

Article

Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938 and Agave Inulin in Children with Cerebral Palsy and Chronic Constipation: A Double-Blind Randomized Placebo Controlled Clinical Trial

Andrea A. García Contreras ¹, Edgar M. Vásquez Garibay ²,*, Carmen A. Sánchez Ramírez ³, Mary Fafutis Morris ² and Vidal Delgado Rizo ²

- ¹ Departamento de Nutrición y Bienestar Integral, Escuela de Medicina, Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores Monterrey Campus Guadalajara, C.P. 4520 Zapopan, Jalisco, Mexico; andrea.garcia@tec.mx
- ² Departamento de Fisiología, Centro Universitario de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad de Guadalajara, C.P. 44340 Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico; mfafutis@gmail.com (M.F.M.); vidalrizo@gmail.com (V.D.R.)
- ³ Departamento de la Facultad de Medicina, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Colima, C.P. 28040 Colima, Colima, Mexico; carmen_sanchez@ucol.mx
- * Correspondence: vasquez.garibay@gmail.com; Tel.: +52-33-36189667

Received: 20 August 2020; Accepted: 25 September 2020; Published: 28 September 2020



Abstract: The main objective was to assess the efficacy of a probiotic (*Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938), a prebiotic (agave inulin), and a synbiotic on the stool characteristics in children with cerebral palsy and chronic constipation. Thirty-seven children with cerebral palsy and chronic constipation were included. The probiotic group received 1×10^8 colony forming unit (cfu) of *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 plus placebo, the prebiotic group received 4 g of agave inulin plus placebo, the synbiotic group received 4 g of agave inulin plus placebo, the synbiotic group received L. *reuteri* DSM 17938 plus agave inulin, and the placebo group received two placebos for 28 days. The probiotic group showed a significant decrease in stool pH (p = 0.014). Stool consistency improved in the prebiotic group (p = 0.008). The probiotic, prebiotic, and synbiotic groups showed a significant improvement in the history of excessive stool retention, the presence of fecal mass in the rectum, and the history of painful defecation. *L. reuteri* concentration in feces was higher in the probiotic group than in the placebo group (p = 0.001) and showed an inverse correlation with stool pH in the probiotic group (r = -0.762, p = 0.028). This study showed that the use of *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 and/or agave inulin improved the stool characteristics such as the history of painful defecation and the presence of fecal mass in the rectum against placebo in children with cerebral palsy and chronic constipation.

Keywords: cerebral palsy; Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938; agave inulin; chronic constipation; children

1. Introduction

Cerebral palsy (CP) is defined as a group of permanent disorders affecting the development of movement and posture, causing activity limitation, which are attributed to non-progressive disturbances that occurred in the developing fetal or infant brain [1]. The most common gastrointestinal alteration in children with cerebral palsy is constipation [2] at a prevalence of 74% [3]. The normal frequency of defecation in healthy children is from three times a day to once every two days [4], whereas in children with CP, this frequency is reduced from once a week to once every 10 days [5–7]. Evidence indicates that dysbiosis of the gut microbiota may also contribute to functional constipation [8]. At present, treatment options for constipation are mainly traditional methods such as purgative therapy and surgery [9]; however, there has been a growing interest in the use of synbiotics, prebiotics, and probiotics. Probiotics are live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit to



the host [10]. Prebiotics are non-digestible food ingredients that selectively stimulate the growth and/or activity of one or a limited number of bacteria in the colon, thereby benefiting the well-being and health of the host [11]. A synbiotic is a mixture of probiotics and prebiotics that beneficially affects the host [12]. Targeting treatments for dysbiosis with probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics improve clinical symptoms, promote the recovery of intestinal flora, and may be a new option that is significantly useful for the treatment of chronic constipation [13,14]. It has been reported in children and adults with functional constipation, treatment with *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 improves defecating frequency [8,15,16]. Treatment with inulin as a prebiotic has shown improved stool consistency [17,18]. Many researchers have suggested the beneficial effect of probiotics in the management of constipation, especially *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* [19–21]. Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess the effect of a probiotic, a prebiotic, and a synbiotic on the characteristics of stool in children with CP and chronic constipation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subjects and Study Design

In a double-blind, randomized controlled clinical trial, children aged 14 to 60 months with CP at levels IV and V of gross motor function as evaluated and classified by a pediatric neurologist were included. All participants presented with chronic constipation according to the Rome IV criteria and were recruited either in the pediatric nutrition and/or neurology outpatient clinic of the Nuevo Hospital Civil de Guadalajara or in the Centro de Rehabilitación Infantil Teletón de Occidente (CRIT) during the period from March 2017 to May 2018. Children were not included when (I) they presented CP of postnatal origin or comorbidities not associated with CP, (II) they were receiving antibiotics, prebiotics, and/or probiotics during the month before the study, and (III) the parents or legal guardians did not agree to participate in the study. Participants were further eliminated when (IV) the child's parent or legal guardians refused to continue in the study, (V) antibiotics, probiotics, and/or prebiotics (not indicated) were used during the study, (VI) treatment administration had less than 95% compliance, and (VII) hospitalization was required due to external reasons for treatment. The sample size was calculated based on the frequency of defecations using the data published by Indrio et al. [16].

