whole lysates prepared with RIPA buffer unless otherwise stated [42,43]. To measure the levels of AIF and cytochrome c in the cytosol, the cytosolic extract was prepared with digitonin extraction buffer [42,43].

Preparation of cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions

Distribution of β -catenin was determined in the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions as we previously described [44].

Immunoblotting

Immunoblotting involved use of whole cell lysates prepared in RIPA buffer [8,9,40]. Antibodies and their sources were as follows: antibodies against apoptosisinducing factor (AIF), Mcl-1 (S-19), Bax and Bcl-X_L (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); antibodies against poly(adenosine diphosphate [ADP]-ribose) polymerase (PARP), X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis (XIAP), caspase-3, active caspase-3, cytochrome c (clone 6H2. B4), survivin, and C-terminal β-catenin (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, San Jose, CA); phospho-β-catenin (Y654) (Abcam, Cambridge, MA); antibodies against phospho-PDGFRα (Y1018), phospho-Erk1/2 (T202/Y204) and Erk1/ 2 (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA); antibodies against phospho-Stat3 (Y705), phospho-Stat5A/B (Y694/ Y699), Stat3, Stat5, Bcl-2 and PDGFRa (Upstate Technology, Lake Placid, NY); anti-Bim (Stressgen, Ann Arbor, MI); anti-actin (Sigma-Aldrich, Shanghai). Antimouse immunoglobulin G and anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibodies were from Pierce Biotechnology (Rockford, IL, USA).

Plasmids or small interfering RNA transfection

EOL-1 cells were transfected with plasmids or siRNA duplexes with use of Nucleofector (Amaxa, Gaithersburg, MD) by use of the Cell Line Nucleofector Kit T (Amaxa) and program O-17 [8]. At 24 h after transfection, EOL-1 cells were adjusted to 2×10^5 /ml and exposed to ponatinib treatment, then underwent cell death assay and immunoblotting.

Luciferase assay

EOL-1 cells (2×10^5) were transfected with TOPflash or FOPflash plasmid (0.5 µg) and pEF*Renilla*-luc (10 ng) by electroporation. At 24 h, cells were incubated with or without ponatinib for 24 h. Luciferase activity was then measured with the dual-luciferase assay kits (Promega, Shanghai) as described [45].

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

EMSA involved the LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Shanghai). The oligonucleotides for TCF/LEF were from Promega (Shanghai) with sequences as described [46]: forward, 5-TGCCGGGCTT TGATCTTTG-3; reverse, 5-AGCAAAGATCAAAGCCC GG-3. In brief, oligonucleotides for TCF/LEF were labeled with biotin by use of the biotin 3'-end DNA labeling kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Shanghai). In total, 5 μ g of nuclear extracts was incubated for 20 mins with 1 μ g/ μ l poly(dI-dC) and biotin end-labeled target nucleotides in 20- μ l reaction mixtures. The resulting bound complex was separated from free oligonucleotides on 6% native polyacrylamide gel and transferred to a nylon membrane. After cross-linking, blocking, and reacting with substrates, the membranes were exposed to X-ray film to detect biotin-labeled DNA. The binding specificity was examined by competition with a 200-fold excess of the unlabeled oligonucleotide probe (cold competitor) [40,47].

Transmission electron microscopy

The cells were treated with or without ponatinib, and then fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde plus 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3). After washing, and postfixing, the samples were dehydrated and embedded in Spurr's low-viscosity medium [48]. Ultrathin sections of the samples stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate were examined under a JEM 1010 transmission electron microscope [48].

Immunofluorescence staining

EOL-1 cells were treated with or without ponatinib for 24 h, and then harvested by use of Cytospin onto glass slides. Immunofluorescence staining was as described [42]. DyLight 488 conjugated-goat-anti-mouse immuno-globulin was purchased from Pierce Biotechnology (Rockford, IL).

Apoptosis assessment

Apoptosis was evaluated by using an Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (for EOL-1) or Annexin V-phycoerythrin (for BaF3 cells expressing FIP1L1-PDGFR α) apoptosis detection kit (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, San Jose, CA) and analyzed by using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer [8,9,40].

