Colorectal Cancer Survival Trends in the United States From 1992 to 2018 Differ Among Persons From Five Racial and Ethnic Groups According to Stage at Diagnosis: A SEER-Based Study

Cancer Control Volume 29: 1–13 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/10732748221136440 journals.sagepub.com/home/ccx

Vernon S. Pankratz, PhD^{1,2}, Deborah Kanda, DrPH², Nicholas Edwardson, PhD, MS³, Kevin English, DrPH⁴, Prajakta Adsul, MBBS, MPH, PhD^{1,2}, Yiting Li, MD¹, Gulshan Parasher, MD¹, and Shiraz I. Mishra, MBBS, PhD^{2,5,6}

Abstract

Introduction: Survival following colorectal cancer (CRC) has improved in the US since 1975, but there is limited information on stage-specific survival trends among racial and ethnic subgroups.

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to estimate and compare trends in I- and 5-year CRC cause-specific survival in the United States by both stage and race/ethnicity.

Methods: We performed a retrospective cohort study of individuals diagnosed with CRC using the 1992-2018 Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) database. We estimated and compared time trends in 1- and 5-year survival for CRC stage by race/ethnicity.

Results: Data from 399 220 individuals diagnosed with CRC were available. There were significant differences in stage-specific I-year survival trends by race and ethnicity. Differences were most notable for distant stage CRC: survival probabilities increased most consistently for non-Hispanic American Indian/Alaska Native (AIAN) and Black (NHB) persons, but their trend lines were lower than those of Hispanic, and non-Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander (API) and White (NHW) persons, whose initially greater gains appear to be slowing. Although the data do not support significant racial/ethnic differences in 5-year CRC survival trends by stage, AIAN and NHB persons have the lowest average survival probabilities for multiple CRC stages, and no racial/ethnic group has 5-year survival probabilities above 20% for distant-stage CRC.

Conclusion: Although there has been an overall improvement in adjusted CRC-specific survival probabilities since 1992, AIAN and NHB persons continue to experience worse prognosis than those of other races/ethnicities. This highlights the importance of reinvigorating efforts to understand the causes of mortality in CRC, including those which may differ according to an individual's race or ethnicity.

⁵Department of Pediatrics, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Albuquerque, NM, USA

Corresponding Author:

Vernon S. Pankratz, PhD, Department of Internal Medicine, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, MSC 07 4025, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001, USA.

Email: vpankratz@salud.unm.edu



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and are (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Department of Internal Medicine, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Albuquerque, NM, USA

 $^{^{2}}$ University of New Mexico Comprehensive Cancer Center, Albuquerque, NM, USA

³School of Public Administration, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA

⁴Albuquerque Area Southwest Tribal Epidemiology Center, Albuquerque Area Indian Health Board, Inc., Albuquerque, NM, USA

⁶Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Albuquerque, NM, USA

Received July 11, 2022. Received revised September 27, 2022. Accepted for publication October 6, 2022.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second leading cause of cancer deaths in the United States.^{1,2} It has been estimated that 151 030 people will be newly diagnosed with CRC and 52 580 people with die from CRC in 2022.^{2,3} Although CRC mortality rates have decreased significantly since 1975,¹⁻⁵ available reports suggest that these declines vary substantially by the stage of the disease, and that disparities in mortality rates for racial and ethnically minoritized groups persist.4-15 For example, according to a report by the American Cancer Society, the 2-year relative survival rate for distant-stage CRC increased from 21% in mid-1990's to 37% for those diagnosed during 2009-2015, with commensurate improvements for regional- and localized-stage CRC.² This same report asserts that CRC mortality rates are highest for persons who are non-Hispanic Black, followed by those who are American Indian or Alaska Native, and lowest for those who are Asian or Pacific Islander.²

Several studies have compared trends in CRC mortality by the stage of the disease and race but have done so under broad classifications as follows: White and Black;^{4,7-10} White, Black, and Hispanic;^{11,16,17} White, Black, Asian, Hispanic, and other;¹⁸ or White, Black, and other.¹⁹ In particular, limited information is available on CRC survival trends in persons who are American Indian or Alaska Native.²⁰⁻²² A 2010 study by Edwards et al,⁵ compared CRC mortality trends in persons belonging to five racial and ethnic groups, but more than 10 years have passed since that report.

To the best of our knowledge, no study has simultaneously compared recent trends in CRC-specific mortality by stage of diagnosis for individuals that are non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander, and non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native. To address this gap, we undertook an analysis of populationbased CRC cause-specific survival data to understand the trends in 1- and 5-year CRC cause-specific survival probabilities by stage of diagnosis within five racial and ethnic groups for individuals who received a CRC diagnosis between 1992 and 2018. Understanding differences in cause-specific survival, both over time and across CRC stages, is critical for further investigations into the social, structural, and political determinants that contribute to the disparities noted in CRC outcomes among individuals from distinct racial and ethnic groups. Through our efforts, we aim to provide new impetus to refocus efforts on improving CRC detection and treatment among racial and ethnically minoritized populations.

Methods

Study Design and Data Source

This study was based on a retrospective cohort of CRC patients, ascertained on a population level, with data captured as part of the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) program. The SEER program collects cancer incidence and survival data from population-based cancer registries representing approximately 35% of the U.S. population.²³ Data from the 12 SEER registries for the period 1992 to 2018 were used in this analysis. The 12 SEER registries cover Alaska, Connecticut, Atlanta, rural Georgia, San Francisco-Oakland, San Jose-Monterey, Hawaii, Iowa, Los Angeles, New Mexico, Seattle-Puget Sound and Utah.²⁴ This project, Study ID: 21-102, was reviewed by the University of New Mexico Health Sciences Institutional Review Board, and was granted exemption on 31 March 2021 according to Category 4: Secondary research on data or specimens (no consent required). This report conforms to RECORD guidelines for SEER-based studies.²⁵ This study was supported by funding from the National Cancer Institute; the funders played no other role in this work. Researchers desiring additional details about the data and programs used to carry out this work may obtain programming code from the corresponding author.

Study Population and Variables

We used SEER*Stat software (version 8.4.0)²⁶ as the data source for this study. We used the "Incidence-SEER Research Plus Data, 12 Registries, Nov 2021 Sub (1992-2019)" database. We included a consecutive series of all individuals who received their first primary CRC diagnosis with malignant behavior from 1992 through 2018. We excluded "All Death Certificate Only and Autopsy Only" and "Alive with No Survival Time" via checkboxes in SEER*Stat. We also excluded CRC cases without a record for summary stage at diagnosis, as well as those with unknown age at diagnosis. We included all CRC cases that originated from the cecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure of colon, transverse colon, splenic flexure of colon, descending colon, sigmoid colon, overlapping lesion of colon, colon not otherwise specified, rectosigmoid junction, and rectum. We classified CRC cases as localized, regional and distant stage using SEER's "Combined Summary Stage (2004+)" classifications, supplemented with values from the "Historic Stage A (1973-2015)" variable when necessary. In-situ cancers and cases that were un-staged/unknown were excluded. These rules, as applied in SEER*Stat 8.0.4, defined the number of individuals included in this study.

The SEER program works closely with providers of cancer care in their population-based catchment areas to collect patient- and cancer-specific information. Because of its population-based nature, it is typically necessary to rely on medical records as the source of the demographic and other data. SEER-defined race and ethnic categories were utilized and labeled as follows: Non-Hispanic White (NHW), Non-Hispanic Black (NHB), Hispanic, Non-Hispanic American Indian/Alaska Native (AIAN), and Non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander (API). Additional variables were extracted from SEER, and estimates of CRC-specific survival probabilities were obtained for combinations of these variables in the primary analyses: sex as assigned at birth (male or female), age at diagnosis (categorized into decades), year of diagnosis, grade (grades I-IV, or unknown), and Rural-Urban Continuum Code in 2003 (RUCC, coded as: Metro Counties, Non-Metro Counties [Metro-Adjacent], Non-Metro Counties [not Metro-Adjacent], and Alaska or Hawaii, or unknown).

