*A response to* **Serendipitous discovery of** *Wolbachia* **genomes in multiple** *Drosophila* **species** by SL Salzberg, JC Dunning Hotopp, AL Delcher, M Pop, DR Smith, MB Eisen and WC Nelson. *Genome Biology* 2005, **6:**R23

Address: School of Integrative Biology, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia.

Correspondence: Scott O'Neill. E-mail: scott.oneill@uq.edu.au

Published: 24 June 2005

Genome Biology 2005, 6:401 (doi:10.1186/gb-2005-6-7-401)

The electronic version of this article is the complete one and can be found online at http://genomebiology.com/2005/6/7/401

© 2005 BioMed Central Ltd

A recent paper published by Salzberg et al. [1] reports the discovery, assembly and comparative analysis of three Wolbachia endosymbiont partial genomes. These data were retrieved from the Trace Archive [2] from sequencing projects that were focused on the endosymbiont hosts Drosophila simulans, D. ananassae and D. mojavensis - using the fully sequenced wMel Wolbachia genome [3] as a probe. Salzberg *et al.* refer to these partial genomes as belonging to Wolbachia strains wSim, wAna and wMoj respectively [1]. These strain names are new constructions and it appears that the annotated wSim genome sequence is essentially identical to the previously described wRi strain [4] and should be named accordingly.

There is a large body of previous work on the biology of *Wolbachia* infections of *D. simulans*. To date, five *Wolbachia* strains have been described from *D. simulans* (for a review see [5]), three of them belonging to group A, wAu [6], wRi [7] and wHa [4], and two belonging to group B, wNo [8] and wMa [9]. When the partial genome sequence of wSim [1] is compared to previously published sequences of the different *D. simulans Wolbachia* strains, it is clear that wSim is most likely to be the wRi *Wolbachia* strain that has been

extensively studied over the years. Blastn analysis of numerous wRi sequences available at GenBank (accession numbers X61770, 16S rRNA; AB002288, groES and groEL; AB036661, bacteriophage WO gene for capsid protein; AF348330, ubiA, rbfA, infB, nusA, and acrD genes; AJ012073, glnA and dnaA genes and two genes encoding hypothetical proteins; and AJ580923, wspB gene) reveals that the wRi sequences are 99-100% identical to the partially assembled wSim genome [1]. On the basis of the molecular data publicly available in National Center of Biotechnology Information (NCBI) databases it is apparent that the strain designated as wSim by Salzberg *et al.* [1] is actually *w*Ri. This strain was first described phenotypically by Hoffmann et al. in 1986 [7] in D. simulans collected in Riverside, California. wRi is characterized by the ability to induce high levels of cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) in its native D. simulans host [7] and has the ability to spread quickly through host populations by the induction of CI [10,11]. Biogeographic studies have revealed that wRi is currently the most abundant strain infecting continental populations of *D. simulans* [12].

Finally, the Trace Archive for *D. simulans* contains reads from various

*D. simulans* lines [13] of different biogeographic origin: wsim501 and sim6, both North American and most likely infected by wRi, and simNC48S from New Caledonia and potentially infected with wNo and wHa [12]. Therefore, it would be helpful if the authors could clarify which Trace data were used for the assembly of the wSim genome, as it might be possible that the assembly reported is chimeric, containing predominantly sequences from wRi and possibly some sequence from other *Wolbachia* strains.

While the discovery of these partial genome sequences in the Trace Archive is an exciting development, it is important that the finding is connected to the large established literature in this field if the data is to be of most value to the scientific community.

## Julie Dunning Hotopp, William C Nelson and Steven L Salzberg respond:

We are aware that our newly discovered *Wolbachia* strain from the ongoing *D. simulans* sequencing project, which we have designated wSim [1], might be the same as wRi, as Iturbe-Ormaetxe *et al.* claim. Unfortunately, the evidence to support this claim, which is entirely based on sequence similarity, fails to distinguish it from other hypotheses. Iturbe-Ormaetxe et al. searched wSim against fragments of several D. simulans Wolbachia strains and found that wRi was the best match; from this they conclude that wSim and wRi are the same. If one searches these same wRi fragments against wAna, however, one finds an even closer match to wAna.

The small number of wRi genomic fragments available in GenBank (representing less than 18 kilobases (kb), not 'numerous sequences' despite the contention of Iturbe-Ormaetxe et al.) are diverging too slowly to be used for definitive strain identification; in some cases even the wRi and wMel sequences cannot be differentiated. The *wsp* gene is simply missing from our wSim assembly, but is 99.9% identical between wAna and wRi. The wRi sequence of wspB is 99.2% identical over 788 base-pairs (bp) to wAna and 98% identical over 226 bp to wSim. The two longest genome fragments of wRi, AF348330 (9,235 bp)and AJ012073 (4,838 bp), match wSim and wAna equally well. Clearly, wRi, wSim, and wAna are closely related, as discussed in Table 2 of our paper [1], but if one uses sequence identity to assign strain designations, then wRi looks more like wAna than wSim.

