# Emerging roles of extracellular vesicles in the adaptive response of tumour cells to microenvironmental stress

### Paulina Kucharzewska<sup>1</sup>\* and Mattias Belting<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Oncology, Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden; <sup>2</sup>Department of Oncology, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden

Cells are constantly subjected to various types of endogenous and exogenous stressful stimuli, which can cause serious and even permanent damage. The ability of a cell to sense and adapt to environmental alterations is thus vital to maintain tissue homeostasis during development and adult life. Here, we review some of the major phenotypic characteristics of the hostile tumour microenvironment and the emerging roles of extracellular vesicles in these events.

Keywords: extracellular vesicles; exosomes; shed microvesicles; cancer; tumour microenvironment; stress response

Received: 19 December 2012; Revised: 9 January 2013; Accepted: 1 February 2013; Published: 5 March 2013

I thas become widely accepted that aberrant cellular stress responses may underlie a variety of pathological conditions, including cancer (1,2). To overcome the harsh microenvironmental barriers, tumour cells activate stress response mechanisms, which in concert with resistance mechanisms to programmed cell death confer them growth advantage and drive tumour progression. In this context, extracellular vesicles (EVs) are of particular interest as they may constitute a novel, adaptive mechanism against stressful conditions of the tumour microenvironment (3,4). We will initially describe the complexity of the tumour microenvironment, and then discuss the potential role of EVs as mediators of tumour progression through adaptive effects to counteract microenvironmental stressors.

#### The tumour microenvironment

It is well-established that cancer cells do not exist in isolation but rather within a complex milieu, known as the tumour microenvironment. This intricate niche consists of multiple cell types immersed in an extracellular matrix (ECM), and it plays a fundamental role in tumour progression, as originally proposed by Paget's "seed and soil" hypothesis (5,6) (Fig. 1). During the course of tumour development, neoplastic cells actively recruit normal cells into their neighbourhood, which support malignant progression in multiple ways. In this context, endothelial cells and pericytes are of great importance being responsible for the formation and function of the tumour vasculature (7); fibroblasts that deposit an ECM and secrete both matrix-degrading enzymes and soluble growth factors (8); and cells of the immune system, which may provide an immunosuppressive and growth-promoting compartment (9). The three dimensional organisation and architecture of a tumour mass are provided by the ECM, which in contrast to normal matrix, is typically enriched in several proteins, such as type I collagen and heavily glycosylated glycoproteins, for example, proteoglycans. In addition, the tumour stroma regulates cellular signalling and acts as a reservoir of growth factors (10). The successful expansion of malignant tumours requires an active collaboration between malignant and stromal cells via heterotypic cellular interactions. Accordingly, malignant cells and subsidiary stromal cells communicate and exchange information by direct cell-to-cell contacts as well as the release of signalling molecules, such as soluble growth factors, ECM proteins (11) and the only recently appreciated EVs (3).

The driving forces of tumour microenvironmental evolution are genetic instability of malignant cells and environmental selection forces, which include endogenous, tumour-growth-induced stress stimuli, such as hypoxia, acidosis, starvation, oxidative stress, biomechanical stress and immunoediting as well as exogenous stresses, for example, therapeutic interventions (12). Together, these factors select for tumour cells that acquire intrinsic and extrinsic properties to overcome microenvironmental

Journal of Extracellular Vesicles 2013. © 2013 Paulina Kucharzewska and Mattias Belting. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Citation: Journal of Extracellular Vesicles 2013, **2**: 20304 - http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/jev.v2i0.20304

enular vesicles 2013, 2. 20304 - http://dx.uoi.org/10.3402/jev.v2i0.20304 (page number not for citation purpose)



### Fig. 1. Heterotypic cellular interactions in the tumour microenvironment.

The tumour microenvironment is a complex scaffold of an extracellular matrix (ECM) and various cell types. In addition to malignant cells, vascular cells, stromal cells and immune cells are common cellular residents of the tumour niche. Tumour cells mould this environment for their own needs via intercellular communication pathways, such as direct cell-to-cell contacts and the release of growth factors, matrix metalloproteases, ECM proteins and extracellular vesicles (EVs). Tumour cell-mediated stromal modifications include: suppression of anti-tumoural immune responses, deposition and degradation of ECM components, induction of vascular network formation and recruitment of stromal cells and tumour-promoting immune cells. In turn, heterogeneous tumour microenvironmental components create a favourable environment for tumour growth and dissemination. Various tumour microenvironmental stressors are inherent features of solid tumours that profoundly modify the tumour milieu and accelerate tumour progression towards malignancy.

threats and progress (13–23). Interestingly, graded and local distribution of microenvironmental stresses in the tumour mass contributes to another feature of cancer, that is, intra-tumoral heterogeneity, which represents a major hurdle for successful large-scale tumour molecular profiling and treatment of cancer patients (24).

### EVs in cancer

EVs provide a relatively new route of communication between cancer cells and various stromal cells infiltrat-

ing the tumour interstitium. Recently, numerous studies have shown that EVs affect several stages of tumour progression, including angiogenesis, escape from immune surveillance, ECM degradation and metastasis. As comprehensive reviews of EV contribution to tumour development are provided elsewhere (3,25,26), a brief overview is given below.

The primary association of EVs with cancer was noticed already in the late 1970s (27). Since then, multiple studies have shown that the number of circulating EVs is increased in patients with cancer and may correlate with poor prognosis (28,29). Tumours are characterised by the secretion of various forms of EVs, which based on the mechanism of formation can be divided into exosomes and shed microvesicles (SMVs) (25). Exosomes are vesicles of size ranging from 30 to 100 nm in diameter, and are generated intracellularly as the so-called intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) within multivesicular bodies (MVBs) (30). The secretion of exosomes into the extracellular compartment results from membrane fusion of MVBs with the plasma membrane, which can be spontaneous or induced, for example, due to cell surface receptor activation (30-32). The mechanisms of assembly and sorting of exosomes are still ill-defined, but several key molecules have been shown to regulate this process, such as Rab11, Rab27, Rab35, p53, ceramide-neutral sphingomyelinase and syndecan-syntenin-Alix (33-38). Interestingly, vesicles enriched in classical markers of exosomes (CD63, CD81) have also been shown to bud from exosomal- and endosomal-protein-enriched subdomains of the plasma membrane of T- and erythroleukemia cell lines, providing further complexity to exosome biogenesis (39-41). SMVs comprise a heterogeneous population of vesicles larger than exosomes (>100 nm in diameter) that are generated by direct budding off from the plasma membrane (30). The release process seems to be controlled by calcium influx and localised cytoskeleton dynamics, and results from the outward budding of small, cytoplasmic, membrane-covered protrusions followed by detachment from the cell surface dependent on the action of ARF6 (42). Conversely to exosomes, the rate of steady state release of SMVs is generally low, except for cancer cells that seem to release them constitutively. Regulated release of SMVs is efficiently induced upon activation of cell surface receptors with biological agonists (32).

