OPEN

Sulfonylureas (not metformin) improve survival of patients with diabetes and resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma

Paul Toomey, MD, Anthony Teta, BS, Krishen Patel, BS, Darrell Downs, BS, Kenneth Luberice, MS, Sharona Ross, MD, Alexander S. Rosemurgy, MD^{*}

Introduction: Patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma have an increased propensity for diabetes. Recent studies suggest patients with diabetes and pancreatic adenocarcinoma treated with metformin have increased survival. This study was undertaken to determine whether metformin use is associated with increased survival in patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma. **Methods:** Patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma from 1991 to 2013 were included in this study. Survival was evaluated by Kaplan-Meier analysis. Median data are reported. Significance was accepted with 95% probability.

Results: Of 414 patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 132 (32%) were diabetic. Of patients with diabetes, 35 (27%) were diet-controlled, 34 (26%) were treated with insulin alone, 18 (14%) were treated with metformin alone, 14 (10%) were treated with sulfonylureas alone, 7 (5%) were taking sulfonylureas with insulin, and 24 (18%) patients were taking metformin with sulfonylureas and/or insulin. Patients with/without diabetes not taking sulfonylureas had survival of 16.4 months compared with patients taking sulfonylureas who achieved survival of 27.5 months after undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: Patients taking sulfonylureas with or without other therapy had improved survival compared with patients not taking sulfonylureas after pancreaticoduodenectomy. Metformin does not seem to be beneficial for patients with resectable disease, but may be beneficial for patients with unresectable and/or metastatic disease as shown in prior studies. The use of sulfonylureas is associated with a survival benefit for patients undergoing resection for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Tumor staging and margin status continue to be the overriding predictors of survival in patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma, not metformin therapy.

Keywords: Metformin, Sulfonylureas, Pancreatic adenocarcinoma, Diabetes, Pancreaticoduodenectomy

Introduction

The impact of medical management of type II diabetes mellitus is of great interest given its inextricable relationship with pancreatic cancer. Pancreatic cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer death in the United States and has the highest fatality rate worldwide with the overwhelming majority (~80%) having locally advanced and/ or metastatic disease^[1–5]. It is well known that obesity has become an epidemic in the United States and is a major risk factor to type II diabetes mellitus^[6,7]. Both obesity and diabetes have been shown to increase the risk of pancreatic cancer.

Gemcitabine has become the standard of care chemotherapeutic agent for pancreatic adenocarcinoma, but the survival benefit for

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

Florida Hospital Tampa, Tampa, FL

*Corresponding author. Address: Florida Hospital Tampa, 3000 Medical Park Drive, Suite 500, Tampa, FL 33613. Tel.: + (813) 615-7069; fax: + (813) 615-8350. E-mail address: arosemurgy@hotmail.com (A.S. Rosemurgy).

Copyright © 2017 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

International Journal of Surgery Oncology (2017) 2:e15

Published online 7 April 2017

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/IJ9.000000000000015

patients treated with Gemcitabine in the neoadjuvant and adjuvant setting is dismal^[8–11]. Complete tumor resection for pancreatic cancer is the only hope for cure and has shown to significantly improve survival particularly when achieving an R0 margin^[12]. Medical management for pancreatic cancer is limited; however, medical therapy for type II diabetes is well established^[13,14].

Metformin was FDA approved in 1996 for the management of type II diabetes. Metformin targets the predominant feature of type II diabetes (ie, insulin resistance) by increasing the sensitivity of insulin. More recently, metformin has been correlated with improved survival in patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma and has proven to inhibit pancreatic cancer cell and tumor growth^[15,16]. Survival of patients who underwent pancreatico-duodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma is detailed herein. This study was undertaken to determine whether patients undergoing resection for pancreatic adenocarcinoma who are taking metformin have improved survival. In undertaking this study, we hypothesized that patients taking metformin who undergo resection for pancreatic adenocarcinoma will have increased survival compared with patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma who are not taking metformin.