The outcome of interest in this work was cause-specific survival for CRC, estimated at 1- and 5-years post diagnosis. We used SEER*Stat software (version 8.4.0)²⁶ to calculate 1and 5-year cause-specific survival probabilities of CRC using the "Incidence - SEER Research Plus Data, 12 Registries, Nov 2021 (1992-2019)" database while relying on the rules implemented in SEER*Stat for loss to follow-up. We obtained cause-specific survival probabilities and their standard errors within combinations of the characteristics of interest, ie, year of diagnosis, race and ethnicity, stage, sex, grade, and RUCC. No person-level data were used, nor were the SEER data linked to any other data sources. Using SEER*Stat 8.4.0, we formed tables of cause-specific survival probabilities and their standard errors according to all combinations of the factors of interest, with separate "Pages" defined for combinations of Year of diagnosis, age at diagnosis (in decades), and RUCC groups, and with rows defined by combinations of race/ ethnicity, summary stage, grade, and sex. We used text processing approaches to form an analysis-ready data set which enumerated the numbers of individuals with a primary CRC diagnosis, and estimates of cause-specific survival probabilities and standard errors, within categories defined by all of the factors of interest in this study.

Statistical Analysis

We summarized the numbers and percentages of individuals with CRC diagnoses within the levels of the variables of interest. We used linear models to perform meta-regressions, weighting by the inverse of the squared standard errors, to estimate the degree to which the combinations of the variables of interest explained differences in the estimated causespecific survival probabilities. When the SEER-estimated standard errors of survival probabilities were equal to zero, we approximated them with the square root of the variance of the binomial distribution, calculated after adding a value of .5 to both the numerator and denominator counts reported by SEER for the relevant group. We modelled the survival probabilities obtained at 1 year and 5 years post-diagnosis separately. The initial models included all possible interactions among race/ethnicity, stage, and year of diagnosis, while controlling for potential confounding by age at diagnosis (categorized into decades), sex, grade, and RUCC. We simplified these initial models subsequently modelling year-at-diagnosis trends with natural cubic spline basis functions, and

diagnosis trends with natural cubic spline basis functions, and selected the degree of smoothing that best explained the race by stage by year of diagnosis trends by minimizing Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) while adjusting for the other factors. We obtained smoothed trend estimates within groups defined by race and ethnicity, and by CRC stage at diagnosis, from a separate model for each of the two follow-up time periods. These final models were obtained by removing non-significant interactions in a hierarchical fashion. Analyses were performed using the tools available in SEER*Stat²⁶ and SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). Two-sided type I error was set at .05 for tests of significance.

Results

This study was based on the 1975-2019 SEER Research Plus Data (November 2021 Submission), which contains information on 425 520 CRC diagnoses from 1992 through 2018. Excluding those individuals without a declared race or ethnicity, and with unknown stage at diagnosis, removed 1372 (.3%) and 24 928 (5.9%) individuals, respectively. This report is based on analysed data from 399 220 individual CRC diagnoses with known race/ethnicity and stage at diagnosis from 1992 through 2018, or 93.8% of all CRC diagnoses from this time period. Table 1 contains tabulations of the numbers of individuals according to levels of the variables of interest, overall and within race and ethnic groups. There was at least 1 year of follow-up for 318 443 (79.8%) persons with CRC eligible at baseline.

One- and Five-Year Survival: All Persons With CRC

Adjusted 1- and 5-year cause-specific survival probabilities following CRC diagnosis by stage and year of diagnosis are shown in Figure 1. For those diagnosed with local stage CRC, the estimate of linear trend in 1-year survival probabilities was negligible, at -.01% ([95% Confidence Interval (CI)] -.03%-.01%) per year, with an average 1-year survival probability of 96.2% (93.8%-98.6%). Those diagnosed with regional stage CRC displayed a small improvement over time in 1-year survival probabilities, .06% (.001%-.12%) per year. Their average 1-year survival probability was 93.0% (90.5%-95.5%). For those diagnosed with distant stage CRC, there was a significant improvement in 1-year survival probabilities over time (P < .001), but there was evidence of departure from a linear trend (P = .04). The slope of the trend line suggested

		AIA	٩N	AP	1	Hispa	nic	NH	В	NHV	V	Tot	al
		N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total		3931	1.0	45 869	11.5	41 947	10.5	34 164	8.6	273 309	68.5	399 220	100.0
Sex	Male	1979	50.3	24 400	53.2	22 216	53.0	16 674	48.8	139 897	51.2	205 166	51.4
	Female	1952	49.7	21 469	46.8	19 731	47.0	17 490	51.2	133 412	48.8	194 054	48.6
Age	<40 years	214	5.4	1572	3.4	2415	5.8	1217	3.6	5999	2.2	11 417	2.9
C C	40-49 years	459	11.7	4070	8.9	4553	10.9	3401	10.0	16 466	6.0	28 949	7.3
	50-59 years	918	23.4	9241	20. I	9308	22.2	7813	22.9	41 018	15.0	68 298	17.1
	60-69 years	1042	26.5	11 404	24.9	10 656	25.4	9161	26.8	60 839	22.3	93 102	23.3
	70-79 years	865	22.0	11 407	24.9	9130	21.8	7772	22.7	78 108	28.6	107 282	26.9
	80+ years	433	11.0	8175	17.8	5885	14.0	4800	14.0	70 879	25.9	90 172	22.6
Summary stage	Localized	1520	38.7	19 182	41.8	16 575	39.5	13 491	39.5	115 254	42.2	166 022	41.6
, .	Regional	1491	37.9	17 833	38.9	16 159	38.5	12 001	35.I	103 896	38.0	151 380	37.9
	Distant	920	23.4	8854	19.3	9213	22.0	8672	25.4	54 59	19.8	81 818	20.5
Year of diagnosis	1992-95	348	8.9	4583	10.0	3623	8.6	4074	11.9	43 5	15.8	55 779	14.0
0	1996-99	454	11.6	5583	12.2	4514	10.8	4491	13.2	44 925	16.5	59 967	15.0
	2000-03	481	12.2	6710	14.6	5277	12.6	4959	14.5	44 343	16.2	61 770	15.4
	2004-07	587	14.9	7116	15.5	6368	15.2	5439	15.9	40 992	15.0	60 502	15.2
	2008-11	657	16.7	7811	17.0	7220	17.2	5607	16.4	38 192	13.9	59 487	14.9
	2012-15	778	19.8	7897	17.2	7997	19.0	5406	15.8	35 536	13.0	57 614	14.4
	2016-18	626	15.9	6169	13.4	6948	16.6	4188	12.3	26 170	9.5	44 101	11.0
Grade	I	375	9.5	3497	7.6	4335	10.3	3153	9.2	23 936	8.8	35 296	8.8
	II	2260	57.5	27 757	60.5	23 477	56.0	19 375	56.7	155 562	56.9	228 431	57.2
	III	448	11.4	6269	13.7	5753	13.7	4344	12.7	46 961	17.2	63 775	16.0
	IV	56	1.4	445	1.0	547	1.3	415	1.2	4394	1.6	5857	1.5
	Unknown	792	20.I	7901	17.2	7835	18.7	6877	20. I	42 456	15.5	65 861	16.5
Rural-urban	Metro counties	1500	38.2	40 557	88.4	38 814	92.5	33 186	97.I	228 179	83.5	342 236	85.7
continuum code	Non-metro counties (metro-adjacent)	383	9.7	112	0.2	1301	3.1	540	1.6	23 584	8.6	25 920	6.5
	Non-metro counties (not metro-adjacent)	396	10.1	1654	3.6	1691	4.0	410	1.2	20 426	7.5	24 577	6.2
	Alaska or Hawaii	1644	41.8	0	0.0	5	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1649	0.4
	Unknown	8	0.2	3546	7.7	136	0.3	28	0.1	1120	0.4	4838	1.2

Table 1. Characteristics of Patients With Colorectal Cancer From 1992 to 2018, by Racial and Ethnic Groups.

an improvement in survival probability of 1.0% (.8%-1.2%) per year in 2005, with instantaneous slopes suggesting greater gains, by .05% (.01%-.09%), for each year prior to 2005 and slower gains by that same amount for each year following 2005. Their average 1-year survival probability was 52.8% (48.1%-57.5%).

