

Article

# **Evolution of Hemoglobin Genes in a Subterranean Rodent Species (***Lasiopodomys mandarinus***)**

Hong Sun<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Kaihong Ye<sup>2,†</sup>, Denghui Liu<sup>2</sup>, Dan Pan<sup>2</sup>, Shiming Gu<sup>2</sup> and Zhenlong Wang<sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Physical Education (Main campus), Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450000, China; 18239900716@163.com
- <sup>2</sup> School of Life Sciences, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450000, China; 18236931125@163.com (K.Y.); liuyaoru0623@163.com (D.L.); 13283864279@163.com (D.P.); gushiming70@163.com (S.G.)
- \* Correspondence: wzl@zzu.edu.cn
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 24 April 2020; Accepted: 18 May 2020; Published: 20 May 2020



Abstract: The Mandarin vole (Lasiopodomys mandarinus), a typical subterranean rodent, has undergone hematological adaptations to tolerate the hypoxic/hypercapnic underground environment. Hemoglobin (Hb) genes encode respiratory proteins functioning principally in oxygen binding and transport to various tissues and organs. To investigate the evolution of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -hemoglobin (Hb) in subterranean rodent species, we sequenced Hb genes of the Mandarin vole and the related aboveground Brandt's vole (*L. brandtii*). Sequencing showed that in both voles,  $\alpha$ -globin was encoded by a cluster of five functional genes in the following linkage order: *HBZ*, *HBA-T1*, *HBQ-T1*, *HBA-T2*, and *HBQ-T2*; among these, *HBQ-T2* is a pseudogene in both voles. The  $\beta$ -globin gene cluster in both voles also included five functional genes in the following linkage order: HBE, HBE/HBG, HBG, HBB-T1, and HBB-T2. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the Mandarin vole underwent convergent evolution with its related aboveground species (Brandt's vole) but not with other subterranean rodent species. Selection pressure analyses revealed that  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -globin genes are under strong purifying selection ( $\omega < 1$ ), and branch-site analyses identified positive selection sites on *HBAT-T1* and *HBB-T1* in different subterranean rodent species. This suggests that the adaptive evolution of these genes enhanced the ability of Hb to store and transport oxygen in subterranean rodent species. Our findings highlight the critical roles of Hb genes in the evolution of hypoxia tolerance in subterranean rodent species.

Keywords: Mandarin vole; Brandt's vole; hypoxia; hemoglobin gene; positive selection

# 1. Introduction

Subterranean rodent species spend their whole lives in complete darkness at relatively stable temperatures in hypoxic and hypercapnic underground burrow systems [1,2]. Although hypoxic and hypercapnic environments present major challenges for the survival of subterranean rodent species, previous reports have noted that *Spalax carmeli*, which is indigenous to Israel, inhabits heavy clay soil and can survive under minimal oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) levels (7.2%) and maximal carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) levels (6.1%) [3] and that the naked mole-rat (*Heterocephalus glaber*), which is a burrowing rodent native to parts of East Africa, can tolerate hours of extreme hypoxia and survive for 18 min under total O<sub>2</sub> deprivation (anoxia) without any apparent injury [4]. The ability of subterranean rodent species to survive under conditions of high CO<sub>2</sub> and low O<sub>2</sub> levels without severe deleterious physiological effects or behavioral changes [4–6] suggests that it will be interesting to investigate the specific adaptations of mammals that live in naturally rodent species.

Mechanisms underlying hypoxia tolerance identified in different subterranean rodent species include modified blood properties, anatomical and biochemical changes in respiratory organs, and differences in the structures and functions of gene products [7–9]. The important adaptation of subterranean rodent species to hypoxic environments are the increased blood vessel density, increased erythrocytosis, and improved hemoglobin (Hb) capacity of  $O_2$  delivery and storage [6,8,10]. Hb is responsible for the transport of  $O_2$  from the lungs to  $O_2$ -demanding tissues, suggesting that this protein plays an important role in the survival of subterranean rodent species under low ambient  $O_2$  conditions.

Hb is a heterotetramer comprising two  $\alpha$ -chain (HbA) and two  $\beta$ -chain (HbB) subunits that form two semirigid dimers ( $\alpha$ 1 $\beta$ 1 and  $\alpha$ 2 $\beta$ 2) [11,12]. The functional adaptability of Hb genes manifest both convergent and divergent adaptive evolutionary characteristics via recombination events, unequal gene exchange, genetic conversion, and mutations that aid in long-term survival in chronically hypoxic environments [13–15]. For example, intraspecific allele substitution involving multiple polygenic combinations has been described in the deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*) [16];  $\beta$ 15Trp has been shown to change to Phe in the fetal domestic yak (*Bos grunniens*) and  $\beta$ 135Ala to Val in the adult yak [14]. Further, a positive selection site has been reported at position144 of the HbB subunit of gymnotiform electric fish [17], and parallel  $\beta$ 13 and  $\beta$ 83 variants have been documented in Andean hummingbirds [18]. All of these genetic alterations increase the affinity of Hb for O<sub>2</sub>. Although studies have investigated hypoxia-induced physiological adaptation in subterranean rodent species with a focus on the roles of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$  (Hif-1 $\alpha$ ), erythropoietin (EPO), myoglobin, neuroglobin, and cytoglobin [8], there is limited research on the adaptive evolution of Hb in subterranean rodent species.

The Mandarin vole (*Lasiopodomys mandarinus*) is a type of subterranean rodent species capable of surviving in environments with minimal  $O_2$  levels (16.04%) and maximal  $CO_2$  levels (2.55%) [19]. In the present study, we cloned and sequenced Hb genes from the Mandarin vole and the related aboveground Brandt's vole (*L. brandtii*). The main objectives of the present study were to assess the structure and function of Hb in subterranean rodent species adapted to hypoxia and to provide indirect evidence of the physiological function of Hb in subterranean rodent species to highlight the biomedical significance of this protein.

