

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

### Cause-specific child mortality performance and contributions to all-cause child mortality, and number of child lives saved during the Millennium Development Goals era: a country-level analysis

Yan Jin <sup>®</sup>, Paul Mansiangi Mankadi <sup>®</sup>, Jose Irineu Rigotti <sup>®</sup> and Seungman Cha <sup>®</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Microbiology, Dongguk University College of Medicine, Gyeongju, Republic of Korea; <sup>b</sup>Environmental Health Department, School of Public Health, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo; <sup>c</sup>Department of Demography, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil; <sup>d</sup>Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Disease, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK; <sup>e</sup>Takemi Program in International Health, Global Health and Population Department, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

#### ABSTRACT

**Background**: During the Millennium Development Goal (MDG) era, impressive reductions in the under-5 mortality rate (U5MR) have been observed, although the MDG 4 target was not met. So far, cause-specific progress in child mortality has been analyzed and discussed mainly at the global and regional levels.

**Objectives**: We aimed to explore annual changes in cause-specific mortality at the country level, assess which causes contributed the most to child mortality reduction in 2000–2015, and estimate how many child lives were saved.

**Methods**: We used the cause-specific child mortality estimates published by Liu and colleagues. We derived average annual changes in cause-specific child mortality rates and causespecific contribution to overall child mortality in 2000–2015. We estimated the number of cause-specific child deaths averted during the MDG era, assuming that cause-specific child mortality remained the same as in 2000. We targeted the 75 Countdown countries where 95% of maternal and child deaths occurred during the MDG era.

**Results**: Wide disparities existed across causes within countries, both in neonatal and postneonatal mortality reduction, except for a few countries such as China, Rwanda, and Cambodia. In 20 of the 45 sub-Saharan African countries, malaria was the main contributor to post-neonatal mortality reduction, and pneumonia was the main contributor in only six countries. A single disease often contributed to a substantial proportion of the child mortality reduction, particularly in west and central African countries. Diarrhea-specific post-neonatal child mortality reduction accounted for 7.1 million averted child deaths (24.5%), while pneumonia accounted for another 6.7 million averted child deaths (23%).

**Conclusions**: This study demonstrates country-specific characteristics with regards to causewise child mortality that could not be identified by global or regional analyses. These findings provide the global community with evidence for formulating national policies and strategies to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals in child mortality reduction.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 16 May 2018 Accepted 6 November 2018

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITOR**

Peter Byass, Umeå University, Sweden

#### **KEYWORDS**

Annual average reduction rate; cause-specific child mortality; Millennium Development Goal (MDG) era; cause-specific contribution to child mortality; number of child lives saved

#### Background

During the Millennium Development Goal (MDG) era, impressive reductions in the under-5 mortality rate (U5MR) have been observed, although the MDG 4 target was not met. The distribution of causes of child mortality has been updated, including the progress toward the MDG 4 target, at global, regional, and national levels [1–10].

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) target an U5MR of no more than 25 per 1000 live births in every country of the world in 2030 [11]. While seeking to build upon the foundation laid by the MDGs to complete the unfinished business, we require evidence regarding what went well and what did not, which will guide and motivate future actions and constitute a set of priorities for child mortality

reduction during the SDG era. In particular, to develop future strategy to achieve the SDG targets for U5MR, we should not miss the opportunity to assess cause-specific performance during the MDG era. So far, the main focus of the Global Burden of Disease Study [6-8,12] (GBD) has been to examine disease burden in terms of magnitude, distribution, and comparison by region or by year, while the cause-specific mortality fraction among overall child deaths and annual changes were mainly investigated for the overall U5MR. Recently global communities have become increasingly interested in investigating cause-specific progress (i.e. the annual change in mortality reduction) [1,4]; however, such analyses have been restricted to the global or regional level, and have not been conducted at the country level.

CONTACT Seungman Cha 🛛 jesusdongja@hanmail.net 🖃 Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Disease, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Furthermore, some studies [1,2] have investigated cause-specific annual changes by U5MR strata (cutoffs: very high mortality stratum, 100 per 1000 live births; high, 75; medium-high, 50; medium, 25; low, 10; very low, less than 10). Prior studies [1,4,7] examining the leading causes and their overall progress at the global level, in specific regions, or across mortality strata might not have revealed features of nationspecific progress if national progress was not homogenous within the group. Furthermore, the results at the global level, in specific regions, or across mortality strata might have been disproportionally affected by a small number of countries with the greatest burden of child deaths, such as India, Ethiopia, Pakistan, and Nigeria. There has been substantial variation in investments in U5MR reduction in 2000-2015 by disease [1]. Understanding the impact of these investments on cause-specific mortality is required at the country level, considering the implications for national priorities. If the SDG targets for child survival are to be achieved, the corresponding policy priorities and future interventions should largely be developed at the country level. We thus aimed to investigate national cause-specific annual changes to help derive insights with implications for nationspecific policy.

A recent GBD study [7] investigated the attribution of changes in under-5 mortality to changes in the major causes of under-5 death; however, the target period in the study was 1990-2015, not 2000-2015. Trends in child mortality substantially changed in the early 2000s, when the MDG campaign and a number of global health initiatives were launched [8]. For example, HIV/AIDS- and malaria-specific under-5 deaths peaked in 2003 and 2005, respectively, and then decreased [8]. Since the relative distribution of child mortality by cause substantially differed between 1990 and 2000, we believe that the year 2000 is a more appropriate starting point for calculating the contribution of each cause to overall child mortality reduction. Moreover, we believe that 2000 is a particularly suitable starting point to derive implications for formulating future policy in the transition period from the MDG era to the SDG era, since an analysis starting in 2000 would allow us to better understand the performance of global efforts in reducing child mortality, particularly those made during the MDG campaign period. Using 1990 as the baseline year, as in the previous study [7], could lead to underestimation or overestimation of the contributions of certain causes, which eventually would generate misleading interpretations of investment in the past or misleading guidance for future policy. In addition, the previous study [7] calculated absolute values of reductions in cause-specific mortality. Since the leading causes of child mortality in the baseline year might have considerably affected the

absolute values, this method makes it difficult to compare cause-specific performance between countries. Accordingly, we chose to assess the relative contributions of cause-specific mortality reductions to overall child mortality reduction in 2000-2015 at the country level, because we believe that doing so would yield more meaningful implications for policy formulation. In addition, evidence regarding the number of child lives saved by cause-specific performance is not only crucial for policy discussions and priority-setting, but is also important in terms of accountability, because it could have cost implications based on a comparison with the amount that the global <sup>14</sup>community has invested in addressing specific diseases during the MDG era. We aimed to estimate the number of cause-specific child deaths averted in 2000-2015.

#### Methods

#### Data source

Annual assessments of trends in child mortality have been produced by the GBD and the UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (IGME) using distinct methods [2,4,9,13-16], both of which are frequently used for understanding child mortality estimates and are highly correlated (0.983) [7]. In this study, we used the data published by Liu and colleagues [1] because the average annual changes of cause-specific child mortality have been examined using their dataset and method, although previous analyses were carried out at the global and regional levels. The number of cause-specific child deaths, both for neonates and children aged 1-59 months, were updated by Liu and colleagues in 2016 [1]. The number of age-specific deaths was multiplied by case-specific mortality fractions to estimate cause-specific child deaths. They used age-specific child mortality estimates produced by the UN IGME [17], and estimates of live births made by the UN Population Division [18]. To generate causespecific child mortality fractions, only vital registration (VR) data were used for some countries (VR countries: 67 countries for neonates, 69 countries for 1- to 59-VR-based multi-cause month-olds). А model (VRMCM) was used for countries with inadequate VR and a low U5MR (less than 35 child deaths per 1000 live births in 2000-2015; 47 countries for neonates and 44 countries for 1- to 59-month-olds). For countries with inadequate VR and a high U5MR (35 child deaths or more per 1000 live births in 2000-2015; 80 countries for neonates and 81 countries for 1-59-month-olds), a verbal autopsy (VA)-based multi-cause model (VAMCM) was applied to estimate cause-specific child deaths. When estimating child deaths, they updated the database to include new VR data up to July 2015 and new VA data up to February 2015. The details of the

estimation approaches developed by Liu and colleagues have been described elsewhere [1,4,9,19].

#### **Target countries**

We targeted the 75 Countdown countries where 95% of maternal and child deaths occurred during the MDG era. The global community has recently expanded its attention to 81 countries for the SDG era [20,21], but we maintained the current list of 75 target countries because they are the group that received attention in 2000–2015, and most of the reports assessing MDG performance have focused on these 75 countries.

### Cause-specific annual changes in neonatal mortality and post-neonatal mortality

The average annual change in cause-specific mortality rates was derived using the following formula:

$$r = (ln(CSMR_{T2}/CSMR_{T1})/(T2 - T1)) * 100$$

Where r is the average annual change of reduction, ln denotes the natural log function, CSMR is cause-specific mortality, and T1 and T2 denote the time points T1 and T2, respectively. A detailed explanation of the equation has been presented elsewhere [10,22]. We restricted the data analysis to 2000–2015 because the progress in child survival has been in part credited to the MDG campaign, which has led to the scaling-up of many life-saving interventions and increases in official development assistance [19,23–27].

#### **Categorization of progress**

Based on the annual rate of reduction, we categorized progress into 'success' (average annual change of 4.4% or above; colored in green), 'insufficient progress' (1% to 4.3%, yellow), 'no progress' (0% to 1%, grey), and 'negative (increase in mortality rate, red). We referred to the categories developed by the Countdown group [28].

We adjusted the success category to have a threshold of 4.4%, instead of the 4% threshold used by the Countdown group, because this was the minimum average change needed to meet the MDG 4 target. That is, child mortality should be reduced by 4.4% every year compared to the previous year if a country is to meet the goal of a two-thirds reduction of child mortality by 2015. The 1% criterion for 'no progress' was taken from the cut-off point used by the Countdown group, and 4.3% was purposefully adjusted from the 3.9% used in the Countdown group reports [23,29,30], taking into account the 4.4% cutoff point for success in this study. We also added the new category of 'negative,' which was used to indicate an increase in mortality.

#### Number of cause-specific child deaths averted

We estimated the number of cause-specific child deaths averted during the MDG era referring to the methods to calculate all-cause child deaths averted in the previous study [2,31]. You and colleagues [2] estimated the number of all-cause child deaths averted in 2000-2015. Murray and Chambers [31] estimated the number of child lives saved by channelspecific spending on child health in 2000-2014. To estimate the number of averted child deaths in 2000-2015, two main methods can be used: one is to compare the values predicted on the basis of historical trends with those estimated on a yearly basis using the published data [1], and another is to compare the values assumed on the basis of child mortality remaining the same as in the year 2000 with those estimated on a yearly basis using published data [1]. We used the second method, assuming that causespecific child mortality remained the same as in the year 2000, because there were no cause-specific child mortality data before 2000.

## Contribution of specific causes to overall child mortality

We explored to what extent each cause-specific mortality reduction contributed to overall child mortality reduction in 2000–2015, by dividing reduced allcause child mortality by reduced cause-specific child mortality. We ranked the causes within each country by the percentage of contribution and explored whether there were any specific patterns by region.

#### Results

In 2000-2015, the majority of Countdown countries (68.0%) showed a successful annual average reduction in post-neonatal mortality (in children aged 1-59 months), whereas only 6.7% had annual average changes of 4.4% or above in neonatal mortality (Table 1). Notably, for pneumonia, unlike the substantial proportion of countries with successful performance among post-neonatal children, only 25.3% (19 of 75) of the Countdown countries succeeded in meeting the anticipated annual changes in neonatal mortality. More than 80% of the countries showed successful annual reductions in post-neonatal mortality for meningitis (81.3%), diarrhea (82.7%), measles (89.3%), and malaria (85.3%). Although slow progress or unmet MDG targets could be mainly attributable to neonatal mortality, the majority of the Countdown countries made substantial progress in cause-specific neonatal mortality reductions for tetanus (94.7%) and diarrhea (78.7%).

Figures 1 and 2 reveal that wide disparities existed across causes within countries, both in neonatal and

Table 1. Overall r	esults of perf	formance in	cause-specific	child mortal	lity reduction	among 75 c	countries (neo	onates & 1-5	59-month-old:	s).				
								Dise	ase					
progress <sup>a</sup>	U5D <sup>b</sup>	POS <sup>c</sup>	PNEd	PRE <sup>e</sup>	INT <sup>f</sup>	MEN <sup>g</sup>	отн <sup>ћ</sup>	CON <sup>i</sup>	DIA <sup>j</sup>	MEA <sup>k</sup>	IN	MAL <sup>m</sup>	AIDS <sup>n</sup>	PER <sup>o</sup>
Success (>4.4%)	49.3%(37/75)	68.0%(51/75)	64.0%(48/75)	17.3%(13/75)	16.0%(12/75)	81.3%(61/75)	25.3%(19/75)	12.0%(9/75)	82.7%(62/75)	89.3%(67/75)	9.3%(7/75)	85.3%(64/75)	56.0%(42/75)	1.3%(1/75)
Insufficient (1.0%- 4.4%)	61/%(38//)	32.0%(24/7)	30.7%(23/7)	46./%(35//c8	46./%(35//26	(6//51)%5./1	41.3%(31/15)	41.3%(31//5	( /81)%5./1</td <td>(ረ//4)%5.ሪ</td> <td>44.0%(33//3</td> <td>(<!--/6)%0.71</td--><td>(67/71)%(0.04</td><td>(<!--/1<)%0.89</td--></td></td>	(ረ//4)%5.ሪ	44.0%(33//3	( /6)%0.71</td <td>(67/71)%(0.04</td> <td>(<!--/1<)%0.89</td--></td>	(67/71)%(0.04	( /1<)%0.89</td
No progress (0%- 1.0%)	%0	%0	4.0%(3/75)	17.3%(13/75)	14.7%(11/75)	1.3%(1/75)	12.0%(9/75)	20.0%(15/75)	%0	1.3%(1/75)	13.3%(10/75)	1.3%(1/75)	5.3%(4/75)	26.6%(20/75)
Increase (negative)	%0	%0	1.3%(1/75)	18.7%(14/75)	22.7%(17/75)	%0	21.3%(16/75)	26.7%(20/75)	%0	4.0%(3/75)	33.3%(25/75)	1.3%(1/75)	22.7%(17/75)	4.0%(3/75)
								D	isease					
		NEO <sup>p</sup>	PNE		PRE	INT		SEP <sup>q</sup>	TET		ОТН	CO	Ņ	DIA
Success (>4.4%)	6.	7%(5/75)	25.3%(19/	75) 16	0%(12/75)	20.0%(15,	(75)	5.3%(4/75)	94.7%(71	(75)	13.3%(10/75)	1.3%(1	1/75)	78.7%(59/75)
Insufficient (1.0–4.4	%) 86.	7%(65/75)	4.0%(3/7	5) 76	.0%(57/75)	76.0%(57,	(75) 6.	2.7%(47/75)	4%(3/7	.5) (	59.3%(52/75)	14.7%(1	11/75)	18.7%(14/75)
No progress (0–1.0'	%) 5.	3%(4/75)	69.3%(52/	75) 5	3%(4/75)	1.3%(1/;	75) 1.	7.3%(13/75)	%0		14.7%(11/75)	21.3%(1	16/75)	1.3%(1/75)
Increase (negative)	1.	3%(1/75)	1.3%(1/7	5) 2	.7%(2/75)	2.7%(2/;	75) 1-	4.7%(11/75)	1.3%(1/	75)	2.75(2/75)	62.7%(2	47/75)	1.3%(1/75)
agreen: success (aver neonal- <sup>d</sup> menmo	age annual chan nia: <sup>e</sup> nratarm bi	ige of 4.4% or <i>i</i>	above); yellow: i	insufficient prog	ress (1% to 4.3 <sup>c</sup> )	%); grey: no pro	gress (0% to 1%	6); red: negative	e (increase in mo · <sup>k</sup> meacles <sup>, l</sup> inim	ortality rate); N/	A: <1death per nalDs: °nartusis	1000 live birth	s in 2000; <sup>b</sup> unde ansis: <sup>r</sup> tatanus	er-5 child; <sup>c</sup> post
ILCOLIGICAL DILCOLLIC	אוומי הוברבווו ה			וובומוכת באבוונז'	, menuginis,	OUTED MISCASES,		<b>JIIIaly, Ulalling</b>	1, IIICapico, IIIJu		עורחי, אבו וחזוז	y licoliatal, y	children de la cicola	

post-neonatal mortality reduction. China is the only country that successfully reduced neonatal mortality from every cause. Except for a few countries such as China, Rwanda, and Cambodia, the annual changes in neonatal mortality varied to a large degree. In Zimbabwe, the cause-specific neonatal mortality rate increased during this period for 7 causes.

