DOI: 10.1002/jmv.27972

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# High levels of C-reactive protein-to-albumin ratio (CAR) are associated with a poor prognosis in patients with severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome in early stage

Zishuai Liu <sup>1</sup>   Rongling Zhang <sup>1</sup>   Wei Zhou <sup>2</sup>	Ruize Ma <sup>1</sup>	Leqiang Han <sup>2</sup>
Zhe Zhao <sup>1</sup>   Ziruo Ge <sup>1</sup>   Xingxiang Ren <sup>1</sup> 💿	Wei Zhang <sup>1</sup>	Aijun Sun <sup>2</sup>
Zhihai Chen <sup>1</sup> 💿		

<sup>1</sup>Department of Infectious Disease, Beijing Ditan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Public Health Clinical Center, Dalian, China

### Correspondence

Zhihai Chen, Capital Medical University, 8 Jingshun East St, Chaoyang District, Beijing, China. Email: chenzhihai0001@126.com

Aijun Sun, 269 Hibai Rd, Land Port, Ganjingzi District, Dalian City, Liaoning Province, China. Email: 2244518005@qq.com

Funding information National Natural Science Foundation of China

# Abstract

C-reactive protein-to-albumin ratio (CAR) can be used to assess the prognosis of various diseases. This study aimed to evaluate the relationship between CAR on the prognosis of patients with severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS). This study included 155 SFTS patients from the Public Health Clinical Center of Dalian from January to December 2021. They were divided into survival and deceased groups based on the clinical prognosis. The independent risk factors for poor prognosis of SFTS patients at an early stage were determined by Cox regression. The efficacy of CAR prediction was assessed by the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. A total of 155 patients were included in this study, with an average age of 61.98±11.70 years, including 77 males and 65 females. The mortality rate of the patients enrolled in this study was 14.19%. Multivariate Cox regression indicated that CAR (hazard ratio = 2.585, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.405-4.753, p = 0.002) could be an independent predictor for prognosis in SFTS patients at an early stage. CAR had an AUC of 0.781 (95% CI, 0.665-0.898, p = 0.000), a cutoff value of 0.57, a sensitivity of 0.77, and a specificity of 0.80, with better predictive efficacy, compared to neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR). High levels of CAR are associated with poor prognosis in SFTS patients, and CAR can be used as an independent predictor for SFTS patients.

### KEYWORDS

albumin, C reactive protein, CAR, risk factors, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is a novel tick-borne disease caused by a novel phlebovirus. SFTS was first reported in China in 2009<sup>1</sup> and has been successively reported

in Korea,<sup>2</sup> Japan,<sup>3</sup> and Vietnam<sup>4</sup> in recent years. SFTS has multiple transmission routes and is mainly contracted through tick bites. Over the last few years, relevant studies have shown that it can also be transmitted through interpersonal transmission (human to human).<sup>5</sup>

Zishuai Liu, Rongling Zhang, and Wei Zhou contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. *Journal of Medical Virology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

LEY-MEDICAL VIROLOGY

SFTS can cause a wide range of clinical manifestations, including hyperthermia, thrombocytopenia, leukopenia, gastrointestinal symptoms, unconsciousness, disseminated intravascular coagulopathy (DIC), multiorgan failure with a mortality rate of 11–30.<sup>1,6–8</sup> Due to its high lethality and potential to cause pandemic transmission, SFTS was classified as a national reporting disease in China in 2010 and was listed by the World Health Organization as one of the top 10 priority infectious diseases in the 2018 annual review of the Blueprint list.<sup>9</sup> The pathogenic mechanism of SFTS is unclear, and there is no specific effective antiviral therapy.<sup>10</sup> Therefore, early identification of severe patients and timely intervention are essential in treating of SFTS patients.

CAR is the ratio of C-reactive protein to albumin. Previous studies have shown that CAR can be used to assess sepsis<sup>11</sup> and also as an essential indicator to assess the prognosis of noninfectious diseases such as oncology<sup>12-14</sup> and cardiovascular disease.<sup>15,16</sup> CAR is effective in assessing the severity of viral infectious diseases.<sup>17</sup> We aimed to investigate whether CAR could be used as an indicator to assess the poor prognosis of SFTS patients at an early stage and provide a reference basis for clinical judgment and treatment planning.

