



Association Between Pre-Pregnancy Body Mass Index and Miscarriage in an Assisted Reproductive Technology Population: A 10-Year Cohort Study

Pengfei Qu^{1,2}, Mingxin Yan³, Doudou Zhao¹, Dongyang Wang¹, Shaonong Dang³, Wenhao Shi^{1,4}, Juanzi Shi^{1,4} and Chunli Zhang^{5*}

¹ Translational Medicine Center, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ² Departments of Pediatrics and Neonatology, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China, ³ Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an, China, ⁴ Assisted Reproduction Center, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁵ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁵ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁵ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's And Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's And Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's And Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's And Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's And Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's And Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's And Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Northwest Women's An

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Marwan El Ghoch, Beirut Arab University, Lebanon

Reviewed by:

Xiaoqin Luo, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China Yongbin Wang, Xinxiang Medical University, China

> *Correspondence: Chunli Zhang clzhang@yeah.net

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Obesity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 25 December 2020 Accepted: 25 May 2021 Published: 16 June 2021

Citation:

Qu P, Yan M, Zhao D, Wang D, Dang S, Shi W, Shi J and Zhang C (2021) Association Between Pre-Pregnancy Body Mass Index and Miscarriage in an Assisted Reproductive Technology Population: A 10-Year Cohort Study. Front. Endocrinol. 12:646162. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.646162 **Objective:** To investigate the association between pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) and miscarriages in women who required assisted reproductive technology (ART) for conception.

Methods: A retrospective cohort study was conducted using a 10-year (2006–2015) sample of 14,994 pregnancy cycles with ART treatment in Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, China. The effects of women's BMI before pregnancy on early miscarriage and miscarriage were assessed using generalized estimating equation models.

Results: The risks of early miscarriage and miscarriage were higher in the obese group than in the normal weight group [early miscarriage: relative risk (RR) = 1.36, confidence interval (Cl): 1.12-1.65; miscarriage: RR = 1.40, 95% Cl: 1.17-1.68]. Pre-pregnancy underweight was not associated with an increased risk of early miscarriage or miscarriage. We observed interactions between pre-pregnancy BMI and singleton or twin pregnancy in early miscarriage and miscarriage (P = 0.017 and P = 0.003, respectively). Twin pregnancy increased the effects of pre-pregnancy BMI on early miscarriage and miscarriage: a. singleton pregnancy: RR = 1.02, 95% Cl: 1.01-1.04; b. twin pregnancy: RR = 1.08, 95% Cl: 1.03-1.13; miscarriage: a. singleton pregnancy: RR = 1.02, 95% Cl: 1.01-1.04; b. twin pregnancy: RR = 1.08, 95% Cl: 1.03-1.13; miscarriage: a. singleton pregnancy: RR = 1.02, 95% Cl: 1.02-1.13).

Conclusions: Pre-pregnancy obesity was associated with higher risks of early miscarriage and miscarriage in the ART population, and twin pregnancy increased the effects of pre-pregnancy BMI on early miscarriage and miscarriage. Women should maintain a normal BMI before ART initiation to prevent adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Keywords: body mass index, obesity, miscarriage, assisted reproductive technology, twin pregnancy

INTRODUCTION

In the past 40 years, assisted reproductive technologies (ART) have become a common option for infertile couples worldwide (1). It is estimated that eight million individuals have been conceived using ART (2). Compared with spontaneous conception, ART is associated with an increased risk of multiple gestations, miscarriage, congenital malformations, and preterm birth (3–6).

The prevalence of overweight and obesity has increased in an alarming way in the past 50 years worldwide, and more than 39% of the adult population worldwide is now classified as overweight or obese (7, 8). With its economic development, diet, and lifestyle change, the prevalence of overweight and obesity in China is gradually reaching that in developed countries (9, 10). The prevalence of overweight or obesity in adults has increased from 22.8% to 30.1% between 2002 and 2012 in China (11). Pre-pregnancy BMI is an important indicator of pregnancy outcomes (12, 13). Nevertheless, studies on the associations between pre-pregnancy BMI and miscarriages are limited, and the conclusions are inconsistent for pregnant women receiving ART treatment (14-18). Although some studies have reported that pre-pregnancy underweight and obesity both increase the miscarriage rate of pregnant women receiving ART treatment (19, 20), other studies have reported no adverse effects of prepregnancy underweight, overweight, or obesity on the miscarriage rate of ART (14, 18). Thus, the objective of the present study was to analyze the influence of pre-pregnancy BMI on miscarriage in women receiving ART treatment. In total, 10 years of patient records, including demographic characteristics, ART treatments, and pregnancy outcomes, were collected and used to compare early miscarriage and miscarriage among

different BMI groups in a single ART center in Xi'an, Shaanxi Province, Northwest China.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Population

A retrospective cohort study was conducted using 10 years of clinical data (2006–2015) from the ART center at Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Northwest China. A total of 15,254 pregnancy cycles were conceived with *in vitro* fertilization (IVF)/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) treatment. Consequently, 44 pregnancy cycles with missing pre-pregnancy BMI and 216 pregnancy cycles with missing covariates were excluded, leaving a total of 14,994 pregnancy cycles enrolled in this study (**Figure 1**).