EVs are molecularly complex entities carrying lipids, soluble and transmembrane proteins, various RNA species and DNA sequences of retrotransposons (25,32,43). In addition, both exosomes and SMVs have been shown to enclose mitochondrial DNA (44,45). However, this concept still remains controversial. The actual molecular composition of EVs varies depending on the mechanism of formation as well as the type and functional state of the cell of origin, for example, exosomes isolated from malignant effusions of cancer patients contain tumour specific antigens, including Her2/Neu from ovarian cancer ascites, and Mart1 from patients with melanoma (46). By carrying bioactive molecules, and facilitating their cellto-cell transfer, tumour-associated EVs can regulate a variety of cellular events in recipient cells that significantly impact tumour progression (47-51). So far, several mechanisms of transfer of EV-associated cargo have been described. Upon release from their cell of origin, many vesicles undergo membrane rupture, leading to pericellular

release of their cargo (52). Alternatively, EVs can interact with plasma membrane receptors (47,53–56), or may reach the interior of target cells by plasma membrane fusion or through endocytosis. In these instances, the cargo molecules are released inside target cells, and thereby may interact with their signalling machinery (48–51). Interestingly, some vesicles may migrate significant distances by diffusion, and ultimately enter biological fluids, such as cerebrospinal fluid, blood, saliva and urine (26). This enables long-range exchange of EV-mediated information, which is relevant in the context of pre-metastatic niche formation (57). In addition, the abundance of tumour EVs in biological fluids offers an interesting possibility to use them as non-invasive biomarkers in the management of cancer patients (26,28,29).

Given that different aspects of tumour progression are driven by stress-mediated adaptive mechanisms, it is tempting to postulate that tumours employ the EV machinery to cope with stressful conditions and to ultimately progress (Fig. 2). Below, we summarise and highlight recent findings related to this idea.

### EVs in stress-induced tumour progression

#### Stress-mediated secretion and trafficking of EVs

Several reports have documented that cells vesiculate in response to different types of stresses, such as hypoxia (58-60), acidosis (61), oxidative stress (62-64), thermal stress (63,65,66), radiation (58), shear stress (67) and cytotoxic drugs (68). In a study by Levine and colleagues, a p53-regulated gene product, TSAP6, was shown to trigger exosome production in lung cancer cells undergoing  $\gamma$ -radiation (36). These in vitro data were lately corroborated in TSAP6 knockout mice exhibiting an impaired DNA damage-induced, p53-dependent exosome secretory pathway (69). Given that various stressors activate different signalling pathways, the existence of alternative, p53-independent mechanisms involved in stress-mediated exosome release may be anticipated. In support of this idea, a study by Trajkovic et al. showed that ceramide regulates the biogenesis and dynamics of ILVs destined for secretion as exosomes (37). Ceramide accumulation and a ceramide-mediated stress response occur as a reaction to various factors, such as lipopolysaccharide, interleukin 1ß, tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- $\alpha$ , serum deprivation, irradiation and various cytotoxic drugs (70). Hence, it is tempting to speculate that cellular accumulation of ceramide triggers increased production and secretion of exosomes as an adaptation to stressful conditions. Further studies are needed to test this possibility. Interestingly, p53 has been shown to modulate intracellular ceramide levels through generation of  $O_2^-$  in glioma cells (71), indicating that the p53 signalling pathway may additionally stimulate exosome release in a ceramide-dependent manner.



Fig. 2. Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are potential conveyors of stress-mediated tumour progression.

EVs are shed from various cellular components of the tumour milieu to mediate exchange of signalling proteins and genetic material, which altogether may support tumour growth and progression. Diverse tumour microenvironmental stress conditions augment tumourpromoting activities of EVs by modulating their secretion and trafficking in the extracellular space, as well as altering their molecular content and functional activity. Upon release, EVs may also enter the circulation and mediate long-range exchange of EV-associated cargo that may support the process of pre-metastatic niche formation. In addition, circulating EVs carrying multifaceted, molecular stress signatures may offer unique, non-invasive biomarkers that can be used in the management of cancer patients.

Emerging findings suggest that oncogenes, such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) or its mutant, EGFR variant III (EGFRvIII), hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 $\alpha$  and K-ras may trigger the release of EVs from cancer cells (49,60,72,73). While HIF-1 $\alpha$  has been shown to mediate hypoxia-dependent secretion of exosomes in breast cancer cells (60), the contribution of EGFR and K-ras to stress-mediated cellular vesiculation is unknown. A recent report by Wang and colleagues showing that hypoxia activates EGFR through HIF-dependent formation of caveolae, provides a potential mechanism for HIF-mediated vesiculation in response to hypoxia (74). Further studies are required to verify whether a CAV1/EGFR signalling axis and/or other components of the HIF signalling pathway regulate EV secretion.