Those diagnosed with local stage CRC experienced improvements in 5-year cause-specific survival, with an average improvement of .16% (.10%-.21%) per year. Their average 5-year survival probability was 90.9% (87.9%-93.8%). Those diagnosed with regional stage CRC displayed significant improvement in 5-year survival probabilities over time (P < .001), but there was evidence of significant departure from a linear trend (P = .004), such that the slope of the trend line showed an improvement of .55% (.41%-.69%) per year in 2003, with instantaneous slopes suggested greater gains, by .08% (.04-.12%), for each year prior to 2003 and slower gains by that same amount for each year following 2003. Their average 5-year survival probability was 70.3% (66.5%-74.0%). For those diagnosed with distant stage CRC, there

was a significant but small improvement over time in 5-year survival probabilities, .15% (.5%-.25%) per year. Their average 5-year survival probability was 8.1% (4.4%-11.8%).

One-Year Survival by Race and Ethnicity

Estimates of adjusted 1-year cause-specific survival probabilities following diagnosis are shown for all race/ethnicity by stage combinations in Tables 2 and 3. Trends in 1-year survival for those of different races/ethnicities who were diagnosed at different stages of CRC differed significantly (P <.001, see Figure 2). For those diagnosed with localized stage CRC, 1-year survival probabilities were consistently high for individuals of all race and ethnic groups. AIAN persons experienced lower 1-year survival probabilities than those from other race and ethnic groups over the study period. Although due to lack of precision in the estimates, the difference was only significantly different when compared to API persons; average 1-year survival probabilities were 1.5 (.5-2.5) percentage points lower for AIAN than for API persons.



5



Figure 1. Trends in 1- and 5-year adjusted cause-specific survival probabilities for individuals diagnosed at different stages of colorectal cancer. Shaded bands reflect 95% prediction intervals for the year-specific survival probabilities.

For those diagnosed with regional stage CRC, trends in adjusted 1-year cause-specific survival probabilities similarly reflect little change over time, with the possible exception of an imprecisely-estimated positive trend over time among AIAN persons. Although there was little evidence of significant trends over time, there was a clear gradient in the average survival probabilities among those from different race and ethnic groups. AIAN persons had the lowest average survival probability (90.2%, 88.2%-92.2), followed by NHB, NHW, Hispanic, and API persons (93.2%, 92.6%-93.8%; 93.3%, 93.1%-93.5%; 94.2%, 93.8%-94.6%; and 94.8%, 94.4%-95.2%, respectively). All of these estimates were significantly different (all P < .01), except for the comparison between NHB and NHW persons (P = .83).

Those diagnosed with distant stage CRC experienced the biggest improvements in 1-year cause-specific survival probabilities over time. An increasing trend in 1-year survival probabilities is apparent for persons of all race and ethnic groups. For AIAN and NHB persons, the two groups with lowest initial 1-year survival probabilities, improvements continued at a consistent rate over time. For API, Hispanic, and NHW persons, there appears to be a slowing of the rate of improvement in the second half of the study period. The adjusted time-averaged survival probabilities were low: 46.6% (42.3%-50.9%) for NHB, 48.3% (37.9%-58.7%) for AIAN, 50.5% (48.5%-52.5%) for NHW, 58.1% (54.2%-62.0%) for Hispanic, and 58.3% (54.2%-62.4%) for API persons. All pairwise comparisons among these groups were statistically significant (all P < .01), except for differences between the two groups with the lowest (AIAN vs NHB, P =.87), and between the two groups with the highest (API vs Hispanic, P = .69), average adjusted 1-year cause-specific survival probabilities.

Five-Year Survival by Race and Ethnicity

Estimates of adjusted 5-year cause-specific survival probabilities are shown for all race/ethnicity by stage combinations in Tables 4 and 5. Neither the race/ethnicity by stage by year of diagnosis three-way interaction (P = .90) nor the two-way interaction between the year of diagnosis and race/ethnicity (P = .59) were statistically significant; there is not sufficient evidence to conclude that there are race- or ethnic-specific differences in 5-year survival trends either within or across stages of CRC at diagnosis. There were statistically significant interactions between race/ethnicity and stage (P < .001), and between stage and the year of diagnosis trends (P < .001) with respect to differences in 5-year survival (see Figure 3).

The trends reflect improvements in 5-year cause-specific survival probabilities over time for all CRC stages. The rate of improvement in 5-year survival probabilities over time was greatest for those with regional stage CRC, although the rate of improvement appears to be slowing in the second half of the study period. As there are no significantly different trends over time in adjusted 5-year survival probabilities by race or ethnicity, key differences among race and ethnic groups are best summarized by differences in their adjusted time-averaged survival probabilities. For those diagnosed at localized stage CRC, estimates of average adjusted 5-year survival probabilities were 86.9% (84.2%-89.6%) for AIAN, 90.2% (89.4%-91.0%) for NHB, 92.0% (91.8%-92.2%) for NHW, 92.1% (91.5%-92.7%) for Hispanic, and

Race/ethnicity Year of Dx Survival (95% Cl) Sur	al (95% Cl) 62.6-83.2) 68.2-88.0) 64.1-85.8)
ΔΙΔΝΙ 1992 ΙΔΟ (84.6-100) 91.6 (75.4-100) 27.0 (5.6-48.4) 72.9	62.6-83.2) 68.2-88.0) 64.1-85.8)
	68.2-88.0) 64.1-85.8)
AIAN 1996 96.9 (81.8-100) 95.7 (77.9-100) 41.8 (23.5-60.1) 78.1	64.1-85.8)
AIAN 2000 98.7 (83.6-100) 83.2 (65.9-100) 43.0 (20.0-66.0) 75.0	
AIAN 2004 96.7 (85.6-100) 96.4 (84.8-100) 51.8 (35.8-67.7) 81.6	74.1-89.2)
AIAN 2008 100 (86.4-100) 95.5 (83.0-100) 53.5 (35.5-71.5) 83.0	74.4-91.6)
AIAN 2012 100 (88.9-100) 91.6 (79.1-100) 52.7 (38.0-67.4) 81.4	74.0-88.8)
AIAN 2016 96.0 (85.5-100) 95.9 (85.6-100) 46.5 (33.4-59.6) 79.5	72.9-86.0)
AIAN 2018 100 (90.7-100) 99.3 (88.9-100) 83.5 (67.2-99.8) 94.3	87.1-100)
API 1992 99.5 (96.2-100) 94.6 (90.8-98.3) 46.5 (38.4-54.6) 80.2	77.0-83.3)
API 1996 99.4 (97.1-100) 95.8 (92.6-98.9) 56.5 (48.7-64.3) 83.9	81.0-86.8)
API 2000 99.2 (97.0-100) 97.9 (95.8-99.9) 52.8 (45.0-60.6) 83.3	80.5-86.1)
API 2004 99.6 (97.9-100) 97.3 (95.1-99.5) 68.6 (62.7-74.4) 88.5	86.3-90.6)
API 2008 99.7 (98.3-100) 98.4 (96.5-100) 59.5 (53.9-65.0) 85.9	83.9-87.9)
API 2012 99.4 (97.9-100) 96.9 (94.7-99.2) 55.8 (49.4-62.3) 84.1	81.7-86.4)
API 2016 99.1 (97.4-100) 98.4 (96.7-100) 57.6 (51.8-63.3) 85.0	82.9-87.1)
API 2018 99.6 (98.5-100) 97.8 (96.0-99.6) 69.1 (62.7-75.5) 88.8	86.6-91.1)
Hispanic 1992 97.0 (93.0-100) 96.7 (93.3-100) 55.6 (46.2-64.9) 83.1	79.5-86.7)
Hispanic 1996 98.8 (95.8-100) 96.1 (92.7-99.5) 43.4 (35.7-51.1) 79.4	76.4-82.4)
Hispanic 2000 99.2 (96.6-100) 96.3 (93.3-99.4) 47.0 (39.8-54.2) 80.8	78.1-83.6)
Hispanic 2004 98.9 (96.5-100) 95.8 (92.9-98.7) 57.8 (50.8-64.8) 84.2	81.5-86.8)
Hispanic 2008 99.1 (97.3-100) 96.2 (93.5-98.8) 60.7 (54.4-67.1) 85.3	83.0-87.7)
Hispanic 2012 99.2 (97.4-100) 95.8 (93.3-98.2) 71.6 (66.6-76.7) 88.9	86.9-90.8)
Hispanic 2016 98.9 (97.1-100) 98.2 (96.6-99.7) 69.0 (64.0-74.1) 88.7	86.9-90.6)
Hispanic 2018 99.6 (98.6-100) 97.8 (96.1-99.5) 58.8 (52.5-65.0) 85.4	83.2-87.6)
NHB 1992 98.0 (94.7-100) 93.7 (89.6-97.8) 38.5 (30.0-47.0) 76.7	73.4-80.1)
NHB 1996 98.2 (94.7-100) 96.3 (93-99.6) 30.1 (23.1-37.2) 74.9	72.0-77.7)
NHB 2000 97.3 (93.8-100) 93.2 (89.4-97.0) 34.5 (27.3-41.7) 75.0	72.0-77.9)
NHB 2004 98.4 (95.6-100) 97.0 (94.3-99.7) 37.0 (30.7-43.3) 77.5	75.0-79.9)
NHB 2008 98.6 (96.2-100) 93.3 (89.4-97.2) 51.4 (44.7-58.1) 81.1	78.4-83.8)
NHB 2012 99.5 (97.2-100) 93.6 (90.0-97.1) 68.5 (62.1-74.8) 87.2	84.7-89.7)
NHB 2016 99.5 (97.2-100) 96.0 (93.0-99.0) 53.1 (47.2-58.9) 82.9	80.5-85.2)
NHB 2018 99.3 (97.5-100) 97.0 (94.2-99.8) 57.1 (49.6-64.6) 84.5	81.7-87.2)
NHW 1992 98.6 (97.9-99.2) 94.6 (93.5-95.7) 38.0 (35.0-40.9) 77.0	76.0-78.1)
NHW 1996 98.9 (98.3-99.5) 96.1 (95.1-97.0) 36.7 (33.8-39.5) 77.2	76.2-78.2)
NHW 2000 99.0 (98.5-99.6) 94.3 (93.2-95.4) 40.9 (37.9-43.9) 78.1	77.0-79.1)
NHW 2004 98.9 (98.3-99.5) 96.1 (95.1-97.1) 52.6 (49.7-55.4) 82.5	81.5-83.6)
NHW 2008 99.2 (98.6-99.7) 97.0 (96.1-98.0) 58.6 (55.6-61.7) 85.0	83.9-86.0)
NHW 2012 98.9 (98.2-99.6) 97.2 (96.2-98.2) 55.2 (52.3-58.0) 83.8	82.7-84.8)
NHW 2016 99.1 (98.5-99.8) 96.9 (95.9-97.9) 60.7 (57.9-63.5) 85.6	84.6-86.6)
NHW 2018 98.7 (98.0-99.4) 97.5 (96.7-98.4) 59.0 (55.7-62.3) 85.1	83.9-86.3)

