Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Martin Rottenberg, Karolinska Institutet (KI), Sweden

REVIEWED BY

Hannah Kristin Drescher, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States Daniel Fernandez-Ruiz, Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiong Ma maxiongmd@hotmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Molecular Innate Immunity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 12 June 2022 ACCEPTED 22 August 2022 PUBLISHED 12 September 2022

CITATION

Li Y, You Z, Tang R and Ma X (2022) Tissue-resident memory T cells in chronic liver diseases: Phenotype, development and function. *Front. Immunol.* 13:967055. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.967055

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Li, You, Tang and Ma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Tissue-resident memory T cells in chronic liver diseases: Phenotype, development and function

Yikang Li, Zhengrui You, Ruqi Tang and Xiong Ma*

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Key Laboratory of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Ministry of Health, State Key Laboratory for Oncogenes and Related Genes, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai Institute of Digestive Disease, Shanghai, China

Tissue-resident memory (T_{RM}) T cells are a unique subset of memory T cells that are critical for the first line of defense against pathogens or antigens in peripheral non-lymphoid tissues such as liver, gut, and skin. Generally, T_{RM} cells are well adapted to the local environment in a tissue-specific manner and typically do not circulate but persist in tissues, distinguishing them from other memory T cell lineages. There is strong evidence that liver T_{RM} cells provide a robust adaptive immune response to potential threats. Indeed, the potent effector function of hepatic T_{RM} cells makes it essential for chronic liver diseases, including viral and parasite infection, autoimmune liver diseases (AILD), nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and liver transplantation. Manipulation of hepatic T_{RM} cells might provide novel promising strategies for precision immunotherapy of chronic liver diseases. Here, we provide insights into the phenotype of hepatic T_{RM} cells through surface markers, transcriptional profiles and effector functions, discuss the development of hepatic T_{RM} cells in terms of cellular origin and factors affecting their development, analyze the role of hepatic T_{RM} cells in chronic liver diseases, as well as share our perspectives on the current status of hepatic T_{RM} cell research.

KEYWORDS

tissue-resident memory T cells, liver, chronic hepatitis B virus infection, malaria, autoimmune hepatitis, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, hepatocellular carcinoma

Introduction

T cells are essential for building an effective immune response against pathogens or antigens. Once the pathogen breaks through the barrier tissue and invades the body, antigen-presenting cells (APC) capture the foreign antigen and then migrate to the local draining lymph nodes to activate naive T cells. Primed naive T cells subsequently proliferate and differentiate into effector T cells that migrate into inflamed tissues to eliminate pathogens (1). Among these effector T cells, a minor fraction persists and develops into memory T cells precursors after the pathogens are cleared. According to their unique patrolling properties, proliferative potential, and effector function, these memory T cell precursors eventually develop into circulating memory T cells and tissue-resident memory T (T_{RM}) cells (2, 3). Circulating memory T cells include central memory (T_{CM}) cells that target and patrol in the lymph node and egress to the blood after infection, and effector memory (T_{EM}) cells that survey nonlymphoid peripheral tissues and enter the peripheral circulation thorough the lymphatic system (4). By contrast, T_{RM} cells almost not recirculate and are retained within tissues under homeostatic conditions (5).

Both CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ subpopulations of T_{RM} cells are detected at different tissue sites (6–8). CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells are well defined and enhance immune responses in peripheral tissues. However, the characteristics and functions of CD4⁺ T_{RM} cells remain largely unclear (9, 10). In general, T_{RM} cells primarily develop and persist in organs that are frequently exposed to pathogens or antigens, such as the liver, gut, skin and lung (11, 12). Among these organs, the liver is considered as a vital immune organ, and it is exposed to various pathogens and food antigens, that enter or re-enter the body *via* portal vein from the gastrointestinal tract and the systemic blood circulation.

Liver contains a large number of innate immune cells, including natural killer (NK) cells, NKT cells, $\gamma\delta$ T cells, mucosal-associated invariant T cells, Kupffer cells, and dendritic cells (13). Interestingly, liver also include a number of liver-specific antigen-presenting cells, such as hepatic

sinusoidal endothelial cells and hepatic stellate cells, which contribute to immune tolerance in the liver (13). Moreover, the hepatic specific immune microenvironment constructed by these immune cells promotes the generation of antigen-experienced T cells and T_{RM} cells involved in pathogen clearance or autoimmune responses against self-antigens (14). Importantly, liver T_{RM} cells perform an essential role in the first line of adaptive cellular defense while exposing to the cognate antigens in the liver (15, 16). Accordingly, the liver acts as an essential gatekeeper to prevent systemic infection and inflammation, while the liver T_{RM} cells contribute to the efficient eradication of pathogens as well as immune responses.

In this review, we primarily focus on phenotype and development of hepatic T_{RM} cells, mainly CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells, with emphasis on their protective roles in viral and parasite infection, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and liver transplantation, as well as their pathogenic roles in autoimmune liver diseases (AILD) (Table 1).

Phenotype of liver T_{RM} cells

The general characteristics of T_{RM} cells include their strategic positioning in the tissues and effector functions. However, despite T_{RM} cells share some similar features, the phenotype, such as surface markers and transcriptional profiles, and the underlying mechanisms for their generation and retention are highly heterogeneous in different tissues.

TABLE 1 Phenotype and clinical significance of liver CD8+ TRM cells in chronic liver diseases.

Chronic liver dis- eases	Phenotype	Clinical significance	Reference
HBV	$CD69^{+}CD103^{+}CXCR3^{+}CXCR6^{+}CD39^{+}PD1^{+}BLIMP1^{hi}HOBIT^{+/}$ $^{lo}T\text{-bet}^{lo}EOMES^{lo}IL2^{+}IFN-\gamma^{+}perforin^{+}$	Virus-specific liver T_{RM} cells control viral replication, and contribute to the functional cure for HBV patients. Liver T_{RM} cells persist in the liver and provide long-term viral control in HBV patients.	(17–22)
HCV	CD69 ⁺ CD103 ^{+/-} CXCR6 ⁺ S1PR1 ^{lo} KLF2 ^{lo} granzyme B ⁺	Liver T_{RM} cells have specific activating and cytolytic potential for viral eradication.	(23–27)
Malaria (Murine study)	$CD69^{+}CD49a^{+}LFA-1^{+}CD101^{+}CXCR3^{+}$ $CX3CR1^{lo}KLRG1^{lo}CD107a^{+}T\text{-}bet^{+}EOMES^{lo}IFN-\gamma^{+}TNF-\alpha^{+}granzyme\ B^{+}$	Liver T_{RM} cells can directly kill <i>Plasmodium</i> -infected cells, thereby mediating protective immune responses. T_{RM} -based vaccination strategies could hold remarkable promise in the prevention and treatment of malaria.	(16, 28–35)
AIH	CD69 ⁺ CD103 ⁺ CD49a ⁺ CXCR3 ⁺ CXCR6 ⁺ PD1 ⁺ BLIMP1 ^{hi} T-bet ^{lo} IL2 ⁺ IL17 ⁺ IFN- γ^{+} granzyme B ⁺	Antigen-specific liver T_{RM} cells infiltration may serve as a new biomarker of pediatric acute liver failure (PALF) due to AIH. Histological remission in AIH patients is accompanied by a reduction in liver CD8 ⁺ T_{RM} cells, and liver T_{RM} cells may be an important factor in relapse after steroid discontinuation.	(36–38)
NAFLD (Murine study)	CD69 ⁺ CD103 ⁻ CXCR3 ⁺ CXCR6 ⁺ LAG3 ⁺ CTLA4 ⁺ FasL ⁺ TOX ⁺ EOMES ⁺	Liver CD8 ⁺ T_{RM} cells promote fibrosis resolution by inducing apoptosis of predisposed activated hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), and may perform a protective role in resolving liver fibrosis of NASH.	(39)
HCC	CD69 ⁺ CD103 ⁺ PD1 ⁺ LAG3 ⁺ TIM3 ⁺ CTLA4 ⁺ T-bet ^{lo} EOMES ⁺	Enrichment of liver $T_{\rm RM}$ cells are associated with better prognosis in HCC patients.	(19, 40-42)

Surface markers

It is considered that the surface markers contribute to the identification and maintenance of hepatic T_{RM} cells. Similar to other tissue-specific T_{RM} cells, hepatic T_{RM} cells downregulate the expression of tissue egression markers, like soingosine-1-phosphate 1 (S1PR1), and the homing receptors such as CD62L and CCR7 (43, 44). Furthermore, hepatic T_{RM} cells usually express some adhesion molecule and chemokine receptors, including CD69 (44), CD103 (17, 45), CD49a (36), CXCR3 (17, 23) and CXCR6 (46, 47), which are involved in their localization and maintenance in the hepatic sinusoids and portal veins.

The lectin CD69 is constitutive expressed on the majority of liver T_{RM} subsets. Upon exposure to antigens or proinflammatory mediators, the expression of CD69 is strongly upregulated on activated CD8⁺ T cells within peripheral tissues as a result of the downregulation of Krüppel-like factor 2(KLF2) (44, 48, 49). Meanwhile, as an antagonist of S1P1, CD69 complexs with S1P1 on the cell surface and leads to its internalization and degradation (50). Besides, CD69 also contributes to the retention status of hepatic T_{RM} cells by downregulating sphingosine 1 phosphate receptor (S1PR1)-mediated tissue egress (44). Therefore, it is likely to that its primary role is to restrict the egress of T_{RM} cells from the liver to the blood and lymphatic vessels.

CD103 is an α -chain of the integrin $\alpha E\beta$ 7. It is upregulated in activated peripheral CD8⁺ T lymphocytes upon exposure to TGF β (51). CD103 is a receptor for E-cadherin, an adherens junction protein interlocking epithelial cells (52). Interestingly, E-cadherin is widely expressed by hepatocytes and cholangiocytes (36, 53, 54). The interaction of E-cadherin and CD103 expressing on the liver-infiltrating lymphocytes may be involved in positioning, adhesion and retention of hepatic T_{RM} cells (36). Furthermore, CD103 may define two different functional subsets of T_{RM} cells in human liver. The CD69⁺CD103⁺ subpopulations are antigen-specific autoreactive cytotoxic T cells in human liver, exhibiting more potent effector function than CD69⁺CD103⁻ counterparts (45, 55, 56). Interestingly, there are differences between mouse and human liver T_{RM} cells regarding CD103 expression. Interestingly, it appears that another liver-specific homing marker, lymphocyte function associated antigen 1 (LFA-1), rather than CD103, may be responsible for the retention of hepatic T_{RM} cells in mice (16, 57).

