#### **REVIEW ARTICLE**

### WILEY

# Practical strategies for improving outcomes in T2DM: The potential role of pioglitazone and DPP4 inhibitors

Stefano Del Prato MD<sup>1</sup> | Robert Chilton MD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Metabolic Diseases and Diabetes, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Division of Cardiology, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio and South Texas Veterans Health Care System, San Antonio, Texas

#### Correspondence

Stefano Del Prato, Ospedale Cisanello, Via paradisa, 2 56126 Pisa, Italy. Email: stefano.delprato@med.unipi.it

#### **Funding information**

Takeda Pharmaceuticals has provided support for medical writing assistance.

T2DM is a complex disease underlined by multiple pathogenic defects responsible for the development and progression of hyperglycaemia. Each of these factors can now be tackled in a more targeted manner thanks to glucose-lowering drugs that have been made available in the past 2 to 3 decades. Recognition of the multiplicity of the mechanisms underlying hyperglycaemia calls for treatments that address more than 1 of these mechanisms, with more emphasis placed on the earlier use of combination therapies. Although chronic hyperglycaemia contributes to and amplifies cardiovascular risk, several trials have failed to show a marked effect from intensive glycaemic control. During the past 10 years, the effect of specific glucose-lowering agents on cardiovascular risk has been explored with dedicated trials. Overall, the cardiovascular safety of the new glucose-lowering agents has been proven with some of the trials summarized in this review, showing significant reduction of cardiovascular risk. Against this background, pioglitazone, in addition to exerting a sustained glucose-lowering effect, also has ancillary metabolic actions of potential interest in addressing the cardiovascular risk of T2DM, such as preservation of beta-cell mass and function. As such, it seems a logical agent to combine with other oral anti-hyperglycaemic agents, including dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4i). DPP4i, which may also have a potential to preserve beta-cell function, is available as a fixed-dose combination with pioglitazone, and could, potentially, attenuate some of the side effects of pioglitazone, particularly if a lower dose of the thiazolidinedione is used. This review critically discusses the potential for early combination of pioglitazone and DPP4i.

#### KEYWORDS

cardiovascular outcomes, combination, DPP4i, pioglitazone, type 2 diabetes

#### 1 | INTRODUCTION

Despite our increasing understanding of the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes (T2DM) and the availability of new glucose-lowering agents, macrovascular complications and overall mortality associated with T2DM remain high.<sup>1</sup> Initial defect in insulin secretion and gradual loss of beta-cell function play an important role in the development of the disease. Many other factors (ie, excessive glucagon release, impaired glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) secretion, augmented renal glucose reabsorption and impaired central nervous system integration) also contribute to the progression of the disease.<sup>2</sup> T2DM, although heralded by hyperglycaemia, is commonly associated with factors (eg, central obesity, dyslipidaemia, hypertension and inflammation, among others) that increase the risk of cardiovascular (CV) disease.<sup>3</sup> Epidemiological data shows a strong relationship between glucose levels and diabetes complications. Therefore, lowering HbA1c to as low and as safe a level as possible is a strategy proposed in most guidelines for optimizing diabetes care.<sup>4</sup>

Recent major T2DM trials have confirmed the importance of strict glycaemic control to reduce the risk of microvascular complications,<sup>5</sup> but have failed to demonstrate reductions in macrovascular events, suggesting that strategies taking into account global risk reduction, rather than simply focusing on lowering glucose levels, are necessary. The Steno 2 trial<sup>6</sup> has shown how multifactorial

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2017 The Authors. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Stefano Del Prato and Robert Chilton contributed equally to this study.

intervention can, indeed, be very effective in T2DM patients, reducing the relative risk of CV events by 51%. Primary intervention at an early stage of the natural history of diabetes could be even more effective, as it has been calculated that even a 1-year delay in achieving good glycaemic control (HbA1c < 7.0%) can increase CV risk by approximately 60% as compared to patients achieving such a goal earlier.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, in the attempt to prevent progression of the disease and reduce the risk of diabetic complications, early treatment should aim at ensuring durable glycaemic control whilst conveying CV protection. The first goal requires tackling the main mechanisms underlying hyperglycaemia, that is, insulin resistance and beta-cell dysfunction, while, for the second goal, careful consideration of CV risk factors is paramount. To this purpose, ancillary properties of available glucose-lowering agents should be considered.

Metformin, the common front-line therapy in T2DM treatment, is considered an insulin sensitizer, but pioglitazone exerts a stronger effect on insulin action in peripheral tissues.<sup>8</sup> Although metformin CV protection was apparent in the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS),<sup>9</sup> more data lend support to the CV protection properties of pioglitazone.<sup>10,11</sup>

Among the agents used to improve beta-cell function, incretins have a more physiologic mechanism of action than, for instance, sulfonylureas (SU). Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4i) also have a very good safety and tolerability profile and, as such, can be considered for combination with pioglitazone, even in the early stage of the disease.

The purpose of this review article is to evaluate the potential of combination therapy with pioglitazone and DPP4i with respect to: (1) addressing pathophysiologic mechanisms underlying T2DM; (2) maintenance of sustained glycaemic control; (3) effect on CV risk; and (4) overall safety.

#### 2 | PATHOPHYSIOLOGIC-DRIVEN TREATMENT OF T2DM

Three major pathophysiologic mechanisms contribute to chronic hyperglycaemia in T2DM: insulin resistance, progressive loss of betacell function and excessive hepatic glucose output (HGO).

Loss of beta-cell function is key in determining the development and the progression of hyperglycaemia in patients with T2DM, as revealed in the UKPDS<sup>12</sup> and in the Belfast Diabetes Study.<sup>13</sup> The loss of beta-cell function occurs early in the natural history of T2DM. In the San Antonio Metabolism Study,<sup>14</sup> subjects at high risk of developing T2DM, with a 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) plasma glucose level in the high range of normal, already had an approximately 60% loss of beta-cell function. Inability to secrete timely and sufficient insulin in response to a stimulus is the result of a combination of impaired beta-cell function and beta-cell mass,<sup>15</sup> both of which are believed to progressively decline over time, contributing to the need for treatment escalation. Therefore, preserving beta-cell function is important for ensuring durable glycaemic control.

Both DPP4i and pioglitazone have the potential to exert such an effect. Several studies in animals<sup>16,17</sup> have shown that DPP4i can preserve the histological architecture of the pancreatic islet as well as

beta-cell mass and function in response to a number of stress conditions. This is believed to be the result of the persistence in the circulation of endogenously secreted GLP-1, a physiologic modulator of beta-cell mass, although a local, intra-islet GLP-1 release from alpha cells has been demonstrated in isolated human pancreatic islets.<sup>18</sup> The latter is of potential interest as dipeptidyl peptidase-4 is expressed in the pancreatic islets, suggesting the existence of an intra-islet "incretinergic" system that may contribute to beta-cell preservation. To what extent these mechanisms are active in T2DM patients is currently unclear, but DPP4i treatment has been shown to improve glucose sensitivity of the beta cell,<sup>19</sup> that is, the ability of the beta cell to sense and respond to changes in plasma glucose concentrations. However, data on the long-term effect of DPP4i on beta-cell function are lacking. More information will be generated with the completion of the VERIFY (Vildagliptin Efficacy in combination with metfoRmIn For earlY treatment of T2DM) study (NCT01528254). In this trial, approximately 2000, mainly drug-naïve, T2DM patients with a baseline HbA1c of 48 to 58 mmol/mol (6.5%-7.5%) were randomized to either early initiation of a vildagliptinmetformin combination or standard-of-care initiation of metformin monotherapy, followed by stepwise addition of vildagliptin. The aim of this study was to determine treatment durability and changes in beta-cell function (HOMA-S) over a pre-specified 5-year follow-up.

In vivo and animal studies have provided evidence that glitazones also can exert a protective beta-cell effect.<sup>20–22</sup> Exposure of isolated human pancreatic islets to a mild increase in free fatty acid (FFA) concentration is associated with inhibition of the expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR- $\gamma$ ) mRNA and impaired glucose-induced insulin secretion, a phenomenon practically reversed by rosiglitazone.<sup>23</sup> As discussed below, glitazones exert quite a durable effect, with more patients sustaining good glycaemic control over time. In the ADOPT trial, the durability of rosiglitazone was associated not only with a significant improvement in insulin sensitivity, but also with a slower decline of beta-cell function.<sup>24</sup> Against this background, it seems rational to propose that pioglitazone and DPP4i may work through complementary mechanisms, resulting in more efficient beta-cell protection and, therefore, more sustained glycaemic control.

