FROM PROGRAM PARTICIPANT TO AGING ADVOCATE: THEORIZING OLDER ADULTS' ROLES IN AGE-FRIENDLY COMMUNITY INITIATIVES

Emily Greenfield¹ and Laurent Reyes², 1. Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey, United States, 2. Rutgers, The State University Of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersey, United States

Major professional organizations in health and aging have identified older adults' involvement as a defining feature of aging-friendly community initiatives (AFCIs), yet there is very little research on this aspect of the initiatives. Our study utilizes five waves of data from in-depth interviews with leaders of nine AFCIs across northern New Jersey. The study was conducted as part of a multi-year, community-partnered project on the development of these philanthropically supported initiatives. Multi-phase coding yielded four types of roles for older adults: (a) program participants, (b) informants and consultants, (c) volunteers assisting with programs, events, and administrative tasks, and (d) aging-friendly champions and advocates. Across role types, AFCI leaders reflected on the challenges of engaging particular subgroups of older adults, as well as how ambivalent age identities impeded involvement. We discuss implications for advancing research and evaluation on community-level interventions that seek to simultaneously serve and empower people as they age.

PROMOTING AGING IN PLACE VIA RESEARCH, POLICY, AND PRACTICE IN AGE-FRIENDLY COMMUNITIES

Kathy Black¹, 1. University of South Florida, Sarasota -Manatee, Sarasota, Florida, United States

The majority of Americans overwhelmingly prefer to age in place and in the communities in which they reside. Agefriendly communities support aging in place by focusing attention on features both inside and outside of the home. The global age-friendly community model provides a framework that requires assessing community-based older adults' needs and preferences about, and developing subsequent action towards, features of the social, service and built environment including housing and transportation which are considered essential to aging successfully at home. This presentation discusses the intersect between research, policy and practice in an age-friendly community which utilized micro-level findings from older adults (n = 1, 172) to enact macro-level collaborations across local and statewide government and professional groups to facilitate aging in place across the domains of housing and transportation.

DOING AGING IN PLACE IN AN AGE-FRIENDLY CITY: AN APERTURE TO THE LIVED EXPERIENCES OF OLDER SAN FRANCISCANS

Jarmin C. Yeh¹, 1. University of California, San Francisco, California, United States

Aging in place finds meaning through the quotidian. The mundanity of this work is the crux of its poignancy. This phenomenological study utilizes photovoice to explore how older adults manage to age in place in an age-friendly city. By interrogating micro- and macro-level realities, this study elicits the strategies seventeen informants use, including how their multiple identities and positionalities become implicated in the process of negotiating and navigating everyday environs, their acts of resistance and resilience, their articulations of hope or pressure to manage the future, as well as the risks and opportunities they encounter and the conditions shaping them, such as urbanization, discrimination, and distribution of resources between generations and groups. To "see" how informants do the "doing" of aging in place has implications for age-friendly community initiatives. It helps to capture the sociality of aging and demonstrates the way the materiality of inequality is sown through lived experience.

SESSION 600 (SYMPOSIUM)

CAN NEIGHBORHOOD AND LOCAL ENVIRONMENTS MODIFY COGNITIVE DECLINE? FINDINGS FROM THE REGARDS STUDY

Chair: Philippa J. Clarke, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States Co-Chair: Jessica M. Finlay, Social Environment and Health, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States

Environmental factors may significantly increase the risk of, or buffer against, age-related cognitive decline, yet policies and practices to improve cognitive health outcomes to date largely overlook the role of neighborhoods and socio-physical environmental contexts. Residence in socioeconomically advantaged neighborhoods may promote cognitive function through greater density of physical and social resources (e.g., libraries, parks, coffee shops, air conditioning, community centers) that promote physical activity, facilitate mental stimulation, and encourage social engagement. This symposium will identify natural, built, and social environmental factors linked to changes in cognitive function over time (assessed by animal naming and world list learning tests) based on secondary data analyses of a national, racially diverse (42% Black), population-based sample of over 30,000 Americans aged 45+ in the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study followed annually since 2003. The first two papers investigate the roles of racial residential segregation and education on cognitive function disparities at the neighborhood and city scale. The third paper explores fast-food restaurants as socially interactive community spaces for older adults that may help buffer against cognitive decline. The fourth paper investigates effects of local air temperature on cognitive testing performance, and discusses how regional differences and seasonality may buffer or exacerbate temperature-cognition associations. Altogether, the symposium elucidates how cognitive health is impacted by a complex interplay of individual and geographic factors. The papers inform policy-making efforts to improve physical neighborhood environments and social community contexts, which are critical to the well-being of older adults aging in place.

RACIAL RESIDENTIAL SEGREGATION AND COGNITIVE DECLINE AMONG OLDER ADULTS

Joy Bohyun Jang,¹ Margaret T. Hicken,¹ Philippa J. Clarke,¹ Ketlyne Sol,¹ Robert Melendez,¹ Min Hee Kim,¹ Megan Mullins¹ and Suzanne Judd², 1. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States, 2. University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, United States