Particular attention should be given to certain countries with an increase of cause-specific mortality even for these infectious diseases (Figure 3). For example, Malawi saw increased measles-specific child mortality (-6%), as did Cote d'Ivoire for meningitis (-0.8%). There is no country that successfully reduced all cause-specific child mortality rates among post-neonatal children. Cambodia was the only country among this group to show successful annual reductions in 11 of the 12 causes. Ranks of annual change by region are shown in Appendix 1 and 2.

Table 2, Figure 4, and Appendix 3 show causespecific contributions to overall post-neonatal and neonatal mortality reductions. A clear distinction can be seen between sub-Saharan African countries and other regions in terms of the main contributors to post-neonatal child mortality reduction. In 20 of the 45 sub-Saharan African countries, malaria was the main contributor to post-neonatal mortality reduction (Figure 5). AIDS contributed the most to child mortality reduction in six countries that had an extremely high prevalence in 2000, ranging from a contribution of 86.6% of the all-cause child mortality reduction in Lesotho to 29.5% in Kenya. In other regions, pneumonia was the main contributor to child mortality reduction, ranging from a contribution of 46.6% of the allcause child mortality reduction in Pakistan to 26.8% in Cambodia. Pneumonia was the main contributor in only 6 countries and the second contributor in 12 countries among the sub-Saharan African countries, although it accounted for a large proportion of child mortality in 2000.

During the MDG era, 42.7 million child deaths were averted; the majority of the lives saved took place in sub-Saharan Africa for post-neonatal children and in southern Asia for neonates (Figure 6-7, Appendix 4 and 5). Of these averted child deaths, 13.7 million were in neonates and 29 million were in post-neonatal children. Diarrhea-specific post-neonatal child mortality reduction accounted for 7.1 million averted child deaths (24.5%), while pneumonia accounted for another 6.7 million averted child deaths (23%) (Figure 6, Appendix 4). Tetanus-specific neonatal mortality reduction averted 1.5 million neonatal deaths. Considering the disease burden of pneumonia and diarrhea among children, interventions related to diarrhea performed much more successfully than those for pneumonia.

	Total		POS						Dise	ease					
	Total	030	FUS	PNEd	PRE	INT <sup>f</sup>	MEN <sup>8</sup>	OTH <sup>h</sup>	CON	DIA	MEA <sup>k</sup>	INJ	MAL <sup>m</sup>	AIDS <sup>n</sup>	PER°
Rank	Country														
1	Rwanda	9.4%	11.4%	13.0%	7.5%	7.1%	21.9%	7.5%	5.4%	15.6%	20.1%	4.3%	12.0%	21.2%	2.5%
2	Cambodia	9.3%	11.6%	11.3%	8.6%	9.1%	14.4%	9.2%	8.1%	14.6%	20.3%	6.3%	30.2%	17.4%	1.8%
3	China	8.4%	7.6%	9.9%	2.1%	-2.5%	9.9%	6.5%	4.0%	12.1%	9.8%	7.8%	6.2%	2.3%	1.7%
4	Senegal	7.2%	8.9%	9.4%	5.3%	5.3%	14.3%	5.5%	4.8%	10.7%	24.2%	2.7%	12.1%	3.8%	2.8%
5	Uganda	7.0%	8.3%	5.9%	2.7%	2.9%	7.1%	2.6%	1.9%	8.4%	34.2%	0.4%	15.6%	8.9%	2.0%
6	Liberia	7.0%	8.2%	4.8%	2.5%	2.3%	7.3%	2.0%	1.6%	8.7%	27.5%	-0.2%	11.0%	8.5%	2.0%
7	Conno	C 70/	0.270	4.0%	2.370	2.3/0	11.0%	2.270	2.5%	10.2%	27.5%	2.2%	12.3%	6.5%	2.3%
	Congo	0.7%	0.1%	0.270	4.4%	4.1%	11.0%	4.4%	3.5%	10.2%	22.5%	2.270	12.7%	0.9%	3.3%
8	Ivialawi	6.7%	8.0%	8.4%	3.6%	3.5%	12.7%	3.5%	2.1%	10.7%	-6.0%	1.4%	12.2%	11.1%	1.5%
9	United Republic of Tanzania	6.6%	8.1%	8.5%	3.6%	3.1%	13.8%	3.7%	2.0%	10.0%	16.9%	1.5%	12.8%	14.9%	1.7%
10	Zambia	6.5%	7.7%	7.3%	3.3%	3.3%	11.2%	3.6%	2.4%	9.7%	24.8%	1.0%	11.3%	10.2%	0.8%
	Democratic People's Republic														
11	of Korea	6.1%	7.5%	8.3%	6.2%	5.9%	9.9%	6.4%	5.7%	10.6%	N/A	4.7%	N/A	-4.8%	2.7%
12	Asseksijas	C 10/	0.20/	10.2%	C 10/	C 29/	15.20/	C 70/	4.09/	11 20/	10.00/	F 20/	NI/A	2.00/	1.0%
12	Azerbaijan	6.1%	8.3%	10.2%	6.1%	6.2%	15.3%	6.7%	4.9%	11.3%	18.8%	5.2%	N/A	-3.8%	1.9%
13	Ethiopia	6.0%	7.5%	8.0%	3.9%	3.8%	12.6%	4.9%	2.6%	10.2%	6.3%	2.0%	11.9%	14.0%	1.8%
14	Yemen	5.9%	7.8%	9.0%	4.9%	4.7%	12.2%	4.9%	4.6%	10.7%	23.2%	3.1%	9.4%	-3.4%	2.4%
15	Niger	5.7%	6.6%	5.9%	3.9%	3.9%	8.0%	3.7%	3.4%	9.8%	29.0%	1.1%	6.0%	8.3%	2.6%
16	Bangladesh	5.7%	7.7%	8.2%	6.2%	4.1%	10.4%	5.1%	4.5%	10.5%	10.6%	4.3%	17.8%	-15.1%	1.9%
17	Kyrgyzstan	5.7%	7.0%	8.1%	4.6%	6.9%	10.7%	6.3%	5.0%	10.2%	N/A	4.8%	N/A	-10.8%	1.5%
18	Eritrea	5.6%	7.1%	5.0%	2.8%	2.5%	5.5%	2.7%	2.1%	7.3%	23.8%	1.5%	0.3%	10.2%	0.7%
19	Peru	5.6%	6.6%	9.2%	0.1%	-0.2%	9.2%	6.5%	3.3%	9.3%	N/A	4.9%	N/A	12.1%	0.8%
20	Nepal	5.5%	7.5%	7.5%	5.5%	4.4%	9.6%	4.8%	4.1%	9.7%	19.5%	3.7%	10.8%	-4.4%	1.7%
21	Madagascar	5.4%	6.6%	7.6%	4.2%	3.9%	9.9%	4.5%	3.5%	9.3%	25.4%	2.4%	1.9%	4.7%	2.6%
22	Botswana	5.3%	8.2%	2.0%	-2.5%	-2.7%	6.7%	-2.1%	-3.5%	3,0%	25.7%	-3.4%	N/A	19.1%	2,3%
23	Burkina Faso	5.3%	6.1%	3.4%	-0.8%	-0.9%	7.5%	-2.0%	-1.6%	5.2%	15.6%	-2.9%	9.1%	13.0%	2.6%
24	Mozambique	5.2%	6.1%	4.6%	1.3%	0.7%	8.4%	1.0%	0.1%	6.5%	21.2%	-0.9%	10.1%	7.6%	1.5%
24	Kanua	5.276	7.10/	7.0%	2.3%	2.49/	0.470	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	10.2%	1.9%	7.40/	12.5%	0.0%
25	Nenya Siama harara	5.2%	7.1%	1.2%	5.7%	0.5%	0.7%	4.0%	5.2%	0.4%	19.2%	1.8%	7.4%	12.5%	0.9%
26	Sierra Leone	5.2%	6.1%	4.8%	1.0%	0.5%	9.2%	0.1%	-0.1%	6.9%	18.7%	-1.2%	7.0%	5.5%	3.6%
27	Swaziland	5.2%	5.8%	5.3%	1.2%	1.2%	8.8%	0.9%	0.4%	6.4%	N/A	-0.4%	N/A	12.5%	1.1%
28	Tajikistan	5.0%	6.6%	7.3%	5.1%	5.2%	10.4%	4.9%	4.9%	9.6%	N/A	3.5%	N/A	3.8%	0.7%
29	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	4.9%	6.5%	7.4%	4.6%	5.6%	9.6%	5.6%	4.1%	11.1%	N/A	4.0%	11.8%	0.5%	0.7%
30	Indonesia	4.7%	5.8%	5.6%	4.9%	4.4%	6.9%	4.3%	3.8%	8.9%	11.3%	4.0%	-2.3%	-20.6%	0.9%
31	Guinea	4.6%	5.4%	3.0%	1.8%	1.8%	3.6%	1.5%	1.3%	6.5%	29.4%	0.1%	5.1%	12.4%	1.4%
32	Guinea-Bissau	4.6%	6.1%	4.6%	2.4%	2.2%	5.3%	2.4%	1.3%	7.4%	23.3%	0.7%	12.7%		2.5%
33	South Sudan	4.6%	5.9%	4 5%	4.3%	3.7%	5.9%	4.2%	3.4%	9.2%	12.8%	2.2%	8.5%	-1.5%	4.8%
3/	Envot	4.6%	5.5%	7.6%	2.2%	2.2%	7.8%	1.3%	2.9%	8.3%	24.8%	2.2/0	N/A	-6.2%	1.9%
25	Burundi	4.070	5.5% E 6%	E 40/	0.9%	0.5%	0.7%	9.3%	0.1%	C 1%	29.0%	0.9%	11.0%	12 5%	1 5%
35	Burunui	4.370	5.0%	5.4%	0.8%	0.3%	5.7%	-0.4%	0.1%	0.476	30.476	-0.5%	11.376	13.3%	1.3%
36	Brazii	4.5%	5.1%	6.3%	1.2%	1.7%	9.6%	5.1%	1.7%	11.8%	N/A	2.9%	15.7%	10.1%	-4.6%
37	Mexico	4.4%	6.2%	7.8%	0.9%	4.9%	9.1%	5.3%	4.3%	10.9%	N/A	5.9%	N/A	5.0%	-13.3%
38	Mali	4.3%	5.0%	6.2%	2.6%	2.5%	6.9%	2.5%	1.9%	7.8%	15.7%	0.5%	4.3%	3.3%	1.5%
39	India	4.3%	5.4%	6.1%	2.2%	3.1%	7.0%	5.4%	1.6%	6.3%	6.9%	3.0%	3.2%	3.6%	1.4%
40	Nigeria	4.2%	4.9%	2.8%	1.0%	0.9%	3.1%	0.9%	0.0%	5.8%	20.4%	-0.4%	6.5%	1.8%	1.0%
41	Morocco	4.1%	5.7%	7.8%	3.7%	4.3%	12.5%	3.1%	4.0%	9.1%	15.2%	2.7%	N/A	3.7%	0.5%
42	South Africa	4.0%	4.4%	2.8%	0.8%	1.3%	4.2%	1.1%	0.2%	5.9%	-0.6%	0.0%	9.0%	12.0%	0.0%
	Lao People's Democratic Repu														
43	blic	3.9%	5.0%	5.9%	1.8%	2.3%	10.0%	1.4%	1.2%	6.3%	26.7%	0.8%	11.8%	-10.2%	0.8%
44	Ghana	3.8%	5.3%	4.7%	-0.9%	-1 3%	6.7%	-1.0%	-7.8%	4 7%	30.1%	-1.4%	8.1%	10.4%	1 7%
45	Cameroon	3.8%	4.5%	4.5%	0.5%	0.3%	6.2%	0.4%	-0.1%	5.3%	26.5%	-1.0%	8.3%	5.2%	1.9%
45	Calleloon	3.0%	4.5%	4.5%	2.2%	2.3%	12.0%	1 70/	-0.1%	5.5%	20.3%	-1.0%	5.3%	15.270	1.3%
40	Sao Tome and Principe	3.6%	4.5%	0.0%	2.5%	5.2%	12.0%	1.7%	2.5%	0.0%	-8.0%	0.9%	5.2%	15.1%	1.2%
47	Guatemaia	5.7%	4.5%	5.9%	2.5%	1.9%	0.0%	2.5%	1.2%	7.4%	IN/A	2.1%	10.1%	-3.5%	0.5%
48	Gambia	3.7%	4.7%	5.0%	1.9%	1.8%	6.6%	1.9%	0.9%	7.3%	23.6%	0.8%	8.7%	1.2%	1.3%
49	Viet Nam	3.6%	5.0%	6.5%	-6.6%	-6.5%	4.5%	2.2%	2.2%	8.6%	17.6%	1.9%	16.3%	0.5%	0.9%
50	Gabon	3.6%	4.9%	2.5%	0.7%	0.3%	3.6%	0.6%	-0.4%	5.0%	14.8%	-0.1%	5.8%	8.3%	1.9%
51	Equatorial Guinea	3.4%	4.1%	3.3%	1.7%	2.2%	4.9%	2.3%	0.3%	7.0%	3.0%	1.3%	8.7%	-5.4%	0.0%
52	Turkmenistan	3.4%	4.4%	5.3%	2.4%	2.4%	9.0%	3.3%	0.9%	7.1%	N/A	2.3%	N/A	N/A	1.2%
50	Democratic Republic of the Co	3 40/	4.0%	1 90/	-1.79/	-1.99/	3 7%	-2.20/	-2.7%	3.0%	11 7%	-7.99/	0.20/	5 70/	2 70/
55	ngo	3.476	4.076	1.076	-1.776	1.070	3.770	-2.376	-2.776	3.076	11.778	-2.6%	5.5%	5.776	2.770
54	Uzbekistan	3.3%	4.3%	5.2%	2.3%	2.3%	8.6%	3.2%	0.8%	7.5%	N/A	2.2%	N/A	9.9%	1.1%
55	Тодо	3.3%	3.9%	1.5%	-1.1%	-1.3%	3.0%	-1.9%	-1.7%	3.2%	24.1%	-2.2%	6.1%	11.0%	1.7%
56	Diibouti	3.3%	4.5%	5.2%	1.4%	1.1%	8.6%	1.2%	0.5%	5.7%	11.0%	0.6%	8.9%	4.6%	0.1%
57	Myanmar	3,1%	3.9%	4.4%	2.3%	3.0%	7.7%	2.4%	2.3%	6,6%	1.9%	1.7%	2.7%	1.8%	0,1%
58	Cilte d'Ivoire	3.1%	3.8%	-0.1%	-1.5%	-1.8%	-0.8%	-2.4%	-1.9%	2.5%	22.9%	-2.9%	7.6%	8.7%	1.9%
50	Sudan	2.0%	2.0%	E 0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	2.9%	0.6%	E 2%	11.2%	0.7%	7 5%	4 7%	1.0%
55	Afabaaistaa	2.370	3.5%	3.0%	0.3%	-0.3%	5.5%	2.0%	-0.0%	5.5%	10.70/		14.10/	-4.776	2.0%
00	Algridhistan	2.6%	5.4%	5.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.5%	-0.7%	0.6%	3.0%	10.7%	-0.1%	14.1%	-3.9%	2.9%
61	Zimbabwe	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%	-2.1%	-2.8%	5.0%	-2.3%	-2.6%	2.1%	0.6%	-3.3%	5.3%	13.0%	1.8%
62	Haiti	2.8%	3.5%	3.1%	1.8%	1.3%	3.7%	1.9%	0.5%	6.2%	N/A	1.0%	8.3%	15.8%	1.0%
63	Benin	2.7%	3.3%	3.6%	0.3%	0.1%	4.2%	0.0%	-0.6%	4.5%	17.8%	-0.5%	4.4%	4.5%	0.8%
64	Somalia	2.5%	3.1%	0.4%	-0.5%	-0.7%	1.1%	-0.5%	-0.8%	3.1%	13.5%	-1.3%	2.6%	0.6%	0.6%
65	Philippines	2.3%	2.6%	3.3%	1.3%	1.3%	5.3%	1.3%	0.7%	5.1%	3.3%	1.1%	17.4%	-5.2%	0.5%
66	Iraq	2.2%	2.7%	3.2%	0.8%	1.0%	4.8%	1.4%	-0.9%	5.7%	18.5%	1.3%	N/A	N/A	1.0%
67	Central African Republic	2.2%	2.8%	0.3%	-1.5%	-1.8%	2.8%	-2.3%	-1.7%	2.2%	15.3%	-2.6%	5.2%	7.9%	1.0%
68	Papua New Guinea	2.2%	2.8%	2.0%	0.5%	0.5%	3.4%	0.3%	0.2%	4.2%	19.8%	-0.1%	2.8%	3.8%	0.5%
69	Pakistan	2,2%	2.5%	3.9%	0,9%	0.5%	6.6%	-0.8%	0.4%	4,5%	3,1%	0,0%	10.6%	-15.5%	2.4%
70	Angola	2.1%	2.5%	3.0%	-1.2%	-1.2%	9.5%	-1.4%	-3.2%	3.9%	9.8%	-1.3%	6.8%	0.3%	3.3%
71	Comoros	2 1%	2.7%	3.4%	1.7%	1.7%	4.8%	0.8%	1.1%	5.5%	N/A	1 1%	2.7%	N/A	1 2%
72	Mauritania	2.1/0	2.7/0	1.0%	-0.29/	-0.4%	6.2%	-0.6%	-1.49/	3.0%	11 10/	-0.5%	1.6%	1.20/	1 70/
72	Chad	1.0%	2.3%	1.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	-0.7%	1 20/	23 70/		2.0%	3.3%	1.0%
/5	citad	1.9%	2.270	1.470	0.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.5%	-0.7%	4.3%	23.7%	-0.7%	2.270	3.2%	1.0%
/4	Lesotho	1.8%	2.3%	1.1%	-1.1%	-0.9%	3.6%	-2.0%	-1.5%	2.5%	1.1%	-1.6%	N/A	7.8%	0.8%
1 75	Solomon Islands	1.0%	1.0%	0.2%	-1.4%	-1.4%	2.4%	-2.3%	-2.0%	1.5%	N/A	-1.8%	18.7%	N/A	

Figure 1. Average annual change<sup>a</sup> of cause-specific child mortality at country level for 75 countries (1–59-month-olds).