2.2. Intervention and Monitoring

The primary endpoints of this trial were the pH, consistency and frequency of the stools. In the study, participants were enrolled by the principal researcher and randomly assigned to a group of intervention by an external person through sealed and opaque envelopes containing a figure representing the group to which the subject was assigned to. During the study period, parents of the children, the researcher who evaluated the follow-up, and the researchers who performed the analysis of the data were blinded (did not know treatment information). The intervention period lasted 28 days. Each participant received the treatment dose in the same form (drops and powder). The probiotic group received 1×10^8 cfu of *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 (Biogaia AB, Stockholm, Sweden) and 4 g of maltodextrin (Ingredion, Guadalajara, Mexico). The prebiotic group received 4 g of agave inulin (Ingredion, Guadalajara, México) and 5 drops of an oil mixture containing both medium chain triglycerides (Numalip, Ciudad de México, México), and sunflower oil (the same mixture used for L. reuteri DSM 17938). The synbiotic group received the same dose of L. reuteri DSM 17938 and 4 g of agave inulin, and the placebo group received 4 g of maltodextrin and 5 drops of the oil mixture. All products were furnished by the main researcher. Maltodextrin has a similar appearance and taste as inulin. Parents were advised to administer the assigned treatment doses along with food and to keep the drops refrigerated. Changes in a child's diet such as consumption of food containing probiotics and/or prebiotics, and use of laxatives or dietary fibers were not allowed throughout the study. Parents received two logbooks to record the daily administration of the treatment doses and the stool characteristics (frequency and consistency according to the Bristol Stool Scale). Adverse effects,

such as flatulence and abdominal distension, were also recorded. Monitoring was performed through three to four phone calls per week.

2.3. Dietary Surveys

Two 24-h recall questionnaires (at basal week and at the end of the study) were performed. Energy, macronutrient, fiber, and fluid intake were analyzed using the Nutrimind software (Vitamex de Occidente S.A de C.V, Guadalajara, Mexico).

2.4. Anthropometry

Two observers were standardized to obtain the weight and length. Weight was obtained with the child using a clean diaper on a Health o meter scale HO1524KL (USA) with a precision of 20 g. Height (H) was obtained by measuring knee height (KH) using a segmometer (Rosscraft, Surrey, BC, Canada). This was performed with the knee flexed at a 90° angle, forming a straight line to the heel. Measurements were taken from the heel to the anterior surface of the thigh on the femoral condyles on the least affected side and was obtained using the formula $H = (2.69 \times KH) + 24.2$ [22]. Weight for age and body mass indexes were calculated using the Brooks reference [23].

2.5. Stool pH

Stool samples were obtained directly from the participants and placed in sterile jars (Deltalab S.L., Barcelona, Spain) which were kept in a cooler containing ice blocks. Samples were immediately transported to the laboratory and frozen at -80 °C. An aliquot of 1 g of stool was taken and diluted 10 times in Milli-Q water (Agualab Científica, Ciudad de México, México) [24]. After dilution, the stool pH was determined using a Milwaukee MI 150 potentiometer (Milwaukee, Szeged, Hungary), which was calibrated using buffer solutions at pH 7 and 4. The electrode was washed between each sample with cleaning drops (cleaning solution M 10016, Milwaukee, WI, USA) and recalibrated every 20 measurements.

2.6. Stool Consistency

Stool consistency was measured using the Bristol Stool Scale [25].