CD49a, another adhesion molecule of T_{RM} cells, is the $\alpha 1$ component of the integrin $\alpha 1\beta 1$. CD49a pairs with integrin $\beta 1$ to form the heterodimer VLA-1 which bind to collagen IV. This interaction is believed to be critical for retention of the resident population at the epithelium (58). In general, CD49a is upregulated following T cell activation and can be found on circulating T cells (59). Expression of CD49a contributes to

protect cells from undergoing apoptosis (60). Importantly, blockade of CD49a with antibodies as well as genetic deletion of CD49a results in a diminution of T_{RM} cells (59, 61). However, CD49a was not essential for the recruitment of CD8 T cells to the lung in mice, but for their persistence as memory cells (59). Therefore, CD49a may promote the survival, retention or proliferation of T_{RM} cells. Moreover, CD49a may define different functional subsets of T_{RM} cells. In the skin, CD49a expressing CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells produce large amounts of IFN- γ , perforin and granzyme B, while CD49a negative counterparts prefer to produce IL17 (62). However, the effector function bias based on CD49a expression of liver T_{RM} cells have not been comprehensively interrogated.

Chemokines and chemokine receptors have been extensively used to describe the correct localization, residence and effector function of immune cells within lymphoid organs and nonlymphoid tissues (63). Despite their expressions on T_{RM} cells of different tissues have great heterogeneity, it is reported that the maintenance and effector function of T_{RM} require constant chemokine stimulation (64-66). Chemokine receptors CXCR3 and CXCR6 have been extensively reported to be constitutively expressed on the surface of intrahepatic T_{RM} cells (16, 17, 23, 46, 67). CXCR3 is a vital homing marker that may contributes to the retention of liver CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells. It binds to multiple chemokines, such as CXCL9, CXCL10 and CXCL11, which are predominantly secreted by monocytes, liver sinusoidal endothelial cells and fibroblasts (17). On the other hand, CXCR6 also plays an important role in the maintenance of liver T_{RM} cells (46, 68). CD8⁺ T cells lacking CXCR6 migrate to the liver normally after immunization, whereas perform a marked decrease capacity to form hepatic CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells and severely impairs their effector functions against infection in the liver (46). In addition, CXCR6 also contributes to the maintenance of liver T_{RM} cells via binding to CXCL16 secreted by liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (46, 68). These studies suggest that CXCR6 is essential for retention rather than recruitment of CD8⁺T cells to the liver. Additionally, deficiency of CXCR6 results in decreased survival of hepatic NKT cells patrolling the liver sinusoids, affecting hepatic intravascular immune surveillance (68).

Transcriptional profiles

Besides surface markers, multiple transcription factors are involved in the regulation of the distinct features of liver T_{RM} cells.

The network of transcription factors underlies the unique features of T_{RM} cells, including liver T_{RM} cells (Figure 1). These transcription factors include B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1 (BLIMP1; also known as PRDM1), homologue of BLIMP1 in T cells (HOBIT; also known as ZFP683), runt-related



FIGURE 1

Characteristics of T_{RM} cells include their tissue residency, long-term persistence, and effector function. The residency status of liver T_{RM} cells is regulated by the combined action of B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1 (BLIMP1), BLIMP1 homolog in T cells (HOBIT), Notch, and runt-related transcription factor 3 (RUNX3). BLIMP1 and HOBIT downregulate CCR7, Krüppel-like factor 2 (KLF2) and tissue export pathway sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 1 (S1PR1), while Notch directly upregulates the expression of CD103 on T_{RM} cells. The interaction of CD103 and E-cadherin expressing on hepatocytes as well as cholangiocytes may be involved in adhesion and retention of hepatic T_{RM} cells. Furthermore, the expression of BLIMP1 is regulated by the transcription factor runt-related transcription factor 3 (RUNX3) and NR4A family of orphan nuclear receptors (NR4As). The effector functions of liver T_{RM} cells include direct killing of infected or malignant cells by secreting cytotoxic molecules and inflammatory cytokines, such as granzyme B, TNF- α , IFN- γ , and IL17. The expression of these cytotoxic components is regulated by HOBIT, BLIMP1 and RuNX3. The development and maintenance of T_{RM} cells require stimulation with IL15, and TGF β , as well as cognate antigens presenting by antigen-presenting cells (APC). T-bet is essential for the sustain expression of IL15 receptor, albeit at low levels. Meanwhile, the expression of TGF β receptor is also regulated by P2X purinreceptor 7 (P2RX7), a sensor for extracellular nucleotides that promotes mitochondrial homeostasis. Mitochondrial fatty acid β -oxidation (FAO) is an important energy source for T_{RM} cells. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ (PPAR γ) drives the upregulation of FABP1 and FABP4 to promote free fatty acid uptake from the extracellular compartment, while the transcription factor BHIHe40 maintains mitochondrial fitness.

transcription factor 3 (RUNX3), Notch, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ (PPAR- γ), BHlHe40, TBX21 (T-bet), aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR), Eomesodermin (EOMES), and NR4A family of orphan nuclear receptors (NR4As). The combined action of these transcription factors contributes to the residency status of liver T_{RM} cells (64, 69).

HOBIT is specifically up-regulated in T_{RM} cells and, together with related Blimp1, mediates the development of T_{RM} cells in lymphoid organs and non-lymphoid tissues (70). The coexpression of HOBIT and BLIMP1 instructs the downregulation of CCR7, transcription factor 7 (TCF7), KLF2, and S1PR1 in T_{RM} cells (71). CCR7 is the receptor for chemokine ligand 19 (CCL19) and chemokine ligand 21 (CCL21) that responsible for cell migration to secondary lymphoid tissues (72).Meanwhile, TCF7, KLF2 and S1PR1 are involved in the tissue egression of lymphocytes (71). Interestingly, KLF2 regulates the expression of S1PR1 in lymphocytes of tissues, which directs them returning to circulation (44). Consequently, the Hobit-Blimp1 transcriptional module retains T_{RM} cells within tissues through silencing the genes related to recirculation in addition to suppressing the markers related to egression. Furthermore, a murine study demonstrated that the transcriptional repressor Capicua (CIC) controls the development of liver T_{RM} cells. Mechanistically, they found that CIC could regulate the expression of HOBIT by inhibiting the ETS variant transcription factor 5 (ETV5) (73). RUNX3 and Notch are essential for the maintenance of T_{RM} cells by repressing the expression of genes involved in the formation of circulating memory T cells and inducing the expression of retention molecules, including CD103 (74). The collaboration of HOBIT, BLIMP1 and RUNX3 also drives immediate effector function in T_{RM} cells by inducing and sustaining granzyme B production (75-77). Notch, predominantly expressed in newly developed T_{RM} cells, not only regulates expression of IFN- γ upon restimulation but also contributes to the mitochondrial fatty acid β -oxidation (FAO) in T_{RM} cells (74, 75). Importantly, exogenous free fatty acids uptake and their FAO are required for the survival and effector function of T_{RM} cells (78). Meanwhile, PPAR-y facilitate the uptake of free fatty acids by upregulating fatty acid binding proteins 1 and 4 (FABP1 and FABP4) in T_{RM} cells (78, 79). Bhlhe40, a stress-responsive protein, promotes the survival and function of T_{RM} cells under stress conditions by sustaining mitochondrial fitness (80).

T-bet is crucial to sustain the expression of the IL15 receptor β subunit (IL15R β) and therefore enable the long-term lineage stability of T_{RM} cells, albeit at low levels (81). Activation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) may be associated to the maintenance of liver T_{RM} cells by increasing the expression of CD69 (82, 83).Recent studies reveal that EOMES directly inhibited expression of IFN- γ *in vitro*, while EOMES deletion in T cells led to substantially increased frequency and percentage of T_{RM} precursor in the liver (84, 85). Therefore, the downregulation of EOMES in T_{RM} cells is required to not only their formation, but also their effector function.

Additionally, the NR4As are composed of NR4A1 (Nur77), NR4A2 (Nurr1), and NR4A3 (Nor1). During the memory phase of influenza infection, Nur77 deficiency in CD8⁺ T cells reduces the frequency of CD8 $^{\!+}$ T_{RM} cells in the liver without any effect on lung or bone marrow $\text{CD8}^+\ \text{T}_{\text{RM}}$ cells and other memory $\mathrm{CD8}^{+}\ \mathrm{T}$ cells such as T_{CM} and T_{EM} (86), indicating a specific role of Nur77 on liver T_{RM} cell differentiation. In addition, the expression of the transcription factors involving in T_{RM} differentiation (BLIMP1 and T-bet) is decreased, while the expression of EOMES is increased in absence of Nor1 in CD8⁺ T cells (87). Interestingly, NR4As are particularly enriched in the highly functional CD28⁺ subset of CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells. Importantly, deficiency of Nurr1 specifically reduces the percentage of these CD28⁺ T_{RM} subsets (88). To conclude, NR4As are important regulators involved in the differentiation of CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells. However, not all NR4As are comprehensively interrogated at the specific differentiation steps of CD8⁺T_{RM} cells. Therefore, figure out which signals promote the expression of NR4As in addition the role of NR4As in CD8⁺ T_{RM} cell differentiation await further investigation.

Although these transcription factors described above have been shown to be critical for T_{RM} cells, it is difficult to determine which are the specific key regulators of T_{RM} differentiation and maintenance, as they are also expressed in other CD8⁺ effector or memory subsets. Therefore, the differentiation and maintenance of T_{RM} may be regulated by the cooperation of multiple transcription factors.

Effector functions

Similar to other tissue T_{RM} cells, liver T_{RM} cells also have timely, potent and durable effector functions. When pathogens enter the liver, T_{RM} cells can take advantage of tissue residency to generate a rapid and effective protective immune response by secreting multiple chemokines and cytokines in a deploymentready mode (75). The cytotoxic cytokines enable them to directly eliminate infected or malignant cells as well as control invading pathogens, while chemokines and pro-inflammatory cytokines recruit and activate other immune cells, thereby remodeling the local liver microenvironment for more potent effector functions. Furthermore, liver CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells express high levels of Ki-67 and TCF1, showing their proliferative and self-renewal potential (89). Actually, T_{RM} cells can persist in the liver for years and exert durable protective effect (17). In addition, T_{RM} cells may help to significantly promote the repopulation of locally resident and circulating memory T cells after infection, suggesting their role in establishing secondary memory T cells to prevent future reinfection with the same pathogen (90, 91). Accordingly, T_{RM} cells have been used to develop vaccines that generate stronger and longer-lasting immune responses than conventional vaccines (15, 28, 29). Meanwhile, CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells are able to attract hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) in a CCR5-dependent manner and predispose activated HSCs to FasL-Fas-mediated apoptosis, thereby promoting liver fibrosis regression (39). However, every coin has two sides, as do liver T_{RM} cells. Once T_{RM} cells are interfered by cognate antigens and damage hepatocytes and cholangiocytes, it may lead to the occurrence of AILD. Meanwhile, auto-aggressive liver CXCR6⁺CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells cause hepatic immune pathology in NASH in an MHCclass-I-independent manner (47). Therefore, clarifying the biological characteristics and development of liver T_{RM} cells so as to accurately manipulate liver T_{RM} cells can enhance the effector functions of T_{RM} cells and avoid weaknesses.