The effect of the combination of pioglitazone and DPP4i on beta-cell function has been assessed in animal models as well as in human studies. In mutant obese (ob/ob) mice, the combined treatment exhibited a complementary effect, increasing plasma insulin levels by 3.2-fold and pancreatic insulin content by 2.2%.<sup>25</sup> Yin et al.<sup>21</sup> tested the ability of pioglitazone and alogliptin to enhance beta-cell regeneration of endogenous and transplanted beta-cells in transgenic mice expressing firefly luciferase under the control of the mouse insulin-I promoter. Pioglitazone alone, or in combination with alogliptin, enhanced endogenous beta-cell regeneration in streptozotocin-treated mice. Moreover, while immunosuppression with rapamycin and tacrolimus caused early loss of beta-cell mass after islet transplantation, the use of pioglitazone and alogliptin partially promoted beta-cell mass recovery.<sup>21</sup> The effect of the combination of the 2 agents on beta-cell function has been assessed in a 16week study in 71 well-controlled T2DM patients (HbA1c,  $6.7\% \pm 0.1\%$ ) treated with alogliptin 25 mg and piolitazone 30 mg

daily or daily alogliptin 25 mg monotherapy or placebo.<sup>26</sup> The combination therapy improved beta-cell glucose sensitivity as well as the fasting insulin secretion rate (vs placebo; P = .001), while alogliptin monotherapy provided only slight, not significant, improvement of beta-cell function parameters.<sup>26</sup>

788 WILEY

Insulin resistance is fully apparent in the pre-diabetic state,<sup>27</sup> and it is responsible for impaired glucose utilization in insulin-dependent tissues (ie, skeletal muscle, adipose tissue and liver). Impaired insulin action can be exacerbated by concomitant obesity, as the result of the excess of circulating free fatty acids (FFA), adipose-tissue mediated inflammatory cytokines (lipotoxicity), and infiltration of adipose tissue in the liver, muscle and pancreas (ectopic fat). Defective insulin action and hyperglycaemia can lead to changes in plasma lipoproteins<sup>28</sup> and the development of atherogenic dyslipidaemia: elevated triglycerides, lowered HDL and raised small, dense LDL.<sup>28</sup>

While no significant effect on insulin sensitivity is exerted by DPP4i, it is widely recognized that pioglitazone is a potent insulin sensitizer. This effect is associated with a reduction in serum levels of triglycerides and an increase in HDL-cholesterol as a direct effect on apolipoprotein C-III (apoC3) and lipoprotein lipase activity.<sup>29</sup> Moreover, glitazones exert a powerful anti-inflammatory action.<sup>30</sup> The modulation of lipid metabolism and the anti-inflammatory property is the probable mechanism through which pioglitazone exerts powerful positive effects on nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH).<sup>31</sup> The latter effect is of importance not only because of the potential evolution of NASH toward steatohepatitis, fibrosis and hepato-carcinoma, but also because NASH can contribute to inflammatory status and CV risk in T2DM.<sup>32</sup>

Treatment with glitazones is commonly associated with an increase in body weight. This is the result of a reduction in visceral fat, at the expense of an increase in subcutaneous fat, a more benign fat tissue with milder metabolic implications.

Insulin resistance also accounts for excessive hepatic glucose production in the post-absorptive state and insufficient inhibition after the ingestion of a meal, thus contributing to both fasting and post-prandial hyperglycaemia. Pioglitazone administration is associated with a significant reduction in liver glucose output.<sup>33</sup> The excess of glucose poured into the systemic circulation by the liver is mainly the result of upregulated gluconeogenesis. The latter is the result of a complex and coordinated effect of multiple mechanisms including increased liver supply of gluconeogenic precursors (mainly lactate, pyruvate, alanine and glycerol), allosteric activation of the initial gluconeogenic enzymes as a consequence of increased liver FFA oxidation,<sup>34</sup> and inappropriately elevated portal concentration of glucagon. The increased flux of gluconeogenic precursors from the peripheral tissues is supported by impaired glucose oxidation, with accumulation of pyruvate that becomes available for reduction to lactate and transamination to alanine.<sup>35</sup> Pioglitazone can reduce gluconeogenesis by ameliorating liver insulin sensitivity, enhancing peripheral glucose utilization and oxidation, and restraining lipolysis.

Of interest, DPP4i can reduce glucagon secretion<sup>36</sup> and, therefore, improve the insulin: glucagon molar ratio in the portal vein, reducing hormonal activation of gluconeogenesis and hepatic glucose production. Moreover, experimental data suggest that DPP4i may directly affect liver glucose metabolism<sup>37</sup>; therefore, even with respect to hepatic glucose production, pioglitazone and DPP4i can have a synergistic effect. In summary, the combination of pioglitazone and DPP4i addresses, in a synergistic manner, many of the pathogenic defects of T2DM by: (1) enhancing insulin secretion and suppressing glucagon release; (2) improving incretin gut effects; (3) enhancing insulin-mediated glucose utilization in peripheral tissues; (4) restraining lipolysis; and (5) reducing gluconeogenesis.

#### 3 | ACHIEVING LONG-LASTING GLYCAEMIC CONTROL

The effect of rosiglitazone, metformin and glibenclamide as initial treatment was evaluated in 4360 T2DM patients in the ADOPT trial.<sup>38</sup> After 5 years of treatment the cumulative incidence of monotherapy failure was 15% with rosiglitazone, 21% with metformin and 34% with glyburide. The sustained efficacy of glitazones has been confirmed in many of the glitazone trials, as summarized by DeFronzo and colleagues.<sup>39</sup> Similar results have been reported in an open-label, primary care observational study in 500 T2DM patients, showing that pioglitazone, as an add-on to metformin, leads to significant benefits in long-term glycaemic control compared with sulphonylureas.<sup>40</sup> In Japanese T2DM patients receiving pioglitazone, with or without other oral glucose-lowering drugs, better glycaemic control was predicted to be maintained beyond the 2.5 to 4 years of observation.<sup>41</sup>

The longest randomized clinical trials with DPP4i run up to 2 years and compare glucose-lowering efficacy added-on to metformin (Met) vs sulfonylureas. As shown in Table 1, 4 out of 5 trials showed non-inferiority<sup>42-45</sup> and the fifth trial was superior at the end of the second year.<sup>46</sup>

Clinical trials have directly explored the clinical efficacy of the DPP4i and pioglitazone association as initial combination therapy in drug-naive T2DM patients. Alogliptin (25 mg) and pioglitazone (30 mg) once daily for 26 weeks led to greater HbA1c reduction (-1.7%  $\pm$  0.1%) than that achieved with alogliptin (-1.0%  $\pm$  0.1%; P < .001) or pioglitazone (-1.2%  $\pm$  0.1%; P < .001) monotherapy, without worsening the respective safety profile.<sup>47</sup> Similar results have been reported with vildagliptin<sup>48</sup> and linagliptin.<sup>49</sup> In a 54-week randomized, controlled extension trial, mean HbA1c reduction was -2.4% with the combination of sitagliptin 100 mg and pioglitazone 45 mg vs -1.9% with pioglitazone monotherapy, and the mean reduction in fasting plasma glucose (FPG) was -61.3 mg/dL vs -52.8 mg/dL, with comparable safety and tolerability using both treatment approaches.<sup>50</sup> Table 2 summarizes all clinical trials published in the past few years, supporting the overall clinical efficacy of the treatment combination of pioglitazone and DPP4 inhibitors.

Pioglitazone, when added to metformin in T2DM patients failing with this treatment, was associated with lower HbA1c reduction (-0.9% ± 0.05%) than that following addition of pioglitazone plus alogliptin (-1.4% ± 0.05%; *P* < .001) and with a better proinsulin: insulin ratio and better results of homeostasis model assessment of beta-cell function.<sup>54</sup> Moreover, 12-week treatment with sitaglitpin and pioglitazone enhanced the index Φ, a measure of dynamic β-cell responsiveness to glucose increments, to a greater extent than monotherapy vs placebo and vs either monotherapy alone.<sup>57</sup>

DEL	PRATO AND CHILTON

<b>FABLE 1</b>	Summary of the l	ong-term (2 year)	) efficacy and safe	ty trials of DPP4i added-on to met	formin vs sulphonylureas in	type 2 diabetes		
Author, y and refer	rear rence	DPP4i	Comparator	Number of patients (n)	Baseline HbA1c % (mmol/mol)	AHbA1c (%) from baseline to 104 weeks	% Hypoglycaemia	Primary endpoint outcome
Seck et a	II. 2010 <sup>42</sup>	Sitagliptin	Glipizide	504 PP (sitagliptin, n = 248; glipizide, n = 256)	7.3 (56) both groups	-0.54 sitagliptin and -0.51 glipizide	5% sitagliptin vs 34% glipizide	Non-inferior
Matthew	s et al. 2010 <sup>43</sup>	Vildagliptin	Glimepiride	3118 randomized (vildagliptin, n = 1562; glimepiride, n = 1556)	7.3 (56) both groups	-0.1 both groups	Vildagliptin 2.3% vs 18.2% glimepiride	Non-inferior
Gallwitz (	et al. 2012 <sup>44</sup>	Linagliptin	Glimepiride	1519 PP (linagliptin, n = 764; glimepiride, n = 755)	7.7 (61) both groups	-0.16 linagliptin and -0.36 glimepiride	Linagliptin 7% vs 36% glimepiride	Non-inferior
Goke et a	al. 2013 <sup>45</sup>	Saxagliptin	Glipizide	858 randomized (saxagliptin, n = 428; glipizide, n = 430)	7.65 (60) both groups	<ul> <li>-0.41 saxagliptin and</li> <li>-0.35 glipizide</li> </ul>	Saxagliptin 3.5% vs 38.4% glipizide	Non-inferior
Del Pratc	o et al. 2014 <sup>46</sup>	Alogliptin	Glipizide	1089 PP (alogliptin 12.5 mg once daily, n = 371; alogliptin 25 mg once daily, n = 382; and glipizide 5 mg once daily, n = 336)	7.6 (60) both groups	-0.68 alogliptin 12.5 mg, -0.72 alogliptin 25 mg, and -0.59 glipizide	2.5% and 1.4% alogliptin 12.5 and 25 mg, respectively vs 23.2% glipizide	Superior in alogliptin 25 mg group

Abbreviations: DPP4i, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor; PP, per protocol.