Methods

Patient details were entered into a secure database with Institutional Review Board after patient informed consent. All patients undergoing an intended pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma were evaluated from 1991 to 2013. After discharge, patients were prospectively followed up. Drugs for diabetes taken and noted herein were taken before and at the time of pancreaticoduodenectomy.

Data were stored in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corp, Redmond, WA) files. Statistical analysis utilized Graphpad Instat version 3.06 and Graphpad Prism 5 (Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Comparisons were undertaken using Mann-Whitney U test or χ^2 test, and for contingency testing, the Fisher Exact test was utilized for summing small P-values, where appropriate. Survival data herein constitute crude survival with death due to all causes; mortality herein is not disease specific. Survival curve analyses were also undertaken on Graphpad Prism 5, which permitted the log-rank and Wilcoxon tests on the Kaplan-Meier survival curves. When appropriate, data are presented as median, mean ± SD for illustrative purposes. Significance was accepted with 95% probability. The multiple combinations of medical therapy for their diabetes were stratified by R status, TNM score, AJCC stage, and survival of patients (Table 1).

Results

Pancreaticoduodenectomy was undertaken for 414 patients (184 men) with pancreatic adenocarcinoma with a median age of 68 years. One hundred thirty-two (32%) patients had diabetes: 35 (27%) patients were diet-controlled, 18 (14%) were treated with metformin alone, 24 (18%) were treated with metformin in addition to insulin and or insulin-secretagogues (ie, sulfonylureas), and 55 (42%) were treated with sulfonylureas and or insulin alone (Table 1). T-criteria, N-criteria, and margin status were not significantly different for patients taking metformin when

compared with patients taking sulfonylureas and/or insulin without metformin (Table 2). T-criteria, N-criteria, and margin status did not differ for patients with diabetes who were taking sulfonylureas compared with patients not taking sulfonylureas (Table 3).

Survival of patients with diabetes did not differ from patients without diabetes (Fig. 1). Patients with diabetes treated with metformin did not have improved survival compared with patients with diabetes not treated with metformin (Fig. 2). The impact of insulin was not significant when compared with patients with diabetes not taking insulin (Fig. 3). Patients with diabetes who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma treated with sulfonylureas had improved survival compared with patients not treated with sulfonylureas (27.5 vs.14.6 mo; P < 0.05) (Fig. 4). Patients who underwent pancreatic adenocarcinoma with or without diabetes treated with sulfonylureas had significantly improved survival compared with patients not treated with sulfonylureas had significantly improved survival compared with patients not treated with sulfonylureas had significantly improved survival compared with patients not treated with sulfonylureas (27.5 vs. 16.4 mo; P < 0.05) (Fig. 5).

Discussion

This study was undertaken to evaluate the potentially beneficial effect of metformin on survival in patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. In undertaking this study, we concluded that patients who undergo pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma who are taking sulfonylureas with or without other therapy have improved survival compared with patients not taking sulfonylureas. Metformin does not seem to be beneficial for patients with resectable disease, but may have a benefit for patients with unresectable and/or metastatic disease as

Table 1

Individualized diabetes treatment stratified by R status, T-criteria, N-criteria, AJCC stage, and survival.