Development of liver T_{RM} cells

Multiple factors including T cell-intrinsic and environmental factors are believed to be involved in the T_{RM} cell differentiation. Thereinto, the first question to be addressed is the origin of T_{RM} cells. Olivier, O et al. analyzed antigenactivated T cells from different tissues using TCR sequences. They found that T_{CM} cells in the lymph nodes share a common clonal origin with T_{RM} cells (92), indicating that these subsets derive from the same naïve T cell precursors. Moreover, the differ in TCR stimulation affinity, namely the strength of antigen binding of TCRs, affects the subsequent development of T_{RM} cells (93). In this regard, high TCR affinity leads to T_{EM} development, whereas a low TCR affinity results in short-lived memory cells with impaired secondary immune response (94, 95).It is reported that T_{RM} cells have different TCR stimulation affinity compared to splenic memory T cells (93, 94). Furthermore, there is heterogeneity in the magnitude of TCR stimulation affinity required for the development of functional CD8^+ T_{RM} cells in different tissues (93, 96). For example, Maru, S et al. demonstrated that brain T_{RM} cells stimulated with suboptimal stimulation strength respond more effectively to CNS infection than cognate antigen, suggesting that the strength of antigen stimulation affects the functional integrity of TRM cells in a persistent viral infection (93). However, the specific strength of TCR stimulation affinity required for inducing liver-adapted T_{RM} cells has not been determined.

Additionally, the killer cell lectin-like receptor G1 (KLRG1) may contributes to figure out the source of T_{RM} cells. KLRG1 is upregulated in short-lived effector cells (SLECs, KLRG1^{hi} IL7R α^{10}), whereas the memory precursor effector cells (MPECs) that turn into heterogenous populations of memory CD8⁺ T cells, bear negative or low expression of KLRG1 (9, 97). Adoptive transfer experiments have shown that MPECs could generate T_{RM} cells after entering specific tissues (98). In addition, a portion of KLRG1⁺ CD8⁺ T cells can downregulate KLRG1 during the contraction phase of immune response and differentiated into T_{RM} cells. The latter subset accounts for approximately half of the liver T_{RM} cell population and has a stronger cytotoxic and proliferative capacity than those directly derived from KLRG1⁻CD8⁺ T cells (99). These findings suggest that liver T_{RM} cell can originate from both KLRG1⁺ or KLRG1⁻ lymphocytes.

On the other hand, studies have shown that cognate antigens and inflammatory cytokines also contribute to the development and maintenance of liver T_{RM} cells (Figure 1).

Antigenic challenge induces and amplifies antigen-specific T_{RM} cell proliferation, and maintained at low-level magnitude in the liver T_{RM} pool after the clearance of infection. Actually, the capacity of hepatic T_{RM} niches is large enough to lodge multiple T_{RM} cells with different specificities without displacing previously established cells (14). Therefore, newly formed liver T_{RM} cells do not displace existing T_{RM} cell populations (14). Intriguingly, T_{RM} cells induced by cognate antigen in secondary immune response are mainly developed from the pre-existing T_{RM} populations, instead of circulating memory T cells (90, 100). Therefore, cognate antigens contribute to the immune response mediated by T_{RM} cells and the construct of polyclonal T_{RM} cell repertoire.

The differentiation and development of liver T_{RM} cells can be mediated by multiple cytokines, including IL2, IL15, TGF β and IL10. IL2 is mainly produced by activated T cells. It promotes the growth, proliferation and differentiation of lymphocytes, and is essential for the body's immune response and antiviral infection. Interestingly, human liver CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells express high levels of IL2 (17, 36). The unusually high IL2 production of hepatic CD8⁺ T_{RM} may be important for their protective potential, as autocrine IL2 is needed to the persistence of memory responses to pathogens and secondary population expansion of CD8⁺ memory T cells (17, 101). In addition, IL15 is known to be involved in T_{RM} development and longevity. Although shares a receptor subunit with IL2, IL15 has a perceptible difference in immunomodulatory properties. Generally, IL15 induces the proliferation and survival of circulating memory CD8⁺ T cells (102, 103). Nevertheless, the upregulation of the IL15 receptors in memory CD8⁺ T cells indicating that IL15 stimulation may be essential for T_{RM} development (102). It was reported that IL15 was able to induce CD69, CXCR3 and CXCR6 expression on peripheral CD8 T cells in a dose-dependent manner, all of which were highly expressed on hepatic T_{RM} cells (17). Consistently, IL15 knockout mice prevent CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells development in the liver (14). Meanwhile, the expression of hepatic IL15 is positively correlated with T_{RM} cells in AIH liver (36). Therefore, the presence of IL15 may be essential for the formation of liver T_{RM} cells. Another important cytokine is the TGF β . TGF β is a pleiotropic cytokine that is produced in an inactive form, namely latency associated peptide (LAP). LAP can be activated by binding to integrin avß6 on epithelial cells and/or integrin $\alpha\nu\beta$ 8 on dendritic cells and endothelial cells (104). Activated-TGF β induces CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells to express CD103 as well as downregulate of EOMES (81, 98), which are mandatory for their generation, adhesion and long-term persistence in the liver. In fact, TGF β is capable of inducing liver-adapted T_{RM} cells, and importantly, hepatic TGF β is significantly correlated with T_{RM} cells infiltration in human liver (17, 18, 36). Actually, sequential exposure to IL-15 followed by TGFB efficiently induced de novo CD69⁺CD103⁺CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells, with similar frequencies to those found in healthy livers (17). These studies suggest that the expression of IL15 and TGF β in the liver promotes the development and residency of CD103⁺ T_{RM} cells in human. However, a recent mouse experiment showed that constitutive TGF β signaling did not accelerate the development of liver T_{RM} cells (105), indicating that TGF β may have functional heterogeneity in liver T_{RM} cells between human and mice. Meanwhile, monocyte-produced IL10 induced the release of surface-bound TGF β of antigen-presenting cells, while blocking IL10 reduced CD103 expression on T_{RM} cells (106). Therefore, IL10-mediated TGFB signaling may have a critical role in the generation and retention of liver T_{RM} cells.

Additionally, several cytokines have been reported to be involved in T_{RM} development outside the liver. For example, the IFN- β and IL12 are described to positively influence T_{RM} cells differentiation by regulating the expression of CD103 and CD69 in the intestine (107). Meanwhile, it is reported that hair follicle-derived IL7 is involved in CD4⁺ T_{RM} cells generation and persistence in the skin (108, 109). Intriguingly, hepatocytes are the main source of IL7 in the liver, and the hepatocyte-derived IL7 can promote the survival of memory CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (110). However, the specific role of these cytokines on the development of liver T_{RM} cells remains to be elucidated.

Metabolic profiles of liver T_{RM} cells

There are significant differences in the metabolic profiles of different T cell subsets. Several studied demonstrated that preferences for certain metabolic pathways for energy affect T_{RM} cells generation, tissue retention, and effector functions.

Generally, highly proliferative and active cells prefer the glycolytic pathway, while quiescent cells primarily use oxidative phosphorylation and FAO to generate ATP. Thereinto, mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), including two subunits of mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and mTORC2, is a

key regulator involved in regulating T cell nutrient metabolism, proliferation and activation (111). While activating, it induces glucose consumption to support T cell proliferation. There is strong evidence that mTOR plays an important role in the generation of T_{RM} cells (112). Rapamycin, an mTORC1 inhibitor, has been reported to induce the formation of memory CD8⁺ T cells but reduce T_{RM} production in the gut, thereby protecting mice from functional CD8⁺ T_{RM} cell-mediated intestinal autoimmunity (113). However, the exact effects of rapamycin on the liver T_{RM} cells are still under investigation.

Fatty acid binding proteins (FABPs) are a group of intracellular molecules that mediate the trafficking and metabolism of fatty acids (114). Reliance on FAO has recently been shown to be essential for the development and maturation of CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells (78). For example, studies on skin T_{RM} cells revealed that T_{RM} cells upregulate FABP4 and FABP5 so as to uptake and utilize exogenous free fatty acid (FFA) as an energy source for their survival. Consistently, the deficiency of FABP4 and FABP5 results in impaired functional properties and longevity of skin CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells, but not influence the survival of T_{CM} cells in vivo (78). However, T_{RM} cells from different tissues express distinct FABPs with selected in a tissuespecific fashion that is optimized for local fatty acid availability (78, 79). It has been demonstrated that liver T_{RM} cells express high levels of FABP1 and a low concentration of FABP4, but do not express FABP5 (79). In a murine model of LCMV infection, FABP1 deficiency mice manifested impaired T_{RM} cell development in the liver but not in the skin. Furthermore, the selective loss of liver T_{RM} cells could be restored upon reexpression of FABP1 (79). Interestingly, bezafibrate, the PPAR agonists that promote FAO, has been confirmed to improve the effector function of memory T cells (115). Therefore, a unique FAO regulator, FABP1, driven by a liver-specific microenvironment may be a promising target for intervention in hepatic T_{RM} cells.

Additionally, several studies revealed that P2X purinreceptor 7 (P2RX7) is required for the establishment, maintenance and functionality of T_{RM} cells. P2RX7 is a sensor for extracellular nucleotides that promotes mitochondrial homeostasis and metabolic function of memory CD8⁺ T cells (116). Importantly, P2RX7 supports T_{RM} development by enhancing $CD8^+$ T cell sensing of TGF β via upregulate the TGF β receptor II (TGFβRII) through calcineurin signaling. Meanwhile, P2RX7deficient T_{RM} cells progressively decayed and expressed dysregulated T_{RM}-specific markers such as CD103. Consistently, upregulation of TGF β RII expression rescued P2RX7-deficient T_{RM} cell generation as well as mitochondrial function (116), indicating that sustained P2RX7 signaling is required for long-term T_{RM} cell maintenance. However, another study demonstrated that P2RX7 activation in sterile tissue damage during acetaminophen-induced liver injury selectively enhanced the NAD-induced cell death of liver T_{RM} cells compared with circulating T cells, whereas concurrent TCR engagement promoted survival of T_{RM} cells (117). These studies suggest that differences in genetic background, microbiota as well as their metabolites might have caused discrepancies in the regulation of T_{RM} differentiation and maintenance by P2RX7.

Liver T_{RM} cells in the chronic liver disease

The porous epithelial layer is a unique feature of the liver, which not only enables the direct interaction of T_{RM} cells with hepatocytes, but also facilitates the encounter of cognate antigens by T_{RM} cells in the liver. T_{RM} cells that reside in the unique microenvironments of the liver not only develop in response to infection, such as viral or parasite infection, but are also detected in AILD, NAFLD, HCC and liver allografts. Below, we discuss the unique characteristics of T_{RM} cells in the local microenvironment of different chronic liver diseases, their role in disease progression, as well as their potential therapeutic value (Table 1).