Altogether, the results of these trials show how the combination of pioglitazone and DPP4i, 2 anti-hyperglycaemic agents with different but complementary mechanisms of action, provide a rational ther-

#### 4 | TREATING BOTH CVD AND T2DM

apeutic approach in T2DM patients at different stages of the disease.

Sustained glycaemic control is key in reducing the risk of microvascular complications. Although the impact of strict glycaemic control on CV risk remains a matter of debate, preventing microvascular complications may exert a favourable effect on CV disease as well. Brownrigg et al.<sup>60</sup> by using a population-based cohort of T2DM patients, observed significant associations for a composite of CV events and retinopathy (Hazard Ratio (HR), 1.39; 95% Cl, 1.09-1.76), neuropathy (HR, 1.40; 95% Cl, 1.19-1.66) and nephropathy (HR, 1.35; 95% Cl, 1.15–1.58). Moreover, the presence of 1, 2 or 3 microvascular complications was associated with a worsening of HR by 1.32 (95% Cl, 1.16-1.50), 1.62 (95% Cl, 1.42-1.85) and 1.99 (95% Cl, 1.70-2.34) for CV risk, respectively.

Along with sustained glycaemic control, pioglitazone conveys CV protection. In the PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macro-Vascular Events (PROactive) trial, adding pioglitazone to the existing therapy resulted in a non-significant 10% relative risk (RR) reduction in the primary composite endpoint of all-cause mortality, myocardial infarction (MI), acute coronary syndrome (ACS), cardiac intervention, stroke, major leg amputation and leg revascularization.<sup>10</sup> However, the risk reduction became significant when the pre-specified secondary endpoint of all-cause mortality, MI and stroke (HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.72-0.98; P = .027) was considered.<sup>61</sup> This finding has been confirmed in post-hoc analyses showing reduction in recurrent myocardial infarction (HR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.52-0.99; P = .045) and stroke (HR, 0.53: 95% CI. 0.34-0.85: P = .009].<sup>62,63</sup> The latter finding set the basis for the Insulin Resistance Intervention after Stroke (IRIS) trial, exploring the effect of pioglitazone in insulin-resistant, non-diabetic patients with a recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA). The trial showed a 24% reduction in the risk of fatal or nonfatal stroke or myocardial infarction (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.62-0.93).<sup>11</sup> In a more recent meta-analysis including 9 trials with 12 026 participants, pioglitazone was found to be associated with lower risk of a major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE) in patients with prediabetes or insulin resistance (RR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.64-0.93) and diabetes (RR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.72-0.97). Treatment with pioglitazone, however, was also associated with increased risk of heart failure (RR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.14-1.54).<sup>64</sup> The increased risk of HF with glitazones has been claimed widely as a consequence of fluid retention and edema formation attributed to the salt-retaining effects of PPARy activation on the nephron. However, desspite a number of mechanisms responsible for fluid retention with thiazolidinediones (TZDs), there are few experimental and/or clinical studies that investigated the effects of TZDs on salt and water metabolism in patients with coronary heart disease (CHD).<sup>65</sup> Nonetheless, the effect of fluid retention as one worsening the risk of heart failure in T2DM patients should be taken into account, particularly when considering the combination with DPP4i.

Main results	Alo add-on to either Met or	Pio provided additional reduction in HbA1c at 16 weeks compared with placebo ( $-0.69\%$ [95% Cl] $-0.87\%$ , $-0.51\%$ ; $P \le .001$ ] and $-0.52\%$ [95% Cl] $-0.75\%$ , -0.28%; $P < .001$ ], respectively	Pio provided additional reduction in HbA1c at 16 weeks compared with placebo ( $-0.69\%$ [95% CI] $-0.87\%$ , $-0.51\%$ ; $P \le .001$ ] and $-0.52\%$ [95% CI] $-0.75\%$ , -0.28%; $P < .001$ ], respectively FDC with Pio (15 and 30 mg) showed significant reduction in HbA1c than Alo monotherapy ( $-0.80$ and -0.90% vs. $0%$ ; P < .0001, respectively)	Pio provided additional reduction in HbA1c at 16 weeks compared with place ( $-0.69\%$ (95% Cl] $-0.87\%$ , $-0.51\%$ ; $P \le .001$ ) and $-0.52\%$ (95% Cl] $-0.75\%$ , -0.28%; $P < .001$ ], respectively FDC with Pio (15 and 30 mg) showed significant reduction in HbA1c than Alo monotherapy ( $-0.80$ and -0.90% vs. $0%$ ; P < .0001, respectively) FPG was reduced to a greater extent by the Alo/Pio FDC compared with Alo monotherapy ( $P < .01$ )	Pio provided additional reduction in HbA1c at 16 weeks compared with place ( $-0.87\%$ , $-0.51\%$ ; $P \le .001$ and $-0.52\%$ ( $9.5\%$ CI] $-0.87\%$ , $-0.51\%$ ; $P \le .001$ and $-0.52\%$ ( $9.5\%$ CI] $-0.75\%$ , -0.28%; $P < .001$ ], respectively FDC with Pio (15 and 30 mg) showed as ignificant reduction in HbA1c than Alo monotherapy ( $-0.90\%$ vs. $0.90\%$ vs. P < .0001, respectively) FPG was reduced to a greater extent by the Alo/Pio FDC compared with Alo monotherapy and Alo/Pio FDC compared with Alo monotherapy and Alo/Pio FDC treatment provided similar, statistically significant ( $P < .001$ ) reductions at week 16 in total postprandial TG compared with placebo; the Alo monotherapy group showed a greater trend to greater mean reduction compared to the Alo/Pio FDC group but this was not deemed statistically significant ( $P = .445$ ).
rimary endpoint Mair	hange from baseline Alo	HbA1c at Week 16 Pi 16 16 17 16 16 17 16 17 16 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	HbA1c at Week 16 Pi 16 hange from baseline FDC HbA1c at Week 16 31 HH	HbA1c at Week 16 Pi 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	HbA1c at Week 16 Pi 16 Pi 1
HbA1c Pri	Entry criteria	between 7.0% and 10.0%	Entry criteria between 7.0% and 10.0% between 6.5% and 10.5%	Entry criteria and 10.0% and 10.0% and 10.0% and 10.5% and 10.5% (SEM) (SEM)	Entry criteria between 7.0% and 10.0% between 6.5% and 10.5% (SEM) *6.5% at ch sdmission
Duration	16 weeks		o 16 weeks	o 16 weeks	o 16 weeks 16 weeks o 16 weeks
Freatment arm and dose	Patients randomized 1:1 to receive: either 25 mg Alo once daily. or matching	placebo. Groups were: (1) monotherapy (n = 185); (2) add-on to metformin (n = 197); and (3) add-on to Pio (with or without Met; n = 124)	placebo. Groups were: (1) monotherapy (n = 185); (2) add-on to metformin (n = 197); and (3) add-on (n = 197); and (3) add-on (n = 124) Met; n = 124) attients randomized 1:1:1 to receive: Alo 25 mg/Pio 25 mg/Pio 30 mg, or Alo 25 mg monotherapy	placebo. Groups were: (1) monotherapy (n = 185); (2) add-on to metformin (n = 197); and (3) add-on (n = 197); and (3) add-on Met; n = 124) Datients randomized 1:1:1 to receive: Alo 25 mg, or Alo 25 mg/Pio 30 mg FDC q. d., or Placebo	placebo. Groups were: (1) monotherapy (n = 185); (2) add-on to metformin (n = 197); and (3) add-on to Pio (with or without Met; n = 124) attents randomized 1:1:1 to receive: Alo 25 mg/Pio 25 mg/Pio 30 mg, or Alo 25 mg/Pio 30 mg, or Alo 25 mg/Pio 30 mg, or Alo 25 mg/Pio 30 mg, ro Alo 25 mg/Pio 30 mg FDC q. d. or placebo Patients randomized 1:1:1 to receive: Alo 25 mg monotherapy, or Alo 25 mg/Pio 30 mg FDC y. d. or placebo 25 mg/Pio 30 mg FDC, or placebo
Subjects, n T	506 T2DM G		210 T2DM	210 T2DM P. 210 T2DM P. 71 patients with well- P. controlled T2DM	210 T2DM P. 71 patients with well- controlled T2DM P. 171 T2DM P. uncortrolled with lifestyle and/or Met, SU or glinide therapy or glinide
Design	Multicentre, randomized DB, PBO, phase 3 study		Multicentre, randomized, DB, parallel group phase 4 study	Multicentre, randomized, DB, parallel group phase 4 study Two-centre, randomized, DB, PBO, phase 3, parallel-arm intervention study	Multicentre, randomized, DB, parallel group phase 4 study PBO, phase 3, parallet-arm intervention study Two-centre, randomized, DB, PBO, parallel- group study
DPP4i	Alogliptin		Alogliptin	Alogliptin Alogliptin	Alogliptin Alogliptin
Author, year and	Pan et al. 2017 <sup>51</sup>		Kaku et al. 2015 <sup>52</sup>	Kaku et al. 2015 <sup>52</sup> Van Raalte et al. 2014 <sup>26</sup>	Kaku et al. 2015 <sup>52</sup> Van Raalte et al. 2014 <sup>26</sup> Eliasson et al. 2012 <sup>53</sup> al.