Shaanxi Province requires that pregnancy outcomes (including early miscarriage and miscarriage) be reported in the Shaanxi Assisted Reproduction Database. In this study, all pregnancy outcomes were collected from the Assisted Reproduction Database. Demographic data and ART treatment data were collected and assessed by each patient's clinician.

BMI Assessment

Weight (nearest 100 g) and height (nearest 1 cm) were measured and recorded by a trained nurse one month before ART treatment. BMI was calculated as weight/height² (kg/m²). Based on the Chinese criteria (21), pregnant women were divided into four BMI groups: underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5 \leq BMI < 24.00 kg/m²), overweight (24 \leq BMI < 28.00 kg/m²), and obese (BMI \geq 28 kg/m²). Based on the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria (22), pregnant women were



divided into four BMI groups: underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), normal weight ($18.5 \le BMI < 25.00 \text{ kg/m}^2$), overweight ($25 \le BMI < 30.00 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and obese (BMI $\ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$).

Definitions of Pregnancy Outcomes

Clinical pregnancy was confirmed using ultrasound at 26 days after blastocyst transfer or 28 days after cleavage-stage embryo transfer. Singleton and twin pregnancies were confirmed using ultrasonographic visualization of the gestational sacs and fetal heart. Early miscarriage and miscarriage were the primary outcome measures in this study. Early miscarriage was defined as the loss of pregnancy before 12 weeks of gestation. Miscarriage was defined as the loss of pregnancy before 20 weeks of gestation.

Confounding Variables

Based on the literature (23), potential factors correlated to pregnancy outcomes, such as patient baseline demographic characteristics, clinical characteristics, and treatment procedure, were also collected for the study participants. These included maternal age, smoking history (yes or no), gravidity (0, 1–2 and \geq 3), parity (0 or \geq 1), main etiology of infertility (tubal factor, ovarian factor, male factor, and other reasons), year of transfer (2006–2009, 2010–2012, and 2013–2015), sperm donation (yes or no), fertilization method (ICSI, IVF, and IVF + ICSI), fresh/frozen embryo transfer, blastocyst/cleavagestage transfer, assisted hatching (yes or no), antral follicle count, basal serum follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) level, endometrial thickness, number of embryos transferred (1, 2, or \geq 3), and singleton or twin pregnancy.

Statistical Analysis

The participants' baseline characteristics are summarized using mean and standard deviation for normally distributed continuous variables, median and interquartile range (IQR) for non-normally distributed continuous variables, and counts and proportions for categorical variables. The chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test was performed to compare categorical variables. Analysis of covariance and the Kruskal–Wallis test were performed to compare normally distributed variables and non-normally distributed variables, respectively.

Generalized estimating equation (GEE) models with log link were employed to analyze the relationships between prepregnancy BMI and miscarriages, and in the same woman as a cluster effect. All multivariate analyses were adjusted for all baseline covariates (age, smoking history, gravidity, parity, main etiology of infertility, year of transfer, sperm donation, fertilization method, fresh/frozen embryo transfer, blastocyst/ cleavage-stage transfer, assisted hatching, antral follicle count, basal serum FSH level, endometrial thickness, number of embryos transferred, and singleton or twin pregnancy). In the subgroup analysis, we explored the relationships between prepregnancy BMI and miscarriages in the subgroup of singleton and twin pregnancies. We conducted a sensitivity analysis using the BMI criteria proposed by the WHO. We also used restricted cubic splines to flexibly model the association between prepregnancy BMI and miscarriages. Statistical analyses were

performed using the SAS software package (version 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All P-values were two-sided with a significance level of < 0.05.

RESULTS

Participants' Characteristics

A total of 13,828 pregnant women who underwent ART and 14,994 pregnancy cycles were analyzed in the study. Pregnant women and pregnancy cycles were divided into underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese groups according to the Chinese criteria. The patients' mean age was 29.79 ± 4.08 years; 9,892 pregnancy cycles (65.97%) were embryos transferred between 2013 and 2015 and 10,699 (71.36%) were IVF treatment. The characteristics of participants are presented in **Table 1**. The overweight and obese groups were older and more likely to have higher gravidity and parity, higher antral follicle count, greater endometrial thickness, ovarian cause of infertility, and blastocyst transfer. Finally, the overweight and obese groups were more likely to have lower FSH levels, less sperm donation, and less ICSI treatment.

BMI and Early Miscarriage

Overall, the rate of early miscarriage was 11.87% among all pregnancy cycles. The rates of early miscarriage varied according to pre-pregnancy BMI. Among the underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese groups, the rates of early miscarriage were 10.67%, 11.44%, 13.20%, and 15.91% (P < 0.001), respectively (see **Table 2** for details).

After adjusting for baseline covariates (age, smoking history, gravidity, parity, etiology of infertility, and year of transfer), the obesity group had a 32% increased risk of early miscarriage relative to the normal weight group (relative risk [RR] = 1.32, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.08–1.61). After adjusting for all baseline covariates, this association remained statistically significant (RR = 1.36, 95% CI: 1.12–1.65). Additionally, after adjusting for all baseline covariates, for a one-unit increment of pre-pregnancy BMI, the RR of early miscarriage increased by 3% (RR = 1.03, 95% CI: 1.01–1.04) (see **Table 3** for details).

