

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Annals of Medicine and Surgery

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amsu



Short Communication

Robotic surgery in Asia



Safinaz Khan^a, A.H.M. Ataullah^b, Robert Ahmed Khan^c, Mohammed Maan Al-Salihi^d, Sabrina Rahman^e, Md Moshiur Rahman^{f,}

^a Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Sir Salimullah Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh

^b Medical Officer, Sher-E-Bangla Medical College Hospital, Barishal, Bangladesh

^c Medical Officer, Neurosurgery Department, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

^d Medical Doctor, College of Medicine, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

^e Department of Public Health, Independent University-Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh

^f Neurosurgery Department, Holy Family Red Crescent Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Robotic surgery has largely replaced traditional laparoscopic surgery. These new emerging techniques have been highly practicing in China, Korea, and Japan among other Asian countries, are being incorporated in more complex surgeries [1]. These techniques can be applied for cancer treatment as well as infectious disease also. Robots can perform surgeries from head to anywhere in the human body, but robotic surgery of the gastrointestinal tract is playing a role model for improvement in treatment and opening a new gate for research scope. Robotic surgery using da Vinci Surgical System-a robot-assisted minimal invasive surgery, which has high-resolution 3-dimensional images and seven degrees of robotic arms, approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2000 [2].

Based on a meta-analysis, during colorectal surgery, robotic-assisted colorectal surgery (RACS) showed a lowered level of estimated blood loss (EBL), early postoperative morbidity, and length of hospital stay (LHS) than laparoscopic-assisted colorectal surgery (LACS). The rate of complication and resection accuracy according to oncology were assessed, showing almost similar results. Besides treating regular cases, a rare vascular disease, Diffuse cavernous haemangioma of the rectum (DCHR) is seen to be treated successfully by robot-assisted resection [3].

Since gastrectomy is the cornerstone of gastric cancer treatment, patient compliance is a major concern. Laparoscopic distal gastrectomy (LDG) is a gold standard treatment for patients with advanced gastric cancer (stage 1-3). Even though operation time is longer in robotassisted distal gastrectomy (RADG), comparing with 3D-laparoscopic assisted 2D radical distal gastrectomy (3D-LADG), the earlier group is more convenient with patients in case of cost and hospital stay, but overall complications and efficacies are similar in both groups [4]. A new milestone for gastric carcinoma is intraoperative imaging during robotic surgery. Robotic gastrectomy has overcome some drawbacks also related to laparoscopic gastrectomy, for example; limited movements around the peripancreatic region, hand trembling. In the case of gall bladder cancer, robot extended cholecystectomy (REC) is favorable because of retrieval of some lymph nodes associated with early recovery [5]. Robotic pancreatic enucleation has been proven an efficient procedure alternative to open pancreatic enucleation used for benign or borderline pancreatic cancer. Similarly, Robotic distal pancreatectomy (RDP) is also regarded as a viable alternative to laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy (LDP) with better spleen and splenic vessel preservation (SVP) in medium tumors [6,7].

In pediatrics, da Vinci surgical system consists of Roux-en-Y limb formation, showed feasibility in the case of choledochal cyst excision and hepaticojejunostomy. Intraoperative and postoperative complications were found less in robot-assisted surgery than laparoscopic surgery [8]. Achalasia, comparatively rare in children can be cured with no post-operative complication by robot-assisted Heller's myotomy via da Vinci surgical system [9].

Robot-assisted surgical atrial fibrillation ablation study on a small number of patients showed high survival with low mortality rate, where no patients required permanent pacemaker implantation [10].

Radio-chemotherapy is the key treatment for early oropharyngeal cancer but in advanced cases, minimally invasive procedure, transoral robotic surgery (TORS) is the treatment choice, which has been proven with better oncological outcome in oropharyngeal cancers [12,13]. In HPV negative supraglottic carcinoma, TORS is recommended as this minimally invasive procedure can avoid complications resulting from radiotherapy with less morbidity and early recovery [14].