2.7. DNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

An aliquot of 25 ± 3 mg of stool sample was dissolved with 300 µL of Milli-Q water, and the sample was homogenized using a vortex. A volume of 50 µL of lysozime (10 mg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added and the sample was left to stand for one h in a water bath at 37 °C. The DNA extraction protocol was performed according to the Zymo Research (Irvine, CA, USA) extraction kit protocol. Real-time quantitative PCR analysis was performed to determine *L. reuteri* in stool. The SYBR Green enzyme and primers for *L. reuteri* 5'-CAGACAATCTTTGATTGTTTAG-3' and 5'-GCTTGTTGGGTTTGGGCTCTTC-3' [26] were used with the following conditions: pre-amplification 95 °C for 7 min, 45 cycles of amplification comprising of 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 40 s. Melting: 95 °C 0:00; 65 °C 1 min; 95 °C 00:/continuous. Cooling: 50 °C for 30 s. An aliquot of *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 was grown in MRS broth overnight at 37 °C, a sample was counted in a Petroff-Hausser Counting Chamber, Hausser Scientific VWR (Horsham, PA, USA) to obtain 1 × 10⁸ bacteria/mL and to do DNA extraction of this sample. DNA Qubit[®] (London, UK) fluorometric quantitation was done to verify the amount of bacteria through *L. reuteri* genome molecular weight to do serial dilutions. The curve had seven points corresponding to 1×10^8 , 1×10^7 , 1×10^6 , 1×10^4 , 1×10^3 , and 1×10^2 of *L. reuteri*. The calibration curve was plotted with the CT values versus log of the bacteria concentration.

The Shapiro–Wilk test was performed to determine the distribution of data. Descriptive data were reported in frequencies and percentages, medians, and interquartile ranges (25th and 75th percentiles). For intragroup analysis, Wilcoxon, Cochran's Q test, and McNemar tests were used. For intergroup analysis, Mann–Whitney U test and Friedman tests were used. The Kruskal–Wallis test was used for intergroup comparisons. Spearman's correlation coefficients were determined. Intention to treat and relative risk were calculated. The data were analyzed using SPSS version 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and statistical significance was considered with a value of $p \le 0.05$.

2.9. Ethics and Trial Registration

The study protocol did not put any participant at risk by strictly adhering to the Declaration of Helsinki according to its last correction made during the 64th Annual Assembly organized by the World Medical Association (2013). Informed consent was obtained from each child's parents or guardian prior to the enrollment. The Bioethics Committee of the Nuevo Hospital Civil de Guadalajara (number 0167/17) also approved the protocol. International registration was obtained at Clinicaltrials.gov with the identifier NCT03117322.

3. Results

3.1. Subject Characteristics

Forty-nine patients were included in the study, of which 37 completed the treatment (Figure 1). Average age was 37 ± 13 months, and 62% male and 38% female. According to gross motor function, 73% belonged to level V and 27% level IV. Spastic-type CP was the most common type (49%). There were no significant differences in age, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), and weight/age index at the beginning of the study among the four groups (Table 1).

3.2. Rome IV Criteria

According to Rome IV criteria parameters, the probiotic, synbiotic, and prebiotic groups presented significant differences in the history of excessive stool retention, the presence of fecal mass in the rectum and the history of painful defecation at the basal and final weeks (p < 0.05). According to the percentage of improvement between the basal vs. the final week of the treatment, the group with the most significant change was the prebiotic with 100% of improvement in history of excessive stool retention, history of painful defecation and 70% in large stool diameter and presence of a large fecal mass in the rectum (Table 2). Relative risk was calculated for each parameter, all of them were significantly lower compared to the placebo group and these results are presented in a supplemental table. The intention-to-treat ratio was 3.

		Gro	oups			
Variable	Probiotic (<i>n</i> = 10)	Synbiotic (<i>n</i> = 10)	Prebiotic (<i>n</i> = 10)	Placebo (<i>n</i> = 7)	p *	
Age (months)	39 (28–51)	42 (29–49)	33 (22–53)	37 (22–45)	0.842	
Weight (kg)	10.9 (10.0-13.4)	9.2 (7.9–12.7)	11.0 (8.9-14.2)	9.9 (8.2–11.4)	0.257	
Height (cm)	88.1 (84.7-95.8)	89.2 (81.8-93.4)	89.6 (79.8-93.4)	85.5 (80.7-92.5)	0.844	
$BMI (kg/m^2)$	13.6 (12.6-14.4)	12.7 (11.7-14.5)	13.9 (12.4–15.6)	13.2 (11.4–13.7)	0.309	
BMI (Z)	-1.0(-1.4-(-0.9))	-1.4 (-1.9-(-0.6))	-0.9(-1.5-(-0.3))	-1.2 (-1.9-(-0.9))	0.337	
Weight/age (Z)	-0.2 (-0.9-(-0.0))	-1.2 (-1.7-(-0.0))	-0.3 (-1.0-(-0.9))	-0.9 (-1.5-(-0.2))	0.411	

* Kruskal–Wallis test.



Figure 1. CONSORT flowchart of participant recruitment and study flow.