### 2 | METHODS

### 2.1 | Study design and patients' enrollment

This retrospective analysis includes 155 SFTS patients from Public Health Clinical Center of Dalian from January to December 2021. All these patients should follow these diagnostic criteria: (1) Epidemiological history, (2) fever with temperature >37.5°C, (3) thrombocytopenia, and (4) positive serum nucleic acid test IgG and/or IgM antibody for SFTSV (New Bunyavirus), or specimens isolated to SFTSV. However, a total of 32 patients followed the excluded criteria: (1) Patients with other viral infections; (2) patients with autoimmune diseases; (3) patients containing blood disorders; (4) patients receiving radiotherapy or chemotherapy

for oncology; (5) patients receiving transfusions of blood products in 2 weeks; and (6) missing clinical data (Figure 1).

This study was proposed following the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Beijing Ditan Hospital, Capital Medical University (NO.DTEC-KY2022-022-01). Patients and their families were informed about this study and signed the relevant informed consent forms.

### 2.2 | Data collection

We collect information related to patient demographics (gender, age, previous history, course, outcome), vital signs, general physical examination, including the nervous system, and laboratory tests (including routine blood tests, biochemical tests, coagulation, tissue damage, and inflammatory biomarkers). The observation endpoint of this study was defined as death or discharge.

### 2.3 | Definition

Neurological physical examination includes the assessment of neurological signs and consciousness. A neurological sign was defined as at least one of the following change: muscle tension, involuntary movements, and nerve reflexes. Skin change was defined as at least one of the following signs: skin color changing, skin eruption, nodule. Hemorrhage was defined as at least one of the following symptoms: petechia, purpura, ecchymosis, hemoptysis, hematemesis, and melena.

# 2.4 | Statistic analysis

The categorical variables are represented by percentages (n, %) and tested with the  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test. Continuous variables



FIGURE 1 Schematic overview of the study design. SFTS, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome

MEDICAL VIROLOGY -WILE

TABLE 1 Demographics and clinical characteristics of patients with SFTS

Age (years) Male, n (%)	61.98 ± 11.70	60.43 ± 10.90	74.04 + 40.07	
Vale n (%)		00.40 ± 10.70	71.36 ± 12.27	0.000
1010, 11 (70)	77/155 (49.7)	65/133 (48.9)	12/22 (54.5)	0.622
Time from onset to admission (days)	5.0 (4.0-7.0)	5.0 (4.0-7.0)	5.0 (4.0-7.3)	0.795
Hospitalization (days)	8.0 (5.0-12.0)	9.0 (6.0-13.0)	4.0 (2.8-6.0)	0.000
Highest body temperature (°C)	38.0 (37.0-39.0)	38.1 (37.1-39.0)	37.7 (36.7-38.8)	0.165
Bite by ticks, n (%)	46/155 (29.7)	41/133 (30.8)	5/22 (22.7)	0.441
History, n (%)				
Diabetes	14/155 (9.0)	10/133 (7.5)	4/22 (18.2)	0.224
Hypertensive disease	21/155 (13.5)	17/(12.8)	4/22 (18.2)	0.727
CHD	7/155 (4.5)	5/133 (3.8)	2/22 (9.1)	0.260
Cerebral infarction	8/155 (5.2)	7/133 (5.3)	1/22 (4.5)	1.000
Liver disease	10/155 (6.5)	8/133 (6.0)	2/22 (9)	0.940
Tumor related history	4/155 (2.6)	2/133 (1.5)	2/22 (9.1)	0.097
kidney disease	2/155 (1.3)	1/133 (0.8)	1/22 (4.5)	0.265
Other medical histories	19/155 (12.3)	16/133 (12.0)	3/22 (13.6)	1.000
Symptoms				
Shiver	50/155 (32.3)	44/133 (33.1)	6/22 (27.3)	0.589
Weak	89/155 (57.4)	80/133 (60.2)	9/22 (40.9)	0.091
Chest distress	6/155 (3.9)	5/133 (3.8)	1/22 (4.5)	1.000
Palpitation	4/155 (2.6)	3/133 (2.3)	1/22 (4.5)	0.461
Muscular soreness	56/155 (36.1)	56/155 (36.1) 49/133 (36.8)		0.650
Arthralgia	40/155 (25.8)	37/133 (27.8)	3/22 (13.6)	0.159
Oliguria	8/155 (5.2)	8/133 (6.0)	0	0.509
Inappetence	109/155 (70.3)	99/133 (74.4)	10/22 (45.5)	0.006
Nausea	71/155 (45.8)	67/133 (50.4)	4/22 (18.2)	0.005
Vomiting	17/155 (11.0)	16/133 (12.0)	1/22 (4.5)	0.298
Bloating	19/155 (12.2)	16/133 (12.0)	3/22 (13.0)	1.000
Abdominal pain	9/155 (5.8)	8/133 (6.0)	1/22 (4.5)	1.000
Diarrhea	15/155 (9.7)	11/133 (8.3)	4/22 (18.2)	0.286
Neurological Symptoms	18/155 (11.6)	10/133 (7.5)	8/22 (36.4)	0.000
Signs	17/155 (11.0)	12/133 (9.0)	5/22 (22.7)	0.124
Skin changes	17/155 (11.0)	12/133 (9.0)	5/22 (22.7)	0.124
Hemorrhage	5/155 (3.2)	2/133 (1.5)	3/22 (13.6)	0.021
Pharyngeal swelling	36/155 (23.2)	31/133 (23.3)	5/22 (22.7)	0.952
Chemosis	6/155 (3.9)	1/133 (0.8)	5/22 (22.7)	0.000
Breath rough	22/155 (14.2)	18/133 (13.5)	4/22 (18.2)	0.803
Rales	6/155 (3.9)	4/133 (3.0)	2/22 (9.1)	0.202
Abdominal Tenderness	11/155 (7.1)	9/133 (6.8)	2/22 (9.1)	1.000
	14/155 (0.0)	14/133 (10.5)	0	0.232
Hepatosplenomegaly	14/155 (9.0)	14/133 (10.5)	0	0.232