BMI and Miscarriage

Overall, the rate of miscarriage was 12.89% among all pregnancy cycles. The rates of miscarriage varied according to prepregnancy BMI. Among the underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese groups, the rates of miscarriage were 11.10%, 12.45%, 14.30%, and 17.92% (P < 0.001), respectively (see **Table 2** for details).

After adjusting for baseline covariates (age, smoking history, gravidity, parity, and etiology of infertility, year of transfer), the obesity group had a 36% increased risk of miscarriage, compared with the normal weight group (RR = 1.36, 95% CI: 1.13–1.64). After adjusting for all baseline covariates, this association remained statistically significant (RR = 1.40, 95% CI: 1.17–1.68). Additionally, after adjusting for all baseline covariates, with a one-unit increment of pre-pregnancy BMI, the RR of

	Underweight	Normal weight	Overweight	Obese	χ^2/F value	P value
Patient characteristics						
Patient number	1304	9409	2571	544		
Frequency of ART pregnancy, n (%)						
1	1211 (92.87)	8646 (91.89)	2370 (92.18)	493 (90.63)	2.994	0.393
≥ 2	93 (7.13)	763 (8.11)	201 (7.82)	51 (9.38)		
Age (year), mean ± SD	28.63 ± 3.73	29.76 ± 4.03	30.35 ± 4.25	30.42 ± 4.18	161.362	<0.001ª
Smoking history, n (%)						
Yes	2 (0.15)	30 (0.32)	10 (0.39)	5 (0.92)	6.051	0.091 ^b
No	1302 (99.85)	9379 (99.68)	2561 (99.61)	539 (99.08)		
Gravidity, n (%)						
0	863 (66.18)	5485 (58.30)	1433 (55.74)	314 (57.72)		
1-2	376 (28.83)	3202 (34.03)	902 (35.08)	190 (34.93)	47.656	< 0.001
≥3	65 (4.98)	722 (7.67)	236 (9.18)	40 (7.35)		
Parity, n (%)						
0	1234 (94.63)	8599 (91.39)	2268 (88.21)	488 (89.71)	48.393	< 0.001
≥1	70 (5.37)	810 (8.61)	303 (11.79)	56 (10.29)		
Main etiology of infertility, n (%)						
Tubal factor	566 (43.40)	4576 (48.53)	1252 (48.70)	235 (43.20)	169.889	< 0.001
Ovarian factor	40 (3.07)	369 (3.92)	209 (8.13)	64 (11.76)		
Male factor	285 (21.86)	1875 (19.93)	419 (16.30)	97 (17.83)		
Other reasons	413 (31.67)	2589 (27.52)	691 (26.88)	148 (27.21)		
Pregnancy cycle characteristics						
Number of ART pregnancy cycles	1397	10196	2804	597		
Year of transfer, n (%)						
2006–2009	131 (9.34)	813 (7.94)	150 (5.35)	25 (4.19)		
2010–2012	375 (26.84)	2804 (27.50)	685 (24.43)	119 (19.93)	74.699	< 0.001
2013–2015	891 (63.78)	6579 (64.53)	1969 (70.22)	453 (75.88)		
Sperm donation						
Yes	134 (9.59)	672 (6.59)	138 (4.92)	24 (4.02)	39.854	< 0.001
No	1263 (90.41)	9524 (93.41)	2666 (95.08)	573 (95.98)		
Fertilization method, n (%)						
ICSI	429 (30.71)	2706 (26.54)	675 (24.07)	140 (23.45)		
IVF	945 (67.64)	7262 (71.22)	2054 (73.25)	438 (73.47)	28.618	< 0.001
IVF + ICSI	23 (1.65)	228 (2.24)	75 (2.67)	19 (3.18)		
Timing of embryo transfer, n (%)						
Fresh embryo transfer	790 (56.55)	5914 (58.00)	1588 (56.63)	339 (56.78)	2.536	0.469
Frozen embryo transfer	607 (43.45)	4282 (42.00)	1216 (43.37)	258 (43.22)		
Day 3 or 5, n (%)						
Cleavage stage transfer	925 (66.21)	6730 (66.01)	1731 (61.73)	351 (58.79)	28.655	< 0.001
Blastocyst transfer	472 (33.79)	3466 (33.99)	1073 (38.27)	246 (41.21)		
Assisted hatching, n (%)						
Yes	381 (27.27)	2902 (28.46)	809 (28.85)	158 (26.47)	2.252	0.522
No	1016 (72.73)	7294 (74.54)	1995 (71.15)	439 (73.53)		
Antral follicle count, Median (IQR)	12 (9, 16)	12 (9, 16)	13 (10, 18)	14 (10, 20)	81.749	<0.001 ^a
Basal serum FSH level (U/L), Median (IQR)	7.05 (5.99, 8.18)	6.54 (5.58, 7.75)	6.31 (5.36, 7.35)	6.06 (5.20, 7.07)	216.551	<0.001 ^a
Endometrial thickness (mm), median (IQR)	10.40 (9.20, 11.80)	10.50 (9.20, 12.00)	10.50 (9.20, 12.10)	10.80 (9.40, 12.20)	13.713	0.003 ^a
No. of embryos transferred, n (%)	/	/	/	,		
1	230 (16.46)	1585 (15.54)	501 (17.87)	94 (15.75)		
2	1064 (76.16)	7769 (76.20)	2076 (74.04)	468 (78.39)	14.357	0.026
≥3	103 (7.37)	842 (8.26)	227 (8.10)	35 (5.86)		
Singleton or twin pregnancy, n (%)	(- <i>)</i>	V/	4 <i>I</i>	· · · · /		
singleton	950 (68.00)	7003 (68.68)	1966 (70.11)	409 (68.51)	2.715	0.438
twin ^c	447 (32.00)	3193 (31.32)	838 (29.86)	188 (31.49)		