Liver T_{RM} cells in viral infection

Hepatoviral infection is mainly caused by the hepatitis B (HBV) and hepatitis C (HCV) viruses and the course can be acute or chronic. Chronic infection with hepatotropic virus can cause liver damage, cirrhosis, liver failure, development of HCC, and even liver transplantation. It has been demonstrated that hepatic T_{RM} cells play a major antiviral immune response during chronic hepatic virus infections.

Pallett, J et al. were the first to report the virus-specific liver CD8⁺ T cells in chronic HBV infection, in which approximately 90% of them have a T_{RM} cell-like phenotype (CD69⁺CD103⁺ or CD69⁺CD103⁻) (17). $CD8^+$ T_{RM} cells can persist in the liver for several years after primary infection and expand in patients with HBV. Importantly, virus-specific CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells could still be detected in spontaneously recovered HBV patients, with effector functions equivalent to those from chronic HBV-infected patients (18), suggesting the long-term viral control of hepatic CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells. Virus-specific CD8⁺ T_{RM} are very efficient in their function. During HBV viral infection, PD-L1 expression is upregulated in hepatic sinusoidal endothelial cells and hepatocytes (118). PD-L1 on intrahepatic cells can interact with PD1 on T_{RM} cells, thereby dampening pro-inflammatory T_{RM} cell responses (19). Nevertheless, even though T_{RM} cells express high levels of the PD1, they readily produce IFN-γ, TNF-α, perforin, and IL2 upon stimulation (17). IFN- γ and TNF- α mediated control of HBV replication, while perforin may contribute to the directly elimination of infected hepatocytes (20, 21). Furthermore, IL2 production is most strikingly enhanced within CD69⁺CD103⁺ T_{RM} cells, which contributes to overcome PD-L1-mediated inhibition and exhaustion, stressing their ability for survival and maintenance (21,

119). Additionally, $CD8^+T_{RM}$ cells are enriched in HBV patients who achieved viral control, and their abundance is inversely correlated with HBV viral load, stressing that the virus-specific liver T_{RM} cells can control viral replication and contribute to the functional cure for HBV patients (17, 22). Therefore, liver T_{RM} cell expansion may be a potential therapeutic target for chronic HBV infection.

Additionally, a portion of HBV patients are co-infected with hepatitis D virus (HDV), which often indicates a poor prognosis. As the smallest known human virus, HDV has perfectly adapted to escape recognition by $CD8^+$ T cells restricted by common human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I alleles (120). A recent study suggested that antigen-nonspecific activation of hepatic $CD8^+$ T_{RM} cells may be involved in intrahepatic inflammation and disease progression in HDV infection (121).

CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells also play an essential role in long-term antiviral response in chronic HCV infection (23–25). In the chimpanzee model of HCV reinfection, depletion of CD8⁺ T cells resulted in prolonged the virus persistence and prevented effective viral clearance, while recovery of CD8⁺ T cells lead to virus eradication (26). Meanwhile, a large number of CD69⁺CD8⁺ T cells were detected in the liver of animals recovered after HCV infection, but not in the peripheral blood. These subsets may be hepatic T_{RM} cells, which are required for protection from persistent HCV Infection (26). Consistently, liver CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells are highly increased in chronic HCV patients and possess a specific activation and cytolytic potential and are important in controlling chronic HCV infection (27).

Besides hepatotropic virus infection, liver $CD8^+$ T_{RM} cells contribute to the effective clearance of Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) as well. In the murine model of LCMV infection, virus-specific T_{RM} cells in the liver could be influenced by other liver-resident immune cells. For example, deficiency of liver-resident natural killer (LrNK) cells increased both the frequency and antiviral activity of hepatic T_{RM} cells *via* the interaction of PD1 and PD-L1. Consistently, transfer of LrNK cells into LrNK-cell-deficient mice as well as PD-L1 inhibition restrain hepatic T_{RM} cell function, resulting in impaired viral clearance (122). Furthermore, during LCMV infection, other liver-resident T cells, such as $\gamma\delta$ T cells, also expand and promote viral clearance by producing IFN- γ and TNF- α (123).

Current studies suggest that hepatic T_{RM} cells may be involved in the clearance of viral infection, protect patients from persistent viral infection, and improve disease prognosis. However, the role of TRM cells in different viral infections in the liver remains to be further elucidated.

Liver T_{RM} cells in parasite infection

Besides viral infections, several studies have investigated the role of liver T_{RM} cells in parasitic infections, including malaria and leishmaniasis.

Malaria is an insect-borne infectious disease caused by the infection of Plasmodium through the bite of Anopheles mosquitoes or the transfusion of the blood of a person carrying Plasmodium (124). Plasmodium has a complex life cycle, including three stages in the liver, blood and mosquito. During infection of malaria, Plasmodium promotes the development of antigens-specific T_{RM} cells (16, 125-127). These T_{RM} cells could mediate protective immune responses through killing infected cells by producing pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IFN- γ and TNF- α (16, 30). Additionally, T_{RM} cell depletion abrogated an efficient immune response to a murine model of *Plasmodium* infection (31).Due to the protective immune response of T_{RM} cells against malaria, vaccination strategies that maximize intrahepatic Plasmodiumspecific T_{RM} development have emerged (16, 28, 29, 32-34, 127). An example is the *Plasmodium* ribosomal protein vaccine (15). One of the antigens for this vaccine is PbRPL6₁₂₀₋₁₂₇, a highly conserved H2-K^b-restricted epitope from the 60S ribosomal protein L6, expressed throughout the parasite life cycle, across Plasmodium species (15). It may be an optimal antigen for endogenous liver T_{RM} development and protection against malaria. A single dose of this vaccine could provide effective and prolonged sterilizing immunity against high dose sporozoite challenges (15). Indeed, people living in malaria-endemic areas do not acquire effective protection against reinfection from malaria (128), while attenuated Plasmodium falciparum sporozoite (SPZ) vaccine is highly protective against controlled human malaria infection 3 weeks after immunization (129), suggesting multiple, complex factors are likely responsible for the lack of development of sterilizing immunity to malaria through natural infection. Furthermore, the protection and long-term efficacy of existing vaccines are not satisfactory. Accordingly, to improve the T_{RM}-based vaccination against malaria in human, further investigation of the mechanisms that mediate Plasmodium-specific T_{RM} generation and function, assessment of the feasibility of currently known antigens, as well as identification of novel target epitopes are required.

Recently, the role of T_{RM} cells in Leishmaniasis was studied as well. Leishmaniasis is a zoonotic disease caused by Leishmania, which can cause cutaneous and visceral kala-azar in humans (130). There are various types of Leishmania in which Leishmania infantum (L. infantum) primarily infects the liver (131–133). During chronic L. infantum infection, liver T_{RM} cells are generated and play a protective role. Importantly, induction by the Leishmania proteins LirCyP1 and LirSOD promotes the expansion of hepatic T_{RM} cells, which could be a promising strategy for prophylactic or therapeutic vaccine formulations (131).

Taken together, hepatic T_{RM} cells are critical in parasitic infections, and the T_{RM} -based vaccination strategies could hold remarkable promise in providing long-term protection.

Liver T_{RM} cells in AILD

AILD is a group of liver inflammatory damage diseases mediated by abnormal autoimmunity, including autoimmune hepatitis (AIH), primary biliary cholangitis (PBC), primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), IgG4-related sclerosing cholangitis (IgG4-SC), etc. AIH is an inflammatory liver disease dominated by T cell-mediated hepatocyte injury. Antigen-specific CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells have been reported to characterize the liver tissue of subjects with indeterminate pediatric acute liver failure (PALF) and may serve as a novel biomarker for PALF due to AIH (37, 38). Recently, our group demonstrated that $CD69^+CD103^+CD8^+$ T_{RM} cells play an important role in the pathogenesis of AIH, and histological remission is accompanied by decreased hepatic CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells in AIH patients (36). In addition, hepatic $CD8^+$ T_{RM} cells from AIH patients expressed a higher level of PD-1, CXCR3 and granzyme B than those of healthy controls. Consistently, in AIH liver, both expression of IL15 and TGFB, cytokines that induce T_{RM} cells in vitro, were elevated, suggesting that the immunological microenvironment facilitates hepatic CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells development and residency (36). Intriguingly, Ecadherin, the natural ligand of CD103, is widely expressed in hepatocytes of AIH patients, and located closely to $CD8^+$ T_{RM} cells, which may contribute to the residency of $CD8^+ T_{RM}$ cells in the liver. Furthermore, E-cadherin is also widely expressed in cholangiocytes (53, 54), suggesting that $CD103^+$ T_{RM} cells may be involved in pathology of bile duct injury in cholestatic liver diseases, such as PBC and PSC. Interestingly, a recent study on biliary immune atlas revealed the presence of CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells in areas of biliary inflammation in PSC patients (134).

Liver T_{RM} cells in NAFLD

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is considered a hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome, hypertension and type 2 diabetes. Several studies have demonstrated that liverresident T cells and the proinflammatory immune response they elicit are involved in NAFLD disease progression (135-138). Generally, liver-resident $\gamma\delta T$ cells induce chronic liver inflammation by producing proinflammatory cytokines such as IL17A, IFN- γ , and TNF- α , contributing to the pathogenic immune response to NAFLD (123, 137, 139). Furthermore, systemic inflammation in obese patients is associated with increased T_{RM} cells in the liver and may be further involved in NAFLD disease progression. Importantly, activated T_{RM} cells are significantly increased in the liver and visceral fat of obese patients. These activated T_{RM} cells produce multiple pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL1 β , IL2, IL12, and IL15 (140), further contributing to the generation of T_{RM} cells in addition to the overall pro-inflammatory phenotype in obese patients.

Interestingly, a recent study revealed that CD69⁺CD103⁻ CD8⁺ T_{RM} cell may perform a protective role in resolving liver fibrosis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) (39). They demonstrated that the reduction of these CD8⁺ T_{RM} cells significantly delayed fibrosis resolution *via* influencing predisposed HSCs apoptosis, while adoptive transfer of these cells protected mice from fibrosis progression in a CCR5dependent manner (39). Therefore, the paradoxical roles of T_{RM} cells in NAFLD and their specific mechanisms remain to be further investigated.

Liver T_{RM} cells in HCC

HCC accounts for the majority of primary liver cancers and is currently one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths worldwide. The development of HCC is a complex multistep process caused by multiple risk factors, whereas the function of tumor-infiltrating T cells is important for moderating antitumor immunity in HCC development and determining the clinical fate of HCC patients (40). There are strong evidences that $CD103^+$ T_{RM} cells are enriched in HCC patients and associated with better prognosis (19, 41, 42).

In murine model of HCC, hepatic T_{RM} cells were significantly expanded, and their frequencies decreased during HCC progression (141). Meanwhile, hepatic T_{RM} cells in HCC have an exhausted phenotype, manifested by expression of PD1, LAG3, and TIM3 (40). Given that PD1 expression in T_{RM} cells in HCC is associated with poor disease outcome (142), immunotherapy targeting checkpoint inhibition has been applied to HCC (143, 144). During immunotherapy for HCC, PD1^{high} T_{RM} cells are the most sensitive cells to anti-PD-1 therapy to overcome tumor growth and progression (145). Additionally, other markers of exhaustion and inhibition, such as TIM3 and CTLA4, and pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IFN- γ and TNF- α , can also be simultaneously expressed on T_{RM} cells in HCC patients (142), suggesting that hepatic T_{RM} cells may be involved in direct killing of tumor cells. Overall, hepatic T_{RM} cells might play an extremely important role in both HCC development and anti-tumor therapy.

