Research Article

Body Posture, Postural Stability, and Metabolic Age in Patients with Parkinson's Disease

Jacek Wilczyński,¹ Agnieszka Pedrycz,² Dariusz Mucha,³ Tadeusz Ambroży,³ and Dawid Mucha³

¹Institute of Physiotherapy, Department of Medicine and Health Sciences, Jan Kochanowski University of Kielce, Kielce, Poland ²Department of Histology and Embryology with Unit of Experimental Cytology, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland ³Department of Physical Education and Sport, Academy of Physical Education in Cracow, Cracow, Poland

Correspondence should be addressed to Dariusz Mucha; nauka.autograf@gmail.com

Received 31 January 2017; Revised 19 April 2017; Accepted 22 May 2017; Published 27 June 2017

Academic Editor: Meike Kasten

Copyright © 2017 Jacek Wilczyński et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. The study aims to analyze the relationship between body posture and composition, as well as postural stability in Parkinson's disease patients. *Material and Methods.* 32 people were evaluated. The study was conducted in the Laboratory of Posturology at Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce (Poland). Body posture was examined using the optoelectronic body posture Formetric Diers Method III 4D. Postural stability was evaluated using the Biodex Balance System platform. Body composition was assessed with the method of bioelectrical impedance analysis using the Tanita MC 780 MA analyzer. *Results.* 11 patients (34.37%) had hyperkyphosis, 10 (31.25%) hyperlordosis, and 3 (9.37%) hyperkyphosis-hyperlordosis posture. Scoliosis (>10°) was observed in 28 (87.5%) subjects, whereas 4 (12.5%) presented scoliotic body posture (1–9°). In the examined population, all parameters of postural stability user within normal limits. *Conclusions.* A significant positive correlation was observed between surface rotation (°), General Stability Index (r = 0.4075, p = 0.0206), and Anteroposterior Stability Index (r = 0.3873, p = 0.0285). Metabolic age also presented a significant positive correlation between surface rotation (+max) (°), General Stability Index (r = 0.3873, p = 0.0285). Metabolic age also presented a significant positive correlation between metabolic age and General Stability Index (r = 0.3873, p = 0.0212), as well as Anteroposterior Stability Index (r = 0.3507, p = 0.0490).

1. Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is one of the most common conditions of the central nervous system [1]. This disease is progressive and results from a loss of cells in the substantia nigra within the mesencephalon [1]. Usually, it begins between the ages of 58 and 62 years; however, cases have also been reported in younger patients [2]. Initially, it was believed that prevalence of PD was identical in both men and women. However, the latest epidemiological studies report that PD is more prevalent in men [3]. Every year in Poland approximately 80.000 people suffer from PD, and the annual morbidity approximates to 8.000 [4]. The neurodegenerative process begins several years prior to the onset of motor symptoms [5]. The disease may develop for many years without any significant clinical symptoms. The search for preclinical markers of PD may enable early treatment and, therefore, delay and alleviate symptoms [6]. The estimated duration of the preclinical phase ranges from 5 to 20 years [7]. During the course of Parkinson's disease, dopaminergic neurons located in the substantia nigra and other dopaminergic regions of mesencephalon die, resulting in a decreased dopamine concentration in the striatum and its progressive degeneration. At an early stage, the putamen and part of the striatum, which mostly receives impulses from motor cortex, are damaged. In the next stages, damage also occurs in the caudate nucleus, which is engaged in cognition, memory, and attention [8]. Clinical diagnosis of Parkinson's disease requires the presence of two out of three main axial symptoms: resting tremor, bradykinesia, and rigidity [9]. Bradykinesia must be present to diagnose PD [10]. The motor symptoms of PD are present in everyday life, such as difficulties in unassisted walking, performing precise activities, beginning the motion, and balance disorders and falls [11]. As PD progresses, the patient develops an abnormal body posture and gait pattern due to rigidity and bradykinesia [12]. The patient flexes the head and cervical spine forward, the thoracic kyphosis increases, lumbar lordosis decreases, both shoulder joints are extended, abducted, and internally rotated, the elbow joints are flexed with pronation, metacarpophalangeal joints are extended, the thumb is abducted, hip joints are slightly adducted, flexed, and internally rotated, and knee joints are slightly flexed [13]. An abnormal posture and scoliosis result from structural damage in the brain regions controlling motor activity, such as basal ganglia as well as nuclei of the thalamus and cerebellum [14].

Postural instability and balance disorders also result from PD [11, 15]. Maintenance of the vertical body posture and its control are complex and consist of mobility and correction processes [16, 17]. Maintaining postural stability is a dynamic process, which is based on contracting various disturbances [18, 19]. These disturbances may be influenced by the external environment as well as internal environment of the human body [20, 21]. Postural stability is affected by progressive and chronic degenerative changes within the structures of CNS that control motor function, the visual system, hearing, proprioception, and balance. Patients become prone to falls, especially during rapid head movements [15, 22]. Disorders in body composition and body weight are also observed as PD progresses [23]. Patients often lose weight, even when their calorific intake is higher than that of healthy individuals at respective ages [24]. Weight changes are also related to gastrointestinal disorders, most likely due to dysfunction of the autonomic nervous system [25, 26]. This study aims to analyze the relationship between body posture, postural stability, and body constitution in patients with Parkinson's disease.