^aKruskal-Wallis test; ^bFisher exact test; ^cTwin pregnancy included 93 triplet pregnancies.

IQR, interquartile range.

miscarriage increased by 3% (RR = 1.03, 95% CI: 1.02-1.04) (see **Table 3** for details).

Subgroup Analyses

Subgroup analyses of the relationship between pre-pregnancy BMI and miscarriage were performed by singleton and twin

pregnancies (see **Table 4** for details). The RRs of early miscarriage and miscarriage were significantly elevated with increased BMI for both singleton pregnancy and twin pregnancy groups. Increased pre-pregnancy BMI was associated with higher RRs of early miscarriage and miscarriage in the twin pregnancy group than in the singleton

4

TABLE 2 | Relationship between miscarriage and pre-pregnancy BMI in ART pregnancies.

Pregnancy outcomes	Total (n = 14994)	Underweight (n=1397)	Normal weight (n=10196)	Overweight (n=2804)	Obese (n=597)	χ^2 value	P value
Early miscarriage (<12 weeks), n (%)	1780 (11.87)	149 (10.67)	1166 (11.44)	370 (13.20)	95 (15.91)	17.809	< 0.001
Miscarriage (<20 weeks), n (%)	1932 (12.89)	155 (11.10)	1269 (12.45)	401 (14.30)	107 (17.92)	24.244	< 0.001

 TABLE 3 | Effects of pre-pregnancy BMI on miscarriage: results from the GEE models analysis.

Pregnancy outcomes	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3 Adjusted RR (95% Cl), <i>P</i> value		
	Crude RR (95% CI), P value	Adjusted RR (95% Cl), P value			
Early miscarriage					
Underweight	0.93 (0.79–1.09), 0.394	1.00 (0.85–1.17), 0.975	0.99 (0.84, 1.16), 0.877		
Normal weight	Ref	Ref	Ref		
Overweight	1.15 (1.03–1.29), 0.013	1.11 (0.99–1.24), 0.072	1.11 (0.99, 1.24), 0.064		
Obese	1.39 (1.14–1.70), 0.001	1.32 (1.08–1.61), 0.006	1.36 (1.12, 1.65), 0.002		
Early miscarriage					
BMI	1.03 (1.02–1.05), < 0.001	1.02 (1.01–1.04), 0.001	1.03 (1.01, 1.04), < 0.001		
Miscarriage					
Underweight	0.89 (0.76–1.04), 0.149	0.95 (0.81–1.11), 0.538	0.94 (0.81, 1.10), 0.466		
Normal weight	Ref	Ref			
Overweight	1.15 (1.03–1.28), 0.011	1.10 (0.99–1.23), 0.075	1.10 (0.99, 1.22), 0.071		
Obese	1.44 (1.20–1.73), < 0.001	1.36 (1.13–1.64), 0.001	1.40 (1.17, 1.68), < 0.001		
Miscarriage					
BMI	1.04 (1.02–1.05), < 0.001	1.03 (1.01–1.04), < 0.001	1.03 (1.02, 1.04), < 0.001		

Model 2 adjusted age, smoking history, gravidity, parity, etiology of infertility, year of transfer.

Model 3 adjusted all baseline covariates (age, smoking history, gravidity, parity, etiology of infertility, year of transfer, sperm donation, fertilization method, frozen or fresh embryo transfer, cleavage stage or blastocyst transfer, assisted hatching, antral follicle count, basal serum FSH, endometrial thickness, no. of embryos transferred, and singleton or twin pregnancy).

TABLE 4 | Effects of pre-pregnancy BMI on miscarriage: results from the GEE models analysis in subgroups.