Transoral robotic thyroidectomy (TORT)-a scar-free surgery is widely popular and most cases are reported in Asia. TORT is applicable in any thyroid disorders like a benign nodule, papillary, and follicular carcinoma irrespective of size or lobectomy. This procedure reported no temporary and permanent vocal cord palsy or recurrence with no

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102890

Received 2 September 2021; Received in revised form 19 September 2021; Accepted 21 September 2021 Available online 30 September 2021

E-mail addresses: safinazkhan23@gmail.com (S. Khan), ataullah cox@yahoo.com (A.H.M. Ataullah), dr.robertkhan@gmail.com (R.A. Khan), mohammed.wwt@ gmail.com (M.M. Al-Salihi), sabrinaemz25@gmail.com (S. Rahman), dr.tutul@yahoo.com (M.M. Rahman).

^{2049-0801/© 2021} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

S. Khan et al.

mortality [15].

In most studies, the main obstacles of robotic surgery are the high expenses and time consumption. Patient benefits are also unrecognized. According to some studies, there are some limitations due to lack of precision, such as vessel ligation, inspecting body cavity, and longer learning curve [16]. Robotic surgery needs to perform cautiously - so many instruments are intercalated and operators must be skillful to provide the best outcome.

This modern approach has encountered the biggest challengeincorporating humans and instruments simultaneously. Furthermore, operating and maintenance of this high-end machinery system are one of the disputes. Cost is regarded as a hurdle but we can anticipate this is a matter of time to reduce the pricing when robotic surgery will be more popular, full-blown, and widely available.

Despite mentioned drawbacks, there are benefits also. Robotic surgery takes the upper hand to have the 3D visualization with 7° wrist-like motion, flexibility, no exhaustion, tremor refined, motion scaling, and avoidance of fulcrum effect, associated with laparoscopic surgery [17]. Robotic procedure accompanied by new methods-fluorescence in situ, virtual reality software, picture in picture technology, and EndoWrist manipulation [18].

Robotic surgery has already taken over some surgical fields and setting new goals every day. By this procedure, a surgeon can operate remotely and this process aid to reduce human workload. Scientists are working dedicatedly to improve this promising field. This emerging technology can move into a whole new era with advanced technology and further exploration in surgical sectors.

Ethical approval

It is not necessary.

Sources of funding

None.

Author contribution

All authors equally contributed to the analysis and writing of the manuscript.

Research registration Unique Identifying number (UIN)

- 1 Name of the registry: Not applicable.
- 2 Unique Identifying number or registration ID: Not applicable.
- 3 Hyperlink to your specific registration (must be publicly accessible and will be checked): Not applicable.

Guarantor

Md Moshiur Rahman, Assistant Professor, Neurosurgery Department, Holy Family Red Crescent Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Email: dr.tutul@yahoo.com.

Declaration of competing interest

None.