2. Materials and Methods

A group of 32 patients was examined, who were members of the Parkinson Disease Association in Kielce (Poland). The majority was females, 26 (81.25%), and there were 6 males (18.75%). The study was conducted in November 2013 in the Laboratory of Posturology at the Institute of Physiotherapy of the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce. All patients were treated with levodopa (L-DOPA). This is an endogenous amino acid, catecholamine, produced by tyrosine hydroxylation and catalysed by tyrosine hydroxylase. Levodopa is the precursor of dopamine, which causes an increased concentration of this neurotransmitter in the brain. The treatment with L-DOPA was designed as one of the criteria for inclusion into the study. The patients participated in structured physiotherapy course for a period of 12 months. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

The patients were informed of the objective of the study and expressed written consent to participate in this study. The study was noninvasive and free of charge. The patients willingly participated in the study and perceived it as concerns about their state of health. Body posture was examined by the optoelectronic test of body posture, the Formetric Diers Method III 4D using raster stereography. Three-dimensional analysis of the spine was achieved by combination of modern optical techniques and digital data processing. This is a quick and touchless photometric 4D measurement and analysis of the patient's back surface and the spine. The following parameters describing body posture were analyzed:

- Kyphotic angle ICT-ITL (max[°]) (kyphotic angle inflexion point cervical thoracic- inflexion point thoracic lumbar max[°]): this is the maximum kyphotic angle measured between the tangents to the surface of the upper cervical thoracic inflexion point (ICT), in the vicinity of the vertebra prominens (VP), and thoracic lumbar inflexion point (ITL).
- (2) Lordotic angle ITL-ILS (max°) (lordotic angle inflexion point thoracic lumbar- inflexion point lumbarsacral max°): this is the maximum lordotic angle measured between the tangents to the surface of the thoracic lumbar inflexion point ITL and the lower lumbar-sacral inflexion point ILS.
- (3) Scoliosis angle °: measurement with Diers formetric III 4D concerns exclusively the spine and shows the curvature angle from 1°.
- (4) Pelvic tilt in degrees (°): pelvic tilt refers to the difference in the height of sacral dimples DL-DR (*dimple left-dimple right*), in relation to the transverse surface (cross-section). A positive value means that the right dimple is higher than the left dimple, whereas a negative value occurs when the right dimple is located below the left dimple.
- (5) Pelvic tilt (mm): pelvic tilt refers to the difference in heights of the sacral dimples DL-DR (*dimple leftdimple right*), in relation to the transverse surface (cross-section). A positive value means that the right dimple is higher than the left dimple, whereas a negative value occurs when the right dimple is located below the left dimple.
- (6) Surface rotation max°: this parameter defines the maximum rotation of the surface of the vertebrae on a symmetry line. Positive values mean the maximum surface rotation is to the right, while negative values mean maximum surface rotation is to the left.
- (7) Surface rotation (+max.)°: this parameter defines the maximum right-side rotation of the surface of the vertebrae on the symmetry line to the right.

Postural stability was evaluated using the Biodex Balance System platform. Postural Stability Test was performed with both feet positioned on a stable background, with open eyes. The platform was blocked, which means that it was rigid and fully stable. Application of the patients' personal data and body height allowed their position to be determined. This allowed the centre line of the foot and platform axes to be used as the points of reference. The position was determined by entering on the screen of the device the angles of the position of the feet, using the centre line separately for the right and left foot. The Postural Stability Test consisted of three 20second trials, each separated by 10-second breaks. During the test, the patient's vision was focused on a characteristic dot (COP, centre of pressure) on the monitor screen, which was a symbolic presentation of the centre of feet pressure. The patient's task was to balance the body in such a way that the dot (COP) was in the centre of a circle displayed on the monitor at the point of intersection of the coordinate axes. During the examination, verbal correction of the patient was permitted. All the parameters registered by the posturological platform were collected completely noninvasively, and the device was deemed safe for the patients recruited to the study. For assessment of postural stability, the following measurements were used:

- (i) Overall Stability Index (°) reflects variability of the positioning of the platform with respect to the horizontal plane, expressed in degrees during all movements performed in the test. Its high value evidences a large amount of movements performed during the test.
- (ii) Anterior-Posterior Stability Index (°) reflects variability of the platform displacement in the sagittal plane, expressed in degrees.
- (iii) Medial-Lateral Stability Index (°) reflects variability of the platform displacement in the frontal plane, expressed in degrees.

The patient's scoring in the Postural Stability Test depended on the number of sways from the centre. This meant that the lower the result, the better the postural stability. The percentage of time in zone (%) index is the time spent by a patient in any given zone. Target zones A, B, C, and D are equal with respect to the degree of platform tilt. They are determined by concentric circles with the middle in the centre of the platform: Zone A, from zero to five degrees of deviation with respect to the horizontal plane; Zone B, from six to ten degrees of deviation with respect to the horizontal plane; Zone C, from eleven to fifteen degrees of deviation with respect to the horizontal plane; Zone D, from sixteen to twenty degrees of deviation with respect to the horizontal plane; and Time in Quadrant (%). This index is the time which the patient spent in any given quadrant. Quadrants represent four quadrants of the test graph between y- and *x*-axis: Quadrant 1, right anterior; Quadrant 2, left anterior; Quadrant 3, left posterior; and Quadrant 4, right posterior.