#### Discussion

During the MDG era, a tremendous number of children's lives were saved, and this has been partially credited to the global efforts following the MDGs, the ensuing increase in official development assistance [19], and the consequential scaling up of many life-saving interventions [21]. This study suggests that the progress has been uneven across diseases within countries, even in countries known as the best performers, such as Botswana or Burkina Faso, which have made successful progress in overall child mortality reduction. In the past, pneumonia, malaria, and diarrhea were the leading causes in the very-high-mortality stratum [7], to which Angola, Central African Republic, Chad, Mali, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, and Somalia belong; however, according to our results, cause-specific progress for these main infectious diseases substantially varied by country. For example, Liu and colleagues [1] pointed out that tremendous progress has been made in diarrhea, pneumonia, measles, and neonatal tetanus. They underscored that five of the 12 post-neonatal causes achieved a sufficient annual reduction ( $\geq$ 4.4%); however, the insufficient progress in pneumonia- and diarrhea-specific child mortality, particularly among west and central African countries (Zimbabwe, Central

							Disease	9			
	Total (75 countries)	U5D <sup>o</sup>	NEO <sup>c</sup>	PNEd	PRE <sup>e</sup>	INT	SEP <sup>8</sup>	TET <sup>h</sup>	OTH	CON	DIA <sup>k</sup>
Bank	Country										
капк	Country	0.40/	F 40/	7.40/	4 70/	C 00/	5.20/	4.6.20/	C (2)	0.74	45 54
1	Rwanda	9.4%	5.4%	7.1%	4.7%	6.9%	5.3%	16.3%	6.6%	-0.7%	15.5%
2	Cambodia	9.3%	5.9%	7.8%	6.6%	7.5%	3.9%	22.3%	5.4%	-0.5%	16.0%
3	China	8.4%	9.0%	15.4%	7.8%	9.1%	5.3%	30.1%	9.3%	6.3%	11.8%
4	Senegal	7.2%	4.2%	5.0%	5.4%	4.8%	3.0%	16.1%	3.2%	-1.6%	8.0%
5	Uganda	7.0%	3.7%	4.6%	4.3%	4.3%	3.1%	11.6%	3.7%	-1.7%	8.1%
6	Liboria	7.0%	4.0%	1 90/	1 90/	4 50/	1 6%	17.20/	2.0%	0.2%	12.00/
	Contra	C 70/	4.10/		4.0%	F 200	2.10	14.10/	4.0%	1.2%	12.070
	Congo	b.7%	4.1%	5.1%	4.6%	5.3%	2.1%	14.1%	4.9%	-1.3%	8.5%
8	Malawi	6.7%	3.3%	4.3%	2.9%	4.2%	3.2%	10.4%	4.3%	-1.3%	9.2%
9	United Republic of Tanzania	6.6%	3.5%	4.7%	4.2%	4.3%	2.8%	12.2%	3.6%	-1.9%	9.8%
10	Zambia	6.5%	3.2%	3.8%	3.3%	3.6%	3.4%	9.1%	3.4%	-1.4%	8.1%
11	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	6.1%	4 7%	5.7%	5.6%	5.6%	3.0%	13.9%	4 5%	1 7%	11.6%
12	Asseksiiss	C 10/	4.00%	4.90/	5.0%	4.00/	2.1%	15.0%	2.40/	0.1%	10.0%
12	Azerbaijari	0.1%	4.0%	4.8%	5.0%	4.8%	2.1%	15.0%	5.4%	-0.1%	10.8%
13	Ethiopia	6.0%	3.7%	4.0%	3.7%	4.1%	2.2%	14.1%	3.3%	-1.0%	5.2%
14	Yemen	5.9%	3.5%	4.0%	3.7%	3.7%	3.8%	11.0%	3.4%	-1.3%	5.9%
15	Niger	5.7%	3.2%	3.5%	2.4%	3.9%	1.8%	15.1%	1.7%	4.1%	6.6%
16	Bangladesh	5.7%	4.0%	5.7%	3.4%	4.6%	4.6%	14.3%	4.4%	-0.4%	8.5%
17	Kurguratan	E 7%	4 20/	1 90/	C 10/	A 49/	2.7%	11.20/	2 20/	1 5%	0 E0/
1/	Kylgyzstall	5.7%	4.370	4.676	0.170	4.470	2.770	11.270	3.3%	1.5%	5.3%
18	Eritrea	5.6%	2.4%	3.5%	3.1%	2.9%	2.2%	10.0%	1.2%	-1.2%	5.7%
19	Peru	5.6%	4.4%	6.7%	4.8%	6.0%	5.9%	10.1%	3.1%	0.8%	N/A
20	Nepal	5.5%	3.8%	5.9%	2.5%	5.1%	3.5%	15.6%	4.4%	0.4%	9.0%
21	Madagascar	5.4%	3.2%	3.9%	4.1%	3.8%	1.9%	11.2%	2.6%	-1.3%	5.9%
22	Botswana	5.3%	0.6%	1 3%	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%	4 5%	1.8%	-7.5%	1.4%
22	Durking Face	5.3%	2.40/	2.0%	2.5%	2.40/	1.00	14 70/	2.2%	0.70	1.4%
23	DUIKINA Paso	5.3%	3.1%	3.9%	3.5%	3.4%	1.6%	14.7%	2.3%	0.7%	8.9%
24	Mozambique	5.2%	3.2%	3.8%	3.2%	3.8%	2.4%	12.9%	2.9%	0.2%	8.4%
25	Kenya	5.2%	1.8%	2.5%	2.5%	2.1%	1.9%	5.1%	2.3%	-2.9%	5.7%
26	Sierra Leone	5.2%	2.4%	3.0%	2.9%	2.1%	0.1%	12.9%	1.4%	3.4%	8.9%
27	Swaziland	5.2%	2.7%	3.8%	2.4%	3.8%	2.0%	6.8%	4.4%	-1.4%	8.0%
20	Tajikistan	5.2% 5.0%	2.070	2 20/	2.0%	2.0%	1.2%	10.7%	1.99/	1.0%	0.6%
20	I djikistari	5.0%	2.0%	5.2%	5.9%	2.8%	1.5%	10.7%	1.6%	-1.9%	9.0%
29	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	4.9%	2.8%	3.9%	2.4%	4.0%	3.3%	9.9%	3.2%	-1.1%	8.6%
30	Indonesia	4.7%	3.3%	4.5%	2.5%	4.8%	3.8%	9.0%	4.4%	1.0%	6.0%
31	Guinea	4.6%	2.9%	3.3%	2.7%	2.9%	2.9%	10.7%	2.3%	0.6%	7.5%
32	Guinea-Bissau	4.6%	2.3%	2.5%	3.5%	1.6%	0.4%	11.8%	0.8%	-0.7%	6.5%
33	South Sudan	4.6%	2.5%	2.1%	2 1%	1 9%	2.0%	8.4%	1.8%	-0.2%	3.5%
35	South Sudah	4.070	2.5%	2.170	2.170	1.376	2.0%	7.00	0.40/	0.2%	5.5%
34	Egypt	4.6%	3.7%	b.8%	4.7%	4.7%	1.9%	7.0%	0.4%	0.3%	N/A
35	Burundi	4.5%	2.1%	2.9%	2.4%	2.4%	1.4%	9.9%	1.0%	-1.1%	6.5%
36	Brazil	4.5%	3.9%	7.5%	6.0%	3.9%	4.1%	11.5%	0.5%	1.4%	N/A
37	Mexico	4.4%	2.4%	3.5%	3.0%	3.7%	1.2%	N/A	3.9%	0.7%	N/A
38	Mali	4 3%	2.7%	2.7%	3.4%	2.1%	0.3%	14.6%	1.0%	2.9%	5.7%
20	India	4.20/	2.0%	5.0%	1.00	5.0%	2.0%	14.70/	4.5%	0.2%	7.0%
59	India	4.3%	5.2%	5.0%	1.0%	5.0%	5.0%	14.7%	4.5%	-0.5%	7.9%
40	Nigeria	4.2%	2.3%	2.7%	1.4%	2.9%	0.4%	9.1%	2.6%	1.8%	4.8%
41	Morocco	4.1%	3.0%	3.7%	3.0%	3.8%	3.1%	11.4%	2.7%	0.5%	6.3%
42	South Africa	4.0%	2.5%	4.7%	2.7%	2.7%	1.9%	N/A	2.6%	-0.5%	N/A
43	Lao People's Democratic Republic	3.9%	2.4%	3.7%	1.9%	3.1%	-0.3%	14.2%	1.6%	-0.3%	7.3%
44	Ghana	3.8%	1 7%	2 /1%	1 9%	2.1%	1.5%	7 7%	1.0%	-1 3%	5.4%
47	Gilalia	2.0%	2.0%	2.470	1.570	2.170	1.576	0.00	0.70	-1.576	5.470
45	Cameroon	3.6%	2.0%	2.3%	5.1%	2.1%	0.4%	9.0%	0.7%	-0.7%	0.0%
46	Sao Tome and Principe	3.8%	2.4%	2.9%	3.4%	2.9%	0.9%	N/A	2.3%	-1.7%	N/A
47	Guatemala	3.7%	3.0%	4.0%	3.2%	3.7%	2.9%	10.1%	2.5%	0.8%	6.6%
48	Gambia	3.7%	2.2%	2.5%	1.6%	2.5%	3.2%	5.2%	2.6%	-1.1%	3.5%
49	Viet Nam	3.6%	2.1%	3.8%	2.4%	3.2%	2.5%	4.5%	-0.1%	0.2%	N/A
50	Gabon	3.6%	1 7%	2.1%	2.8%	1.9%	-0.1%	8.0%	0.6%	-1.494	1 20/
50	Gabon Feueterici Cuincu	3.0%	1.7%	2.1%	2.0%	1.5%	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-1.4%	4.6%
51	Equatorial Guinea	3.4%	2.0%	2.4%	1.8%	2.2%	1.8%	8.7%	1.3%	-0.6%	6.8%
52	Turkmenistan	3.4%	2.0%	2.6%	2.9%	2.2%	0.6%	8.2%	1.1%	-0.2%	7.3%
53	Democratic Republic of the Congo	3.4%	1.7%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	-1.1%	9.5%	1.6%	-0.5%	6.3%
54	Uzbekistan	3.3%	2.3%	3.0%	3.2%	2.6%	0.9%	9.2%	1.5%	0.4%	7.5%
55	Togo	3,3%	2.0%	2.6%	2.5%	2.1%	1.1%	7.8%	1.9%	-0.6%	6.3%
56	Diibouti	3.2%	1.0%	2.0%	2.19/	2.4%	-0.9%	10.4%	1.9%	-1 1%	6.6%
50	Djibbuti	5.5%	1.9%	2.4%	2.1%	2.4%	-0.9%	10.4%	1.9%	-1.1%	0.0%
57	Myanmar	3.1%	2.3%	3.0%	2.4%	2.6%	2.3%	8.4%	2.2%	-0.8%	8.0%
58	CUte d'Ivoire	3.1%	1.8%	2.3%	1.7%	2.3%	0.9%	8.6%	1.8%	-0.2%	4.6%
59	Sudan	2.9%	1.2%	0.4%	3.0%	1.0%	-0.7%	5.5%	0.7%	-1.8%	1.9%
60	Afghanistan	2.8%	1.6%	2.6%	1.3%	2.0%	-1.1%	10.8%	1.0%	-0.1%	3.8%
61	Zimbabwe	2.8%	-0.7%	-0.4%	-1.0%	-0.3%		-7.4%	2.0%	-3.0%	-0.7%
67	Uniti	2.0%	1 20/	2 10/	1 59/	1.0%	0.7%	7 194	1 10/	0.94	A-CO/
62	Haiti	2.8%	1.2%	2.1%	1.5%	1.9%	-0.7%	7.1%	1.1%	-0.8%	4.6%
63	Benin	2.7%	1.5%	2.0%	1.3%	1.8%	1.3%	6.8%	1.2%	-0.4%	4.6%
64	Somalia	2.5%	0.8%	0.3%	1.9%	-0.1%	1.4%	1.9%	-0.4%	-0.4%	1.9%
65	Philippines	2.3%	1.9%	2.8%	2.2%	2.4%	1.2%	7.0%	1.5%	0.5%	5.1%
66	Iraq	2.2%	1.9%	2.3%	1.3%	2.4%	3.5%	4.2%	2.6%	0.0%	3.9%
67	Central African Popublic	2.2%	0.0%	1.0%	1 40/	0.6%	0.1%	1.00	0.0%	0.2%	2.5%
0/	Central An Ican Republic	2.2%	0.9%	1.0%	1.4%	0.0%	-0.1%	4.0%	0.0%	0.2%	2.5%
68	Papua New Guinea	2.2%	1.4%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%	0.4%	5.3%	1.3%	-0.5%	3.9%
69	Pakistan	2.2%	1.9%	3.1%	-0.4%	3.2%	1.1%	10.9%	2.3%	1.0%	2.4%
70	Angola	2.1%	1.2%	1.5%	0.5%	1.4%	-0.7%	7.3%	0.6%	2.8%	2.1%
71	Comoros	2.1%	1.4%	2.0%	1.2%	1.9%	1.3%	8.1%	0.3%	-1.1%	3.4%
72	Mauritania	2.0%	1.2%	1.9%	1.5%	1.9%	-0.7%	8.7%	0.6%	-0.2%	3.7%
72	Chad	1.0%	1.2%	0.00/	0.00/	1.2%	0.2%	C 0%	0.2%	1.49/	0.2%
/3	chao	1.9%	1.2%	0.6%	0.6%	1.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	1.4%	0.2%
74	Lesotho	1.8%	0.9%	1.8%	0.3%	1.5%	0.7%	4.9%	1.4%	-0.5%	4.4%
75	Solomon Islands	1.0%	1.1%	1.8%	1.0%	1.5%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	0.7%	1.3%

Figure 2. Average annual change<sup>a</sup> of cause-specific neonatal mortality at country level for 75 countries.