Table 2. Unadjusted I-Year Cause-Specific Survival Probabilities (%), by Race and Ethnicity, Stage, and Year of Diagnosis.

94.1% (93.5%-94.7%) for API. These differed significantly between all pairs of groups (all P < .04), except for the Hispanic vs NHW comparison (P = .56). For those diagnosed at regional stage CRC, estimates of average adjusted 5-year survival probabilities were 65.1% (62.2%-68.0%) for NHB, 67.5% (61.0%-74.0%) for AIAN, 70.5% (68.1%-72.9%) for Hispanic, 72.5% (71.5%-73.5%) for NHW, and 75.1% (72.9%-77.3%) for API persons. These differed significantly between all pairs of groups (all P < .05), except for the AIAN vs NHB comparison (P = .22). For those diagnosed at distant stage CRC, estimates of average adjusted 5-year survival probabilities were 4.6% (3.6%-5.6%) for NHB, 7.8% (6.6%-9.0%) for Hispanic, 8.5% (7.3%-9.7%) for API, 9.0% (5.5%-12.5%) for AIAN, and 9.6% (9.2%-10.0%) for NHW. The AIAN average did not differ significantly from those of the API, Hispanic, or NHW groups, nor did the API vs Hispanic averages. All others differed significantly (all P < .01).

7

Table 3. Adjusted I-Year	Cause-Specific Survival	Probabilities (%), b	by Race and Ethnicity,	Stage, and Year of Diagnosis.
--------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------

-		Localized	Regional	Distant	Overall
Race/ethnicity	Year of Dx	Survival (95% CI)	Survival (95% CI)	Survival (95% CI)	Survival (95% Cl)
AIAN	1992	97.5 (83.7-100)	89.8 (75.3-100)	25.4 (6.2-44.6)	70.9 (61.6-80.2)
AIAN	1996	95.6 (82.0-100)	93.2 (77.3-100)	41.9 (25.5-58.3)	76.9 (68.0-85.8)
AIAN	2000	97.0 (83.5-100)	82.5 (67.0-97.9)	42.0 (21.4-62.6)	73.8 (64.1-83.5)
AIAN	2004	95.3 (85.3-100)	94.2 (83.8-100)	50.4 (36.1-64.7)	80.0 (73.2-86.8)
AIAN	2008	97.5 (85.3-100)	92.4 (81.2-100)	51.6 (35.5-67.7)	80.5 (72.8-88.2)
AIAN	2012	97.5 (87.5-100)	89.9 (78.7-100)	50.2 (37.0-63.3)	79.2 (72.5-85.9)
AIAN	2016	93.4 (84.0-100)	94.1 (84.8-100)	45.0 (33.3-56.8)	77.5 (71.6-83.4)
AIAN	2018	96.7 (87.8-100)	96.9 (87.6-100)	64.2 (51.1-77.5)	85.9 (79.7-91.7)
API	1992	97.5 (94.5-100)	92.3 (88.9-95.7)	45.8 (38.6-53.1)	78.6 (75.7-81.4)
API	1996	97.4 (95.2-99.6)	93.4 (90.5-96.3)	55.6 (48.5-62.6)	82.1 (79.4-84.8)
API	2000	97.4 (95.3-99.5)	95.9 (93.9-97.9)	53.1 (46.1-60.1)	82.1 (79.6-84.7)
API	2004	97.8 (96.2-99.4)	94.6 (92.5-96.7)	67.1 (61.8-72.4)	86.5 (84.5-88.5)
API	2008	97.5 (96.0-98.9)	95.6 (93.7-97.4)	59.4 (54.4-64.4)	84.2 (82.2-86.1)
API	2012	96.8 (95.3-98.3)	94.6 (92.5-96.7)	56.1 (50.3-61.9)	82.5 (80.3-84.7)
API	2016	96.4 (94.8-98.0)	95.0 (93.4-96.6)	57.8 (52.6-63.0)	83.1 (81.1-85.0)
API	2018	97.9 (96.5-99.0)	96.1 (94.5-97.9)	63.8 (58.3-69.3)	86.0 (83.9-88.0)
Hispanic	1992	94.7 (91.1-98.4)	94.4 (91.3-97.5)	54.3 (45.9-62.7)	81.2 (77.9-84.4)
Hispanic	1996	96.8 (93.9-99.6)	94.2 (91.0-97.3)	42.9 (36.0-49.9)	77.9 (75.2-80.7)
Hispanic	2000	97.0 (94.6-99.4)	93.4 (90.6-96.2)	46.6 (40.1-53.0)	79.0 (76.4-81.5)
Hispanic	2004	97.2 (95.0-99.4)	93.3 (90.6-96.0)	57.1 (50.8-63.4)	82.5 (80.1-85.0)
Hispanic	2008	96.2 (94.5-97.9)	92 8 (90 4-95 3)	59.8 (54 1-65 5)	83.0 (80.8-85.2)
Hispanic	2012	96.0 (94 3-97 8)	92.9 (90.6-95.2)	69 3 (64 8-73 9)	86 1 (84 2-88 0)
Hispanic	2016	94.8 (93.0-96.5)	94 (92 5-95 6)	67 3 (62 8-71 9)	85.4 (83.6-87.2)
Hispanic	2018	96.8 (95.3-98.3)	95 3 (93 7-96 9)	63 4 (58 3-68 6)	85 2 (83 2-87 2)
NHB	1992	95 7 (92 6-98 7)	91 1 (87 3-94 8)	37.8 (30.2-45.4)	74.8 (71.8-77.9)
NHB	1996	96 6 (93 4-99 9)	93.8 (90.7-96.8)	30.8 (24.4-37.1)	73 7 (71 1-76 3)
NHB	2000	95.6 (92.5-98.8)	90 5 (87 L-94 0)	35.7 (29.2-42.2)	74.0 (71.2-76.7)
NHB	2000	95 9 (93 3-98 5)	94.0 (91.4-96.5)	38.9 (33.2-44.6)	763 (739-786)
NHB	2001	96 (93 9-98 3)	90.3 (86.8-93.9)	51.2 (45 1 57.2)	79.2 (76.7-81.7)
NHB	2000	96 6 (94 4-98 7)	90.6 (87.4-93.8)	66 7 (60 9-72 4)	84.6 (82.2-87.0)
NHB	2012	96 0 (93 9-98 2)	92.3 (89.6-95.1)	53 0 (47 7-58 3)	80 5 (78 3-82 6)
	2010	97 5 (95 4 99 1)	94.3 (01.7.97.1)	55 2 (49 2 41 3)	82 <i>A</i> (80 0 84 8)
	1992	96 8 (95 9 97 7)	92 8 (91 6 94 0)	39.2 (34.5.42.0)	74.3 (75 + 77.4)
	1994	96.0(75.7-77.7)	72.0 (71.0-74.0)	37.2 (30.3 + 72.0)	76.5 (75.1-77.7)
	2000	70.7 (75.7-77.0) 94 2 (95 5 97 2)	73.1 (72.1-74.2)	30.4 (33.0-41.1) 42 4 (29 4 45 1)	70.1 (75.0-77.2)
	2000	96.3 (93.3-97.2)	72.7 (71.2-73.0)	42.4 (37.6-43.1)	77.0 (73.7-76.2)
	2004	70.3 (73.0-77.3)	73.3(72.4-74.7)	53.5(50.0-50.1)	01.2 (00.0-02.3)
	2000	70.4 (73.6-7/.3)	73.0 (72.7-74.7)	50.0 (50.0-01.0)	03.0 (01.0-04.2)
	2012	75.7 (75.U-76.8)	73.7 (72.8-75.U)	55.7 (53.U-58.3)	δ1.8 (8U.7-83.0)
	2016	75.2 (74.3-76.0)	73.5 (72.4-74.6)	0U.4 (57.8-63.U)	83.0 (81.9-84.2)
NHW	2018	96.7 (95.8-97.6)	95.0 (93.95-96.I)	60.4 (57.6-63.3)	84.0 (82.9-85.3)