	n (%)							
	Diet Controlled	Metformin	Metformin + Sulfonylurea + Insulin	Sulfonylurea	Insulin	Sulfonylurea + Insulin	Metformin + Insulin	Metformin + Sulfonylurea
No. patients	35	18	3	14	34	7	5	16
R status (R0/ R1)	30 (88)/5 (12)	12 (67)/6 (33)	2 (67)/1 (33)	13 (93)/1 (7)	25 (74)/9 (36)	6 (86)/1 (14)	4 (80)/1 (20)	14 (88)/2 (12)
T-criteria								
T1	1 (3)	1 (5)	0 (0)	1 (7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
T2	10 (29)	3 (17)	0 (0)	3 (21)	6 (18)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (31)
T3	22 (62)	13 (73)	3 (100)	10 (72)	27 (79)	7 (100)	5 (100)	11 (69)
T4	2 (6)	1 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
N-criteria								
NO	14 (40)	6 (33)	2 (67)	6 (43)	12 (35)	2 (29)	0 (0)	8 (50)
N1	21 (60)	12 (67)	1 (33)	8 (57)	22 (65)	5 (71)	5 (100)	8 (50)
AJCC staging								
IA	1 (3)	1 (5)	0 (0)	1 (7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
IB	7 (20)	2 (11)	0 (0)	2 (14)	1 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (25)
IIA	6 (17)	3 (17)	2 (67)	3 (21)	11 (32)	3 (43)	0 (0)	4 (25)
IIB	19 (54)	11 (62)	1 (33)	8 (56)	20 (59)	4 (57)	4 (80)	7 (44)
III	2 (6)	1 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
IV	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (6)	0 (0)	1 (20)	1 (6)
Survival (mo)	14 (27 <u>+</u> 28)	14 (23 ± 35)	11 (12 ± 2)	20 (21 ± 16)	13 (13 ± 8)	28 (37 ± 22)	24 (34 ± 26)	11 (11 ± 8)

Where appropriate, data are presented as median (mean \pm SD).

Table 2

Patients with diabetes taking metformin (n = 32) did not have significantly different T-stage, N-stage, or margin status, when compared with patients taking sulfonylureas and/or insulin without metformin (n = 55).

	n (%)				
_	Metformin (N = 32 Patients)	Sulfonylureas/Insulin (N = 55 Patients)	Р		
T-criteria					
T1	2 (6)	1 (2)	0.69		
T2	5 (16)	9 (16)			
T3	23 (72)	44 (80)			
T4	2 (6)	1 (2)			
N-criteria					
NO	11 (33)	20 (36)	0.82		
N1	21 (67)	35 (64)			
Margin st	atus				
R0	32 (76)	44 (80)	0.79		
R1	10 (24)	11 (20)			

Table 3

Patients taking sulfonylureas did not have significantly different T-criteria, N-criteria, or margin status, when compared with patients with diabetes not taking sulfonylureas or patients with or without diabetes not taking sulfonylureas.

	Sulfonylureas (Diabetic;	No Sulfonylureas (Diabetic;		No Sulfonylureas (All Patients;	
	n = Patients)	n = Patients)	Р	n = Patients)	Р
T-criteria	l				
T1	1	2	0.19	23	0.46
T2	8	19		75	
T3	31	37		297	
T4	0	4		18	
N-criteria	1				
NO	18	32	0.33	152	0.39
N1	22	60		262	
Margin s	tatus				
R0	35	71	0.24	326	0.28
R1	5	21		87	



Figure 1. Diabetes did not correlate with survival rates after pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma (18.7 vs. 17.5 mo; P = 0.71).

Metformin vs Non-Metformin



Figure 2. Patients with diabetes who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma did not have improved survival when taking metformin (19.2 mo) compared with patients not taking metformin (17.7 mo; P = 0.89).



Figure 3. Patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma did not have statistically significant improved survival when taking insulin (14.9 mo) compared with patients not taking insulin (19.4 mo; P=0.31).



Figure 4. Patients with diabetes who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma had significantly improved survival when taking sulfonylureas (27.5 mo) compared with patients not taking sulfonylureas (14.6 mo; P < 0.05).



Figure 5. Patients with or without diabetes who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma had significantly improved survival when taking sulfonylureas (27.5 mo) compared with patients not taking sulfonylureas (16.4 mo; P < 0.05).

prior studies showing survival benefits with metformin had patients with advanced disease.

All patients in this series underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy for resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Our patient population was sex-balanced with a distribution being nearly equal. The age of patients was similar to that reported in other series and common for patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy. The majority of patients did not have diabetes and the majority of patients with diabetes were treated with sulfonylureas/insulin alone. Diabetes was not an independent predictor of survival.