Note: Continuous variable data are presented as mean (SD), median (IQR). Classified variable dates are presented as n/N (%), where N is the total number of patients with available data. p values comparing between the group of survival and the group of deceased.

Abbreviations: CHD, coronary heart disease; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation; SFTS, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome.

5377

## TABLE 2 Laboratory results of patients with SFTS at admission

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Parameters (reference range)	Total (n = 155)	Survival (n = 133)	Deceased (n = 22)	p value
WBC	2.39	2.38	3.17	0.674
(3.5-9.5 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	(1.47-3.91)	(1.63-3.57)	(1.18-4.79)	
Neutrophils	1.29	1.21	1.90	0.225
(1.8-6.3 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	(0.72-2.27)	(0.72-2.08)	(0.83-3.47)	
NEU%	59.64	60.1	56.70	0.057
(40-75)	(44.10-71.90)	(45.22-73.12)	(31.68-67.65)	
Lymphocytes	0.66	0.67	0.44	0.017
(1.1-3.2×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	(0.40-1.24)	(0.43-1.26)	(0.28-0.86)	
LYM%	32.24	32.90	20.60	0.006
(20-50)	(19.40-43.74)	(20.38-45.28)	(14.53-34.50)	
Monocytes	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.617
(0.1–0.6 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	(0.07-0.34)	(0.07–0.33)	(0.38-0.52)	
MON%	6.74	6.77	6.50	0.866
(3-10)	(3.70-10.70)	(3.48-10.38)	(1.73-17.50)	
RBC	4.47	4.47	4.49	0.670
(4.3-5.8×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	(4.11-4.86)	(4.6-4.86)	(3.82-4.94)	
HGB	137.00	137.00	137.00	0.540
(130–175g/L)	(126.00-148.00)	(126.25-147.50)	(125.75-153.50)	
PLT	58.00	60.50	43.5	0.001
(125-350×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	(38.00-82.00)	(41.00-88.75)	(21.75-59.25)	
LDH	737.00	643.50	1185.50	0.002
(80-285 U/L)	(401.00-1269)	(386.00-1091.92)	(666.23-2223.29)	
СК	286.00	221.00	1273.75	0.000
(0-190 U/L)	(102.00-890.12)	(91.50-631.50)	(415.43.00-2165.75)	
ALT	90.00	83.00	122.65	0.120
(9–50 U/L)	(49.00-168.00)	(47.50-153.30)	(75.75-304.28)	
AST	157.00	134.95	315.50	0.001
(15-40 U/L)	(73.00-325.00)	(65.25-266.50)	(124.25-767.15)	
TBIL	9.70	9.20	12.35	0.007
(2.0-20.4 µmol/L)	(7.00-13.30)	(6.80–12.75)	(9.39-16.80)	
DBIL	4.00	3.80	6.40	0.000
(0–6.8 µmol/L)	(2.70-5.89)	(2.60-5.20)	(4.52-10.56)	
ALB	34.40	35.20	28.20	0.000
(35–53 g/L)	(30.80-37.40)	(32.30-37.70)	(24.21-31.01)	
GLOB	24.20	24.25	24.44	0.209
(20-40 g/L)	(21.70-26.50)	(21.15-26.45)	(22.42-30.13)	
GGT	51.00	49.00	97.00	0.009
(11-49 U/L)	(27.00-110.00)	(24.00-96.00)	(36.43-172.26)	