The tumour-promoting activities of EVs are highly dependent on efficient transfer to recipient cells, as described earlier in this review. Based on recent findings, stressful conditions of the tumour milieu may modulate some of the transfer mechanisms, for example, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), interleukin  $1\beta$  and

FasL were shown to reside inside vesicles, and to evoke tumour-promoting activities only when liberated upon disruption of vesicle membrane integrity (52,75,76). How vesicle membrane disruption occurs in vivo is still illdefined; however, Taraboletti et al. recently provided in vitro data showing that acidosis triggers the rupture of tumour-derived SMVs, resulting in VEGF release and enhanced endothelial cell migration (52). In addition to releasing soluble content of EVs, low pH may activate EV-associated cargo. In support of this notion, a study by Giusti et al. suggested that ovarian cancer cells release SMVs containing inactive proenzyme cathepsin B, that is, a cysteine proteinase that facilitates tumour invasion via ECM degradation (77). The tumour-promoting activities of SMV-associated cathepsin B may occur specifically in acidic compartments of the tumour milieu, as it becomes activated at low pH (77). Acidosis was further suggested to increase the uptake of tumourderived exosomes through fusion with the plasma membrane of recipient cells. High rigidity and sphingomyelin/ ganglioside GM3 content in exosomes released at low pH were likely responsible for the increased fusion efficiency (61). Collectively, these studies indicate that acidosis, which is a hallmark of the tumour microenvironment, plays a key role in modulating tumour-promoting activities of EVs by altering their activity and trafficking. The specificity of vesicular cross-talk may also be provided by stress-mediated modulation of receptor–ligand interactions. In support of this idea, hypoxic cancer cells were shown to release tissue factor (TF)/VIIa-bearing SMVs that stimulated protease-activated receptors type 2 (PAR-2) induced by hypoxia on target cells. EV-dependent PAR-2 activation resulted in increased secretion of the pro-angiogenic heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor (HB-EGF) from hypoxic endothelial cells (47).

## Microenvironmental stressors modulate the molecular composition of EVs

Extensive analyses by various techniques have partially decoded the molecular composition of EVs derived from various types of cells, including tumour cells (51,78-81). Any phenotypic changes imposed by stressful conditions on a parental cell may affect the content and function of shed EVs. Accordingly, thermal- and oxidative stress imposed on leukaemia/lymphoma T and B cells were shown to induce the release of exosomes enriched in Natural Killer Group 2, member D (NKGD2) ligands, such as MICA/B and ULBP1 and 2, which provided them with immunosuppressive properties (63). Further, exposure of aggressive B-cell lymphoma cells to the anti-CD20 chimeric antibody rituximab resulted in the secretion of CD20-positive exosomes, which in turn protected lymphoma cells from antibody and complement-dependent cytolysis (82). Finally, treatment of cancer cells with cytotoxic drugs, radiation and hypoxia caused the release of EVs enriched in heat shock proteins (HSPs) (68), anti-apoptotic survivin (83) and pro-coagulant TF (47), respectively.

Along with the use of robust proteomic approaches, it has become evident that stress-mediated modifications of EV content are more profound than primarily anticipated. In accordance with this, hypoxic epidermoid carcinoma cells were shown to secrete numerous proteins that have the potential to modulate the tumour microenvironment, and that partly were enriched in exosomes (84). However, the significance of exosomes in mediating hypoxia-dependent angiogenesis and tumour development remains to be determined. Profound differences in the EV-associated protein cargo were observed between EVs derived from primary (SW480) and metastatic (SW620) colorectal cancer cells. Accordingly, SW480derived EVs contained proteins involved in cell adhesion, whereas SW620 EV-enriched proteins were associated with cancer progression, invasion, metastasis and multidrug resistance (79). Since highly metastatic cell phenotypes result from cumulative effects of various stress

conditions imposed on tumour cells, these data provide indirect proof that cellular stress modulates EVassociated cargo and potentially EV function. Although it was not pursued whether EVs from primary and metastatic cancer cells serve different functional goals in the metastatic process, the data provide important information for future studies addressing these questions.

Lipids constitute yet another type of EV-associated cargo that may undergo changes in response to cellular stress responses. Membrane biophysical analyses provided in a study by Parolini et al. showed that exosomes from melanoma cells cultured under acidic conditions were characterised by increased membrane rigidity as compared to vesicles from control cells (61). These changes were related to altered lipid composition, as acidic exosomes were enriched in ganglioside GM3 and sphingomyelin. As a consequence of these lipid modifications, acidic exosomes fused more efficiently with the plasma membrane of recipient cells, resulting in enhanced transfer of various signalling molecules (61).

As for lipids, few studies have addressed stressmediated changes in RNA content of tumour-associated EVs. So far, it has been shown that breast cancer cells exposed to hypoxia secrete exosomes with increased levels of miR-210, pointing at the potential for qualitative differences between normoxic and hypoxic exosomes (60). Further, more robust miRNA analysis performed in a study by Hergenreider et al. demonstrated that endothelial cells treated with shear stress secrete exosomes enriched in miR-143 and miR-145. Interestingly, these changes in miRNA contents provided exosomes with atheroprotective properties (85). Since the discovery of mRNAs as molecular cargo of EVs (80) there has, to our knowledge, been no comprehensive analysis to elucidate how stress conditions modulate mRNA content of tumour-associated EVs. However, some indirect conclusions can be drawn from studies investigating molecular composition of EVs derived from non-malignant cells. Accordingly, oxidative stress in mast cells was shown to induce massive changes in the exosome-associated mRNA content (62). Similarly, endothelial cells secreted exosomes enriched in mRNAs and proteins specific for stress conditions imposed on donor cells (86). Overall, these results suggest that environmental stress conditions evoke alterations in the protein, lipid and RNA content of EVs. Consequently, tumour-associated vesicles acquire new biological functions in the tumour microenvironment; whether these changes promote tumour development remains to be conclusively shown.

Given that stress conditions of the tumour milieu mediate tumour progression, EV-associated molecular stress signatures may offer a great opportunity for the development of prognostic and predictive biomarkers in the management of cancer patients. In support of this concept, an increasing number of studies suggest that circulating EVs are constantly released from the tumour to reflect the dynamic nature of cancer and are accessible for repeated isolation from body fluids (28,29,51,87–89).

# EVs as conveyors of stress-mediated tumour progression

According to general wisdom, hypoxic tumour cells secrete a plethora of soluble factors, for example, VEGF-A, into the extracellular space, which collectively activate endothelial cells, and thus induce hypoxia-driven tumour angiogenesis. We recently showed that hypoxic glioma cells release TF/VIIa-bearing EVs that specifically trigger upregulated PAR-2 on hypoxic vascular endothelial cells (47). Similarly, hypoxia-mediated induction of TF-bearing SMVs was observed in ovarian cancer cells, indicating that tumour EVs are vehicles of TF-dependent tumour progression through clotting-dependent and independent mechanisms in the hypoxic tumour niche (90,91). As described above, hypoxic breast cancer cells were shown to secrete exosomes enriched in miR-210 (60). Given that miR-210 is a well-established target of HIF signalling and plays important roles in the regulation of cell growth, angiogenesis and apoptosis, exosome-mediated transfer of miR-210 within the tumour milieu may contribute to hypoxia-driven tumour progression (92).