#### 2. Results

# 2.1. Hb Gene Structure

Sequencing showed that the  $\alpha$ -globin gene cluster in the Mandarin vole included four functional globin genes: one embryonic globin gene (*HBZ*), two adult globin genes (*HBA-T1* and *HBA-T2*), and one  $\theta$  globin gene (*HBQ-T1*). In the Mandarin vole, *HBQ-T2* is a pseudogene, and in terms of chromosomal structure beginning with *HBZ* and terminating with *HBQ-T2*. The  $\beta$ -globin gene in the Mandarin vole is a cluster of five functional globin genes in the following linkage order: one embryonic globin gene (*HBE*), one chimeric globin gene (*HBE/HBG*), one globin gene (*HBB)*, and two adult globin genes (*HBB-T1* and *HBB-T2*) (Figure 1). The  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -globin genes in Brandt's vole have the same structure as those in the Mandarin vole, with their amino acid compositions in the two tested species being slightly different, coding sequences and differences in the number of amino acids encoded by Hb genes in the two vole species are shown in Table 1.

#### 2.2. Phylogenetic Trees

The topology of Maximum likelihood (ML) trees was similar to that of Bayesian trees, and Bayesian trees were selected to present the final results. Bayesian trees of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -globin genes were both constructed using HKY+G as the ideal model. The topology of their Bayesian trees indicated a lack of convergent evolution in subterranean rodent species, the Mandarin vole, Brandt's vole, and the prairie vole (*Microtus ochrogaster*), all of which belong to the *Microtinae* subfamily within the *Cricetidae* 

family, were clustered together. The Damaraland mole-rat (*Fukomys damarensis*) and naked mole-rat, which belong to the *Bathyergidae* family, were clustered together; however, *Spalax* was located in a separate single branch (Figures 2 and 3).



**Figure 1.** Genomic structure of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -globin gene family in the Mandarin vole.

**Table 1.** Lengths and accession numbers of Hb genes and differences in the number of amino acids encoded by Hb genes in the Mandarin and Brandt's vole.

Gene		Mandarin vole		Brandts' Vole		Number of Amino
		Length	Acession Number	Length	Acession Number	Acid Differences
	HBZ	1685 bp	MN402680	1685 bp	MN402679	0
α-globin cluster	HBA-T1	700 bp	MN402682	700 bp	MN402681	1
	HBA-T2	700 bp	MN402684	700 bp	MN402683	2
	HBQ-T1	647 bp	MN402686	647 bp	MN402685	1
	HBQ-T2	647 bp	MN402688	647 bp	MN402687	3
	HBE	1307 bp	MN402690	1307 bp	MN402689	0
β-globin cluster	HBE/HBG	1664 bp	MN402692	1664 bp	MN402691	0
	HBG	1393 bp	MN402694	1393 bp	MN402693	0
	HBB-T1	1141 bp	MN402696	1141 bp	MN402695	1
	HBB-T2	1141 bp	MN402698	1141 bp	MN402697	1



**Figure 2.** Bayesian tree of rodent species and the genomic structures of their  $\alpha$ -globin genes. Numerals indicate Bayesian posterior probability values; colored text indicates subterranean rodent species.



**Figure 3.** Bayesian phylogenetic tree of rodent species and the genomic structures of their  $\beta$ -globin genes. Numerals indicate Bayesian posterior probability values; colored text indicates subterranean rodent species.

#### 2.3. Selection Pressure Analysis

Branch models were used to calculate the  $\omega$ -value of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -globin genes; the estimated  $\omega$  ratio of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -globin genes was <1, indicating that most Hb genes had undergone purifying selection (Supplementary Table S1). To investigate whether there are restricted positive selection sites in some specific lineages, positive selection sites were identified using the branch-site model for Hb genes (Table 2). Significant positive selection sites were found in *HBA-T1* of the *S. galili* lineage (12K - 0.999 \*; p = 0.001) and **the** naked mole-rat branch (2V - 0.975 \*, 21H - 0.957 \*, 87L - 0.964 \*, 89A - 0.981 \*, 105C - 0.965 \*, 124A - 0.971 \*, and 134S - 0.978 \*), but likelihood-ratio test (LRT) results were not significant (p = 0.746). Positive selection sites were also identified in *HBB-T1* of the *S. galili* lineage (17G - 0.966 \*, 87A - 0.995 \*\*, and 113C - 0.960 \*; p < 0.001), naked mole-rat lineage (24V - 0.981 \*, 109N - 0.929 \*, 140A - 0.984 \*, and 143A - 0.941 \*; p < 0.001), and Damaraland mole-rat lineage (23E - 0.952 \*, 56M - 0.992 \*\*, 57G - 0.990 \*, 70G - 0.967 \*, 75G - 0.953 \*, 110V - 0.983 \*, 114V - 0.987 \*, and 121K - 0.955 \*; p < 0.001). However, no significant positive selection sites were identified in the other tested genes among different subterranean rodent branches.

Site models and the GARD method were used to determine whether there are recombination sites on Hb genes (Table 2). The M8 model ( $\beta$  and  $\omega$ ) showed no positive selection sites **on** *HbA-T1* but showed significant recombination sites on *HBB-T1* (6P - 1.000 \*\*, 13T - 0.994 \*, 21V - 0.960 \*, 23E - 1.000 \*\*, 24V - 0.999 \*\*, 45S - 0.999 \*\*, 55V -1.000 \*\*, 57G - 0.992 \*\*, 70G - 1.000 \*\*, 71A - 1.000 \*\*, 73S - 1.000 \*\*, 77A - 0.999 \*\*, 87A - 1.000 \*\*, 88T - 1.000 \*\*, 105R - 1.000 \*\*, 110V - 0.988 \*, 113C - 0.998 \*\*, 121K - 0.996 \*\*, 122E - 0.964 \*, 126Q - 1.000 \*\*, and 140N - 1.000 \*\*; *p* = 0.003). To investigate the putatively selected sites with functional significance, we removed the filtered recombinant sites and mapped the remaining positive selection sites onto secondary structures. We found that the most positive selection sites on *HBA-T1* were located in different secondary domains (Table 3). For example, the positive selection sites on *HBA-T1* were located in the A, B, and F helical regions and those on *HBB-T1* were located in the A, E, G, and H helical regions. However, the 2V site on *HBA-T1* was not located in a secondary domain but in the region before the A helical region.