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to estimate and compare raceand ethnicity-specific 1- and 5-year CRC cause-specific survival trends, within the context of the stage at which the cancer was first detected. We utilized data from the SEER registries for persons diagnosed with CRC from 1992 to 2018 and estimated 1- and 5-year survival probabilities within groups of persons with CRC by race and ethnicity, stage of disease, and year of diagnosis. Study findings contribute to existing knowledge gaps regarding trends in survival by including estimates for an often-overlooked population: American Indian or Alaska Natives.

There are significant differences in the degree to which improvements in 1-year survival probabilities following stagespecific CRC are experienced according to a person's race and ethnicity. The estimates that we report specifically for AIAN and API subgroups contribute towards the limited literature focused on identifying survival trend differences for these racially minoritized populations. Study findings suggest that



Figure 2. Trends in 1-year adjusted survival probabilities for individuals from racial and ethnic subgroups diagnosed at different stages of colorectal cancer from 1992 through 2018.

AIAN individuals have the lowest 1-year survival probabilities compared to those of other races. Lower 1-year CRCspecific survival probabilities are also seen among NHB persons. In particular, these persons have the lowest average survival probabilities following the diagnosis of distant-stage CRC. Although differences among racial and ethnic groups have moderated somewhat over the study period, these disparities do not appear to have resolved completely.

There is established evidence that CRC screening can prevent or detect CRC early.^{27,28} For screening to be effective in improving outcomes, timely follow up of any abnormal test is necessary. Structural barriers (eg, lack of insurance or social support, racism and discrimination) to obtaining appropriate primary care services may play an important role in reducing the possibility for racially minoritized populations to receive guideline-compliant screening services.^{5,29-31} The fact that some studies conducted within the Veterans' Health Administration found no differences between White and Black persons in diagnostic follow up testing,^{32,33} suggests that access to appropriate structures and services may play an important role in appropriate post-screening follow-up for minoritized populations. As expected, the greatest differences in survival probabilities are apparent among individuals diagnosed at different stages of CRC. Although these patterns are largely similar among persons from different race and ethnic groups disparities in survival persist. Enhanced follow-up of abnormal results, may help overcome at least some of the persistent disparities in CRC survival probabilities among persons from different race and ethnic groups.

Other differences in access to and utilization of quality health care may also contribute to the observed differences of survival trends by race.³⁴⁻³⁶ Some studies have suggested NHB persons are less likely to receive surgical treatment and adjuvant chemotherapy.³⁵⁻³⁸ Social, structural and political determinants also contribute towards the likelihood of NHB and AIAN persons to be diagnosed with advanced stage CRC compared to NHW persons.^{39,40} This may further contribute to the lower survival probabilities observed in NHB persons with distant stage CRC.^{41,42} Racial and ethnic minoritized populations also experience disparities in terms of post-treatment surveillance and distinct baseline comorbidities, which further contribute to lower survival rates.^{34,35} Several studies continue to highlight that transportation barriers, cultural beliefs, fear and stigma about screening, and concerns about privacy issues are contributing factors to survival outcomes⁴³⁻⁴⁷ for persons with CRC.

Lifestyle and biological factors may also play a role in CRC risk and outcomes. For instance, lifestyle factors such as obesity, smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical inactivity have a higher prevalence among Black populations.⁴⁸ Also, genetic mutations and microsatellite instability can differ among racially and ethnically minoritized populations. All of these things can affect CRC development and prognosis,⁴⁹⁻⁵⁴ and may play into the disparities noted in this work.

There are several potential limitations in our analysis. First, we must acknowledge that this is a retrospective analysis of data from the SEER registries. However, SEER is a population-based resource which provides information about the most critical factors of interest, and we tried to control for these. Second, we cannot fully exclude the possibility that

y Race and Ethnicity, Stage, and Year of Diagnosis.							
Regional	Distant	Overall					
rival (95% CI)	Survival (95% CI)	Survival (95% Cl)					
l (40.1-76.0)	8.5 (0-29.1)	49.9 (39.3-60.5)					
7 (47.7-81.7)	13.4 (0-33.0)	55.2 (44.9-65.5)					
l (57.2-89.0)	1.6 (0-18.5)	53.3 (44.0-62.6)					
4 (56.5-84.3)	10.8 (0-33.9)	57.6 (47.7-67.6)					
6 (52.5-78.6)	23.0 (6.8-39.2)	61.9 (54.0-69.8)					
4 (70.7-96.1)	5.9 (0-22.7)	62.3 (54.0-70.6)					
E (EE 2 79 4)	95 (00 22 7)	55 4 (49 2 42 7)					