Stromal cells similarly to cancer cells may respond to stress-related conditions within the tumour microenvironment by secretion of EVs, that is, mesenchymal stem cells stimulated by hypoxia were shown to release microvesicles with angiogenic effects (59). Further, both biomechanical forces and oxidative stress were shown to trigger the secretion of pro-coagulant SMVs from platelets (67,93). Cancer-associated hypercoagulability and its tumour-promoting activities may thus be triggered by EVs secreted from cancer cells as well as auxiliary cells in response to phenotypic characteristics of the tumour microenvironment. Finally, oxidative stress seems to significantly enhance the release of exosome-associated HSP70 from arterial endothelial cells, which in turn activates monocyte adhesion to the endothelium. Hence, it may be speculated that stress-induced secretion of HSP70-bearing exosomes from tumour-associated endothelial cells could stimulate monocyte recruitment to tumours (64).

Accumulating data suggest a link between stress conditions of the tumour milieu and immunological tolerance of tumours (94). However, the mechanisms underlying this process are still ill-defined. Cancer cells may employ vesiculation as a strategy to efficiently blunt immune surveillance mechanisms, and survive in this hostile environment (32). Interestingly, a recent study by Hedlund et al. suggests that oxidative stress imposed on tumour cells triggers the release of NKG2DL-expressing tumour exosomes, which mediate tumour escape from cytotoxic immune attack (63). Moreover, tumour cells may evade complement-induced lysis by SMV-mediated shedding of terminal components of complement from the plasma membrane (95). This mechanism, called "complement resistance", may provide protection of tumour cells from antibody-mediated immune attack. Exosomes from various cancer cells were shown to expose Fas ligand (FasL, CD95L) of the death receptor Fas (CD95), which induces T-cell apoptosis and attenuates the function of adaptive immune cells (96,97). Tumour-associated EVs may also promote the function of regulatory T (T<sub>Reg</sub>) cells (98,99), impair natural cytotoxic responses mediated by natural killer cells (63,100), down-regulate dendritic cell differentiation from monocytes (101) and instead turn these cells into myeloid immunosuppressive cells (101,102). Finally, cancer cells can fuse with EVs derived from non-cancer cells, for example, platelets, thereby receiving lipids and transmembrane proteins allowing escape from immune system attack (103).

Another interesting area of research is related to the potential role of EVs in tumour resistance to various anti-cancer therapies, such as chemotherapy, immunotherapy and radiation. Recent findings suggest that chemoresistance may result from expulsion of therapeutic drugs from tumour cells through EVs. In support of this concept, cancer cells treated with doxyrubicin accumulated the drug in and released it through SMVs (104). Convincing evidence comes from a study by Safaei and colleagues, showing that exosomes released from cisplatin-resistant cells contained 2.6-fold more platinum than exosomes released from cisplatin-sensitive cells (105). Exosomes may also function to neutralise antibody-based drugs; HER2-overexpressing breast carcinoma cell lines were shown to secrete exosomes enriched in full-length HER2 protein, resulting in sequestration of the HER2 antibody Trastuzumab. As a result, the antiproliferative activity of Trastuzumab in cancer cells was abolished (106). A similar evasion mechanism was observed for B-cell lymphoma cells treated with CD20 antibody Rituximab (82). EVs may also provide tumour cells with radiation resistance; Khan et al. showed that cervical carcinoma cells subjected to a sublethal dose of proton irradiation secreted exosomes enriched in the anti-apoptotic protein surviving (83). These data are in support of the concept that the EV pathway is involved in cancer cell self-protection under stressful conditions.

### Intercellular communication of stress via EVs

It is now well established that directly irradiated cells elicit a plethora of biological effects in neighbouring cells. This so-called radiation-induced bystander effect (RIBE) manifests in various ways, including genomic instability, a variety of damage-inducible stress responses and apoptosis (107). Interestingly, this cross-talk may be protective and non-irradiated cells can acquire properties that prepare them for possible future stresses. In support of this concept, it has been shown that human glioblastoma cells with a functional TP53 gene exhibited increased radioresistance when co-incubated with irradiated cells of the same line transfected with mutated TP53 gene, or incubated with the conditioned medium from irradiated cells. The protective effects in bystander cells exposed to the subsequent challenge were explained by nitric oxidemediated accumulation of HSP72 and p53 protein (108). Based on a recent article by Dickey et al. the cellular machinery required to induce the RIBE might also be used to transmit signals to neighbouring cells following exposure to other forms of stress, both exogenous and endogenous (109). So far, mechanisms eliciting transfer of bystander signals involve direct cell-to-cell contact mediated by gap junctions, and indirect communication by means of soluble factors released to the extracellular space (110). In this context, EVs harbouring stressderived molecular cargo may provide a new route of intercellular communication involved in stress-mediated bystander effect. In support of this concept, a recent study by Wang et al. demonstrated that treatment of platelets with oxidised low-density lipoproteins resulted in secretion of microvesicles, which amplified oxidative stress in recipient platelets and evoked pro-coagulant effects (93). In addition, it has been shown that mast cells exposed to oxidative stress may release exosomes with the capacity to communicate a protective signal and to induce tolerance to oxidative stress in recipient cells (62). Hence, various types of stressors may induce EV-mediated preconditioning that prepares various cells of the tumour milieu to survive and recover from the subsequent severe, otherwise lethal circumstances. This EV-mediated preconditioning effect may play important roles in tumour progression by providing resistance to various forms of stress.

### **Conclusions and future directions**

EVs provide an attractive signalling organelle for the demonstration of impressive functional effects in various biological systems; however, due to the complexity and heterogeneity of EV composition, deciphering the exact mechanisms behind functional data poses a great challenge. Future research is clearly warranted to understand how hypoxia and other microenvironmental stressors affect EV trafficking in the tumour microenvironment and how stress-mediated changes of recipient cells modulate their responsiveness to EVs. Such studies should significantly advance our general understanding of tumour biology and provide novel therapeutic strategies in the fight against cancer.