Liver T_{RM} cells in transplantation

Liver transplantation is the treatment of last option for endstage liver disease of various causes and severe acute liver failure. It has been reported that donor-derived T_{RM} cells are detectable in the liver allografts and that their abundancy could be correlated with organ survival and reduced rejection (146– 148). Specifically, long-term persistence of lung donor-derived T_{RM} cell is associated with reduced incidence of clinical events that precipitate allograft injury, including primary graft

dysfunction (PGD) and acute cellular rejection (ACR) (149). However, the association of liver donor-derived T_{RM} cells with the incidence of clinical events remains to be further elucidated (150). In liver allograft tissues, approximately 2-6% of CD8⁺ T cells had a donor-derived T_{RM} phenotype at 11 years posttransplantation (18), well demonstrating the longevity of human liver T_{RM} cells. Additionally, donor-derived T_{RM} cells from an HBV-infected liver allograft could migrate to draining lymph nodes with down-regulation of some T_{RM}-specific markers. However, they were not detectable in blood vessels (18). Interestingly, the same study demonstrated that a lower quantity of recipient-derived virus-specific T cells with a T_{RM}like phenotype were detected in the liver and blood (18), further revealing the extrahepatic origin of T_{RM} cells in the liver. Nevertheless, CMV-specific T_{RM} cells in human liver allografts did not acquire a T_{RM} phenotype in the liver, possibly due to the lack of relevant antigens in the liver.

Perspectives

The tissue retention and longevity of hepatic T_{RM} cells and their potent effector functions demonstrate their potential role in chronic liver diseases. The above studies have shown that hepatic T_{RM} cells play a protective role in viral and parasitic infection, NAFLD, HCC, and liver transplantation, whereas they might be pathogenic in AILD such as AIH. However, further studies are needed to reveal more mechanisms of T_{RM} cell biology, including the phenotype of T_{RM} cells and the specific mechanisms that regulate their development and differentiation. Furthermore, there are several key points regarding hepatic T_{RM} cells that remain to be investigated.

Firstly, T_{RM} cells are heterogeneous, and the subsets of T_{RM} cells that function in the liver under different conditions will differ in the expression of surface markers and biological behavior. For example, the predominant T_{RM} cells associated with the pathogenesis of AIH are CD8⁺CD69⁺CD103⁺ T_{RM} cells that highly express PD1, CXCR3 and granzyme B (36); whereas liver T_{RM} cells of patients with acute hepatitis A are mainly CD8⁺CD69⁺CD103⁻ T_{RM} cells that express high levels of HIF-2 α (55). T_{RM} cells are essential for the adaptive immune response. While interfering different chronic liver diseases by hepatic T_{RM} cells, the biological function and disease specificity of the corresponding T_{RM} cells should be carefully considered. Therefore, identifying the specific subsets of hepatic T_{RM} cells that play a major role in the chronic liver diseases will help to define precise future intervention strategies.

Secondly, since the liver is an immune organ, we should pay attention to the crosstalk of other immune cells in the liver to hepatic $T_{\rm RM}$ cells. Clarify whether they are cooperative or antagonistic is of great significance. It has been shown that LrNK cells can reduce the frequency and antiviral activity of hepatic $T_{\rm RM}$ cells through the interaction of PD1 and PD-L1

during LCMV infection (122). However, the interaction among other liver-resident cells remains to be further investigated. For example, liver-resident $\gamma\delta$ T cells, participate in the pathogenic immune response to NAFLD by producing proinflammatory cytokines (123), are capable of form a long-lived resident memory-like subpopulation upon local inflammation or infection. Nevertheless, it is still unclear whether there is crosstalk between unconventional $\gamma\delta$ T_{RM} cells and conventional $\alpha\beta$ T_{RM} cells. Accordingly, clarifying these interactions will shed light on the overall immune homeostasis of the liver and lay the groundwork for developing holistic therapies.

Thirdly, given that the biliary system that communicates with the digestive tract and the portal blood that flows directly into the liver may contain various gut-derived microorganisms as well as their metabolites, hepatic T_{RM} cells are chronically exposed to, and may be trained by them. Whether the composition of the gut microbiome, specific species of the gut microbiome or their metabolites would influence the phenotype and development of hepatic T_{RM} cells are unknown yet. Elucidating these interactions may open up new avenues for the realization of therapeutic strategies for "enteric treatment of liver disease".

To conclude, hepatic T_{RM} cells are considered to play a crucial role in various chronic liver diseases. Elucidating and characterizing the underlying mechanisms of hepatic T_{RM} cells will shed light on the control of chronic liver diseases and provide promising strategies for precision immunotherapy in different chronic liver diseases.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Sheridan BS, Lefrançois L. Regional and mucosal memory T cells. Nat Immunol (2011) 12:485–91. doi: 10.1038/ni.2029

2. Shin H, Iwasaki A. Tissue-resident memory T cells. Immunol Rev (2013) 255:165-81. doi: 10.1111/imr.12087

3. Watanabe R, Gehad A, Yang C, Scott LL, Teague JE, Schlapbach C, et al. Human skin is protected by four functionally and phenotypically discrete populations of resident and recirculating memory T cells. *Sci Transl Med* (2015) 7(279):279ra39. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3010302

4. Sallusto F, Lenig D, Förster R, Lipp M, Lanzavecchia A. Two subsets of memory T lymphocytes with distinct homing potentials and effector functions. *Nature* (1999) 401:708–12. doi: 10.1038/44385

5. Mueller SN, Mackay LK. Tissue-resident memory T cells: Local specialists in immune defence. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2016) 16:79–89. doi: 10.1038/nri.2015.3

6. Sun H, Sun C, Xiao W, Sun R. Tissue-resident lymphocytes: From adaptive to innate immunity. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2019) 16:205–15. doi: 10.1038/s41423-018-0192-y

7. Brummelman J, Pilipow K, Lugli E. The single-cell phenotypic identity of human CD8(+) and CD4(+) T cells. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol* (2018) 341:63–124. doi: 10.1016/bs.ircmb.2018.05.007

8. Gebhardt T, Whitney PG, Zaid A, Mackay LK, Brooks AG, Heath WR, et al. Different patterns of peripheral migration by memory CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. *Nature* (2011) 477:216–9. doi: 10.1038/nature10339

9. Tokura Y, Phadungsaksawasdi P, Kurihara K, Fujiyama T, Honda T. Pathophysiology of skin resident memory T cells. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:618897. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.618897

10. Hirahara K, Kokubo K, Aoki A, Kiuchi M, Nakayama T. The role of CD4(+) resident memory T cells in local immunity in the mucosal tissue - protection versus pathology. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:616309. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.616309

11. Ariotti S, Haanen JB, Schumacher TN. Behavior and function of tissueresident memory T cells. *Adv Immunol* (2012) 114:203–16. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-396548-6.00008-1

12. Li P, Zhang Y, Xu Y, Cao H, Li L. Characteristics of CD8+ and CD4+ tissueresident memory lymphocytes in the gastrointestinal tract. *Advanced Gut Microbiome Res* (2022) 2022:9157455. doi: 10.1155/2022/9157455

13. Doherty DG. Immunity, tolerance and autoimmunity in the liver: A comprehensive review. *J Autoimmun* (2016) 66:60-75. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2015.08.020

14. Holz LE, Prier JE, Freestone D, Steiner TM, English K, Johnson DN, et al. CD8(+) T cell activation leads to constitutive formation of liver tissue-resident memory T cells that seed a Large and flexible niche in the liver. *Cell Rep* (2018) 25:68–79.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.08.094

15. Valencia-Hernandez AM, Ng WY, Ghazanfari N, Ghilas S, de Menezes MN, Holz LE, et al. A natural peptide antigen within the plasmodium ribosomal protein RPL6 confers liver T(RM) cell-mediated immunity against malaria in mice. *Cell Host Microbe* (2020) 27:950–962.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2020.04.010

16. Fernandez-Ruiz D, Ng WY, Holz LE, Ma JZ, Zaid A, Wong YC, et al. Liverresident memory CD8(+) T cells form a front-line defense against malaria liverstage infection. *Immunity* (2016) 45:889–902. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.08.011

17. Pallett LJ, Davies J, Colbeck EJ, Robertson F, Hansi N, Easom NJW, et al. IL-2(high) tissue-resident T cells in the human liver: Sentinels for hepatotropic infection. J Exp Med (2017) 214:1567–80. doi: 10.1084/jem.20162115

18. Pallett LJ, Burton AR, Amin OE, Rodriguez-Tajes S, Patel AA, Zakeri N, et al. Longevity and replenishment of human liver-resident memory T cells and mononuclear phagocytes. *J Exp Med* (2020) 217(9):e20200050. doi: 10.1084/jem.20200050

19. Lim CJ, Lee YH, Pan L, Lai L, Chua C, Wasser M, et al. Multidimensional analyses reveal distinct immune microenvironment in hepatitis b virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gut* (2019) 68:916–27. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316510

20. Guidotti LG, Rochford R, Chung J, Shapiro M, Purcell R, Chisari FV. Viral clearance without destruction of infected cells during acute HBV infection. *Science* (1999) 284:825–9. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5415.825

21. Bolte FJ, Rehermann B. Tissue-resident T cells in hepatitis b: A new target for cure? J Exp Med (2017) 214:1564–6. doi: 10.1084/jem.20170842

22. Dusséaux M, Masse-Ranson G, Darche S, Ahodantin J, Li Y, Fiquet O, et al. Viral load affects the immune response to HBV in mice with humanized immune system and liver. *Gastroenterology* (2017) 153:1647-1661.e9. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.08.034

23. Pirozyan MR, Nguyen N, Cameron B, Luciani F, Bull RA, Zekry A, et al. Chemokine-regulated recruitment of antigen-specific T-cell subpopulations to the

liver in acute and chronic hepatitis c infection. J Infect Dis (2019) 219:1430-8. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiy679

24. Stelma F, de Niet A, Sinnige MJ, van Dort KA, van Gisbergen K, Verheij J, et al. Human intrahepatic CD69 + CD8+ T cells have a tissue resident memory T cell phenotype with reduced cytolytic capacity. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7:6172. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-06352-3

25. Abdel-Hakeem MS, Bédard N, Murphy D, Bruneau J, Shoukry NH. Signatures of protective memory immune responses during hepatitis c virus reinfection. *Gastroenterology* (2014) 147:870-881.e8. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2014.07.005