Table 4. Unadjusted 5-Year Cause-Specific Survival Probabilities (%), by Race

Localized

Race/ethnicity	Year of Dx	Survival (95% CI)	Survival (95% CI)	Survival (95% CI)	Survival (95% Cl)
AIAN	1992	83.2 (67.0-99.3)	58.1 (40.1-76.0)	8.5 (0-29.1)	49.9 (39.3-60.5)
AIAN	1995	87.5 (70.6-100)	64.7 (47.7-81.7)	13.4 (0-33.0)	55.2 (44.9-65.5)
AIAN	1998	85.4 (69.9-100)	73.1 (57.2-89.0)	1.6 (0-18.5)	53.3 (44.0-62.6)
AIAN	2002	91.8 (79.0-100)	70.4 (56.5-84.3)	10.8 (0-33.9)	57.6 (47.7-67.6)
AIAN	2005	97.1 (85.6-100)	65.6 (52.5-78.6)	23.0 (6.8-39.2)	61.9 (54.0-69.8)
AIAN	2008	97.5 (84.4-100)	83.4 (70.7-96.1)	5.9 (0-22.7)	62.3 (54.0-70.6)
AIAN	2011	89.3 (77.0-100)	67.5 (55.3-79.6)	9.5 (0.0-22.7)	55.4 (48.2-62.7)
AIAN	2014	96.5 (86.4-100)	71.4 (60.1-82.6)	15.1 (2.0-28.2)	61.0 (54.3-67.6)
API	1992	97.1 (93.4-100)	63.9 (57.9-70.0)	7.1 (.9-13.2)	56.0 (52.9-59.1)
API	1995	94.8 (91.1-98.4)	67.5 (62.0-73.0)	4.9 (0-10.7)	55.7 (52.8-58.6)
API	1998	96.1 (93.0-99.2)	76.8 (71.8-81.8)	7.9 (2.5-13.4)	60.3 (57.6-62.9)
API	2002	96.7 (94.3-99.1)	79.8 (75.4-84.1)	7.1 (2.5-11.8)	61.2 (58.9-63.5)
API	2005	96.5 (94.1-98.9)	80.0 (75.9-84.1)	9.8 (4.7-14.8)	62.1 (59.8-64.4)
API	2008	97.7 (95.7-99.7)	80.6 (76.3-85)	9.0 (4.1-13.9)	62.4 (60.1-64.7)
API	2011	96.7 (94.4-99.0)	76.7 (72.5-81.0)	8.4 (3.6-13.1)	60.6 (58.3-62.9)
API	2014	97.2 (95.0-99.4)	81.5 (77.6-85.5)	8.0 (3.8-12.1)	62.2 (60.2-64.3)
Hispanic	1992	92.6 (87.7-97.5)	58.1 (51.7-64.4)	6.7 (0-13.4)	52.4 (48.9-55.9)
Hispanic	1995	90.7 (85.5-95.8)	61.0 (54.6-67.4)	5.4 (0-11.4)	52.4 (49.0-55.8)
Hispanic	1998	94.3 (90.6-98.0)	72.7 (67.0-78.3)	5.5 (0-11.5)	57.5 (54.4-60.5)
Hispanic	2002	93.8 (90.1-97.4)	75.5 (70.3-80.8)	6.0 (.8-11.2)	58.4 (55.7-61.2)
Hispanic	2005	94.6 (91.6-97.6)	74.1 (69.3-78.9)	9.3 (4.1-14.5)	59.3 (56.8-61.9)
Hispanic	2008	96.0 (93.5-98.6)	74.0 (69.1-78.9)	6.3 (1.6-10.9)	58.8 (56.4-61.2)
Hispanic	2011	97.6 (95.5-99.6)	79 (74.6-83.3)	8.9 (4.6-13.1)	61.8 (59.6-63.9)
Hispanic	2014	96.9 (94.8-99)	75.2 (70.9-79.4)	9.4 (5.1-13.7)	60.5 (58.4-62.6)
NHB	1992	90.6 (85.7-95.4)	60.9 (54.1-67.7)	2.2 (0-6.8)	51.2 (48.1-54.4)
NHB	1995	91.3 (86.7-95.9)	63.8 (57.0-70.6)	4.3 (0-9.6)	53.1 (49.8-56.4)
NHB	1998	96.0 (92.5-99.4)	66.4 (60.0-72.9)	4.8 (0-9.8)	55.7 (52.8-58.7)
NHB	2002	89.9 (85.2-94.6)	71.6 (65.9-77.3)	4.5 (0-9.0)	55.3 (52.4-58.2)
NHB	2005	91.8 (87.9-95.7)	73.5 (67.7-79.3)	4.3 (.1-8.4)	56.5 (53.8-59.2)
NHB	2008	93.8 (90.4-97.1)	69.3 (63.3-75.3)	5.7 (1.4-10.1)	56.3 (53.6-59.0)
NHB	2011	93.7 (90.2-97.2)	68.7 (62.4-75.0)	5.4 (1.2-9.5)	55.9 (53.2-58.7)
NHB	2014	94.7 (91.4-98.0)	69.2 (63.1-75.4)	5.9 (1.7-10.1)	56.6 (53.9-59.3)
NHW	1992	92.4 (91.1-93.7)	64.4 (62.2-66.5)	4.8 (3.2-6.5)	53.9 (52.9-54.9)
NHW	1995	91.8 (90.5-93.2)	65.6 (63.3-67.8)	5.9 (4.2-7.6)	54.4 (53.4-55.5)
NHW	1998	93.2 (92-94.4)	67.8 (65.7-69.9)	4.2 (2.7-5.7)	55.1 (54.1-56)
NHW	2002	93.7 (92.6-94.9)	73.4 (71.3-75.5)	4.1 (2.7-5.5)	57.1 (56.2-58)
NHW	2005	95.3 (94.2-96.3)	77.7 (75.7-79.7)	5.1 (3.5-6.7)	59.4 (58.4-60.3)
NHW	2008	95.4 (94.4-96.4)	77.6 (75.6-79.7)	9.0 (7.0-11.1)	60.7 (59.7-61.7)
NHW	2011	96.1 (95.1-97.2)	78.8 (76.7-80.9)	4.8 (3.3-6.4)	59.9 (59.0-60.9)
NHW	2014	96.2 (95.1-97.3)	79.3 (77.2-81.4)	8.1 (6.2-10.1)	61.2 (60.2-62.2)

regional variations may contribute to the observed CRC survival probability estimates that average across SEER registries. Third, we chose to report on cause-specific survival, and this may be influenced by different practices in cause of death ascertainment. However, in sensitivity analyses performed using relative survival estimates we found that overall findings were largely concordant. This is similar to a report of data from Canada where differences in survival probabilities were noted, and that differences between First Nations and non-aboriginal persons were somewhat smaller for causespecific survival when compared to relative survival estimates.⁵⁵ Fourth, SEER data have relatively little information on comorbidities, access to care, and insurance status. Fifth, racial and ethnic classifications in medical records may reflect misclassification,⁵⁶ which may bias our estimates. Finally, SEER registries represent a subset of AIAN persons spanning the United States, but do not capture data from some regions with large AIAN populations, including those in Oklahoma, Arizona, or the Northern Plains and Great Lakes.⁵⁷ Even with these limitations, we are able to provide new data concerning