Parameters (reference range)	Total (n = 155)	Survival (n = 133)	Deceased (n = 22)	p value
ALP (40-150 U/L)	67.00 (53.00-91.00)	65.40 (53.00-85.00)	69.8 (56.18-178.20)	0.128
GLU	6.50	6.48	7.60	0.310
(4.16-6.44 mmol/L)	(5.63-8.16)	(5.64-8.01)	(5.48-9.35)	
K <sup>+</sup> (3.5–5.1 mmol/L)	$3.45 \pm 0.51$	3.85 ± 0.51	3.83 ± 0.50	0.873
Na <sup>+</sup>	133.70	133.65	133.42	0.984
(136–146 mmol/L)	(131.00-136.3)	(130.90-139.21)	(131.00-141.82)	
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	1.97	1.98	1.93	0.010
(2.2–2.55 mmol/L)	(1.93–2.05)	(1.93–2.05)	(1.83–2.00)	
РСТ	0.60	0.46	0.81	0.003
(0-0.06 ng/ml)	(0.16-0.81)	(0.14-0.81)	(0.47-0.93)	
CRP	5.04	3.78	16.20	0.000
(5-10 mg/L)	(3.00-11.64)	(2.93-11.64)	(11.28-33.90)	
UREA	5.18	4.98	7.12	0.000
(1.7-8.3 mmol/L)	(4.22-7.10)	(4.10-6.50)	(5.93-11.66)	
CREA	63.00	62.15	81.27	0.001
(40–106 μmol/L)	(52.80-81.27)	(51.70-78.95)	(63.23-127.25)	
тт	19.65	19.65	18.55	0.042
(14-21 s)	(18.60-21.00)	(18.90-21.23)	(15.95–20.86)	
APTT	43.70	42.25	53.20	0.060
(11-14.5 s)	(35.60-48.61)	(35.68-48.61)	(34.75–67.88)	
РТ	13.20	13.70	11.05	0.010
(11-14.5 s)	(12.10-14.74)	(12.60-14.74)	(10.70-11.70)	

Note: Continuous variable data are presented as mean (SD), median (IQR). *p* values comparing between the group of survival and the group of deceased. Abbreviations: ALB, albumin; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransaminase; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CK, creatine phosphokinase; CREA, creatinine; CRP, C-reactive protein; DBIL, direct bilirubin; GGT, γ-glutamyl transferase; GLOB, globulin; GLU, glucose; HGB, hemoglobin; IQR, interquartile range; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LYM, lymphocyte; MON, monocyte; NEU, neutrophil; PCT, procalcitonin; PLT, platelet; PT, prothrombin time; RBC, red blood cell; SD, standard deviation; SFTS, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome; TBIL, total bilirubin; TT, thrombin time; WBC, white blood cell.

that followed a normal distribution were expressed as mean  $(\bar{X}) \pm$  standard deviation (SD) and analyzed using the independent samples *t* test. Continuous variables that did not conform to a normal distribution were expressed as median (M) interquartile range (IQR) and analyzed using the Mann–Whitney *U* test for comparison. Univariate and multivariate COX regression analyses were applied to identify early independent risk factors for SFTS using the Stepwise method. The model was evaluated for prediction accuracy by receiver operating characteristic (ROC). Calculate the cut-off value based on the maximum Youden's index. Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to assess the cumulative survival of the groups with a lower and higher cutoff value of CAR. All data analyses were calculated by SPSS 26.0. The figure was made by GraphPad Prism 9.0.0. A two-sided *p* < 0.05 was regarded as statistical significance.

## 3 | RESULT

# 3.1 | Demographics and clinical characteristics of SFTS patients

Followed the inclusion and exclusion criteria, A total of 155 patients with a mean age was  $61.98 \pm 11.70$  years in this study, including 77 males (77/155, 49.7%) and 78 females (78/155, 50.3%). The study included 22 deceased patients, with a fatality rate of 14.19%. Demographic information, clinical manifestation, and laboratory results are summarized in Tables 1–2.