### Conflict of interest and funding

The work has been supported by grants from the Swedish Cancer Fund; the Swedish Research Council; the Swedish Society of Medicine; the Physiographic Society, Lund; the Gunnar Nilsson and Kamprad Foundations; the Lund University Hospital donation funds; and the Governmental funding of clinical research within the national health services (ALF).

### References

- 1. Kültz D. Molecular and evolutionary basis of the cellular stress response. Ann Rev Physiol. 2005;67:225–57.
- Fulda S, Gorman AM, Hori O, Samali A. Cellular stress responses: cell survival and cell death. Int J Cell Biol. 2010; 2010:214074. doi: 10.1155/2010/214074.
- Camussi G, Deregibus MC, Bruno S, Grange C, Fonsato V, Tetta C. Exosome/microvesicle-mediated epigenetic reprogramming of cells. Am J Cancer Res. 2011;1:98–110.
- De Maio A. Extracellular heat shock proteins, cellular export vesicles, and the Stress Observation System: a form of communication during injury, infection, and cell damage. Cell Stress Chaperones. 2011;16:235–49.
- Policastro LL, Ibañez IL, Notcovich C, Duran HA, Podhajcer OL. The tumor microenvironment: characterization, redox considerations, and novel approaches for reactive Oxygen species-targeted gene therapy. Antioxid Redox Signal. Ahead of print. doi: 10.1089/ars.2011.4367.
- Paget S. The distribution of secondary growths in cancer of the breast. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 1989;8:98–101.
- Weis SM, Cheresh DA. Tumor angiogenesis: molecular pathways and therapeutic targets. Nat Med. 2011;17: 1359–70.
- Kalluri R, Zeisberg M. Fibroblasts in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006;6:392–401.
- de Visser KE, Eichten A, Coussens LM. Paradoxical roles of the immune system during cancer development. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006;6:24–37.
- Lu P, Weaver VM, Werb Z. The extracellular matrix: a dynamic niche in cancer progression. J Cell Biol. 2012; 196:395–406.
- Lorger M. Tumor microenvironment in the brain. Cancers. 2012;4:218–43. doi: 10.3390/cancers4010218.
- Gillies RJ, Verduzco D, Gatenby RA. Evolutionary dynamics of carcinogenesis and why targeted therapy does not work. Nat Rev Cancer. 2012;12:487–93.
- Wilson WR, Hay MP. Targeting hypoxia in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2011;11:393–410.
- 14. Bertout JA, Patel SA, Simon MC. The impact of  $O_2$  availability on human cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2008;8: 967–75.
- 15. Chiche J, Brahimi-Horn MC, Pouysségur J. Tumour hypoxia induces a metabolic shift causing acidosis: a common feature in cancer. J Cell Mol Med. 2010;14:771–94.
- McCarty MF, Whitaker J. Manipulating tumor acidification as a cancer treatment strategy. Altern Med Rev. 2010;15: 264–72.
- Yun J, Rago C, Cheong I, Pagliarini R, Angenendt P, Rajagopalan H, et al. Glucose deprivation contributes to the development of KRAS pathway mutations in tumor cells. Science. 2009;325:1555–9.
- Fiaschi T, Chiarugi P. Oxidative stress, tumor microenvironment, and metabolic reprogramming: a diabolic liaison. Int J Cell Biol. 2012;2012:762825. doi: 10.1155/2012/762825.
- Shieh AC. Biomechanical forces shape the tumor microenvironment. Ann Biomed Eng. 2011;39:1379–89.
- Vesely MD, Kershaw MH, Schreiber RD, Smyth MJ. Natural innate and adaptive immunity to cancer. Annu Rev Immunol. 2011;29:235–71.

- Zitvogel L, Tesniere A, Kroemer G. Cancer despite immunosurveillance: immunoselection and immunosubversion. Nat Rev Immunol. 2006;6:715–27.
- 22. Wind NS, Holen I. Multidrug resistance in breast cancer: from in vitro models to clinical studies. Int J Breast Cancer. 2011;2011:967419. doi: 10.4061/2011/967419.
- Castells M, Thibault B, Delord JP, Couderc B. Implication of tumor microenvironment in chemoresistance: tumorassociated stromal cells protect tumor cells from cell death. Int J Mol Sci. 2012;13:9545–71. doi: 10.3390/ijms13089545.
- Swanton C. Intratumor heterogeneity: evolution through space and time. Cancer Res. 2012;72:4875–82.
- D'Asti E, Garnier D, Lee TH, Montermini L, Meehan B, Rak J. Oncogenic extracellular vesicles in brain tumor progression. Front Physiol. 2012;3:294. doi: 10.3389/fphys. 2012.00294.
- van Doormaal FF, Kleinjan A, Di Nisio M, Büller HR, Nieuwland R. Cell-derived microvesicles and cancer. Neth J Med. 2009;67:266–73.
- Friend C, Marovitz W, Henie G, Henie W, Tsuei D, Hirschhorn K. Observations on cell lines derived from a patient with Hodgkin's disease. Cancer Res. 1978;38:2581–91.
- Baran J, Baj-Krzyworzeka M, Weglarczyk K, Szatanek R, Zembala M, Barbasz J, et al. Circulating tumour-derived microvesicles in plasma of gastric cancer patients. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2010;59:841–50.
- Taylor DD, Gercel-Taylor C. MicroRNA signatures of tumor-derived exosomes as diagnostic biomarkers of ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 2008;110:13–21.
- Heijnen HF, Schiel AE, Fijnheer R, Geuze HJ, Sixma JJ. Activated platelets release two types of membrane vesicles: microvesicles by surface shedding and exosomes derived from exocytosis of multivesicular bodies and alpha-granules. Blood. 1999;94:3791–9.
- Raposo G, Nijman HW, Stoorvogel W, Liejendekker R, Harding CV, Melief CJ, et al. B lymphocytes secrete antigen-presenting vesicles. J Exp Med. 1996;183:1161–72.
- Théry C, Ostrowski M, Segura E. Membrane vesicles as conveyors of immune responses. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009; 9:581–93.
- Savina A, Fader CM, Damiani MT, Colombo MI. Rab11 promotes docking and fusion of multivesicular bodies in a calcium-dependent manner. Traffic. 2005;6:131–43.
- Ostrowski M, Carmo NB, Krumeich S, Fanget I, Raposo G, Savina A, et al. Rab27a and Rab27b control different steps of the exosome secretion pathway. Nat Cell Biol. 2010;12: 19–30.
- Hsu C, Morohashi Y, Yoshimura S, Manrique-Hoyos N, Jung S, Lauterbach MA, et al. Regulation of exosome secretion by Rab35 and its GTPase-activating proteins TBC1D10A-C. J Cell Biol. 2010;189:223–32.
- Yu X, Harris SL, Levine AJ. The regulation of exosome secretion: a novel function of the p53 protein. Cancer Res. 2006;66:4795–801.
- Trajkovic K, Hsu C, Chiantia S, Rajendran L, Wenzel D, Wieland F, et al. Ceramide triggers budding of exosome vesicles into multivesicular endosomes. Science. 2008;319:1244–7.
- Baietti MF, Zhang Z, Mortier E, Melchior A, Degeest G, Geeraerts A, et al. Syndecan-syntenin-ALIX regulates the biogenesis of exosomes. Nat Cell Biol. 2012;14:677–85.
- Booth AM, Fang Y, Fallon JK, Yang JM, Hildreth JE, Gould SJ. Exosomes and HIV Gag bud from endosome-like domains of the T cell plasma membrane. J Cell Biol. 2006;172:923–35.
- 40. Lenassi M, Cagney G, Liao M, Vaupotic T, Bartholomeeusen K, Cheng Y, et al. HIV Nef is secreted in exosomes and