Parameter		Groups														
		Probiotic $(n = 10)$			Synbiotic ($n = 10$)			Prebiotic ($n = 10$)			Placebo ($n = 7$)					
	Basal	Final	<i>p</i> *	% **	Basal	Final	<i>p</i> *	% **	Basal	Final	<i>p</i> *	% **	Basal	Final	<i>p</i> *	% **
Bowel movements per week																
≤2 times	1	1	1 000	0	5 5	-	0.0(2	100	3	-	0.250	100	1	-	1.000	100
>2 times	9	9	1.000	0	5	10	0.063	100	7	10	0.250	100	6	- 7	1.000	100
History of excessive stool retention Yes No	7 3	1 9	0.031	85	8 2	1 9	0.016	88	7 3	_ 10	0.016	100	4 3	2 5	0.500	50
History of painful defecation Yes No	8 2	- 10	0.008	100	9 1	1 9	0.008	89	7	- 10	0.002	100	7	3 4	0.125	57
Large stool diameter Yes No	10	5 5	0.063	50	10	4 6	0.031	60	10	3 7	0.016	70	7	5 2	0.500	29
Presence of a large fecal mass in the rectum Yes No	10	2 8	0.008	80	10	1 9	0.004	90	10	3 7	0.016	70	7	43	0.250	43

 Table 2. Roma IV criteria for constipation (basal vs. final weeks by group).

* McNemar test; ** Percentage of improvement (basal vs. final weeks).

3.3. Stool Characteristics

When comparing the stool pH at basal vs. final weeks by group, a significant decrease was observed in the probiotic group (p = 0.014) (Figure 2a). Stool frequency per week was analyzed in each group; only the probiotic group showed a significant difference with increased defecation from 6 to 7.5 (p = 0.034) (Figure 2b).



Figure 2. Stool characteristics (median and interquartile ranges). (a) Stool pH by group (basal vs final weeks), * p = 0.014; (b) Stool frequency per week by group, ** p = 0.034.

Stool consistency was recorded as hard and normal stool. There was a tendency of decreased frequency of hard stool in all groups during the four weeks, except in the placebo group (p > 0.05); however, only the prebiotic group showed significant improvement (p = 0.008). The frequency of normal stool tended to increase except in the placebo group; only the prebiotic group showed significant improvement (p = 0.003). The frequency of hard stool at the basal vs. final weeks of each group was compared to the placebo group. This difference was only significant when comparing the prebiotic to the placebo group (p = 0.031) (Table 3).

(a) Frequency of hard stool.								
Group	Basal Week n (%)	Week 2 n (%)	Week 3 n (%)	Week 4 n (%)	<i>p</i> *			
Probiotic $(n = 10)$	9 (90)	7 (70)	5 (50)	6 (60)	0.097			
Synbiotic $(n = 10)$	7 (70)	6 (60)	6 (60)	4 (40)	0.453			
Prebiotic $(n = 10)$	8 (80)	5 (50)	3 (30)	3 (30)	0.008			
Placebo $(n = 7)$	3 (43)	5 (71)	3 (43)	3 (42)	0.646			
		(b) Frequency of	of normal stool.					
Group	Basal Week n (%)	Week 2 n (%)	Week 3 n (%)	Week 4 n (%)	p *			
Probiotic $(n = 10)$	1 (10)	3 (30)	4 (40)	4 (40)	0.292			
Synbiotic $(n = 10)$	1 (10)	1 (10)	3 (30)	4 (40)	0.266			
Prebiotic $(n = 10)$	1 (10)	3 (30)	5 (50)	7 (70)	0.003			
Placebo $(n = 7)$	3 (43)	1 (14)	2 (29)	3 (43)	0.564			
	(c) Free	quency of hard sto	ool compared to p	lacebo.				
Group	Basal Week	Final Week	Basal-Final Difference	p ** (Basal-Final vs. Placebo)				
Probiotic	9 (90)	6 (60)	3	0.121				
Synbiotic	7 (70)	4 (40)	3	0.063				
Prebiotic	8 (80)	3(30)	5	0.0	31			
Placebo	3 (43)	3 (43)	0	-				

Table 3. Frequency of hard and normal stool by group.

* Cochran's Q test; ** Mann–Whitney U test.

3.4. Dietary Aspects

The average energy intake was 1010 kcal/day, carbohydrates at 136 g/d, fat at 34.5 g/d, protein at 39 g/d, fiber at 8.5 g/d, and fluids at 705 mL/d. There were no significant differences in the energy, macronutrients, fiber, and fluid intake between the basal and final weeks in each group or intergroup (p > 0.05).

3.5. Gut Microbiota

The presence of *L. reuteri* in stool samples was determined in the probiotic and placebo groups at basal and final weeks. At the end of the study, the concentration of *L. reuteri* was 60 times higher in the probiotic group $(5.97 \pm 1.7 \log_{10} \text{ cells/g})$ than in the placebo group $(4.2 \pm 1.9 \log_{10} \text{ cells/g})$ (p = 0.001). Stool pH and concentration of *L. reuteri* in the final week of the probiotic group were significantly inversely correlated (r = -0.762, $r^2 = 0.580$, p = 0.028).

3.6. Adverse Effects

Flatulence was found in 20% of the synbiotic, 40% in the prebiotic, 20% in the probiotic, and 14% in the placebo groups. Abdominal distension was found in 30% of the probiotic and 14% in the placebo groups. There were no significant differences between each group vs. placebo group for each condition.

4. Discussion

This is the first double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial to analyze the efficacy of a probiotic and/or a prebiotic in the treatment of constipation in children with CP. In this study, we have shown that intervention with *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 and/or agave inulin significantly improved stool characteristics in children with CP and chronic constipation. Although some drugs have been proposed for the treatment of constipation in children with CP, such as the study by Imanieh et al. [27] using polyethylene glycol (PEG) and/or motilium, there have been no studies examining the effect of probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics in this population.

According to the Rome IV criteria, there was no difference in the bowel movements per week in the study population, this may be because most of the participants (73%) had more than two bowel movements per week at basal week. An interesting finding was that in certain parameters, the synbiotics had less effect than the probiotics and the prebiotics. This may be due to the combination of probiotic and prebiotic was not adequate to show any marked effects and inulin may not be the best substrate for *L. reuteri* DSM 17938. It was reported that the effect of the treatment can vary according to the combination of the synbiotics used [28]. The combination of *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 plus prebiotics in the treatment of constipation has not been investigated; only the combination of probiotics with drugs such as polyethylene glycol and lactulose has been studied without evidence of favorable effects [29,30].

When comparing the intragroup stool frequency among the four weeks, the probiotic group showed a significant difference with an increase of 1.5 bowel movements; however, a difference of two defecations was observed in the prebiotic group, although there was no significant difference due to the lower interquartile ranges in the final week. Some studies on the use of L. reuteri DSM 17938 reported significant differences in the stool frequency [8,16]. Ojetti et al. [31] demonstrated that in patients with constipation, the production of methane gas (CH₄) by the intestinal microbiota, mainly Methanobrevibacter smithii, leads to slow intestinal transit and inhibits intestinal motility. In this study, the administration of L. reuteri DSM 17938 over a period of four weeks significantly decreased the production of methane gas and improved intestinal motility. Some studies using inulin have reported differences in stool frequency [32,33]. The study by Yu et al. [28] reported significant differences with the use of fructooligosaccharide (FOS) and a mixture of probiotics. Probably, the combination used in our study was not adequate to show significant changes in stool frequency. However, there was a significant difference in stool consistency in the prebiotic group. The effect of inulin on stool consistency could be due to its mechanism of action by stimulating the production of short chain fatty acids, and some metabolites such as lactate, making stool softer and easier to be expelled [32]. Several studies involving inulin have shown improvement in stool consistency [17,18,32,34]. The study carried out by Closa-Monasterolo et al. [17] with a dosage of 4 g inulin per day for six weeks in children with constipation aged two to five years old, demonstrated a soft stool consistency. A double-blind randomized clinical trial demonstrated that prebiotics could soften stool and increase stool frequency [35]. Studies conducted with L. reuteri DSM 17938 did not show changes in stool consistency [8,15].

There was a tendency to acidify the stool pH in the probiotic group; this could be attributed to the reuterin produced by *L. reuteri*, an antimicrobial substance that inhibits the adhesion of pathogens to the intestinal epithelium [36]. In the prebiotic group, the stool pH tended to decrease although it was not significant. One of the inulin's mechanisms of action is the production of short chain fatty acids (butyric, propionic, and acetic acids) from which 80 to 90% are absorbed in the intestine and the rest are excreted through the stool. These short chain fatty acids act to acidify the luminal pH, decrease the growth of pathogenic bacteria, and favor the growth of beneficial bacteria, producing an improvement in intestinal motility [37].

In a previous study involving children with CP and chronic constipation [38], researchers found a low dietary intake for fiber (10 g) and fluid (945 mL), which were similar to the findings in this study, and both were below the optimal dietary requirements. Furthermore, our results are similar to the

findings of Caramico-Favero et al. [39] in children with CP and constipation with a dietary fiber intake of 9.2 \pm 4.3 g; however, the fluid intake of 457 \pm 283 mL is lower compared to our finding.

After the administration of *L. reuteri* DSM 17938, we observed a 60-fold increase of the probiotic in the stool of the probiotic group compared to the placebo group, indicating that *Lactobacillus* has the ability to remain alive in the gastrointestinal tract and not be digested by enzymes. The inverse correlation between stool pH and concentration of *L. reuteri* indicates that the higher the concentration of *Lactobacillus*, the lower the pH. With this finding, it can be inferred that *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 has the ability to produce organic acids to decrease the luminal pH, which is a mechanism of action against pathogenic bacteria by producing unfavorable conditions in the microenvironment, preventing the adhesion of pathogens to the intestinal epithelium. Consequently, an improvement in intestinal motility occurs and intestinal transit is accelerated through the decrease in CH_4 [31]. Since flatulence was observed in all the four groups, we cannot confirm if it was due to only one agent or there are other factors involved. Gastrointestinal symptoms such as excess gas and bloating are physiological and related to the intake of dietary fibers and intestinal fermentation [32].

One of the strengths of our study is that the participants were well monitored throughout the study by means of telephone calls; in addition, all the participants submitted their logbooks. One of the limitations of our study is the duration of intervention, which may not be adequate to show significant differences in some parameters. A study involving children with CP requires delicate handling because they tend to have recurrent health complications such as pneumonia and bacterial infections, and it is unethical not to treat them with antibiotics. Another limitation is the small sample size. Our results can only be generalized to children with CP with chronic constipation.

5. Conclusions

The use of *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 and agave inulin is an effective alternative to improve stool characteristics and constipation in children with CP. *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 improved intestinal motility and acidified stool pH, while agave inulin improved stool consistency, but when analyzed together, they did not show the same effect. Longitudinal studies are crucial to evaluate the effect of probiotic and prebiotic treatments with a longer intervention as well as using different combinations of prebiotics with *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 to determine the best substrate that favors the growth of lactic acid bacteria.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.A.G.C., E.M.V.G., C.A.S.R., M.F.M., and V.D.R.; methodology, A.A.G.C., E.M.V.G., C.A.S.R., M.F.M., and V.D.R.; formal analysis, A.A.G.C.; writing—original draft preparation, A.A.G.C., E.M.V.G., and C.A.S.R.; writing—review and editing, A.A.G.C., E.M.V.G., and C.A.S.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Alejandro Rea, Luis Garza, and the Centro de Rehabilitación Infantil de Occidente for giving us access to work with their patients. We would also like to thank Citlalli Álvarez Zaragoza and Karina Elizbeth Ávila Arrezola for their support with the patients and laboratory work, respectively.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Rosenbaum, P.; Paneth, N.; Leviton, A.; Goldstein, M.; Bax, M.; Damiano, D.; Dan, B.; Jacobsson, B. A Report: The Definition and Classification of Cerebral Palsy April 2006. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol. Suppl.* 2007, 109, 8–14. [PubMed]
- Sullivan, P.B.; Lambert, B.; Rose, M.; Ford-Adams, M.; Johnson, A.; Griffiths, P. Prevalence and Severity of Feeding and Nutritional Problems in Children with Neurological Impairment: Oxford Feeding Study. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* 2000, 42, 674–680. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Giudice, E.D.; Staiano, A.; Capano, G.; Romano, A.; Florimonte, L.; Miele, E.; Ciarla, C.; Campanozzi, A.; Crisanti, A.F. Gastrointestinal Manifestations in Children with Cerebral Palsy. *Brain Dev.* 1999, 21, 307–311. [CrossRef]

- 4. Yong, D.; Beattie, R.M. Normal bowel habit and prevalence of constipation in primary-school children. *Ambul. Child Health* **1998**, *4*, 277–282.
- Veugelers, R.; Benninga, M.A.; Calis, E.A.C.; Willemsen, S.P.; Evenhuis, H.; Tibboel, D.; Penning, C. Prevalence and Clinical Presentation of Constipation in Children with Severe Generalized Cerebral Palsy. *Dev. Med. Child. Neurol.* 2010, 52, e216–e221. [CrossRef]
- Sullivan, P.B. Gastrointestinal Disorders in Children with Neurodevelopmental Disabilities. *Dev. Disabil. Res. Rev.* 2008, 14, 128–136. [CrossRef]
- 7. Park, E.S.; Park, C.I.; Cho, S.-R.; Na, S.-I.; Cho, Y.S. Colonic Transit Time and Constipation in Children with Spastic Cerebral Palsy. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **2004**, *85*, 453–456. [CrossRef]
- Coccorullo, P.; Strisciuglio, C.; Martinelli, M.; Miele, E.; Greco, L.; Staiano, A. Lactobacillus Reuteri (DSM 17938) in Infants with Functional Chronic Constipation: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study. J. Pediatr. 2010, 157, 598–602. [CrossRef]
- Choi, C.H.; Chang, S.K. Alteration of Gut Microbiota and Efficacy of Probiotics in Functional Constipation. J. Neurogastroenterol. Motil. 2015, 21, 4–7. [CrossRef]
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Health and Nutritional Properties of Probiotics in Food Including Powder Milk with Live Lactic Acid Bacteria. 2001. Available online: http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/fs_management/en/probiotics.pdf (accessed on 25 February 2014).
- 11. Gibson, G.R.; Roberfroid, M.B. Dietary Modulation of the Human Colonic Microbiota: Introducing the Concept of Prebiotics. *J. Nutr.* **1995**, *125*, 1401–1412. [CrossRef]
- Roberfroid, M.; Gibson, G.R.; Hoyles, L.; McCartney, A.L.; Rastall, R.; Rowland, I.; Wolvers, D.; Watzl, B.; Szajewska, H.; Stahl, B.; et al. Prebiotic Effects: Metabolic and Health Benefits. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2010, *104* (Suppl. 2), S1–S63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, L.; Zhu, Q.; Qu, X.; Qin, H. Microbial Treatment in Chronic Constipation. *Sci. China Life Sci.* 2018, 61, 744–752. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Ohkusa, T.; Koido, S.; Nishikawa, Y.; Sato, N. Gut Microbiota and Chronic Constipation: A Review and Update. *Front. Med.* **2019**, *6*, 19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ojetti, V.; Ianiro, G.; Tortora, A.; D'Angelo, G.; Di Rienzo, T.A.; Bibbò, S.; Migneco, A.; Gasbarrini, A. The Effect of Lactobacillus Reuteri Supplementation in Adults with Chronic Functional Constipation: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *J. Gastrointest. Liver Dis. JGLD* 2014, 23, 387–391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Indrio, F.; Di Mauro, A.; Riezzo, G.; Civardi, E.; Intini, C.; Corvaglia, L.; Ballardini, E.; Bisceglia, M.; Cinquetti, M.; Brazzoduro, E.; et al. Prophylactic Use of a Probiotic in the Prevention of Colic, Regurgitation, and Functional Constipation: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Pediatr.* **2014**, *168*, 228–233. [CrossRef]
- Closa-Monasterolo, R.; Ferré, N.; Castillejo-DeVillasante, G.; Luque, V.; Gispert-Llaurado, M.; Zaragoza-Jordana, M.; Theis, S.; Escribano, J. The Use of Inulin-Type Fructans Improves Stool Consistency in Constipated Children. A Randomised Clinical Trial: Pilot Study. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2017, 68, 587–594. [CrossRef]
- Collado Yurrita, L.; San Mauro Martín, I.; Ciudad-Cabañas, M.J.; Calle-Purón, M.E.; Hernández Cabria, M. Effectiveness of Inulin Intake on Indicators of Chronic Constipation; a Meta-Analysis of Controlled Randomized Clinical Trials. *Nutr. Hosp.* 2014, *30*, 244–252. [CrossRef]
- Hod, K.; Ringel, Y. Probiotics in Functional Bowel Disorders. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol.* 2016, 30, 89–97. [CrossRef]
- 20. Vandenplas, Y.; Huys, G.; Daube, G. Probiotics: An Update. J. Pediatr. 2015, 91, 6–21. [CrossRef]
- Korterink, J.J.; Ockeloen, L.; Benninga, M.A.; Tabbers, M.M.; Hilbink, M.; Deckers-Kocken, J.M. Probiotics for Childhood Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Acta Paediatr.* 2014, 103, 365–372. [CrossRef]