The enrolled patients were divided into a survival group and a deceased group according to clinical outcome. Compared to the survival group, patients in the deceased group were elder and had a

# WILEY-MEDICAL VIROLOGY

Parameters	Univariate HR (95% CI)	p value	Multivariate HR (95% CI)	p value
Demographics and baseline ch	aracters			
Age	1.101 (1.052-1.152)	0.000		
Sex	0.798 (0.344-1.850)	0.599		
History				
Diabetes	1.537 (0.206-11.474)	0.675		
Hypertensive disease	1.473 (0.497-4.363)	0.485		
CHD	2.171 (0.507-9.297)	0.296		
Hemorrhage	6.013 (1.770-20.433)	0.004		
Neurological manifestation	5.855 (2.442-14.036)	0.000		
Laboratory findings				
WBC	1.085 (0.928-1.268)	0.306		
NEU	1.013 (0.942-1.090)	0.724		
NEU%	1.024 (0.999-1.050)	0.432		
LYM	0.329 (0.111-0.977)	0.045	0.132 (0.033-0.523)	0.004
LYM%	0.955 (0.925-0.986)	0.013		
MON	1.076 (0.541-2.143)	0.834		
MON%	1.031 (1.007-1.056)	0.011	1.039 (1.013-1.065)	0.003
RBC	0.830 (0.498-1.385)	0.476		
HGB	1.002 (0.979-1.025)	0.880		
PLT	0.973 (0.956-0.991)	0.004		
LDH	1.001(1.000-1.001)	0.000		
СК	1.000 (1.000-1.000)	0.000	1.001 (1.000-1.001)	0.000
ALT	1.001 (0.998-1.004)	0.609		
AST	1.002 (1.001-1.003)	0.000		
TBIL	1.103 (1.037-1.174)	0.002		
DBIL	1.158 (1.087-1.234)	0.000		
GGT	1.003 (1.000-1.007)	0.049		
ALP	1.007 (1.003-1.011)	0.001	1.006 (1.002-1.011)	0.006
ALB	0.791 (0.727-0.862)	0.000	0.805 (0.722-0.897)	0.000
GLOB	1.078 (0.986-1.180)	0.100		
GLU	1.015 (0.967-1.066)	0.552		
UREA	1.029 (1.005-1.053)	0.020		
CREA	1.015 (1.008-1.022)	0.000		
K+	0.853 (0.359-2.030)	0.720		
NA <sup>+</sup>	1.022 (0.959-1.090)	0.504		
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.008 (0.000-0.239)	0.005		
тт	1.021 (0.950-1.097)	0.579		
APTT	1.011 (1.002-1.020)	0.150		
PT	0.715 (0.541-0.944)	0.018		

**TABLE 3** Risk factors associated with disease prognosis of patients with SFTS

5381

	Univariate		Multivariate		
Parameters	HR (95% CI)	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	
PCT	1.173 (0.995-1.383)	0.057			
CRP	1.051 (1.029-1.073)	0.000			
CAR	4.505 (2.626-7.729)	0.000	2.585 (1.405-4.753)	0.002	

Abbreviations: ALB, albumin; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransaminase; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CAR, C-reactive protein to albumin ratio; CHD, coronary heart disease; 95% CI, confidence interval; CK, creatine phosphokinase; CREA, creatinine; CRP, C-reactive protein; DBIL, direct bilirubin; GGT,  $\gamma$ -glutamyl transferase; GLOB, globulin; GLU, glucose; HGB, hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio; IQR, interquartile range; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LYM, lymphocyte; MON, monocyte; NEU, neutrophil; PCT, procalcitonin; PLT, platelet; PT, prothrombin time; RBC, red blood cell; SD, standard deviation; SFTS, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome; TBIL, total bilirubin; TT, thrombin time; WBC, white blood cell.

# **TABLE 4**Predictive value of CAR andNLR in predicting SFTS severity

Parameters	AUC	Cutoff values	Sensitivi	ty	Specificity	95% CI	p value
CAR	0.781	0.57	0.77	0.80		(0.665-0.898)	0.000
NLR	0.671	0.30	0.96	0.35		(0.556-0.786)	0.010

*Note*: The cutoff points were selected by maximizing the sum of sensitivity and specificity. Abbreviations: AUC, area under the ROC curve; CAR, C-reactive protein to albumin ratio; 95% CI, confidence interval; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; SFTS, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome.

shorter hospital stay, while no significant differences were seen in maximum body temperature, tick bite history, or previous history. Compared with the survival group, the patients in the deceased group had a higher frequency of chemosis, hemorrhage, and neurological manifestations.