Pregnancy outcomes		Singleton pregnancy			Twin pregnancy			
	Total	Events	Adjusted RR (95% CI), <i>P</i> value ^a	Total	Events	Adjusted RR (95% CI), <i>P</i> value ^a		
Early miscarriage								
Underweight	950	138 (14.53)	1.02 (0.86–1.20), 0.848	447	11 (2.46)	0.79 (0.43–1.45), 0.452		
Normal weight	7003	1060 (15.14)	Ref	3193	106 (3.32)	Ref	0.018	
Overweight or obese	2375	321 (16.33)	1.08 (0.97–1.21), 0.145	1026	62 (6.04)	1.70 (1.25–2.32), < 0.001		
Early miscarriage								
BMI	10328	1601 (15.50)	1.02 (1.01-1.04), 0.007	4666	179 (3.84)	1.08 (1.03–1.13), 0.001	0.017	
Miscarriage								
Underweight	950	142 (14.95)	0.99 (0.84–1.16), 0.868	447	13 (2.91)	0.68 (0.39–1.19), 0.174		
Normal weight	7003	1126 (16.08)	Ref	3193	143 (4.48)	Ref	0.005	
Overweight or obese	2375	427 (17.98)	1.08 (0.97–1.19), 0.163	1026	81 (7.89)	1.68 (1.29–2.19), < 0.001		
Miscarriage								
BMI	10328	1695 (16.41)	1.02 (1.01–1.04), 0.003	4666	237 (5.08)	1.08 (1.05–1.13), < 0.001	0.003	

^aModel adjusted by age, smoking history, gravidity, parity, etiology of infertility, year of transfer.

pregnancy group [early miscarriage (singleton pregnancy: RR = 1.02, 95% CI: 1.01–1.04; twin pregnancy: RR = 1.08, 95% CI: 1.03–1.13); miscarriage (singleton pregnancy: RR = 1.02, 95% CI: 1.01–1.04; twin pregnancy: RR = 1.08, 95% CI: 1.05–1.13)]. Tests of the interaction between pre-pregnancy BMI and singleton pregnancy or twin pregnancy in early miscarriage and miscarriage were statistically significant (P = 0.017 and P = 0.003, respectively).

Overweight or obesity was associated with higher RRs of early miscarriage and miscarriage in the twin pregnancy group (early miscarriage: RR = 1.70, 95% CI: 1.25–2.32; miscarriage: RR = 1.68, 95% CI: 1.29–2.19); however, overweight or obesity was not significantly associated with higher RRs of early miscarriage or miscarriage in the singleton pregnancy group (early miscarriage: RR = 1.08, 95% CI: 0.97–1.21; miscarriage: RR = 1.08, 95% CI: 0.97–1.19). Moreover, the tests of the interaction between the

pre-pregnancy BMI and singleton pregnancy or twin pregnancy in early miscarriage and miscarriage were statistically significant (P = 0.018 and P = 0.005, respectively).

Sensitivity Analyses

Based on the BMI criteria proposed by the WHO, obesity was associated with an increased risk of early miscarriage and miscarriage relative to the normal weight group (early miscarriage: RR = 1.43, 95% CI: 1.09–1.90; miscarriage: RR = 1.48, 95% CI: 1.13–1.95). We also found that being overweight was associated with an increased risk of early miscarriage and miscarriage relative to the normal weight group (early miscarriage: RR = 1.19, 95% CI: 1.06–1.35; miscarriage: RR = 1.19, 95% CI: 1.06–1.35; miscarriage: RR = 1.19, 95% CI: 1.06–1.35; miscarriage: RR = 1.19, 95% CI: 1.06–1.34) (see **Supplementary Table 1**). As shown in **Figure 2**, the results of restricted cubic splines revealed that the risk of early miscarriage and miscarriage was relatively flat until a BMI of approximately 24 kg/m² and then started to increase rapidly afterward.

DISCUSSION

In a large cohort of pregnant women undergoing ART treatment, we found that pre-pregnancy obesity was associated with a higher risk of early miscarriage and miscarriage, whereas prepregnancy underweight was not associated with an increased risk of early miscarriage and miscarriage. Additionally, we found interactions between pre-pregnancy BMI and singleton or twin pregnancy in the risk of early miscarriage and miscarriage. Twin pregnancy increased the RR of increased pre-pregnancy BMI in early miscarriage and miscarriage.

In our study, the obese group had 36% and 40% increased risk of early miscarriage and miscarriage, respectively, compared with the normal weight group. In spontaneous pregnancies, prepregnancy obesity is a known risk factor for pregnancy complications and adverse perinatal outcomes (24–26). Balsell et al. conducted a meta-analysis that included 32 studies with a total of 265,760 women, and reported that pre-pregnancy obesity increased the risk of miscarriage in subgroups of the cohort study and case control study (RR = 1.21, 95% CI: 1.15–1.27; odds ratio [OR] = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.01–1.57) (16). In related ART studies, Kawwass et al. confirmed that pre-pregnancy obesity was associated with increased risk of miscarriage (RR = 1.23, 95% CI: 1.20–1.26) (27). Additionally, Metwally et al. conducted a meta-analysis that included 16 studies with more than 16,000 participants, and the results revealed that BMI \geq 25 kg/m² increased the risk of miscarriage (OR = 1.67, 95% CI: 1.25– 2.25), and overweight or obesity was associated with a higher risk of miscarriage in the oocyte donation and ovulation induction groups (OR = 1.52, 95% CI: 1.10–2.09; OR = 5.11, 95% CI: 1.76– 14.83); this trend was also seen in the IVF/ICSI group, but the association was not statistically significant (OR = 1.52, 95% CI: 0.88–2.61) (28).

Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the association between pre-pregnancy obesity and miscarriage. First, the association between increased BMI and increased risk of miscarriage might be related to the action of leptin produced in the adipose tissue (29, 30). Leptin receptors are expressed in the secretory endometrium and regulate endometrial angiogenesis (31), and thus may influence implantation (29, 30). Second, a high BMI has been confirmed to be associated with insulin resistance. Insulin resistance increases the risk of miscarriage both after natural conception (32) and and ART treatment (33). Insulin resistance involved in miscarriage is related to diminished endometrial production of adhesion factors, such as insulin-like growth factor (34-36). Additionally, obesity is associated with reduction in serum progesterone level in early pregnancy (37-39), which is essential for maintaining pregnancy and predicting subsequent pregnancy loss (40).

In our study, compared with women with pre-pregnancy normal weight, women with pre-pregnancy underweight had a similar chance of miscarriage after ART treatment. A large sample of 180,855 ART pregnancies in the USA also confirmed that underweight was not significantly associated with increased miscarriage (RR = 1.04, 95% CI: 0.98–1.11) (27). However, other studies have drawn contrasting conclusions. Veleva et al.





used 3,330 first pregnancy cycles conceived through IVF/ICSI and frozen-thawed embryo transfer, and Cai et al. used 4,798 first fresh transfer cycles, conceived through IVF, to explore the association between low BMI and miscarriage, and reported that low BMI was associated with an increased risk of miscarriage in ART treatment (19, 20). The inconsistent conclusions might be due to the relatively small sample size of the underweight group in Veleva et al.'s and Cai et al.'s studies, which might lack sufficient statistical power. Additionally, Veleva et al. and Cai et al. only analyzed the first pregnancy cycle, while our study used all pregnancy cycles to explore the association between BMI and miscarriages. In our subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis, pre-pregnancy underweight was not associated with an increased risk of early miscarriage

and miscarriage with ART treatment. We found interactions between pre-pregnancy BMI and singleton pregnancy or twin pregnancy in early miscarriage and miscarriage. Although miscarriage rates were higher in the singleton pregnancy group than in the twin pregnancy group, increased BMI was associated with a higher RR of early miscarriage and miscarriage in the twin pregnancy group than in the singleton pregnancy group. In ART treatment, twin pregnancies are mainly due to the transfer of multiple embryos (two or three embryos) related to lower reproductive capability, such as advanced age, poor uterine receptivity, and low-grade embryo quality (41). Pre-pregnancy obesity has been recognized as a major risk factor for adverse maternal outcomes, including hypertensive disorders and glucose intolerance (42). Women with twins are at an increased risk for many of the complications associated with maternal obesity described above, which may be related to an increased risk of miscarriage. The results of our study demonstrated that efforts should be devoted to the management of anthropometric parameters before ART treatment to prevent adverse pregnancy outcomes.