Recently, with the availability of long-term data, the use of metformin for patients with diabetes has been found to be associated with a decreased risk for any cancer^[17]. Furthermore, metformin is correlated with improved survival of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma; however, the vast majority of these patients studied have metastatic or locally advanced pancreatic cancer, which precluded resection^[16]. Contradictory to the aforementioned study, our study shows there is no survival benefit for patients with diabetes taking metformin who underwent resection for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Prior studies have shown that metformin use not only has a beneficial effect, but that sulfonvlureas and/or insulin have a detrimental effect^[18]. Therefore, in the early portions of our study, we grouped patients treated with sulfonylureas and/or insulin, as sulfonylureas have been postulated to impart the same risk as insulin since sulfonylureas are insulin-secretagogues^[7,19]. When comparing patients without diabetes who have pancreatic cancer compared with patients with short-term (<2 y) or long-term (>2 y) diabetes, neither tumor size nor tumor location differ. However, pancreatic cancer in patients with long-term diabetes have an increased rate of resectability and the lowest rate of extrapancreatic extension of their tumors^[20]. In our study, the salutary impact of metformin on survival of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma is lost when the cancers are resectable and the use of sulfonylureas is associated with longer survival. Tumor criteria and margin status continue to be the overriding predictors of survival in patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma when considering metformin therapy for patients with resectable disease.

The proposed mechanism for metformin as an anticancer agent is multifactorial. Metformin ameliorates hyperglycemia/ hyperinsulinemia, activates the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), and activates AMP/MAP-kinase, which therefore inhibits the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTor) pathway^[21]. Metformin may be a potential adjunct to current chemotherapeutic strategies, particularly targeted therapy of the EGFR or mTor pathway. Combined approaches with EGFR inhibitors with metformin are potential synergistic novel therapeutic strategies for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Results are pending of a phase II, randomized, placebo controlled study to evaluate the efficacy of the combination of gemcitabine, erlotinib, and metformin for patients with locally advanced and/or metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma by a group in Amsterdam.

Reinventing currently administered medications can expedite the approval process for cancer therapy, as the safety profile has already been proven with historical data. Metformin is potentially the newest adjunct to cancer therapy by reinvention. For patients with resectable cancer, however, it seems that improvement is more dependent on previously known prognostic factors, such as margin status and TNM staging, and the potential benefits of metformin alone are lost for patients with resectable disease. Further investigation is warranted, however, for locally advanced and metastatic disease, as well as synergistic therapy with metformin for patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma, especially given its historically safe drug profile.

Obesity is epidemic in the United States and there is an associated rise in type II diabetes mellitus, and as previously stated, there is a relationship between diabetes and pancreatic cancer. There have been numerous reports of the detrimental effects of obesity, particularly visceral fat on cancer survival^[22–24]. Furthermore, diabetes increases cardiovascular risks and has a particular interlaced relationship with pancreatic cancer diagnosis and prognosis. A recent meta-analysis identified a 31% reduction in overall cancer incidence or mortality when metformin is used as treatment for diabetes^[25]; however, more studies are needed to define the impact metformin and other diabetes therapies have on survival.

This study is novel in that patients treated with sulfonylureas (not metformin) have an improved survival compared with patients not treated with sulfonylureas. Studies to date have suggested that metformin is associated with a better prognosis in patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma and that sulfonylureas have an increased risk of cancer, although this has not been corroborated with randomized control trials^[26]. Less is known, however, about the prognostic effect of sulfonylureas for patients with cancer and furthermore, specifically for pancreatic adenocarcinoma continues to be complex and further investigation is warranted not only on the effects of obesity and diabetes, but also the effects of metformin and sulfonylureas on cancer-specific outcomes.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no financial conflict of interest with regard to the content of this report.