TABLE 2 Summary of recent clinical trials (last 5 years) evaluating the efficacy of the DPP4i and pioglitazone association in type 2 diabetes

(Continues)

or, year and ence	DPP4i	Design	Subjects, n	Treatment arm and dose	Duration	HbA1c baseline %	Primary endpoint	Main results
	Sitagliptin	Randomized, factorial experimental study	1227 T2DM treatment-naïve patients	Patients randomized to receive: q.d. either Sit 100 mg monotherapy (n = 172), or Pio 15 (n = 163), 30 (n = 181) or 45 mg (n = 171) monotherapy, or Sit 100 mg plus Pio 15 (n = 179), 30 (n = 173) or 45 mg (n = 188) as initial therapy	54 weeks	Entry criteria between 7.5% and 11.0%	Change from baseline HbA1c at Week 24	Initial combination therapy with Sit and Pio provided greater glycaemic control than either monotherapy; significantly greater HbA1c reductions (0.4%- 0.7% difference)
<u></u>	Sitagliptin	Randomized, DB, comparative study	436 overweight T2DM patients already treated with Pio and Met for 2 years completed the 3- year study	Patients randomized to 1 year of Sit (n = 222) or glibenclamide (n = 214)	1-year treatment with Sit or glibenclamide	9.0% after 2- years run-in therapy augumenting phase with Met and Pio	Variation of beta-cell function both in a fasting state and after euglycemic hyperinsulinemic and hyperglycaemic clamp	Triple therapy with Sit greatly improved beta- cell function measures compared to glibenclamide, and also compared with the Met plus Pio dual combination
	Sitagliptin	Randomized, PBO, observational study	211 T2DM patients	Patients randomized 1:1:1:1 to Sit monotherapy, Pio monotherapy, Sit/Pio combination therapy, or placebo	12 weeks	Between 6.5% and 9.0%	ц	Sit/Pio combination enhances beta-cell function (increasing postmeal $\phi(s)$ , a measure of dynamic beta-cell responsiveness to above- basal glucose concentrations) more than either monotherapy
	Sitagliptin	Randomized, DB, parallel-group extension study	317 treatment-naïve T2DM patients	Patients randomized to initial Sit 100 mg/Pio 30 mg combination q.d. or Pio 30 mg monotherapy q.d. for 24 weeks, Pio dose was increased from 30 mg to 45 mg in both groups in the extension study	54 weeks	Between 8.0% and 12.0%	e	During the 54-week extension period, for the Sit/Pio combination the mean reduction in HbA1c was -2.4% with the Sit 100 mg/Pio 45 mg group vs1.9% with the Pio 45 mg monotherapy group [between group difference (95% CJ) = $-0.5\%$ ( $-0.8$ , $-0.3$ ]], showing the combination led to substantial and durable incremental improvement in glycaemic control compared to Pio monotherapy
								(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

TABLE 2 (Continu	led)							
Author, year and reference	DPP4i	Design	Subjects, n	Treatment arm and dose	Duration	HbA1c baseline %	Primary endpoint	Main results
Bajaj et al. 2014 <sup>49</sup>	Linagliptin	Multicentre, randomized, DB, PBO study	272 T2DM patients	Patients randomized 2:1 to receive: either Lin 5 mg q.d. or placebo, in addition to Met and Pio	24 weeks	between 7.5% and 10.0%	Change from baseline HbA1c at Week 24	Lin, as an add-on to Pio and Met, provided statistically significant and clinically meaningful reductions in HbA1c levels (change from baseline vs. placebo: (-0.57 (-0.13%); 95% Cl) -0.83, -0.31; P < .0001)
Yki-jarvinen et al. 2013 <sup>58</sup>	Linagliptin	Randomized, DB PBO study	1261 T2DM patients on basal insulin alone or combined with Met and/or Pio	Patients randomized 1:1 to receive: either Lin 5 mg q.d. (n = 631), or placebo (n = 630)	52 weeks	Between 7.0% and 10.0%	Change from baseline HbA1c at Week 24	Lin, as an add-on to basal insulin (as well as Pio and Met), provided statistically significant and clinically meaningful reductions in HbA1c levels (change from baseline vs. placebo: (-0.7.1 mnol/mol (-0.65%); 95% Cl) -0.74, -0.55; P < .0001)
Kadowaki and Kondo, 2013 <sup>59</sup>	Teneligliptin	Randomized, DB, PBO, parallel- group study	204 T2DM patients taking Pio monotherapy	Patients randomized 1:1 to receive: Ten 20 mg q.d. or placebo q.d., as an add-on to stable Pio therapy (15 or 30 mg q.d.)	12 weeks	Between 6.8% and 10.3%	Change from baseline HbA1c at Week 12	Addition of Ten to Pio produced statistically significant and clinically meaningful reductions in HbA1c level compared to placebo (mean change from baseline to Week 52: -0.9% vs0.2%, respectively; P < .001)
Abbreviations: Alo,	alogliptin; AUC,	area under curve; DB, do	uble-blind; FDC, fixed do:	se combination; HbA1c, hemogle	obin A1c; HDL, hig	gh-density lipoprotein c	holesterol; Lin, linagliptin;	Met, metformin; na, not appli-

Abbreviations: Alo, alogliptin; AUC, area under curve; DB, double-blind; FUC, fixed dose commanation, المحمد المحمدين معرد معرد والمحمد و والمحمد و

# <sup>792</sup> WILEY-

Recent CV safety trials with DPP4i found no reduction in CV death,<sup>66-68</sup> with the SAVOR-TIMI study, unexpectedly, reporting a significant increase in heart-failure hospitalizations with saxagliptin treatment (P < .007).<sup>66</sup> This finding led to concerns about the potential link between DPP4i and heart failure. In the EXAMINE trial, hospital admission for heart failure was the first event in 85 (3.1%) patients taking aloglipitin compared with 79 (2.9%) taking placebo (HR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.79-1.46).<sup>69</sup> In contrast, the TECOS trial reported no increase in hospitalization for heart failure.<sup>68</sup> Soon after the TECOS results were published, the FDA added a warning about the risk of heart failure on labels with the T2DM medicines saxagliptin and alogliptin. However, whether individual DPP4i are associated with risk of HF remains a matter of debate.

A detailed look at SAVOR-TIMI found that patients with prior heart failure, higher levels of brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and chronic kidney disease (eGFR <60 mL/min) were at greatest risk of heart-failure hospitalization.<sup>70</sup> Patients in the high-risk EXAMINE trial, with no baseline history of heart failure, also experienced a significant increase in hospitalization for heart failure (P < .026).<sup>69</sup> Each of the aforementioned trials is different and it would be difficult to compare them; hospital admission for heart failure in patients treated with DPP4i requires further study.

The only trial to look at the effect of DPP4i in heart failure patients with low left-ventricular ejection fractions (LVEF) is the VIVIDD trial (Vildagliptin in Ventricular Dysfunction Diabetes Trial).<sup>71</sup> In this 52-week trial, 254 diabetes patients with systolic dysfunction (LVEF <35%) experienced a statistically significant increase in left-ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV) and a trend towards an increase in left-ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV). This increase in heart size with DPP4i is a concern and certainly warrants further investigation in patients with systolic dysfunction.

In summary, it remains unclear whether DPP4i cause heart failure and, to add to the uncertainty, results from animal studies show improvement in left ventricular relaxation with the use of DPP4i. Moreover, a human trial using 3D echocardiography reported neutral results in diabetic patients with diastolic dysfunction treated with sitaglipitin.<sup>72</sup> A possible reason for this finding could be that there is no benefit, or that it requires longer treatment in humans to determine either harm or benefit.