Cell cycle analysis

Control or ponatinib-treated cells were fixed with 66% ethanol overnight. DNA content was analyzed by flow cytometry after cells were stained with 50 μ g/ml PI and 2.5 μ g/ml RNase in PBS solution for 30 mins [42,43].

Tumor xenograft experiments

Male *nu/nu* BALB/c mice were bred at the animal facility of Sun Yat-sen University. An amount of 1×10^7 BaF3-T674I PDGFR α cells supplemented with 50% matrigel was inoculated subcutaneously on the flanks of 4~6week-old male nude mice. Tumors were measured every other day with calipers. Tumor volumes were calculated by the following formula: $a^2 \times b \times 0.4$, where *a* is the smallest diameter and *b* is the diameter perpendicular to *a.* Ponatinib was initially dissolved in DMSO and then adjusted to the appropriate doses with vehicle [30% Cremophor EL/ethanol (4:1), 70% PBS], and imatinib was dissolved in sterile double-distilled water. Mice in each group were treated once daily by oral gavage with ponatinib, imatinib or the same amount of vehicle. The body weight, feeding behavior and motor activity of each animal were monitored as indicators of general health. Tumor xenografts were immediately removed, weighed, stored and fixed after animals were killed. All animal studies were conducted with the approval of the Sun Yat-sen University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Immunohistochemical staining

Formalin-fixed BaF3-T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α -cell xenografts were embedded in paraffin, sectioned (4- μ m thick), then immunohistochemically stained by using the anti-Ki67 MaxVision kit (Maixin Biol, Fuzhou, China). Color was developed with 0.05% diaminobenzidine and 0.03% H₂O₂ in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.6), and slides were counterstained with hematoxylin [47].

Homology modeling

The kinase domain sequence was identified from the Human Kinome database [49] by sequence alignment of the kinase domain within the full-length PDGFR α sequence (NCBI protein database, GI: 1736333) and the site to be mutated by use of CLUSTAL X [50].

Prime module in Maestro (Schrödinger Inc., v7.5) was chosen to build homology models for the native kinase domain sequence and the mutated sequence. We performed a BLAST search against the PDB database to choose a suitable template; the 1.6 Å X-ray structure of KIT kinase (PDB code: 1 T46) in complex with imatinib was chosen (identity 61%, E-value 4.1e-65) [51]. After SSP modification and alignment editing in Prime, native and mutated kinase models were built with default parameter sets, followed by loop refinement and energy minimization to eliminate and correct disallowed torsion angles and unfavorable atom-atom conflicts.

Molecular docking

The compound ponatinib was prepared by the Ligprep module, then the Glide module. Then docking simulations were performed to test binding of ponatinib to the native kinase model and the mutated one with the same default parameter sets. The grid-enclosing box was centered on the centroid of the aligned ligand (imatinib) and defined to enclose residues located within 20 Å around the ATP binding site; a scaling factor of 1.0 was set to van der Waals (VDW) radii of the receptor atoms with the partial atomic charge < 0.25. The Extra-Precision (XP) mode of Glide was used to dock ponatinib into the ATP binding site with default

parameters, and the top 10 docked poses were reserved for the binding mode analysis.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed at least 3 times, and results are reported as mean \pm 95% confidence intervals, unless otherwise stated. GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) was used for statistical analysis. A *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Computer-simulated binding of ponatinib to the native or mutated PDGFRα kinase in DFG(Asp-Phe-Gly)-out state. The explanation was described in the fulltxt.

Abbreviations

PDGFRα: Platelet-derived growth factor receptor α; (FIP1L1)-PDGFRα: FIP1-like 1-PDGFRα; CEL: chronic eosinophilic leukemia; EMSA: electrophoretic mobility shift assay; TCF/LEF: T cell factor/lymphoid enhancer factor; CHX: cycloheximide; APC: adenomatous polyposis coli; GSK3β: kinase glycogen synthase kinase 3β.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

YJ designed, performed experiments and analyzed data; KD synthesized ponatinib; HL and MX performed molecular docking analysis; XS and CW performed experiments of apoptosis. JP designed, performed research, analyzed data, directed the whole study and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Fund of China (no. 81025021, 81373434, 91213304, 90713036, U1301226 to J. Pan), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program grant no. 2009CB825506 to J. Pan), the Research Foundation of Education Bureau of Guangdong Province, China (grant cxzd 1103 to J. Pan), the Research Foundation of Guangzhou Bureau of Science and Technology, China (grant to J. Pan), the National Hi-Tech Research and Development Program of China (863 Program grant no. 2008AA02Z420 to J. Pan).