Body composition was assessed using the method of bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), which consists of evaluating the resistance of flow of an electric current. For the BIA analysis, knowledge is used concerning the prevalence of electrolytes and better electrical conductivity of muscle tissue, which contains a considerable amount of water; in turn, adipose tissue is less conductive. The BIA is a reliable, noninvasive, and easily available means for the estimation of body composition parameters. For this research, a body composition analyzer was used, Tanita MC 780 MA. The results of the measurements allowed the following variables to be obtained: body mass (kg), body mass index, metabolic age, fat mass (%), fat mass (kg), fat-free mass (kg), muscle mass (kg), visceral fat, total body water (kg), and total body water (%). The variables were compared in terms of sex using the Mann–Whitney test. The relationship between body posture, postural stability, and body composition was analyzed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. The probability p < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

The analysis of anthropometric variables revealed significant differences between females and males in terms of height (Z = 3.7541, p = 0.0002) and body mass (Z = 3.2592, p =0.0011), whereas there were no differences with regard to BMI (Z = 1.4735, p = 0.1406) and metabolic age (Z = 0.2175, p = 0.1406)p = 0,8278). Significant differences in body composition between females and males referred to fat-free mass (kg) (Z = 3.1627, p = 0.0016), muscle mass (kg) (Z = 3.7421, p = 0.0016)p = 0.0002), visceral fat (Z = 1.9892, p = 0.0467), total body water (kg) (Z = 3.7428, p = 0,0002), and total body water (%) (Z = 2.8257, p = 0.0047). Only the fat mass (kg) was similar (Z = 0.5795, p = 0.5622) (Table 1). Threedimensional evaluation of the spine revealed hyperkyphosis (łac. dorsum rotundum) in 11 patients (34.37%), hyperlordosis (łac. dorsum concavum) in 10 (31.25%), and hyperkyphosishyperlordosis posture (łac. dorsum rotundo-concavum) in 3 (9.37%). Scoliosis (łac. scoliosis) (>10°) was detected in 28 (87.5%) individuals, whereas 4 patients (12.5%) had scoliotic posture (1-9°). Left-lateral pelvic tilt was detected in 11 (34.37%) subjects and right-lateral in 12 (37.5%). Left surface rotation was detected in 19 (59.37%) patients and right in 13 (4.62%). Right surface rotation (+max) was found in 27 (84%) patients and 5 (16%) did not present with any rotation. Significant differences in body posture between females and males included scoliotic angle (°) (Z = 2.1271, p = 0.0334), chest length (VP-DM) (mm) (Z = 2.9939, p = 0.0027), and chest length (VP-SP) (mm) (Z = 2,8249, p = 0,0047). There were no differences in terms of body posture within the following variables: thoracic kyphosis angle (°) (Z = 1.2572, p = 0.2087), scoliosis angle (°) (Z = 1.3066, p = 0.1914), pelvic tilt (°) (Z = 1.3754, p = 0.1690), pelvic tilt (mm) (Z = 1.3789, p = 0.1679), vertebral surface rotation (max°) (Z = 1.1377, p = 0.2552), and surface rotation (+max) (°) (Z = 1.1181, p = 0.2635) (Table 2). The Postural Stability Test revealed significant differences between females and males in Overall Stability Index (°) (Z = 2,0545, p = 0,0399). Higher Overall Stability Index in females indicated slightly lower postural stability compared to males. The variables of postural stability which did not significantly differ include Anterior-Posterior Index (°) (Z = 1.3825, p = 0.1668), Medial-Lateral Index (°) (Z = 1.7927, p = 0.0730), % Time in A Zone (Z = 0,6330, p = 0,5267), % Time in B Zone (none of the participants of the study was in C and D Zones), % Time in Quadrant I (0.0242, p = 0.9807), % Time in Quadrant II (Z = 0.4875, p = 0.6259), % Time