DPP4 inhibition may have a role in the progression of atherogenesis, as suggested by recent animal research.<sup>73</sup> Additional studies have shown that elevated levels of DPP4 are present in insulin resistance states<sup>74</sup> and in patients with ACS.<sup>75</sup> This led to the hypothesis that the serine protease DPP4 plays an important role in the initiation and progression of atherosclerosis. Notably, DPP4 is a glycoprotein widely expressed in mammalian tissues, with more than 50 substrates, including glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP). Although DPP4 inhibition can prevent the degradation of many peptides in in vitro incubations, there is rather less convincing evidence that DPP4 inhibition in vivo actually increases levels of the endogenous peptide for many of these potential substrates. A study by Lee et al. found higher CD26/DPP4 levels in peripheral blood and T-cells in patients with T2DM.<sup>76</sup> Elevated DPP4 levels have also been found to cause insulin resistance at the level of protein kinase B (PKB; also known as AKT) phosphorlyation in fat cells, as well as in smooth and skeletal muscle.<sup>77</sup> Moreover,

it should not be surprising that the toll-like receptor-4 (TLR-4), which is linked to atherosclerosis, is also affected by DPP4i. Ta et al. showed that alogliptin suppressed TLR-4, suggesting an important link with macrophage-mediated inflammation that is associated with tissue remodeling and atherosclerosis.<sup>78</sup> This basic research is clinically supported by the finding of reduced progression of carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) with alogliptin in the recent human SPEAD-A (Study of Preventive Effects of Alogliptin on Diabetic Atherosclerosis) trial.<sup>79</sup> With the exception of the PROLOGUE trial,<sup>80</sup> at least 3 other studies have shown potential anti-atherogenic effects of DPP4 inhibitors. Attenuation of CIMT progression has been observed with sitagliptin as an add-on to insulin treatment in T2DM patients free of apparent cardiovascular disease,<sup>81</sup> as well as in patients with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) or T2DM with stable angina pectoris.<sup>82</sup> Besides the potential direct effects of DPP4i inhibitors on atherogenic mechanisms, reduction of glucose excursion, as achieved with DPP4i therapy, can also contribute to prevention of CIMT progression.<sup>83</sup>

The effect of pioglitazone on atherosclerosis is more readily apparent. Two studies have demonstrated the beneficial impact of pioglitazone on the attenuation of atherosclerosis progression in T2DM patients, as measured by carotid intima/medial thickness (CIMT) and coronary atheroma volume.<sup>84</sup> CHICAGO was a 72-week randomized, comparator-controlled trial that included 462 patients with T2DM.<sup>85</sup> This study demonstrated that CIMT progression was lower in the pioglitazone group compared to the glimepiride group (0.002 mm vs 0.026 mm, respectively; *P* = .008).<sup>85</sup> The PERISCOPE trial used intravascular ultrasound to look at atherosclerotic progression in 543 T2DM patients with coronary artery disease.<sup>86</sup> In the pioglitazone-treated group, plaque volume significantly decreased by 0.16%, whereas, in patients receiving glimepiride, a mean increase of 0.73% was reported.<sup>86</sup>

Sustained increments in serum triglyceride level are an independent risk factor for T2DM.<sup>87</sup> In the PERISCOPE trial, pioglitazone significantly increased high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels and lowered triglycerides. Interestingly, a study by Nicholls et al. showed that the favourable effects of pioglitazone on the triglyceride/HDL-C ratio correlated with delayed atheroma progression in diabetic patients.<sup>88</sup>

In conclusion, several independent mechanisms may be activated by pioglitazone and DPP4i to support a complementary mechanism of action with the combination of the 2 medications in reducing the progression of atherosclerosis. There is evidence of such an effect as far as pioglitazone is concerned, whilst safety has been shown for DPP4i. Therefore, in light of the need for early intervention with the purpose of achieving and maintaining long-term glycaemic control, the combination of the 2 agents can be seen as rational, also with regard to CV protection. Nonetheless, because of the increased risk of HF with pioglitazone, and the concerns raised after the completion of the CV outcome trials with at least 2 out of the 4 DPP4i, a careful, balanced assessment of the risk-to-benefit ratio is recommended.

#### 5 | SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS: BALANCE BETWEEN RISK AND BENEFIT

DPP4i alone or in combination with other antidiabetic drugs are generally well tolerated.<sup>4</sup> The risk of hypoglycaemia is generally low<sup>89</sup> <sup>794</sup> WILEY−

and mainly caused by concomitant insulin-delivery background therapy. In trials assessing the effect of DPP4i and pioglitazone, no significant increase in the rate of hypoglycaemia has been reported. Therefore, to the extent that severe episodes of hypoglycaemia may trigger CV events, the combination of the two drugs appears to be safe.

The increased risk of pancreatitis reported in early observational studies have not been confirmed in a number of investigations and a meta-analysis,<sup>90,91</sup> leading the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicine Agency (EMA) to conclude that there is no final evidence for a certain increase in the risk of pancreatitis or pancreatic neoplasia with the use of DPP4i.<sup>92</sup> The initial concern about bladder cancer with use of pioglitazone has also been dismissed, in light of the results of a prospective study, mandated by the FDA,<sup>93</sup> and analyses of large databases.<sup>94</sup>

We have already discussed the relationship between DPP4i and risk of HF, to conclude that it is difficult to determine whether this is a real phenomenon and/or specific to some DPP4i. Preclinical studies have identified a number of mechanisms that could actually suggest an improvement of heart function, and in vivo studies, in addition to the results of SAVOR-TIMI and EXAMINE, have provided conflicting results. For example, analysis of the Italian Nationwide OsMed Health-DB Database has shown that, in 127 555 T2DM patients, the risk of heart failure was lower in patients treated with DPP4i than in sulfonylurea-treated patients.95 Nevertheless, careful assessment is required if a DPP4i combination with pioglitazone is considered, because of the common fluid retention associated with TZDs. In the PROactive trial, HF leading to hospital admission was more common in patients using pioglitazone compared with placebo (5.7% vs 4.1%). However, the HF-related mortality rate was lower with pioglitazone (26.8% vs 34.3%).<sup>10</sup> It is worth considering that these studies have included patients with longstanding disease and high CV risk. Whether the same concern applies to patients at lower risk of CV and at an earlier stage in the natural history of the disease remains to be established. Thus, although fluid retention can occur in 5% to 10% of glitazone-treated T2DM patients, less than 1% will develop HF. Moreover, a recent small clinical study using sophisticated measurement of heart function has suggested that pioglitazone can improve myocardial insulin sensitivity, LV diastolic function and systolic function in T2D.96 Improved myocardial insulin sensitivity and diastolic function are strongly correlated.<sup>96</sup>

Weight gain is the most common adverse effect associated with the use of glitazones because of fluid retention and increased adiposity. The latter, however, is associated with a relative redistribution of adipose tissue from visceral to subcutaneous stores.<sup>97</sup> DPP4i are usually neutral with respect to body weight and, when used in combination with pioglitazone, have resulted in either no change as compared to placebo<sup>98</sup> or slightly more weight gain compared with pioglitazone monotherapy.<sup>99</sup> Therefore, combination therapy with pioglitazone and DPP4i can be expected to result in a mild, if any, increase in body weight in excess of the gain caused by pioglitazone itself.

Bone fractures are another potential side effect of pioglitazone treatment. These are mainly low energy fractures (ie, associated with a fall) of distal long bones of the upper and lower limbs, a finding recently confirmed in the IRIS population.<sup>100</sup> No signal for increased

risk of bone fractures has been reported with the use of DPP4i; thus, no additional risk is expected when used in combination with pioglitazone. Actually, preclinical studies have suggested a protective effect of DPP4i on bone metabolism in animals treated with pioglitazone. The administration of vildagliptin to T2DM diabetic rats restored bone mass density, trabecular bone volume and trabecular bone thickness, all parameters decreased by pioglitazone.<sup>101</sup> Also, the risk of fracture can be mitigated by fall prevention and by screening and treatment of osteoporosis. Moreover, if CV risk were to be favour-ably affected by the combination treatment, this could outweigh the risk of fractures.

Most of the side effects associated with the use of pioglitazone, including the risk of bone fractures, appear to be dose dependent. Therefore, use of low doses of pioglitazone in combination with DPP4i may further reduce the risk of these side effects. In this regard, the effect of pioglitazone 7.5 mg/day as an add-on therapy in T2DM patients was compared to the 15 and 30 mg doses,<sup>102</sup> and showed that a significant increase in body weight and body fat was achieved with the 2 higher doses of pioglitazone, but not with the lowest dose. Moreover, a significant reduction in triglyceride and an increase in HDL cholesterol levels occurred in all 3 groups.

In summary, the combination of pioglitazone and DPP4i, as far as we can determine from the available data, is unlikely to exacerbate any of the known side effects mainly related to pioglitazone. Actually, the concomitant use of DPP4i may attenuate some of these effects, particularly if a lower dose of pioglitazone is used.