African Republic, Lesotho, Democratic Republic of Congo, South Africa, Togo, Chad) have not previously been emphasized. If pneumonia and/or diarrhea had declined at the rate achieved for other infectious diseases, such as malaria, measles, meningitis, and AIDS, many of these countries might have attained the MDG 4 target. Similarly, the leading causes in the high, medium-high, and medium mortality strata, to which 17, 20, and 36 countries belong, respectively, were found to be similar (preterm birth complications, pneumonia, and intrapartum-related events), but the annual change of cause-specific child mortality differed considerably within the same strata. These findings have never been reported in prior studies, since previous analyses focused on global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality [6–8,32–34]. Additionally, it is noteworthy that malaria-specific child mortality reduction was a key contributor to overall child mortality reduction in sub-Saharan Africa, while pneumonia was a key contributor in other regions among the Countdown countries during the MDG campaign. The efforts of the global community to combat malaria [35] can be given credit for this significant contribution. In contrast to the study of Wang and colleagues [13], which highlighted a rapid decrease in child mortality subsequent to the scale-up of ART and prevention of mother-to-child



Figure 3. Overall and cause-specific child mortality progress of 75 countries in 2000–2015 (1–59-month-olds, green: success, average annual change of 4.4% or above; yellow: insufficient progress, 1% to 4.3%; grey: no progress, 0% to 1%; red: negative, increase in mortality rate).

transmission, AIDS was not the largest contributor to child mortality reduction in the sub-Saharan African region, either at the country level (with some exceptions) or at the regional level. It is already well known that neonatal mortality has declined more slowly than post-neonatal child mortality [28]. However, looking into the progress by cause, some areas of cause-specific neonatal mortality reduction, such as diarrhea and tetanus, have seen enormous success in

 Table 2. Main contributors to overall child mortality at country level for 75 countries (1–59-month-olds).

			٦	Fotal			Sub	o-Saharaı	n Afr	ican cou	ntrie	es (SSA)			No	on-SSA		
 Disease	R	ank 1	R	ank 2	R	ank 3	R	ank 1	R	ank 2	R	ank 3	R	ank 1	R	ank 2	R	ank 3
Malaria	21	28.0%	6	8.0%	4	5.3%	20	43.5%	5	11.1%	4	8.9%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%
Pneumonia	29	38.7%	17	22.7%	13	17.3%	6	13.0%	12	26.7%	13	28.9%	23	76.7%	5	16.7%	0	0.0%
AIDS	6	8.0%	2	2.7%	4	5.3%	6	13.0%	2	4.4%	3	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%
Diarrhea	11	14.7%	36	48.0%	22	29.3%	6	13.0%	16	35.6%	17	37.8%	5	16.7%	20	66.7%	5	16.7%
Measles	7	9.3%	10	13.3%	12	16.0%	7	15.2%	10	22.2%	6	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	20.0%
Meningitis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%		0.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.7%
Preterm	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Injury	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%
Congenital anomaly		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	0	0.0%		0.0%
Pertussis		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	0	0.0%		0.0%
Intrapartum related events		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	0	0.0%		0.0%
Other	1	1.3%	3	4.0%	17	22.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	1	3.3%	3	10.0%	16	53.3%

Pagion	Country						Rar	nk						Mala
region	Country	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Pneun
	Angola	37.7%	35.5%	28.1%	14.3%	2.8%	1.0%	0.2%	-0.7%	-1.3%	-2.9%	-5.1%	-9.5%	AIE
	Benin	25.2%	24.0%	22.5%	21.7%	5.1%	2.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	-0.7%	-0.9%	Diarr
	Botswana	71.6%	28.3%	2.9%	2.8%	0.9%	0.8%	0.1%	-0.2%	-0.6%	-1.3%	-2.1%	-3.2%	Mea
	Burkina Faso	60.4%	20.9%	9.3%	7.1%	4.0%	3.7%	0.4%	-0.1%	-0.2%	-0.5%	-1.8%	-3.3%	Monie
	Burundi	38.1%	19.9%	18.8%	11.3%	6.9%	6.7%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	-1.1%	-1.3%	Durat
	Cameroon	55.9%	20.3%	19.3%	11.3%	7.1%	4.2%	1.4%	0.5%	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%	-1.4%	Pret
	Central African Republic	51.9%	23.0%	17.00	11.3%	5.4%	1.7%	0.4%	-0.6%	-0.9%	-1.4%	-3.9%	-9.0%	Inju
	Chad	43.3%	24.5%	14.0%	8.6%	4.5%	2.3%	1.5%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	-1.0%	-2.0%	Congenita
	Comoros	34.7%	32.4%	19.30	0.0%	0.5%	5.1%	1.7%	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%	0.0%	-4.0%	Pertu
	COngo CÚlte d'huoire	70 50/	12.4%	12.4%	7.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	1.6%	1.3%	2.0%	6.3%	IN
	Cote d Wolle	78.37	13.4%	12.470	7.470	0.0%	-0.4%	-0.5%	-0.3%	-0.0%	=1.1/0	-3.0%	=0.270	Oth
	Democratic Republic of the Congo	63.6%	25.9%	10.3%	7.1%	3.4%	2.6%	0.8%	-0.4%	-0.7%	-1.5%	-3.2%	-7.7%	
	Djibouti	31.7%	24.8%	21.9%	6.6%	5.9%	5.2%	1.7%	1.0%	0.8%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	
	Equatorial Guinea	42.3%	24.2%	15.8%	9.4%	7.2%	2.2%	1.5%	0.9%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	-4.6%	
	Eritrea	52.0%	17.1%	15.2%	5.0%	3.7%	2.8%	1.8%	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	
	Ethiopia	27.9%	26.6%	13.2%	8.8%	6.8%	5.4%	5.2%	2.3%	1.6%	1.1%	0.5%	0.5%	
	Gabon	39.1%	22.9%	14.4%	11.5%	8.6%	1.5%	1.1%	1.1%	0.3%	0.1%	-0.2%	-0.3%	
	Gambia	30.5%	21.9%	17.0%	12.9%	7.7%	4.5%	1.6%	1.3%	0.9%	0.8%	0.6%	0.5%	
	Ghana	42.3%	30.5%	12.3%	10.5%	7.1%	3.0%	0.6%	-0.3%	-0.3%	-1.5%	-1.8%	-2.3%	
	Guinea	35.2%	27.9%	16.4%	10.0%	3.3%	2.9%	2.5%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	
SSA	Guinea-Bissau	27.5%	22.9%	20.4%	17.0%	5.3%	3.8%	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%	0.5%	-0.1%	
(sub-	Kenya	29.5%	20.7%	17.1%	9.9%	7.6%	5.3%	4.1%	1.9%	1.7%	1.3%	0.6%	0.3%	
aharan	Lesotho	86.6%	16.4%	10.4%	4.9%	3.3%	0.4%	0.0%	-0.5%	-1.2%	-2.5%	-4.5%	-13.3%	
ATRICAN	Liberia	39.5%	27.0%	13.5%	9.6%	3.8%	3.0%	2.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	-0.1%	
ountries)	Madagascar	30.8%	26.7%	12.4%	12.3%	7.1%	3.4%	2.0%	1.7%	1.5%	0.9%	0.7%	0.6%	
	Malawi	23.8%	21.2%	19.8%	19.1%	6.8%	6.0%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.7%	0.2%	-0.4%	
	Mali	28.4%	27.3%	22.1%	7.1%	5.8%	4.9%	1.1%	1.0%	0.9%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	
	Mauritania	39.1%	31.3%	23.7%	8.9%	4.2%	1.3%	0.9%	-0.3%	-0.3%	-1.9%	-2.1%	-4.8%	
	Mozambique	47.6%	14.7%	12.4%	10.9%	7.7%	4.7%	1.9%	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	-0.7%	
	Niger	31.5%	22.4%	13.0%	9.9%	9.7%	7.9%	1.3%	1.2%	1.0%	1.0%	0.7%	0.4%	
	Nigeria	34.3%	30.6%	18.6%	10.7%	2.0%	2.0%	1.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	-0.4%	
	Rwanda	26.4%	26.3%	11.3%	11.0%	7.7%	7.5%	2.8%	2.1%	1.8%	1.7%	1.0%	0.4%	
	Sao Tome and Principe	32.3%	26.5%	20.5%	6.6%	6.2%	4.8%	2.5%	1.4%	1.3%	1.0%	0.1%	-1.5%	
	Senegal	23.8%	22.3%	14.5%	13.2%	10.4%	7.9%	2.4%	2.1%	1.6%	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%	
	Sierra Leone	34.9%	30.6%	17.6%	12.2%	4.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	-0.8%	
	Somalia	78.9%	19.7%	3.3%	2.1%	1.2%	0.2%	0.1%	-0.3%	-0.3%	-0.7%	-1.8%	-2.4%	
	South Africa	62.5%	18.1%	11.5%	5.0%	1.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	-0.1%	-0.2%	
	South Sudan	29.0%	23.3%	19.9%	8.0%	6.8%	6.4%	2.2%	1.6%	1.5%	1.4%	0.8%	-1.0%	
	Sudan	33.0%	27.0%	14.7%	12.7%	9.2%	5.1%	0.9%	0.2%	-0.1%	-0.5%	-0.6%	-1.7%	
	Swaziland	60.9%	16.8%	15.6%	3.0%	2.6%	0.6%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.4%	
	Togo	50.2%	30.5%	10.0%	9.8%	6.2%	2.4%	0.6%	-0.3%	-0.5%	-1.1%	-2.8%	-5.1%	
	Uganda	43.6%	12.7%	12.6%	12.2%	9.8%	3.6%	3.2%	0.7%	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	
	United Republic of Tanzania	22.0%	20.5%	19.8%	16.6%	7.3%	6.0%	3.5%	1.4%	1.0%	0.9%	0.7%	0.3%	
	Zambia	22.5%	20.1%	18.4%	15.9%	8.6%	6.8%	4.1%	1.1%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.1%	
	Zimbabwe	96.2%	7.5%	6.4%	5.1%	2.8%	0.1%	0.1%	-0.7%	-1.3%	-2.7%	-4.9%	-8.6%	
	Egypt	34.7%	20.9%	17.0%	10.1%	8.8%	3.6%	2.2%	1.9%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Morocco	37.4%	26.1%	12.9%	6.9%	6.2%	5.3%	2.5%	1.6%	0.7%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	
	Afghanistan	32.2%	30.1%	28.1%	10.0%	1.5%	0.9%	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	-0.4%	-3.7%	
	Bangladesh	32.1%	25.8%	11.9%	9.0%	7.0%	5.9%	3.5%	2.3%	1.6%	0.7%	0.2%	0.0%	
	India	33.7%	27.8%	17.6%	6.6%	6.2%	3.6%	1.5%	1.3%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%	
	Nepal	29.2%	22.5%	22.0%	9.3%	6.6%	5.3%	1.7%	1.6%	1.0%	0.9%	0.1%	-0.2%	
	Pakistan	46.6%	41.4%	10.3%	2.4%	2.1%	1.2%	1.0%	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%	-0.3%	-5.9%	
	China	30.5%	26.1%	16.5%	13.3%	8.4%	3.8%	0.9%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	-0.1%	
	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	31.7%	24.4%	22.4%	7.3%	7.1%	3.1%	2.7%	1.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
							5.2.0	2.770					5.070	
	Azerbaijan	33.1%	24.9%	20.7%	6.8%	5.5%	4.4%	2.4%	1.9%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	-0.1%	
	Kyrgyzstan	30.6%	21.6%	21.2%	7.7%	7.5%	6.7%	3.1%	2.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.6%	
	Tajikistan	32.0%	27.2%	18.7%	8.4%	5.4%	4.0%	2.0%	2.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Turkmenistan	33.7%	29.6%	19.8%	6.7%	5.0%	1.8%	1.8%	1.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Uzbekistan	33.5%	27.9%	19.3%	8.0%	5.5%	1.8%	1.8%	1.5%	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	
lon-SSA	Cambodia	26.8%	22.2%	14.2%	11.0%	6.4%	6.0%	5.1%	3.0%	2.0%	1.9%	1.1%	0.4%	
	Indonesia	27.7%	23.0%	19.0%	14.8%	7.4%	4.4%	2.5%	1.7%	1.6%	0.1%	-0.6%	-1.7%	
	Lao People's Democratic Republic	33.6%	27.8%	18.4%	7.8%	5.0%	3.3%	1.6%	1.1%	0.9%	0.7%	0.4%	-0.4%	
	Myanmar	32.6%	30.1%	13 7%	11.0%	1 30/	1.9%	1 7%	1.6%	1 594	1 29/	0.4%	0.0%	
	Philippings	38.0%	37.4%	9.7%	7.0%	5.4%	1.5%	1.7%	1.0%	0.8%	0.7%	0.4%	-0.1%	
	Viet Nom	22.5%	30.2%	5.7%	2 00/	7 50/	2.1%	2.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.1%	-0.9%	-2.4%	
	viet Nam	33.5%	26.2%	11.5%	6.9%	7.5%	2.4%	2.3%	0.7%	1.0%	0.1%	-0.8%	-2.4%	
	remen	32.8%	20.3%	12.00	11.5%	5.7%	4.4%	2.2%	2.0%	1.9%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	
	Iraq Belivie (Busic vi in a Contra C	34.4%	33.9%	12.0%	8.9%	5.6%	5.3%	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-2.2%	
	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	31.5%	27.6%	18.0%	6.7%	6.7%	3.9%	2.7%	2.5%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Brazii	30.0%	24.2%	12.0%	7.3%	6.4%	5.9%	1.4%	0.7%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	-0.2%	
	Guatemala	40.6%	29.8%	15.0%	0.5%	6.4%	2.4%	1.5%	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	-1.1%	
	Haiti	35.0%	27.4%	15.0%	9.1%	6.0%	2.6%	1.4%	1.3%	0.8%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	
	IVIEXICO D	26.5%	22.5%	17.1%	11.0%	14.4%	5.2%	0.8%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.2%	
	Peru	28.7%	26.5%	17.4%	11.0%	8.3%	5.1%	2.7%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Solomon Islands	147.4%	19.1%	11.1%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-2.7%	-3.5%	-4.1%	-11.1%	-21.2%	-38.0%	
	Papua New Guinea	33.7%	21.0%	19.3%	15.7%	4.6%	2.9%	1.7%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	-0.2%	

Figure 4. Cause-specific contribution to overall child mortality at country level for 75 countries (1–59-month-olds).



Figure 5. Key contributor of under-5 child mortality reduction of 75 countries in 2000–2015 (green: malaria; blue: AIDS; red: pneumonia; yellow: measles; brown: diarrhea; grey: other diseases).

many countries. The more rapid reduction of child mortality in post-neonatal children than in neonates was mainly due to reductions in the burden of infectious disease. Among post-neonatal children, many countries saw insufficient progress in preterm birth complications, congenital anomalies, injuries, and intrapartum-related events

Caution is needed when interpreting the relatively low percentage of countries that were successful with AIDS and pertussis, because these results may have primarily been due to very low child mortality rate of many countries for these diseases in 2000, the baseline year of the comparisons.

Although 27 countries achieved success in overall child mortality reduction in terms of the annual average changes set by the MDGs, none of the countries saw success in all 12 causes in post-neonatal children. Some exceptional countries such as China, Rwanda, and Cambodia achieved an impressive and balanced decline across causes. West and central Saharan Africa had the largest disparities both in terms of progress and contributions. Attention should be paid to the finding that in this group of countries, a single disease often contributed to a substantial proportion of the child mortality reduction. For example, in Lesotho, South Africa, and Zimbabwe, AIDS-specific child mortality reduction alone contributed to 60-95% of the all-cause child mortality reduction, and malaria contributed to 50-80% of the reduction in Cute d'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Burkina Faso, Central African Republic, and Togo. Another example is Botswana, which is frequently referred as a successful country [12] in terms of child mortality reduction during the MDG campaign, as it showed 5.3% annual changes; however, 71.6% of the child mortality reduction in Botswana can be attributed to AIDS-specific child mortality reduction alone.

It is worrisome that successful performance in a very specific disease could mask unsuccessful progress with other diseases, and that success in terms of the annual average reduction in all-cause child mortality could misrepresent poor performance in certain areas of causespecific mortality reduction within the same country if we do not pay attention to cause-specific progress and contributing factors at the country level [15].