Anthropometric variables	Gender	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Lower quartile	Median	Upper quartile	Maximum	Mann–Whitney U test
	Total	165.5	8.10	150.0	159.5	164.5	170.2	184.0	7 - 2 7641
Body height (cm)	Females	162.6	5.78	150.0	158.0	164.0	166.7	172.0	1 + 0.000
	Males	177.8	3.71	174.0	175.2	177.0	179.5	184.0	p = 0.0002
	Total	66.14	11.05	48.30	58.10	65.60	74.05	89.00	7 - 2 7607
Body weight (kg)	Females	62.74	8.79	48.30	57.35	59.35	71.50	78.20	262.6 = 2
	Males	80.85	7.15	71.50	75.55	81.15	86.75	89.00	f = 0.001
	Total	24.12	3.49	17.50	21.65	23.10	26.00	32.30	7 - 1 4735
BMI	Females	23.78	3.66	17.50	21.35	22.35	25.78	32.30	20.1470 = 2
	Males	25.57	2.41	22.90	23.58	25.35	27.43	28.70	p = 0.1400
	Total	42.34	11.74	20.00	34.00	43.00	49.25	70.00	7 - 0.0176
Metabolic age (MA)	Females	42.35	12.03	20.00	34.00	43.00	49.00	70.00	C/17.0 = 7
	Males	42.33	11.45	27.00	35.00	42.50	51.50	55.00	p - 0.0270
	Total	27.14	6.35	16.80	22.23	26.45	32.28	38.10	7 - 2 1677
Fat mass (%)	Females	28.80	5.80	17.70	24.25	28.30	33.70	38.10	$\Delta = 0.0016$
	Males	19.95	2.39	16.80	18.53	20.25	20.63	23.70	p = 0.0010
	Total	18.04	5.55	9.50	14.10	15.90	21.78	29.80	7 - 0 5705
Fat mass (kg)	Females	18.46	5.95	9.50	14.10	15.90	23.20	29.80	CE/C.0 = 7
1	Males	16.23	3.03	12.50	14.53	15.70	17.63	21.10	770C'N = d
	Total	48.10	9.01	36.70	42.65	45.30	49.08	70.10	7 - 2 7117
FFM (kg)	Females	44.28	3.92	36.70	42.43	44.65	46.75	52.70	$\Delta = 0.000$
2	Males	64.62	4.86	57.10	62.05	65.45	67.88	70.10	p = 0.0002
	Total	45.65	8.58	34.80	40.45	43.00	46.55	66.60	1047 2 - 7
Muscle mass (kg)	Females	42.02	3.73	34.80	40.23	42.35	44.35	50.00	2 - 3.7421
	Males	61.38	4.63	54.20	58.95	62.20	64.48	66.60	p = 0.0002
	Total	6.69	3.28	2.00	4.00	6.00	8.25	14.00	7 - 1 0001
Visceral fat	Females	6.00	2.71	2.00	4.00	6.00	7.75	12.00	202.1 - 2
	Males	9.67	4.08	5.00	6.25	10.00	13.00	14.00	p = 0.0707
	Total	34.00	6.19	26.30	30.20	32.15	34.80	49.20	2 C A 7 2
TBW (kg)	Females	31.40	2.79	26.30	29.98	31.60	32.95	37.50	4 - 0.000
	Males	45.25	3.41	40.50	43.08	45.45	47.83	49.20	p - 0.0002
	Total	51.53	4.48	43.90	47.88	52.30	54.95	58.50	7 - 78757
TBW (%)	Females	50.50	4.28	43.90	47.13	50.75	53.85	58.50	h = 0.0047
	Males	56.02	1.87	53.10	55.05	56.20	57.50	58.00	h - u u u u

TABLE 1: Anthropometric variables and body composition.

4

BioMed Research International

Variables of posture	Gender	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Lower quartile	Median	Upper quartile	Maximum	Mann–Whitney U test
	Total	52.47	9.45	30.00	45.25	54.00	59.25	69.00	7 - 1 7577
Kyphotic angle (°)	Females	51.42	9.45	30.00	43.75	52.00	57.75	69.00	7/07/1 = 7
	Males	57.00	8.72	43.00	52.75	58.50	63.50	66.00	p = 0.2007
	Total	42.75	10.44	17.00	36.75	41.50	49.25	63.00	1701 0 - 7
Lordotic angle (°)	Females	44.27	10.78	17.00	40.00	44.50	50.75	63.00	L = 2.12/1
1	Males	36.17	5.56	26.00	34.75	38.00	39.75	41.00	p = 0.024
	Total	18.16	9.76	3.00	12.00	16.00	20.25	48.00	7 - 1 2066
Scoliotic angle (°)	Females	19.35	10.19	5.00	12.25	16.50	22.50	48.00	2 = 0.1014
ì	Males	13.00	5.73	3.00	11.75	14.00	15.50	20.00	p = 0.1914
	Total	445.0	40.72	327.0	424.7	445.5	468.0	542.0	7 _ 7 0020
Trunk length (VP-DM) (mm)	Females	435.5	37.11	327.0	423.2	435.0	460.5	491.0	70000 - 4
	Males	486.2	29.86	464.0	468.7	472.5	492.0	542.0	p = 0.0027
	Total	500.9	40.51	377.0	478.2	501.5	521.0	592.0	0100 0 - 7
Trunk length (mm)	Females	492.1	37.92	377.0	474.5	489.0	514.2	584.0	L = 2.0245
I	Males	538.8	29.25	514.0	520.2	528.0	547.0	592.0	p = 0.004
	Total	0.31	4.17	-9.00	-2.00	0.00	2.00	11.00	7 - 1 2764
Pelvic tilt (°)	Females	-0.19	4.08	-9.00	-2.00	0.00	2.00	11.00	2 - 0.1600
	Males	2.50	4.18	-2.00	0.00	1.00	5.00	9.00	h = 0.0100
	Total	0.38	5.89	-12.0	-3.00	0.00	3.00	15.00	7 - 13700
Pelvic tilt (mm)	Females	-0.35	5.65	-12.0	-3.00	0.00	3.00	15.00	70/0.1 - 7
	Males	3.50	6.41	-3.00	0.00	1.50	5.25	15.00	b = 0.101.0
	Total	-0.34	9.72	-13.0	-8.00	-4.00	9.00	16.00	7 - 1 1277
Surface rotation (°)	Females	0.73	10.15	-13.0	-8.00	-4.00	9.75	16.00	$\Delta = 0.257$
	Males	-5.00	6.23	-11.0	-7.75	-6.50	-5.25	7.00	A = 0.232
	Total	5.59	5.20	0.00	1.00	4.00	9.25	16.00	7 = 11181
Surface rotation (+max.) (°)	Females	6.27	5.46	0.00	1.00	6.00	11.50	16.00	4 - 0.2635
	Males	2.67	2.42	1.00	1.00	1.50	3.50	7.00	ccozo - d