- 22. Stevenson, R.D. Use of Segmental Measures to Estimate Stature in Children with Cerebral Palsy. *Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med.* **1995**, *149*, 658–662. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Brooks, J.; Day, S.; Shavelle, R.; Strauss, D. Low Weight, Morbidity, and Mortality in Children with Cerebral Palsy: New Clinical Growth Charts. *Pediatrics* **2011**, *128*, e299–e307. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Sierra, C.; Bernal, M.-J.; Blasco, J.; Martínez, R.; Dalmau, J.; Ortuño, I.; Espín, B.; Vasallo, M.-I.; Gil, D.; Vidal, M.-L.; et al. Prebiotic Effect during the First Year of Life in Healthy Infants Fed Formula Containing GOS as the Only Prebiotic: A Multicentre, Randomised, Double-Blind and Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2015, 54, 89–99. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Benninga, M.; Candy, D.C.; Catto-Smith, A.G.; Clayden, G.; Loening-Baucke, V.; Di Lorenzo, C.; Nurko, S.; Staiano, A. The Paris Consensus on Childhood Constipation Terminology (PACCT) Group. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **2005**, *40*, 273–275. [CrossRef]
- Song, Y.-L.; Kato, N.; Liu, C.-X.; Matsumiya, Y.; Kato, H.; Watanabe, K. Rapid identification of 11 human intestinal Lactobacillus species by multiplex PCR assays using group-and species-specific primers derived from the 16S–23S rRNA intergenic spacer region and its flanking 23S rRNA. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2000, 187, 167–173. [CrossRef]
- 27. Imanieh, M.H.; Golpayegan, M.R.; Sedighi, M.; Ahmadi, K.; Aghaie, A.; Dehghani, S.M.; Yousefi, G. Comparison of Three Therapeutic Interventions for Chronic Constipation in Paediatric Patients with Cerebral Palsy: A Randomised Clinical Trial. *Prz. Gastroenterol.* **2019**, *14*, 292–297. [CrossRef]
- 28. Yu, T.; Zheng, Y.-P.; Tan, J.-C.; Xiong, W.-J.; Wang, Y.; Lin, L. Effects of Prebiotics and Synbiotics on Functional Constipation. *Am. J. Med. Sci.* 2017, 353, 282–292. [CrossRef]
- Wegner, A.; Banaszkiewicz, A.; Kierkus, J.; Landowski, P.; Korlatowicz-Bilar, A.; Wiecek, S.; Kwiecien, J.; Gawronska, A.; Dembinski, L.; Czaja-Bulsa, G.; et al. The Effectiveness of Lactobacillus Reuteri DSM 17938 as an Adjunct to Macrogol in the Treatment of Functional Constipation in Children. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Multicentre Trial. *Clin. Res. Hepatol. Gastroenterol.* 2018, 42, 494–500. [CrossRef]
- Jadrešin, O.; Sila, S.; Trivić, I.; Mišak, Z.; Hojsak, I.; Kolaček, S. Lack of Benefit of Lactobacillus Reuteri DSM 17938 as an Addition to the Treatment of Functional Constipation. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* 2018, 67, 763–766. [CrossRef]
- Ojetti, V.; Petruzziello, C.; Migneco, A.; Gnarra, M.; Gasbarrini, A.; Franceschi, F. Effect of Lactobacillus Reuteri (DSM 17938) on Methane Production in Patients Affected by Functional Constipation: A Retrospective Study. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2017, 21, 1702–1708.
- Micka, A.; Siepelmeyer, A.; Holz, A.; Theis, S.; Schön, C. Effect of Consumption of Chicory Inulin on Bowel Function in Healthy Subjects with Constipation: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2017, *68*, 82–89. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Weber, T.K.; Toporovski, M.S.; Tahan, S.; Neufeld, C.B.; de Morais, M.B. Dietary Fiber Mixture in Pediatric Patients with Controlled Chronic Constipation. J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 2014, 58, 297–302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moore, N.; Chao, C.; Yang, L.-P.; Storm, H.; Oliva-Hemker, M.; Saavedra, J.M. Effects of Fructo-Oligosaccharide-Supplemented Infant Cereal: A Double-Blind, Randomized Trial. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2003, 90, 581–587. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Ribeiro, T.C.M.; Costa-Ribeiro, H.; Almeida, P.S.; Pontes, M.V.; Leite, M.E.Q.; Filadelfo, L.R.; Khoury, J.C.; Bean, J.A.; Mitmesser, S.H.; Vanderhoof, J.A.; et al. Stool Pattern Changes in Toddlers Consuming a Follow-on Formula Supplemented with Polydextrose and Galactooligosaccharides. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* 2012, 54, 288–290. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Bermudez-Brito, M.; Plaza-Díaz, J.; Muñoz-Quezada, S.; Gómez-Llorente, C.; Gil, A. Probiotic Mechanisms of Action. *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* **2012**, *61*, 160–174. [CrossRef]
- 37. Cherbut, C. Inulin and Oligofructose in the Dietary Fibre Concept. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2002**, *87* (Suppl. 2), S159–S162. [CrossRef]
- 38. García Contreras, A.A.; Vásquez Garibay, E.M.; Sánchez Ramírez, C.A.; Fafutis Morris, M.; Delgado Rizo, V. Factors Associated with the Stool Characteristics of Children with Cerebral Palsy and Chronic Constipation. *Rev. Esp. Enfermedades Dig.* **2020**, *112*, 41–46. [CrossRef]
- 39. Caramico-Favero, D.C.O.; Guedes, Z.C.F.; Morais, M.B.D. Food intake, nutritional status and gastrointestinal symptoms in children with cerebral palsy. *Arq. Gastroenterol.* **2018**, *55*, 352–357. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).