CASE REPORT

Cancer Reports

WILEY

A case of multiple metastatic sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma with complete response to nivolumab

Masayuki Tomioka¹ | Keita Nakane¹ | Kaori Ozawa² | Koji linuma¹ | Natsuko Suzui¹ | Tatsuhiko Miyazaki¹ | Takuya Koie¹

¹Department of Urology, Gifu University Graduate School of Medicine, Gifu, Japan

²Department of Urology, Japanese Red Cross Takayama Hospital, Takayama, Japan

Correspondence

Takuya Koie, Department of Urology, Gifu University Graduate School of Medicine, Yanagido 1-1, Gifu 501-1194, Japan. Email: goodwin@gifu-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Background: Sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma (SRCC) is associated with poor prognosis. Although there is no standard treatment for SRCC, recent studies have reported the effectiveness of immune checkpoint inhibitors.

Case: An 82-year-old Japanese man presented to our hospital with an incidental right renal tumor. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) showed an exophytic tumor in the right kidney with suspected right iliopsoas muscle invasion. Laparoscopic right radical nephrectomy was performed. Histopathological diagnosis revealed a clear cell RCC with a spindle cell carcinoma component. CT performed 3 months after surgery revealed multiple bilateral lung metastases and local recurrence. Although the patient received tyrosine-kinase inhibitors for treating multiple metastases, the lung metastases continued to gradually increase, and peritonitis carcinomatosis was observed. Thus, the patient was intravenously administered nivolumab once every 2 weeks. After nivolumab administration, lung metastases, local recurrence, and peritonitis carcinomatosis gradually reduced. After 20 months of nivolumab treatment, the patient achieved a complete response of multiple metastases on CT.

Conclusion: Nivolumab may be used as a treatment option for sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma with multiple metastases.

KEYWORDS

complete response, multiple metastases, nivolumab, renal cell carcinoma, sarcomatoid carcinoma

1 | INTRODUCTION

Sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma (SRCC) accounts for 1% to 5% of all renal neoplasms and is also known as spindle cell carcinoma, anaplastic carcinoma, and carcinosarcoma.^{1,2} Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) with a sarcomatoid component is associated with poor prognosis even for patients diagnosed with stage I or II RCC. Most patients with RCC with a sarcomatoid variant showed local recurrence or distant metastases at diagnosis.^{1,2} In general, the

oncological outcomes of SRCC are unfavorable, and the median survival of SRCC patients has been reported to be between 5 and 12 months.³ In addition, the time from nephrectomy to cancer recurrence was significantly shorter in patients with SRCC than in those with non-SRCC (18.8 vs 42.9 months; P < .0001).⁴ Recently, some reports have suggested that immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) are particularly effective for SRCC.⁵⁻⁸ In this study, we reported a stage IV SRCC patient, who achieved complete response to nivolumab treatment.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 The Authors. Cancer Reports published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

2 | CASE

An 82-year-old Japanese male patient presented with an incidental right renal tumor at our hospital. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) showed an exophytic tumor measuring $6.2 \text{ cm} \times 6.3 \text{ cm}$ in the lower pole of the right kidney with suspected right iliopsoas muscle invasion and right adrenal gland metastasis (Figure 1). The patient did not show lymph node involvement or distant metastases at this point.

Laparoscopic right radical nephrectomy with right adrenalectomy was performed. Histopathological diagnosis revealed clear cell RCC with a spindle cell carcinoma component (40%) (Figure 2A). Immunohistochemistry analysis using anti-programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) antibody (clone 28-8) showed that PD-L1 was expressed 25% in tumor positive score (TPS), 20% in immune cells (IC), and 40 in combined positive score (CPS) (Figure 2B).



FIGURE 1 Abdominal computed tomography showing a right renal tumor in the lower pole with suspected invasion to the right iliopsoas muscle (arrow)

CT scans 3 months after the surgery revealed multiple bilateral lung metastases and local recurrence. Although we did not perform needle biopsies for metastatic lesions, we considered that multiple metastases most likely developed from the SRCC owing to the patient's clinical course after surgery. The patient was classified as having intermediate risk according to the International Metastatic RCC Database Consortium risk classification and the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center prognostic model because he fulfilled the criteria of the hemoglobin level being below the lower limit of the normal range and less than 1 year from time of diagnosis to systemic therapy.^{6,7} The patient had advanced age and was worried that sunitinib-related adverse events would lower performance status. Therefore, sunitinib was administered at a dose of 25 mg orally once daily for 2 weeks on and 1 week off in each 3-week cycle. It was well-tolerated by the patient, who showed only grade 2 adverse events. At that time, five lung metastases were identified as target lesions. However, the diameter of targeted lung metastases increased from 5.6 to 7.4 cm (32% increase) in 2 months after sunitinib administration. Therefore, treatment was switched to axitinib, which was administered 5 mg twice daily. However, lung metastases continued to gradually increase, and peritonitis carcinomatosis was observed 2 months after the initiation of axitinib. Thus, the patient was then administered nivolumab (240 mg) intravenously once every 2 weeks. After nivolumab administration, lung metastases, local recurrence, and peritonitis carcinomatosis reduced gradually. After 20 months of nivolumab treatment (24 months after the diagnosis of RCC), the patient achieved complete response with multiple metastases on CT, and treatment-related adverse events were not seen during nivolumab administration (Figure 3).