BioMed Research International

TABLE 2: Variable postures of the body.

in Quadrant III (Z = 0.6541, p = 0.5131), % and Time in Quadrant IV (%) (Z = 0.0000, p = 1.0000). All parameters of postural stability were within normal limits in the study group. More significant postural inclinations were observed in the sagittal plane than in frontal plane. During testing, most of the patients were slightly bended backwards and were in quadrant IV (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Postural and body composition disorders are important symptoms of PD as a chronic neurological disability. In our study, 11 (34.37%) of patients with PD had hyperkinetic hyperosmolarity (hyperkyphosis), 10 (31.25%) had enlarged lumbar ligaments (hyperlordosis), and 3 persons (9.37%) had simultaneous deep thoracic kyphosis and lumbar lordosis (hyperkyphosis-hyperlordosis). Scoliosis (>10°) was observed in 28 (87.5%) patients, and in 4 (12.5%), scoliosis posture $(1-9^{\circ})$ occurred. In their studies, other authors also observed changes in body posture in PD patients with excessive neck flexion (NF), excessive bending of the knee joints (KB), lateral bending (LB), and forward bending (FB). The angle of neck flexion was greater in men than women; the remaining changes in posture showed a significant difference between the sexes. The forward tilting of the body and the knee flexion increased with age. Excessive flexion of the neck and forward tilting of the body were associated with the duration of the disease. All changes in posture significantly increased with the development of the disease. The forward inclination angle of the body was significantly related to the levodopa dosage, but dopamine agonist doses did not show a significant correlation with the change in posture [27]. In other studies conducted among Parkinson's patients, scoliosis has been reported, but more commonly in women. There was also a positive relationship between the severity of Parkinson's disease symptoms and the extent of scoliosis [28, 29]. In our study, all parameters of postural stability in patients with PD were normal. Greater postural sways occurred in the sagittal plane compared to the frontal plane. At the time of the test, most of the subjects were slightly inclined backwards and remained within square IV. In other studies, however, changes in postural control in the form of muscle stiffness as well as motor slowdown in corrective and anticipatory reactions have been observed. Sensory integration disorders, slowing of gait, freezing, and decreasing automation of gait and balance were also noted. Furthermore, it has been shown that levodopa does not have a positive effect on postural disorders, and, therefore, patients require rehabilitation [30]. In the research by other authors, it has been shown that patients with PD have significant postural stability limitations, lower scores in the LOS (limits of stability), lower values of functional balance reserves, and greater postural sways compared to healthy individuals. The deterioration of posture control was strongly associated with a high risk of falls [31]. In another study, it was analyzed whether postural stabilization responses can be improved and preserved among PD patients in which the slowdown leads to freezing in a manner similar to that used in people without freezing. Both individuals with and without freezing symptoms did not improve their

results nor were there permanent changes to the postural response system with respect to the main variable, centre of mass (COM). However, other gait-protection related results, including stability margin, length, and pace, improved at similar levels in all groups. Significant improvements were maintained in both groups. In conclusion, individuals with PD who have a tendency to halt movement have decreased ability to improve postural protective responses to some but not all variables [32]. In our study, the vast majority of PD patients' body composition parameters were normal, and metabolic age was significantly lower than calendar age. The results confirm the proper planning and course of treatment as well as the proper nutrition of the subjects. In other similar studies among PD patients, rarely were the subjects underweight, and the risk of malnutrition was common but stable. However, the value for the arm skin fold increased. There was a decrease in muscle circumference in the upper half of the arm. The percentage of individuals with poor handshake pressure increased. Correlations between dietary and motor variables and nonmotor PD characteristics were from scarce to moderate. In addition, increased anxiety was correlated with weight loss, BMI, and arm skin folds value. As the disease progressed, redistribution in the body structure from muscle to fat occurred [18]. In a different study, the body composition of PD patients was analyzed three years after diagnosis. Based on the method of electrical impedance, a slight increase in body mass was noted. It was strongly correlated with increased body fat, waist circumference, body height to waist circumference ratio, and total skin fold. In this work, we also demonstrate the relationship between body mass changes and the level of physical activity and the relationship between body mass changes and mental health (Mini Mental State Examination). At the onset of the disease, weight gain was accompanied by an increase in waist circumference and body mass. The opposite correlation was observed for body mass index and physical activity level [33]. Another objective of the study was the prospective assessment of body fat and its distribution in patients with PD. The distribution of adipose tissue in PD patients was compared with healthy subjects of similar age using magnetic resonance imaging. MRIs were conducted for 12 months. Total body fat volume as well as visceral fat did not show any significant differences between PD patients and healthy subjects, regardless of the moment of measurement. However, in PD patients, a decrease in the volume of subcutaneous adipose tissue was observed, as well as a higher ratio of visceral fat to subcutaneous fat compared to the control group. It has been reported that 16 patients did not lose weight, while 9 patients lost from 0.5 to 10 kg. Fat distribution was altered and the ratio of visceral to subcutaneous fat increased [34]. In our study, there were also significant positive correlations between metabolic age and the Overall Stability Index (r = 0.4057, p = 0.0212) and Front-Back Stability Index (r = 0.3507, p = 0.0490) (Table 4). The higher metabolic age was associated with the higher Overall Stability Index and higher Front-Back Stability Index. There were also significant positive correlations between surface rotation (°) and the Overall Stability Index (r = 0.4075, p =0.0206) and the Front-Back Stability Index (r = 0.3819, p =0.0310) (Table 4). The higher surface rotation (°) results were