In this study, in contrast to other large studies on the relationship between BMI and pregnancy outcomes, we found interactions between maternal BMI and singleton or twin pregnancy with respect to miscarriage in ART treatment. Moreover, we confirmed that obesity increased the risk of early miscarriage and miscarriage after ART treatment. Additionally, overweight and obesity were classified according to the Chinese standard, which is more applicable in Chinese adults than the WHO standard. This study has some limitations. First, this was an observational study in which the causality between BMI and miscarriages could not be established. Additionally, although we used multivariable regressions to control for potential confounders, the findings might be confounded by unmeasured covariates (e.g., alcohol consumption during pregnancy and maternal nutritional status) because the data in hospital information systems were limited.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our findings indicate that pre-pregnancy obesity is associated with an increased risk of early miscarriage and miscarriage in a population that underwent ART treatment. Interactions between pre-pregnancy BMI and singleton or twin pregnancy with respect to early miscarriage and miscarriage were observed, and twin pregnancy increased the influence of increased pre-pregnancy BMI on early miscarriage and miscarriage. Women before ART treatment should maintain a normal BMI to prevent adverse pregnancy outcomes.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the human research ethics committee of the Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital. The ethics committee waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PQ, SD, WS, JS, and CZ conceived and designed the study. PQ, MY, DZ, DW, and CZ drafted and revised the manuscript. PQ, MY, and DZ analyzed and interpreted the data. PQ, MY, and DZ collected and cleared the data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was financially supported by "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" (China) (no. xzy012019116), the Key Research and Development Program of Shaanxi Province (no. 2020SF-031), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81771657).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the staff from Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital for their assistance with the data collection. We thank all participants in this study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2021. 646162/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- de Mouzon J, Chambers GM, Zegers-Hochschild F, Mansour R, Ishihara O, Banker M, et al. International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies World Report: Assisted Reproductive Technology 2012†. *Hum Reprod* (2020) 35(8):1900–13. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deaa090
- Crawford GE, Ledger WL. In Vitro Fertilisation/Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection Beyond 2020. BJOG (2019) 126(2):237–43. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.15526
- Dunietz GL, Holzman C, McKane P, Li C, Boulet SL, Todem D, et al. Assisted Reproductive Technology and the Risk of Preterm Birth Among Primiparas. *Fertil Steril* (2015) 103(4):974–979 e1. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.01.015
- Sha T, Wang X, Cheng W, Yan Y. A Meta-Analysis of Pregnancy-Related Outcomes and Complications in Women With Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Undergoing IVF. *Reprod BioMed Online* (2019) 39(2):281–93. doi: 10.1016/ j.rbmo.2019.03.203
- Wen J, Jiang J, Ding C, Dai J, Liu Y, Xia Y, et al. Birth Defects in Children Conceived by *In Vitro* Fertilization and Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection: A Meta-Analysis. *Fertil Steril* (2012) 97(6):1331–7.e1–4. doi: 10.1016/ j.fertnstert.2012.02.053
- Wilson EE. Assisted Reproductive Technologies and Multiple Gestations. Clin Perinatol (2005) 32(2):315–28. doi: 10.1016/j.clp.2005.03.003
- Bluher M. Obesity: Global Epidemiology and Pathogenesis. Nat Rev Endocrinol (2019) 15(5):288–98. doi: 10.1038/s41574-019-0176-8
- Chooi YC, Ding C, Magkos F. The Epidemiology of Obesity. *Metabolism* (2019) 92:6–10. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2018.09.005
- Chen CM. Overview of Obesity in Mainland China. Obes Rev (2008) 9 Suppl:1, 14–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2007.00433.x
- Wu Y. Overweight and Obesity in China. BMJ (2006) 333(7564):362–3. doi: 10.1136/bmj.333.7564.362
- National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. 2015 Report on Chinese Nutrition and Chronic Disease. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House (2017).
- Cnattingius S, Bergstrom R, Lipworth L, Kramer MS. Prepregnancy Weight and the Risk of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes. N Engl J Med (1998) 338 (3):147–52. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199801153380302
- Schummers L, Hutcheon JA, Bodnar LM, Lieberman E, Himes KP. Risk of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes by Prepregnancy Body Mass Index: A Population-Based Study to Inform Prepregnancy Weight Loss Counseling. Obstet Gynecol (2015) 125(1):133–43. doi: 10.1097/AOG.000000000000591
- Maheshwari A, Stofberg L, Bhattacharya S. Effect of Overweight and Obesity on Assisted Reproductive Technology–a Systematic Review. *Hum Reprod Update* (2007) 13(5):433–44. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmm017
- Rittenberg V, Seshadri S, Sunkara SK, Sobaleva S, Oteng-Ntim E, El-Toukhy T. Effect of Body Mass Index on IVF Treatment Outcome: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Reprod BioMed Online* (2011) 23 (4):421–39. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2011.06.018
- Balsells M, Garcia-Patterson A, Corcoy R. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on the Association of Prepregnancy Underweight and Miscarriage. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* (2016) 207:73–9. doi: 10.1016/ j.ejogrb.2016.10.012
- Supramaniam PR, Mittal M, McVeigh E, Lim LN. The Correlation Between Raised Body Mass Index and Assisted Reproductive Treatment Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Evidence. *Reprod Health* (2018) 15(1):34. doi: 10.1186/s12978-018-0481-z
- Basirat Z, Kashifard M, Golsorkhtabaramiri M, Mirabi P. Factors Associated With Spontaneous Abortion Following Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection (ICSI). JBRA Assist Reprod (2019) 23(3):230–4. doi: 10.5935/1518-0557. 20190028
- Veleva Z, Tiitinen A, Vilska S, Hyden-Granskog C, Tomas C, Martikainen H, et al. High and Low BMI Increase the Risk of Miscarriage After IVF/ICSI and FET. *Hum Reprod* (2008) 23(4):878–84. doi: 10.1093/humrep/den017
- Cai J, Liu L, Zhang J, Qiu H, Jiang X, Li P, et al. Low Body Mass Index Compromises Live Birth Rate in Fresh Transfer *In Vitro* Fertilization Cycles: A Retrospective Study in a Chinese Population. *Fertil Steril* (2017) 107 (2):422–9.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.10.029
- National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China. Criteria of Weight for Adults. Health Industry Standard of the Peoples Republic of China. WS/T 428–2013 (2011).