Additionally, the specific characteristics of west and central African countries should be noted. Despite considerable reductions in overall infectious disease mortality across 75 countries, progress in pneumonia- and diarrhea-specific child mortality was not sufficient in west and central African countries, in contrast with east Africa. Thirty percent (1.8 million) of worldwide child deaths took place in west and central Africa in 2015, and six of the seven countries with a U5MR over 100 per 1000 live births are in this area (Angola, Chad, Central African Republic, Sierra Leone, Mali, and Nigeria) [2]. You and colleagues predicted the number of global underfive deaths would be 6.6 million in 2030, higher than that in 2015, under the scenario of no change from the 2015 mortality rate, and they reported that the increase would be largely attributable to the growing under-five population in west and central Africa [2]. Prior studies [1,7] have stressed that low-income countries should accelerate progress, particularly for neonates; however, insufficient progress in pneumonia and diarrhea in west and central Africa, and some



Figure 6. Number of child lives saved during the MDG era by disease among 75 countries (1–59-month-olds, above: accumulated number of lives saved; below: annual number of lives saved).

outliers in cause-specific child mortality reduction (e.g. increases in pneumonia and meningitis in Cute d'Ivoire, measles in South Africa and Malawi) have not been duly highlighted. The relatively even progress across causes of child mortality seen in Rwanda, Cambodia, China, and many east African countries should be further examined in future research.

Examining the specific reasons for the uneven or even progress seen in specific countries is beyond the scope of this study; however, we present some possible factors that require further investigation. The first factor is the overall strength of the health system [36,37]. While measles and tetanus-specific deaths can be prevented by relatively simple interventions, such as immunization [38,39], more comprehensive interventions are needed to prevent deaths from pneumonia and diarrhea, involving more a comprehensive approach, including behavior change, inter-sectoral collaboration, and environmental improvements [39–41], all of which require a strengthened health system



Figure 7. Number of cause-specific child deaths averted during the MDG era by region (above: neonates; below: 1–59-month-olds).

[42,43]. We suppose that a substantial share of specific causes in terms of contribution might have been attributed to some vertical programs generating considerable investment in specific diseases as part of global health initiatives around 2000 [36]. If successful results can be caused by a vertical program with nothing to do with overall strengthening of the health system, we cannot be sure whether the accelerated progress seen in 2000–2015 could be pronounced and sustained for

other diseases within the same country during the SDG era. The second factor is inequality in health coverage [44–46]. It is noteworthy that the degree of inequality in coverage of interventions for child health was much smaller in Cambodia and Rwanda, both of which saw successful progress across causes, in contrast with the considerable inequality seen in Angola, Nigeria, and the Central African Republic [21]. According to the results of GBD, China, also one of

the best performers, was reported to have equal progress across provinces and municipalities. A third factor is war or internal conflict [47–49]. Internal conflicts or war might have escalated all or some causes of child mortality in some countries (e.g. Cute d'Ivoire, Somalia, Sudan, Democratic Republic of Congo).

A clear child survival policy and program focus should be based on the performance in the last 15 years and the contributions of disease-specific reduction to all-cause child mortality, in order for interventions to have the potential to further improve overall child survival. In this regard, although the global community has tended to shift its attention toward neonatal mortality reduction [21,50–54], the focus should still be on the leading infectious causes of post-neonatal mortality in many countries, especially in west and central African countries, for child survival efforts. This is warranted because the substantial contribution of one or a few cause-specific reductions may mask unsuccessful performance in other areas of cause-specific child mortality reduction.

The main limitation of this study lies in its data source, since there is a large data gap for these countries. Our study was based on data published by Liu and colleagues [1], who clearly stated that inherent uncertainties and limited misclassifications exist in the classification of VAs in the current data, and the estimation of cause-specific mortality is especially hindered by data limitations because a minimal proportion of the global cases of mortality in under-5 children are medically certified. In low- or middleincome, child mortality is based on a comparably large amount of empirical data to what is used for adult or old-age mortality, although data quality issues still pose challenges in many countries. To create reliable and comparable child mortality estimates, modeling exercises have been conducted and continue to be refined. For most of the target countries in this study, cause-specific child deaths were estimated by VRMCM or VAMCM, with VA used for 37 countries for neonates and 42 countries for 1- to 59-month-olds, and VR for 66 countries for neonates and 68 countries for 1- to 59-month-olds. Although the accuracy of VA or VR remains to be improved, the increased number of data points (i.e. 2004 data point for VR for neonates and 1364 for 1-to 59-month-olds; 124 for VA for neonates and 218 for 1- to 59-month-olds in their updated report in 2016) ensure greater validity than previous results, and these estimates have been widely used by diverse organizations, including the World Health Organization.

Roughly 43 million child deaths have been avoided during the MDG era in 75 countries. The finding that a substantial number of child lives saved occurred in sub-Saharan Africa for post-neonatal children, and in South Asia for neonates, is plausible in light of the fact that the older group has seen twice the progress of

neonates in the sub-Saharan Africa region in 2000-2015 [2]. The substantial portion of averted child deaths from neonate tetanus and measles, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, should be highlighted. The lower proportion of averted post-neonatal child deaths from pneumonia compared with diarrhea warrants further research, since pneumonia is responsible for a larger proportion of child deaths; this discrepancy may suggest there is more room for improvement in this leading cause of child death. The assumption that the child mortality remained the same throughout the study period, which we used to derive the number of child lives saved, might have led to either an overestimation of overall under-5 child lives saved since the trend was already decreasing or an underestimation of averted child deaths from some other causes, such as HIV/AIDS and malaria, which showed increasing trends around the year 2000. However, all in all, the share of child lives saved is in line with prior studies [2,31] that reported that 48 million lives were saved in 2000-2015 and 37 million in 2000-2013 among 195 countries; in that study, You and colleagues [2] suggested that 18 million of the child lives saved were attributed to the accelerated progress of child mortality reduction since 2000. For the upcoming period, we could estimate the number of cause-specific averted child deaths by applying various scenarios, such as no change from the 2016 mortality rate, current trends, and the trends reported by the best regional performer based on cause-specific progress in 2000-2015.

The results of our cause-specific analysis at the country level will guide further efforts at the global and country levels, and this study warrants future research that will provide evidence regarding the avoidability of cause-specific deaths for causes that did not show successful progress and still have substantial room for future contributions.

As a method of calculating average annual changes, we considered summing up every year's change in 2001–2015 and then dividing the result by 15, but we eventually used the values derived from the formula provided presented in methods part for the following reasons. First, in some diseases (for example, measles), abrupt changes took place in some countries in certain years, affecting the mean values too much and potentially leading to a misrepresentation of the average change or general trend during the MDG era. Second, previous studies used the formula instead of calculating the average value by dividing the summed-up value by 15, and we therefore applied the same method to improve the comparability of our findings with those of previous studies. An uncertainty interval of 90% or 95% has been suggested for cause-specific child mortality or annual changes, and the uncertainty in model coefficients could be estimated by resampling the input data sets an enormous number of times. Since this study used alreadygenerated mortality outcomes and we did not handle the input data, we were unable to carry out estimation procedures for uncertainty intervals. We suggest that future studies generating cause-specific child mortality estimates should derive uncertainty intervals for annual changes at the national level.

The global annual average rate of reduction (AARR) in mortality has steadily doubled after the Millennium Declaration, and many sub-Saharan African countries saw an average AARR of 4.4% or above in 2000–2015; however, further studies must be conducted to investigate whether these improvements were accompanied by strengthening of the overall health systems or were temporary trends subsequent to the rapid increase in vertical programs focused on those specific diseases.

#### Conclusion

The SDGs aim to reduce U5MR to 25 child deaths or below per 1000 live births by 2030 in every country, and the cause-specific progress in 2000-2015 should be addressed as part of policy discussions, especially when formulating country-specific strategies. The leading infectious diseases such as pneumonia and diarrhea have long been suggested to be a policy priority going forward in very-high-mortality countries; however, only emphasizing these diseases is insufficient. Furthermore, a thorough investigation must be undertaken to examine the reasons for the slow reduction in these two main infectious diseases in west and central African countries, despite the existence of a range of highly cost-effective and low-cost interventions, such as vaccination for pneumonia, water and sanitation improvements, breastfeeding promotion and the like. We postulated that the overall strength of health systems, inequality, and war or conflict may be possible factors contributing to success or failure in reducing mortality due to these causes. Case studies on the remarkable progress shown for these two diseases in east African countries could generate clues about possible solutions suitable for neighboring regions. Many countries in west and central Africa have seen increases in pre-term birth complications, intrapartum related events, congenital anomalies, and injuries, all of which should be a focus of child survival efforts; however, investments in interventions against pneumonia and diarrhea must not be crowded out. Recommendations for policy formulations in prior studies have generally been derived from findings at global, regional, or U5MR-mortality stratum levels. These recommendations may have been insightful to some extent, insofar as some patterns do exist at those levels. However, analyses at the global level, in certain regions, or across certain mortality strata are susceptible to be disproportionately affected by a few countries with an enormous burden of child deaths. The results of this study support this argument by demonstrating the distinctive features that can be observed in a country-level analysis.

To identify potential challenges in achieving the SDG targets in child mortality reduction, lessons learnt from failure or successes should be shared. The progress in overall child mortality cannot itself indicate the gaps that remain to be filled in terms of investment, commitment, or prioritization; what went well or what went wrong in the MDG period; or what more we can do in the SDG era in each country. Such conclusions should be based on country-specific findings. We believe that our key findings with regard to cause-specific progress in each country provide insight into the formulation of country-specific strategies.

#### **Acknowledgments**

None.

#### **Author contributions**

SC and YJ conceived the study, analyzed the data, and prepared the initial draft of the manuscript. PMM and JIR were involved in the interpreting of data and provided review comments on the draft manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

#### **Ethics and consent**

Ethical approval and patient consent were not necessary because this study used data that are publicly available.

#### **Funding information**

Authors received no funding support for this study.

#### **Paper context**

Previously, performance in child mortality reduction has been assessed all-cause child mortality. Cause-specific changes in child mortality have been analyzed and discussed mainly at the global and regional levels. We assessed performance in cause-specific child mortality at the country level and analyzed which causes contributed the most to child mortality reduction in 2000–2015. In addition, we estimated the number of cause-specific child deaths averted during the MDG era, referring to the methods to calculate all-cause child deaths averted in the previous study. Governments have pledged US\$ 25 billion for improving maternal, adolescent, and child health from 2016 to 2020, and this investment should be guided by lessons obtained from reflecting on past performance. This study provides meaningful insights into the formulation of country-specific strategies.

#### ORCID

Yan Jin D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6118-2069

Paul Mansiangi Mankadi D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1184-0227

Jose Irineu Rigotti D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1749-5313 Seungman Cha D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1264-3313

#### References

- Liu L, Oza S, Hogan D, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000–15: an updated systematic analysis with implications for the Sustainable Development Goals. Lancet. 2016;388:3027–3035.
- [2] You D, Hug L, Ejdemyr S, et al. Global, regional, and national levels and trends in under-5 mortality between 1990 and 2015, with scenario-based projections to 2030: a systematic analysis by the UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation. Lancet. 2015;386:2275-2286.
- [3] Naghavi M, Abajobir AA, Abbafati C, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet. 2017;390:1151–1210.
- [4] Liu L, Oza S, Hogan D, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2000–13, with projections to inform post-2015 priorities: an updated systematic analysis. Lancet. 2015;385:430–440.
- [5] D HL Y, Ejdemyr S, Beise J. Levels and trends in child mortality report 2015. Etimates developed by the UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortaltiy Estimation. New York: UN; 2015.
- [6] GBD. 2013 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet. 2015;385:117–171.
- [7] GBD. 2015 Child Mortality Collaborators. Global, regional, national, and selected subnational levels of stillbirths, neonatal, infant, and under-5 mortality, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet. 2016;388:1725–1774.
- [8] GBD. 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet. 2016;388:1459–1544.
- [9] Liu L, Johnson HL, Cousens S, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality: an updated systematic analysis for 2010 with time trends since 2000. Lancet. 2012;379:2151–2561.
- [10] Black RE, Cousens S, Johnson HL, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2008: a systematic analysis. Lancet. 2010;375:1969–1987.
- [11] United Nations. Sustainable Development Goals. New York: UN; 2015.

- [12] GBD. 2016 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of deaths, 1980–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet. 2017;390:1151–1210.
- [13] Wang H, Liddell CA, Coates MM, et al. Global, regional, and national levels of neonatal, infant, and under-5 mortality during 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet. 2014;384:957–979.
- [14] Wang H, Dwyer-Lindgren L, Lofgren KT, et al. Agespecific and sex-specific mortality in 187 countries, 1970–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012;380:2071–2094.
- [15] Rajaratnam JK, Marcus JR, Flaxman AD, et al. Neonatal, postneonatal, childhood, and under-5 mortality for 187 countries, 1970–2010: a systematic analysis of progress towards Millennium Development Goal 4. Lancet. 2010;375:1988–2008.
- [16] Bryce J, Boschi-Pinto C, Shibuya K, et al. WHO estimates of the causes of death in children. Lancet. 2005;365:1147-1152.
- [17] D HL Y, Ejdemyr S, Beise J. Levels and trends in child mortality, Report 2015. Estimates developed by the UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation. New York: United Nations Children's Fund; 2015.
- [18] Division. UNDoEaSAP. World Population Prospects: the 2015 Revision. New York: UN; 2015.
- [19] Dieleman JL, Schneider MT, Haakenstad A, et al. Development assistance for health: past trends, associations, and the future of international financial flows for health. Lancet. 2016;387:2536–2544.
- [20] Collaboration Ct. Countdown to 2030: tracking progress towards universal coverage for reproductive, maternal, newborn, and child health. Lancet. 2018;391:1538–1548.
- [21] Boerma T, Requejo J, Victora CG, et al. Countdown to 2030: tracking progress towards universal coverage for reproductive, maternal, newborn, and child health. Lancet. 2018;391:1538–1548.
- [22] Preston SHHP, Guillot M. Basic concepts and measures, demography: measuring and modeling population processes. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2001.
- [23] Bhutta ZA, Chopra M, Axelson H, et al. Countdown to 2015 decade report (2000–10): taking stock of maternal, newborn, and child survival. Lancet. 2010;375:2032–2044.
- [24] Arregoces L, Daly F, Pitt C, et al. Countdown to 2015: changes in official development assistance to reproductive, maternal, newborn, and child health, and assessment of progress between 2003 and 2012. Lancet Glob Health. 2015;3:e410-421.
- [25] Greco G, Powell-Jackson T, Borghi J, et al. Countdown to 2015: assessment of donor assistance to maternal, newborn, and child health between 2003 and 2006. Lancet. 2008;371:1268–1275.
- [26] Hsu J, Pitt C, Greco G, et al. Countdown to 2015: changes in official development assistance to maternal, newborn, and child health in 2009–10, and assessment of progress since 2003. Lancet. 2012;380:1157–1168.
- [27] Pitt C, Greco G, Powell-Jackson T, et al. Countdown to 2015: assessment of official development assistance to maternal, newborn, and child health, 2003–08. Lancet. 2010;376:1485–1496.

- [28] WHO U. A decade of tracking progress for maternal, newborn and child survival: the 2015 report. Geneva: WHO; 2015.
- [29] Group CCW. Countdown to 2015 for maternal, newborn, and child survival: the 2008 report on tracking coverage of interventions. Lancet. 2008;371:1247–1258.
- [30] WHO U. Countdown to 2015: decade report. Geneva: WHO; 2010.
- [31] Murray C, Chambers R. Keeping score: fostering accountability for children's lives. Lancet. 2015;386:3–5.
- [32] Lozano R, Wang H, Foreman KJ, et al. Progress towards Millennium Development Goals 4 and 5 on maternal and child mortality: an updated systematic analysis. Lancet. 2011;378:1139–1165.
- [33] Wang H, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national under-5 mortality, adult mortality, age-specific mortality, and life expectancy, 1970–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet. 2017;390:1084–1150.
- [34] Wang H, Bhutta ZA, Coates MM, et al. Global, regional, national, and selected subnational levels of stillbirths, neonatal, infant, and under-5 mortality, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet. 2016;388:1725–1774.
- [35] Eisele TP, Larsen DA, Walker N, et al. Estimates of child deaths prevented from malaria prevention scaleup in Africa 2001–2010. Malar J. 2012;11:93.
- [36] Samb B, Evans T, Dybul M, et al. An assessment of interactions between global health initiatives and country health systems. Lancet. 2009;373:2137–2169.
- [37] Jamison DT, Summers LH, Alleyne G, et al. Global health 2035: a world converging within a generation. Lancet. 2013;382:1898–1955.
- [38] Lim SS, Stein DB, Charrow A, et al. Tracking progress towards universal childhood immunisation and the impact of global initiatives: a systematic analysis of three-dose diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis immunisation coverage. Lancet. 2008;372:2031–2046.
- [39] Ahmed HM, Mitchell M, Hedt B. National implementation of Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI): policy constraints and strategies. Health Policy. 2010;96:128–133.
- [40] Chopra M, Mason E, Borrazzo J, et al. Ending of preventable deaths from pneumonia and diarrhoea: an achievable goal. Lancet. 2013;381:1499–1506.
- [41] von Schirnding Y. Health and sustainable development: can we rise to the challenge? Lancet. 2002;360:632–637.