Author details

¹Department of Pathophysiology, Zhongshan School of Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China. ²Key Laboratory of Tropical Disease Control (Sun Yat-sen University), Ministry of Education, Guangzhou, China. ³Key Laboratory of Regenerative Biology and Institute of Chemical Biology, Guangzhou Institute of Biomedicine and Health, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou Science Park, Guangzhou, China. ⁴Shanghai Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology, School of Pharmacy, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China. ⁵State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center Sun Yat-sen University, 54 Xianlie Nan Road, Guangzhou 510060, People's Republic of China. ⁶Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, China.

Received: 23 August 2013 Accepted: 8 January 2014 Published: 28 January 2014

References

 Cools J, DeAngelo DJ, Gotlib J, Stover EH, Legare RD, Cortes J, Kutok J, Clark J, Galinsky I, Griffin JD, et al: A tyrosine kinase created by fusion of the PDGFRA and FIP1L1 genes as a therapeutic target of imatinib in idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndrome. N Engl J Med 2003, 348:1201–1214.

- Cools J, Stover EH, Boulton CL, Gotlib J, Legare RD, Amaral SM, Curley DP, Duclos N, Rowan R, Kutok JL, *et al*: PKC412 overcomes resistance to imatinib in a murine model of FIP1L1-PDGFRalpha-induced myeloproliferative disease. *Cancer Cell* 2003, 3:459–469.
- Martin-Broto J, Rubio L, Alemany R, Lopez-Guerrero JA: Clinical implications of KIT and PDGFRA genotyping in GIST. Clin Transl Oncol 2010, 12:670–676.
- Tefferi A, Vardiman JW: Classification and diagnosis of myeloproliferative neoplasms: the World Health Organization criteria and point-of-care diagnostic algorithms. *Leukemia* 2008, 2008(22):14–22.
- Griffin JH, Leung J, Bruner RJ, Caligiuri MA, Briesewitz R: Discovery of a fusion kinase in EOL-1 cells and idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003, 100:7830–7835.
- Metzgeroth G, Schwaab J, Gosenca D, Fabarius A, Haferlach C, Hochhaus A, Cross NC, Hofmann WK, Reiter A: Long-term follow-up of treatment with imatinib in eosinophilia-associated myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms with PDGFR rearrangements in blast phase. *Leukemia* 2013, 27:2254–2256.
- Lierman E, Michaux L, Beullens E, Pierre P, Marynen P, Cools J, Vandenberghe P: FIP1L1-PDGFRalpha D842V, a novel panresistant mutant, emerging after treatment of FIP1L1-PDGFRalpha T674I eosinophilic leukemia with single agent sorafenib. *Leukemia* 2009, 23:845–851.
- Jin Y, Chen Q, Lu Z, Chen B, Pan J: Triptolide abrogates oncogene FIP1L1-PDGFRalpha addiction and induces apoptosis in hypereosinophilic syndrome. *Cancer Sci* 2009, 100:2210–2217.
- Pan J, Quintas-Cardama A, Manshouri T, Giles FJ, Lamb P, Tefferi A, Cortes J, Kantarjian H, Verstovsek S: The novel tyrosine kinase inhibitor EXEL-0862 induces apoptosis in human FIP1L1-PDGFR-alpha-expressing cells through caspase-3-mediated cleavage of Mcl-1. *Leukemia* 2007, 21:1395–1404.