#### 6 | CONCLUSIONS

In recent years, more emphasis has been placed on earlier use of combination therapies for the treatment of T2DM.<sup>103</sup> Given the number of available drugs, there is quite a large number of potential combinations. Yet, combinations that may reduce chronic loss of beta-cell function, that is, the main cause of the progression of the disease, while conferring CV protection, may be a preferred choice. Despite the fact that chronic hyperglycaemia contributes to and amplifies CV risk, a number of trials have failed to show a sizeable effect of intensive glycaemic control.<sup>104</sup>

Several trials have explored the CV safety of the glucoselowering medications, with some of these trials showing significant reduction in CV risk. The first trial to suggest that mechanisms other than glucose could provide CV benefit was PROactive.<sup>10</sup> Although the trial did not meet the primary endpoint (because of inclusion in the composite endpoint of revascularization) (Figure 1), the prespecified secondary endpoint and subsequent post-hoc analyses support a role for pioglitazone in reducing CV risk. The main secondary endpoint (ie, cardiovascular death, non-fatal MI and stroke) was significantly reduced (HR, 0.84; P = .027) in PROactive. Of particular interest was the reduction in the risk of stroke that prompted the IRIS trial. The latter study showed a 24% (P < .007) reduction in the risk of fatal or nonfatal stroke or myocardial infarction in insulinresistant individuals without diabetes and with a prior stroke.<sup>11</sup> In addition, a newly published secondary analysis from IRIS reported that pioglitazone reduced the risk of acute coronary syndrome (HR,

#### 140 Any endpoint: 514/2605 Pioglitazone 110 120 572/2633 Control 101 101 100 85 <sup>a</sup>tients 76 80 57 60 40 20 0 Lese energiaritation Acure coronan synchome revascularitation Waldress and restings NonfatalMI Death Stroke

■ Control

Pioglitazone



0.71; 95% Cl, 0.54-0.94; P = .02).<sup>105</sup> Moreover, there were significant reductions in the risk of a type-1 MI (ST elevation MI) (HR, 0.62; 95% Cl, 0.40-0.96) and the risk of large MI (>100 troponin) > 50% RR reduction (P < .02) (Figure 2).<sup>105</sup>

With the addition of the TECOS trial results, DPP4i appear to be safe, in general, but a warning has been added to US prescribing information for saxagliptin and alogliptin, informing physicians to consider the risks and benefits in patients at higher risk of heart failure. However, there is no apparent increased risk of heart failure when a broader population of T2DM patients is taken into account. Retrospective analysis found no increased risk of HF compared to use of sulfonylureas,<sup>106</sup> while a retrospective study based on the national Italian registry including 127 555 T2DM patients actually reported a reduction in the risk of hospitalization for HF as compared to that with use of sulfonylureas.<sup>95</sup> In the same population, no interclass difference was apparent for DPP4i with regard to the risk of hospitalization for HF.<sup>107</sup> These results, along with the overall tolerability profile, make DPP4i an attractive and safe treatment in the early stage of the disease. In patients with a longer duration of the disease and prior CV events, or with high CV risk, DPP4i have been proven to be safe in intervention trials,<sup>66-68</sup> as well as in population studies<sup>108</sup> and meta-analyses.<sup>109</sup> Caution may be used in individuals with a history of HF based on the selected DPP4i, paying attention to signs and symptoms of heart failure during therapy. In these

individuals, concomitant use of a sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitor may be considered also because of the reduced risk of HF and CV protection.<sup>110</sup>

WILEY  $\frac{1}{795}$ 

Cardiology involvement in DPP4i is important because of potential reductions in atherosclerosis and effects on myocardial remodeling. Extensive research is underway and further trials will help define their clinical use. Future basic and clinical studies will be required to determine the relative contribution of the non-enzymatic vs enzymatic molecular function in metabolic and inflammatory cardiovascular diseases, and to address HF safety signals and clarify a beneficial effect of this class in CV complications associated with diabetes.

Pioglitazone has been shown to have a durable glucose-lowering effect and a potential for preserving beta-cell function. DPP4i are characterized by sustained efficacy and have been shown to be safe with respect to CV risk, even in patients with recent ACS,<sup>67</sup> that is, patients at the highest risk so far studied with a DPP4i. These agents may also have potential in preserving beta-cell function, making a rational combination with pioglitazone while potentially attenuating some of the side effects of the latter, particularly if lower doses of pioglitazone are used.

In summary, the rationale for combining DPP4i and pioglitazone, particularly in the early stage of T2DM, is sound with respect to the pathophysiologic background of the disease, having potential for sustained glycaemic control, and possibly conferring CV protection with

#### **FIGURE 2** Secondary analysis from the IRIS trial finding important and significant reductions in acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients receiving pioglitazone. The reduction in ACS, ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) and risk of larger myocardial infarctions in patients with troponin >100 were all significant in 3876 patients without diabetes that have insulin resistance. Adapted from <sup>105</sup>



## <sup>796</sup> WILEY-

an overall good safety and tolerability profile. The availability of fixed-dose combinations may also facilitate early introduction of this combination. Moreover, the combination of DPP4i and pioglitazone provides a useful example of what the diabetologist must do in the future, that is, carefully weigh the pros and cons of each glucose-lowering drug. With therapeutic options expanding, and with accumulating data with respect to CV safety and protection, the diabetologist must also identify rational and effective combination therapies that best suit individual needs, to ensure durable glycaemic control that contributes to reduction of the risk of microvascular complications, and to exploit extra-glycaemic properties that may lower CV risk on an individual basis.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Klara Belzar, PhD and XLR8 Health Ltd. UK provided medical writing assistance for this manuscript.

#### **Conflict of interest**

S. D. P. has received research support from Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Merck & Co. and Novartis Pharmaceuticals, and has served on advisory panels for Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lily and Co., GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Merck & Co. and Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Laboratoires Servier and Takeda Pharmaceuticals. R. C. has received research support from Boehringer Ingelheim, Takeda Pharmaceuticals and Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD).

#### Author contributions

S. D. P. and R. C. have equally contributed to searching literature, summarizing and critically nalysing results, and riting and finalizing the present work.

#### ORCID

Stefano Del Prato bttp://orcid.org/0000-0002-5388-0270 Robert Chilton http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0195-5063

#### REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Fact Sheet: National Estimates and General Information on Diabetes and Prediabetes in the United States, 2011. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2017. https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/data/ statistics/national-diabetes-statistics-report.pdf. Accessed July 20, 2017.
- DeFronzo RA, Tripathy D. Skeletal muscle insulin resistance is the primary defect in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2009;32(suppl 2): S157-S163.
- **3.** Stolar MW, Chilton RJ. Type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular risk, and the link to insulin resistance. *Clin Ther.* 2003;25(suppl B):B4-B31.
- Garber AJ, Abrahamson MJ, Barzilay JI, et al. Consensus Statement by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology on the comprehensive type 2 diabetes management algorithm - 2017 executive summary. *Endocr Pract.* 2017;23:207-238.
- Herrington WG, Preiss D. Tightening our understanding of intensive glycaemic control. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2017;5:405-407.

- Gaede P, Oellgaard J, Carstensen B, et al. Years of life gained by multifactorial intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and microalbuminuria: 21 years follow-up on the Steno-2 randomised trial. *Diabetologia*. 2016;59:2298-2307.
- Paul SK, Klein K, Thorsted BL, Wolden ML, Khunti K. Delay in treatment intensification increases the risks of cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2015;14:100.
- Natali A, Ferrannini E. Effects of metformin and thiazolidinediones on suppression of hepatic glucose production and stimulation of glucose uptake in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *Diabetologia*. 2006;49:434-441.
- Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. *Lancet*. 1998;352: 854-865.
- **10.** Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, Eckland DJ, et al. Secondary prevention of macrovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes in the PROactive Study (PROspective pioglitAzone clinical trial in macro-Vascular events): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2005;366: 1279-1289.
- Kernan WN, Viscoli CM, Furie KL, et al. Pioglitazone after ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack. N Engl J Med. 2016;374: 1321-1331.
- Turner RC, Cull CA, Frighi V, Holman RR. Glycemic control with diet, sulfonylurea, metformin, or insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: progressive requirement for multiple therapies (UKPDS 49). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. JAMA. 1999;281: 2005-2012.
- 13. Levy J, Atkinson AB, Bell PM, McCance DR, Hadden DR. Beta-cell deterioration determines the onset and rate of progression of secondary dietary failure in type 2 diabetes mellitus: the 10-year follow-up of the Belfast Diet Study. *Diabet Med.* 1998;15:290-296.
- Gastaldelli A, Ferrannini E, Miyazaki Y, Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA. Beta-cell dysfunction and glucose intolerance: results from the San Antonio metabolism (SAM) study. *Diabetologia*. 2004;47:31-39.
- Cerf ME. Beta cell dysfunction and insulin resistance. Front Endocrinol. 2013;4:37.
- **16.** Takeda Y, Fujita Y, Honjo J, et al. Reduction of both beta cell death and alpha cell proliferation by dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibition in a streptozotocin-induced model of diabetes in mice. *Diabetologia*. 2012;55:404-412.
- Duttaroy A, Voelker F, Merriam K, et al. The DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin increases pancreatic beta cell mass in neonatal rats. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2011;650:703-707.
- **18.** Marchetti P, Lupi R, Bugliani M, et al. A local glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) system in human pancreatic islets. *Diabetologia*. 2012;55: 3262-3272.
- **19.** Mari A, Sallas WM, He YL, et al. Vildagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitor, improves model-assessed beta-cell function in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2005;90: 4888-4894.
- 20. Diani AR, Sawada G, Wyse B, Murray FT, Khan M. Pioglitazone preserves pancreatic islet structure and insulin secretory function in three murine models of type 2 diabetes. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2004;286:E116-E122.
- **21.** Yin H, Park SY, Wang XJ, et al. Enhancing pancreatic Beta-cell regeneration in vivo with pioglitazone and alogliptin. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e65777.
- **22.** Ishida H, Takizawa M, Ozawa S, et al. Pioglitazone improves insulin secretory capacity and prevents the loss of beta-cell mass in obese diabetic db/db mice: possible protection of beta cells from oxidative stress. *Metabolism.* 2004;53:488-494.
- 23. Lupi R, Del Guerra S, Marselli L, et al. Rosiglitazone prevents the impairment of human islet function induced by fatty acids: evidence for a role of PPARgamma2 in the modulation of insulin secretion. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2004;286:E560-E567.
- 24. Kahn SE, Lachin JM, Zinman B, et al. Effects of rosiglitazone, glyburide, and metformin on beta-cell function and insulin sensitivity in ADOPT. *Diabetes*. 2011;60:1552-1560.
- Moritoh Y, Takeuchi K, Asakawa T, Kataoka O, Odaka H. The dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor alogliptin in combination with pioglitazone

improves glycemic control, lipid profiles, and increases pancreatic insulin content in ob/ob mice. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2009;602:448-454.