Null Model A Null Model A Null Model A Null Model A	2021.164 2021.164 2021.534 2016.368 2004.606 2004.554 2021.577 2021.577	Branch: Branch: $\omega 0 = 0.066, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.066, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ Branch: Spalax galili $\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 0.065$ $\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 92.003, \ \omega 2 = 92.003$ Branch: Heterocephalus glaber $\omega 0 = 0.032, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.033, \ \omega 1 = 1.166, \ \omega 2 = 1.166$ Branc $\omega 0 = 0.067, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$	—site Model Lasiopodomys mandarinus Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null	NA 12K - 0.999 * 2V - 0.975 *, 21H - 0.957 *, 87L - 0.964 *, 89A - 0.981 *, 105C - 0.965 *, 124A - 0.971 *	1.000 0.001 0.746
Null Model A Null Model A Model A Null Model A	2021.164 2021.164 2021.534 2016.368 2004.606 2004.554 2021.577 2021.577	Branch: $\omega 0 = 0.066, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.066, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ Branch: Spalax galili $\omega 0 = 0.068, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 0.065$ $\omega 0 = 0.068, \omega 1 = 92.003, \omega 2 = 92.003$ Branch: Heterocephalus glaber $\omega 0 = 0.032, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.033, \omega 1 = 1.166, \omega 2 = 1.166$ Branc $\omega 0 = 0.067, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$	Lasiopodomys mandarinus Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null	NA 12K - 0.999 * 2V - 0.975 *, 21H - 0.957 *, 87L - 0.964 *, 89A - 0.981 *, 105C - 0.965 *, 124A - 0.971 *	1.000 0.001 0.746
Null Model A Null Model A Model A Null Model A	2021.164 2021.164 2021.534 2016.368 2004.606 2004.554 2021.577 2021.577	$\omega 0 = 0.066, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.066, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ Branch: Spalax galili $\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 0.065$ $\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 92.003, \ \omega 2 = 92.003$ Branch: Heterocephalus glaber $\omega 0 = 0.032, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.033, \ \omega 1 = 1.166, \ \omega 2 = 1.166$ Branc $\omega 0 = 0.067, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$	Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null	NA 12K - 0.999 * 2V - 0.975 *, 21H - 0.957 *, 87L - 0.964 *, 89A - 0.981 *, 105C - 0.965 *, 124A - 0.971 *	1.000 0.001 0.746
Model A Null Model A Null Model A Null Model A	2021.164 2021.534 2016.368 2004.606 2004.554 2021.577 2021.577	$\omega 0 = 0.066, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ Branch: Spalax galili $\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 0.065$ $\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 92.003, \ \omega 2 = 92.003$ Branch: Heterocephalus glaber $\omega 0 = 0.032, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.033, \ \omega 1 = 1.166, \ \omega 2 = 1.166$ Branc $\omega 0 = 0.067, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$	Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null	NA 12K - 0.999 * 2V - 0.975 *, 21H - 0.957 *, 87L - 0.964 *, 89A - 0.981 *, 105C - 0.965 *, 124A - 0.971 *	1.000 0.001 0.746
Null Model A Null Model A Null Model A	2021.534 2016.368 2004.606 2004.554 2021.577 2021.577	Branch: Spalax galili $\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 0.065$ $\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 92.003, \ \omega 2 = 92.003$ Branch: Heterocephalus glaber $\omega 0 = 0.032, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.033, \ \omega 1 = 1.166, \ \omega 2 = 1.166$ Branc $\omega 0 = 0.067, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$	Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null	12K - 0.999 * 2V - 0.975 *, 21H - 0.957 *, 87L - 0.964 *, 89A - 0.981 *, 105C - 0.965 *, 124A - 0.971 *	0.001 0.746
Null Model A Null Model A Null Model A	2021.534 2016.368 2004.606 2004.554 2021.577 2021.577	$\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 0.065$ $\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 92.003, \ \omega 2 = 92.003$ Branch: Heterocephalus glaber $\omega 0 = 0.032, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.033, \ \omega 1 = 1.166, \ \omega 2 = 1.166$ Branc $\omega 0 = 0.067, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$	Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null	12K - 0.999 * 2V - 0.975 *, 21H - 0.957 *, 87L - 0.964 *, 89A - 0.981 *, 105C - 0.965 *, 124A - 0.971 *	0.001 0.746
Model A Null Model A Null Model A	2016.368 2004.606 2004.554 2021.577 2021.577	$\omega 0 = 0.068, \ \omega 1 = 92.003, \ \omega 2 = 92.003$ Branch: <i>Heterocephalus glaber</i> $\omega 0 = 0.032, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.033, \ \omega 1 = 1.166, \ \omega 2 = 1.166$ Branc	Model A vs. Null Model A vs. Null	12K - 0.999 * 2V - 0.975 *, 21H - 0.957 *, 87L - 0.964 *, 89A - 0.981 *, 105C - 0.965 *, 124A - 0.971 *	0.001
Null Model A Null Model A	2004.606 2004.554 2021.577 2021.577	$\omega 0 = 0.032, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.033, \ \omega 1 = 1.166, \ \omega 2 = 1.166$ Branc	Model A vs. Null	2V - 0.975 *, 21H - 0.957 *, 87L - 0.964 *, 89A - 0.981 *, 105C - 0.965 *, 124A - 0.971 *	0.746
Model A Null Model A	2004.554 2021.577 2021.577	$\omega 0 = 0.033, \omega 1 = 1.166, \omega 2 = 1.166$ $\omega 0 = 0.067, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ Branc	Model A vs. Null	2V - 0.975 *, 21H - 0.957 *, 87L - 0.964 *, 89A - 0.981 *, 105C - 0.965 *, 124A - 0.971 *	0.746