- Gorre ME, Mohammed M, Ellwood K, Hsu N, Paquette R, Rao PN, Sawyers CL: Clinical resistance to STI-571 cancer therapy caused by BCR-ABL gene mutation or amplification. *Science* 2001, 293:876–880.
- Azam M, Seeliger MA, Gray NS, Kuriyan J, Daley GQ: Activation of tyrosine kinases by mutation of the gatekeeper threonine. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2008, 15:1109–1118.
- von Bubnoff N, Sandherr M, Schlimok G, Andreesen R, Peschel C, Duyster J: Myeloid blast crisis evolving during imatinib treatment of an FIP1L1-PDGFR alpha-positive chronic myeloproliferative disease with prominent eosinophilia. *Leukemia* 2005, 19:286–287.
- Lierman E, Folens C, Stover EH, Mentens N, Van Miegroet H, Scheers W, Boogaerts M, Vandenberghe P, Marynen P, Cools J: Sorafenib is a potent inhibitor of FIP1L1-PDGFRalpha and the imatinib-resistant FIP1L1-PDGFRalpha T674I mutant. *Blood* 2006, 108:1374–1376.
- Metzgeroth G, Erben P, Martin H, Mousset S, Teichmann M, Walz C, Klippstein T, Hochhaus A, Cross NC, Hofmann WK, Reiter A: Limited clinical activity of nilotinib and sorafenib in FIP1L1-PDGFRA positive chronic eosinophilic leukemia with imatinib-resistant T674I mutation. *Leukemia* 2012, 26:162–164.
- O'Hare T, Shakespeare WC, Zhu X, Eide CA, Rivera VM, Wang F, Adrian LT, Zhou T, Huang WS, Xu Q, et al: AP24534, a pan-BCR-ABL inhibitor for chronic myeloid leukemia, potently inhibits the T315I mutant and overcomes mutation-based resistance. *Cancer Cell* 2009, 16:401–412.
- Weisberg E, Choi HG, Ray A, Barrett R, Zhang J, Sim T, Zhou W, Seeliger M, Cameron M, Azam M, et al: Discovery of a small-molecule type II inhibitor of wild-type and gatekeeper mutants of BCR-ABL, PDGFRalpha, Kit, and Src kinases: novel type II inhibitor of gatekeeper mutants. *Blood* 2010, 115:4206–4216.
- Chan WW, Wise SC, Kaufman MD, Ahn YM, Ensinger CL, Haack T, Hood MM, Jones J, Lord JW, Lu WP, et al: Conformational Control Inhibition of the BCR-ABL1 Tyrosine Kinase, Including the Gatekeeper T315I Mutant, by the Switch-Control Inhibitor DCC-2036. Cancer Cell 2011, 19:556–568.
- Eide CA, Adrian LT, Tyner JW, Mac Partlin M, Anderson DJ, Wise SC, Smith BD, Petillo PA, Flynn DL, Deininger MW, et al: The ABL Switch Control Inhibitor DCC-2036 Is Active against the Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Mutant BCR-ABLT315I and Exhibits a Narrow Resistance Profile. Cancer Res 2011, 71:3189–3195.
- Huang WS, Metcalf CA, Sundaramoorthi R, Wang Y, Zou D, Thomas RM, Zhu X, Cai L, Wen D, Liu S, *et al*: Discovery of 3-[2-(imidazo[1,2-b]pyridazin-3yl)ethynyl]-4-methyl-N-{4-[(4-methylpipera zin-1-yl)methyl]-3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl}benzamide (AP24534), a potent, orally active pan-inhibitor of

breakpoint cluster region-abelson (BCR-ABL) kinase including the T315I gatekeeper mutant. *J Med Chem* 2010, **53**:4701–4719.