All patients showed a decrease in platelet (PLT) counts. Patients who deceased had higher levels of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), creatine phosphokinase (CK), alanine aminotransaminase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), γ-glutamyl transferase (GGT), procalcitonin (PCT), C-reactive protein (CRP), and lower lymphocyte (LYM), PLT, albumin (ALB), Ca<sup>2+</sup> than survival patients. No significant differences were seen between the two groups for the remaining indicators.

# 3.2 | Independent risk factors for deceased patients with SFTS

We explore independent risk factors for patients with SFTS to effectively identify patients with severe SFTS early and predict clinical outcomes. By performing univariate Cox regression analysis, we found that older age, hemorrhage, neurological signs/symptoms, decreases in LYM, PLT, CA, ALB, PT, and elevations in monocyte (MON)%, LDH, CK, AST, total bilirubin (TBIL), direct bilirubin (DBIL), GGT, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), urea, creatinine (CREA), procalcitonin (PCT), CRP, and CAR were considered significant factors for poor prognosis. variables with a *p* value less than 0.1 in the univariate Cox regression were brought in multivariate Cox regression with a stepwise method, the results showed that LYM (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.132, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.033–0.523, *p* = 0.004), MON% (HR = 1.039, 95% CI 1.013–1.065, *p* = 0.003), CK (HR = 1.001, 95% CI 1.000–1.001, *p* = 0.000), ALP (HR = 1.006, 95% CI 1.002–1.011, *p* = 0.006), ALB (HR = 0.805, 95% CI 0.722–0.897, *p* = 0.000), CAR (HR = 2.585, 95% CI 1.405–4.753, *p* = 0.002), could be used as independent predictors of early death in SFTS patients (Table 3).

# 3.3 | Diagnostic efficacy of CAR on clinical outcomes in patients with SFTS

Based on the results of multivariate Cox regression, CAR was used as a crucial factor for the early prediction of poor outcomes in SFTS patients. Predictive performance of CAR for prognosis in SFTS was assessed by using the AUC. the AUC for CAR was 0.781 (95% Cl, 0.665–0.898, p = 0.000) (Table 4) (Figure 2A), and the AUC for NLR was 0.671 (95% Cl, 0.556–0.786, p = 0.010). Compared with NLR, CAR had a better predictive performance. The cut-off value of CAR was calculated to be 0.57, with a sensitivity of 0.77 and a specificity of 0.80 (Table 4) (Figure 2B). According to Hosmer and Lemeshow Test (H-L Test) (p = 0.174), CAR has excellent predictive accuracy.



**FIGURE 2** Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for evaluating the predictive ability of the CAR for SFTS severity at admission. (A) CAR had AUC of 0.781 (95% CI, 0.665–0.898, p = 0.000); (B) CAR (red line) had AUC of 0.781; NLR (blue line) had 0.671 (95% CI, 0.556–0.786, p = 0.010). AUC, area under the ROC curve; CAR, C-reactive protein to albumin ratio; 95% CI, confidence interval; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; SFTS, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome.



**FIGURE 3** CAR is associated with poor prognosis in SFTS patients. (A) Comparison of CAR between deceased and survival; (B) comparison of fatality at different CAR levels. CAR, C-reactive protein-to-albumin ratio; SFTS, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome.

# 3.4 | CAR is associated with poor prognosis in SFTS patients

We found that patients in the deceased group had higher CAR than patients in the survival group [0.54 (0.37–1.12) vs. 0.11 (0.08–0.34) p = 0.000] (Figure 3A). According to CAR's cutoff value (0.57), all patients were divided into two groups CAR<sup>low</sup> and CAR<sup>high</sup>. The fatality rate of SFTS patients in the CAR<sup>high</sup> group was significantly higher than those in the CAR<sup>low</sup> group (12.78% vs. 40.91%, p = 0.001) (Figure 3B).

According to Kaplan–Meier survival curve analysis, SFTS patients with higher CARs had lower cumulative survival than those with lower CARs (Log-rank, p = 0.001) (Figure 4).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

SFTS is a novel viral hemorrhagic fever disease with high mortality and wide transmission that is now attracting growing attention. However, there is no effective treatment. It is crucial to identify



**FIGURE 4** Kaplan–Meier survival curves according to the cut-off value of CAR. CAR, C-reactive protein-to-albumin ratio.

patients who need intensive treatment at admission. We, therefore, present for the availability of CAR to assess the prognosis of SFTS patients at an early stage.