-		Localized	Regional	Distant	Overall
Race/ethnicity	Year of Dx	Survival (95% CI)	Survival (95% CI)	Survival (95% CI)	Survival (95% CI)
AIAN	1992	78.8 (64.3-93.4)	56.6 (40.4-72.7)	7.5 (.0-26.0)	47.6 (38.1-57.1)
AIAN	1995	85.5 (70.3-100)	62.1 (46.8-77.4)	13.3 (.0-31.0)	53.7 (44.4-63.0)
AIAN	1998	81.1 (67.1-95.0)	70.0 (55.6-84.3)	2.8 (.0-18.0)	51.3 (42.8-59.7)
AIAN	2002	89.4 (77.9-100)	68.8 (56.3-81.3)	8.2 (.0-29.1)	55.5 (46.5-64.5)
AIAN	2005	95.6 (85.2-100)	63.8 (52.0-75.6)	20.4 (5.8-35.0)	59.9 (52.7-67.1)
AIAN	2008	93.6 (81.8-100)	79.8 (68.3-91.2)	4.3 (.0-19.5)	59.2 (51.8-66.7)
AIAN	2011	84.9 (73.8-96.0)	65.4 (54.4-76.3)	7.3 (.0-19.2)	52.5 (46.0-59.1)
AIAN	2014	93.0 (84.0-100)	68.6 (58.4-78.7)	13.3 (1.5-25.1)	58.3 (52.3-64.3)
API	1992	95.4 (92.1-98.8)	63.1 (57.6-68.5)	6.5 (.9-12.0)	55.0 (52.1-57.9)
API	1995	92.3 (88.9-95.7)	67.1 (62.1-72.1)	6.4 (1.1-11.6)	55.3 (52.5-58.0)
API	1998	95.2 (92.4-98.I)	75.7 (71.2-80.2)	8.8 (3.8-13.7)	59.9 (57.4-62.4)
API	2002	94.5 (92.2-96.7)	78.1 (74.2-82.1)	7.9 (3.7-12.1)	60.2 (58.0-62.3)
API	2005	93.7 (91.4-96.0)	78.3 (74.6-82.1)	10.6 (6.0-15.2)	60.9 (58.6-63.1)
API	2008	95.3 (93.3-97.2)	78.9 (74.9-82.9)	10.7 (6.2-15.2)	61.6 (59.4-63.8)
API	2011	93.2 (90.9-95.4)	75.4 (71.5-79.3)	9.3 (5.0-13.7)	59.3 (57.1-61.5)
API	2014	92.6 (90.5-94.7)	78.9 (75.2-82.5)	11.6 (7.8-15.4)	61.0 (59.0-63.0)
Hispanic	1992	89.7 (85.3-94.2)	57.3 (51.5-63.0)	8.6 (2.5-14.8)	51.9 (48.6-55.1)
Hispanic	1995	88.8 (84.1-93.5)	60.2 (54.4-66.0)	5.6 (.2-11.0)	51.5 (48.4-54.7)
Hispanic	1998	91.2 (87.8-94.6)	71.2 (66.1-76.4)	6.5 (1.1-12.0)	56.3 (53.5-59.1)
Hispanic	2002	91.0 (87.7-94.4)	73.6 (68.8-78.4)	5.8 (1.0-10.5)	56.8 (54.2-59.4)
Hispanic	2005	91.5 (88.7-94.4)	72.7 (68.3-77.0)	8.8 (4.0-13.5)	57.7 (55.2-60.1)
Hispanic	2008	92.6 (90.2-95.0)	71.6 (67.2-76.1)	6.6 (2.3-10.8)	57.0 (54.7-59.2)
Hispanic	2011	94.6 (92.6-96.6)	76.7 (72.7-80.7)	8.4 (4.5-12.3)	59.9 (57.8-62.0)
Hispanic	2014	92.5 (90.4-94.5)	72.8 (68.9-76.7)	9.6 (5.7-13.6)	58.3 (56.2-60.4)
NHB	1992	87.5 (83.0-91.9)	59.6 (53.4-65.8)	1.3 (.0-5.5)	49.4 (46.5-52.4)
NHB	1995	89.5 (85.2-93.7)	62.3 (56.2-68.5)	3.4 (.0-8.3)	51.7 (48.7-54.8)
NHB	1998	92.7 (89.5-95.9)	64.6 (58.7-70.4)	5.4 (.9-10.0)	54.2 (51.5-57.0)
NHB	2002	86.8 (82.5-91.0)	69.7 (64.5-74.9)	4.2 (.0-8.4)	53.6 (50.8-56.3)
NHB	2005	89.0 (85.4-92.6)	71.5 (66.2-76.8)	3.7 (.0-7.5)	54.7 (52.2-57.3)
NHB	2008	90.0 (86.9-93.2)	67.7 (62.2-73.1)	6.2 (2.1-10.2)	54.6 (52.1-57.2)
NHB	2011	90.0 (86.7-93.2)	66.8 (61.1-72.6)	4.0 (.1-7.8)	53.6 (51.0-56.2)
NHB	2014	90.9 (87.8-94.0)	68.0 (62.4-73.6)	6.1 (2.2-10.0)	55.0 (52.4-57.6)
NHW	1992	90.7 (89.3-92.1)	65.1 (63.0-67.2)	7.3 (5.7-9.0)	54.4 (53.2-55.6)
NHW	1995	90.5 (89.1-92.0)	66.4 (64.3-68.6)	8.0 (6.3-9.8)	55.0 (53.8-56.2)
NHW	1998	91.1 (89.8-92.4)	68.6 (66.6-70.7)	9.4 (7.8-10.9)	56.4 (55.2-57.5)
NHW	2002	92.1 (90.8-93.4)	73.5 (71.5-75.6)	8.7 (7.2-10.2)	58.1 (57.0-59.3)
NHW	2005	92.3 (91.0-93.5)	77.1 (75.1-79.0)	10.7 (9.0-12.3)	60.0 (58.9-61 1)
NHW	2008	92.7 (91 5-93 9)	77.1 (75.0-79.1)	2.3 (10.3-14.3)	60.7 (59 5-61 9)
NHW	2011	93.5 (92.2-94.7)	78.0 (75.9-80.0)	10.7 (9.1-12.3)	60.7 (59.6-61.9)
NHW	2014	92.3 (91.1-93.6)	77.7 (75.7-79.8)	13.2 (11.3-15.1)	61.1 (59.9-62.3)

Table 5. Adjusted 5-Year Cause-Specific Survival Probabilities (%), by Race and Ethnicity, Stage, and Year of Diagnosis.

trends in CRC survival over time for multiple racial and ethnic groups in the United States, according to their stage at diagnosis.

In conclusion, we present trends in 1- and 5-year CRC cause-specific survival probabilities for persons of five racial and ethnic groups. These estimates of, and trends in, survival probabilities for groups of minoritized races and ethnicities may enable the development of a more complete picture of CRC prognosis. We have identified significant differences in the race- and ethnic-specific trends in 1-year stage-specific

CRC survival probabilities. In particular, AIAN persons have historically experienced poorer CRC prognosis, as have NHB individuals. Although these disparities appear to be lessening somewhat, the current differences in survival probabilities continue to call for further work in order to erase them completely. Trends in 1-year survival probabilities are significantly different among those diagnosed at different stages of CRC. This, coupled with the finding that the distribution of stages at diagnosis appears to differ among persons of different racial and ethnic groups, suggests that prioritizing



Figure 3. Trends in 5-year adjusted survival probabilities for individuals from racial and ethnic subgroups diagnosed at different stages of colorectal cancer from 1992 through 2014.

specific stages of disease at diagnosis may provide 1 avenue that may help us to overcome race- and ethnic-specific disparities in CRC-specific survival probability. This also argues for a potential need to improve screening for CRC, as this may influence the distribution of stages at which it is diagnosed. Future research should strive to capture cancer incidence and survival information from all key racial and ethnic subgroups. Future efforts should also incorporate and evaluate multi-level interventions at the individual, structural, and policy levels to address the persistent disparities in CRC survival.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the NIH/NCI (P30 CA118100, P30 CA118100-16S4, and R01 CA192967).

ORCID iDs

Vernon S. Pankratz () https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3742-040X Prajakta Adsul () https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2860-4378

References

 Siegel RL. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2020. *Ca-Cancer J Clin*. 2020;70:145-164.

- American Cancer Society. Colorectal Cancer Facts & Figures 2020-2022; 2017. https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancerorg/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/colorectal-cancer-factsand-figures/colorectal-cancer-facts-and-figures-2020-2022.pdf
- 3. National Cancer Institute. *Cancer Stat Facts: Colorectal Cancer*. https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/colorect.html
- Chu KC, Tarone RE, Chow W-H, Alexander GA. Colorectal cancer trends by race and anatomic subsites, 1975 to 1991. *Arch Fam Med.* 1995;4:849.
- Edwards BK, Ward E, Kohler BA, et al. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1975-2006, featuring colorectal cancer trends and impact of interventions (risk factors, screening, and treatment) to reduce future rates. *Cancer*. 2010; 116:544-573.
- Ries LA, Wingo PA, Miller DS, et al. The annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1973–1997, with a special section on colorectal cancer. *Cancer*. 2000;88:2398-2424.
- Robbins AS, Siegel RL, Jemal A. Racial disparities in stagespecific colorectal cancer mortality rates from 1985 to 2008. J *Clin Oncol.* 2012;30:401-405.
- Soneji S, Iyer SS, Armstrong K, Asch DA. Racial disparities in stage-specific colorectal cancer mortality: 1960–2005. *Am J Publ Health*. 2010;100:1912-1916.
- Phipps AI, Scoggins J, Rossing MA, Li CI, Newcomb PA. Temporal trends in incidence and mortality rates for colorectal cancer by tumor location: 1975–2007. *Am J Publ Health*. 2012; 102:1791-1797.
- Troisi RJ, Freedman AN, Devesa SS. Incidence of colorectal carcinoma in the US: An update of trends by gender, race, age, subsite, and stage. *Cancer Interdiscip Int J Am Cancer Soc*. 1999;85:1670-1676.

- Gomez SL, O'Malley CD, Stroup A, Shema SJ, Satariano WA. Longitudinal, population-based study of racial/ethnic differences in colorectal cancer survival: impact of neighborhood socioeconomic status, treatment and comorbidity. *BMC Cancer*. 2007;7:1-19.
- Kauh J, Brawley OW, Berger M. Racial disparities in colorectal cancer. *Curr Probl Cancer*. 2007;31:123-133.
- Enewold L, Horner M-J, Shriver CD, Zhu K. Socioeconomic disparities in colorectal cancer mortality in the United States, 1990–2007. *J Community Health*. 2014;39:760-766.
- Lai Y, Wang C, Civan JM, et al. Effects of cancer stage and treatment differences on racial disparities in survival from colon cancer: A United States population-based study. *Gastroenterology*. 2016;150:1135-1146.
- Silber JH, Rosenbaum PR, Ross RN, et al. Racial disparities in colon cancer survival: A matched cohort study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014;161:845-854.
- Hao Y, Jemal A, Zhang X, Ward EM. Trends in colorectal cancer incidence rates by age, race/ethnicity, and indices of access to medical care, 1995–2004 (United States). *Cancer Causes Control.* 2009;20:1855-1863.
- Tawk R, Abner A, Ashford A, Brown CP. Differences in colorectal cancer outcomes by race and insurance. *Int J Environ Res Publ Health*. 2016;13:48.
- Liang PS, Mayer JD, Wakefield J, Ko CW. Temporal trends in geographic and sociodemographic disparities in colorectal cancer among medicare patients, 1973-2010. *J Rural Health*. 2017;33:361-370.
- Ansa BE, Coughlin SS, Alema-Mensah E, Smith SA. Evaluation of colorectal cancer incidence trends in the United States (2000–2014). J Clin Med. 2018;7:22.
- Perdue DG, Haverkamp D, Perkins C, Daley CM, Provost E. Geographic variation in colorectal cancer incidence and mortality, age of onset, and stage at diagnosis among American Indian and Alaska Native people, 1990–2009. *Am J Publ Health.* 2014;104:S404-S414.
- Chao A, Gilliland FD, Hunt WC, et al. Increasing incidence of colon and rectal cancer among Hispanics and American Indians in New Mexico (United States), 1969-94. *Cancer Causes Control.* 1998;9:137-144.
- Simon MS, Thomson CA, Pettijohn E, et al. Racial differences in colorectal cancer incidence and mortality in the Women's Health Initiative. *Cancer Epidemiol Prev Biomark*. 2011;20: 1368-1378.
- National Cancer Institute Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results Program. Overview of the SEER Program; 2018. https:// seer.cancer.gov/about/overview.html
- National Cancer Institute. Registry Groupings in SEER Data and Statistics; 2018. https://seer.cancer.gov/registries/terms. html
- Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, et al. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) statement. *PLoS Med.* 2015;12: e1001885.

- 26. Surveillance Research Program. National Cancer Institute SEER*Stat software. www.seer.cancer.gov/seerstat www.seer. cancer.gov/seerstat
- Elmunzer BJ, Singal AG, Sussman JB, et al. Comparing the effectiveness of competing tests for reducing colorectal cancer mortality: a network meta-analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2015; 81:700-709.
- Pan J, Xin L, Ma Y-F, Hu L-H, Li Z-S. Colonoscopy reduces colorectal cancer incidence and mortality in patients with nonmalignant findings: a meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2016; 111:355.
- Haverkamp D, English K, Jacobs-Wingo J, Tjemsland A, Espey D. Peer reviewed: Effectiveness of interventions to increase colorectal cancer screening among American Indians and Alaska Natives. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2020;17.
- Inadomi JM, Vijan S, Janz NK, et al. Adherence to colorectal cancer screening: A randomized clinical trial of competing strategies. *Arch Intern Med.* 2012;172:575-582.
- Siegel R, DeSantis C, Jemal A. Colorectal cancer statistics. CACancer J Clin. 2014;64:104-117.
- Etzioni DA, Yano EM, Rubenstein LA, et al. Measuring the quality of colorectal cancer screening: the importance of followup. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2006;49:1002-1010.
- 33. Partin MR, Gravely AA, Burgess J, et al. Contribution of patient, physician, and environmental factors to demographic and health variation in colonoscopy follow-up for abnormal colorectal cancer screening test results. *Cancer*. 2017;123:3502-3512.
- Gomez SL, O'Malley CD, Stroup A, Shema SJ, Satariano WA. Longitudinal, population-based study of racial/ethnic differences in colorectal cancer survival: Impact of neighborhood socioeconomic status, treatment and comorbidity. *BMC Cancer*. 2007;7:1-19.
- Gross CP, Smith BD, Wolf E, Andersen M. Racial disparities in cancer therapy: Did the gap narrow between 1992 and 2002? *Cancer*. 2008;112:900-908.
- Potosky AL, Harlan LC, Kaplan RS, Johnson KA, Lynch CF. Age, sex, and racial differences in the use of standard adjuvant therapy for colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20:1192-1202.
- Baldwin L-M. Explaining black–white differences in receipt of recommended colon cancer treatment. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2005; 97:1211-1220.
- Demissie K, Oluwole OO, Balasubramanian BA, et al. Racial differences in the treatment of colorectal cancer: A comparison of surgical and radiation therapy between Whites and Blacks. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2004;14:215-221.
- Chien C, Morimoto LM, Tom J, Li CI. Differences in colorectal carcinoma stage and survival by race and ethnicity. *Cancer Interdiscip Int J Am Cancer Soc.* 2005;104:629-639.
- 40. Wu XC, Chen VW, Steele B, et al. Subsite-specific incidence rate and stage of disease in colorectal cancer by race, gender, and age group in the United States, 1992–1997. *Cancer Interdiscip Int J Am Cancer Soc.* 2001;92:2547-2554.
- 41. Lansdorp-Vogelaar I, Kuntz KM, Knudsen AB, et al. Contribution of screening and survival differences to racial disparities

in colorectal cancer rates. *Cancer Epidemiol Prev Biomark*. 2012;21:728-736.

- 42. Valeri L. The role of stage at diagnosis in colorectal cancer black–white survival disparities: a counterfactual causal inference approach. *Cancer Epidemiol Prev Biomark.* 2016;25: 83-89.
- Daley CM, James AS, Filippi M, et al. American Indian community leader and provider views of needs and barriers to colorectal cancer screening. *J Health Disparities Res Pract*. 2012;5:2.
- 44. Filippi MK, James AS, Brokenleg S, et al. Views, barriers, and suggestions for colorectal cancer screening among American Indian women older than 50 years in the Midwest. *J Prim Care Community Health.* 2013;4:160-166.
- James AS, Filippi MK, Pacheco CM, et al. Barriers to colorectal cancer screening among American Indian men aged 50 or older, Kansas and Missouri, 2006–2008. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2013;10: E170.
- Pandhi N, Guadagnolo BA, Kanekar S, Petereit DG, Smith MA. Cancer screening in native Americans from the Northern Plains. *Am J Prev Med.* 2010;38:389-395.
- Schumacher MC, Slattery ML, Lanier AP, et al. Prevalence and predictors of cancer screening among American Indian and Alaska native people: The EARTH study. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2008;19:725-737.
- National Cancer InstituteNIH, DHHS. Cancer Trends Progress Report; 2021. https://progressreport.cancer.gov/
- 49. Fariña-Sarasqueta AV, van Lijnschoten G, Moerland E, et al. The BRAF V600E mutation is an independent prognostic factor for

survival in stage II and stage III colon cancer patients. *Ann Oncol.* 2010;21:2396-2402.

- Gavin PG, Colangelo LH, Fumagalli D, et al. Mutation profiling and microsatellite instability in stage II and III colon cancer: an assessment of their prognostic and oxaliplatin predictive value. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2012;18:6531-6541.
- Lindblom A. Different mechanisms in the tumorigenesis of proximal and distal colon cancers. *Curr Opin Oncol.* 2001;13:63-69.
- Nayani R, Ashktorab H, Brim H, Laiyemo AO. Genetic basis for colorectal cancer disparities. *Curr Colorectal Cancer Rep.* 2015; 11:408-413.
- Whitworth A. New research suggests access, genetic differences play role in high minority cancer death rate. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2006;98:669-669.
- Yoon HH, Shi Q, Alberts SR, et al. Racial differences in BRAF/ KRAS mutation rates and survival in stage III colon cancer patients. JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst. 2015;107.
- 55. Withrow DR, Pole JD, Nishri ED, Tjepkema M, Marrett LD. Choice of relative or cause-specific approach to cancer survival analysis impacts estimates differentially by cancer type, population, and application: Evidence from a Canadian populationbased cohort study. *Popul Health Metrics*. 2017;15:1-9.
- Atekruse SF, Cosgrove C, Cronin K, Yu M. Comparing cancer registry abstracted and self-reported data on race and ethnicity. J *Regist Manag.* 2017;44:30-33.
- Melkonian SC, Weir HK, Jim MA, et al. Incidence of and trends in the leading cancers with elevated incidence among American Indian and Alaska Native populations, 2012-2016. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2021;190:528-538.