26. Shoukry NH, Grakoui A, Houghton M, Chien DY, Ghrayeb J, Reimann KA, et al. Memory CD8+ T cells are required for protection from persistent hepatitis c virus infection. *J Exp Med* (2003) 197:1645–55. doi: 10.1084/jem.20030239

27. Tonnerre P, Wolski D, Subudhi S, Aljabban J, Hoogeveen RC, Damasio M, et al. Differentiation of exhausted CD8(+) T cells after termination of chronic antigen stimulation stops short of achieving functional T cell memory. *Nat Immunol* (2021) 22:1030–41. doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-00982-6

28. Holz LE, Chua YC, de Menezes MN, Anderson RJ, Draper SL, Compton BJ, et al. Glycolipid-peptide vaccination induces liver-resident memory CD8(+) T cells that protect against rodent malaria. *Sci Immunol* (2020) 5(48):eaaz8035. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aaz8035

29. Gola A, Silman D, Walters AA, Sridhar S, Uderhardt S, Salman AM, et al. Prime and target immunization protects against liver-stage malaria in mice. *Sci Transl Med* (2018) 10(460). doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aap9128

30. Grand M, Waqasi M, Demarta-Gatsi C, Wei Y, Peronet R, Commere PH, et al. Hepatic inflammation confers protective immunity against liver stages of malaria parasite. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:585502. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.585502

31. Fernandez-Ruiz D, de Menezes MN, Holz LE, Ghilas S, Heath WR, Beattie L. Harnessing liver-resident memory T cells for protection against malaria. *Expert Rev Vaccines* (2021) 20:127–41. doi: 10.1080/14760584.2021.1881485

32. Olsen TM, Stone BC, Chuenchob V, Murphy SC. Prime-and-Trap malaria vaccination to generate protective CD8(+) liver-resident memory T cells. *J Immunol* (2018) 201:1984–93. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1800740

33. Ghilas S, Enders MH, May R, Holz LE, Fernandez-Ruiz D, Cozijnsen A, et al. Development of plasmodium-specific liver-resident memory CD8(+) T cells after heat-killed sporozoite immunization in mice. *Eur J Immunol* (2021) 51:1153–65. doi: 10.1002/eji.202048757

34. Noé A, Datoo MS, Flaxman A, Husainy MA, Jenkin D, Bellamy D, et al. Deep immune phenotyping and single-cell transcriptomics allow identification of circulating TRM-like cells which correlate with liver-stage immunity and vaccine-induced protection from malaria. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:795463. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.795463

35. Lefebvre MN, Surette FA, Anthony SM, Vijay R, Jensen IJ, Pewe LL, et al. Expeditious recruitment of circulating memory CD8 T cells to the liver facilitates control of malaria. *Cell Rep* (2021) 37:109956. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109956

36. You Z, Li Y, Wang Q, Zhao Z, Li Y, Qian Q, et al. The clinical significance of hepatic CD69(+) CD103(+) CD8(+) resident-memory T cells in autoimmune hepatitis. *Hepatology* (2021) 74:847–63. doi: 10.1002/hep.31739

 Chapin CA, Burn T, Meijome T, Loomes KM, Melin-Aldana H, Kreiger PA, et al. Indeterminate pediatric acute liver failure is uniquely characterized by a CD103(+) CD8(+) T-cell infiltrate. *Hepatology* (2018) 68:1087–100. doi: 10.1002/ hep.29901

38. Chapin CA, Melin-Aldana H, Kreiger PA, Burn T, Neighbors K, Taylor SA, et al. Activated CD8 T-cell hepatitis in children with indeterminate acute liver failure: Results from a multicenter cohort. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* (2020) 71:713–9. doi: 10.1097/mpg.00000000002893

39. Koda Y, Teratani T, Chu PS, Hagihara Y, Mikami Y, Harada Y, et al. CD8(+) tissue-resident memory T cells promote liver fibrosis resolution by inducing apoptosis of hepatic stellate cells. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12:4474. doi: 10.1038/ s41467-021-24734-0

40. Chew V, Lai L, Pan L, Lim CJ, Li J, Ong R, et al. Delineation of an immunosuppressive gradient in hepatocellular carcinoma using high-dimensional proteomic and transcriptomic analyses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2017) 114: E5900–e5909. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1706559114

41. Wang T, Shen Y, Luyten S, Yang Y, Jiang X. Tissue-resident memory CD8 (+) T cells in cancer immunology and immunotherapy. *Pharmacol Res* (2020) 159:104876. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2020.104876

42. Wei J, Ishizuka JJ. Going viral: HBV-specific CD8(+) tissue-resident memory T cells propagate anti-tumor immunity. *Immunity* (2021) 54:1630–2. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.07.014

43. Hollingshead N, Wu X, Kenerson H, Chen A, Strickland I, Horton H, et al. Functional responses of resident human T cells in intact liver tissue. *Cell Immunol* (2021) 360:104275. doi: 10.1016/j.cellimm.2020.104275

44. Skon CN, Lee JY, Anderson KG, Masopust D, Hogquist KA, Jameson SC. Transcriptional downregulation of S1pr1 is required for the establishment of resident memory CD8+ T cells. *Nat Immunol* (2013) 14:1285–93. doi: 10.1038/ni.2745

45. Huang B, Lyu Z, Qian Q, Chen Y, Zhang J, Li B, et al. NUDT1 promotes the accumulation and longevity of CD103(+) TRM cells in primary biliary cholangitis. *J Hepatol* (2022) S0168-8278(22):00408–1. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2022.06.014

46. Tse SW, Radtke AJ, Espinosa DA, Cockburn IA, Zavala F. The chemokine receptor CXCR6 is required for the maintenance of liver memory CD8⁺ T cells specific for infectious pathogens. *J Infect Dis* (2014) 210:1508–16. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiu281

47. Dudek M, Pfister D, Donakonda S, Filpe P, Schneider A, Laschinger M, et al. Auto-aggressive CXCR6(+) CD8 T cells cause liver immune pathology in NASH. *Nature* (2021) 592:444–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03233-8

48. Mackay LK, Braun A, Macleod BL, Collins N, Tebartz C, Bedoui S, et al. Cutting edge: CD69 interference with sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor function regulates peripheral T cell retention. *J Immunol* (2015) 194:2059–63. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1402256

49. Shiow LR, Rosen DB, Brdicková N, Xu Y, An J, Lanier LL, et al. CD69 acts downstream of interferon-alpha/beta to inhibit S1P1 and lymphocyte egress from lymphoid organs. *Nature* (2006) 440:540–4. doi: 10.1038/nature04606

50. Bankovich AJ, Shiow LR, Cyster JG. CD69 suppresses sphingosine 1phosophate receptor-1 (S1P1) function through interaction with membrane helix 4. *J Biol Chem* (2010) 285:22328–37. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.123299

51. El-Asady R, Yuan R, Liu K, Wang D, Gress RE, Lucas PJ, et al. TGF-{beta}dependent CD103 expression by CD8(+) T cells promotes selective destruction of the host intestinal epithelium during graft-versus-host disease. *J Exp Med* (2005) 201:1647–57. doi: 10.1084/jem.20041044

52. Cepek KL, Shaw SK, Parker CM, Russell GJ, Morrow JS, Rimm DL, et al. Adhesion between epithelial cells and T lymphocytes mediated by e-cadherin and the alpha e beta 7 integrin. *Nature* (1994) 372:190–3. doi: 10.1038/372190a0

53. Graham JJ, Mukherjee S, Yuksel M, Sanabria Mateos R, Si T, Huang Z, et al. Aberrant hepatic trafficking of gut-derived T cells is not specific to primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Hepatology* (2022) 75:518–30. doi: 10.1002/hep.32193

54. Li Y, Li B, You Z, Zhang J, Wei Y, Li Y, et al. Cytotoxic KLRG1 expressing lymphocytes invade portal tracts in primary biliary cholangitis. *J Autoimmun* (2019) 103:102293. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2019.06.004

55. Kim JH, Han JW, Choi YJ, Rha MS, Koh JY, Kim KH, et al. Functions of human liver CD69(+)CD103(-)CD8(+) T cells depend on HIF-2 α activity in healthy and pathologic livers. *J Hepatol* (2020) 72:1170–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.01.010

56. Franciszkiewicz K, Le Floc'h A, Boutet M, Vergnon I, Schmitt A, Mami-Chouaib F. CD103 or LFA-1 engagement at the immune synapse between cytotoxic T cells and tumor cells promotes maturation and regulates T-cell effector functions. *Cancer Res* (2013) 73:617–28. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.Can-12-2569

57. McNamara HA, Cai Y, Wagle MV, Sontani Y, Roots CM, Miosge LA, et al. Up-regulation of LFA-1 allows liver-resident memory T cells to patrol and remain in the hepatic sinusoids. *Sci Immunol* (2017) 2(9):eaaj1996. doi: 10.1126/ sciimmunol.aaj1996

58. Conrad C, Boyman O, Tonel G, Tun-Kyi A, Laggner U, de Fougerolles A, et al. Alpha1beta1 integrin is crucial for accumulation of epidermal T cells and the development of psoriasis. *Nat Med* (2007) 13:836–42. doi: 10.1038/nm1605

59. Ray SJ, Franki SN, Pierce RH, Dimitrova S, Koteliansky V, Sprague AG, et al. The collagen binding alpha1beta1 integrin VLA-1 regulates CD8 T cell-mediated immune protection against heterologous influenza infection. *Immunity* (2004) 20:167–79. doi: 10.1016/s1074-7613(04)00021-4

60. Richter MV, Topham DJ. The alpha1beta1 integrin and TNF receptor II protect airway CD8+ effector T cells from apoptosis during influenza infection. *J Immunol* (2007) 179:5054–63. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.179.8.5054

61. Meharra EJ, Schön M, Hassett D, Parker C, Havran W, Gardner H. Reduced gut intraepithelial lymphocytes in VLA1 null mice. *Cell Immunol* (2000) 201:1–5. doi: 10.1006/cimm.2000.1630

62. Cheuk S, Schlums H, Gallais Sérézal I, Martini E, Chiang SC, Marquardt N, et al. CD49a expression defines tissue-resident CD8(+) T cells poised for cytotoxic function in human skin. *Immunity* (2017) 46:287–300. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.01.009

63. Griffith JW, Sokol CL, Luster AD. Chemokines and chemokine receptors: positioning cells for host defense and immunity. *Annu Rev Immunol* (2014) 32:659–702. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120145

64. Kumar BV, Ma W, Miron M, Granot T, Guyer RS, Carpenter DJ, et al. Human tissue-resident memory T cells are defined by core transcriptional and

functional signatures in lymphoid and mucosal sites. Cell Rep (2017) 20:2921-34. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.08.078

65. Zaid A, Hor JL, Christo SN, Groom JR, Heath WR, Mackay LK, et al. Chemokine receptor-dependent control of skin tissue-resident memory T cell formation. *J Immunol* (2017) 199:2451–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1700571

66. Kumar BV, Kratchmarov R, Miron M, Carpenter DJ, Senda T, Lerner H, et al. Functional heterogeneity of human tissue-resident memory T cells based on dye efflux capacities. *JCI Insight* (2018) 3(22). doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.123568