In our cohort, patients mainly manifested with thrombocytopenia, liver dysfunction, elevated biomarkers of tissue damage, and a higher frequency of neurological-related manifestations, particularly in deceased patients, in parallel with previous studies.<sup>18</sup>

C-reactive protein is an inflammatory protein first discovered by Toilet and Francis in 1930, which is now widely used in assessing systemic inflammation and the status of infections.<sup>19</sup> Previously, it was generally believed that elevated CRP was primarily seen associated with bacterial infections.<sup>20</sup> Current studies have found that CRP can also be elevated in associated viral infections and can be used as an indicator to assess the disease.<sup>21,22</sup> It has also been reported that elevated CRP is an independent risk factor for patients with SFTS.<sup>23</sup>

Albumin is produced by the liver and accounts for 60% of serum protein.<sup>24</sup> It is a vital nutrient for the body, maintains the stability of plasma colloid osmotic pressure, and is also one of the forms of transport of substances in blood circulation. In general, ALB is often used to assess nutritional status. However, current studies have shown that ALB is also closely related to the inflammatory response.<sup>25</sup> The degree of decrease in ALB is positively correlated with inflammation. As with CRP, decreased albumin is also an independent risk factor for SFTS patients.<sup>26</sup>

A single indicator of CRP or ALB to assess disease has limitations. CAR is the ratio of CRP to ALB and was initially used to assess the prognostic assessment of patients in acute care.<sup>27</sup> The current study found that CAR has a vital predictive efficacy of disease prognosis in viral infection,<sup>17</sup> sepsis,<sup>28</sup> severe burn injury,<sup>11</sup> and even carcinoma.<sup>29,30</sup> We further found that CAR could be an independent risk factor for assessing poor prognosis in SFTS patients by COX regression and assessed the associated efficacy by AUC. We also introduced NLR, a MEDICAL VIROLOGY

standard inflammatory index, which effectively identifies patients at high risk for SFTS. In our study, CAR performs better in assessing poor prognosis than NLR. CRP and ALB are standard laboratory indices. These indicators are readily available, inexpensive, and reproducible, allowing us to identify high-risk patients early, intervene early, assess dynamically, and improve patient survival.

However, this study also has some limitations. First, this study had a limited sample from the same unit and was not validated using a new cohort. Secondly, we only tested the CAR at admission and lacked continuous measurements for assessing the disease. All these limitations need to be investigated further in the future.

### 5 | CONCLUSION

High levels of CAR are associated with poor prognosis in SFTS patients, and CAR can be used as an independent predictor for SFTS patients.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Zishuai Liu, Rongling Zhang, Ruize Ma, and Wei Zhou collected, organized the clinical data, and prepared the manuscript. Leqiang Han, Zhe Zhao, Ziruo Ge, Xingxiang Ren, and Wei Zhang conducted statistical analysis. Zhihai Chen and Aijun Sun designed the study and reviewed the manuscript.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to the staff from Public Health Clinical Center of Dalian for collecting data. Thanks to Shengqi Huang and Qinghuan Lin for supporting statistical software and data processing in this study. The study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82072295).

#### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon resonable request.

## ORCID

Xingxiang Ren <sup>1</sup> http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5140-1256 Zhihai Chen <sup>1</sup> http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6481-4781

### REFERENCES

- Yu XJ, Liang MF, Zhang SY, et al. Fever with thrombocytopenia associated with a novel bunyavirus in China. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(16):1523-1532.
- Kim YR, Yun Y, Bae SG, et al. Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus infection, South Korea, 2010. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2018;24(11):2103-2105.
- Takahashi T, Maeda K, Suzuki T, et al. The first identification and retrospective study of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome in Japan. J Infect Dis. 2014;209(6):816-827.