- [42] Mulholland K. Childhood pneumonia mortality-a permanent global emergency. Lancet. 2007;370:285–289.
- [43] Travis P, Bennett S, Haines A, et al. Overcoming health-systems constraints to achieve the Millennium Development Goals. Lancet. 2004;364:900–906.
- [44] Victora CG, Barros AJ, Axelson H, et al. How changes in coverage affect equity in maternal and child health interventions in 35 Countdown to 2015 countries: an analysis of national surveys. Lancet. 2012;380:1149–1156.
- [45] Clermont A. The impact of eliminating within-country inequality in health coverage on maternal and child mortality: a Lives Saved Tool analysis. BMC Public Health. 2017;17:734.
- [46] Kuruvilla S, Sadana R, Montesinos EV, et al. A life-course approach to health: synergy with sustainable development goals. Bull World Health Organ. 2018;96:42-50.
- [47] Qirbi N, Ismail SA. Health system functionality in a low-income country in the midst of conflict: the case of Yemen. Health Policy Plan. 2017;32:911–922.
- [48] Kuruvilla S, Bustreo F, Kuo T, et al. The Global strategy for women's, children's and adolescents' health (2016–2030): a roadmap based on evidence and country experience. Bull World Health Organ. 2016;94:398–400.
- [49] Edmond KM, Yousufi K, Anwari Z, et al. Can community health worker home visiting improve care-seeking and maternal and newborn care practices in fragile states such as Afghanistan? A population-based intervention study. BMC Med. 2018;16:106.
- [50] Dickson KE, Simen-Kapeu A, Kinney MV, et al. Every Newborn: health-systems bottlenecks and strategies to accelerate scale-up in countries. Lancet. 2014;384:438-454.
- [51] Houweling TA, Morrison J, Azad K, et al. How to reach every newborn: three key messages. Lancet Glob Health. 2014;2:e436-437.
- [52] Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, et al. Every Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. Lancet. 2014;384:189–205.
- [53] New K, Konstantopoulos A, Arulkumaran S, et al. Every Newborn: the professional organisations' perspective. Lancet. 2014;384:e25–26.
- [54] Samarasekera U, Horton R. The world we want for every newborn child. Lancet. 2014;384:107–109.

		Total	urp1	DOC <sup>2</sup>						Dis	ease					
Region	Rank	Country	050-	POS	PNE <sup>3</sup>	PRE <sup>4</sup>	INT <sup>5</sup>	MEN <sup>6</sup>	OTH <sup>7</sup>	CON <sup>8</sup>	DIA <sup>9</sup>	MEA <sup>10</sup>	INJ <sup>11</sup>	MAL <sup>12</sup>	AIDS13	PER <sup>14</sup>
	1	Rwanda	9.4%	11.4%	13.0%	7.5%	7.1%	21.9%	7.5%	5.4%	15.6%	20.1%	4.3%	12.0%	21.2%	2.5%
	4	Sonogal	7 20/	0.00/	0.40/	E 20/	E 20/	1/ 20/	E E0/	/ 00/	10.7%	24.20/	2 70/	12.070	2 00/	2.0%
	4	Seriegal	7.270	0.3%	5.470	2.7%	2.0%	7.10/	2.5%	4.0%	10.776	24.270	2.770	12.170	0.00/	2.0%
	5	Uganda	7.0%	8.3%	5.9%	2.7%	2.9%	7.1%	2.6%	1.9%	8.4%	34.2%	0.4%	15.6%	8.9%	2.0%
	6	Liberia	7.0%	8.2%	4.8%	2.5%	2.3%	7.3%	2.2%	1.6%	8.2%	27.5%	-0.2%	11.9%	8.5%	2.3%
	7	Congo	6.7%	8.1%	8.2%	4.4%	4.1%	11.6%	4.4%	3.5%	10.2%	22.5%	2.2%	12.7%	6.9%	3.3%
	8	Malawi	6.7%	8.0%	8.4%	3.6%	3.5%	12.7%	3.5%	2.1%	10.7%	-6.0%	1.4%	12.2%	11.1%	1.5%
	9	United Republic of Tanzania	6.6%	8.1%	8.5%	3.6%	3.1%	13.8%	3.7%	2.0%	10.0%	16.9%	1.5%	12.8%	14.9%	1.7%
	10	Zambia	6.5%	7 7%	7.3%	3 3%	3.3%	11.2%	3.6%	2.4%	9.7%	24.8%	1.0%	11.3%	10.2%	0.8%
	10		C.0%	7.770	0.00/	2.0%	2.00/	12.00/	4.00/	2.470	10.20/	C 20/	2.0%	11.00/	14.00/	1.00/
	13	Ethiopia	6.0%	7.5%	8.0%	3.9%	3.8%	12.0%	4.9%	2.0%	10.2%	0.3%	2.0%	11.9%	14.0%	1.8%
	15	Niger	5.7%	6.6%	5.9%	3.9%	3.9%	8.0%	3.7%	3.4%	9.8%	29.0%	1.1%	6.0%	8.3%	2.6%
	18	Eritrea	5.6%	7.1%	5.0%	2.8%	2.5%	5.5%	2.7%	2.1%	7.3%	23.8%	1.5%	0.3%	10.2%	0.7%
	21	Madagascar	5.4%	6.6%	7.6%	4.2%	3.9%	9.9%	4.5%	3.5%	9.3%	25.4%	2.4%	1.9%	4.7%	2.6%
	22	Botswana	5.3%	8.2%	2.0%	-2.5%	-2.7%	6.7%	-2.1%	-3.5%	3.0%	25.7%	-3.4%	N/A	19.1%	2.3%
	23	Burkina Faso	5.3%	6.1%	3.4%	-0.8%		7.5%	-2.0%	-1.6%	5.2%	15.6%	-2.9%	9.1%	13.0%	2.6%
	24	Mozambiguo	5 2%	6 1%	1 6%	1 2%	0.7%	9 /1 9/	1.0%	0.1%	6.5%	21.2%		10.1%	7.6%	1 50/
	24	Kanua	5.2%	7 10/	4.0%	2.70	0.770	0.470	1.0%	0.170	0.376	10.20/	1.00/	7 40/	12.50/	1.5%
	25	Kenya	5.2%	7.1%	7.2%	3.7%	3.4%	8.7%	4.0%	3.2%	8.4%	19.2%	1.8%	7.4%	12.5%	0.9%
	26	Sierra Leone	5.2%	6.1%	4.8%	1.0%	0.5%	9.2%	0.1%	-0.1%	6.9%	18.7%	-1.2%	7.0%	5.5%	3.6%
	27	Swaziland	5.2%	5.8%	5.3%	1.2%	1.2%	8.8%	0.9%	0.4%	6.4%	N/A	-0.4%	N/A	12.5%	1.1%
	31	Guinea	4.6%	5.4%	3.0%	1.8%	1.8%	3.6%	1.5%	1.3%	6.5%	29.4%	0.1%	5.1%	12.4%	1.4%
	32	Guinea-Bissau	4.6%	6.1%	4.6%	2.4%	2.2%	5.3%	2.4%	1.3%	7.4%	23.3%	0.7%	12.7%	-0.2%	2.5%
	22	Courte Dissau	4.070	E 00/	4.50/	4.20/	2.270	5.5%	4.20/	2.40/	0.20/	10.00/	2.20/	0.50/	1.5%	4.00/
	33	South Sudan	4.6%	5.9%	4.5%	4.3%	3.7%	5.9%	4.2%	3.4%	9.2%	12.8%	2.2%	8.5%	-1.5%	4.8%
Sub-Saharar	35	Burunai	4.5%	5.6%	5.4%	0.8%	0.5%	9.7%	-0.4%	0.1%	6.4%	30.4%	-0.9%	11.9%	13.5%	1.5%
Jup-Janaran	38	Mali	4.3%	5.0%	6.2%	2.6%	2.5%	6.9%	2.5%	1.9%	7.8%	15.7%	0.5%	4.3%	3.3%	1.5%
Atrica	40	Nigeria	4.2%	4.9%	2.8%	1.0%	0.9%	3.1%	0.9%	0.0%	5.8%	20.4%	-0.4%	6.5%	1.8%	1.0%
	42	South Africa	4.0%	4.4%	2.8%	0.8%	1.3%	4.2%	1.1%	0.2%	5.9%	-0,6%	0.0%	9.0%	12.0%	0.0%
1	44	Ghana	3.8%	5 3%	4.2%	-0.9%	-1.3%	6.7%	-1.0%	-2.8%	4.7%	30.1%	-1.4%	8.1%	10.4%	1.7%
	15	Cameroon	2 00/	1 50/	1.270	0.5%	0.2%	6.20/	0.4%	-0.1%	5.20/	26 50/	-1.0%	8 20/	5.2%	1.0%
	45		5.6%	4.5%	4.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.270	0.4%	-0.1%	5.5%	20.5%	-1.0%	0.5%	5.270	1.9%
	46	Sao Tome and Principe	3.8%	4.5%	6.6%	2.3%	3.2%	12.6%	1.7%	2.5%	6.6%	-8.0%	0.9%	5.2%	15.1%	1.2%
	48	Gambia	3.7%	4.7%	5.0%	1.9%	1.8%	6.6%	1.9%	0.9%	7.3%	23.6%	0.8%	8.7%	1.2%	1.3%
	50	Gabon	3.6%	4.9%	2.5%	0.7%	0.3%	3.6%	0.6%	-0.4%	5.0%	14.8%	-0.1%	5.8%	8.3%	1.9%
	51	Equatorial Guinea	3.4%	4.1%	3.3%	1.7%	2.2%	4.9%	2.3%	0.3%	7.0%	3.0%	1.3%	8.7%	-5.4%	0.0%
	5.2	Development's Development (in a faile of comme	2 407	4.000	1.00/	4 704	4.007	2 70/	2.20/	2 70/	2.007	4.4.70/	2.00/	0.20/	5.70/	2 70/
	53	Democratic Republic of the Congo	3.4%	4.0%	1.8%	-1.7%	-1.8%	3.7%	-2.3%	-2.7%	3.0%	11.7%	-2.8%	9.3%	5.7%	2.1%
	55	Togo	3.3%	3.9%	1.5%	-1.1%	-1.3%	3.0%	-1.9%	-1.7%	3.2%	24.1%	-2.2%	6.1%	11.0%	1.7%
	56	Diibouti	3.3%	4.5%	5.2%	1.4%	1.1%	8.6%	1.2%	0.5%	5.7%	11.0%	0.6%	8.9%	4.6%	0.1%
	50	Cùlta d'Ivaira	2 1%	2 9%	0.1%	1 5%	1 90/	0.9%	2.4%	1 0%	2.5%	22.0%	2.0%	7.6%	9 7%	1.0%
	50	Contest	2.000	2.0%	5.00%	0.2%	0.0%	0.070	2.470	0.00	2.370	11.200	2.370	7.070	4.70	1.0%
	59	Sudan	2.9%	3.9%	5.0%	0.3%	-0.3%	9.5%	2.0%		5.3%	11.2%		7.5%	-4.7%	1.9%
	61	Zimbabwe	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%	-2.1%	-2.8%	5.0%	-2.3%	-2.6%	2.1%	0.6%	-3.3%	5.3%	13.0%	1.8%
	63	Benin	2.7%	3.3%	3.6%	0.3%	0.1%	4.2%	0.0%	-0.6%	4.5%	17.8%	-0.5%	4.4%	4.5%	0.8%
	64	Somalia	2.5%	3.1%	0.4%	-0.5%	-0.7%	1.1%	-0.5%	-0.8%	3.1%	13.5%	-1.3%	2.6%	0.6%	0.6%
	67	Central African Republic	2.2%	2.8%	0.3%	-1.5%	-1.8%	2.8%	-2.3%	-1.7%	2.2%	15.3%	-2.6%	5.2%	7.9%	1.0%
	70	Angola	2.1%	2.5%	3.0%	-1.2%	-1.2%	0.5%	-1.4%	_3.2%	3.0%	0.8%	_1 3%	6.8%	0.3%	3 3%
	70	Company	2.1/0	2.370	2.40/	1 70/	1 70/	4.00/	0.90/	1 10/	5.5%	5.670 NI/A	1 10/	2 70/	0.370 NI/A	1 20/
	/1	Comoros	2.1%	2.7%	5.4%	1.7%	1.7%	4.8%	0.8%	1.1%	5.5%	IN/A	1.1%	2.7%	N/A	1.2%
	72	Mauritania	2.0%	2.5%	4.0%	-0.3%	-0.4%	6.2%	-0.6%	-1.4%	3.8%	11.1%	-0.6%	1.6%	1.3%	1.7%
	73	Chad	1.9%	2.2%	1.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.3%	-0.7%	4.3%	23.7%	-0.7%	2.2%	3.2%	1.0%
	74	Lesotho	1.8%	2.3%	1.1%	-1.1%	-0.9%	3.6%	-2.0%	-1.5%	2.5%	7.7%	-1.6%	N/A	7.8%	0.8%
Northern	34	Egypt	4.6%	5.5%	7.6%	2.2%	2.2%	7.8%	4.3%	2.9%	8.3%	24.8%	2.3%	N/A	-6.2%	1.9%
Africa	41	Morocco	4.1%	5.7%	7.8%	3.7%	4 3%	12.5%	3.1%	4.0%	9.1%	15.2%	2.7%	N/A	3.7%	0.5%
Antea	14	Vamon	F. 00/	7 00/	0.0%	4.0%	4.370	12.370	4.00/	4.070	10.70/	22.270	2.770	0.49/	2 /0/	2.49/
Western Asia	14	femen	2.2%	7.070	9.0%	4.970	4.770	12.270	4.370	4.0%	10.7%	25.270	5.170	9.470	-5.470	2.470
	66	Iraq	2.2%	2.7%	3.2%	0.8%	1.0%	4.8%	1.4%	-0.9%	5.7%	18.5%	1.3%	N/A	N/A	1.0%
	16	Bangladesh	5.7%	7.7%	8.2%	6.2%	4.1%	10.4%	5.1%	4.5%	10.5%	10.6%	4.3%	17.8%	-15.1%	1.9%
1	20	Nepal	5.5%	7.5%	7.5%	5.5%	4.4%	9.6%	4.8%	4.1%	9.7%	19.5%	3.7%	10.8%	-4.4%	1.7%
Southern Asia	39	India	4.3%	5.4%	6.1%	2.2%	3.1%	7.0%	5.4%	1.6%	6.3%	6.9%	3.0%	3.2%	3.6%	1.4%
	60	Afghanistan	2.8%	3.4%	3.6%	0.9%	0.9%	6.5%	-0.7%	0.6%	5.0%	16.7%	-0.1%	14.1%	-3.9%	2.9%
1	69	Pakistan	2.2%	2.5%	3.9%	0.9%	0.5%	6.6%		0.4%	4.5%	3.1%	0.0%	10.6%	-15.5%	2.4%
	22	Cambodia	0.20/	11 60/	11 20/	8 60/	Q 10/	1/1 /10/	Q 20/	Q 10/	1/ 69/	20.2%	6 20/	30.2%	17.4%	1 90/
	20	Indonesia	0.570	E 004	F.CO/	4.00%	9.170	14.4%	4.20/	2.00/	14.0%	20.5%	4.00/	2.2%	20 60	1.0%
L .	30	muonesia	4.7%	5.8%	5.6%	4.9%	4.4%	6.9%	4.3%	3.8%	8.9%	11.3%	4.0%	-2.3%	-20.6%	0.9%
South-eastern	43	Lao People's Democratic Republic	3.9%	5.0%	5.9%	1.8%	2.3%	10.0%	1.4%	1.2%	6.3%	26.7%	0.8%	11.8%	-10.2%	0.8%
Asia	49	Viet Nam	3.6%	5.0%	6.5%	-6.6%	-6.5%	4.5%	2.2%	2.2%	8.6%	17.6%	1.9%	16.3%	0.5%	0.9%
	57	Mvanmar	3.1%	3.9%	4.4%	2.3%	3.0%	7.7%	2.4%	2.3%	6.6%	1.9%	1.7%	2.7%	1.8%	0.1%
	65	Philippines	2.3%	2.6%	3.3%	1.3%	1.3%	5.3%	1.3%	0.7%	5.1%	3.3%	1.1%	17.4%	-5.2%	0.5%
1	2	Chipa	Q /10/	7.6%	0.0%	2.070	-2 =0/	0.0%	6.5%	4.0%	12 104	0.00/	7.90/	6.20/	2 20/	1 70/
Eastern Asia	2	Crimid	0.4%	7.0%	9.9%	2.1%	-2.570	5.9%	0.5%	4.0%	12.1%	5.8%	7.8%	0.270	2.3%	1.7%
	11	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	6.1%	7.5%	8.3%	6.2%	5.9%	9.9%	6.4%	5.7%	10.6%	N/A	4.7%	N/A	-4.8%	2.7%
	12	Azerbaijan	6.1%	8.3%	10.2%	6.1%	6.2%	15.3%	6.7%	4.9%	11.3%	18.8%	5.2%	N/A	-3.8%	1.9%
1	17	Kvrgvzstan	5.7%	7.0%	8.1%	4.6%	6.9%	10.7%	6.3%	5.0%	10.2%	N/A	4.8%	N/A	-10.8%	1.5%
Caucasus and	28	Tajikistan	5.0%	6.6%	7 3%	5.1%	5.2%	10.4%	4.9%	4.9%	9.6%	N/A	3.5%	Ν/Δ	3.8%	0.7%
Central Asia	20	Turkan	2.40/	0.0%	7.5%	2.404	2.404	0.000	4.5%	4.5%	7.404	IN/A	2.2%	N/A	5.570	1.204
1	52	ruikmenistan	3.4%	4.4%	5.3%	2.4%	2.4%	9.0%	3.3%	0.9%	7.1%	IN/A	2.3%	IN/A	IN/A	1.2%
	54	Uzbekistan	3.3%	4.3%	5.2%	2.3%	2.3%	8.6%	3.2%	0.8%	7.5%	N/A	2.2%	N/A	9.9%	1.1%
Oceania	68	Papua New Guinea	2.2%	2.8%	2.0%	0.5%	0.5%	3.4%	0.3%	0.2%	4.2%	19.8%	-0.1%	2.8%	3.8%	0.5%
oceania	75	Solomon Islands	1.0%	1.0%	0.2%	-1.4%	-1.4%	2.4%	-2.3%	-2.0%	1.5%	N/A	-1.8%	18.7%	N/A	-0.7%
	19	Peru	5.6%	6.6%	9.2%	0.1%	-0.2%	9.2%	6.5%	3.3%	9.3%	N/A	4.9%	N/A	12.1%	0.8%
	29	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	4.9%	6.5%	7.4%	4.6%	5.6%	9.6%	5.6%	4.1%	11.1%	N/A	4.0%	11.8%	0.5%	0.7%
Latin America	26	Brozil	A E0/	5 10/	6.20/	1.0%	1 70/	0.6%	5 10/	1 70/	11.90/	N/A	2.0%	15 70/	10.10/	-1.50/
and the	30		4.5%	5.1%	0.3%	1.2%	1.7%	9.0%	5.1%	1.7%	11.8%	IN/A	2.9%	13.7%	10.1%	-4.0%
Caribbean	37	IVIEXICO	4.4%	6.2%	7.8%	0.9%	4.9%	9.1%	5.3%	4.3%	10.9%	N/A	5.9%	N/A	5.0%	-13.3%
	47	Guatemala	3.7%	4.3%	5.9%	2.5%	1.9%	8.0%	2.5%	1.2%	7.4%	N/A	2.1%	16.1%	-3.5%	0.3%
	62	Haiti	2.8%	3.5%	3.1%	1.8%	1.3%	3.7%	1.9%	0.5%	6.2%	N/A	1.0%	8.3%	15.8%	1.0%

