

Correction

Correction: Taliaferro, L. *et al.* Evaluation of the Broad-Range PCR-Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry (PCR/ESI-MS) System and Virus Microarrays for Virus Detection. *Viruses* 2014, *6*, 1876-1896

Lanyn P. Taliaferro, Teresa A. Galvin, Hailun Ma, Syed Shaheduzzaman, Dhanya K. Williams, Dustin R. Glasner [†] and Arifa S. Khan ^{*}

Laboratory of Retroviruses, Division of Viral Products, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 8800 Rockville Pike, HFM-454, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA; E-Mails: lanyn.taliaferro@fda.hhs.gov (L.P.T.); teresa.galvin@fda.hhs.gov (T.A.G.); hailun.ma@fda.hhs.gov (H.M.); syed.shaheduzzaman@fda.hhs.gov (S.S.); dhanya.williams@fda.hhs.gov (D.K.W.)

- [†] Current address: Division of Infectious Diseases and Vaccinology, School of Public Health, University of California Berkeley, 50 University Ave, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA; E-Mail: drglasner@berkeley.edu.
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: arifa.khan@fda.hhs.gov; Tel.: +1-301-827-0791; Fax: +1-301-496-1810.

Received: 19 November 2014 / Accepted: 20 November 2014 / Published: 24 November 2014

We have noted some inaccuracies in Table 1 and Table 2 of our article "Evaluation of the Broad-Range PCR-Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry (PCR/ESI-MS) System and Virus Microarrays for Virus Detection" (*Viruses* 2014, *6*, 1876–1896) [1].

In Table 1, the heading "LLMDA" was omitted and needs to be added to correctly align the results obtained using the LLMDA and the ViroChip with their corresponding results. Additionally, the 10^{-7} RNA dilution sample needs to be corrected to indicate that it was not tested (nt) in the presence of 10^{5} cell equivalents of Sf9 total nucleic acids (+10⁵) by the PLEX-ID.

In Table 2, the results for LLMDA need to be corrected to indicate that 10^{-4} RNA dilution was tested and found to be negative, whereas the 10^{-6} RNA dilution was not tested (nt).

Below you will find the corrected Tables 1 and 2 for our recently published article [1]. We apologize to the readers of *Viruses* for any inconvenience this may have caused.

Sample			PLEX-ID		LLMDA		Virochip	RT-PCR ^a	
RNA Dilution ^b	TCID ₅₀ /mL	Particles/µL °	(-)Sf9	+10 ⁵	(-)Sf9	+10 ⁵	(-)Sf9	(-)Sf9	+105
10 ⁻³	10 ^{1.5}	312	+	+	+	nt ^d	nt	+	+
10^{-4}	10 ^{0.5}	31.2	+	+	+	nt	+	+	+
10^{-5}	$10^{-0.5}$	3.12	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
10^{-6}	$10^{-1.5}$	0.312	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
10^{-7}	$10^{-2.5}$	0.0312	-	nt	-	nt	-	-	-

Table 1. Limit of detection using XMRV RNA panel.

^a Results from nested PCR with XMRV *gag* primers; ^b Viral RNA dilution series were spiked into RNase/DNase free water [(-)Sf9] or present (+) in 10⁵ or 10⁴ cell equivalents of Sf9 total nucleic acids; ^c Calculated based upon RT activity determined by TSF-PERT assay; ^d Not tested.

Sample			PLEX-ID	LLN	IDA	RT-PCR ^a		
RNA Dilution ^b	TCID ₅₀ /mL	Particles/µL ^c	(-)Sf9	(-)Sf9	+104	(-)Sf9	+10 ⁵	+104
10 ⁻³	10 ^{2.5}	402	nt ^d	nt	nt	+	+	nt
10^{-4}	10 ^{1.5}	40.2	-	+	-	+	-	+
10^{-5}	$10^{0.5}$	4.02	-	+	-	-	-	-
10^{-6}	$10^{-0.5}$	0.402	-	-	nt	-	-	nt

 Table 2. Limit of detection using SFV-1 RNA panel.

^a Results from nested PCR with SFV-1 *gag* primers; ^b Viral RNA dilution series were spiked into RNase/DNase free water [(-)Sf9] or present (+) in 10⁵ or 10⁴ cell equivalents of Sf9 total nucleic acids; ^c Calculated based upon RT activity determined by TSF-PERT assay; ^d Not tested.

Reference and Notes

 Taliaferro, L.P.; Galvin, T.A.; Ma, H.; Shaheduzzaman, S.; Williams, D.K.; Glasner, D.R.; Khan, A.S. Evaluation of the broad-range PCR-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (PCR/ESI-MS) system and virus microarrays for virus detection. *Viruses* 2014, *6*, 1876–1896.

© 2014 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).