- Gozgit JM, Wong MJ, Wardwell S, Tyner JW, Loriaux MM, Mohemmad QK, Narasimhan NI, Shakespeare WC, Wang F, Druker BJ, et al: Potent activity of ponatinib (AP24534) in models of FLT3-driven acute myeloid leukemia and other hematologic malignancies. Mol Cancer Ther 2011, 10:1028–1035.
- Zhou T, Commodore L, Huang WS, Wang Y, Thomas M, Keats J, Xu Q, Rivera VM, Shakespeare WC, Clackson T, et al: Structural mechanism of the Pan-BCR-ABL inhibitor ponatinib (AP24534): lessons for overcoming kinase inhibitor resistance. Chem Biol Drug Des 2011, 77:1–11.
- Aichberger KJ, Mayerhofer M, Gleixner KV, Krauth MT, Gruze A, Pickl WF, Wacheck V, Selzer E, Mullauer L, Agis H, et al: Identification of MCL1 as a novel target in neoplastic mast cells in systemic mastocytosis: inhibition of mast cell survival by MCL1 antisense oligonucleotides and synergism with PKC412. Blood 2007, 109:3031–3041.
- Rahmani M, Davis EM, Bauer C, Dent P, Grant S: Apoptosis induced by the kinase inhibitor BAY 43–9006 in human leukemia cells involves down-regulation of Mcl-1 through inhibition of translation. J Biol Chem 2005, 280:35217–35227.
- Clohessy JG, Zhuang J, Brady HJ: Characterisation of Mcl-1 cleavage during apoptosis of haematopoietic cells. Br J Haematol 2004, 125:655–665.
- Reya T, Clevers H: Wnt signalling in stem cells and cancer. Nature 2005, 434:843–850.
- Coluccia AM, Vacca A, Dunach M, Mologni L, Redaelli S, Bustos VH, Benati D, Pinna LA, Gambacorti-Passerini C: Bcr-Abl stabilizes beta-catenin in chronic myeloid leukemia through its tyrosine phosphorylation. *EMBO J* 2007, 26:1456–1466.
- Kajiguchi T, Lee S, Lee MJ, Trepel JB, Neckers L: KIT regulates tyrosine phosphorylation and nuclear localization of beta-catenin in mast cell leukemia. *Leuk Res* 2008, 32:761–770.
- Tickenbrock L, Schwable J, Wiedehage M, Steffen B, Sargin B, Choudhary C, Brandts C, Berdel WE, Muller-Tidow C, Serve H: Flt3 tandem duplication mutations cooperate with Wnt signaling in leukemic signal transduction. *Blood* 2005, 105:3699–3706.
- 29. Semenov MV, Habas R, Macdonald BT, He X: SnapShot: Noncanonical Wnt Signaling Pathways. *Cell* 2007, 131:1378.
- Behrens J, von Kries JP, Kuhl M, Bruhn L, Wedlich D, Grosschedl R, Birchmeier W: Functional interaction of beta-catenin with the transcription factor LEF-1. Nature 1996, 382:638–642.
- 31. Yu H, Pardoll D, Jove R: **STATs in cancer inflammation and immunity: a leading role for STAT3.** *Nat Rev Cancer* 2009, **9**:798–809.
- Domina AM, Vrana JA, Gregory MA, Hann SR, Craig RW: MCL1 is phosphorylated in the PEST region and stabilized upon ERK activation in viable cells, and at additional sites with cytotoxic okadaic acid or taxol. Oncogene 2004, 23:5301–5315.
- Huber S, Oelsner M, Decker T, Zum Buschenfelde CM, Wagner M, Lutzny G, Kuhnt T, Schmidt B, Oostendorp RA, Peschel C, Ringshausen I: Sorafenib induces cell death in chronic lymphocytic leukemia by translational downregulation of Mcl-1. *Leukemia* 2011, 25:838–847.
- Michels J, O'Neill JW, Dallman CL, Mouzakiti A, Habens F, Brimmell M, Zhang KY, Craig RW, Marcusson EG, Johnson PW, Packham G: Mcl-1 is required for Akata6 B-lymphoma cell survival and is converted to a cell death molecule by efficient caspase-mediated cleavage. Oncogene 2004, 23:4818–4827.
- Shah NP, Kasap C, Weier C, Balbas M, Nicoll JM, Bleickardt E, Nicaise C, Sawyers CL: Transient potent BCR-ABL inhibition is sufficient to commit chronic myeloid leukemia cells irreversibly to apoptosis. *Cancer Cell* 2008, 14:485–493.
- Gomez-Bougie P, Bataille R, Amiot M: The imbalance between Bim and Mcl-1 expression controls the survival of human myeloma cells. *Eur J Immunol* 2004, 34:3156–3164.
- Castellone MD, De Falco V, Rao DM, Bellelli R, Muthu M, Basolo F, Fusco A, Gutkind JS, Santoro M: The beta-catenin axis integrates multiple signals downstream from RET/papillary thyroid carcinoma leading to cell proliferation. *Cancer Res* 2009, 69:1867–1876.
- Lierman E, Smits S, Cools J, Dewaele B, Debiec-Rychter M, Vandenberghe P: Ponatinib is active against imatinib-resistant mutants of FIP1L1-PDGFRA and KIT, and against FGFR1-derived fusion kinases. *Leukemia* 2012, 26:1693–1695.
- Cortes JE, Kantarjian H, Shah NP, Bixby D, Mauro MJ, Flinn I, O'Hare T, Hu S, Narasimhan NI, Rivera VM, et al: Ponatinib in refractory Philadelphia chromosome-positive leukemias. N Engl J Med 2012, 367:2075–2088.