Postural stability variables	Gender	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Lower quartile	Median	Upper quartile	Maximum	Mann–Whitney U test
	Total	0.50	0.35	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.60	1.90	7 20646
Overall Stability Index (°)	Females	0.55	0.37	0.20	0.30	0.50	0.60	1.90	$C_{1} = 2.0345$
	Males	0.30	0.13	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.38	0.50	$e^{eeo} = d$
	Total	0.35	0.24	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.40	1.30	7 _ 1 207
Anterior-Posterior Stability Index (°)) Females	0.37	0.26	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.40	1.30	200.1 = 2
	Males	0.23	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.28	0.40	p = 0.1000
	Total	0.27	0.25	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.30	1.10	7 - 1 7077
Medial-Lateral Stability Index (°)	Females	0.30	0.26	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.30	1.10	L = 1.720
-	Males	0.13	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.18	0.20	p = 0.0/0.0 = q
	Total	99.94	0.25	99.00	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	06620 7
Zone A (%)	Females	99.92	0.27	99.00	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	L = 0.0000
	Males	100.0	0.00	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	107 c $n = d$
	Total	0.09	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	30100 - 7
Zone B (%)	Females	0.12	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	3710.0 = 7
	Males	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	p = 0.4100
	Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 - 2
Zone C (%)	Females	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Males	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	p = 0
	Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7 - 0
Zone D (%)	Females	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Males	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	P = 0
	Total	19.72	16.05	0.00	5.75	15.00	33.00	64.00	
Quadrant 1 (%)	Females	19.50	16.10	0.00	6.25	14.50	33.00	64.00	2420.0 - 4
	Males	20.67	17.33	2.00	6.50	20.00	29.75	47.00	p = 0.000
	Total	5.81	9.29	0.00	1.00	3.00	5.25	46.00	7 _ 0.4076
Quadrant 2 (%)	Females	6.31	10.24	0.00	1.00	2.00	6.00	46.00	4 - 0.6750
	Males	3.67	1.97	0.00	3.25	4.50	5.00	5.00	d = 0.02000 - q
	Total	12.94	11.83	0.00	3.00	10.00	17.50	41.00	7 - 0.6541
Quadrant 3 (%)	Females	12.65	12.28	0.00	3.00	9.00	16.75	41.00	$\Delta = 0.0341$ $\Delta = 0.5131$
	Males	14.17	10.59	3.00	5.50	14.50	18.25	31.00	f = 0.01
	Total	61.53	22.25	11.00	44.75	62.50	76.75	96.00	7 - 0,000
Quadrant 4 (%)	Females	61.54	23.64	11.00	45.50	66.00	78.00	96.00	4 - 10000
	Males	61.50	16.56	42.00	48.75	61.00	72.50	84.00	P - 1,0000

Overall Stability Index (°)	Anterior- Posterior Stability Index (°)	Medial- Lateral Stability Index (°)
Metabolic a	ge	
0.4057	0.3507	0.1529
0.1669	0.1710	0.1804
-0.0084	-0.2171	-0.3776
0.6298	0.4845	0.3384
2.4312	2.0515	0.8477
30	30	30
0.0212 ²	0.0490 ²	0.4033
rface rotation	ı (°)	
0,4075	0.3819	0.2443
0.1667	0.1687	0.1770
0.0275	-0.1247	-0.2171
0.6510	0.5540	0.4845
2.4443	2.2632	1.3801
30	30	30
0.0206 ²	0.0310 ²	0.1777
e rotation (+1	max) (°)	
0.3526	0.3873	0.1433
0.1708	0.1683	0.1807
0.0338	-0.2264	-0.2171
0.6546	0.4770	0.4845
2.0640	2.3009	0.7932
	Overall Stability Index (°) Metabolic a 0.4057 0.1669 -0.0084 0.6298 2.4312 30 0.0212 ² rface rotation 0,4075 0.1667 0.0275 0.6510 2.4443 30 0.0275 0.6510 2.4443 30 0.0206 ² e rotation (+1 0.3526 0.1708 0.0338 0.6546 2.0640	Overall Stability Index (°)Anterior- Posterior Stability Index (°)Metabolic age 0.4057 0.3507 0.1669 0.1710 -0.0084 -0.2171 0.6298 0.4845 2.4312 2.0515 30 30 0.0212^2 0.0490^2 rface rotation (°) 0.1667 0.1667 0.1687 0.0275 -0.1247 0.6510 0.5540 2.4443 2.2632 30 30 0.0206^2 0.0310^2 e rotation (+max) (°) 0.3526 0.338 -0.2264 0.6546 0.4770 2.0640 2.3009