67. Pichyangkul S, Spring MD, Yongvanitchit K, Kum-Arb U, Limsalakpetch A, Im-Erbsin R, et al. Chemoprophylaxis with sporozoite immunization in p. knowlesi rhesus monkeys confers protection and elicits sporozoite-specific memory T cells in the liver. *PloS One* (2017) 12:e0171826. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0171826

68. Geissmann F, Cameron TO, Sidobre S, Manlongat N, Kronenberg M, Briskin MJ, et al. Intravascular immune surveillance by CXCR6+ NKT cells patrolling liver sinusoids. *PloS Biol* (2005) 3:e113. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030113

69. Milner JJ, Goldrath AW. Transcriptional programming of tissue-resident memory CD8(+) T cells. *Curr Opin Immunol* (2018) 51:162–9. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2018.03.017

70. Mackay LK, Minnich M, Kragten NA, Liao Y, Nota B, Seillet C, et al. Hobit and Blimp1 instruct a universal transcriptional program of tissue residency in lymphocytes. *Science* (2016) 352:459–63. doi: 10.1126/science.aad2035

71. Bird L. Lymphocyte responses: Hunker down with HOBIT and BLIMP1. Nat Rev Immunol (2016) 16:338–9. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.61

72. Förster R, Davalos-Misslitz AC, Rot A. CCR7 and its ligands: balancing immunity and tolerance. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2008) 8:362–71. doi: 10.1038/nri2297

73. Park S, Park J, Kim E, Lee Y. The Capicua/ETS translocation variant 5 axis regulates liver-resident memory CD8(+) T-cell development and the pathogenesis of liver injury. *Hepatology* (2019) 70:358–71. doi: 10.1002/hep.30594

74. Behr FM, Chuwonpad A, Stark R, van Gisbergen K. Armed and ready: Transcriptional regulation of tissue-resident memory CD8 T cells. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:1770. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01770

75. Hombrink P, Helbig C, Backer RA, Piet B, Oja AE, Stark R, et al. Programs for the persistence, vigilance and control of human CD8(+) lung-resident memory T cells. *Nat Immunol* (2016) 17:1467–78. doi: 10.1038/ni.3589

76. Milner JJ, Toma C, Yu B, Zhang K, Omilusik K, Phan AT, et al. Runx3 programs CD8(+) T cell residency in non-lymphoid tissues and tumours. *Nature* (2017) 552:253–7. doi: 10.1038/nature24993

77. Kragten NAM, Behr FM, Vieira Braga FA, Remmerswaal EBM, Wesselink TH, Oja AE, et al. Blimp-1 induces and hobit maintains the cytotoxic mediator granzyme b in CD8 T cells. *Eur J Immunol* (2018) 48:1644–62. doi: 10.1002/eii.201847771

78. Pan Y, Tian T, Park CO, Lofftus SY, Mei S, Liu X, et al. Survival of tissueresident memory T cells requires exogenous lipid uptake and metabolism. *Nature* (2017) 543:252-6. doi: 10.1038/nature21379

79. Frizzell H, Fonseca R, Christo SN, Evrard M, Cruz-Gomez S, Zanluqui NG, et al. Organ-specific isoform selection of fatty acid-binding proteins in tissueresident lymphocytes. *Sci Immunol* (2020) 5(46):eaay9283. doi: 10.1126/ sciimmunol.aay9283

80. Li C, Zhu B, Son YM, Wang Z, Jiang L, Xiang M, et al. The transcription factor Bhlhe40 programs mitochondrial regulation of resident CD8(+) T cell fitness and functionality. *Immunity* (2019) 51:491–507.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.08.013

81. Mackay LK, Wynne-Jones E, Freestone D, Pellicci DG, Mielke LA, Newman DM, et al. T-Box transcription factors combine with the cytokines TGF- β and IL-15 to control tissue-resident memory T cell fate. *Immunity* (2015) 43:1101–11. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.11.008

82. Horras CJ, Lamb CL, King AL, Hanley JR, Mitchell KA. Consequences of TCDD treatment on intra-hepatic lymphocytes during liver regeneration. *J Immunotoxicol* (2012) 9:359–67. doi: 10.3109/1547691x.2012.664577

83. Hijnen D, Knol EF, Gent YY, Giovannone B, Beijn SJ, Kupper TS, et al. CD8 (+) T cells in the lesional skin of atopic dermatitis and psoriasis patients are an important source of IFN-γ, IL-13, IL-17, and IL-22. J Invest Dermatol (2013) 133:973–9. doi: 10.1038/jid.2012.456

84. Parga-Vidal L, Behr FM, Kragten NAM, Nota B, Wesselink TH, Kavazović I, et al. Hobit identifies tissue-resident memory T cell precursors that are regulated by eomes. *Sci Immunol* (2021) 6(62):eabg3533. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abg3533

85. Sun R, Wu Y, Zhou H, Wu Y, Yang Z, Gu Y, et al. Eomes impedes durable response to tumor immunotherapy by inhibiting stemness, tissue residency, and promoting the dysfunctional state of intratumoral CD8(+) T cells. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2021) 9:640224. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.640224

86. Boddupalli CS, Nair S, Gray SM, Nowyhed HN, Verma R, Gibson JA, et al. ABC Transporters and NR4A1 identify a quiescent subset of tissue-resident memory T cells. *J Clin Invest* (2016) 126:3905–16. doi: 10.1172/jci85329

87. Odagiu L, Boulet S, Maurice De Sousa D, Daudelin JF, Nicolas S, Labrecque N. Early programming of CD8(+) T cell response by the orphan nuclear receptor NR4A3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2020) 117:24392–402. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2007224117

88. Kurd NS, He Z, Louis TL, Milner JJ, Omilusik KD, Jin W, et al. Early precursors and molecular determinants of tissue-resident memory CD8(+) T lymphocytes revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Sci Immunol* (2020) 5(47): eaaz6894. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aaz6894

89. Bottois H, Ngollo M, Hammoudi N, Courau T, Bonnereau J, Chardiny V, et al. KLRG1 and CD103 expressions define distinct intestinal tissue-resident memory CD8 T cell subsets modulated in crohn's disease. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:896. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00896

90. Fonseca R, Beura LK, Quarnstrom CF, Ghoneim HE, Fan Y, Zebley CC, et al. Developmental plasticity allows outside-in immune responses by resident memory T cells. *Nat Immunol* (2020) 21:412–21. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-0607-7

91. Behr FM, Parga-Vidal L, Kragten NAM, van Dam TJP, Wesselink TH, Sheridan BS, et al. Tissue-resident memory CD8(+) T cells shape local and systemic secondary T cell responses. *Nat Immunol* (2020) 21:1070–81. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-0723-4

92. Gaide O, Emerson RO, Jiang X, Gulati N, Nizza S, Desmarais C, et al. Common clonal origin of central and resident memory T cells following skin immunization. *Nat Med* (2015) 21:647–53. doi: 10.1038/nm.3860

93. Maru S, Jin G, Schell TD, Lukacher AE. TCR stimulation strength is inversely associated with establishment of functional brain-resident memory CD8 T cells during persistent viral infection. *PloS Pathog* (2017) 13:e1006318. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006318

94. Frost EL, Kersh AE, Evavold BD, Lukacher AE. Cutting edge: Resident memory CD8 T cells express high-affinity TCRs. J Immunol (2015) 195:3520-4. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1501521

95. Krummey SM, Chen CW, Guasch SA, Liu D, Wagener M, Larsen CP, et al. Enhanced requirement for TNFR2 in graft rejection mediated by low-affinity memory CD8+ T cells during heterologous immunity. *J Immunol* (2016) 197:2009– 15. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1502680

96. Yoshizawa A, Bi K, Keskin DB, Zhang G, Reinhold B, Reinherz EL. TCRpMHC encounter differentially regulates transcriptomes of tissue-resident CD8 T cells. *Eur J Immunol* (2018) 48:128–50. doi: 10.1002/eji.201747174

97. Joshi NS, Cui W, Chandele A, Lee HK, Urso DR, Hagman J, et al. Inflammation directs memory precursor and short-lived effector CD8(+) T cell fates *via* the graded expression of T-bet transcription factor. *Immunity* (2007) 27:281–95. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2007.07.010

98. Mackay LK, Rahimpour A, Ma JZ, Collins N, Stock AT, Hafon ML, et al. The developmental pathway for CD103(+)CD8+ tissue-resident memory T cells of skin. *Nat Immunol* (2013) 14:1294–301. doi: 10.1038/ni.2744

99. Herndler-Brandstetter D, Ishigame H, Shinnakasu R, Plajer V, Stecher C, Zhao J, et al. KLRG1(+) effector CD8(+) T cells lose KLRG1, differentiate into all memory T cell lineages, and convey enhanced protective immunity. *Immunity* (2018) 48:716–729.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.03.015

100. Park SL, Zaid A, Hor JL, Christo SN, Prier JE, Davies B, et al. Local proliferation maintains a stable pool of tissue-resident memory T cells after antiviral recall responses. *Nat Immunol* (2018) 19:183–91. doi: 10.1038/s41590-017-0027-5

101. Feau S, Arens R, Togher S, Schoenberger SP. Autocrine IL-2 is required for secondary population expansion of CD8(+) memory T cells. *Nat Immunol* (2011) 12:908–13. doi: 10.1038/ni.2079

102. Burkett PR, Koka R, Chien M, Chai S, Boone DL, Ma A. Coordinate expression and trans presentation of interleukin (IL)-15Ralpha and IL-15 supports natural killer cell and memory CD8+ T cell homeostasis. *J Exp Med* (2004) 200:825–34. doi: 10.1084/jem.20041389

103. Becker TC, Wherry EJ, Boone D, Murali-Krishna K, Antia R, Ma A, et al. Interleukin 15 is required for proliferative renewal of virus-specific memory CD8 T cells. *J Exp Med* (2002) 195:1541–8. doi: 10.1084/jem.20020369

104. Mohammed J, Beura LK, Bobr A, Astry B, Chicoine B, Kashem SW, et al. Stromal cells control the epithelial residence of DCs and memory T cells by regulated activation of TGF- β . *Nat Immunol* (2016) 17:414–21. doi: 10.1038/ni.3396

105. Christo SN, Evrard M, Park SL, Gandolfo LC, Burn TN, Fonseca R, et al. Discrete tissue microenvironments instruct diversity in resident memory T cell function and plasticity. *Nat Immunol* (2021) 22:1140–51. doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-01004-1

106. Thompson EA, Darrah PA, Foulds KE, Hoffer E, Caffrey-Carr A, Norenstedt S, et al. Monocytes acquire the ability to prime tissue-resident T cells *via* IL-10-Mediated TGF- β release. *Cell Rep* (2019) 28:1127–1135.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.06.087