- 22. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* (2000) 894i-xii:1–253.
- 23. Shi W, Zhang W, Li N, Xue X, Liu C, Qu P, et al. Comparison of Perinatal Outcomes Following Blastocyst and Cleavage-Stage Embryo Transfer: Analysis of 10 Years' Data From a Single Centre. *Reprod BioMed Online* (2019) 38(6):967–78. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2018.12.031
- Dempsey JC, Ashiny Z, Qiu CF, Miller RS, Sorensen TK, Williams MA. Maternal Pre-Pregnancy Overweight Status and Obesity as Risk Factors for Cesarean Delivery. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med (2005) 17(3):179–85. doi: 10.1080/14767050500073456
- McDonald SD, Han Z, Mulla S, Beyene J, Knowledge Synthesis G. Overweight and Obesity in Mothers and Risk of Preterm Birth and Low Birth Weight Infants: Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses. *BMJ* (2010) 341:c3428. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c3428
- Khatibi A, Brantsaeter AL, Sengpiel V, Kacerovsky M, Magnus P, Morken NH, et al. Prepregnancy Maternal Body Mass Index and Preterm Delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol (2012) 207(3):212 e1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2012.06.002
- Kawwass JF, Kulkarni AD, Hipp HS, Crawford S, Kissin DM, Jamieson DJ. Extremities of Body Mass Index and Their Association With Pregnancy Outcomes in Women Undergoing *In Vitro* Fertilization in the United States. *Fertil Steril* (2016) 106(7):1742–50. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.08.028
- Metwally M, Ong KJ, Ledger WL, Li TC. Does High Body Mass Index Increase the Risk of Miscarriage After Spontaneous and Assisted Conception? A Meta-Analysis of the Evidence. *Fertil Steril* (2008) 90(3):714–26. doi: 10.1016/ j.fertnstert.2007.07.1290
- Gonzalez RR, Caballero-Campo P, Jasper M, Mercader A, Devoto L, Pellicer A, et al. Leptin and Leptin Receptor are Expressed in the Human Endometrium and Endometrial Leptin Secretion is Regulated by the Human Blastocyst. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (2000) 85(12):4883–8. doi: 10.1210/jcem.85.12.7060
- Mitchell M, Armstrong DT, Robker RL, Norman RJ. Adipokines: Implications for Female Fertility and Obesity. *Reproduction* (2005) 130(5):583–97. doi: 10.1530/rep.1.00521
- Bouloumie A, Drexler HC, Lafontan M, Busse R. Leptin, the Product of Ob Gene, Promotes Angiogenesis. *Circ Res* (1998) 83(10):1059–66. doi: 10.1161/ 01.res.83.10.1059
- Craig LB, Ke RW, Kutteh WH. Increased Prevalence of Insulin Resistance in Women With a History of Recurrent Pregnancy Loss. *Fertil Steril* (2002) 78 (3):487–90. doi: 10.1016/s0015-0282(02)03247-8
- Tian L, Shen H, Lu Q, Norman RJ, Wang J. Insulin Resistance Increases the Risk of Spontaneous Abortion After Assisted Reproduction Technology Treatment. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2007) 92(4):1430–3. doi: 10.1210/jc.2006-1123
- Apparao KB, Lovely LP, Gui Y, Lininger RA, Lessey BA. Elevated Endometrial Androgen Receptor Expression in Women With Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome. *Biol Reprod* (2002) 66(2):297–304. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod66.2.297
- Giudice LC. Endometrium in PCOS: Implantation and Predisposition to Endocrine CA. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab (2006) 20(2):235–44. doi: 10.1016/j.beem.2006.03.005
- 36. Jakubowicz DJ, Essah PA, Seppala M, Jakubowicz S, Baillargeon JP, Koistinen R, et al. Reduced Serum Glycodelin and Insulin-Like Growth Factor-Binding Protein-1 in Women With Polycystic Ovary Syndrome During First Trimester of Pregnancy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (2004) 89(2):833–9. doi: 10.1210/jc.2003-030975
- Rochester D, Jain A, Polotsky AJ, Polotsky H, Gibbs K, Isaac B, et al. Partial Recovery of Luteal Function After Bariatric Surgery in Obese Women. *Fertil Steril* (2009) 92(4):1410–5. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.08.025
- Tremellen K, Syedi N, Tan S, Pearce K. Metabolic Endotoxaemia-a Potential Novel Link Between Ovarian Inflammation and Impaired Progesterone Production. *Gynecol Endocrinol* (2015) 31(4):309–12. doi: 10.3109/ 09513590.2014.994602
- Goh JY, He S, Allen JC, Malhotra R, Tan TC. Maternal Obesity is Associated With a Low Serum Progesterone Level in Early Pregnancy. *Horm Mol Biol Clin Investig* (2016) 27(3):97–100. doi: 10.1515/hmbci-2015-0030
- Arck PC, Rucke M, Rose M, Szekeres-Bartho J, Douglas AJ, Pritsch M, et al. Early Risk Factors for Miscarriage: A Prospective Cohort Study in Pregnant Women. *Reprod BioMed Online* (2008) 17(1):101–13. doi: 10.1016/s1472-6483(10)60300-8
- 41. Kulkarni AD, Adashi EY, Jamieson DJ, Crawford SB, Sunderam S, Kissin DM. Affordability of Fertility Treatments and Multiple Births in the

United States. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol (2017) 31(5):438-48. doi: 10.1111/ ppe.12383

42. Ram M, Berger H, Lipworth H, Geary M, McDonald SD, Murray-Davis B, et al. The Relationship Between Maternal Body Mass Index and Pregnancy Outcomes in Twin Compared With Singleton Pregnancies. *Int J Obes (Lond)* (2020) 44(1):33–44. doi: 10.1038/s41366-019-0362-8

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer XL declared a shared affiliation with several of the authors, MY and SD, to the handling editor at time of review.

Copyright © 2021 Qu, Yan, Zhao, Wang, Dang, Shi, Shi and Zhang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.