triggers apoptosis in bystander CD4+ T cells. Traffic. 2010;11:110-22.

- Fang Y, Wu N, Gan X, Yan W, Morrell JC, Gould SJ. Higherorder oligomerization targets plasma membrane proteins and HIV gag to exosomes. PLoS Biol. 2007;5:e158. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pbio.0050158.
- Muralidharan-Chari V, Clancy J, Plou C, Romao M, Chavrier P, Raposo G, et al. ARF6-regulated shedding of tumor cell-derived plasma membrane microvesicles. Curr Biol. 2009;19:1875–85.
- Balaj L, Lessard R, Dai L, Cho YJ, Pomeroy SL, Breakefield XO, et al. Tumour microvesicles contain retrotransposon elements and amplified oncogene sequences. Nat Commun. 2011;2:180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1180.
- 44. Guescini M, Genedani S, Stocchi V, Agnati LF. Astrocytes and Glioblastoma cells release exosomes carrying mtDNA. J Neural Transm. 2010;117:1–4.
- 45. Guescini M, Guidolin D, Vallorani L, Casadei L, Gioacchini AM, Tibollo P, et al. C2C12 myoblasts release micro-vesicles containing mtDNA and proteins involved in signal transduction. Exp Cell Res. 2010;316:1977–84.
- Andre F, Schartz NE, Movassagh M, Flament C, Pautier P, Morice P, et al. Malignant effusions and immunogenic tumour-derived exosomes. Lancet. 2002;360:295–305.
- 47. Svensson KJ, Kucharzewska P, Christianson HC, Sköld S, Löfstedt T, Johansson MC, et al. Hypoxia triggers a proangiogenic pathway involving cancer cell microvesicles and PAR-2-mediated heparin-binding EGF signaling in endothelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108: 13147–52.
- 48. Grange C, Tapparo M, Collino F, Vitillo L, Damasco C, Deregibus MC, et al. Microvesicles released from human renal cancer stem cells stimulate angiogenesis and formation of lung premetastatic niche. Cancer Res. 2011;71:5346–56.
- 49. Al-Nedawi K, Meehan B, Micallef J, Lhotak V, May L, Guha A, et al. Intercellular transfer of the oncogenic receptor EGFRvIII by microvesicles derived from tumour cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2008;10:619–24.
- 50. Al-Nedawi K, Meehan B, Kerbel RS, Allison AC, Rak J. Endothelial expression of autocrine VEGF upon the uptake of tumor-derived microvesicles containing oncogenic EGFR. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:3794–9.
- 51. Skog J, Würdinger T, van Rijn S, Meijer DH, Gainche L, Sena-Esteves M, et al. Glioblastoma microvesicles transport RNA and proteins that promote tumour growth and provide diagnostic biomarkers. Nat Cell Biol. 2008;10:1470–6.
- 52. Taraboletti G, D'Ascenzo S, Giusti I, Marchetti D, Borsotti P, Millimaggi D, et al. Bioavailability of VEGF in tumor-shed vesicles depends on vesicle burst induced by acidic pH. Neoplasia. 2006;8:96–103.
- Morelli AE, Larregina AT, Shufesky WJ, Sullivan ML, Stolz DB, Papworth GD, et al. Endocytosis, intracellular sorting, and processing of exosomes by dendritic cells. Blood. 2004;104:3257–66.
- 54. Segura E, Guérin C, Hogg N, Amigorena S, Théry C. CD8 + dendritic cells use LFA-1 to capture MHC-peptide complexes from exosomes in vivo. J Immunol. 2007;179:1489–96.
- Véron P, Segura E, Sugano G, Amigorena S, Théry C. Accumulation of MFG-E8/lactadherin on exosomes from immature dendritic cells. Blood Cells Mol Dis. 2005;35:81–8.
- Clayton A, Turkes A, Dewitt S, Steadman R, Mason MD, Hallett MB. Adhesion and signaling by B cell-derived exosomes: the role of integrins. FASEB J. 2004;18:977–9.
- 57. Peinado H, Alečković M, Lavotshkin S, Matei I, Costa-Silva B, Moreno-Bueno G, et al. Melanoma exosomes educate

bone marrow progenitor cells toward a pro-metastatic phenotype through MET. Nat Med. 2012;18:883–91.