References

- P. Zheng, Q.Y. Feng, J.M. Xu, Zhonghua wei chang wai ke za zhi, Chin. J. Gen. Surg. 23 (4) (2020) 336–340, https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.cn.441530-20200216-00056.
- [2] Y. Waki, R. Makuuchi, M. Nagata, K. Furukawa, K. Fujiya, T. Irino, Y. Tanizawa, E. Bando, T. Kawamura, M. Terashima, Gan to kagaku ryoho, Canc. Chemother. 45 (12) (2018) 1690–1695.
- [3] X. Zhang, Z. Wei, M. Bie, X. Peng, C. Chen, Robot-assisted versus laparoscopicassisted surgery for colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis, Surg. Endosc. 30 (12) (2016) 5601–5614, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-016-4892-z.
- [4] H. Cui, G.X. Liu, H. Deng, B. Cao, W. Zhang, W.Q. Liang, T.Y. Xie, Q.P. Zhang, N. Wang, L. Chen, B. Wei, Zhonghua wei chang wai ke za zhi = Chin. J. Gastrointestinal Surg. 23 (4) (2020) 350–356, https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j. cn.441530-20200224-00085.
- [5] Y. Byun, Y.J. Choi, J.S. Kang, Y. Han, H. Kim, W. Kwon, J.Y. Jang, Early outcomes of robotic extended cholecystectomy for the treatment of gallbladder cancer, J. Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sci. 27 (6) (2020) 324–330, https://doi.org/10.1002/ jhbp.717.
- [6] R. Liu, Q. Liu, Z.M. Zhao, X.L. Tan, Y.X. Gao, G.D. Zhao, Robotic versus laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy: a propensity score-matched study, J. Surg. Oncol. 116 (4) (2017) 461–469, https://doi.org/10.1002/jso.24676.
- [7] Y. Shi, C. Peng, B. Shen, X. Deng, J. Jin, Z. Wu, Q. Zhan, H. Li, Pancreatic enucleation using the da Vinci robotic surgical system: a report of 26 cases, Int. J. Med. Robot. Comput. Assisted Surg. MRCAS 12 (4) (2016) 751–757, https://doi. org/10.1002/rcs.1719.
- [8] X. Xie, Y. Li, K. Li, Q. Wang, B. Xiang, Total robot-assisted choledochal cyst excision using da Vinci surgical system in pediatrics: report of 10 cases, J. Pediatr. Surg. 56 (3) (2021) 553–558, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2020.07.019.
- [9] T. Altokhais, H. Mandora, A. Al-Qahtani, A. Al-Bassam, Robot-assisted Heller's myotomy for achalasia in children, Comput. Assisted Surg (Abingdon, Engl) 21 (1) (2016) 127–131, https://doi.org/10.1080/24699322.2016.1217352.
- [10] M.H. Ju, J.H. Huh, C.H. Lee, H.J. Kim, H.G. Je, J.B. Kim, S.H. Jung, J.W. Lee, Robotic-assisted surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation combined with mitral valve surgery, Ann. Thorac. Surg. 107 (3) (2019) 762–768, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. athoracsur.2018.08.059.
- [11] T. Fujimura, Current status and future perspective of robot-assisted radical cystectomy for invasive bladder cancer, Int. J. Urol. : Off. J. Jpn. Urol. Assoc. 26 (11) (2019) 1033–1042, https://doi.org/10.1111/iju.14076.
- [12] S. Dabas, K. Gupta, A.K. Sharma, H. Shukla, R. Ranjan, D.K. Sharma, Oncological outcome following initiation of treatment for stage III and IV HPV negative oropharyngeal cancers with transoral robotic surgery (TORS), Eur. J. Surg. Oncol. : J. Euro. Soc. Surg. Oncol. Br. Assoc. Surg. Oncol. 45 (11) (2019) 2137–2142, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2019.06.027.
- [13] W. Golusiński, P. Pieńkowski, E. Majchrzak, Robotic surgery (da Vinci Xi system) in head and neck cancer - own experience, Otolaryngologia polska = Polish Otolaryngol. 74 (1) (2019) 1–5, https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0013.5262.
- [14] S. Dabas, K. Gupta, R. Ranjan, A.K. Sharma, H. Shukla, Oncological outcome following TORS in HPV negative supraglottic carcinoma, Indian J. Canc. 56 (1) (2019) 9–14, https://doi.org/10.4103/ijc.IJC_172_18.
- [15] H.Y. Kim, Y.J. Chai, G. Dionigi, A. Anuwong, J.D. Richmon, Transoral robotic thyroidectomy: lessons learned from an initial consecutive series of 24 patients, Surg. Endosc. 32 (2) (2018) 688–694, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-017-5724-5.
- [16] M. Zheng, J. Ma, Zhonghua wei chang wai ke za zhi = Chin. J. Gastrointestinal Surg. 20 (6) (2017) 601–605.
- [17] T. Ojima, M. Nakamura, M. Nakamori, K. Hayata, M. Katsuda, J. Kitadani, S. Maruoka, T. Shimokawa, H. Yamaue, Robotic versus laparoscopic gastrectomy with lymph node dissection for gastric cancer: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial, Trials 19 (1) (2018) 409, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-018-2810-5.
- [18] L. Becchini, M. Annecchiarico, M. Di Marino, L. Moraldi, F. Perna, A. Coratti, Gastrointestinal robotic surgery: challenges and developments, Robot Surg 2 (2015) 11–27, https://doi.org/10.2147/RSRR.S50266.