107. Bergsbaken T, Bevan MJ, Fink PJ. Local inflammatory cues regulate differentiation and persistence of CD8(+) tissue-resident memory T cells. *Cell Rep* (2017) 19:114–24. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.031

108. Adachi T, Kobayashi T, Sugihara E, Yamada T, Ikuta K, Pittaluga S, et al. Hair follicle-derived IL-7 and IL-15 mediate skin-resident memory T cell homeostasis and lymphoma. *Nat Med* (2015) 21:1272–9. doi: 10.1038/nm.3962

109. Barata JT, Durum SK, Seddon B. Flip the coin: IL-7 and IL-7R in health and disease. *Nat Immunol* (2019) 20:1584–93. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0479-x

110. Sawa Y, Arima Y, Ogura H, Kitabayashi C, Jiang JJ, Fukushima T, et al. Hepatic interleukin-7 expression regulates T cell responses. *Immunity* (2009) 30:447–57. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2009.01.007

111. Waickman AT, Powell JD. mTOR, metabolism, and the regulation of T-cell differentiation and function. *Immunol Rev* (2012) 249:43–58. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2012.01152.x

112. Sowell RT, Marzo AL. Resident-memory CD8 T cells and mTOR: Generation, protection, and clinical importance. *Front Immunol* (2015) 6:38. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00038

113. Sowell RT, Rogozinska M, Nelson CE, Vezys V, Marzo AL. Cutting edge: Generation of effector cells that localize to mucosal tissues and form resident memory CD8 T cells is controlled by mTOR. *J Immunol* (2014) 193:2067–71. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1400074

114. Chmurzyńska A. The multigene family of fatty acid-binding proteins (FABPs): function, structure and polymorphism. J Appl Genet (2006) 47:39–48. doi: 10.1007/bf03194597

115. Chowdhury PS, Chamoto K, Kumar A, Honjo T. PPAR-induced fatty acid oxidation in T cells increases the number of tumor-reactive CD8(+) T cells and facilitates anti-PD-1 therapy. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2018) 6:1375–87. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.Cir-18-0095

116. Borges da Silva H, Beura LK, Wang H, Hanse EA, Gore R, Scott MC, et al. The purinergic receptor P2RX7 directs metabolic fitness of long-lived memory CD8(+) T cells. *Nature* (2018) 559:264–8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0282-0

117. Stark R, Wesselink TH, Behr FM, Kragten NAM, Arens R, Koch-Nolte F, et al. T (RM) maintenance is regulated by tissue damage *via* P2RX7. *Sci Immunol* (2018) 3(30):eaau1022. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aau1022

118. Mühlbauer M, Fleck M, Schütz C, Weiss T, Froh M, Blank C, et al. PD-L1 is induced in hepatocytes by viral infection and by interferon-alpha and -gamma and mediates T cell apoptosis. *J Hepatol* (2006) 45:520–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2006.05.007

119. Maini MK, Schurich A. The molecular basis of the failed immune response in chronic HBV: Therapeutic implications. *J Hepatol* (2010) 52:616–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2009.12.017

120. Oberhardt V, Hofmann M, Thimme R, Neumann-Haefelin C. Adaptive immune responses, immune escape and immune-mediated pathogenesis during HDV infection. *Viruses* (2022) 14(2):198. doi: 10.3390/v14020198

121. Kefalakes H, Horgan XJ, Jung MK, Amanakis G, Kapuria D, Bolte FJ, et al. Liver-resident bystander CD8(+) T cells contribute to liver disease pathogenesis in chronic hepatitis d virus infection. *Gastroenterology* (2021) 161:1567–1583.e9. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.07.027

122. Zhou J, Peng H, Li K, Qu K, Wang B, Wu Y, et al. Liver-resident NK cells control antiviral activity of hepatic T cells *via* the PD-1-PD-L1 axis. *Immunity* (2019) 50:403–417.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.12.024

123. Hunter S, Willcox CR, Davey MS, Kasatskaya SA, Jeffery HC, Chudakov DM, et al. Human liver infiltrating $\gamma\delta$ T cells are composed of clonally expanded circulating and tissue-resident populations. *J Hepatol* (2018) 69:654–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.05.007

124. Cockburn IA, Seder RA. Malaria prevention: from immunological concepts to effective vaccines and protective antibodies. *Nat Immunol* (2018) 19:1199–211. doi: 10.1038/s41590-018-0228-6

125. Berenzon D, Schwenk RJ, Letellier L, Guebre-Xabier M, Williams J, Krzych U. Protracted protection to plasmodium berghei malaria is linked to functionally and phenotypically heterogeneous liver memory CD8+ T cells. *J Immunol* (2003) 171:2024–34. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.171.4.2024

126. Tse SW, Cockburn IA, Zhang H, Scott AL, Zavala F. Unique transcriptional profile of liver-resident memory CD8+ T cells induced by immunization with malaria sporozoites. *Genes Immun* (2013) 14:302–9. doi: 10.1038/gene.2013.20

127. Butler NS, Schmidt NW, Vaughan AM, Aly AS, Kappe SH, Harty JT. Superior antimalarial immunity after vaccination with late liver stage-arresting genetically attenuated parasites. *Cell Host Microbe* (2011) 9:451–62. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2011.05.008

128. Tran TM, Li S, Doumbo S, Doumtabe D, Huang CY, Dia S, et al. An intensive longitudinal cohort study of malian children and adults reveals no evidence of acquired immunity to plasmodium falciparum infection. *Clin Infect Dis* (2013) 57:40–7. doi: 10.1093/cid/cit174

129. Ishizuka AS, Lyke KE, DeZure A, Berry AA, Richie TL, Mendoza FH, et al. Protection against malaria at 1 year and immune correlates following PfSPZ vaccination. *Nat Med* (2016) 22:614–23. doi: 10.1038/nm.4110 130. Rodrigues V, Cordeiro-da-Silva A, Laforge M, Silvestre R, Estaquier J. Regulation of immunity during visceral leishmania infection. *Parasit Vectors* (2016) 9:118. doi: 10.1186/s13071-016-1412-x

131. Rodrigues A, Claro M, Alexandre-Pires G, Santos-Mateus D, Martins C, Valério-Bolas A, et al. Leishmania infantum antigens modulate memory cell subsets of liver resident T lymphocyte. *Immunobiology* (2017) 222:409–22. doi: 10.1016/j.imbio.2016.08.009

132. Modabberi F, Ghadimi SN, Shahriarirad R, Nadimi E, Karbalay-Doust S, Rashidi S, et al. Stereological analysis of liver, spleen and bone of leishmania infantum-experimentally infected hamsters. *Exp Parasitol* (2021) 228:108137. doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2021.108137

133. Zayats R, Uzonna JE, Murooka TT. Visualizing the *In vivo* dynamics of anti-leishmania immunity: Discoveries and challenges. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:671582. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.671582

134. Zimmer CL, von Seth E, Buggert M, Strauss O, Hertwig L, Nguyen S, et al. A biliary immune landscape map of primary sclerosing cholangitis reveals a dominant network of neutrophils and tissue-resident T cells. *Sci Transl Med* (2021) 13(599):eabb3107. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abb3107

135. Hansel C, Erschfeld S, Baues M, Lammers T, Weiskirchen R, Trautwein C, et al. The inhibitory T cell receptors PD1 and 2B4 are differentially regulated on CD4 and CD8 T cells in a mouse model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Front Pharmacol* (2019) 10:244. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.00244

136. Diedrich T, Kummer S, Galante A, Drolz A, Schlicker V, Lohse AW, et al. Characterization of the immune cell landscape of patients with NAFLD. *PloS One* (2020) 15:e0230307. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0230307

137. Her Z, Tan JHL, Lim YS, Tan SY, Chan XY, Tan WWS, et al. CD4(+) T cells mediate the development of liver fibrosis in high fat diet-induced NAFLD in humanized mice. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:580968. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.580968

138. Fuchs A, Samovski D, Smith GI, Cifarelli V, Farabi SS, Yoshino J, et al. Associations among adipose tissue immunology, inflammation, exosomes and insulin sensitivity in people with obesity and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* (2021) 161:968–981.e12. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.05.008

139. Harley IT, Stankiewicz TE, Giles DA, Softic S, Flick LM, Cappelletti M, et al. IL-17 signaling accelerates the progression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in mice. *Hepatology* (2014) 59:1830–9. doi: 10.1002/hep.26746

140. Conroy MJ, Galvin KC, Doyle SL, Kavanagh ME, Mongan AM, Cannon A, et al. Parallel profiles of inflammatory and effector memory T cells in visceral fat

and liver of obesity-associated cancer patients. *Inflammation* (2016) 39:1729–36. doi: 10.1007/s10753-016-0407-2

141. Williams M, Liu X, Zhang Y, Reske J, Bahal D, Gohl TG, et al. NCOA5 deficiency promotes a unique liver protumorigenic microenvironment through p21 (WAF1/CIP1) overexpression, which is reversed by metformin. *Oncogene* (2020) 39:3821–36. doi: 10.1038/s41388-020-1256-x

142. Ma J, Zheng B, Goswami S, Meng L, Zhang D, Cao C, et al. PD1(Hi) CD8 (+) T cells correlate with exhausted signature and poor clinical outcome in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Immunother Cancer* (2019) 7:331. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-0814-7

143. Okła K, Farber DL, Zou W. Tissue-resident memory T cells in tumor immunity and immunotherapy. *J Exp Med* (2021) 218(4):e20201605. doi: 10.1084/jem.20201605

144. Zakeri N, Hall A, Swadling L, Pallett LJ, Schmidt NM, Diniz MO, et al. Characterisation and induction of tissue-resident gamma delta T-cells to target hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Commun* (2022) 13:1372. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29012-1

145. Barsch M, Salié H, Schlaak AE, Zhang Z, Hess M, Mayer LS, et al. T Cell exhaustion and residency dynamics inform clinical outcomes in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* (2022) 77(2):397–409. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2022.02.032

146. Turner DL, Gordon CL, Farber DL. Tissue-resident T cells, *in situ* immunity and transplantation. *Immunol Rev* (2014) 258:150–66. doi: 10.1111/ imr.12149

147. Fu J, Sykes M. Emerging concepts of tissue-resident memory T cells in transplantation. *Transplantation* (2021) 106(6):1132-42. doi: 10.1097/tp.0000000000000000000

148. Taner T, Gustafson MP, Hansen MJ, Park WD, Bornschlegl S, Dietz AB, et al. Donor-specific hypo-responsiveness occurs in simultaneous liver-kidney transplant recipients after the first year. *Kidney Int* (2018) 93:1465–74. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2018.01.022

149. Snyder ME, Finlayson MO, Connors TJ, Dogra P, Senda T, Bush E, et al. Generation and persistence of human tissue-resident memory T cells in lung transplantation. *Sci Immunol* (2019) 4(33):eaav5581. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aav5581

150. Fu J, Sykes M. Emerging concepts of tissue-resident memory T cells in transplantation. *Transplantation* (2022) 106:1132-42. doi: 10.1097/tp.0000000000000000000