- **26.** Van Raalte DH, van Genugten RE, Eliasson B, et al. The effect of alogliptin and pioglitazone combination therapy on various aspects of beta-cell function in patients with recent-onset type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2014;170:565-574.
- 27. Tabak AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, Brunner EJ, Kivimaki M. Prediabetes: a high-risk state for diabetes development. *Lancet*. 2012;379: 2279-2290.
- Goldberg IJ. Diabetic dyslipidemia: causes and consequences. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2001;86:965-971.
- **29.** Ginsberg HN, Le NA, Goldberg IJ, et al. Apolipoprotein B metabolism in subjects with deficiency of apolipoproteins CIII and AI. Evidence that apolipoprotein CIII inhibits catabolism of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins by lipoprotein lipase in vivo. *J Clin Invest.* 1986;78: 1287-1295.
- 30. Pfutzner A, Schondorf T, Hanefeld M, Forst T. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein predicts cardiovascular risk in diabetic and nondiabetic patients: effects of insulin-sensitizing treatment with pioglitazone. J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2010;4:706-716.
- **31.** Gastaldelli A, Harrison S, Belfort-Aguiar R, et al. Pioglitazone in the treatment of NASH: the role of adiponectin. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2010;32:769-775.
- **32.** Portillo-Sanchez P, Cusi K. Treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clin Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2016;2:9.
- Czaja MJ. Pioglitazone: more than just an insulin sensitizer. *Hepatology*. 2009;49:1427-1430.
- 34. Laplante M, Festuccia WT, Soucy G, et al. Mechanisms of the depot specificity of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma action on adipose tissue metabolism. *Diabetes*. 2006;55:2771-2778.
- **35.** Avogaro A, Toffolo G, Miola M, et al. Intracellular lactate- and pyruvate-interconversion rates are increased in muscle tissue of non-insulin-dependent diabetic individuals. *J Clin Invest.* 1996;98: 108-115.
- 36. Balas B, Baig MR, Watson C, et al. The dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor vildagliptin suppresses endogenous glucose production and enhances islet function after single-dose administration in type 2 diabetic patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007;92:1249-1255.
- **37.** Burcelin R, Gourdy P, Dalle S. GLP-1-based strategies: a physiological analysis of differential mode of action. *Physiology*. 2014;29: 108-121.
- Kahn SE, Haffner SM, Heise MA, et al. Glycemic durability of rosiglitazone, metformin, or glyburide monotherapy. N Engl J Med. 2006; 355(23):2427-2443.
- **39.** DeFronzo RA, Eldor R, Abdul-Ghani M. Pathophysiologic approach to therapy in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(suppl 2):S127-S138.
- **40.** Hanefeld M, Pfutzner A, Forst T, Lubben G. Glycemic control and treatment failure with pioglitazone versus glibenclamide in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 42-month, open-label, observational, primary care study. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2006;22:1211-1215.
- **41.** Stringer F, DeJongh J, Enya K, Koumura E, Danhof M, Kaku K. Evaluation of the long-term durability and glycemic control of fasting plasma glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin for pioglitazone in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2015;17: 215-223.
- **42.** Seck T, Nauck M, Sheng D, et al. Safety and efficacy of treatment with sitagliptin or glipizide in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin: a 2-year study. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2010;64:562-576.
- **43.** Matthews DR, Dejager S, Ahren B, et al. Vildagliptin add-on to metformin produces similar efficacy and reduced hypoglycaemic risk compared with glimepiride, with no weight gain: results from a 2-year study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2010;12:780-789.
- 44. Gallwitz B, Rosenstock J, Rauch T, et al. 2-year efficacy and safety of linagliptin compared with glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin: a randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2012;380:475-483.
- **45.** Goke B, Gallwitz B, Eriksson JG, Hellqvist A, Gause-Nilsson I. Saxagliptin vs. glipizide as add-on therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes

mellitus inadequately controlled on metformin alone: long-term (52-week) extension of a 52-week randomised controlled trial. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2013;67:307-316.

- 46. Del Prato S, Camisasca R, Wilson C, Fleck P. Durability of the efficacy and safety of alogliptin compared with glipizide in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 2-year study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2014;16:1239-1246.
- **47.** Rosenstock J, Inzucchi SE, Seufert J, Fleck PR, Wilson CA, Mekki Q. Initial combination therapy with alogliptin and pioglitazone in drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2010;33: 2406-2408.
- **48.** Rosenstock J, Kim SW, Baron MA, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of initial combination therapy with vildagliptin and pioglitazone compared with component monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2007;9:175-185.
- 49. Bajaj M, Gilman R, Patel S, Kempthorne-Rawson J, Lewis-D'Agostino D, Woerle HJ. Linagliptin improved glycaemic control without weight gain or hypoglycaemia in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled by a combination of metformin and pioglitazone: a 24-week randomized, double-blind study. *Diabet Med.* 2014;31:1505-1514.
- **50.** Yoon KH, Steinberg H, Teng R, et al. Efficacy and safety of initial combination therapy with sitagliptin and pioglitazone in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 54-week study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2012; 14:745-752.
- 51. Pan C, Han P, Ji Q, et al. Efficacy and safety of alogliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a multicentre randomized double-blind placebo-controlled Phase 3 study in mainland China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong. J Diabetes. 2017;9:386-395.
- 52. Kaku K, Katou M, Igeta M, Ohira T, Sano H. Efficacy and safety of pioglitazone added to alogliptin in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a multicentre, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, comparative study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2015;17: 1198-1201.
- 53. Eliasson B, Moller-Goede D, Eeg-Olofsson K, et al. Lowering of postprandial lipids in individuals with type 2 diabetes treated with alogliptin and/or pioglitazone: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Diabetologia*. 2012;55:915-925.
- **54.** DeFronzo RA, Burant CF, Fleck P, Wilson C, Mekki Q, Pratley RE. Efficacy and tolerability of the DPP-4 inhibitor alogliptin combined with pioglitazone, in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012;97:1615-1622.
- **55.** Henry RR, Staels B, Fonseca VA, et al. Efficacy and safety of initial combination treatment with sitagliptin and pioglitazone--a factorial study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2014;16:223-230.
- 56. Derosa G, Cicero AF, Franzetti IG, et al. A randomized, double-blind, comparative therapy evaluating sitagliptin versus glibenclamide in type 2 diabetes patients already treated with pioglitazone and metformin: a 3-year study. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2013; 15:214-222.
- **57.** Alba M, Ahren B, Inzucchi SE, et al. Sitagliptin and pioglitazone provide complementary effects on postprandial glucose and pancreatic islet cell function. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2013;15:1101-1110.
- 58. Yki-Jarvinen H, Rosenstock J, Duran-Garcia S, et al. Effects of adding linagliptin to basal insulin regimen for inadequately controlled type 2 diabetes: a >/=52-week randomized, double-blind study. *Diabetes Care.* 2013;36:3875-3881.
- **59.** Kadowaki T, Kondo K. Efficacy and safety of teneligiptin in combination with pioglitazone in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Investig.* 2013;4:576-584.
- 60. Brownrigg JR, Hughes CO, Burleigh D, et al. Microvascular disease and risk of cardiovascular events among individuals with type 2 diabetes: a population-level cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2016;4:588-597.
- Feng J, Zhang Z, Wallace MB, et al. Discovery of alogliptin: a potent, selective, bioavailable, and efficacious inhibitor of dipeptidyl peptidase IV. J Med Chem. 2007;50:2297-2300.
- **62.** Erdmann E, Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, et al. The effect of pioglitazone on recurrent myocardial infarction in 2,445 patients with type 2 diabetes and previous myocardial infarction: results from the PROactive (PROactive 05) Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;49: 1772-1780.