TABLE 4: Correlation between metabolic age, surface rotation of the vertebrae, and postural stability variables.

¹Confidence interval (CI).

p value

Degree of freedom (df)

²Statistically significant at p < 0.05.

associated with the higher scores of the Overall Stability Index and Front-Back Stability Index. There were also significant positive correlations between the surface rotation (+max) (°) and the Overall Stability Index (r = 0.3526, p = 0.0206) and the Front-Back Stability Index (r = 0.3873, p = 0.0285) (Table 4). Higher surface rotation (+max) (°) results were associated with the higher results of the Overall Stability Index and Front-Back Stability Index. The changes in body posture, postural stability, and body composition are detrimental from the perspective of maintaining the health of a Parkinson's disease patient, and therefore, systematic research and prevention are of importance.

30

0.0478²

30

0.0285²

30

0.4339

BioMed Research International

5. Conclusions

- Most of the studied patients had scoliosis. Scoliotic postures, pelvic tilling, vertebral surface rotation, hyperkyphosis, hyperlordosis, hyperkyphosis-hyperlordosis, and flat-back postures were also observed.
- (2) All parameters of postural stability in the study group were within the normal limits. More significant postural inclinations were observed in the sagittal plane compared to the frontal plane. During testing, most patients tended to bend backwards towards quadrant IV. Monitoring of postural stability in patients with PD is important due to the risk of falls in this group. The results of postural stability testing suggest that most patients received appropriate treatment and physical therapy.
- (3) Most parameters of body composition were within the normal range in the study group, and metabolic age was significantly lower compared to calendar age. These results indicate that the patients' treatment was planned well, as they received appropriate treatment and adequate nutrition.
- (4) There were significant positive correlations between surface rotation (°), Overall Stability Index, and Anterior-posterior Stability Index. There were also significant correlations between surface rotation (+max) (°), Overall Stability Index, and Anteriorposterior Stability Index. The metabolic age significantly correlated positively with the Overall Stability Index and Anterior-posterior Stability Index.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- S. J. Groiss, L. Wojtecki, M. Sudmeyer, and A. Schnitzler, "Deep brain stimulation in Parkinson-s disease," *Therapeutic Advances in Neurological Disorders*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 379–391, 2009.
- [2] A. Elbaz, L. Carcaillon, S. Kab, and F. Moisan, "Epidemiology of Parkinson's disease," *Revue Neurologique*, vol. 172, no. 1, pp. 14–26, 2016.
- [3] L. M. de Lau and M. M. Breteler, "Epidemiology of Parkinson's disease," *The Lancet Neurology*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 525–535, 2006.
- [4] U. Adamiak, M. Kaldonska, G. Klodowska-Duda et al., "Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling of levodopa in patients with advanced parkinson disease," *Clinical Neuropharmacology*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 135–141, 2010.
- [5] K. Wirdefeldt, H. Adami, P. Cole, D. Trichopoulos, and J. Mandel, "Epidemiology and etiology of Parkinson's disease: a review of the evidence," *European Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 26, no. 1, supplement, pp. S1–S58, 2011.
- [6] R. B. Postuma and J. Montplaisir, "Predicting Parkinson's disease - why, when, and how?" *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. S105–S109, 2009.
- [7] R. Savica, W. A. Rocca, and J. E. Ahlskog, "When does Parkinson disease start?" *Archives of Neurology*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 798–801, 2010.