- Wysoczynski M, Ratajczak MZ. Lung cancer secreted microvesicles: underappreciated modulators of microenvironment in expanding tumors. Int J Cancer. 2009;125:1595–603.
- 59. Zhang HC, Liu XB, Huang S, Bi XY, Wang HX, Xie LX, et al. Microvesicles derived from human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells stimulated by hypoxia promote angiogenesis both in vitro and in vivo. Stem Cells Dev. 2012;21:3289–97.
- King HW, Michael MZ, Gleadle JM. Hypoxic enhancement of exosome release by breast cancer cells. BMC Cancer. 2012;12:421. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-12-421.
- Parolini I, Federici C, Raggi C, Lugini L, Palleschi S, De Milito A, et al. Microenvironmental pH is a key factor for exosome traffic in tumor cells. J Biol Chem. 2009;284:34211– 22.
- Eldh M, Ekström K, Valadi H, Sjöstrand M, Olsson B, Jernås M, et al. Exosomes communicate protective messages during oxidative stress; possible role of exosomal shuttle RNA. PLoS One. 2010;5:e15353. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0015353.
- Hedlund M, Nagaeva O, Kargl D, Baranov V, Mincheva-Nilsson L. Thermal- and oxidative stress causes enhanced release of NKG2D ligand-bearing immunosuppressive exosomes in leukemia/lymphoma T and B cells. PLoS One. 2011;6:e16899. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0016899.
- 64. Zhan R, Leng X, Liu X, Wang X, Gong J, Yan L, et al. Heat shock protein 70 is secreted from endothelial cells by a nonclassical pathway involving exosomes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2009;387:229–33.
- Clayton A, Turkes A, Navabi H, Mason MD, Tabi Z. Induction of heat shock proteins in B-cell exosomes. J Cell Sci. 2005;118:3631–8.
- 66. Chen T, Guo J, Yang M, Zhu X, Cao X. Chemokinecontaining exosomes are released from heat-stressed tumor cells via lipid raft-dependent pathway and act as efficient tumor vaccine. J Immunol. 2011;186:2219–28.
- Miyazaki Y, Nomura S, Miyake T, Kagawa H, Kitada C, Taniguchi H, et al. High shear stress can initiate both platelet aggregation and shedding of procoagulant containing microparticles. Blood. 1996;88:3456–64.
- 68. Lv LH, Wan YL, Lin Y, Zhang W, Yang M, Li GL, et al. Anticancer drugs cause release of exosomes with heat shock proteins from human hepatocellular carcinoma cells that elicit effective natural killer cell antitumor responses in vitro. J Biol Chem. 2012;287:15874–85.
- Lespagnol A, Duflaut D, Beekman C, Blanc L, Fiucci G, Marine JC, et al. Exosome secretion, including the DNA damage-induced p53-dependent secretory pathway, is severely compromised in TSAP6/Steap3-null mice. Cell Death Differ. 2008;15:1723–33.
- Nikolova-Karakashian MN, Rozenova KA. Ceramide in stress response. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2010;688:86–108.
- Sawada M, Nakashima S, Kiyono T, Nakagawa M, Yamada J, Yamakawa H, et al. p53 regulates ceramide formation by neutral sphingomyelinase through reactive oxygen species in human glioma cells. Oncogene. 2001;20:1368–78.
- Yu JL, May L, Lhotak V, Shahrzad S, Shirasawa S, Weitz JI, et al. Oncogenic events regulate tissue factor expression in colorectal cancer cells: implications for tumor progression and angiogenesis. Blood. 2005;105:1734–41.
- 73. Di Vizio D, Kim J, Hager MH, Morello M, Yang W, Lafargue CJ, et al. Oncosome formation in prostate cancer: association with a region of frequent chromosomal deletion in metastatic disease. Cancer Res. 2009;69:5601–9.

- 74. Wang Y, Roche O, Xu C, Moriyama EH, Heir P, Chung J, et al. Hypoxia promotes ligand-independent EGF receptor signaling via hypoxia-inducible factor-mediated upregulation of caveolin-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:4892–7.
- MacKenzie A, Wilson HL, Kiss-Toth E, Dower SK, North RA, Surprenant A. Rapid secretion of interleukin-1beta by microvesicle shedding. Immunity. 2001;15:825–35.
- Abrahams VM, Straszewski SL, Kamsteeg M, Hanczaruk B, Schwartz PE, Rutherford TJ, et al. Epithelial ovarian cancer cells secrete functional Fas ligand. Cancer Res. 2003;63:5573– 81.
- Giusti I, D'Ascenzo S, Millimaggi D, Taraboletti G, Carta G, Franceschini N, et al. Cathepsin B mediates the pH-dependent proinvasive activity of tumor-shed microvesicles. Neoplasia. 2008;10:481–8.
- Graner MW, Alzate O, Dechkovskaia AM, Keene JD, Sampson JH, Mitchell DA, et al. Proteomic and immunologic analyses of brain tumor exosomes. FASEB J. 2009;23:1541– 57.
- Choi DS, Choi DY, Hong BS, Jang SC, Kim DK, Lee J, et al. Quantitative proteomics of extracellular vesicles derived from human primary and metastatic colorectal cancer cells. J Extracellular Vesicles. 2012;1:18704, doi: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3402/jev.v1i0.18704
- Valadi H, Ekström K, Bossios A, Sjöstrand M, Lee JJ, Lötvall JO. Exosome-mediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel mechanism of genetic exchange between cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2007;9:654–9.
- Carayon K, Chaoui K, Ronzier E, Lazar I, Bertrand-Michel J, Roques V, et al. Proteolipidic composition of exosomes changes during reticulocyte maturation. J Biol Chem. 2011;286:34426–39.
- 82. Aung T, Chapuy B, Vogel D, Wenzel D, Oppermann M, Lahmann M, et al. Exosomal evasion of humoral immunotherapy in aggressive B-cell lymphoma modulated by ATPbinding cassette transporter A3. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108:15336–41.
- Khan S, Jutzy JM, Aspe JR, McGregor DW, Neidigh JW, Wall NR. Survivin is released from cancer cells via exosomes. Apoptosis. 2011;16:1–12.
- 84. Park JE, Tan HS, Datta A, Lai RC, Zhang H, Meng W, et al. Hypoxic tumor cell modulates its microenvironment to enhance angiogenic and metastatic potential by secretion of proteins and exosomes. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2010;9:1085–99.
- Hergenreider E, Heydt S, Tréguer K, Boettger T, Horrevoets AJ, Zeiher AM, et al. Atheroprotective communication between endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells through miRNAs. Nat Cell Biol. 2012;14:249–56.
- 86. de Jong OG, Verhaar MC, Chen Y, Vader P, Gremmels H, Posthuma G, et al. Cellular stress conditions are reflected in the protein and RNA content of endothelial cell-derived exosomes. J Extracellular Vesicles. 2012;1:18936, doi: http:// dx.doi.org/10.3402/jev.v1i0.18396
- 87. Logozzi M, De Milito A, Lugini L, Borghi M, Calabrò L, Spada M, et al. High levels of exosomes expressing CD63 and caveolin-1 in plasma of melanoma patients. PLoS One. 2009;4:e5219. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0005219.
- Shao H, Chung J, Balaj L, Charest A, Bigner DD, Carter BS, et al. Protein typing of circulating microvesicles allows real-time monitoring of glioblastoma therapy. Nat Med. 2012;18:1835–40.
- Bryant RJ, Pawlowski T, Catto JW, Marsden G, Vessella RL, Rhees B, et al. Changes in circulating microRNA levels associated with prostate cancer. Br J Cancer. 2012;106: 768–74.