- Tran XC, Yun Y. Van an L, et al. endemic severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, Vietnam. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2019;25(5): 1029-1031.
- Li J, Li S, Yang L, Cao P, Lu J. Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus: a highly lethal bunyavirus. *Crit Rev Microbiol.* 2021;47(1):112-125.
- Choi SJ, Park S-W, Bae I-G, et al. Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome in South Korea, 2013-2015. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10(12):e0005264.
- Liu Q, He B, Huang S-Y, Wei F, Zhu X-Q. Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, an emerging tick-borne zoonosis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2014;14(8):763-772.
- Liu S, Chai C, Wang C, et al. Systematic review of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome: virology, epidemiology, and clinical characteristics. *Rev Med Virol.* 2014;24:2-102.
- Annual review of diseases prioritized under the Research and Development Blueprint. WHO Meeting report, World Health Organization; 2017.
- Takayama-Ito M, Saijo M. Antiviral drugs against severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus infection. *Front Microbiol.* 2020;11:150.
- Yu Y, Wu W, Dong Y, Li J. C-Reactive Protein-to-Albumin ratio predicts sepsis and prognosis in patients with severe burn injury. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2021;2021:6621101.
- Lin N, Li J, Ke Q, Wang L, Cao Y, Liu J. Clinical significance of C-Reactive protein to albumin ratio in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. *Dis Markers*. 2020;2020:4867974.
- Tsujino T, Komura K, Hashimoto T, et al. C-reactive proteinalbumin ratio as a prognostic factor in renal cell carcinoma: a data from multi-institutional study in Japan. Urol Oncol. 2019;37(11): 812 e811-812 e818.
- 14. Uchimoto T, Komura K, Fujiwara Y, et al. Prognostic impact of Creactive protein-albumin ratio for the lethality in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Med Oncol.* 2019;37(1):9.
- Dereli S, Çerik iB, Kaya A, Bektaş O. Assessment of the relationship between C-reactive protein-to-albumin ratio and the presence and severity of isolated coronary artery ectasia. *Angiology*. 2020;71(9): 840-846.
- Cheng L, Meng Z, Wang Q, et al. The usefulness of C-reactive protein to albumin ratio in the prediction of adverse cardiovascular events in coronary chronic total occlusion undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2021;8:731261.
- Karakoyun I, Colak A, Turken M, et al. Diagnostic utility of C-reactive protein to albumin ratio as an early warning sign in hospitalized severe COVID-19 patients. *Int Immunopharmacol.* 2021;91:107285.
- Xu Y, Shao M, Liu N, Dong D, Tang J, Gu Q. Clinical feature of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS)-associated encephalitis/

encephalopathy: a retrospective study. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2021;21(1): 904.

- Tillett WS, Francis T. Serological reactions in pneumonia with a nonprotein somatic fraction of *Pneumococcus*. J Exp Med. 1930;52(4): 561-571.
- Landry A, Docherty P, Ouellette S, Cartier LJ. Causes and outcomes of markedly elevated C-reactive protein levels. *Can Fam Physician*. 2017;63(6):e316-e323.
- Smilowitz NR, Kunichoff D, Garshick M, et al. C-reactive protein and clinical outcomes in patients with COVID-19. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42(23):2270-2279.
- Vasileva D, Badawi A. C-reactive protein as a biomarker of severe H1N1 influenza. *Inflamm Res.* 2019;68(1):39-46.
- Xu X, Sun Z, Liu J, et al. Analysis of clinical features and early warning indicators of death from severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome. Int J Infect Dis. 2018;73:43-48.
- 24. Sheinenzon A, Shehadeh M, Michelis R, Shaoul E, Ronen O. Serum albumin levels and inflammation. *Int J Biol Macromol.* 2021;184: 857-862.
- Arroyo V, García-Martinez R, Salvatella X. Human serum albumin, systemic inflammation, and cirrhosis. J Hepatol. 2014;61(2):396-407.
- Deng B, Zhou B, Zhang S, et al. Clinical features and factors associated with severity and fatality among patients with severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome Bunyavirus infection in Northeast China. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(11):e80802.
- Fairclough E, Cairns E, Hamilton J, Kelly C. Evaluation of a modified early warning system for acute medical admissions and comparison with C-reactive protein/albumin ratio as a predictor of patient outcome. *Clin Med (Lond)*. 2009;9(1):30-33.
- 28. Li T, Li X, Wei Y, et al. Predictive value of C-reactive protein-to-albumin ratio for neonatal sepsis. J Inflamm Res. 2021;14:3207-3215.
- Konishi S, Hatakeyama S, Tanaka T, et al. C-reactive protein/albumin ratio is a predictive factor for prognosis in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Int J Urol.* 2019;26(10):992-998.
- Liu Z, Shi H, Chen L. Prognostic role of pre-treatment C-reactive protein/albumin ratio in esophageal cancer: a meta-analysis. BMC Cancer. 2019;19(1):1161.

How to cite this article: Liu Z, Zhang R, Zhou W, et al. High levels of C-reactive protein-to-albumin ratio (CAR) are associated with a poor prognosis in patients with severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome in early stage. *J Med Virol*. 2022;94:5375-5384. doi:10.1002/jmv.27972