# Appendix 1. Average annual change<sup>a</sup> of cause-specific child mortality at country level for 75 countries (1–59-month-olds) by region

<sup>a</sup>Green: success (average annual change of 4.4% or above); yellow: insufficient progress (1% to 4.3%); grey: no progress (0% to 1%); red: negative (increase in mortality rate); N/A: <1death per 1000 live births in 2000; <sup>b</sup>under-5 child ; <sup>c</sup>post neonatal; <sup>d</sup>pneumonia; <sup>e</sup>preterm birth complication; <sup>f</sup>intrapartum-related events; <sup>g</sup>meningitis; <sup>h</sup>other diseases; <sup>i</sup>congenital anomaly; <sup>j</sup>diarrhea; <sup>k</sup>measles: <sup>l</sup>injuries; <sup>m</sup>malaria; <sup>n</sup>AIDS; <sup>o</sup>pertusis.

# Appendix 2. Average annual change<sup>a</sup> of cause-specific neonatal mortality at country level for 75 countries (1–59-month-olds) by region

		Total (75 countries)		NEO2				Dise	ase			
Region	Rank	Country	U5D-	NEO-	PNE <sup>3</sup>	PRE <sup>4</sup>	INT⁵	SEP <sup>6</sup>	TET <sup>7</sup>	OTH <sup>8</sup>	CON <sup>9</sup>	DIA <sup>10</sup>
	1	Rwanda	9.4%	5.4%	7.1%	4.7%	6.9%	5.3%	16.3%	6.6%	-0.7%	15.5%
	4	Seneral	7.2%	1.2%	5.0%	5.4%	4.8%	3.0%	16.1%	3.2%	-1.6%	8.0%
	-	Uganda	7.2%	2 7%	1.6%	1.2%	4.0%	2 1%	11.6%	2 7%	1.7%	0.070
	5	Liberia	7.0%	3.776	4.0%	4.376	4.5%	1.00	17.0%	3.776	0.2%	12.00/
	5	Liberia	7.0%	4.0%	4.8%	4.8%	4.5%	1.6%	17.3%	3.0%	0.3%	12.8%
	/	Congo	6.7%	4.1%	5.1%	4.6%	5.3%	2.1%	14.1%	4.9%	-1.3%	8.5%
	8	Malawi	6.7%	3.3%	4.3%	2.9%	4.2%	3.2%	10.4%	4.3%	-1.3%	9.2%
	9	United Republic of Tanzania	6.6%	3.5%	4.7%	4.2%	4.3%	2.8%	12.2%	3.6%	-1.9%	9.8%
	10	Zambia	6.5%	3.2%	3.8%	3.3%	3.6%	3.4%	9.1%	3.4%	-1.4%	8.1%
	13	Ethiopia	6.0%	3.7%	4.0%	3.7%	4.1%	2.2%	14.1%	3.3%	-1.0%	5.2%
	15	Niger	5.7%	3.2%	3.5%	2.4%	3.9%	1.8%	15.1%	1.7%	4.1%	6.6%
	18	Fritrop	5.6%	2.4%	3.5%	3.1%	2.9%	2.2%	10.0%	1.2%	-1.2%	5 7%
	21	Madagasaar	5.0% E 49/	2.470	2.0%	4 10/	2.370	1.0%	11.2%	2.6%	1.2%	5.7% E 0%
	21	Determent	5.4%	0.0%	1.20/	4.178	3.870	1.376	11.270	2.0%	-1.3%	1.40/
	22	Botswana	5.3%	0.6%	1.3%	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%	4.5%	1.8%	-2.5%	1.4%
	23	Burkina Faso	5.3%	3.1%	3.9%	3.5%	3.4%	1.6%	14.7%	2.3%	0.7%	8.9%
	24	Mozambique	5.2%	3.2%	3.8%	3.2%	3.8%	2.4%	12.9%	2.9%	0.2%	8.4%
	25	Kenya	5.2%	1.8%	2.5%	2.5%	2.1%	<b>1.9%</b>	5.1%	2.3%	-2.9%	5.7%
	26	Sierra Leone	5.2%	2.4%	3.0%	2.9%	2.1%	0.1%	12.9%	1.4%	3.4%	8.9%
	27	Swaziland	5.2%	2.7%	3.8%	2.4%	3.8%	2.0%	6.8%	4.4%	-1.4%	8.0%
	31	Guinea	4.6%	2.9%	3.3%	2.7%	2.9%	2.9%	10.7%	2.3%	0.6%	7.5%
	32	Guinea-Bissau	4.6%	2.3%	2.5%	3.5%	1.6%	0.4%	11.8%	0.8%	-0.7%	6.5%
	22	South Sudan	4.6%	2.5%	2.370	2 1%	1.0%	2.0%	9 /1%	1.9%	0.2%	2.5%
	25	Rurundi	4.078	2.370	2.170	2.1/0	2.570	1.40/	0.470	1.0%	.1.194	- 5.5% - 5.5%
Cub Calina	35	burunai Marti	4.5%	2.1%	2.9%	2.4%	2.4%	1.4%	9.9%	1.0%	-1.1%	6.5%
Sup-Saharan	38	IVIAII	4.3%	2.7%	2.7%	3.4%	2.1%	0.3%	14.6%	1.0%	2.9%	5.7%
Atrica	40	Nigeria	4.2%	2.3%	2.7%	1.4%	2.9%	0.4%	9.1%	2.6%	1.8%	4.8%
	42	South Africa	4.0%	2.5%	4.7%	2.7%	2.7%	1.9%	N/A	2.6%	-0.5%	N/A
	44	Ghana	3.8%	1.7%	2.4%	1.9%	2.1%	1.5%	7.7%	1.0%	-1.3%	5.4%
	45	Cameroon	3.8%	2.0%	2.3%	3.1%	2.1%	0.4%	9.6%	0.7%	-0.7%	6.0%
	46	Sao Tome and Principe	3.8%	2.4%	2.9%	3.4%	2.9%	0.9%	N/A	2.3%	-1.7%	N/A
	10	Gambia	2 7%	2.470	2.5%	1.6%	2.5%	2 7%	5.2%	2.5%	1 1%	2 5%
	40	Cahaa	3.776	2.270	2.370	2.0%	2.3%	0.10	J.270	2.0%	-1.1/0	3.5%
	50	Gabon	3.0%	1.7%	2.1%	2.8%	1.9%	-0.1%	8.0%	0.6%	-1.4%	4.8%
	51	Equatorial Guinea	3.4%	2.0%	2.4%	1.8%	2.2%	1.8%	8.7%	1.3%	-0.6%	6.8%
	53	Democratic Republic of the Congo	3.4%	1.7%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	-1.1%	9.5%	1.6%	-0.5%	6.3%
	55	bemoeratie nepublie of the congo	5.470	1.770	2.170	2.170	2.1/0	1.170	5.570	1.070	0.570	0.570
	55	Togo	3.3%	2.0%	2.6%	2.5%	2.1%	1.1%	7.8%	1.9%	-0.6%	6.3%
	56	Djibouti	3.3%	1.9%	2.4%	2.1%	2.4%	-0.9%	10.4%	1.9%	-1.1%	6.6%
	58	CÙte d'Ivoire	3.1%	1.8%	2.3%	1.7%	2.3%	0.9%	8.6%	1.8%	-0.2%	4.6%
	59	Sudan	2.9%	1.2%	0.4%	3.0%	1.0%	-0.7%	5.5%	0.7%	-1.8%	1.9%
	61	Zimbabwo	2.5%	0.7%	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%	1 7%	2.4%	2.0%	2.0%	0.7%
	01	Zimbabwe	2.8%	-0.776	-0.476	-1.076	-0.3%	-1.270	-2.470	2.0%	-3.0%	-0.776
	63	Benin	2.7%	1.5%	2.0%	1.3%	1.8%	1.3%	6.8%	1.2%	-0.4%	4.6%
	64	Somalia	2.5%	0.8%	0.3%	1.9%	-0.1%	1.4%	1.9%	-0.4%	-0.4%	1.9%
	67	Central African Republic	2.2%	0.9%	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%	-0.1%	4.6%	0.0%	0.2%	2.5%
	70	Angola	2.1%	1.2%	1.5%	0.5%	1.4%	-0.7%	7.3%	0.6%	2.8%	2.1%
	71	Comoros	2.1%	1.4%	2.0%	1.2%	1.9%	1.3%	8.1%	0.3%	-1.1%	3.4%
	72	Mauritania	2.0%	1.2%	1.9%	1.5%	1.9%	-0.7%	8.7%	0.6%	-0.2%	3.7%
	73	Chad	1.9%	1.2%	0.8%	0.8%	1.2%	0.3%	6.0%	0.3%	1.4%	0.2%
	74	Losotho	1.9%	0.9%	1 9%	0.2%	1 5%	0.7%	4 9%	1 4%	0.5%	1 494
Marchine and	24	Eesotiio	1.8%	0.378	C. 0%	0.376	1.3%	1.0%	4.5%	0.4%	0.3%	4.476
Northern	34	Egypt	4.6%	3.7%	6.8%	4.7%	4.7%	1.9%	7.0%	0.4%	0.3%	N/A
Africa	41	Morocco	4.1%	3.0%	3.7%	3.0%	3.8%	3.1%	11.4%	2.7%	0.5%	6.3%
Western Asia	14	Yemen	5.9%	3.5%	4.0%	3.7%	3.7%	3.8%	11.0%	3.4%	-1.3%	5.9%
Western Asia	66	Iraq	2.2%	1.9%	2.3%	1.3%	2.4%	3.5%	4.2%	2.6%	0.0%	3.9%
	16	Bangladesh	5.7%	4.0%	5.7%	3.4%	4.6%	4.6%	14.3%	4.4%	-0.4%	8.5%
	20	Nepal	5.5%	3.8%	5.9%	2.5%	5.1%	3.5%	15.6%	4.4%	0.4%	9.0%
Southern	39	India	4.3%	3.2%	5.0%	1.6%	5.0%	3.6%	14.7%	4.5%	-0.3%	7.9%
Asia	60	Afghanistan	2.8%	1.6%	2.6%	1 3%	2.0%	-1.1%	10.8%	1.0%	-0.1%	3.8%
	60	Pakistan	2.0%	1.0%	2.0%	0.4%	2.0%	1 1%	10.0%	2.2%	1.0%	2 496
	209	Combodia	2.270	1.9%	3.1%	-0.4%	3.270	2.00/	10.9%	2.570	1.0%	2.4%
	2	Cambould	9.3%	5.9%	7.8%	0.0%	7.5%	3.9%	22.3%	5.4%	-0.5%	16.0%
	30	Indonesia	4.7%	3.3%	4.5%	2.5%	4.8%	3.8%	9.0%	4.4%	1.0%	6.0%
South-eastern	43	Lao People's Democratic Republic	3.9%	2.4%	3.7%	1.9%	3.1%	-0.3%	14.2%	1.6%	-0.3%	7.3%
Asia			0.070		0		012/0	01077			0.07.	
Asia	49	Viet Nam	3.6%	2.1%	3.8%	2.4%	3.2%	2.5%	4.5%	-0.1%	0.2%	N/A
	57	Myanmar	3.1%	2.3%	3.0%	2.4%	2.6%	2.3%	8.4%	2.2%	-0.8%	8.0%
	65	Philippines	2.3%	1.9%	2.8%	2.2%	2.4%	1.2%	7.0%	1.5%	0.5%	5.1%
	3	China	8.4%	9.0%	15.4%	7.8%	9.1%	5.3%	30.1%	9.3%	6.3%	11.8%
Eastern Asia	11	Democratic People's Popublic of Koros	6.1%	1 70/	5 7%	5.6%	5.6%	2.0%	12.0%	4 50/	1 70/	11 69/
	11	Democratic People's Republic of Rorea	0.1%	4.770	5.776	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	15.9%	4.3%	1.7%	11.0%
	12	Azerbaijan	6.1%	4.0%	4.8%	5.0%	4.8%	2.1%	15.0%	3.4%	-0.1%	10.8%
Caucasus and	17	Kyrgyzstan	5.7%	4.3%	4.8%	6.1%	4.4%	2.7%	11.2%	3.3%	1.5%	8.5%
Control Acia	28	Tajikistan	5.0%	2.6%	3.2%	3.9%	2.8%	1.3%	10.7%	1.8%	-1.9%	9.6%
Central Asia	52	Turkmenistan	3.4%	2.0%	2.6%	2.9%	2.2%	0.6%	8.2%	1.1%	-0.2%	7.3%
	54	Uzbekistan	3.3%	2.3%	3.0%	3.2%	2.6%	0.9%	9.2%	1.5%	0.4%	7.5%
	68	Papua New Guinea	2.2%	1.4%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%	0.4%	5.3%	1.3%	-0.5%	3 9%
Oceania	75	Solomon Islands	1.0%	1 1%	1.8%	1.0%	1.5%	1 2%	1.3%	1.3%	0.7%	1 20/
	10	Poru	5.6%	1.1/6	6.7%	1.0/8	6.0%	5.0%	10.1%	2.1%	0.778	NI/A
	19	Pelicie (Divisedes 161-1-0	5.0%	4.4%	0.7%	4.8%	0.0%	2.2%	10.1%	3.1%	0.8%	IN/A
Latin America	29	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	4.9%	2.8%	3.9%	2.4%	4.0%	3.3%	9.9%	3.2%	-1.1%	8.6%
and the	36	Brazil	4.5%	3.9%	7.5%	6.0%	3.9%	4.1%	11.5%	0.5%	1.4%	N/A
Caribbean	37	Mexico	4.4%	2.4%	3.5%	3.0%	3.7%	1.2%	N/A	3.9%	0.7%	N/A
	47	Guatemala	3.7%	3.0%	4.0%	3.2%	3.7%	2.9%	10.1%	2.5%	0.8%	6.6%
1	62	Haiti	2.8%	1.2%	2.1%	1.5%	1.9%	-0.7%	7.1%	1.1%	-0.8%	4.6%