- Yokota N, Koizume S, Miyagi E, Hirahara F, Nakamura Y, Kikuchi K, et al. Self-production of tissue factor-coagulation factor VII complex by ovarian cancer cells. Br J Cancer. 2009;101:2023–9.
- van den Berg YW, Osanto S, Reitsma PH, Versteeg HH. The relationship between tissue factor and cancer progression: insights from bench and bedside. Blood. 2012;119:924–32.
- McCormick R, Buffa FM, Ragoussis J, Harris AL. The role of hypoxia regulated microRNAs in cancer. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2010;345:47–70.
- Wang H, Wang ZH, Kong J, Yang MY, Jiang GH, Wang XP, et al. Oxidized low-density lipoprotein-dependent plateletderived microvesicles trigger procoagulant effects and amplify oxidative stress. Mol Med. 2012;18:159–66.
- Facciabene A, Peng X, Hagemann IS, Balint K, Barchetti A, Wang LP, et al. Tumour hypoxia promotes tolerance and angiogenesis via CCL28 and T(reg) cells. Nature. 2011;475:226–30.
- Whitlow MB, Klein LM. Response of SCC-12F, a human squamous cell carcinoma cell line, to complement attack. J Invest Dermatol. 1997;109:39–45.
- Andreola G, Rivoltini L, Castelli C, Huber V, Perego P, Deho P, et al. Induction of lymphocyte apoptosis by tumor cell secretion of FasL-bearing microvesicles. J Exp Med. 2002; 195:1303–16.
- 97. Abusamra AJ, Zhong Z, Zheng X, Li M, Ichim TE, Chin JL, et al. Tumor exosomes expressing Fas ligand mediate CD8+ T-cell apoptosis. Blood Cells Mol Dis. 2005;35:169–73.
- Clayton A, Mitchell JP, Court J, Mason MD, Tabi Z. Human tumor-derived exosomes selectively impair lymphocyte responses to interleukin-2. Cancer Res. 2007;67:7458–66.
- Szajnik M, Czystowska M, Szczepanski MJ, Mandapathil M, Whiteside TL. Tumor-derived microvesicles induce, expand and up-regulate biological activities of human regulatory T cells (Treg). PLoS One. 2010;5:e11469. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0011469.
- Clayton A, Tabi Z. Exosomes and the MICA-NKG2D system in cancer. Blood Cells Mol Dis. 2005;34:206–13.
- 101. Valenti R, Huber V, Filipazzi P, Pilla L, Sovena G, Villa A, et al. Human tumor-released microvesicles promote the differentiation of myeloid cells with transforming growth factor-beta-mediated suppressive activity on T lymphocytes. Cancer Res. 2006;66:9290–8.
- 102. Chalmin F, Ladoire S, Mignot G, Vincent J, Bruchard M, Remy-Martin JP, et al. Membrane-associated Hsp72 from

tumor-derived exosomes mediates STAT3-dependent immunosuppressive function of mouse and human myeloid-derived suppressor cells. J Clin Invest. 2010;120:457–71.

- 103. Janowska-Wieczorek A, Wysoczynski M, Kijowski J, Marquez-Curtis L, Machalinski B, Ratajczak J, et al. Microvesicles derived from activated platelets induce metastasis and angiogenesis in lung cancer. Int J Cancer. 2005;113:752–60.
- 104. Shedden K, Xie XT, Chandaroy P, Chang YT, Rosania GR. Expulsion of small molecules in vesicles shed by cancer cells: association with gene expression and chemosensitivity profiles. Cancer Res. 2003;63:4331–7.
- 105. Safaei R, Larson BJ, Cheng TC, Gibson MA, Otani S, Naerdemann W, et al. Abnormal lysosomal trafficking and enhanced exosomal export of cisplatin in drug-resistant human ovarian carcinoma cells. Mol Cancer Ther. 2005;4: 1595–604.
- 106. Ciravolo V, Huber V, Ghedini GC, Venturelli E, Bianchi F, Campiglio M, et al. Potential role of HER2-overexpressing exosomes in countering trastuzumab-based therapy. J Cell Physiol. 2012;227:658–67.
- 107. Rzeszowska-Wolny J, Przybyszewski WM, Widel M. Ionizing radiation-induced bystander effects, potential targets for modulation of radiotherapy. Eur J Pharmacol. 2009;625: 156–64.
- Matsumoto H, Hayashi S, Hatashita M, Ohnishi K, Shioura H, Ohtsubo T, et al. Induction of radioresistance by a nitric oxide-mediated bystander effect. Radiat Res. 2001;155:387–96.
- Dickey JS, Baird BJ, Redon CE, Sokolov MV, Sedelnikova OA, Bonner WM. Intercellular communication of cellular stress monitored by gamma-H2AX induction. Carcinogenesis. 2009;30:1686–95.
- Hei TK, Zhou H, Chai Y, Ponnaiya B, Ivanov VN. Radiation induced non-targeted response: mechanism and potential clinical implications. Curr Mol Pharmacol. 2011;4:96–105.

#### \*Paulina Kucharzewska

Section of Oncology, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund University, Barngatan 2B SE-221 85 Lund, Sweden Tel: + 46 46 178527 Email: Paulina.Kucharzewska@med.lu.se