- Toomey P, Hernandez J, Golkar F, *et al.* Pancreatic adenocarcinoma: complete tumor extirpation improves survival benefit despite larger tumors for patients who undergo distal pancreatectomy and splenectomy. J Gastrointest Surg 2012;16:376–81.
- [2] Brennan MF, Moccia RD, Klimstra D. Management of adenocarcinoma of the body and tail of the pancreas. Ann Surg 1996;223:506–12.
- [3] Moon HJ, An JY, Heo JS, et al. Predicting survival after surgical resection for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Pancreas 2006;32:37–43.
- [4] Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer statistics, 2009. CA Cancer J Clin 2009;59:225–49.
- [5] Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, *et al.* Estimating the world cancer burden: Globocan 2000. Int J Cancer 2001;94:153–6.
- [6] Felber JP, Golay A. Pathways from obesity to diabetes. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2002;26:39–45.
- [7] Currie CJ, Poole CD, Gale EA. The influence of glucose-lowering therapies on cancer risk in type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2009;52: 1766–77.
- [8] Baschnagel A, Shah C, Margolis J, et al. Survival after chemoradiation in resected pancreatic cancer: the impact of adjuvant gemcitabine. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;83:331–5.
- [9] Arshad A, Al-Leswas D, Al-Taan O, et al. Pooled survival and response data from phase III randomized controlled trials for gemcitabine-based regimes in the treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer. Am J Clin Oncol 2013;36:411–4.
- [10] Saif MW. Adjuvant treatment of pancreatic cancer in 2009: where are we? Highlights from the 45th ASCO annual meeting. Orlando, FL, USA. May 29-June 2, 2009. JOP 2009;10:373–7.
- [11] Habermehl D, Kessel K, Welzel T, et al. Neoadjuvant chemoradiation with Gemcitabine for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. Radiation Oncology 2012;7:28.
- [12] Mathur A, Ross SB, Luberice K, et al. Margin status impacts survival after pancreaticoduodenectomy but negative margins should not be pursued. Am Surg 2014;80:353–60.
- [13] Pratley RE, Kuritzky L, Tenzer P. A patient-centered approach to managing patients with type 2 diabetes. Am J Med 2014;127:15–6.

- [14] Inzucchi SE, Lipska KJ, Mayo H, et al. Metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes and kidney disease: a systematic review. JAMA 2014;312: 2668–75.
- [15] Nair V, Pathi S, Jutooru I, et al. Metformin inhibits pancreatic cancer cell and tumor growth and downregulates Sp transcription factors. Carcinogenesis 2013;34:2870–9.
- [16] Sadeghi N, Abbruzzese JL, Yeung SC, et al. Metformin use is associated with better survival of diabetic patients with pancreatic cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2012;18:2905–12.
- [17] Noto H, Goto A, Tsujimoto T, et al. Cancer risk in diabetic patients treated with metformin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2012;7:33411.
- [18] Bodmer M, Becker C, Meier C, et al. Use of antidiabetic agents and the risk of pancreatic cancer: a case-control analysis. Am J Gastroenterol 2012;107:620-6.
- [19] Bowker SL, Majumdar SR, Veugelers P, et al. Increased cancer-related mortality for patients with type 2 diabetes who use sulfonylureas or insulin: response to Farooki and Schneider. Diabetes Care 2006;29:1990–1.
- [20] Ishikawa O, Ohhigashi H, Wada A, et al. Morphologic characteristics of pancreatic carcinoma with diabetes mellitus. Cancer 1989;64:1107–2.
- [21] Goodwin PJ, Stambolic V. Obesity and insulin resistance in breast cancerchemoprevention strategies with a focus on metformin. Breast 2011;3:31–5.
- [22] Mathur A, Hernandez J, Shaheen F, et al. Preoperative computed tomography measurements of pancreatic steatosis and visceral fat: prognostic markers for dissemination and lethality of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. HPB (Oxford) 2011;13:404–10.
- [23] Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, et al. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of US adults. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1625–38.
- [24] Kahn SE, Hull RL, Utzschneider KM. Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Nature 2006;444:840–6.
- [25] Decensi A, Puntoni M, Goodwin P, et al. Metformin and cancer risk in diabetic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Prev Res 2010;3:1451–61.
- [26] Thakkar B, Aronis KN, Vamvini MT, et al. Metformin and sulfonylureas in relation to cancer risk in type II diabetes patients: a meta-analysis using primary data of published studies. Metabolism 2013;62:922–34.