- [8] M. B. H. Youdim, L. Kupershmidt, T. Amit, and O. Weinreb, "Promises of novel multi-target neuroprotective and neurorestorative drugs for Parkinson's disease," *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders*, vol. 20, supplement 1, pp. S132–S136, 2014.
- [9] J. W. Błaszczyk, "Parkinson's disease and neurodegeneration: GABA-collapse hypothesis," *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, vol. 10, article 269, 2016.
- [10] H. Kataoka, Y. Okada, T. Kiriyama et al., "Can Postural Instability Respond to Galvanic Vestibular Stimulation in Patients with Parkinson's Disease?" *Journal of Movement Disorders*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 40–43, 2016.
- [11] M. S. Bryant, J. G. Hou, R. L. Collins, and E. J. Protas, "Contribution of Axial Motor Impairment to Physical Inactivity in Parkinson Disease," *American Journal of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation*, vol. 95, no. 5, pp. 348–354, 2016.
- [12] S. Pandey and H. Kumar, "Assessment of striatal & postural deformities in patients with Parkinson's disease," *Indian Journal* of Medical Research, vol. 144, no. 5, pp. 682–688, 2017.
- [13] A. Khlebtovsky, R. Djaldetti, Y. Rodity et al., "Progression of postural changes in Parkinson's disease: quantitative assessment," *Journal of Neurology*, vol. 264, no. 4, pp. 675–683, 2017.
- [14] S. Pandey and H. Garg, "Postural & striatal deformities in Parkinson's disease: Are these rare?" *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, vol. 143, no. 1, pp. 11–17, 2016.
- [15] C. Schlenstedt, M. Muthuraman, K. Witt, B. Weisser, A. Fasano, and G. Deuschl, "Postural control and freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease," *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders*, vol. 24, pp. 107–112, 2016.
- [16] R. P. Duncan, A. L. Leddy, J. T. Cavanaugh et al., "Balance differences in people with Parkinson disease with and without freezing of gait," *Gait and Posture*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 306–309, 2015.
- [17] S. Klamroth, S. Steib, S. Devan, and K. Pfeifer, "Effects of exercise therapy on postural instability in Parkinson disease: A meta-analysis," *Journal of Neurologic Physical Therapy*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 3–14, 2016.
- [18] S. Lindskov, K. Sjöberg, P. Hagell, and A. Westergren, "Weight stability in parkinson's disease," *Nutritional Neuroscience*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 11–20, 2016.
- [19] C. Ewenczyk, S. Mesmoudi, C. Gallea et al., "Antisaccades in Parkinson disease," *Neurology*, vol. 88, no. 9, pp. 853–861, 2017.
- [20] B. De la Casa-Fages, F. Alonso-Frech, and F. Grandas, "Effect of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation on balance in Parkinson's disease: a static posturographic analysis," *Gait & Posture*, vol. 52, pp. 374–380, 2017.
- [21] A. Falaki, X. Huang, M. M. Lewis, and M. L. Latash, "Dopaminergic modulation of multi-muscle synergies in postural tasks performed by patients with Parkinson's disease," *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, vol. 33, pp. 20–26, 2017.
- [22] D. S. Peterson and F. B. Horak, "The Effect of Levodopa on Improvements in Protective Stepping in People with Parkinson's Disease," *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 931–940, 2016.
- [23] P. Mielczarek, M. Smoluch, J. H. Kotlinska et al., "Electrochemical generation of selegiline metabolites coupled to mass spectrometry," *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 1389, pp. 96– 103, 2015.
- [24] L. Cugusi, P. Solla, R. Serpe et al., "Effects of a Nordic Walking program on motor and non-motor symptoms, functional performance and body composition in patients with Parkinson's disease," *NeuroRehabilitation*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 245–254, 2015.

- [25] E. D. Pablo-Fernández, D. P. Breen, P. M. Bouloux, R. A. Barker, T. Foltynie, and T. T. Warner, "Neuroendocrine abnormalities in Parkinson's disease," *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 176–185, 2016.
- [26] R. E. Strowd, M. Herco, L. Passmore-Griffin et al., "Association between subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation and weight gain: Results of a case-control study," *Clinical Neurology* and Neurosurgery, vol. 140, pp. 38–42, 2016.
- [27] F. Yoshii, Y. Moriya, T. Ohnuki, M. Ryo, and W. Takahashi, "Postural deformities in Parkinson's disease –Mutual relationships among neck flexion, fore-bent, knee-bent and lateralbent angles and correlations with clinical predictors," *Journal of Clinical Movement Disorders*, vol. 29, no. 3, p. 1, 2016.
- [28] H. J. Choi, J. S. Smith, C. I. Shaffrey et al., "Coronal plane spinal malalignment and parkinson's disease: prevalence and associations with disease severity," *Spine Journal*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 115–121, 2015.
- [29] X. Ye, D. Lou, X. Ding et al., "A clinical study of the coronal plane deformity in parkinson disease," *European Spine Journal*, vol. 3, pp. 1–9, 2017.
- [30] J. H. Park, Y. Kang, and F. B. Horak, "What Is Wrong with Balance in Parkinson's Disease?" *Journal of Movement Disorders*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 109–114, 2015.
- [31] F. Doná, C. C. Aquino, J. M. Gazzola et al., "Changes in postural control in patients with Parkinson's disease: a posturographic study," *Physiotherapy (United Kingdom)*, vol. 102, no. 3, pp. 272– 279, 2016.
- [32] D. S. Peterson and F. B. Horak, "Effects of freezing of gait on postural motor learning in people with Parkinson's disease," *Neuroscience*, vol. 334, pp. 283–289, 2016.
- [33] M. Vikdahl, M. Carlsson, J. Linder, L. Forsgren, and L. Håglin, "Weight gain and increased central obesity in the early phase of Parkinson's disease," *Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 1132– 1139, 2014.
- [34] D. Bernhardt, H.-P. Müller, A. C. Ludolph, L. Dupuis, and J. Kassubek, "Body fat distribution in Parkinson's disease: An MRI-based body fat quantification study," *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders*, vol. 33, pp. 84–89, 2016.