As should be apparent from this analysis, the assertion made by Iturbe-Ormaetxe et al. that wSim = wRi rests on a logical fallacy; that is, that if the best unidirectional BLAST matches of genome A (wSim) correspond to genome B (wRi), then A = B. This ignores that fact genome B might have a better match to genome C - in this case wAna. Even more critical is the fact that only a tiny fraction of wRihas been sequenced. The BLAST analysis shows only that wSim and wRi are highly similar across a few sequence fragments representing less than 1.5% of their genomes.

We are aware that D. simulans has been reported to carry the wRi strain as well as the strain we designate

wSim, and that some of the sequenced D. simulans strains carry the white mutation [13,14]. It should be noted, however, that although the D. simulans sequencing project included a mixture of three Drosophila strains, virtually all (99.9%) of the wSim sequences came from just one strain, sim6; thus both wSim and wRi were found in the California population of D. simulans. Neither this nor the BLAST alignments are, however, sufficient evidence to collapse the strains into one: Wolbachia species from closely related insect species often retain different strain identifiers [15-17] despite sharing some identical gene sequences. This is important because sometimes these Wolbachia infections result in different host phenotypes Less commonly, Wolbachia [16]. species with identical wsp genes isolated from the same insect species (for example, D. simulans) retain different strain designations [15].

This nomenclature is also common in other prokaryotes. Organisms with identical multi-locus sequencing typing (MLST) profiles isolated from the same geographical area will be given different strain designations to preserve information about their origin. This may be important if they have genomic rearrangements and single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that confer different phenotypes. In Wolbachia, genomic rearrangements appear common [1,3], which may support the maintenance of separate strain designations to differentiate ancestry. In the absence of complete genome sequences, definitive genotyping assays, or phenotypic characterization of wSim, resolving strain differences is clearly complicated and beyond the scope of our paper.

Julie Dunning Hotopp, William C Nelson and Steven L Salzberg

Correspondence should be sent to Steven L Salzberg: Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Maryland Institute for Advanced Computer Studies, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA. E-mail: salzberg@umd.edu

## References

- Salzberg SL, Dunning Hotopp JC, Delcher AL, POD M, Smith DR, Eisen MB, Nelson WC: Serendipitous discovery of Wol-bachia genomes in multiple Drosophila species. Genome Biol 2005, 6:R23. Trace Archive v.3 2.
- [http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/Traces] Wu M, Sun LV, Vamathevan J, Riegler M, 3. Deboy R, Brownlie JC, McGraw EA, Martin W, Esser C, Ahmadinejad N, et al.: Phylogenomics of the reproductive parasite Wolbachia pipientis wMel: a streamlined genome overrun by mobile genetic elements. PLoS Biol 2004, 2:E69.
- O'Neill SL. 4. Karr TI: Bidirectional incompatibility between conspecific populations of Drosophila simulans. Nature 1990, 348:178-180.
- Mercot H. Charlat S: Wolbachia infec-5. tions in Drosophila melanogaster and D. simulans: polymorphism and levels of cytoplasmic incompatibility. Genetica 2004. 120:51-59
- 6. Hoffmann AA, Clancy D, Duncan J: A naturally-occurring Wolbachia infection in Drosophila simulans that does not cause cytoplasmic incompatibility. Heredity 1996, 76:1-8.
- 7. Hoffmann AA, Turelli M, Simmons GM: Unidirectional incompatibility between populations of Drosophila simulans. Evolution 1986, 40:692-701.
- 8 Merçot H, Llorente B, Jacques M, Atlan A, Montchamp-Moreau Variability C: within the Seychelles cytoplasmic incompatibility system in Drosophila simulans. Genetics 1995. 141:1015-1023.
- 9. Giordano R, O'Neill SL, Robertson HM: Wolbachia infections and the expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility in Drosophila sechellia and D. mauritiana. Genetics 1995, 140:1307-1317.
- 10 Turelli M, Hoffmann AA: Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in 1991 California Drosophila. Nature 353:440-442
- Turelli M, Hoffmann AA: Cytoplasmic 11. incompatibility in Drosophila simulans: dynamics and parameter estimates populations. Genetics from natural 1995, 140:1319-1338
- Ballard JWO: Sequential evolution of a 12. symbiont inferred from the host: Ŵolbachia and Drosophila simulans. Mol Biol Evol 2004, 21:428-442.
- 13 Washington University in St Louis Genome Sequencing Center: D. simu-[http://www.genome.wustl.edu/prolans jects/simulans/index.php?species=1]
- 14 Hoffmann AA, Turelli M, Harshman LG: Factors affecting the distribution Factors attecting une according of cytoplasmic incompatibility in Genetics 1990, Drosophila simulans. Genetics I 26:933-948.
- 15. Dyer KA, Jaenike J: Evolutionarily stable infection by a male-killing endosymbiont in Drosophila innubila: molécular evidence from the host and 2004, genomes. parasite Genetics 168:1443-1455.
- 16. Jiggins FM, Bentley JK, Majerus ME, Hurst GD: Recent changes in phenotype and patterns of host specialization in Wolbachia bacteria. Mol Ecol 2002, 11:1275-1283.
- 17. Kikuchi Y, Fukatsu T: Diversity of Wolbachia endosymbionts in heteropteran bugs. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003, 69:6082-6090.