# <sup>798</sup>└WILEY-

- **63.** Wilcox R, Bousser MG, Betteridge DJ, et al. Effects of pioglitazone in patients with type 2 diabetes with or without previous stroke: results from PROactive (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events 04). *Stroke*. 2007;38:865-873.
- 64. Liao HW, Saver JL, Wu YL, Chen TH, Lee M, Ovbiagele B. Pioglitazone and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with insulin resistance, pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7:e013927.
- Goltsman I, Khoury EE, Winaver J, Abassi Z. Does Thiazolidinedione therapy exacerbate fluid retention in congestive heart failure? *Pharmacol Ther*. 2016;168:75-97.
- 66. Scirica BM, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, et al. Saxagliptin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:1317-1326.
- **67.** White WB, Bakris GL, Bergenstal RM, et al. EXamination of cArdiovascular outcoMes with alogliptIN versus standard of carE in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and acute coronary syndrome (EXAMINE): a cardiovascular safety study of the dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor alogliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes with acute coronary syndrome. *Am Heart J.* 2011;162:620-626.
- Green JB, Bethel MA, Armstrong PW, et al. Effect of Sitagliptin on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2015;373: 232-242.
- **69.** Zannad F, Cannon CP, Cushman WC, et al. Heart failure and mortality outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes taking alogliptin versus placebo in EXAMINE: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind trial. *Lancet.* 2015;385:2067-2076.
- **70.** Scirica BM, Braunwald E, Raz I, et al. Heart failure, saxagliptin, and diabetes mellitus: observations from the SAVOR-TIMI 53 randomized trial. *Circulation*. 2014;130:1579-1588.
- McMurray JJV, Ponikowski P, Bolli GB, et al. Effects of Vildagliptin on ventricular function in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and heart failure: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchf.2017.08.004 [Epub ahead of print].
- **72.** Oe H, Nakamura K, Kihara H, et al. Comparison of effects of sitagliptin and voglibose on left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes: results of the 3D trial. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2015;14:83.
- 73. Hirano T, Yamashita S, Takahashi M, Hashimoto H, Mori Y, Goto M. Anagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, decreases macrophage infiltration and suppresses atherosclerosis in aortic and coronary arteries in cholesterol-fed rabbits. *Metabolism.* 2016;65: 893-903.
- **74.** Sell H, Bluher M, Kloting N, et al. Adipose dipeptidyl peptidase-4 and obesity: correlation with insulin resistance and depot-specific release from adipose tissue in vivo and in vitro. *Diabetes Care*. 2013; 36:4083-4090.
- **75.** Yang G, Li Y, Cui L, et al. Increased plasma Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 activities in patients with coronary artery disease. *PLoS One.* 2016; 11(9):e0163027.
- **76.** Lee SA, Kim YR, Yang EJ, et al. CD26/DPP4 levels in peripheral blood and T cells in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2013;98:2553-2561.
- **77.** Lamers D, Famulla S, Wronkowitz N, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 is a novel adipokine potentially linking obesity to the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes.* 2011;60:1917-1925.
- 78. Ta NN, Li Y, Schuyler CA, Lopes-Virella MF, Huang Y. DPP-4 (CD26) inhibitor alogliptin inhibits TLR4-mediated ERK activation and ERK-dependent MMP-1 expression by U937 histiocytes. *Atherosclerosis.* 2010;213:429-435.
- **79.** Mita T, Katakami N, Yoshii H, et al. Alogliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor, prevents the progression of carotid atherosclerosis in patients with type 2 diabetes: the Study of Preventive Effects of Alogliptin on Diabetic Atherosclerosis (SPEAD-A). *Diabetes Care.* 2016;39:139-148.
- **80.** Oyama J, Murohara T, Kitakaze M, et al. The effect of Sitagliptin on carotid artery atherosclerosis in type 2 diabetes: the PROLOGUE randomized controlled trial. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13:e1002051.
- Mita T, Katakami N, Shiraiwa T, et al. Sitagliptin attenuates the progression of carotid intima-media thickening in insulin-treated

patients with type 2 diabetes: the Sitagliptin preventive study of intima-media thickness evaluation (SPIKE): a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2016;39:455-464.

- 82. Ishikawa S, Shimano M, Watarai M, et al. Impact of sitagliptin on carotid intima-media thickness in patients with coronary artery disease and impaired glucose tolerance or mild diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol.* 2014;114:384-388.
- Barbieri M, Rizzo MR, Marfella R, et al. Decreased carotid atherosclerotic process by control of daily acute glucose fluctuations in diabetic patients treated by DPP-IV inhibitors. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013; 227:349-354.
- 84. Pfutzner A, Marx N, Lubben G, et al. Improvement of cardiovascular risk markers by pioglitazone is independent from glycemic control: results from the pioneer study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:1925-1931.
- **85.** Mazzone T, Meyer PM, Feinstein SB, et al. Effect of pioglitazone compared with glimepiride on carotid intima-media thickness in type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2006;296:2572-2581.
- 86. Nissen SE, Nicholls SJ, Wolski K, et al. Comparison of pioglitazone vs glimepiride on progression of coronary atherosclerosis in patients with type 2 diabetes: the PERISCOPE randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2008;299:1561-1573.
- Beshara A, Cohen E, Goldberg E, Lilos P, Garty M, Krause I. Triglyceride levels and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a longitudinal large study. J Invest Med. 2016;64:383-387.
- 88. Nicholls SJ, Tuzcu EM, Wolski K, et al. Lowering the triglyceride/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio is associated with the beneficial impact of pioglitazone on progression of coronary atherosclerosis in diabetic patients: insights from the PERI-SCOPE (Pioglitazone Effect on Regression of Intravascular Sonographic Coronary Obstruction Prospective Evaluation) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;57:153-159.
- **89.** Foroutan N, Muratov S, Levine M. Safety and efficacy of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors vs sulfonylurea in metformin-based combination therapy for type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Invest Med.* 2016;39:E48-E62.
- **90.** Monami M, Ahren B, Dicembrini I, Mannucci E. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and cardiovascular risk: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2013;15:112-120.
- **91.** Azoulay L, Filion KB, Platt RW, et al. Association between incretin-based drugs and the risk of acute pancreatitis. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176:1464-1473.
- 92. Egan AG, Blind E, Dunder K, et al. Pancreatic safety of incretin-based drugs--FDA and EMA assessment. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:794-797.
- **93.** Lewis JD, Habel LA, Quesenberry CP, et al. Pioglitazone use and risk of bladder cancer and other common cancers in persons with diabetes. JAMA. 2015;314:265-277.
- **94.** Levin D, Bell S, Sund R, et al. Pioglitazone and bladder cancer risk: a multipopulation pooled, cumulative exposure analysis. *Diabetologia*. 2015;58:493-504.
- **95.** Fadini GP, Avogaro A, Degli Esposti L, et al. Risk of hospitalization for heart failure in patients with type 2 diabetes newly treated with DPP-4 inhibitors or other oral glucose-lowering medications: a retrospective registry study on 127,555 patients from the Nationwide OsMed Health-DB Database. *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36:2454-2462.
- Clarke GD, Solis-Herrera C, Molina-Wilkins M, et al. Pioglitazone improves left ventricular diastolic function in subjects with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2017;40:1530-1536.
- McLaughlin TM, Liu T, Yee G, et al. Pioglitazone increases the proportion of small cells in human abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue. *Obesity*. 2010;18:926-931.
- 98. Rosenstock J, Brazg R, Andryuk PJ, Lu K, Stein P. Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin added to ongoing pioglitazone therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 24-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study. *Clin Ther*. 2006;28:1556-1568.
- **99.** Garber AJ, Schweizer A, Baron MA, Rochotte E, Dejager S. Vildagliptin in combination with pioglitazone improves glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes failing thiazolidinedione monotherapy: a randomized, placebo-controlled study\*. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2007;9:166-174.

- **100.** Viscoli CM, Inzucchi SE, Young LH, et al. Pioglitazone and risk for bone fracture: safety data from a randomized clinical trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2017;102:914-922.
- **101.** Eom YS, Gwon AR, Kwak KM, et al. Protective effects of Vildagliptin against pioglitazone-induced bone loss in type 2 diabetic rats. *PLoS One.* 2016;11:e0168569.
- 102. Rajagopalan S, Dutta P, Hota D, Bhansali A, Srinivasan A, Chakrabarti A. Effect of low dose pioglitazone on glycemic control and insulin resistance in Type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double blind, clinical trial. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2015;109:e32-e35.
- **103.** Bianchi C, Daniele G, Dardano A, Miccoli R, Del Prato S. Early combination therapy with oral glucose-lowering agents in type 2 diabetes. *Drugs*. 2017;77:247-264.
- **104.** Turnbull FM, Abraira C, Anderson RJ, et al. Intensive glucose control and macrovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2009; 52:2288-2298.
- 105. Young LH, Viscoli CM, Curtis JP, et al. Cardiac outcomes after ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack: effects of Pioglitazone in patients with insulin resistance without diabetes mellitus. *Circulation*. 2017;135:1882-1893.
- **106.** Kim YG, Yoon D, Park S, et al. Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 inhibitors and risk of heart failure in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a population-based cohort study. *Circ Heart Fail.* 2017;10: e003957.

- **107.** Fadini GP, Saragoni S, Russo P, et al. Intraclass differences in the risk of hospitalization for heart failure among patients with type 2 diabetes initiating a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor or a sulphonylurea: results from the OsMed Health-DB registry. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2017;19:1416-1424.
- **108.** Chan SY, Ou SM, Chen YT, Shih CJ. Effects of DPP-4 inhibitors on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and end-stage renal disease. *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;218:170-175.
- **109.** Xu S, Zhang X, Tang L, Zhang F, Tong N. Cardiovascular effects of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor in diabetic patients with and without established cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Postgrad Med.* 2017;129:205-215.
- **110.** Wilding JP, Rajeev SP, DeFronzo RA. Positioning SGLT2 inhibitors/incretin-based therapies in the treatment algorithm. *Diabetes Care.* 2016;39(suppl 2):S154-S164.

How to cite this article: Del Prato S, Chilton R. Practical strategies for improving outcomes in T2DM: The potential role of pioglitazone and DPP4 inhibitors. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2018;20:786–799. https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13169