3 | DISCUSSION

In recent years, the treatment for metastatic RCC has dramatically changed. The prognosis of RCC patients with lymph node



FIGURE 2 (A) Histopathological findings showing clear cell renal cell carcinoma with a spindle cell carcinoma component. (B) Immunohistochemistry analysis using anti-programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) antibody (clone 28-8) showed that PD-L1 was expressed in 40% of the surgical specimens



FIGURE 3 Computed tomography (CT) taken before (A–C) and after the administration of nivolumab (D–F) (arrows). (A–C) CT shows lung metastasis (A), local recurrence (B), and peritonitis carcinomatosis (C). (D–F) After 24 months of nivolumab treatment, the patient achieved a complete response with multiple metastases on CT (arrows)

involvement or distant metastases receiving ICIs has also changed. Several patients have shown a decreased number of metastatic sites, and some patients have achieved complete response to ICI treatment. According to a phase III trial comparing nivolumab with everolimus in patients with previously treated advanced RCC (CheckMate 025), median progression-free survival (PFS) was 4.6 months with nivolumab and 4.4 months with everolimus (P = .11), even though overall survival (OS) in patients who received nivolumab was significantly longer than those who were administered everolimus

(P = .0018).⁹ In an open-label phase III trial (KEYNOTE-426), patients with advanced RCC who received pembrolizumab plus axitinib had significantly longer OS and PFS and a higher objective response rate than those who received sunitinib only.⁸ In the phase III JAVELIN Renal 101 trial, PFS was significantly longer with avelumab plus axitinib than with sunitinib among patients who received these agents as first-line treatments for advanced RCC.¹⁰ Based on the results of IMmotion151 trial for untreated metastatic RCC, the median PFS was 11.2 months in the atezolizumab plus bevacizumab group vs

7.7 months in the sunitinib group, in the PD-L1 positive population (P = .022).¹¹ In CheckMate 9ER, nivolumab plus cabozantinib demonstrated superiority over sunitinib by doubling the PFS time, doubling the OS rate, and significantly improving OS for advanced RCC.¹² From these results, combination therapy may have several advantages with oncological outcomes in advanced or metastatic RCC than nivolumab monotherapy.

Conversely, SRCC has a more aggressive disease biology and poorer oncological outcomes than other RCCs.⁵ In addition, the optimal treatment regimens for SRCC remain unknown according to several guidelines. According to molecular-targeting therapy for metastatic RCC with sarcomatoid differentiation, Golshayan et al reported that treatment with sunitinib, sorafenib, or bevacizumab, achieved partial response of metastatic disease in 19% of the patients who had mainly clear cell carcinoma with >20% sarcomatoid component in the primary tumors.¹³ In addition, median tumor shrinkage, PFS, and OS were 2%, 5.3, and 11.8 months, respectively.¹³ In a phase II trial for SRCC patients who received the combination of capecitabine, gemcitabine, and bevacizumab, the median OS and PFS were 12 and 5.5 months. respectively.¹⁴ Recent randomized controlled trials using ICIs for RCC treatment have reported that RCC with a sarcomatoid component clearly showed improved oncological outcomes, including OS or PFS rates.^{3,5,6,8} The *post-hoc* analysis of CheckMate-214 study evaluated the utility of nivolumab plus ipilimumab (NIVO+IPI) vs sunitinib in patients with SRCC.³ The median OS in patients who received NIVO+IPI was significantly longer than that in those who received sunitinib (P = .0004); PFS benefits with NIVO+IPI were similarly observed (P = .0093).³ While only 23.1% of the SRCC patients who received sunitinib achieved objective response, 60.8% of those who received NIVO+IPI achieved objective response (P < .0001).³ Additionally, the patients who received NIVO+IPI, unlike those who received sunitinib, achieved complete response (18.9% vs 3.1%, respectively). On the other hand, a retrospective study from Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center reported the efficacy of ICIs in patients with metastatic SRCC.¹⁵ Although the median OS in patients who received ICs (CPI arm) was 33.8 months compared to 8.8 months in those who received nonimmunotherapy (no CPI arm), 30% of the patients in CPI arm and 25% of those in non CPI arm were alive after a median follow-up of 35 months.¹⁴ Therefore, these results suggest that the combination therapy, including ICIs, may improve oncological outcomes in patients with advanced or metastatic RCC.