<sup>a</sup>green: success (average annual change of 4.4% or above); yellow: insufficient progress (1% to 4.3%); grey: no progress (0% to 1%); red: negative (increase in mortality rate); N/A: <1death per 1000 live births in 2000; <sup>b</sup>under-5 child ; <sup>c</sup>post neonatal; <sup>d</sup>pneumonia; <sup>e</sup>preterm birth complication; <sup>f</sup>intrapartum-related events; <sup>g</sup>meningitis; <sup>h</sup>other diseases; <sup>i</sup>congenital anomaly; <sup>j</sup>diarrhea; <sup>k</sup>measles: <sup>l</sup>injuries; <sup>m</sup>malaria; <sup>n</sup>AIDS; <sup>o</sup>pertusis.

## Appendix 3. Cause-specific contribution to overall neonatal mortality at country level for 75 countries

country/rank				Rank			_	
Angola	1 36.4%	2 34 4%	3	4	5 8.6%	6 2.6%	1 4%	-8.4%
Benin	33.0%	29.1%	20.2%	8.2%	4.7%	4.3%	1.8%	-1.3%
Botswana	55.8%	52.3%	18.1%	12.6%	4.0%	0.8%	-0.2%	-44.3%
Burkina Faso	37.0%	29.6%	10.9%	8.1%	7.4%	3.8%	1.9%	1.3%
Burundi Cameroon	34.4% 46.7%	34.4%	8.2%	7.5%	8.3%	2.9%	2.3%	-3.1%
Central African Republic	46.3%	24.4%	19.3%	7.7%	2.9%	0.9%	-0.2%	-1.4%
Chad	32.6%	31.2%	18.6%	6.7%	5.8%	3.5%	1.5%	0.2%
Comoros	34.5%	32.6%	14.4%	11.5%	9.8%	2.2%	1.0%	-5.5%
Congo Cùlta d'Ivaira	39.4%	36.4%	8.1%	7.4%	6.6%	3.8%	1.1%	-2.9%
Cote di Volle	45.0%	23.1%	12.2%	9.6%	5.3% F. 20/	3.6%	2.0%	-0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	45.2%	57.2%	12.3%	0.5%	5.2%	2.0%	-2.0%	-9.0%
Djibouti Equatorial Guipea	40.0%	34.9%	14.2%	8.4%	7.6%	4.3%	-4.7%	-5.4%
Eritrea	36.2%	31.5%	19.3%	10.5%	3.2%	3.2%	1.2%	-5.0%
Ethiopia	34.7%	24.1%	19.6%	9.1%	9.0%	4.4%	1.2%	-2.1%
Gabon	63.3%	29.0%	7.9%	4.7%	2.0%	1.5%	-0.4%	-8.5%
Gambia Ghana	33.4%	33.1%	19.6%	7.3%	6.9%	2.5%	0.6%	-3.6%
Guinea	30.9%	25.3%	21.2%	7.8%	7.2%	4.6%	1.7%	-0.3%
Guinea-Bissau	44.4%	21.4%	20.6%	7.9%	3.0%	2.3%	2.0%	-1.7%
Kenya	37.9%	37.2%	16.9%	10.1%	8.1%	3.3%	1.6%	-15.0%
Lesotho	46.9%	13.5%	10.6%	10.3%	10.0%	8.6%	3.6%	-3.6%
Liberia Madagascar	37.3%	32.3%	9.2%	7.6%	7.6%	4.2%	2.5%	-3.6%
Malawi	35.1%	27.9%	18.4%	8.5%	8.4%	2.6%	1.7%	-2.6%
Mali	38.5%	21.8%	21.6%	6.9%	5.9%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%
Mauritania	47.5%	33.7%	15.7%	9.9%	2.5%	2.3%	-0.9%	-11.0%
Mozambique	33.2%	30.2%	14.6%	7.9%	6.6%	5.0%	2.1%	0.4%
Niger	35.6%	18.2%	11.5%	10.0%	6.0%	4.5%	2.9%	1.5% 2.0%
Rwanda	38.4%	22.6%	18.0%	8.9%	7.7%	3.7%	1.7%	-1.0%
Sao Tome and Principe	48.8%	33.1%	8.3%	6.2%	5.2%	2.6%	2.6%	-6.8%
Senegal	40.1%	30.7%	14.4%	7.7%	4.5%	4.2%	1.2%	-2.8%
Sierra Leone Somalia	37.5%	24.3%	13.7%	8.4%	8.2%	4.0%	3.2%	0.6%
South Africa	39.1%	23.7%	14.6%	13.6%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.3%
South Sudan	35.1%	24.9%	20.8%	8.2%	6.8%	3.4%	1.3%	-0.5%
Sudan	81.0%	23.8%	8.5%	3.1%	2.6%	1.5%	-10.2%	-10.3%
Swaziland	41.2%	28.6%	12.4%	10.9%	9.3%	1.4%	0.9%	-4.1%
Uganda	35.1%	34.5%	14.6%	8.6%	6.4%	3.0%	1.2%	-2.1%
United Republic of Tanzania	37.5%	31.5%	15.0%	9.4%	6.3%	3.4%	1.3%	-4.4%
Zambia	34.7%	29.4%	19.4%	8.3%	7.0%	2.9%	1.4%	-3.1%
Zimbabwe	46.9%	31.2%	21.2%	10.7%	4.1%	2.8%	0.5%	-17.2%
Lgypt Morocco	52.8%	25.2%	13.4%	4.2%	2.3%	2.8%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	33.5%	33.4%	24.8%	11.3%	4.0%	3.5%	-0.5%	-10.1%
Bangladesh	27.2%	24.4%	24.2%	9.7%	8.2%	5.0%	2.2%	-0.9%
India	34.2%	18.4%	16.3%	10.9%	9.4%	8.9%	2.7%	-0.8%
Nepal Pakistan	34.5%	18.1%	16.7%	10.6%	8.3%	8.2%	2.4%	1.2% 6.4%
China	28.3%	24.4%	18.3%	15.5%	9.9%	1.6%	1.1%	0.8%
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	45.4%	26.9%	7.2%	6.6%	6.4%	5.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Azerbaijan	51.0%	26.7%	6.7%	5.4%	5.2%	3.8%	1.6%	-0.4%
Kyrgyzstan	49.5%	24.1%	7.4%	6.3%	5.9%	5.1%	1.2%	0.6%
Tajikistan	52.2%	28.3%	8.0%	7.0%	5.1%	4.2%	2.9%	-7.7%
Turkmenistan	54.0%	26.5%	7.5%	3.8%	3.7%	3.5%	2.0%	-0.9%
Cambodia	3/1.3%	26.5%	9.6%	4.5%	4.4%	2.9%	2.5%	-0.8%
Indonesia	35.9%	23.9%	16.3%	9.9%	8.0%	3.9%	1.3%	0.8%
Lao People's Democratic Republic	38.7%	22.0%	21.2%	11.5%	5.1%	4.2%	-1.0%	-1.7%
Mvanmar	37.3%	29.2%	14.1%	8.0%	7.0%	4.9%	2.8%	-3.2%
Philippines	40.1%	29.9%	8.5%	7.9%	5.8%	4.0%	1.9%	1.9%
Viet Nam	47.6%	22.2%	17.5%	9.4%	1.9%	1.6%	0.0%	-0.2%
Yemen	35.3%	25.9%	17.8%	8.1%	7.4%	6.7%	1.9%	-3.1%
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	30.9%	26.8%	15.7%	9.2%	7.4% 8.5%	1.6%	1.2%	-4.5%
Brazil	56.8%	15.2%	15.2%	5.8%	5.4%	1.6%	0.1%	0.0%
Guatemala	33.2%	28.6%	16.0%	8.8%	6.6%	3.7%	2.1%	1.1%
Haiti	41.1%	41.0%	11.9%	7.4%	7.3%	4.5%	-4.2%	-8.9%
Nexico	45.0%	21.9%	11.8%	7.9%	6.9%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Solomon Islands	34.3%	26.9%	16.7%	9.8%	9.3%	8.3%	0.6%	0.6%
Papua New Guinea	42.1%	34.0%	8.4%	7.0%	5.6%	3.9%	2.1%	-3.1%



Appendix 4. Number of child deaths averted during the MDG era by disease among 75 countries (1-59-month-olds)

PER <sup>m</sup>	, -25	1 -82	999 666	1,066	1,502	1,773	1,715	1,679	1,888	1,640	1 1,003	2 791	0 3,451	1 5,826	0 7,768	0 30,662	0.1%	
AIDS	-4,457	-5,844	-4,639	-235	6,711	15,430	27,861	45,296	63,026	85,499	103,02	122,552	140,34(	151,51	154,67(	900,74	3.1%	
MAL	20,967	37,354	59,165	100,551	138,430	170,023	212,945	260,335	292,751	339,823	387,159	424,796	448,424	467,230	485,165	3,845,119	13.2%	ia; <sup>m</sup> pertusis.
N	12,110	24,534	39,031	16,508	56,427	60,522	65,934	50,356	72,371	42,293	80,889	86,635	86,640	90,481	95,645	880,376	3.0%	<sup>k</sup> injuries; <sup>I</sup> malar
MEA <sup>j</sup>	18,308	128,317	75,665	185,489	207,830	316,157	387,643	381,438	359,757	388,666	356,693	410,895	403,675	431,578	455,266	4,507,376	15.5%	rhea; <sup>j</sup> measles:
DIA	77,230	150,169	227,563	292,932	357,647	409,441	458,579	507,059	539,860	581,347	622,699	667,681	699,399	739,223	770,853	7,101,682	24.5%	al anomaly; <sup>i</sup> diar
CON <sup>h</sup>	4,169	8,683	16,248	20,098	25,630	27,593	29,849	34,238	36,071	37,697	42,054	47,184	49,859	52,459	54,816	486,648	1.7%	eases; <sup>h</sup> congenit
0TH <sup>9</sup>	27,924	58,537	91,963	119,179	148,551	168,263	185,657	203,331	207,240	220,137	234,508	251,243	251,459	260,320	273,096	2,701,408	9.3%	ngitis; <sup>g</sup> other dis
MEN <sup>f</sup>	6,166	18,798	22,889	41,547	47,870	83,215	94,567	89,376	124,589	143,795	153,488	151,153	172,323	186,514	195,149	1,531,438	5.3%	ed events; <sup>f</sup> menir
INT <sup>e</sup>	783	1,736	3,151	3,942	4,944	5,576	6,404	7,234	7,157	7,650	8,446	9,327	9,120	9,415	10,251	95,135	0.3%	trapartum-relate
PRE <sup>d</sup>	2,612	5,456	9,585	11,940	15,028	16,131	17,405	19,137	19,284	20,753	22,322	24,797	25,653	27,229	28,993	266,323	0.9%	omplication; <sup>e</sup> int
PNE <sup>c</sup>	59,033	128,756	193,500	245,659	301,407	340,125	391,594	437,947	507,736	544,902	588,026	645,407	714,256	773,856	811,480	6,683,683	23.0%	preterm birth co
POS <sup>b</sup>	224,819	556,413	734,789	1,038,677	1,311,977	1,614,251	1,880,153	2,037,425	2,231,731	2,414,203	2,600,308	2,842,460	3,004,602	3,195,643	3,343,154	29,030,604		al; <sup>c</sup> pneumonia; <sup>d</sup> l
U5D <sup>a</sup>	345,935	808,648	1,119,949	1,557,796	1,952,605	2,367,138	2,732,253	2,981,520	3,263,721	3,535,397	3,818,422	4,159,778	4,424,731	4,704,134	4,930,074	42,702,102		d ; <sup>b</sup> post neonati
Year	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	total		<sup>a</sup> under-5 chilı

ig 75 countries
sease amon
a by di
he MDG er
during t
s averted
tal death
of neonat
Number o
ppendix 5.
4

DIA	2,266	4,457	6,533	8,647	10,651	12,736	14,605	16,422	18,154	19,743	21,334	22,860	24,436	25,884	27,107	235,835	1.7%	on a yearly basis.
CON <sup>h</sup>	3,405	7,923	13,242	16,996	20,412	23,259	27,591	31,059	33,745	34,476	35,455	37,233	39,975	41,512	42,905	409,188	3.0%	me as in the year 2000
OTH <sup>g</sup>	6,770	20,265	31,939	44,119	56,571	68,063	78,593	86,795	94,538	103,812	113,143	122,045	131,551	140,204	147,242	1,248,649	9.1%	l mortality remain the sa
TET <sup>f</sup>	19,576	37,830	54,210	68,893	80,968	90,948	99,117	106,623	113,126	118,479	123,211	128,157	133,329	138,038	141,947	1,454,453	10.6%	hea. nates assuming that chilc
SEP <sup>e</sup>	8,378	17,297	27,227	37,191	47,579	57,849	64,946	71,847	80,839	94,857	113,350	132,457	151,098	165,699	179,471	1,250,085	9.1%	congenital anomaly; <sup>i</sup> diarr hs published and the estir
INT <sup>d</sup>	34,720	74,357	116,347	159,064	198,516	232,586	264,415	295,359	325,167	356,898	389,822	423,573	455,675	483,101	506,623	4,316,223	31.6%	etanus; <sup>g</sup> other diseases; <sup>h</sup> f cause-specific child deat
PRE <sup>c</sup>	28,992	61,512	93,307	127,476	154,803	182,934	206,406	229,680	251,075	268,791	289,155	309,253	333,260	355,741	376,602	3,268,988	23.9%	related events; <sup>e</sup> sepsis; <sup>f</sup> t comparing the number o
PNE <sup>b</sup>	14,009	28,593	42,354	56,732	71,128	84,511	96,427	106,311	115,344	124,138	132,645	141,739	150,805	158,313	165,023	1,488,072	10.9%	nplication; <sup>d</sup> intrapartum- c child deaths averted by
NEO <sup>a</sup>	121,116	252,235	385,160	519,118	640,627	752,887	852,100	944,095	1,031,989	1,121,193	1,218,114	1,317,318	1,420,129	1,508,491	1,586,920	13,671,494		monia; <sup>c</sup> preterm birth con number of cause-specific
Year	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total		<sup>a</sup> neonatal; <sup>b</sup> pneur We estimated the

### 20 😧 Y. JIN ET AL.