Model A Null Model A	2004.554 2021.577 2021.577	$\omega 0 = 0.033, \omega 1 = 1.166, \omega 2 = 1.166$ Brand $\omega 0 = 0.067, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$	Model A vs. Null	89A - 0.981 *, 105C - 0.965 *, 124A - 0.971 *	0.746
Null Model A	2021.577 2021.577	$\omega_0 = 0.067 \ \omega_1 = 1 \ \omega_2 = 1$	-l. Tulunun damanari		
Null Model A	2021.577 2021.577	$\omega 0 = 0.067 \ \omega 1 = 1 \ \omega 2 = 1$	сп: <i>никотуs aamarensis</i>	······································	
Model A	2021.577	$\omega_0 = 0.007, \omega_1 = 1, \omega_2 = 1$	5		
	-041.077	$\omega 0 = 0.067,  \omega 1 = 1,  \omega 2 = 1$	Model A vs. Null	NA	1.000
		Branch: Lasiopodomys mandarinus			
Null	1578.455	$\omega 0 = 0.002, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$			
Model A	1578.455	$\omega 0 = 0.002,  \omega 1 = 3.742,  \omega 2 = 3.742$	Model A vs. Null	NA	1.000
		Branch: Spalax galili			
Null	1578.455	$\omega 0 = 0.002, \ \omega 1 = 1, \ \omega 2 = 1$			
Model A	1565.826	$\omega 0 = 0,  \omega 1 = 999,  \omega 2 = 999$	Model A vs. Null	17G - 0.966 *, 87A - 0.995 **, 113C - 0.960 *	0.000
		Branch: Heterocephalus glaber			
Null	1578.230	$\omega 0 = 0,  \omega 1 = 1,  \omega 2 = 1$			
Model A	1568 434	$\omega_0 = 0$ $\omega_1 = 000$ $\omega_2 = 000$	Model A ve. Null	24V - 0.981 *, 109N - 0.929 *,	0.000
Model A	1500.454	$\omega_0 = 0,  \omega_1 = 999,  \omega_2 = 999$ Model A vs. Null		140A - 0.984 *, 143A - 0.941 *	0.000
		Bran	ch: Fukomys damarensis		
Null	1577.920	$\omega 0 = 0,  \omega 1 = 1,  \omega 2 = 1$			
				23 - 0.952 *, 56M - 0.992 **,	
Model A	1567.132	$\omega 0 = 0,  \omega 1 = 999,  \omega 2 = 999$	Model A vs. Null	57G - 0.990 *, 70G - 0.967 *, 75G - 0.953 *,	0.000
				110V - 0.983 *,114V - 0.987 *, 121K - 0.955 *	
	2550.045	Sit	e Model		
M7: β	2558.967	p = 0.15303, q = 1.97705		CD 1 000 ** 12T 0 004 * 2157 0 000 *	
				6F - 1.000 **, 131 - 0.994 *, 21V - 0.960 *,	
				23E - 1.000 **, 24V - 0.999 **, 45S - 0.999 **,	
Me G and	2552 220	p0 = 0.94925, p1 = 0.05075,	M8 x cM7	55 V - 1.000 **, 5/G - 0.992 **, /0G - 1.000 **, 71 A 1.000 ** 726 1.000 ** 77 A 0.000 **	0.003
$1$ p and $\omega$	2000.028	$p = 0.17988, \omega = 2.30313, q = 0.47855$	IVIO V SIVI/	/IA - I.000 **, /35 - I.000 **, //A - 0.999 **,	0.003
				0/A - 1.000 ", 801 - 1.000 ", 105K - 1.000 ", 110V 0.088 * 112C 0.008 ** 121K 0.004 **	
				$1207 = 0.500$ , $110C = 0.570$ , $121C = 0.990$ , $122E = 0.964 \times 126O = 1.000 \times 140N = 1.000 \times 1200$	
]	Null Model A Null Model A Null Model A M7: β	Null 1578.455   Model A 1578.455   Null 1578.455   Model A 1565.826   Null 1578.230   Model A 1568.434   Null 1577.920   Model A 1567.132   M7: β 2558.967   M8: β and ω 2553.328	Null1578.455 $ω0 = 0.002, ω1 = 1, ω2 = 1$ Model A1578.455 $ω0 = 0.002, ω1 = 3.742, ω2 = 3.742$ Branch: Spalax galili $ω0 = 0.002, ω1 = 3.742, ω2 = 3.742$ Null1578.455 $ω0 = 0.002, ω1 = 3.742, ω2 = 3.742$ Model A1565.826 $ω0 = 0, ω1 = 999, ω2 = 999$ Null1578.230 $ω0 = 0, ω1 = 999, ω2 = 999$ Null1578.230 $ω0 = 0, ω1 = 1, ω2 = 1$ Model A1568.434 $ω0 = 0, ω1 = 1, ω2 = 1$ Model A1567.132 $ω0 = 0, ω1 = 1, ω2 = 1$ Model A1567.132 $ω0 = 0, ω1 = 999, ω2 = 999$ M7: $β$ 2558.967 $p = 0.15303, q = 1.97705$ M8: $β$ and $ω$ 2553.328 $p0 = 0.94925, p1 = 0.05075, p = 0.17988, ω = 2.30313, q = 0.47855$	Null1578.455 $\omega 0 = 0.002, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ Model A1578.455 $\omega 0 = 0.002, \omega 1 = 3.742, \omega 2 = 3.742$ Model A vs. NullBranch:Spalax galili $\omega 0 = 0.002, \omega 1 = 3.742, \omega 2 = 3.742$ Model A vs. NullNull1578.455 $\omega 0 = 0.002, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ Model A vs. NullModel A1565.826 $\omega 0 = 0, \omega 1 = 999, \omega 2 = 999$ Model A vs. NullBranch:Heterocephalus glaber $\omega 0 = 0, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ Model A1568.434 $\omega 0 = 0, \omega 1 = 999, \omega 2 = 999$ Model A vs. NullBranch:Fukomys damarensisNull1577.920 $\omega 0 = 0, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ Model A1567.132 $\omega 0 = 0, \omega 1 = 999, \omega 2 = 999$ Model A vs. NullModel A1567.132 $\omega 0 = 0, \omega 1 = 999, \omega 2 = 999$ Model A vs. NullModel A2558.967 $p = 0.15303, q = 1.97705$ Site ModelM8: $\beta$ and $\omega$ 2553.328 $p 0 = 0.94925, p 1 = 0.05075, p = 0.17988, \omega = 2.30313, q = 0.47855$ M8 v sM7	Null1578.455 Model A $\omega 0 = 0.002, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ $\omega 0 = 0.002, \omega 1 = 3.742, \omega 2 = 3.742$ Model A vs. NullNAModel A1578.455 $\omega 0 = 0.002, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ Branch: Spalax galiliNull17G - 0.966 *, 87A - 0.995 **, 113C - 0.960 * Branch: Heterocephalus glaberNull1578.230 $\omega 0 = 0, \omega 1 = 999, \omega 2 = 999$ Model A vs. Null17G - 0.966 *, 87A - 0.995 **, 113C - 0.960 * 140A - 0.981 *, 109N - 0.929 *, 140A - 0.981 *, 109N - 0.929 *, 140A - 0.981 *, 109N - 0.929 *, 140A - 0.984 *, 143A - 0.941 *Null1578.230 $\omega 0 = 0, \omega 1 = 999, \omega 2 = 999$ Model A vs. Null24V - 0.981 *, 109N - 0.929 *, 140A - 0.984 *, 143A - 0.941 *Null1577.920 $\omega 0 = 0, \omega 1 = 1, \omega 2 = 1$ 23 - 0.952 *, 56M - 0.992 **, 110V - 0.983 *, 114V - 0.987 *, 121K - 0.955 * Site ModelModel A1567.132 $\omega 0 = 0, \omega 1 = 999, \omega 2 = 999$ Model A vs. Null57G - 0.990 *, 70G - 0.967 *, 75G - 0.953 *, 110V - 0.983 *, 114V - 0.987 *, 121K - 0.956 * Site ModelM7: β2558.967 $p = 0.15303, q = 1.97705$ $6P - 1.000 **, 13T - 0.994 *, 21V - 0.960 *,23E - 1.000 **, 24V - 0.999 **, 45S - 0.999 **,55V - 1.000 **, 77A - 0.999 **,87A - 1.000 **, 105R - 1.000 **,110V - 0.988 *, 113C - 0.988 *, 112K - 0.996 **,122E - 0.964 *, 126Q - 1.000 **, 140N - 1.000 **,$

Table 2. Selection pressure analyses of Hb genes in rodent species using branch-site and st	ite models.
---	-------------

Gene	Position	Spalax galili	Heterocephalus glaber	Fukomys damarensis	Secondary Doamin
	2V		V→S		
HBA-T1	12K	K→R			A-Helix
	21H		H→S		<b>B-Helix</b>
	87L		L→K		F-Helix
	89A		A→S		F-Helix
	124A		A→L		H-Helix
	17G	G→S			A-Helix
HBB-T1	56M			$M \rightarrow V$	D-Helix
	75G			G→S	E-Helix
	109N		N→D		G-Helix
	114V			V→A	G-Helix
	143A			H→G	H-Helix

Table 3. Detected positive selection sites in different helical regions.

#### 3. Discussion

The performance of the hematological system of subterranean rodent species is well established. Hb plays important roles in increased  $O_2$  transport in response to hypoxia [12,16]. Hb gene structure and adaptive evolution have been extensively studied in species living in hypoxic environments at high altitudes and in deep ocean [20,21]. However, the Hb gene structure and evolution in subterranean rodent species remains comparatively unclear. Hence, the objective of the present study was to elucidate the evolutionary adaptation of Hb genes in subterranean rodent species to hypoxic environments.

The organization of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -globin gene clusters in the Mandarin vole parallels that in Brandt's vole, i.e., the structure and number of functional copies of the  $\beta$ -globin gene clusters in both voles are similar, as documented in the deer mouse and prairie vole [22]. The structure and number of functional copies of the  $\alpha$ -globin gene cluster were consistent between the two tested voles but differed in other rodent species. Therefore, Hb polymorphism exists among different rodent species, but no significant differences were observed in this regard between the two tested voles despite their different living environments.

Phylogenetic reconstruction of Hb genes revealed that the Mandarin vole did not undergo convergent evolution with other subterranean *Spalax*, the naked mole-rat, or the Damaraland mole-rat, but it underwent convergent evolution with the related aboveground Brandt's vole and prairie vole. The latter two species and the Mandarin vole belong to the *Microtinae* subfamily, and their phylogeny has been shown to follow that of traditional rodent taxonomic groups [23,24]; therefore, we hypothesized that Hb genes in the Mandarin vole underwent conservative evolution.

Determination of  $\omega$  ratios revealed that all Hb genes have undergone purifying selection, indicating that the Hb protein has an important function in O<sub>2</sub> transport. However, the results of our analyses support the hypothesis that *HBA-T1* and *HBB-T1* in different subterranean rodent species have been subjected to positive selection, suggesting the evolutionary optimization of functionality under different environmental conditions. For example, in *HBA-T1*, amino acid substitutions in *S. galili* were located at a proximal binding site situated near or within the glycosylation and metal-binding domains responsible for cotranslational and post-translational protein modifications and O<sub>2</sub> binding, respectively [21]. In naked mole-rat, substitution of residues 87 and 89 was close to the proximal histidine, which is implicated in the iron–proximal histidine linkage and seems to be essential in the maintenance of Hb–O<sub>2</sub> binding [17]. Further, the substitution of residue 124 in the H helical region may change the spacing of hydrogen bonds [25], thereby affecting the molecular structure of proteins.

Furthermore, positive selection sites are located in the A, E, G, and H helical regions of *HBB-T1* in *S. galili*, the naked mole-rat, and the Damaraland mole-rat, and they may be essential for homology-based structural models [12]. Site 143 in the H helical region of *HBB-T1* in the Damaraland mole-rat is adjacent to  $\beta$ -147 histidine. A previous study showed that His-HC3 (147) of  $\beta$ -Hb in the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) plays a key role in the root effect, which is associated with non-cooperative O<sub>2</sub> binding and decreased O<sub>2</sub> affinity [17]. Another study also demonstrated that

7 of 10

 $\beta$ -globin underwent adaptive evolution in the A helical region in the pika; therefore, selected 17 sites in *S. galili* in their study may have important Hb-associated functions in O<sub>2</sub> binding [25]. Other positive selection sites were localized to residues of postulated regions and may affect these functions [26–28]. Thus, we hypothesized that positive selection sites in these two genes are involved in modulating Hb–O<sub>2</sub> binding and we demonstrated adaptability to different hypoxia-associated elevational zones in two subterranean species.

It is worth mentioning that there were no noteworthy sites on Hb genes in the vole mandarin and Brandt's vole. This is possibly because there is no  $O_2$  deficiency in the living environment of Brandt's vole as it is an aboveground rodent. Although the Mandarin vole is a subterranean rodent, the  $O_2$  level in their burrow system is relatively low compared with that in the environments of other subterranean species [3,4,19]. For example, *S. galili* can survive under conditions of minimal  $O_2$  levels (12.7%) and the naked mole-rat can tolerate hours of extreme hypoxia and survive 18 min of total  $O_2$  deprivation (anoxia) without any apparent injury. The lowest  $O_2$  level measured in high-water-content soil of a burrow system of the Mandarin vole was only 16.04%, but the physiological characteristics of blood in this species including increased HIF-1 $\alpha$  and VEGF levels and blood vessel density and decreased EPO level under hypoxia, indicating adaption to hypoxia.

# 4. Materials and Methods

# 4.1. Animals

Juvenile Mandarin voles were captured on a farmland located in Xinzheng, Henan Province, China ( $34^{\circ}52'$  N,  $113^{\circ}85'$  E). Brandt's vole were obtained from a prairie in Xilinhot, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China ( $43^{\circ}02'-44^{\circ}52'$  N,  $115^{\circ}13'-117^{\circ}06'$  E). The voles were individually housed in polycarbonate cages measuring  $37 \times 26 \times 17$  cm<sup>3</sup> and kept at 20 °C–23 °C. Food and water were provided *ad libitum* (Laboratory Animal Center of Henan Province, China). Carrots were supplemented as an accessory. Both species were raised under a 14:10-h light:dark photoperiod (illumination time, 8:00–22:00 h; light intensity, 200 Lux). At least three adult voles ( $35 \pm 5$  g) were then sacrificed by cervical dislocation after induction of anesthesia with 3% pentobarbital sodium; brain tissues ( $0.55 \pm 0.05$  g) were obtained and immediately preserved in liquid nitrogen for further research. The procedures performed in this study were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Zhengzhou University and performed in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of China.

#### 4.2. DNA Extraction, Amplification, and Sequencing

Total DNA was extracted from the brain tissues using the TIANamp Genomic DNA Kit [TIANGEN Biotech (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China]. DNA quality was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis; DNA concentration was assessed using the NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, LLC, Wilmington, DE, USA). DNA used for amplification of Hb genes had integral bands, and the A260/A280 or A260/A230 ratios ranged from 1.9 to 2.1.

Hb genes were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers designed with Oligo 7.0 (https://www.oligo.net\$\delimiter"026E30F\$) with reference to sequences from closely related species (Table 4). PCR products were separated by electrophoresis using 0.1% agarose gels. Single-purpose fragment DNA strips were cut out from agarose gels, purified, and recovered using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Beijing ComWin Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). Complete sequences were inserted into the pMD19-T vector (Takara Bio, Inc., Shiga, Japan). After bacterial selection and colony PCR verification, the Sanger method was performed to sequence the PCR products using GENEWIZ (Suzhou, China). After combining the genomic information of the Mandarin vole, the complete Hb gene structure was diagramed.

Gene	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer
1107	GTCACCCTGTCTGATAACAAGC	TAACGGGTCCTAAAGACTTACCAG
HBZ	TTACAGGCAGTTGTGAGCTACCAT	ACAGGACCAGTTATTTCCGTCT
HBA-T1	GCCTTCTCTGCACAGGACTCT	CCCAGGCTTCCCCGTGTCA
HBA-T2	AACCACCCTAGTCAGCCAATGAGG	TCCAGAAGACGCCCTGAAGCTC
HBO T1	ACAGAAACAGGCTTCATATCCTC	AGGCTGAGTTACACAAGACCA
110Q-11	ACTACTCGAGGGAAAGTTACGC	GCCTCTTGGAACCTTGCTT
HBE	CTGGCCCTCTCATAACCTG	CCAGTCCAGTACTCATGTGC
HRE/HRC	TGTCTTGCCCAGCCTCTCTTGACC	ATTAAGGCTGAGGAAGACAACCCA
IIDL/IIDG	AAAAGAATGAAAGTTAAGAGCGTGA	CCAGGAGCTATAAGAGAAAACACAA
HBG	CCTGCTTGACACTATCTTACTGG	CCAGTCCAGTACTCATGTGC
HBB-T1	GTTGCTCCTCACACTTGCT	TAGTCAGAAGAAGATGCCCCA
HBB-T2	CTAAGTCAGTGCCATAGCC	AGGTCTTCATTATTTAGCCCAA

Table 4. Primers used	for the am	plification o	of Hb	genes in th	e present study.

#### 4.3. Data Analysis

The Hb gene coding nucleotide sequences of other species were downloaded from the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) and aligned using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The sequence of each gene was aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm.  $\alpha$ -globin/ $\beta$ -globin gene clusters were combined using SequenceMatrix 1.7.8 for the construction of phylogenetic trees [29]. Their Bayesian trees were constructed using MrBayes 3.2.6 [30,31], and the best-fit model for the MrBayes tree was selected using Modeltest. Finally, four Markov chains were run for 2 × 10<sup>6</sup> generations and sampled every 1000 generations for Bayesian tree construction. ML trees for the best nucleotide models were constructed using MEGA × 10.1, and confidence levels of tree topologies were assessed using 1000 bootstrap replicates.

We determined selection pressure for each Hb subunit gene using CODEML, a part of PAML 4.7 [32]. First, we used branch models to calculate the  $\omega$ -value (dN/dS = nonsynonymous/synonymous) of Hb genes, with  $\omega < 1$ ,  $\omega = 1$ , and  $\omega > 1$  indicating purifying, neutral, and positive selection, respectively. We used the one-ratio model that enforces the same  $\omega$  ratio for all lineages and compared it with  $\omega = 1$  (i.e.,  $\omega = 1$  for all lineages) to check the selection pressures of the two genes.

Second, we used a branch-site model (Model A, A null) to analyze positive selection sites on specific branches of each gene. Then, in PAML, we performed LRT to determine the differences in log-likelihood values between the two nested models using  $\chi^2$  distribution, with an aim to determine whether the differences were significant by comparing the nested models. Finally, site models M7 and M8 were used to compute recombination sites in all assessed species [33], and the GARD method within Data Monkey (https://www.datamonkey.org/) was performed to identify the number and location of breakpoints and sequences involved in putative recombination events in different genes [34].

#### 5. Conclusions

Hb genes are evolutionarily conserved and play important functions in  $O_2$  storage and transport in subterranean rodent species. Elucidation of the evolution of Hb genes offers a valuable framework for the identification and study of the mechanism underlying adaptation to hypoxic environments in subterranean rodent species. Further functional experiments including functional characterization of Hb proteins are expected to completely reveal these mechanisms.

**Supplementary Materials:** Supplementary Materials can be found at http://www.mdpi.com/2079-7737/9/5/106/s1. Table S1: Likelihood-ratio test of branch models examining Hb genes.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, Z.W.; investigation, K.Y., D.L., D.P. and S.G.; analysis, writing—original draft preparation, and writing—review and editing, H.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant number 31372193.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# Abbreviations

Hb	Hemoglobin
----	------------

- O<sub>2</sub> Oxygen
- CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon dioxide
- ML Maximum likelihood
- LRT Likelihood-ratio test
- VEGF Vascular endothelial growth factor
- Hif-1 $\alpha$  Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$
- EPO Erythropoietin

# References

- 1. Lacey, E.A.; Cutrera, A.P. Behavior, Demography, and Immunogenetic Variation: New Insights from Subterranean Rodents. In *Subterranean Rodents*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2007; pp. 341–355.
- 2. Lacey, E.A.; Patton, J.L.; Cameron, G.N.; Lacey, E.A.; Patton, J.L.; Cameron, G.N. Life Underground: The Biology of Subterranean Rodents. *Ethology* **2010**, *107*, 559–560. [CrossRef]
- Shams, I.; Avivi, A.; Nevo, E. Oxygen and carbon dioxide fluctuations in burrows of subterranean blind mole rats indicate tolerance to hypoxic–hypercapnic stresses. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 2005, 142, 376–382. [CrossRef]
- 4. Park, T.J.; Reznick, J.; Peterson, B.L.; Blass, G.; Omerbašić, D.; Bennett, N.C.; Applegate, D.T. Fructose-driven glycolysis supports anoxia resistance in the naked mole-rat. *Science* **2017**, *356*, 307–311. [CrossRef]
- 5. Shams, I.; Nevo, E.; Avivi, A. Ontogenetic expression of erythropoietin and hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha genes in subterranean blind mole rats. *FASEB J.* **2005**, *19*, 307–309. [CrossRef]
- Shams, I.; Avivi, A.; Nevo, E. Hypoxic stress tolerance of the blind subterranean mole rat: Expression of erythropoietin and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2004, 101, 9698–9703. [CrossRef]
- 7. Widmer, H.R.; Hoppeler, H.; Nevo, E.; Taylor, C.R.; Weibel, E.R. Working Underground: Respiratory Adaptations in the Blind Mole Rat. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1997**, *94*, 2062–2067. [CrossRef]
- 8. Aaron, A.; Frank, G.; Alma, J.; Stefan, R.; Thorsten, B.; Eviatar, N.; Thomas, H. Neuroglobin, cytoglobin, and myoglobin contribute to hypoxia adaptation of the subterranean mole rat Spalax. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**, *107*, 21570–21575.
- 9. Tomasco, I.H.; Boullosa, N.; Hoffmann, F.G.; Lessa, E.P. Molecular adaptive convergence in the α-globin in subterranean octodontid rodents. *Gene* **2017**, *628*, 275–280. [CrossRef]
- 10. Schelshorn, D.W.; Schneider, A.; Kuschinsky, W.; Weber, D.; Krüger, C.; Dittgen, T.; Maurer, M.H. Expression of hemoglobin in rodent neurons. *J. Cerebr. Blood Flow Metab.* **2009**, *29*, 585–595. [CrossRef]
- 11. Opazo, J.C.; Hoffmann, F.G.; Chandrasekhar, N.; Witt, C.C.; Michael, B.; Storz, J.F. Gene turnover in the avian globin gene families and evolutionary changes in hemoglobin isoform expression. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2015**, *32*, 871–887. [CrossRef]
- 12. Storz, J.F.; Runck, A.M.; Sabatino, S.J.; Kelly, J.K.; Nuno, F.; Hideaki, M.; Weber, R.E.; Angela, F. Evolutionary and functional insights into the mechanism underlying high-altitude adaptation of deer mouse hemoglobin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2009**, *106*, 14450–14455. [CrossRef]
- 13. Weber, R.E.; Hiebl, I.; Braunitzer, G. High altitude and hemoglobin function in the vultures Gyps rueppellii and Aegypius monachus. *Biol. Chem. Hoppe Seyler* **1988**, *369*, 233–240. [CrossRef]
- 14. Weber, R.E.; Lalthantluanga, R.; Braunitzer, G. Functional characterization of fetal and adult yak hemoglobins: An oxygen binding cascade and its molecular basis. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1988**, *263*, 199–203. [CrossRef]
- 15. Storz, J.F.; Sabatino, S.J.; Hoffmann, F.G.; Gering, E.J.; Moriyama, H.; Ferrand, N.; Nachman, M.W. The molecular basis of high-altitude adaptation in deer mice. *PLoS Genet.* **2007**, *3*, e45. [CrossRef]
- Storz, J.F.; Chandrasekhar, N.; Cheviron, Z.A.; Hoffmann, F.G.; Kelly, J.K. Altitudinal variation at duplicated β-globin genes in deer mice: Effects of selection, recombination, and gene conversion. *Genetics* 2012, 190, 203–216. [CrossRef]