- Jin Y, Lu Z, Ding K, Li J, Du X, Chen C, Sun X, Wu Y, Zhou J, Pan J: Antineoplastic mechanisms of niclosamide in acute myelogenous leukemia stem cells: inactivation of the NF-kappaB pathway and generation of reactive oxygen species. *Cancer Res* 2010, 70:2516–2527.
- Jin Y, Lu Z, Cao K, Zhu Y, Chen Q, Zhu F, Qian C, Pan J: The antitumor activity of homoharringtonine against human mast cells harboring the KIT D816V mutation. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2010, 9:211–223.
- Pan J, Quintas-Cardama A, Kantarjian HM, Akin C, Manshouri T, Lamb P, Cortes JE, Tefferi A, Giles FJ, Verstovsek S: EXEL-0862, a novel tyrosine kinase inhibitor, induces apoptosis in vitro and ex vivo in human mast cells expressing the KIT D816V mutation. *Blood* 2007, 109:315–322.
- Shi X, Jin Y, Cheng C, Zhang H, Zou W, Zheng Q, Lu Z, Chen Q, Lai Y, Pan J: Triptolide Inhibits Bcr-Abl Transcription and Induces Apoptosis in STI571resistant Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia Cells Harboring T315I Mutation. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009, 15:1686–1697.
- Shambharkar PB, Blonska M, Pappu BP, Li H, You Y, Sakurai H, Darnay BG, Hara H, Penninger J, Lin X: Phosphorylation and ubiquitination of the IkappaB kinase complex by two distinct signaling pathways. *EMBO J* 2007, 26:1794–1805.
- 45. Quintas-Cardama A, Kantarjian H, Cortes J: Flying under the radar: the new wave of BCR-ABL inhibitors. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2007, 6:834–848.
- Zeng G, Apte U, Micsenyi A, Bell A, Monga SP: Tyrosine residues 654 and 670 in beta-catenin are crucial in regulation of Met-beta-catenin interactions. *Exp Cell Res* 2006, 312:3620–3630.
- Lu Z, Jin Y, Chen C, Li J, Cao Q, Pan J: Pristimerin induces apoptosis in imatinibresistant chronic myelogenous leukemia cells harboring T315I mutation by blocking NF-kappaB signaling and depleting Bcr-Abl. *Mol Cancer* 2010, 9:112.
- Shi X, Wang D, Ding K, Lu Z, Jin Y, Zhang J, Pan J: GDP366, a novel small molecule dual inhibitor of survivin and Op18, induces cell growth inhibition, cellular senescence and mitotic catastrophe in human cancer cells. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2010, 9:640–650.
- Manning G, Whyte DB, Martinez R, Hunter T, Sudarsanam S: The protein kinase complement of the human genome. Science 2002, 298:1912–1934.
- Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, Valentin F, Wallace IM, Wilm A, Lopez R, *et al*: Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* 2007, 23:2947–2948.
- Mol CD, Dougan DR, Schneider TR, Skene RJ, Kraus ML, Scheibe DN, Snell GP, Zou H, Sang BC, Wilson KP: Structural basis for the autoinhibition and STI-571 inhibition of c-Kit tyrosine kinase. J Biol Chem 2004, 279:31655–31663.

doi:10.1186/1476-4598-13-17

Cite this article as: Jin *et al.*: Ponatinib efficiently kills imatinib-resistant chronic eosinophilic leukemia cells harboring gatekeeper mutant T674I FIP1L1-PDGFR α : roles of Mcl-1 and β -catenin. *Molecular Cancer* 2014 13:17.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit