



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Psychological and Cognitive Functioning Among Patients Receiving Outpatient Rehabilitation for post-COVID sequelae: An Observational Study

Benjamin A. Abramoff MD , Timothy Dillingham MD ,
Lily A. Brown PhD , Frank Caldera MD , Mitra McLartney MD ,
Emily McGinley MS , Liliana E. Pezzin PhD JD

PII: S0003-9993(22)01614-8
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2022.09.013>
Reference: YAPMR 58643



To appear in: *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*

Received date: 5 October 2021
Revised date: 2 September 2022
Accepted date: 4 September 2022

Please cite this article as: Benjamin A. Abramoff MD , Timothy Dillingham MD , Lily A. Brown PhD , Frank Caldera MD , Mitra McLartney MD , Emily McGinley MS , Liliana E. Pezzin PhD JD , Psychological and Cognitive Functioning Among Patients Receiving Outpatient Rehabilitation for post-COVID sequelae: An Observational Study, *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* (2022), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2022.09.013>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Psychological and Cognitive Functioning Among Patients Receiving Outpatient Rehabilitation for post-COVID sequelae: An Observational Study

Benjamin A. Abramoff MD

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
University of Pennsylvania- Perelman School of Medicine

Timothy Dillingham MD

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
University of Pennsylvania- Perelman School of Medicine

Lily A. Brown PhD

Department of Psychiatry
University of Pennsylvania- Perelman School of Medicine

Frank Caldera MD

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
University of Pennsylvania- Perelman School of Medicine

Mitra McLartney MD

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
University of Pennsylvania- Perelman School of Medicine

Emily McGinley, MS

Center for Advancing Population Sciences
Medical College of Wisconsin

Liliana E. Pezzin PhD JD

Institute for Health and Equity and Collaborative for Healthcare Delivery Science
Medical College of Wisconsin

Running Head: Psychological Functioning of Long COVID Patients

Source of funding: This research was supported in part by a research grant from the National Institute on Aging (NIA) to Drs. Dillingham and Pezzin (5-R01-AG058718).

Corresponding Author: Liliana E. Pezzin PhD JD; Medical College of Wisconsin, Institute for Health and Equity, 8701 Watertown Plan Road, Milwaukee, WI, 53226; lpezzin@mcw.edu

Abstract

Objectives: To describe the characteristics of individuals receiving outpatient rehabilitation for post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC). Further, to

examine factors associated with variation in their psychological and cognitive functioning and health-related quality of life.

Design: Observational study.

Setting: Outpatient COVID-19 recovery clinic at a large, tertiary, urban health system in the U.S.

Participants: COVID-19 survivors with persistent sequelae (n=324).

Interventions: Not applicable.

Main Outcome Measures: Multivariable logistic and linear regression models were used to examine factors associated with COVID survivors' experience of severe anxiety, severe depression, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), cognitive impairment, and self-reported health-related quality of life.

Results: About 38% of survivors seeking care for their persistent COVID symptoms suffered from severe anxiety, 31.8% from severe depression, 43% experiencing moderate to severe PTSD symptomology, and 17.5% had cognitive impairment. Their health-related quality of life was substantially lower than that of the general population (-26%) and of persons with other chronic conditions. Poor and African American/Black individuals experienced worse psychological and cognitive sequelae following COVID19 infection, even after controlling for age, gender, initial severity of the acute infection, and time since diagnosis.

Conclusions: Evidence of consistent disparities in outcomes by the patients' race and socioeconomic status, even among those with access to post-acute COVID rehabilitation care, are concerning and have significant implications for PASC policy and program development.

Keywords: COVID-19, Disabled Persons, Outcome Assessment, Healthcare

List of Abbreviations

COVID-19 = Coronavirus Disease 2019

PASC=post-acute sequelae of SARS-Cov-2 infection

HADS-A =Hospital Anxiety Scale

HADS-D =Hospital Depression Scale

IES-6 = Impact of Event Scale

PTSD = Post-traumatic Stress Disorder

MOCA= Montreal Cognitive Assessment

EuroQoL = European Quality of Life Scale

OR= Odds ratio

SD= Standard Deviation

IQR= Interquartile Range

Journal Pre-proof

Individuals with COVID-19 often continue to have persistent symptoms in the weeks to months following the resolution of their acute illness. Conservative estimates indicate that these persistent symptoms, referred to collectively as post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC), affect about 10-30% of individuals with COVID-19 and can occur despite a relatively mild initial illness.¹⁻¹⁶ PASC, which afflicts individuals of all age groups,¹⁴ genders,⁹ and races, are long-lasting and can continue beyond 7 months following resolution of their acute viral infection.¹⁵⁻¹⁶

Symptoms of PASC are diverse, ranging from pulmonary to cardiac, musculoskeletal, dermatologic, and gastrointestinal. Some of the most prevalent ongoing symptoms, however, are neurologic and psychological including cognitive dysfunction, anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder.^{1-5; 10-16} Given concerns about their potentially high burden for the large and growing number of individuals with PASC,¹⁷⁻¹⁸ the Society of Critical Care Medicine,¹⁹ the International Multi-professional Steering Committee of Cochrane Rehabilitation for COVID-19,²⁰⁻²¹ and the U.S. Multi-disciplinary Collaborative Task Force developing consensus statements for post-COVID rehabilitation²² recommend evaluation and treatment of such neuropsychological outcomes for all persons presenting with persistent post-COVID symptoms.

Rehabilitation services provided in an outpatient setting are potentially well-suited to mitigate the debilitating sequelae of COVID-19 and related complications.²³⁻²⁶ Notwithstanding their benefit and despite notable exceptions,²⁷⁻²⁹ relatively limited data are available on the sociodemographic, psychological, and cognitive characteristics of patients with PASC who present for rehabilitation.

The purpose of this study was to describe the sociodemographic characteristics and psychological and cognitive presenting complaints of a population of COVID-19 survivors receiving

outpatient rehabilitation at a racially diverse, urban COVID recovery clinic. We also examined the association between patient characteristics and the presence of severe, persistent psychological and cognitive sequelae and resulting health-related quality of life, with a special focus on the role of time since COVID diagnosis and severity of the acute illness. We hypothesize that both duration of symptoms and severity of the acute infection would be positively associated with the incidence of COVID-19 cognitive sequelae.

Methods

Setting. The study was performed at one of the first U.S. post-COVID Assessment and Recovery Clinics within the University of Pennsylvania Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, an academic rehabilitation facility located in Philadelphia, PA. This work was done under the University of Pennsylvania IRB through an approved research protocol to analyze de-identified, archival data.

Study Design and Variable Definitions. This observational cohort study includes all consecutive, unique patients receiving treatment at the study health system post-COVID clinic from June 2020 to April 2021 to address their PASC symptoms.

Data are drawn from a combination of medical records and structured surveys conducted at the time of the initial visit. Demographic characteristics include age, gender, race/ethnicity (coded as Black/African American, Hispanic, White, and a residual category of Other Race/ethnicity, which included persons self-identified as Asian, Pacific Islander, Alaska Native, and a small number of observations with unreported race/ethnicity), and health insurance coverage status (coded as

Medicare, Medicaid, private/commercial, or other insurance). As proxies for the severity of the acute SARS-CoV-2 infection, we collected information on whether the patient was hospitalized or admitted to an intensive unit for COVID-19. Finally, we calculated weeks since the diagnosis based on the date of the first positive COVID test and the date of the initial post-COVID clinic visit. Patients with symptoms persisting longer than 12 weeks were classified as experiencing post-COVID syndrome, according to the conventional criterion.²⁸

Outcome Measures. All patients underwent the same standardized assessment, which included a structured survey of COVID-related symptoms and associated impairments. Measures were selected based on the U.S. Society of Critical Care Medicine as well as recommendations from the Multi-disciplinary Collaborative Consensus task force for assessment of symptoms in patients with post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection.^{19,22} The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scales (HADS-A and HADS-D),³⁰⁻³¹ each a validated 14-item assessment, were used to identify anxiety and depression, respectively, while the Impact of Event Scale (IES-6), a widely-used epidemiological screening tool for post-traumatic stress disorder, was used to assess the extent of COVID-related traumatic experience.³²⁻³³ Cognitive functioning was assessed by trained clinical staff using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA-Blind),³⁴ a 12-item assessment of global cognitive performance designed to detect mild cognitive dysfunction. Finally, health-related quality of life was assessed using the visual analog scale (0-100) of the preference-based EuroQoL instrument.³⁵⁻³⁶ Consistent with established thresholds that identify clinically meaningful dysfunction, patients were coded as having severe anxiety if they scored > 8 in the HADS-A score >8 scale; severe depression if they scored >8 in

the HADS-D scale, and PTSD if they scored >1.75 in the IES-6 scale. Patients were coded as experiencing cognitive impairment if they scored <18 in the education-adjusted MOCA-blind scale.

Data Analysis. The sample was characterized by means (and standard deviation, SD) and median (and interquartile range, IQR) for normally and nonnormally distributed data, respectively. For binary variables, including the prevalence of severe depression, severe anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder, and cognitive impairment, we report the number and percentage of patients experiencing those symptoms. With exception of the continuous measure of health-related quality of life for which we applied ordinary linear regression analysis, a multivariable logistic specification was used to examine factors associated with the presence of each outcome.

Results

Sample Characteristics

Of the 324 patients assessed at the study health system's post-COVID clinic between June 2020 and April 2021, the majority (58.6%) were younger than 50 years old and were women (68.8%; Table 1). Approximately 16% were African American, 5.2% were of Latino or Hispanic descent, and 10.5% were of other races. Nearly one in eight had less than a high school education, and about 9.1% were living under the poverty threshold as proxied by Medicaid enrollment.

More than one-third of the sample scored in the range endorsing dysfunction in each of the four psychological and cognitive metrics, with 123 (37.9%) suffering from severe anxiety, 103 (31.8%) having symptoms consistent with severe depression, 138 (43%) experiencing moderate to severe

PTSD symptomology, and 52 (17.5%) having cognitive impairment. The right panel of Table 1 shows the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of these patients, by outcomes.

Although there were no marked differences in the demographic characteristics of COVID survivors experiencing severe depression, severe anxiety, or moderate-to-severe PTSD symptoms, a higher proportion of individuals experiencing cognitive dysfunction was older, female, and African American/Black than those without cognitive dysfunction. Of note, about 30% of those with severe depression and 38% of those scoring in the cognitive impairment range of the assessment tools had been hospitalized for COVID, compared to 17.9% and 21% among those with severe anxiety and PTSD.

In Table 2, we present the patients' unadjusted health-related quality of life as well as their psychological and cognitive functioning at the assessment visit, overall and by (tertiles of) time since COVID diagnosis and severity of the acute viral infection, proxied by hospitalization.

Less than one-quarter (22.8%) of the full sample had been hospitalized for COVID-19 during their acute infection. The mean number of weeks since diagnosis among all patients was 21.9 (SD = 12.7), range 13 to 51 weeks. Nearly 70% (n = 225) met the criteria for PASC with symptoms persisting longer than 12 weeks since disease diagnosis. As seen in Figure 1, about one-third of all patients experienced symptoms lasting more than 30 weeks.

The mean health-related quality of life for the overall sample was 59.5 (SD = 21.1). Across all measures, outcomes were generally more prevalent among patients with symptoms lasting more than 12 weeks although there were no marked differences among patients in the top two tertiles of the COVID symptoms duration distribution (i.e., 12-29 and 30+ weeks since onset). With increasing severity of the acute SARS-CoV-2 infection, more problems were reported on all dimensions except

anxiety for which a substantially smaller proportion of the hospitalized sample scored in the range of severe symptoms (29.7%) as compared to non-hospitalized COVID survivors (40.7%).

Factors Associated with Quality of Life, Psychological and Cognitive Functioning

Table 3 presents the results from our multivariable regression models.

After controlling for sociodemographic characteristics and other potential confounders, time since COVID-19 diagnosis was significantly associated with lower health-related quality of life: -5.49 [95% CI -11.19 to -0.22, $p=0.03$] and -5.12 [95%CI -11.0 to -0.95, $p=0.05$] points for persons in the 12-29 weeks and >30 weeks since COVID diagnosis, respectively). With the exception of a marginally higher likelihood of PTSD symptoms (OR=1.71; 95% CI 0.96 to 3.07, $p=0.07$) among those in the 12-29 weeks since COVID diagnosis, time since acute viral infection was not associated with patients' experience of other severe mental health, psychological, or cognitive impairments.

The severity of the acute infection, proxied by whether the person was hospitalized for COVID was associated with an increased likelihood of severe depression (OR= 1.60, 95% CI 0.89 to 2.88, $p=0.06$) and cognitive impairment (OR=1.92, 95% CI 1.09 to 4.11, $p=0.05$). In contrast, the severity of acute infection was not associated with health-related quality of life or experience of moderate to severe PTSD symptoms. Consistent with the unadjusted differences, hospitalization had a marginally protective effect on the patients' experience of severe anxiety (OR=0.57, 95% CI 0.31 to 1.06, $p=0.07$).

The results also revealed a consistent negative association between poverty and, to a lesser extent Black race and psychological and cognitive functioning among COVID survivors. Controlling for severity and duration of disease as well as other potential confounders, the health-related quality of life of Medicaid beneficiaries (i.e., those patients with income and assets low enough to qualify for

the indigent U.S. public health insurance program, Medicaid) was 13.8 points lower than that of privately-insured COVID survivor counterparts. Medicaid beneficiaries were also 3 times (OR=3.33, 95% CI 1.42 to 7.80, $p<0.001$) more likely to experience severe anxiety, almost twice (OR=1.94, 95% CI 1.10 to 4.39, $p=0.04$) as likely to experience severe depression and nearly 5 times (OR=4.83, 95% CI 1.84 to 12.68, $p<0.001$) as likely to have moderate to severe PTSD symptoms than commercially-insured patients. African American/Black COVID survivors were 1.8 times more likely than white COVID patients to experience severe anxiety (OR=1.77, 95% CI 1.01 to 3.46, $p=0.05$), nearly two and a half times more likely to have PTSD symptoms (OR=2.43, 95% CI 1.22, to 4.84, $p<0.01$), and twice as likely to have cognitive impairment (OR=2.02, 95%CI 1.06 to 4.55, $p=0.05$).

With the exception of a higher likelihood of cognitive impairment among older patients, age and sex were not associated with any of the outcomes considered here once other factors were accounted for. Finally, in variants of the main models in which we included interaction terms between certain sociodemographic characteristics, such as race and poverty, and time since diagnosis or severity of initial infection revealed no statistically significant modifying effects.

Discussion

Despite the growing number of studies describing the characteristics and functioning of patients undergoing inpatient rehabilitation following hospitalization with COVID-19 in the United States and elsewhere, little is known about patients seeking care at the emerging outpatient post-COVID clinics. Our study contributes to this incipient literature.

Our findings indicate that the health-related quality of life of survivors seeking care for their persistent COVID symptoms is rather low when compared not only to the general population. The mean health-related quality of life for the overall sample was 59.5, nearly 21 points, or 26%, below the normal threshold of 80.4 for the general US population.³⁸ This value is also markedly lower than that of persons with other chronic conditions, such as arthritis (-14.7 points), asthma (-16.6 points), and diabetes mellitus (-14.6).³⁸ Health-related quality of life was lower among patients suffering from more prolonged symptoms, although time since disease diagnosis and symptom onset were not significantly associated with specific psychological metrics, except for PTSD.

Consistent with the emerging literature on the subject, our findings highlight the extent of the pandemic's psychological, emotional, and mental health toll on individuals, either through its impact on the development of new or the worsening of pre-existing stressors.³⁹⁻⁴² Studies have shown that the disabling effects of conditions such as depression, anxiety, and PTSD often lead to significant occupational, financial, and social distress.^{15, 40} Somewhat surprisingly, the severity of the acute infection, although increasing the likelihood of patients' experiencing severe depression and cognitive impairment, was not associated with PTSD and, in fact, was protective against severe anxiety. Patients who were hospitalized may have had more consistent follow-up care and greater certainty about the cause of their residual symptoms leading to less anxiety. This conjecture should be explored in future research.

The findings of worse functioning among poor, and to a lesser extent, African American/Black individuals with PASC is concerning. Low-income and African Americans/Black individuals are more likely to test positive and to experience more severe acute COVID-19-related outcomes, including hospitalization and death.⁴³⁻⁴⁴ Our findings suggest that poor

and African American/Black individuals also endure worse psychological and cognitive sequelae following COVID-19 infection, and that such socioeconomic and racial disparities persist even after controlling for initial severity of the acute infection and time since diagnosis.

Our findings, combined with robust evidence about the extent of PASC among individuals with history of COVID-19 infections, raise concerns about how the U.S and other countries will meet the unprecedented demand for outpatient rehabilitation services for this population. It is unlikely that the release of COVID-19 vaccines will lead to the end of PASC given the high numbers of individuals with continued symptoms several months from their initial infection. High rates of hesitancy and refusal to become vaccinated, both in the US and worldwide, will also contribute to the persistence of PASC, as may the risk of breakthrough infections due to new virus variants.

Developing and promoting access to PASC treatments that ameliorate these symptoms is, therefore, an urgent public policy imperative. Minority individuals, in particular, may require more intensive and comprehensive services to fully address some of these problems. In addition, in view of the observed socioeconomic disparities in access, governmental income support, rent subsidies, and prevention of eviction may all play a role in helping low-income individuals suffering from PASC, and add another justification for a larger role of targeted government support for a duration that might promote resolution of sequelae.

Study Limitations

There are important limitations of our study that warrant mentioning. Despite relatively large and diverse, patients in our sample, it represents a self-selected group of individuals who had information about and access to the study health system's post-COVID clinic. For this reason, our

findings may not be representative of the larger population of individuals with PASC. In addition, the study is cross-sectional in nature: patients' health-related quality of care, psychological and cognitive functioning were evaluated only at the initial visit precluding us from examining the content and potential effectiveness of the PASC clinical program. Increasingly, there have been recommendations for a standardized set of comprehensive measures for the COVID patient population in order to more uniformly analyze treatment protocols and efficacy.⁴⁵ Finally, perhaps the most substantial limitation of the study is the absence of pre-COVID measures of the outcomes. Given the opportunistic nature of our sample, we are unable to ascertain whether the observed associations between patients' psychological and cognitive functioning with race and poverty predate the individuals' infection and subsequent PASC.

Despite these limitations, the use of numerous validated standardized measures applied consistently to a relatively large sample of patients referred to or seeking outpatient rehabilitation care for their persistent COVID-19 symptoms is a unique strength of this study, which highlight the importance of incorporating psychological assessment, referral, and treatment in the context of outpatient PASC recovery programs.

Conclusions

Information about the characteristics and functioning of persons suffering from PASC is critical to understanding their needs and preparing for their treatment. Given the large and growing number of patients suffering from post-acute sequelae of SARSCoV-2 infection, the consistent and persistent disparities in disease incidence and new evidence, as documented here, of disparities in outcomes by the patients' race and socioeconomic status even among those with access to post-acute

COVID rehabilitation care, the results of this study have significant implications for both policy and program development.

Journal Pre-proof


References

1. Carfi A, Bernabei R, Landi F; Gemelli Against COVID-19 Post-Acute Care Study Group. Persistent Symptoms in Patients After Acute COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020;324(6):603-605.
2. Wong AW, Shah AS, Johnston JC, Carlsten C, Ryerson CJ. Patient-reported outcome measures after COVID-19: a prospective cohort study. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2020; 56(5). DOI: 10.1183/13993003.03276-2020
3. Carfi A, Bernabei R, Landi F. Persistent symptoms in patients after acute COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020;324(6):603-5.
4. Goërtz YM, Van Herck M, Delbressine JM, Vaes AW, Meys R, Machado FV, Houben-Wilke S, Burtin C, Posthuma R, Franssen FM, van Loon N. Persistent symptoms 3 months after a SARS-CoV-2 infection: the post-COVID-19 syndrome? *ERJ open research*. 2020; 6(4). DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00542-2020.
5. Xiong Q, Xu M, Li J, Liu Y, Zhang J, Xu Y, Dong W. Clinical sequelae of COVID-19 survivors in Wuhan, China: a single-centre longitudinal study. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2021; 27(1):89-95.
6. NIH launches new initiative to study “Long COVID.” 2021. Available from: <https://www.nih.gov/aboutnih/who-we-are/nih-director/statements/nih-launches-new-initiative-studylong-covid>. n.d.
7. Almeria M, Cejudo JC, Sotoca J, Deus J, Krupinski J. Cognitive profile following COVID-19 infection: Clinical predictors leading to neuropsychological impairment. *Brain, behavior, & immunity-health*. 2020. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbih.2020.100163>
8. Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Gu X, Kang L, Guo L, Liu M, Zhou X, Luo J. 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: a cohort study. *The Lancet*. 2021;397(10270):220-32.
9. Chopra V, Flanders SA, O'Malley M, Malani AN, Prescott HC. Sixty-day outcomes among patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2021; 174(4):576-8.
10. Lund LC, Hallas J, Nielsen H, Koch A, Mogensen SH, Brun NC, Christiansen CF, Thomsen RW, Pottegård A. Post-acute effects of SARS-CoV-2 infection in individuals not requiring hospital admission: a Danish population-based cohort study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2021; 21(10):1373-82.
11. Office for National Statistics. The prevalence of long COVID symptoms and COVID-19 complications. 2020. Available from: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/news/statementsandletters/theprevalenceoflongcovid-symptomsandcovid19complications>.
12. Halpin SJ, McIvor C, Whyatt G, Adams A, Harvey O, McLean L, Walshaw C, Kemp S, Corrado J, Singh R, Collins T. Postdischarge symptoms and rehabilitation needs in survivors of COVID-19 infection: a cross-sectional evaluation. *Journal of medical virology*. 2021; 93(2):1013-22.
13. Tenforde MW, Kim SS, Lindsell CJ, Rose EB, Shapiro NI, Files DC, Gibbs KW, Erickson HL, Steingrub JS, Smithline HA, Gong MN. Symptom duration and risk factors for delayed

- return to usual health among outpatients with COVID-19 in a multistate health care systems network—United States, March–June 2020. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 2020 Jul 31;69(30):993-98.
14. Logue JK, Franko NM, McCulloch DJ, McDonald D, Magedson A, Wolf CR, Chu HY. Sequelae in adults at 6 months after COVID-19 infection. *JAMA network open*. 2021; 4(2):e210830-.
 15. Havervall S, Rosell A, Phillipson M, Mangsbo SM, Nilsson P, Hober S, Thålin C. Symptoms and functional impairment assessed 8 months after mild COVID-19 among health care workers. *Jama*. 2021; 325(19):2015-6.
 16. Graham EL, Clark JR, Orban ZS, Lim PH, Szymanski AL, Taylor C, DiBiase RM, Jia DT, Balabanov R, Ho SU, Batra A. Persistent neurologic symptoms and cognitive dysfunction in non-hospitalized Covid-19 “long haulers”. *Annals of clinical and translational neurology*. 2021; 8(5):1073-85.
 17. Burke MJ, Del Rio C. Long COVID has exposed medicine's blind-spot. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2021;21(8):1062-4.
 18. Benzakour L, Bondolfi G. Update of the Potential Treatments for Psychiatric and Neuropsychiatric Symptoms in the Context of the Post-COVID-19 Condition: Still a Lot of Suffering and Many More Things to Learn. *Trauma Care*. 2022 Mar 24;2(2):131-50.
 19. Mikkelsen ME, Still M, Anderson BJ, Bienvenu OJ, Brodsky MB, Brummel N, Butcher B, Clay AS, Felt H, Ferrante LE, Haines KJ. Society of Critical Care Medicine’s international consensus conference on prediction and identification of long-term impairments after critical illness. *Critical care medicine*. 2020; 48(11):1670-9.
 20. de Sire A, Andrenelli E, Negrini F, Iannicelli V, Lazzarini SG, Patrini M, Ceravolo MG, of Cochrane IM. Rehabilitation and COVID-19: update of the rapid living systematic review by Cochrane Rehabilitation Field as of August 31st, 2021. *European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine*. 2021 Dec;57(6):1045-8.
 21. de Sire A, Andrenelli E, Negrini F, Patrini M, Lazzarini SG, Ceravolo MG, Kiekens C, Arienti C, Ceravolo MG, Côté P. Rehabilitation and COVID-19: a rapid living systematic review by Cochrane Rehabilitation Field updated as of December 31st, 2020 and synthesis of the scientific literature of 2020. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*. 2021 Apr 1;57(2):181-8.
 22. Fine JS, Ambrose AF, Didehbani N, Fleming TK, Glashan L, Longo M, Merlino A, Ng R, Nora GJ, Rolin S, Silver JK. Multi-disciplinary collaborative consensus guidance statement on the assessment and treatment of cognitive symptoms in patients with post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC). *PM and R*. 2022 Jan;14(1):96-111.
 23. Piquet V, Luczak C, Seiler F, Monaury J, Martini A, Ward AB, Gracies JM, Motavasseli D, Lépine E, Chambard L, Baude M. Do patients with COVID-19 benefit from rehabilitation? Functional outcomes of the first 100 patients in a COVID-19 rehabilitation unit. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2021 Jun 1;102(6):1067-74.
 24. Li J. Rehabilitation management of patients with COVID-19: lessons learned from the first experience in China. *European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine*. 2020 Apr 24;56(3):335-8.

25. Yonter SJ, Alter K, Bartels MN, Bean JF, Brodsky MB, González-Fernández M, Henderson DK, Hoenig H, Russell H, Needham DM, Kumble S. What now for rehabilitation specialists? Coronavirus disease 2019 questions and answers. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 2020 Dec 1;101(12):2233-42.
26. Sheehy LM. Considerations for postacute rehabilitation for survivors of COVID-19. *JMIR public health and surveillance*. 2020 May 8;6(2):e19462.
27. Havervall S, Rosell A, Phillipson M, Mangsbo SM, Nilsson P, Hober S, Thålin C. Symptoms and functional impairment assessed 8 months after mild COVID-19 among health care workers. *JAMA*. 2021;325(19):2015-6.
28. Hentschel CB, Abramoff BA, Dillingham TR, Pezzin LE. Race, ethnicity, and utilization of outpatient rehabilitation for treatment of post COVID-19 condition. *PM R*. 2022 Jul 5:10.1002/pmrj.12869. doi: 10.1002/pmrj.12869. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 35789541; PMCID: PMC9350262.
29. Manera MR, Fiabane E, Pain D, Aiello EN, Radici A, Ottonello M, Padovani M, Wilson BA, Fish J, Pistarini C. Clinical features and cognitive sequelae in COVID-19: a retrospective study on N= 152 patients. *Neurological Sciences*. 2022;43(1):45-50.
30. Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D. The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: an updated literature review. *Journal of psychosomatic research*. 2002;52(2):69-77.
31. Cameron IM, Crawford JR, Lawton K, Reid IC. Psychometric comparison of PHQ-9 and HADS for measuring depression severity in primary care. *British Journal of General Practice*. 2008;58(546):32-6.
32. Wohlfarth TD, van den Brink W, Winkel FW, ter Smitten M. Screening for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: an evaluation of two self-report scales among crime victims. *Psychological assessment*. 2003 Mar;15(1):101.
33. Brewin CR. Systematic review of screening instruments for adults at risk of PTSD. *Journal of Traumatic Stress: Official Publication of The International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies*. 2005 Feb;18(1):53-62.
34. Freitas S, Prieto G, Simões MR, Santana I. Psychometric properties of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA): an analysis using the Rasch model. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*. 2014 Jan 2;28(1):65-83.
35. Devlin NJ, Brooks R. EQ-5D and the EuroQol group: past, present and future. *Applied health economics and health policy*. 2017;15(2):127-37.
36. Glick HA, Polsky D, Willke RJ, Schulman KA. A comparison of preference assessment instruments used in a clinical trial: responses to the visual analog scale from the EuroQol EQ-5D and the Health Utilities Index. *Medical Decision Making*. 1999;19(3):265-8
37. Venkatesan P. NICE guideline on long COVID. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*. 2021;9(2):129.
38. Jiang R, Janssen MF, Pickard AS. US population norms for the EQ-5D-5L and comparison of norms from face-to-face and online samples. *Quality of Life Research*. 2021;30(3):803-16.

39. Burdick KE, Millett CE. The impact of COVID-19 on cognition in severe cases highlights the need for comprehensive neuropsychological evaluations in all survivors. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2021;46(13):2225-7.
40. Amenta EM, Spallone A, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, El Sahly HM, Atmar RL, Kulkarni PA. Postacute COVID-19: an overview and approach to classification. In *Open forum infectious diseases* 2020 Dec (Vol. 7, No. 12, p. ofaa509). US: Oxford University Press.
41. Méndez R, Balanzá-Martínez V, Luperdi SC, Estrada I, Latorre A, González-Jiménez P, Feced L, Bouzas L, Yépez K, Ferrando A, Hervás D. Short-term neuropsychiatric outcomes and quality of life in COVID-19 survivors. *Journal of internal medicine*. 2021;290(3):621-31.
42. Sood S. Psychological effects of the Coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic. *Research & Humanities in Medical Education*. 2020;7(11):23-6.
43. Yancy CW. COVID-19 and African Americans. *JAMA*. 2020;323(19):1891-2.
44. Muñoz-Price LS, Nattinger AB, Rivera F, Hanson R, Gmehlin CG, Perez A, Singh S, Buchan BW, Ledebner NA, Pezzin LE. Racial disparities in incidence and outcomes among patients with COVID-19. *JAMA network open*. 2020;3(9):e2021892-.
45. Carneiro I, Costa AJ, Lima A, Vaz IM. Protocol proposal to the initial assessment of the post-intensive care syndrome in COVID-19 patients, in an inpatient rehabilitation setting. *Rehabilitacion*. 2021 Jan;55(1):82.

Figure 1. Distribution of Patients by Time Since COVID-19 Diagnosis

Note: Vertical orange line indicate tertile thresholds.

Table 1. Summary Characteristics

| | Total N=324 | Severe Anxiety N=123 | Severe Depression N=103 | PTSD N=138 | Cognitive Impairment N=52 |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| Age (years) | | | | | |
| Mean (SD) | 46.6 (14.0) | 45.3 (14.3) | 47.3 (15.0) | 45.8 (14.7) | 52.6 (15.2) |
| Median (IQR) | 46.0 (21.0) | 43.0 (20.0) | 46.0 (18.0) | 43.0 (23.0) | 50.0 (20.5) |
| Age (years), n (%) | | | | | |
| <30 | 38 (11.7) | 17 (13.8) | 15 (14.6) | 18 (13.0) | 2 (3.8) |
| 30-39 | 66 (20.4) | 25 (20.3) | 15 (14.6) | 36 (26.1) | 8 (15.4) |
| 40-49 | 86 (26.5) | 38 (30.9) | 31 (30.1) | 29 (21.0) | 16 (30.8) |
| 50-59 | 76 (23.5) | 26 (21.1) | 22 (21.4) | 31 (22.5) | 12 (23.1) |
| 60-69 | 38 (11.7) | 9 (7.3) | 10 (9.7) | 14 (10.1) | 4 (7.7) |
| 70+ | 28 (6.2) | 8 (6.5) | 10 (9.7) | 10 (7.2) | 10 (19.2) |
| Sex, n (%) | | | | | |
| Female | 221 (68.8) | 88 (72.7) | 70 (69.3) | 94 (69.6) | 38 (74.5) |
| Male | 100 (31.2) | 33 (27.3) | 31 (30.7) | 41 (30.4) | 13 (25.5) |
| Race/Ethnicity, n (%) | | | | | |
| Black | 52 (16.0) | 24 (19.5) | 21 (20.4) | 31 (22.5) | 17 (32.7) |
| Hispanic | 17 (5.2) | 8 (6.5) | 7 (6.8) | 5 (3.6) | 0 (0.0) |
| White | 221 (68.2) | 80 (65.0) | 67 (65.0) | 87 (63.0) | 31 (59.6) |
| Other/Unknown | 34 (10.5) | 11 (8.9) | 8 (7.8) | 15 (10.9) | 4 (7.7) |
| Education, n (%) | | | | | |
| <12 years formal education | 37 (11.9) | 15 (12.5) | 14 (14.0) | 17 (12.5) | 2 (3.8) |
| Insurance, n (%) | | | | | |
| Medicaid | 29 (9.1) | 19 (15.6) | 14 (13.7) | 21 (15.4) | 3 (5.9) |
| Medicare | 31 (9.7) | 10 (8.2) | 11 (10.8) | 10 (7.4) | 8 (15.7) |
| Commercial | 258 (81.1) | 93 (76.2) | 77 (75.5) | 105 (77.2) | 40 (78.4) |

Table 2. Quality of Life, Psychological and Cognitive Functioning of Patients Seeking Rehabilitation Care for COVID-19 Sequelae