- 17. Tian, R.; Losilla, M.; Lu, Y.; Yang, G.; Zakon, H. Molecular evolution of globin genes in Gymnotiform electric fishes: Relation to hypoxia tolerance. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **2017**, *17*, 51. [CrossRef]
- Projecto-Garcia, J.; Natarajan, C.; Moriyama, H.; Weber, R.E.; Fago, A.; Cheviron, Z.A.; Storz, J.F. Repeated elevational transitions in hemoglobin function during the evolution of Andean hummingbirds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, 20669–20674. [CrossRef]
- 19. Sun, H.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, B.; Zheng, W. Investigation on Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Fluctuations in Lasiopodomys mandarinus Burrows. *Pak. J. Zool.* **2019**, *51*, 1519–1526.
- Storz, J.F.; Moriyama, H. Mechanisms of Hemoglobin Adaptation to High Altitude Hypoxia. *High Alt. Med. Biol.* 2008, 9, 148–157.
- 21. Ran, T.; Wang, Z.; Xu, N.; Zhou, K.; Xu, S.; Yang, G. Evolutionary Genetics of Hypoxia Tolerance in Cetaceans during Diving. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **2016**, *8*, 827–839.
- 22. Hoffmann, F.G.; Opazo, J.C.; Storz, J.F. New genes originated via multiple recombinational pathways in the beta-globin gene family of rodents. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2008**, *25*, 2589–2600. [CrossRef]
- 23. Sun, H.; Dong, Q.; Wang, C.; Jiang, M.; Wang, B.; Wang, Z. Evolution of circadian genes PER and CRY in subterranean rodents. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2018**, *118*, 1400–1405. [CrossRef]
- 24. Li, Y.; Shi, Y.; Lu, J.; Ji, W.; Wang, Z. Sequence and phylogenetic analysis of the complete mitochondrial genome of Lasiopodomys mandarinus mandarinus (Arvicolinae, Rodentia). *Gene* **2016**, *593*, 302–307. [CrossRef]
- 25. Chen, Z.; Qiao, F.; He, Y.; Xie, H.; Qi, D. Evidence for positive selection on α and β globin genes in pikas and zokor from the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Gene Transl. Bioinform.* **2016**, *2*, 1–10.
- 26. Storz, J.F.; Kelly, J.K. Effects of spatially varying selection on nucleotide diversity and linkage disequilibrium: Insights from deer mouse globin genes. *Genetics* **2008**, *180*, 367–379. [CrossRef]
- 27. Storz, J.F.; Runck, A.M.; Moriyama, H.; Weber, R.E.; Fago, A. Genetic differences in hemoglobin function between highland and lowland deer mice. *J. Exp. Biol.* **2010**, *213*, 2565–2574. [CrossRef]
- 28. Yi, X.; Liang, Y.; Huerta-Sanchez, E.; Jin, X.; Cuo, Z.X.P.; Pool, J.E.; Zheng, H. Sequencing of 50 human exomes reveals adaptation to high altitude. *Science* **2010**, *329*, 75–78. [CrossRef]
- 29. Vaidya, G.; Lohman, D.J.; Meier, R. SequenceMatrix: Concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. *Cladistics* **2011**, *27*, 171–180. [CrossRef]
- Huelsenbeck, J.P.; Ronquist, F. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 2001, 17, 754–755. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Z.; Wong, W.S.; Nielsen, R. Bayes empirical bayes inference of amino acid sites under positive selection. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2005, 22, 1107–1118. [CrossRef]
- 32. Yang, Z. PAML 4: Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2007**, 24, 1586–1591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Anisimova, M.; Nielsen, R.; Yang, Z. Effect of Recombination on the Accuracy of the Likelihood Method for Detecting Positive Selection at Amino Acid Sites. *Genetics* **2003**, *164*, 1229–1236.
- 34. Pond, S.; Posada, D.; Gravenor, M.B.; Woelk, C.H.; Frost, S. GARD: A genetic algorithm for recombination detection. *Bioinformatics* 2006, *22*, 3096–3098. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).