The reason why patients who received ICIs showed improved oncological outcomes remains unclear. It is possible that the patient had PD-L1 expression of 25% in TPS, 20% in IC, and 40 in CPS. Recently, it has been found that there is higher PD-L1 expression and higher PD1- and CD8-positive cell density in SRCC than in grade 4 clear cell RCC.¹⁵ In the CheckMate 214 population, PD-L1 was a prognostic factor for poor oncological outcomes and was associated with more advanced disease.^{6,16} These data may support the use of ICIs in patients with SRCC regardless of the tumor PD-L1 expression level.³ Further large-scale clinical or case-control observational studies are needed to validate the utility of ICIs as a treatment option for SRCC. In addition, molecular analyses may further characterize the immune response in SRCCs.

4 CONCLUSION

We reported an SRCC patient who showed multiple metastases. This was a case of multiple metastatic progressive SRCC, showing a complete response to nivolumab treatment. ICIs may be used a treatment option for SRCC with lymph node involvement or distant metastases.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors had full access to the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Conceptualization, M.T., T.K.; Investigation, K.N., K.O., K.I.; Formal Analysis, N.S.,T.M.; Writing-Original Draft, M.T.; Writing-Review & Editing: T.K.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Informed consent was obtained to publish this report.

ORCID

Natsuko Suzui 🕩 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3593-8432 Takuya Koie 🕩 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2980-127X

REFERENCES

- 1. Shuch B, Bratslavsky G, Linehan WM, Srinivasan R. Sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma: a comprehensive review of the biology and current treatment strategies. Oncologist. 2012;17(1):46-54.
- 2. Cheville JC, Lohse CM, Zincke H, et al. Sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma: an examination of underlying histologic subtype and an analysis of associations with patient outcome. Am J Surg Pathol. 2004:28 (4):435-441
- 3. Tannir NM, Signoretti S, Choueiri TK, et al. Efficacy and safety of nivolumab plus ipilimumab versus sunitinib in first-line treatment of patients with advanced sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2020:27:78-86
- 4. Kyriakopoulos CE, Chittoria N, Choueiri TK, et al. Outcome of patients with metastatic sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma: results from the international metastatic renal cell carcinoma database consortium. Clin Genitourin Cancer. 2015;13(2):e79-e85.
- 5. Ahrens M, Scheich S, Hartmann A, Bergmann L. IAG-N interdisciplinary working group kidney cancer of the German cancer society. Nonclear cell renal cell carcinoma - pathology and treatment options. Oncol Res Treat. 2019;42(3):128-135.
- 6. Motzer RJ, Tannir NM, McDermott DF, et al. CheckMate 214 investigators. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab versus sunitinib in advanced renalcell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(14):1277-1290.

- 7. Motzer RJ, Bacik J, Murphy BA, Russo P, Mazumdar M. Interferonalfa as a comparative treatment for clinical trials of new therapies against advanced renal cell carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(1): 289-296.
- 8. Rini BI, Plimack ER, Stus V, et al. KEYNOTE-426 investigators. Pembrolizumab plus axitinib versus sunitinib for advanced renal-cell carcinoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380(12):1116-1127.
- Motzer RJ, Escudier B, McDermott DF, et al. CheckMate 025 investigators; Nivolumab versus Everolimus in advanced renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(19):1803-1813.
- Motzer RJ, Penkov K, Haanen J, et al. Avelumab plus axitinib versus sunitinib for advanced renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2019;380 (12):1103-1115.
- Rini BI, Powles T, Atkins MB, et al. IMmotion151 study group. Atezolizumab plus bevacizumab versus sunitinib in patients with previously untreated metastatic renal cell carcinoma (IMmotion151): a multicentre, open-label, phase 3, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2019;393(10189):2404-2415.
- 12. Choueiri TK, Powles T, Burotto M, et al. Nivolumab + cabozantinib vs sunitinib in first-line treatment for advanced renal cell carcinoma: first results from the randomized phase III CheckMate 9ER trial. *Ann Oncol.* 2020;31(supl 4):S1142-S1215.

13. Golshayan AR, George S, Heng DY, et al. Metastatic sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma treated with vascular endothelial growth factor-targeted therapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(2):235-241.

•-WILEY

- Maiti A, Nemati-Shafaee M, Msaouel P, et al. Phase 2 trial of capecitabine, gemcitabine, and bevacizumab in sarcomatoid renal-cell carcinoma. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. 2017;S1558-7673(17):30238.
- Hanif A, Pandey M, Khan S, Attwood K, George S. Metastatic sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. Onco Targets Ther. 2019;8(8):1606639.
- Kawakami F, Sircar K, Rodriguez-Canales J, et al. Programmed cell death ligand 1 and tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte status in patients with renal cell carcinoma and sarcomatoid dedifferentiation. *Cancer*. 2017;123(24):4823-4831.

How to cite this article: Tomioka M, Nakane K, Ozawa K, et al. A case of multiple metastatic sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma with complete response to nivolumab. *Cancer Reports.* 2021;4:e1356. https://doi.org/10.1002/cnr2.1356