| | Total Sample | Health- related Quality of Life (EQ- VAS) | Severe Anxiety (HADS-A) | Severe Depression (HADS-D) | PTSD (IES6) | Cognitive Impairment (MOCA Blind) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--|
| | n (%) | Mean (SD) | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) |
| | | Median (IQR) | | | | |
| Overall | 324 (100) | 59.5 (21.1) 65.0 (25.0) | 123 (37.9) | 103 (31.8) | 138 (43.0) | 52 (17.5) |
| Time Since COVID DX | | | | | | |
| <12 weeks | 99 (30.6) | 63.0 (19.2) | 33 (33.7) | 29 (29.9) | 36 (37.1) | 13 (14.9) |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | | 65.0 (26.0) | | | | |
| 12-29 weeks | 121 (37.4) | 57.1 (22.9) | 46 (39.0) | 39 (33.1) | 57 (48.7) | 18 (17.1) |
| | | 65.0 (35.0) | | | | |
| 30+ weeks | 104 (32.1) | 58.2 (20.6) | 43 (42.2) | 33 (32.4) | 43 (42.6) | 19 (19.0) |
| | | 60.0 (30.0) | | | | |
| Severity of Acute Disease | | | 22 (29.7) | 31 (41.3) | 29 (44.0) | 20 (30.3) |
| | | | | | | |
| Hospitalized | 74 (22.8) | 58.3 (19.4) | 101 (40.2) | 72 (28.9) | 109 (39.7) | 32 (13.9) |
| | | 60.0 (20.0) | | | | |
| Not hospitalized | 250 (77.2) | | | | | |
| | | 59.8 (21.7) | | | | |
| | | 65.0 (28.5) | | | | |

Notes: Patients were coded as having severe anxiety if they scored > 8 in the HADS-A score >8 scale; severe depression if they scored >8 in the HADS-D scale, and PTSD if they scored >1.75 in the IES-6 scale. Patients were coded as having cognitive impairment if they scored <18 in the education-adjusted MOCA blind scale. Due to a small number of missing/incomplete values, the sample size varies by functioning domains. The sample is n=313 for the EQ-VAS, n=310 for severe anxiety, n=309 for severe depression, n=307 for PTSD and n=271 for cognitive impairment based on the MOCA Blind.

Table 3. Multivariable Model Estimates

| | Health-related Quality of Life (EQ-VAS) N=313 | Severe Anxiety (HADS- Anxiety) N=310 | Severe Depression (HADS Depression) N=309 | PTSD (IES6) N=307 | Cognitive Impairment (MOCA Blind) N=271 |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | Coefficient (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) |
| Age (years) | -0.006 (-0.199, 0.188) | 0.99 (0.97, 1.01) | 1.00 (0.98, 1.02) | 1.00 (0.98, 1.02) | 1.03 (1.00, 1.06)** |
| Sex | | | | | |
| Female | REF | REF | REF | REF | REF |
| Male | -0.041 (-5.08, 5.00) | 0.71 (0.42, 1.20) | 0.93 (0.55, 1.57) | 0.96 (0.57, 1.60) | 0.78 (0.37, 1.63) |
| Race/Ethnicity | | | | | |
| Black | -2.17 (-8.84, 4.49) | 1.77 (0.94, 3.46)* | 1.26 (0.64, 2.47) | 2.43 (1.22, 4.84)** | 2.02 (0.96, 4.55)* |
| Hispanic | 8.33 (-2.59, 19.25) | 1.11 (0.36, 3.37) | 1.04 (0.35, 3.16) | 0.40 (0.12, 1.40) | -- |
| White | REF | REF | REF | REF | 0.82 (0.25, 2.64) |
| Other/Unknown | 1.71 (-6.27, 9.69) | 1.03 (0.46, 2.32) | 0.80 (0.33, 1.92) | 1.43 (0.65, 3.13) | REF |
| Insurance | | | | | |
| Medicaid | -13.81 (-22.04, 5.58)** | 3.33 (1.42, 7.81)** | 1.94 (0.96, 4.39)* | 4.83 (1.84, 12.68)** | 0.54 (0.11, 2.68) |
| Medicare | -5.08 (-14.21, 4.06) | 1.25 (0.49, 3.20) | 1.24 (0.49, 3.16) | 0.80 (0.31, 2.04) | 0.83 (0.27, 2.54) |
| Commercial | REF | REF | REF | REF | REF |
| Hospitalized | -0.67 (-6.49, 5.16) | 0.57 (0.31, 1.06)* | 1.60 (0.89, 2.88)* | 0.70 (0.38, 1.28) | 1.92 (0.90, 4.11)* |
| Weeks since diagnosis | | | | | |
| <12 | REF | REF | REF | REF | REF |
| 12 to <29 | -5.49 (-11.19, 0.22)** | 1.30 (0.72, 2.33) | 1.15 (0.64, 2.09) | 1.71 (0.96, 3.07)* | 1.46 (0.63, 3.34) |
| 30+ | -5.12 (-11.00, 0.95)* | 1.39 (0.76, 2.52) | 1.11 (0.59, 2.06) | 1.24 (0.68, 2.26) | 1.54 (0.67, 3.54) |

Notes: The cognitive impairment regression also controls for educational level. Patients were coded as having severe anxiety if they scored >8 in the HADS-A score >8 scale; severe depression if they scored >8 in the HADS-D scale, and PTSD if they scored >1.75 in the IES-6 scale. Patients were coded as having

cognitive impairment if they scored <18 in the education-adjusted MOCA blind scale. All regressions also include a constant term and an indicator for missing race/ethnicity.

** $p \leq 0.05$; * $0.05 < p \leq 0.10$

Journal Pre-proof